Identification of predictors of inhospital postreperfusion mode of death in patients with acute ST-elevation myocardial infarction
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Summary. Objectives. The aim of this study was to identify the predictors of the postreperfusion mode of death using the distinctions in clinical characteristics of patients who died and survived after reperfusion therapy, treated due to ST-elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI).

Material and methods. This consecutive study has involved 36 patients: 18 patients who died from progressive heart failure (PHF) (group 1, n=13) or from cardiac rupture (CR) (group 2, n=5) after primary coronary intervention. The control group consisted of 18 randomly selected patients who survived inhospital period (group 3). The initial and postreperfusion heart rate (HR), systolic and diastolic arterial pressures (SAP and DAP), maximal ST elevation (max ST †) and depression (max ST ‡), ST score, TIMI flow grade, coronary score (CS), and their perireperfusion changes were assessed for each patient. The complex prognostic predictors – TIMI Risk Score and TIMI Risk Index – were also assessed. The data analysis was performed by standard statistical and machine learning approach methods.

Results. The comparison of three patients' groups according to simple ECG or circulatory characteristics showed that more significant differences were seen in postreperfusion characteristics or their perireperfusion changes. Herewith, the major part of significantly different characteristics (baseline SAP, DAP, and HR, postreperfusion SAP, DAP, ST score, and TIMI flow grade, resolution of ST score) was observed comparing both the groups of dead patients with survivors (control group). The differences in the complex predictors (TIMI Risk Score and TIMI Risk Index) were similar. However, the smallest number of significantly different characteristics was seen comparing both the groups of dead patients. The baseline DAP (P=0.045), postreperfusion SAP (P=0.04) and DAP (P=0.03), and ST score (P=0.0025) were higher in the patients who died from CR. The postreperfusion ST score and SAP were also identified as necessary components in the assessment of informative prognostic sets according to feature selection methods used in data mining field.

Conclusion. The postreperfusion ST score, SAP, and DAP could be useful for the prediction of inhospital postreperfusion mode of death in patients with STEMI; evidently more clinical predictors could be useful for the prediction of general occurrence of postreperfusion deaths.

Introduction
Recent clinical trials suggest that the increased 30-day mortality in patients with ST-elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) can be significantly reduced with the use of early reperfusion therapy (RT) (1–3). However, it seems likely that the current use of RT has a minimal causal impact on hospital mortality rates principally because sometimes technically successful RT is associated with more acute cardiac and vascular complications (4, 5). The increased paradoxical risk of death has been reported in patients during the first 24 hours after RT (6–8). The real mechanism of this phenomenon, excluding the mechanism of recurrent myocardial ischemia (4, 9), is not exactly known (10). Additionally, the mechanisms of postreperfusion deaths may be different and...
manifested as the sudden death from life-threatening arrhythmia, death from cardiac rupture (CR), and death from progressive heart failure (PHF) (6, 11, 12). The prediction of postreperfusion mode of death is consequently underestimated clinical problem (12–14). The lack of an adequate or consistent classification of modes of deaths contributes to the current confusion in analyzing the causes of postreperfusion mortality also.

The rationale usage of different methods of statistical data analysis may be valuable in identification of clinical predictors (15, 16). The main objective of feature selection is obtaining a feature space with 1) low dimensionality, 2) retention of sufficient information, and 3) enhancement of separability in feature space, for example, in different categories by removing effects due to noisy features.

The aim of this study was to identify the possible predictors and sets of predictors of the postreperfusion mode of death using the distinctions in clinical characteristics of dead patients and survivors, treated by primary percutaneous coronary interventions (PCI) due to STEMI.

Material and methods
Study design
In this consecutive study, we tried to identify the informative clinical characteristics suitable for prediction of postreperfusion mode of death using the discrepancies in simple and complex clinical characteristics of 36 patients with STEMI, who died or survived inhospital period and underwent primary PCI in the Department of Cardiology of Kaunas University of Medicine during 2003. The patients’ circulatory status (heart rate, systolic and diastolic arterial blood pressure), electrocardiography data (QRS score, maximal ST-segment elevation and depression, and total ST dislocation [ST score]), angiography data (postreperfusion TIMI flow, coronary score), and popular complex prognostic characteristics – the TIMI risk score (17–19) and the TIMI risk index (20) – were assessed for each patient and compared between survivors and dead patients. The autopsy patients (n=18) were divided into 2 groups: those who died from PHF (group 1, n=13) and who died from CR (group 2, n=5). The control group consisted of the randomly selected survivors (group 3, n=18). The mode of death was verified by autopsy data in all the cases.

