



University of HUDDERSFIELD

University of Huddersfield Repository

Wigley, Stephen M. and O'Connor, Eilidh

The Chronological Development of a Fashion Retailer Own-Brand.

Original Citation

Wigley, Stephen M. and O'Connor, Eilidh (2012) The Chronological Development of a Fashion Retailer Own-Brand. In: 19th Eirass Conference on Retailing and Consumer Services, July 9th-11th 2012, Vienna, Austria.

This version is available at <http://eprints.hud.ac.uk/17258/>

The University Repository is a digital collection of the research output of the University, available on Open Access. Copyright and Moral Rights for the items on this site are retained by the individual author and/or other copyright owners. Users may access full items free of charge; copies of full text items generally can be reproduced, displayed or performed and given to third parties in any format or medium for personal research or study, educational or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge, provided:

- The authors, title and full bibliographic details is credited in any copy;
- A hyperlink and/or URL is included for the original metadata page; and
- The content is not changed in any way.

For more information, including our policy and submission procedure, please contact the Repository Team at: E.mailbox@hud.ac.uk.

<http://eprints.hud.ac.uk/>

The Chronological Development of a Fashion Retailer Own-Brand

Stephen M. Wigley

University of Huddersfield

Eilidh O'Connor

Marks and Spencer Plc.

Introduction

- Background and context.
- Aim and objectives.
- Methodology.
- Literature.
- Primary research.
- Findings.

Background & Context

- UK fashion market characteristics.
- Branding & brand management critical.
- Retailer private-label brands dominate.

- Private label literature has focused on grocery sector – little on fashion.
- Seeks to understand how a fashion retailer's brand is created, constructed and managed.

Aim and Objectives

Aim:

- Develop a model encapsulating the components contributing to the image of a fashion retail brand.

Objectives:

- Identify the potential components of a fashion retailer's own-brand via an analysis of the related literature;
- Test the relative importance of each component to both fashion consumers and industry professionals;
- Propose a model illustrating the fashion retailer's own brand and its effective deployment in the market.

Methodology

Two Phases:

- Review of literature to develop draft model.
- Test and refine the model using expert interviews and consumer focus groups.
 - Representatives from 7 brands participated.
 - Senior marketing, brand and retail managers.
 - Three focus groups using voluntary participants invited from a pool of fashion consumers.

Literature

| | Aaker 1991 | Kapferer 1998 | Bailey & Schechter 1994 | DeChern atony & Dall'Olm o Riley 1994 | Keller 1998 | Vigneron & Johnson 1999 | Kotler 2000 |
|--------------------|---------------|------------------|-------------------------------|---|----------------|----------------------------------|----------------|
| Product attributes | X | | | | X | X | X |
| Benefits | X | | X | X | X | X | X |
| Price/exclusivity | X | | | | | X | |
| User image | | X | | | | | X |
| Customer attitudes | X | X | | X | X | X | X |
| Brand personality | X | X | X | X | | X | X |
| Position in market | X | | | | | | |
| Heritage/culture | X | X | | X | | | X |
| Relationship | | X | | | | X | |
| Brand physique | | X | | | | | |
| Service | | | | X | | | |
| Name | | | X | X | | | |
| Legal | | | X | | | | |
| Communications | | | X | X | | | |


Literature

Synthesised with specifics from:

- Retail branding.
- Fashion branding.
- Industry insight - Mintel.

- Used to inform a draft model identifying the major components / attributes.

Draft Model



Primary Research - Consumers


| Rank | Group | Rank | Average | Group C |
|------|--------------------------|------|--------------------------|-------------------------|
| 1 | Customer service | 1 | Good value | Quality merchandise |
| 2 | Good value | 2 | Great customer service | Reputation |
| 3 | Great customer service | 3 | High quality merchandise | Brand name |
| 4 | High quality merchandise | 4 | Customer self image | Good value |
| 5 | Market positioning | 5 | Good reputation | Customer service |
| 6 | Trust | 6 | Design/VM | Value |
| 7 | Good reputation | 7 | Trust | VM |
| 8 | Design/VM | 8 | Innovative | Brand image |
| 9 | Distinctive image | 9 | Distinctive image | Brand name |
| 10 | Innovative | 10 | Brand name | Good to the environment |
| 11 | Brand name | 11 | Pride | Socially responsible |
| 12 | Pride | 12 | Market positioning | Market positioning |
| 13 | Good to the environment | 13 | Good to the environment | |
| 14 | Socially responsible | 14 | Socially responsible | |

Primary Research - Professionals

| Trust | Good Value | Great customer service | Customer self image |
|--|------------------------------------|---|--|
| Good reputation Customer service High quality Socially responsible Good to environment | Market positioning High quality | Good value Design/VM Trust Pride | Good reputation Trust Pride Design/VM |

| Good reputation | Distinctive image | Pride | Market positioning |
|--|--|--|--|
| Customer service Good value Customer self image Trust Pride Distinctive image | Design/VM Innovation Brand name Market positioning Customer self image | Market positioning Customer self image Distinctive image Design/VM Good reputation Customer service | Brand name Distinctive image Design/VM |


Primary Research - Professionals



Findings

| Sub group | Reasoning | Brand components |
|---------------------------|---|---|
| Store level | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •These components were rated most important by consumers and identified by practitioners as being store facing and important at store management level. •These are perceived through direct contact and experience with the retail brand. | Good value Great customer service High quality merchandise Customer self image Good reputation Windows/ in store display/ design |
| Company level | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •These components, although rated low by consumers were seen by practitioners as vital elements in creating and maintaining a strong brand. •These are perceived through indirect contact with the retail brand and form the strategy of the retail brand. | Market positioning Distinctive image Brand name/name of store Innovative Good to the environment Socially responsible |
| Intangible results | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •These intangible components of the brand are also important and are closely linked to other components as identified by both consumers and practitioners. •According to practitioners, these are created when the other components are in place | Trust Pride |

Findings



Findings

