SORPTION PROPERTIES OF Cd, Cu, Pb AND Zn IN SOILS WITH SMECTITIC CLAY MINERALOGY
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Abstract: Evaluation of the effect of soil properties on metals’ sorption in soils is a highly complicated task due to the large heterogeneity of factors affecting this process. To lower this heterogeneity, Cd, Cu, Pb and Zn sorption properties of three acidic and three alkaline smectitic soils were studied in single element and competitive batch sorption experiments, and the sorption capacities of the soils were related to their major properties. Higher sorption of Pb and Cu than Cd and Zn was found also in smectitic soils, and this difference was increased by competition. In acidic soils, organic matter and smectites provides the sorption sites for Pb and Cu primarily, whereas precipitation of Pb as carbonate and sorption of Cu on soil organic matter is characteristic at alkaline conditions. Contrarily, Cd and Zn showed the highest affinity to smectites primarily if they are accumulated together with iron-oxyhydroxides. Processes affecting the shape of the sorption cure may result to misleading sorption capacity values, so an additional parameter describing the sorption of a given metal directly should be involved in the data evaluation. Cadmium and Zn may pose a higher risk to the environment than Pb and Cu in case of their release to the soil. However, accumulation of smectites together with iron may significantly decrease this risk. Elimination of one single variable was not enough to get a much deeper insight into soil-metal interaction, although several advantageous characteristics of smectites could be confirmed regarding metal sorption in soils.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Heavy metals are natural components of soils. Their main source is rock mineral weathering and their concentrations remain mostly below toxic levels in uncontaminated soils. The study of their sorption characteristics in soils is of primary importance both from agricultural and environmental point of view, as some of them are essential trace elements (such as Cu and Zn) while others are among the most hazardous metal contaminants (such as Cd and Pb). Anthropogenic activities associated with agriculture, industry, vehicular traffic and mining resulted in the incorporation of increased amounts of such metals from both groups. They are believed to be easily accumulated in the topsoil, resulting in the potential of toxicity to living organisms (Alloway, 2013).

The soil solution concentration, and hence the bioavailability and toxicity of these metals, are controlled mostly by sorption-desorption reactions on the surface of the soil colloidal materials. Batch equilibrium techniques are primarily used to study the retention of metals in soils. In this case, the sorption data can be described by sorption curves and their analysis provides information about the retention capacity and the strength with which the sorbate is held on to the soil (Morera et al., 2001). Quantification of sorption capacity of soils should play a very important role in all discussions related to soil contaminant loadings, buffering capacities and critical loads of potentially toxic materials (Fontes & Gomes, 2003).

Metals’ sorption by soils depends on several factors such as the nature of organic and mineral soil constituents, the composition of the soil solution as well as the soil pH and Eh (McLaughlin et al., 2000). As long as these latter factors may show significant temporal variation even within a very short time (Szalai, 2008), soil mineral components can be regarded as relatively stable soil characteristics (Turpault et al., 2008).
A general observation is that soil metal sorption increases with decreasing particle size (Madzhieva et al., 2014). This has been attributed to the enrichment of soil components with high surface area, like clay minerals, Fe-Mn oxides and organic matter, in the small particle size fractions of soils (Sparks, 2002). However, significantly different sorption behavior of metals (for Pb and Cu) was found by Sipos (2010) when sorption characteristics of bulk soils and their clay fractions were compared. Enrichment in the amount of swelling clay minerals in clay fractions affected the sorption of Cu in much higher degree than for Pb, although their sorption behavior in the bulk soils suggested the higher affinity of Pb for clay surfaces. This suggests that evaluation of the effect of soil components on metals’ sorption in soils is a highly complicated task due to the large heterogeneity of the major soil components affecting this process.

Among clay minerals, the highest sorption capacity for metals has been observed for smectites (Uddin, 2017). It is also supported by several studies that soils with significant smectite content show higher sorption capacities for metals than those containing other clay mineral species (Serrano et al., 2005). Although there are many studies on the sorption of heavy metals by soils in general, their clay mineralogy is rarely characterized in details. Additionally, comparative studies on sorption capacities of soils characterized by a single clay mineral species dominantly are scarce, although they are expected to reduce the heterogeneity of parameters to evaluate. The aim of this study was to fill this gap through the study of Cd, Cu, Pb and Zn sorption capacities of six soils with highly variable properties except clay mineralogy which is dominated by smectite.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Three acidic and three alkaline soil samples were selected for the sorption experiments based on the OECD Guideline No. 106 (2000). Accordingly, the studied soils show high variation in their pH, organic carbon and clay content, as well as in their texture. The common mineralogical feature is that their dominant clay mineral species is smectite, except sample S3 where it is chlorite. The major physico-chemical properties of the soils are summarized in Table 1.

Soil pH was analysed in 0.1M CaCl₂ solution using 1:2.5 solid:solution ratio by a Radelkis OP 211 analyser. Total organic carbon content was analysed by a Tekmar-Dohrmann Apollo 9000N TOC instrument. The BET surface area was determined using a Quantochrome Autosorb-1-MPV automated gas sorption system using N₂ gas. The cation exchange capacity (CEC) of the samples was determined using the hexamine-cobalt-trichloride solution (ISO 23470:007 standard method). Particle size distribution of the samples was analysed by a Fritsch Analysette Microtech A22 laser diffraction instrument.

Clay minerals were identified from the clay fractions of bulk samples by XRD analyses (Philips PW 1729) obtained from parallel-oriented specimens. Separation of the clay fractions were performed by sedimentation in aqueous suspension. The following diagnostic treatments were carried out for all of the samples: ethylene glycol solvation at 60°C overnight, Mg saturation followed by glycerol solvation at 95°C overnight, K saturation, heating on 350 and 550°C for 2 hours.