Patients’ selection criteria
The inclusion criteria for all dead patients and survivors were as follows: 1) typical chest pain longer than 30 min in duration; 2) ST-segment elevation of ≥1 mm (at the J point) in two or more contiguous leads on 12-lead ECG at admission. Treatment assignment was not randomized, and patients were treated with PCI by the judgment of the attending cardiologist based on availability of the catheterization team, presenting characteristics, and duration of symptoms. Exclusion criteria were as follows: concomitant valvular or myopathic heart disease, intraventricular conduction defects, and previous coronary artery bypass graft surgery. The written informed consent was obtained from all the cases before treatment.

Electrocardiogram evaluation
The serial 12-lead ECG, recorded at admission and after PCI, were analyzed using the following criteria: 1) a Selvester QRS score; 2) maximal ST-segment elevation and depression in mm in the target lead; and 3) a total ST dislocation (ST score).

The QRS score proposed and modified by Wagner and others (21–23) was calculated manually by two observers for each electrocardiogram.

The maximal ST-segment elevation and depression in mm were measured at the J point of ECG leads with maximal ST-segment elevation and depression. The total ST score was calculated as the arithmetic sum of ST-segment elevation and depression in each lead and taken as an average value for one of three standard LV regions (regional ST score). The relevant leads for the anterior region were V1 to V4; for lateral, I, aVL, V5, and V6; and for inferior, II and aVF. The sum of averaged ST-segment dislocations in all LV regions was defined as total ST score.

Technique of percutaneous coronary interventions
The primary PCI were performed using the brachial or femoral approach and the Judkins’ technique. Multiple-view coronary angiography was performed in all the patients. The degree of “culprit lesion” and coronary artery stenosis were defined as the greatest percentage reduction of luminal diameter in any view compared with the nearest normal segment (percent diameter stenosis) and was determined using the DSA computerized technique. The coronary score was calculated as the number of coronary artery segments with >50% stenosis. All patients undergoing balloon angioplasty were supplemented with stenting in cases of suboptimal PTCA results, which included dissection and/or residual “culprit lesion” stenosis of >30%.

Antegrade blood flow in the infarct artery was graded using the Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction (TIMI) scale (24).
Assessment of complex predictors

The TIMI risk score (19) based upon 10 various clinical risk indicators (age, diabetes mellitus, history of hypertension and angina pectoris, systolic blood pressure of <100 mm Hg, Killip class, heart rate, body weight of <67 kg, anterior ST elevation or left bundle branch block, time to reperfusion of >4 h) and TIMI risk index based on combination of a patient’s age, heart rate and systolic blood pressure at admission (20) were used for prediction of short-term mortality also.

Evaluation of autopsy data

Each autopsy report was reviewed for the following: 1) the premortem coronary thrombus, and 2) the presence of significant stenosis (25). The different cardiovascular causes and modes of deaths were defined according to autopsy findings. PHF was considered as the cause of death in cases of occluded or patent infarct-related artery and typical changes of circulatory congestion in the lungs and other organs. PHF was defined as the death from pump failure even if the terminal event was associated with an arrhythmia. CR was assessed as the cause of death by detection and localizing of a rupture, and by estimation of its length and the amount of blood in the pericardial sac.

Data analysis

A. Statistical analysis approach

Data were expressed as mean ± SD. Differences of values between patients’ groups were assessed with the one-way ANOVA followed by a post hoc Bonferroni t test analysis. Parameters before and after PCI were tested using the t test for paired samples. P<0.05 was considered significant.

B. Machine learning approach

In our study, we decided not to limit to one approach in selection of informative features but to look at four of them. For selection of predictor subset, we used the method described in the book by Hall (1998) (26). In this book, the value of a subset of features is evaluated by considering the individual predictive ability of each feature along with the degree of redundancy among them. The features that are highly correlated with the outcome while having low intercorrelation were preferred. The best subset among others was selected by using two methods: genetic algorithm and backward greedy search. Also we used random decision trees (27) and linear support vector machines (15). A bootstrap procedure was used to stabilize the variable selection methods.

