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Abstract

Agent-based models are widely used for the simulation of systems from several domains (biology, economics, meteorology, etc). In biology agent-based models are very useful for predicting the social behaviour of systems; in particular they seem well adapted to model the behaviour of a cell population. In this paper an agent-based model, developed to study normal human keratinocytes (tissue cells), will be investigated. This kind of model exhibits probabilistic behaviour and the validation of simulation results is often done with a qualitative analysis by the experts (biologists). The main objective of this paper is to propose new variables and metrics that allow the comparison and a possible quantitative validation using numerical results from simulations.

1. Introduction

An agent-based computational model has been developed based on biological rules that govern the self-organization of normal human keratinocytes (NHK) [1]. This is the result of the combination of in vitro and in virtuo models used to explore the behaviour of NHK. The model helps to predict the dynamic multicellular morphogenesis of NHK and of a keratinocyte cell line (HaCat cells) under varying extracellular Ca++ concentrations. The model enables in virtuo exploration of the relative importance of biological rules and was used to test hypotheses in virtuo which were subsequently examined in vitro.

The agent-based model used in this work is composed of two parts: the agents, in this case the cells, and the environment, here being the culture dish in which the cells reside, along with the global concentration level of calcium.

Each cell is modelled as a non-deformable sphere of 20µm in diameter. Cells are capable of migration, proliferation and differentiation. The culture is modelled as a flat, square surface with “walls” and the dimensions are user-defined. For the purposes of the experiments, the basement was 500µm in each dimension with a wall height of 100µm. The exogenous calcium level was set to 1.3mM (physiological calcium level) but the model can be used for different calcium levels (i.e., 0.1mM for the study of the system with a low calcium level).

Results obtained from the model include the type of cell (stem, transit-amplifying (TA), committed or corneocyte) and their location. Each cell then performs specific rules associated with the cell cycle. Following this, cells decide whether to change to another cell type based on the differentiation rules in the model. Cells then execute their migration rules,
and finally execute physical rules. All rules are executed in the context of the agent’s own internal state and the states of the other cells around it.

The computational model allows the user to access several variables associated with each cell in the dish (position, type, number of intercellular bonds, etc) for each iteration \( k \). Mean cell cycle time and migration rate are scaled so that each time step in the model represents approximately 30 min in real time. For the purpose of this paper, the dynamical response of the spatial location of each cell was the main variable.

When a simulation is performed, the first observations that are available to the user are that individual cells can move in any direction from time \( k \) to time \( k+1 \) (Figure 2).

This kind of reaction generates a stochastic behaviour for the whole cell population.

The analysis of the development of spatial distribution in stochastic processes where both type and position of the objects is involved has not been extensively developed in the literature. This kind of study is an important and necessary procedure for the validation of these models. The spatiotemporal analysis is particularly relevant to the understanding of the development of properly structured and functional tissue in multi-cellular organisms.

Figure 2 shows that the same migration behaviour could be considered as different for several experiments if a classic spatial analysis (i.e. discretizing the dish with a 2D square grid) is used to study the spatial distribution of cells. A cell can migrate to any case around its original location, thus, if a square grid is used, an occupied case in a given experiment could be empty in another experiment.

Because of this effect, a circular approach, based on concentric circles around the centre of the dish \((x = 250, y = 250)\) will be introduced. In this particular analysis 12 circles with a radius variation of 30\(\mu\)m were employed (Figure 3).

![Figure 3. (a) Initial location of cells; (b) circular grid](image)

Once the grid is defined, the objective is to calculate several variables associated with the spatial behaviour of the cell population. The calculation of these variables is made in each ring of the circular grid.

2. Defining quantitative variables for comparison

The first variable to be calculated is the number of cells in each ring of the grid. This will give information about the spatial distribution of the cells. Two variables were selected to evaluate the migration of cells: positive migration and negative migration. Positive migration is the number of cells entering the ring and negative migration represents the number of cells leaving the ring. Finally the mitosis is calculated as the number of cells in the ring because of the division of cells. These effects will be discussed in more detail in the following sections.

2.1 Comparing the spatial distribution of cells

The spatial distribution can be evaluated as the variation of the total number of cells in each ring. With that objective in mind a vector with \( n \) rows \((n = \text{number of radii used in the circular grid})\) is built for each iteration \( k \):

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
R1 & NCell_i (1) \\
& \\
& M \\
& \\
Rn & NCell_i (n)
\end{bmatrix}
\]

(1)

2.2 Comparing Migration

The criterion to evaluate the migration of cells is observing the number of cells that move from ring “\( j \)” to ring “\( i \)”.

