



Investigation of a Tricarbide Grooved Ring Fuel Element for a Nuclear Thermal Rocket

Brian Taylor
Dr. Bill Emrich
Dr. Dennis Tucker
Marvin Barnes
NASA MSFC

Nicolas Donders
Kettering University
Kelsa Benensky
University of Tennessee

7/11/2017





Outline





- **Background**
- **Introduction**
- **Modeling**
 - Neutronics
 - Fluid/Thermal
- **Fabrication Experiments**
 - material selection
 - Process
- **Material Characterization**
- **Path Forward**

• Nuclear Propulsion


- Nuclear Thermal is far more efficient than chemical engines
 - Nuclear power allows for high Isp while maintaining high thrust
 - Propulsion system efficiency, mass, and thrust have a large impact upon mission logistics and cost

• Traditional Reactor Elements

- Hexagonal rods with straight axial flow passages
 - Cermet or graphite based
- Particle Beds attempted
 - Much larger surface area
 - thermal instabilities/hot spots



- **New fuel element concept**
 - Stacked grooved disks designed to increase surface area and heat transfer to propellant
 - Leading to higher thrust/weight engines
 - Propellant flows from outer to inner diameter of disks which heat the propellant
 - Stack of disks makes an element
 - Cluster of elements in a reactor
- **Carbide materials (e.g. UC, NbC, ZrC)**
 - Mixture has higher melting point than traditional fuel forms
 - Result: hotter propellant and greater thrust/efficiency







NEUTRONICS MODELING



- **Purpose**

- Develop a concept reactor layout for a set thrust goal
 - Power and distribution
- Analyze impact of material selection upon nuclear reactions
- Study relative material quantities
- Determine uranium enrichment and quantities required
 - Relate to theoretical density

NTR Reactor Configuration Using (U-Zr-Nb)C Fuel 25K Thrust -- 8 kW/cm^3 -- Optimal Fuel to Moderator Ratio = 0.261





Beryllium

Hydrogen

Fuel

NTR Reactor Configuration Using (U-Zr-Ta)C Fuel 25K Thrust -- 8 kW/cm³ -- Optimal Fuel to Moderator Ratio = 2.95




Beryllium

Hydrogen


Fuel

Uranium Carbide Material Neutron Absorption Cross-Sections






Uranium Carbide Requirements for Criticality
Enrichment = 93%



- Grooves and porosity decrease overall density requiring additional UC for reactivity

Grooved Ring Fuel Element Power Distributions






- **Power peaking profile of a grooved ring fuel element**
 - Modest power peaking seen so far







THERMAL FLUID MODEL

- **Shortened element modeled (2 rings)**
 - Comsol
- **Beryllium structure with zirconium carbide rings**
 - Properties of mixtures not yet developed for model
- **Boundary conditions varied to determine appropriate pressure delta to heat the flow for a given power/volume of 8 kW/cm^3**





- 4 psi seems to drive the flow at the right flow rate to heat it to near 3000 K for 8 kW/cm^3
- Cold spots exist due to cooling from the top cover of the rings, but would be reduced in a full stack with mixing and additional heated propellant




- **Velocity of H_2 through the element is fairly slow along the outer radius and through the grooves but increases in the central cavity while mixing but remaining laminar**




FABRICATION EXPERIMENTS


• Material Selection

- Need high melting temperature and low neutron cross section (except uranium)
- NbC and ZrC chosen
 - Lower neutron cross section than HC or TC
- Uranium Carbide Surrogate
 - Substitute for uranium
 - Avoid regulatory hurdles
 - Vanadium Carbide chosen
 - Similar crystal structure



- **Grind materials to uniform particle size**
- **Spark Plasma Sintering**
 - Powder compressed at high pressure in die
 - High current passed through die
 - Control dwell, rise and cooling times as well as temperatures
 - Trying to reach high theoretical density
 - Porosity reduces reactivity and could lead to hydrogen reactions with the uranium
- **Goal**
 - Achieve a uniform distribution in a solid solution, ultimately with low porosity
 - Best to date: 98% theoretical density
- **Grooves**
 - Test grooves cut with saw
 - Looking for best way to cut grooves
 - Attempting to try to use a water jet