Results

Differences in baseline clinical characteristics

The baseline clinical characteristics are shown in Table 1. No significant differences in the initial ECG data were observed comparing all groups. More significant differences were recorded comparing circulatory characteristics among the groups. The initial

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical characteristic</th>
<th>Group 1 patients dead from PHF, n=13</th>
<th>Group 2 patients dead from CR, n=5</th>
<th>Group 3 survivors n=18</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Circulatory characteristics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAP, mm Hg</td>
<td>102.7±35.59</td>
<td>117.4±20.09</td>
<td>144.6±30.02</td>
<td>1 vs. 3, P=0.0007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2 vs. 3, P=0.035</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAP, mm Hg</td>
<td>61.4±23.99</td>
<td>82.2±14.28</td>
<td>88.4±16.16</td>
<td>1 vs. 3, P=0.0004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 vs. 2, P=0.045</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR, beats per min</td>
<td>89.8±32.18</td>
<td>86.8±34.33</td>
<td>79.9±12.84</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECG characteristics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QRS score</td>
<td>3.84±2.91</td>
<td>4.0±3.24</td>
<td>2.64±1.96</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total ST score</td>
<td>5.07±2.25</td>
<td>7.0±2.82</td>
<td>5.94±1.95</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximal ST↑, mm</td>
<td>3.7±2.46</td>
<td>5.4±3.28</td>
<td>3.5±1.76</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximal ST↓, mm</td>
<td>2.1±2.01</td>
<td>2.8±2.16</td>
<td>4.6±3.05</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronary angiography characteristics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIMI flow grade</td>
<td>0.0±0.0</td>
<td>0.2±0.44</td>
<td>0.55±0.92</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronary score</td>
<td>4.38±1.38</td>
<td>3.0±2.91</td>
<td>3.0±1.60</td>
<td>1 vs. 3, P=0.009</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data presented are mean value ± SD. CR, cardiac rupture; DAP, diastolic arterial pressure; HR, heart rate; Max ST↑, maximal ST elevation in mm; Max ST↓, maximal ST depression in mm; PHF, progressive heart failure; SAP, systolic arterial pressure; TIMI, Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction; NS, not significant.
systolic arterial pressure (SAP) in the survivors was higher than in the patients who died from PHF and CR ($P=0.0007$ and $P=0.035$). The initial diastolic arterial pressure (DAP) in the survivors and patients who died from CR was the similar; however, it was higher in the survivors than in the patients who died from PHF ($P=0.0004$). There was no difference in the coronary score (CS) between the survivors and patients dead from CR, but it was greater in the patients who died from PHF than survivors ($P=0.009$). There was no difference in the TIMI flow grade and HR comparing all the patients’ groups.

**Differences in postreperfusion characteristics**

The postreperfusion clinical characteristics are shown in Table 2. Evidently, postreperfusion characteristics showed more significant differences. Postreperfusion systolic and diastolic arterial pressures remained the lowest in the patients who died from PHF (group 1 vs. group 3, $P=0.00001$; group 2 vs. group 3, $P=0.0051$; and group 1 vs. group 2, $P=0.04$ and group 1 vs. group 3, $P=0.00001$; group 2 vs. group 3, $P=0.027$; and group 1 vs. group 2, $P=0.039$, respectively). Coronary reperfusion by TIMI flow grade was better in survivors than in patients who died from PHF or CR (group 1 vs. group 3, $P=0.0014$; group 2 vs. group 3, $P=0.0009$). The postreperfusion ECG characteristics, contrary to baseline ECG characteristics, revealed a significant difference in ST score between both the groups of dead patients. The postreperfusion ST score in the patients dead from CR (5.8±3.11) was higher as compared with patients dead from PHF (3.46±1.66; $P=0.0025$) and control patients (1.61±1.09; $P=0.0001$). However, no changes in maximal ST↑ or ST↓ were seen between both the groups of dead patients; the maximal ST↑ and ST↓ were significantly higher in the patients who died from PHF and CR, respectively, than in survivors ($P=0.0041$ and $P=0.0002$, respectively). No more differences in other parameters were seen comparing both the groups of dead patients.