This behaviour can be achieved by filling
a square matrix where each row and column corresponds to each ring.

\[
\begin{align*}
R1 
&= \begin{bmatrix} Mig_{k} (1,1) & L & Mig_{k} (1,n) \\ \\
Mig_{k} & = & M \\
Rn & = & Mig_{k} (n,1) \\
\end{bmatrix}
\end{align*}
\]

The element \((i, j)\) of the \(Mig_{k}\) matrix for each iteration corresponds to the number of cells that migrate from ring “i” to ring “j”. Obviously the element \((i, i)\) is the number of cells that remain in the ring “i”. In general, there will be a transfer of cells from/to ring “i” to/from ring “i+1” and “i-1”, which means that a tridiagonal matrix is obtained at each iteration.

![Figure 4. Circular grid: evaluating Migration and Mitosis](image)

To calculate the total number of cells at time “k” in ring “i”, a simple equation based on migration (from and to ring “i”) and mitosis can be used.

\[
C_{i}^{k} = C_{i-1}^{k} + Min_{i-1}^{k} - Mout_{i-1}^{k} + Mit_{i-1}^{k}
\]  

(3)

Where \(C_{i}^{k}\) is the total number of cells in ring “i” at time \(k\), \(C_{i}^{k-1}\) is the total number of cells in ring “i” at time \(k-1\), \(Min_{i-1}^{k}\) is the number of cells that migrated from other rings to ring “i”, \(Mout_{i-1}^{k}\) is the number of cells that migrated from ring “i” to other rings and \(Mit_{i-1}^{k}\) is the number of new cells in ring “i” because of mitosis.

To compare migration the terms \(Min_{i}^{k}\) and \(Mout_{i}^{k}\) for each ring “i” can be evaluated. These terms are useful for calculating the “migratory” flow of cells; the objective is to evaluate the variation of the number of cells in ring “i” \(C_{i}^{k}\) because of \(Min_{i}^{k}\) and \(Mout_{i}^{k}\). To illustrate this effect assume that the total number of cells in ring “i” varies only because of the migration of cells from other rings,

\[
C_{i}^{k} = C_{i-1}^{k} + Min_{i-1}^{k}
\]

(4)

Alternatively the total number of cells varies only because of the migration of cells from ring “i” to other rings,

\[
\begin{align*}
C_{i}^{k} & = C_{i-1}^{k} - Mout_{k-1}^{i} \\
\end{align*}
\]

(5)

Equations (4) and (5) can be used to compare the migration in each ring. The positive migration in ring “i” \((PM_{i}^{k})\) can then be defined as:

\[
PM_{i}^{k} = PM_{i-1}^{k} + Min_{i-1}^{k}
\]

and the negative migration \(NM_{i}^{k}\) as:

\[
NM_{i}^{k} = NM_{i-1}^{k} - Mout_{k-1}^{i}
\]

(7)

The term \(Min_{i-1}^{k}\) corresponds to the sum of the elements \((i-1,i)\) and \((i-1,i)\) of matrix \(Mig_{k}^{i-1}\) (equation 8) and \(Mout_{k-1}^{i}\) corresponds to the sum of elements \((i,i+1)\) and \((i,i)\) of matrix \(Mig_{k}^{i-1}\) (equation 9).

\[
\begin{align*}
Min_{i-1}^{k} & = Mig_{k} (i+1,i) + Mig_{k} (i-1,i) \\
Mout_{k-1}^{i} & = Mig_{k} (i,i+1) + Mig_{k} (i,i) \\
\end{align*}
\]

(8)

(9)

### 2.3 Comparing Mitosis

The same circular grid can also be used to evaluate the mitosis of cells in the dish (Figure 3b). Equation (3) can be used to calculate the term associated with mitosis \((Mit_{i}^{k})\):

\[
Mit_{i}^{k} = C_{i}^{k} - C_{i-1}^{k} - Min_{i-1}^{k} + Mout_{i-1}^{k}
\]

(10)

This will yield a vector for each iteration, thus if there are “n” radii, \(Mit_{k}\) will have \(n\) components:

\[
Mit_{k} = \begin{bmatrix} M_{k}^{1} \\
M \\
M_{n}^{n} \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

(11)

Using a similar reasoning, a method to compare mitosis in each ring can be developed. This can be used to evaluate how the number of cells in ring “i” \((C_{i}^{k})\) increase because of mitosis occurring at time “k”. Assuming that the total number of cells in ring “i” \(C_{i}^{k}\) varies only because of mitosis in this ring, gives

\[
C_{i}^{k} = C_{i-1}^{k} + Mit_{i}^{k}
\]

(12)

Defining \(RMit_{i}^{k}\) as the Mitosis rate in ring “i”,

\[
RMit_{i}^{k} = C_{i}^{k} + Mit_{i}^{k}
\]

(13)

produces a variable which can be used to compare mitosis in different simulations.