Screening Runs of “As Received” $[V_{0.120}Zr_{0.587}Nb_{0.293}] \cdot C$

Date	Sintering Temperature [°C]	Dwell Time [min]	Cooling Rate [°C/min]	Pressure [Mpa]	Density [g/cc]	% Theoretical Density
1/27/2017	1500	10	100	50	5.65	80.77%
1/31/2017	1500	10	100	50	5.75	82.20%
2/1/2017	1600	10	100	50	5.86	83.77%
2/2/2017	1600	20	100	50	6.05	86.48%
2/2/2017	1600	20	200	50	6.52	93.20%
2/3/2017	1500	20	50	50	6.46	92.34%
2/13/2017	1600	20	20	50	6.20	88.62%
2/24/2017	1600	20	200	50	6.65	95.06%
3/17/2017	1600	20	200	50	6.60	94.35%
3/20/2017	1700	20	200	50	6.80	97.21%
3/21/2017	1550	30	200	50	6.83	97.64%
3/22/2017	1600	20	200	50	6.87	98.21%
3/27/2017	1600	20	200	60	6.85	97.92%

- Direct Current Sintering Variables and the resulting density of sample



% Theoretical Density Plots








Table 1: X-Ray Spectroscopy Analysis of Figure 16


Material %	C	O	V	Zr	Nb
Spectrum 1	23.47		66.41	6.71	3.41
Spectrum 2	26.59	1.32	0.24	67.92	3.94
Spectrum 3	25.62	0.92	0.31	68.95	4.20
Spectrum 4	25.48	1.21	0.38	68.81	4.12
Spectrum 5	34.74	1.85		22.79	40.63
Spectrum 6	35.56	1.93	0.25	22.75	39.51
Spectrum 7	31.71	2.62	0.39	26.76	38.52



- Early samples showed less than optimal distribution
 - Clumps of elements in different regions

Table 2: X-Ray Spectroscopy Analysis of Figure 17

%	C	Ti	V	Ni	Nb	Hf	Ta
8	18.1	80.8	0	0.31			
9	18.24	1.15	78.26	0.36	0.99		
10	18.56	0.49	78.29	0.65	1.32		
11	18.94		2.1	31.08	29.87		15.91
12	16.06		3.04	25.52	33.76	21.61	
13	18.77		0.19	77.83	3.21		
14	17.67		0.44	73.07	8.81		
15	19.32		1.69	47.06	30.15		




- Sifting materials has improved distribution
- Micro milling has only recently begun but is expected to improve distribution
 - Visual inspection seems to show improved distribution, but samples have fractured for unknown reasons



CARBIDE MATERIAL CHARACTERIZATION



- **The team is attempting to measure thermal diffusivity to fill in gaps in the literature**
 - Disintegration of the first samples occurred for unknown reasons
 - Reasons are unknown, but it should be noted that samples survived much higher temperatures in CFEET
 - Future measurement attempts are planned





Hot Hydrogen Environment Testing





- **Samples tested in Compact Fuel Element Environmental Test (CFEET) system at MSFC**
 - 50 kW induction power supply and two-color pyrometers for temperature measurements up to 3000 ° C
 - Designed to flow hydrogen across subscale fuel materials for testing at high temperatures for up to ten hours.




• CFEET Results

- 1st sample maintained structural integrity for 30 minutes at 2000 K
- 2nd set of three samples were run at 2250 K for 30 minutes
 - X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis appears to show the tricarbides moving toward a solid solution
 - Unidentified peaks need further analysis to verify if they are due to the formation of free carbon, ZrC₂, or other lower melting temperature compounds





Conclusions



- **Results of this work are promising**
- **Fabrication has come a long way in showing a viable means for producing these tricarbide rings**
 - High densities reached
 - Micro milling expected to lead to better distribution
 - Appears to be moving toward a solid solution after an extended period in a hot hydrogen environment
- **Thermal diffusivity measurements are expected from future samples**
- **Tricarbide samples have held up in a hot hydrogen environment**
 - Future hotter tests are planned
- **The use of tricarbide fuels and this geometry have potential and warrant further investigation**