**Perireperfusion clinical characteristics in different patients groups**

The periprocedural changes in the circulatory characteristics (heart rate, systolic and diastolic arterial pressure), TIMI flow grade, and data of ECG were expressed by quantitative difference in the baseline and postreperfusion clinical characteristics. The circulatory characteristics showed no significant changes in all the groups during PCI. The significant changes in TIMI flow grades were equally expressed in all

---

### Table 2. Postreperfusion clinical characteristics of patients’ groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical characteristic</th>
<th>Group 1 patients dead from PHF, n=13</th>
<th>Group 2 patients dead from CR, n=5</th>
<th>Group 3 survivors n=18</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Circulatory characteristics</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAP, mm Hg</td>
<td>85.5±20.63</td>
<td>106.8±26.21</td>
<td>131.0±13.89</td>
<td>1 vs. 2, $P=0.04$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAP, mm Hg</td>
<td>53.8±18.83</td>
<td>72.8±20.47</td>
<td>85.1±8.79</td>
<td>1 vs. 3, $P=0.00001$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR, beats per min</td>
<td>116.8±38.17</td>
<td>100.4±37.95</td>
<td>79.5±14.21</td>
<td>1 vs. 3, $P=0.0003$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ECG characteristics</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QRS score</td>
<td>5.46±3.04</td>
<td>5.6±3.64</td>
<td>4.77±2.23</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST score</td>
<td>3.46±1.66</td>
<td>5.8±3.11</td>
<td>1.61±1.09</td>
<td>1 vs. 2, $P=0.0025$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max ST↑, mm</td>
<td>2.9±1.97</td>
<td>4.0±2.73</td>
<td>1.22±1.0</td>
<td>1 vs. 3, $P=0.0004$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max ST↓, mm</td>
<td>1.15±1.28</td>
<td>2.6±2.3</td>
<td>0.61±0.6</td>
<td>2 vs. 3, $P=0.0001$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postreperfusion flow in infarct related artery</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIMI flow grade</td>
<td>2.0±1.22</td>
<td>2.2±0.83</td>
<td>2.94±0.24</td>
<td>1 vs. 3, $P=0.0014$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2 vs. 3, $P=0.0009$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data presented are mean value ± SD. CR, cardiac rupture; DAP, diastolic arterial pressure; HR, heart rate; Max ST↑, maximal ST elevation in mm; Max ST↓, maximal ST depression in mm; PHF, progressive heart failure; SAP, systolic arterial pressure; TIMI, Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction; NS, not significant.
the groups \( (P<0.0001) \); however, a periprocedural resolution of ST score was mostly expressed in survivors \( (P<0.0001) \), less expressed in the group 1 \( (P=0.024) \), and in the patients who died from CR, there was no significant resolution at all. A statistically significant resolution of max ST↑ \( (P<0.0001) \) and max ST↓ \( (P=0.0001) \) was achieved in survivors only.

Table 3 shows significant perireperfusion changes in ΔST-segment comparing different groups. The difference in the total ST score was the highest in the control group, as the differences in max ST↑ and max ST↓ were the lowest in the patients who died from PHF and CR, respectively.

### The complex characteristics in different patients’ groups

The substantial difference in the complex predictors – the TIMI risk score and the TIMI risk index – was detected between both the groups of dead patients and survivors (Table 4); however, there were no differences in these parameters comparing both the groups of patients with different mode of death.

#### Table 3. Perireperfusion changes in ΔST-segment dislocation in all patients’ groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical characteristic</th>
<th>Group 1 patients dead from PHF, n=13</th>
<th>Group 2 patients dead from CR, n=5</th>
<th>Group 3 survivors n=18</th>
<th>( P ) value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total ΔST score, mm</td>
<td>1.6±1.8</td>
<td>1.2±1.3</td>
<td>4.2±2.3</td>
<td>1 vs. 3, ( P=0.0021 ) 2 vs. 3, ( P=0.01 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max ΔST↑, mm</td>
<td>0.7±1.43</td>
<td>1.4±1.14</td>
<td>2.3±1.8</td>
<td>1 vs. 3, ( P=0.025 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max ΔST↓, mm</td>
<td>0.9±1.4</td>
<td>0.2±1.3</td>
<td>1.7±1.36</td>
<td>2 vs. 3, ( P=0.04 )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data presented are mean value ± SD. Only the statistically significant differences are shown in this table. CR, cardiac rupture; PHF, progressive heart failure.