### 2.4 Using statistics to compare results
As a first approach, the main objective of this paper is to propose accessible techniques to allow a quantitative comparison between the results from two (or several) simulations of the agent-based model. The purpose is to try to extend these methods for the validation of results of the agent-based model with experimental results obtained from the in vitro model. Results from two simulations using the same parameters and initial conditions can be compared using classical statistical techniques, i.e., linear regressions, etc. Future work could include the use of specialized tools for the statistical analysis of circular data [2,3]. With the variables proposed in this paper a dynamical evolution in time is obtained for the different radii of the grid, thus classical statistics can be used to compare this dynamical response from two simulations. The method to calculate the variables and a statistical analysis to compare simulation results are illustrated in the following example.

3. Example

In this example a comparison between the dynamical responses of two simulations is shown. In this case both simulations use the same initial positions of cells and parameters (calcium levels, etc). Simulations are generated using physiological calcium level (1.3 mM) and 8 initial cells in the dish with different spatial locations (Figure 3a). The spatial distribution, mitosis and migration are compared using the techniques proposed in sections above.

3.1 Spatial distribution

The vectors associated with the spatial distribution of cells from these two simulations (NCell) will be obtained. The main idea is to find this vector for each iteration observing if the number of cells in each ring is similar in both simulations. For example, when the NCell vector is calculated for iteration 1000, the following results are obtained:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Iteration</th>
<th>NCell1000 (Simulation 1)</th>
<th>NCell1000 (Simulation 2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>122</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>144</td>
<td>147</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To compare these vectors a linear regression can be applied, observing the number of cells in each ring. A regression factor (R) equal to 0.98 and a constant of the linear model (B; Y = BX) equal to 0.94 are obtained for this iteration.

![Figure 5. Spatial distribution: (a) Correlation factor, R; (b) Linear coefficient, B](image)

3.2 Migration

The migration of cells is evaluated using the circular grid of Figure 3b. Calculating the migration matrix at iteration 1000, the following results are obtained:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Iteration</th>
<th>Mig1000 (Simulation 1)</th>
<th>Mig1000 (Simulation 2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The migration of cells is evaluated using the circular grid of Figure 3b. Calculating the migration matrix at iteration 1000, the following results are obtained:
As mentioned before, the elements on the diagonal of matrices $M_{ik}$ are the number of cells that remain (from iteration $k-1$) in each ring. Thus, the evaluation of the positive migration is made by taking the elements of $M_{ik}$ in position $(i+1, i)$ and $(i-1, i)$ and the evaluation of the negative migration is made by taking the elements of $M_{ik}$ in position $(i, i-1)$ and $(i, i+1)$.

$$Min_{i-1} = M_{ik}(i+1,i) + M_{ik}(i-1,i)$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

$$Mout_{i-1} = M_{ik}(i,i+1) + M_{ik}(i,i-1)$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

For example, drawing the temporal evolution of the positive and the negative migration for rings 5 and 8 Figures 6 and 7 are obtained respectively.

Table 1. Linear regression for Positive migration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ring</th>
<th>Correlation Factor, R</th>
<th>Linear Factor, B (Y = BX)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.9897</td>
<td>0.7092</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.9977</td>
<td>1.0022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.9963</td>
<td>1.1100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.9961</td>
<td>1.0967</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.9961</td>
<td>1.0371</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.9998</td>
<td>0.9932</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.9988</td>
<td>1.0091</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.9995</td>
<td>1.1061</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.9990</td>
<td>1.2252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.9974</td>
<td>1.3236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.9984</td>
<td>1.0757</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.9867</td>
<td>0.7637</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Linear regression for Negative migration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ring</th>
<th>Correlation Factor, R</th>
<th>Linear Factor, B (Y = BX)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.9880</td>
<td>0.6248</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.9980</td>
<td>0.9864</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.9938</td>
<td>1.0393</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.9972</td>
<td>1.1836</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.9990</td>
<td>0.9935</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.9995</td>
<td>0.9687</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.9994</td>
<td>1.0292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.9997</td>
<td>1.0768</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.9996</td>
<td>1.2635</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.9994</td>
<td>1.1855</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.9966</td>
<td>1.1348</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.9935</td>
<td>1.5598</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.3 Mitosis

In this case, the evaluation of mitosis in each ring is made using the circular grid of Figure 3b and equation (13).

$$RMit_{i-1} = C_{i-1} + Mit_{i-1}$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

With this equation the mitosis rate for both simulations is obtained. Figure 8 shows an example of the evolution of mitosis in time for ring 5 and ring 8. Similar results are obtained for the other radii. Table 3 shows the values of the linear correlation ($R$ and $B$) between migration results of both simulations.