#### Table 4. TIMI risk score and TIMI risk index in dead patients and survivors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk stratification method</th>
<th>Group 1 patients dead from PHF, n=13</th>
<th>Group 2 patients dead from CR, n=5</th>
<th>Group 3 survivors n=18</th>
<th>( P ) value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TIMI Risk Score</td>
<td>9.76±2.35</td>
<td>9.0±2.44</td>
<td>5.0±2.05</td>
<td>1 vs. 3, ( P=0.00001 ) 2 vs. 3, ( P=0.0006 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIMI Risk Index</td>
<td>48.61±26.7</td>
<td>43.76±25.92</td>
<td>21.31±8.68</td>
<td>1 vs. 3, ( P=0.0002 ) 2 vs. 3, ( P=0.002 )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data presented are mean value ± SD. Only the statistically significant differences are shown in this table. TIMI, Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction.

#### Table 5. The most informative sets of characteristics (features) suitable for prognosis of in-hospital mode of death obtained using four different methods of feature selection (feature are presented in order of importance)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genetic algorithm</th>
<th>Greedy backward search</th>
<th>Random decision tree</th>
<th>Linear SVM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SAP initial</td>
<td>SAP initial</td>
<td>SAP postreperfusion</td>
<td>SAP postreperfusion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAP postreperfusion</td>
<td>SAP postreperfusion</td>
<td>DAP postreperfusion</td>
<td>ST score postreperfusion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAP initial</td>
<td>DAP initial</td>
<td>TIMI Risk Score</td>
<td>SAP initial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIMI Risk Index</td>
<td>TIMI Risk Index</td>
<td>DAP initial</td>
<td>TIMI SAP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max ST depression initial</td>
<td>TIMI Risk Index</td>
<td>Total ST score initial</td>
<td>TIMI Risk Score</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST score postreperfusion</td>
<td>Max ST depression initial</td>
<td>TIMI Risk Index</td>
<td>DAP postreperfusion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronary score</td>
<td>ST score postreperfusion</td>
<td>ST score postreperfusion</td>
<td>TIMI Risk Index</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DAP, diastolic arterial pressure; SAP, systolic arterial pressure; SVM, support vector machine.
hypertension with LV hypertrophy (1, 2, 9, 30), increased coronary injury score (10, 30, 31) are associated with increased general intrahospital mortality after RT. The circulatory disorders such as hypotension, sinus tachycardia, and left ventricle failure (7, 9, 10, 32) have been mentioned by other authors as more important predictors of early postreperfusion death. The present study dedicated for identification of clinical characteristics for the prediction of postreperfusion death is important in identification of the predictors of life-threatening complications, which determine the occurrence of death due to PHF, CR, or arrhythmia. Therefore, the specific distinctions in clinical characteristics of patients who died due to different pathologic mechanism and survivors could be informative. The prediction of a postreperfusion mode of death is underestimated, and it is an important clinical problem especially due to the existing opinion that early obtained and appreciated predictors usually enable to prevent of life-threatening complications and postreperfusion deaths (19, 20, 33, 34).

The possibilities for prediction of imminent CR in postinfarction patients have been studied by many authors previously (35–37). CR most commonly presents in elderly women with anterior STEMI and patients with the first STEMI, not preceded by angina. The primary PCI had a striking impact on reducing the incidence of ventricular septal rupture after AMI compared to elective PCI in patients who did not receive thrombolytic therapy. Advanced age, female gender, anterior infarction, and low body mass index had potentially increased the risk of this catastrophic complication after AMI in large population. However, the predictors of postreperfusion CR are not clear. Our experience confirms the opinion that successful coronary reopening of infarct-related artery assessed by TIMI flow grade is not so important for occurrence of CR as the insufficient adequacy of myocardial reperfusion expressed by ST resolution (35, 36). So, early and successful RT may be the most powerful determinant of the avoidance of this catastrophic complication after STEMI (38). The increased postreperfusion systolic and diastolic arterial pressure may be valuable for the occurrence of CR also. In our material, an increased coronary score was more common among patients who died from PHF (39).

According to our data, the complex risk predictors – the TIMI risk score and TIMI risk index – were less significant in the prediction of mode of death. The TIMI risk score derived from 10 initial clinical indicators (age, weight, heart rate, systolic blood pressure, Killip class, diabetes mellitus, hypertension, time to RT, ST↑) could be informative for the prediction of general early postreperfusion mortality after STEMI. The TIMI risk index had approximately the same prognostic value based on combination of three indicators (age, heart rate, and systolic arterial pressure). Other complex predictors used in the PURSUIT, PREDICT, and other trials (31) were not adequately tested for prediction of the postreperfusion mode of death.

The lack of an adequate or consistent classification of how patients die contributes to the current confusion over the predicting of the mode of death. A demand and propositions for more accurate classification are evident, because the postmortem examination is generally accepted as the gold standard for determining the real causes and mechanisms of death (40). A framework for the classification of the mode of death has been developed in two mortality studies: AIRE and NETWORK (25). Simon et al. (41) developed a new scheme for classifying cardiac death that defines 3 categories of underlying mechanism: primary arrhythmia, acute myocardial ischemia/infarction, and myocardial pump failure. It is possible, using these data, to classify the cardiac deaths not only based on death timing, but based on its mechanism (arrhythmia, ischemia, CR, PHF) (13, 14). In our study, we followed the classification, which provides a useful algorithm for classifying deaths by underlying mechanism. However, the correct assessment of death mechanism is dependent on the bias of the investigator, and we had difficulties with differentiation of modes of death also (14). After RT, the residual myocardial stunning, functional myocardial insufficiency, and PHF dominated in our study; however, by other authors, about 47% of deaths were classified as arrhythmic, 43% as ischemic, and 8% as due to PHF. Different interpretation of mode and cause of death worsens the identification of specific predictors of different modes of deaths. The optimized classification will minimize inconsistencies, but it does not solve the problem that the mechanism of death is difficult sometimes to assign (14), although diagnosis of death in many situations (reocclusions, reinfarctions, CR) is unchallenged.

The usage of different statistic approaches may be valuable for identification of predictors also. The machine learning methods in the evaluation of the most informative features sets were used in this study. Selection of informative features (characteristics) has been an active research area in statistics, machine learning and data mining communities. Feature selection
is the problem of choosing a small subset of features that ideally is necessary and sufficient to describe the target concept. There are several reasons for selecting only a subset of the features (characteristics):
1. It is cheaper to measure only a subset of variables;
2. Prediction accuracy might be improved through exclusion of irrelevant variables;
3. The predictor to be built is usually simpler and potentially faster when less input variables are used;
4. Knowing which variables are relevant can give insight into the nature of the prediction problem at hand (16).

When a number of features are comparatively high to a number of observations, the prognostic models and the informative feature subsets are very unstable. To reduce the number of features, we used not rough features but integrated indices (QRS score, ST score, TIMI risk index, TIMI risk score).

Simply the selection of the top-ranked features is not a good approach. A limitation of this approach is that the features could be correlated among themselves and does not form a good feature set. This suggests that redundancy of predictor set is one of the critical issues to consider.

The approaches for feature selection are very diverse and motivated by various theoretical arguments. In our study, we decided not to limit to one approach in selection of informative features but to look at four of them. For evaluation of feature subset, we used method described by Hall (26), where the value of a subset of attributes is evaluated by considering the individual predictive ability of each feature along with the degree of redundancy between them. The features that are highly correlated with the outcome while having low intercorrelation are preferred. The backward greedy search and genetic algorithm (42) show good results comparable to exhaustive search but requires significantly less computation resources. The recent developments in feature selection revealed that classification algorithms like random decision trees (27) and linear support vector machines (15) are also efficient methods of feature search.

To stabilize variable selection, we used a bootstrap procedure. The variable selection process was repeated with subsamples of the training data, and the union of the subsets of variables selected in the various bootstraps was taken as the final stable feature subset. This joint feature subset is at least as predictive as the best bootstrap subset.

**Limitations**

We had no possibility to include more patients and more clinical characteristics such as angiographic TIMI count or myocardial blush grade, angiographic perfusion score, and others. In many circumstances, it may be desirable to utilize more complex risk stratification systems. However, we have shown that sometimes simple predictors may be more effective in prediction of mode of death after RT than more complex risk assessment tools.