Table 3. Linear regression for Mitosis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ring</th>
<th>Correlation Factor, R</th>
<th>Linear Factor, B (Y = BX)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.9915</td>
<td>0.8282</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.9969</td>
<td>0.92969</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.9984</td>
<td>0.8656</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4. Monte Carlo Simulations

Because of the probabilistic behaviour of the agent-based model used to simulate the behaviour of NHK, there is a possibility of obtaining different responses for two simulations (using the same set of parameters). A good method which allows the evaluation of the behaviour of a random process is Monte Carlo Simulations.

Monte Carlo (MC) methods are statistical simulation methods, using random numbers to give approximate solutions to mathematical problems. Developed by Neumann [4], Ulam [5] and Metropolis [6] during World War Two, this method has been used to model a large variety of problems, from the estimation of pi [8] to immune system simulation [9,10].

In the particular case of this work MC simulations are used to observe the behaviour of the agent-based model. The procedure consisted of running 100 simulations of the model. For each simulation a set of random positions \((x, y)\) with a normal distribution were generated. After each simulation a dynamic response of the variables defined to evaluate the spatial behaviour of cells (number of cells, positive and negative migration and mitosis) was obtained. Finally the results of the 100 simulation were analysed using statistical tools.

### Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Simulation</th>
<th>Number of Cells</th>
<th>Negative Migration</th>
<th>Positive Migration</th>
<th>Mitosis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.9991</td>
<td>1.1706</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.9992</td>
<td>0.96496</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.9997</td>
<td>1.0133</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.9998</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.98894</td>
<td>0.99933</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.99962</td>
<td>1.1045</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.9971</td>
<td>1.0419</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.99441</td>
<td>1.1697</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.92399</td>
<td>3.0375</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.1 Analyzing the Monte Carlo Simulation Results

Classical statistics can be used to interpret the results of Monte Carlo simulations. The main idea is to calculate some statistical variables for the evaluation and testing of the model. In this paper, classical tools have been selected to evaluate the dynamic simulation results. The main objective of this statistical analysis is to determine whether similar behaviours are obtained for several simulations under similar conditions and parameter values.

In this case, the mean value, standard deviation and confidence intervals were calculated for each iteration. After these calculations a “mean” dynamical response is obtained for each variable with additional information about the temporal evolution of the confidence intervals. Additionally to evaluate the probability of obtaining similar final values of the simulations a probability density function (pdf) was calculated at each radius.

Figures 10 and 11 show the simulation results, the mean value and the confidence intervals for several radii in the grid. Figure 12 shows the normal pdf for the final values of number of cells, negative migration, positive migration and mitosis.

These results show similar behaviours for several simulations using similar conditions. The confidence intervals were consistent with the dynamical behaviour of the cell population: a more random behaviour is obtained in small areas (i.e., the centre and the corner of the dish), thus larger confident intervals are obtained for these radii. However, the obtained normal pdf’s indicate that the results from simulations had the highest probability to be near the mean values.

![Ring in the grid](image)

**Figure 9.** Block diagram for the MC simulations
5. Conclusions

This work is a first approach for the spatiotemporal analysis of an agent-based model of NHK. This analysis represents an important contribution for the study of the spatial distribution in stochastic processes where both type and position of the objects is involved. This kind of study is an important and necessary procedure for the validation of these models. A good method for the spatiotemporal analysis is particularly relevant to the understanding of the development of properly structured and functional tissue in multicellular organisms.

The new variables defined in this paper allow more spatiotemporal information to be obtained from the computational model of NHK; these variables represent useful measurements in quantitative comparisons.

The methods developed, allow a quantitative comparison of two simulations with respect to the spatial distribution of cells, how the cells move in the dish (migration) and the reproduction of cells (mitosis) using classical statistics methods.

The spatial distribution has been compared by building a vector for each iteration with the number of cells in each ring of the grid. Thus, a linear regression can be calculated and a temporal evolution of the correlation factors can be obtained.

Migration has been compared by observing how cells move from one ring to another. In this case, the “positive” and the “negative” migration can be compared in each ring for the total simulation time. Mitosis is compared using a method very similar to the migration method and simulations can be compared using a “Mitosis rate”.

In this work the behaviour of cells was analysed using the same calcium level (physiological calcium level). However, the calcium level is a parameter which can have a large influence on the behaviour of the cell population [11]. Future research will include similar spatiotemporal analysis concerning the variation of this parameter and the influence on the dynamical response of the agent-based model.

Algorithms are being formulated in Matlab [12] for application in the analysis and comparison of data from different models and/or different experiments. The results obtained from this work are encouraging and it is expected that they will be useful in comparing experimental results from an accurate cell tracking system which is currently under development as part of the Epitheliome project [13].
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