**Conclusions**

1. The popular complex prognostic criteria – TIMI risk score and TIMI risk index as postreperfusion TIMI flow grade – are informative for prediction of early general mortality, but not informative for prediction of the postreperfusion inhospital mode of death.
2. The high total ST score expressed in mm and absence of adequate ST resolution during reperfusion therapy could be used as a possible predictor for occurrence of inhospital cardiac rupture.
3. Low postreperfusion systolic and diastolic arterial pressures, which remained the same or decreased after reperfusion especially in combination with high coronary score, could be predictive for occurrence of death from progressive heart failure.

**Poreperfuzinių mirčių stacionariniu laikotarpiu patomechanizmo prognostinių kriterijų paieška sergantiesiems ūminių miokardo infarktu su ST pakilimu**
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Santrauka. Tyrimo tiklas. Remiantis mirusiųjų ir įsgyvenusiuų poreperfüzinių stacionarinių laikotarpį klinikinių charakteristikų skirtumais, rasti tinkamus klinikinius kriterijus poreperfüzinių mirčių stacionare patomechanizmui prognozuoti sergentiesių uminį ST elevacinio miokardio infarktu (STEMI).

Tyrimo medžiaga ir metodai. Šios nuoseklios imties tyrimas apima 36 ligonių, iš kurių 18 mirė nuo progresuojančio širdies nepakankamumo (1 grupė, n=13) arba širdies plūsмо (2 grupė, n=5) po skubios koronarinės intervensijos, atliktos dėl uminio STEMI. Kontrolinę grupę sudarė 18 asitikinimai atrinktinų pointervincenčių stacionarinių laikotarpių įsgyvenusiuų ligonių (3 grupė). Pradinis ir porekanalizacinis širdies susitraukimučių dažnis (ŞSD), arterinis sistolinis ir diastolinis spaudimai (ASS ir ADS), maksimalus ST pakilimas (maks. ST↑) ir nusiliedimas (maks. ST↓), suminis ST rodumo balais (ST score), TIMI tėkmė ir suminis vainikinių arterijų pažeidimo laipsnis kartu su periperfüzinius šių rodmenų skirtumaus – nustatyti kiekvienam tiriamajam. Papildomai apskaičiuoti ir kompleksiniai prognoziniai kriterijai, t. y. TIMI rizikos balai (TRB) ir TIMI rizikos indeksas (TRI). Duomenų analizė atlikta naudojant įprastinę statistinę analizę ir informatyvių kriterijų kompleksų atrinkimo metodiką, pagrįstą charakteristikų (požymių) atrinkimo metodais.

Rezultatai. Palyginus trijų liginio grupių elektrokaradiografinius ir hemodinaminis kriterijus, nustatyta, kad ryškiausia skirtumai tarp grupių įsryškėjo po reperfüzijos ir pagal jų periperfüziniuo pokyčio laipsnius. Kartu didžiūjo dalis požymių (priešperfüzinių ASS, ADS ir ŞSD; poreperfüzinių ASS, ADS, ST rodumo ir TIMI tėkmė bei periperfüzinius ST rodmo) patikimai skyreši, palyginus abi mirusiųjų grupes su įsgyvenusiais (kontrolinė grupė). Kompleksinių prognozinių kriterijų (TRB ir TRI) skirtumai buvo panašūs. Mažiausiai patikimų skirtumų nustatyta palyginus abi mirusiųjų grupes. Priešperfüzinius ADS (p=0,045), poreperfüzinius suminis ST rodmo (p=0,0025), ASS (p=0,04), ADS (p=0,03) buvo aukštesni mirusiųjų nuo širdies plūsimo. Poreperfüzinės ST balų rodmo ir ASS taip pat pailgė į informatyvių pasieninio kriterijų rinkinius, apskaičiuotos naudojant kėlis skaiciavimo mašinos apmokymo variantus.

Išvada. Remiantis poreperfüzinių suminių ST rodmenų ir arterinių sistolinio kraujo spaudo, galima prognozuoti ankstvyvosios poreperfüzinių mirties pobūdį arba patomechanizmą; pagal daugelį klinikinių požymių galima prognozuoti mirštamą poreperfüzinių laikotarpį stacionare.
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