Total Fe, Cd, Cu, Pb and Zn content of the samples were determined by ICP-MS (Perkin Elmer Elan 9000) analyses after 4-acid digestion as follows. A 0.25 g split of soil was heated in HNO₃-HClO₄-HF to fuming and taken to dryness. The residue was dissolved in HCl. The quality of the analyses has been checked by the study of a standard reference material (OREAS45E). Analysed and expected concentrations are 4.63 and 4.45% for Fe, 0.18 and 0.20 mg/kg for Cd, 52 and 44 mg/kg for Cu, 18 and 22 mg/kg for Pb, 47 and 44 mg/kg for Zn. Free iron oxide content of the samples were determined by dithionite-citrate-bicarbonate dissolution after the method of Mehra and Jackson (1960). Extracted iron concentration was analysed by atomic absorption spectrometry (Perkin Elmer A Analyst 300).

Table 1. The major physico-chemical properties of the studied soil samples.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Soil type</th>
<th>Horizon</th>
<th>pH (CaCl₂)</th>
<th>TOC (%)</th>
<th>BET-surface (m²/g)</th>
<th>CEC (cmol/kg)</th>
<th>Fe₀ (%)</th>
<th>Fe₉ (%)</th>
<th>Clay (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S1</td>
<td>Luvisol</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>4.61</td>
<td>4.99</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>3.03</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2</td>
<td>Luvisol</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>4.28</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>4.03</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>19.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S3</td>
<td>Luvisol</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>3.31</td>
<td>3.74</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1</td>
<td>Phaeozem</td>
<td>A2</td>
<td>7.65</td>
<td>3.69</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>20.9</td>
<td>4.52</td>
<td>2.84</td>
<td>37.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2</td>
<td>Phaeozem</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>8.10</td>
<td>2.72</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>14.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3</td>
<td>Phaeozem</td>
<td>C2</td>
<td>7.93</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>4.45</td>
<td>2.69</td>
<td>18.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TOC = total organic carbon, CEC = cation exchange capacity, t = total element content, d = dithionite extractable element content.
Single element and competitive batch sorption experiments were carried out in duplicates. In polypropylene centrifuge tubes of 50 ml, 1 g soil sample was mixed with 30 mL solution containing various concentrations (0.1, 0.2, 0.5, 1, 2, 5 and 10 mmol/l) of Cd, Cu, Pb and Zn in form of nitrates and 0.01 M Ca(NO₃)₂ as background electrolyte. The initial pH of each solution was set to 5.5 to avoid metal hydroxide precipitation (Vidal et al., 2009). Soil samples were equilibrated with the solutions by shaking them for 24 hours at 22°C. After that, they were centrifuged at 4000 rpm for 20 minutes and the supernatant was filtered and analysed for concentrations of the studied metals.

Concentrations of Cd, Cu, Pb and Zn in the equilibrated solutions of the sorption experiments were analyses by atomic absorption spectrometry (Perkin Elmer AAnalyst 300). The relative standard deviations of the duplicate analyses are less than 5% for each metal at equilibrium concentrations above 100 mg/l and never reached 10% for Cd and Zn at lower concentrations. For Cu and Pb, it was always less than 10% and 30% at equilibrium concentrations below 100 and 0.1 mg/l, respectively. The amount of metals adsorbed by the soil samples were calculated using the equation (1):

\[
Q_e = \frac{(C_i - C_e)V}{W} \quad (1)
\]

where \(Q_e\) is the sorbed metal amount per unit weight of the soil (mmol/kg), \(C_e\) is the equilibrium metal concentration in the solution (mmol/l), \(C_i\) is the initial metal concentration in the solution (mmol/l), \(V\) is the volume of the solution (ml) and \(W\) is the weight of the air-dried soil (g). The Langmuir isotherm equation was used to describe the adsorption of the studied metals from the solution onto the studied soils samples. Its non-linear form can be expressed as

\[
Q_e = \frac{Q_{max} \cdot b \cdot C_e}{1 + b \cdot C_e} \quad (2)
\]

where \(Q_{max}\) is the maximum sorption capacity of the solid (mmol/kg) and \(b\) represents the Langmuir bonding term related to the adsorption energy (l/kg). Isotherm model parameters were obtained using non-linear regression analysis. The coefficient of determination \((R^2)\) was used to evaluate the applicability of the Langmuir isotherm to the experimental data by a trial-and-error procedure with the Solver add-in function of Microsoft Excel software.

The relative percentage change or relative sorption capacity (RSC) was also used to compare the metal sorption capacity of the samples. The RSC value can be calculated by taking the percentile ratio of the equilibrium and initial metal concentrations (Xiong et al., 2005).

Major physico-chemical properties of the soil samples as well as the \(Q_{max}\) and RSC values as characteristic parameters of metal sorption in soils were related by statistical analysis. Hierarchical cluster analysis using Ward’s method and squared Euclidean distance was performed using the MS Excel add-in Multibase package (Numerical Dynamics, Japan).

3. RESULTS

3.1. Sorption curve evaluation

The shape of the sorption curve may provide information about the major immobilization processes. Large differences were found between the sorption curves of the studied metals in acidic and alkaline soils (Fig. 1). According to the classification of Giles et al. (1974), the most frequent curve type is the L1 in the acidic soils for each studied metal. This means that no saturation plateau is reached for the Langmuir monolayer in most of these cases. However, Cu in the samples with lower clay content (S1 and S3) and Pb in the sample with the highest clay content (S2) reach the saturation.

On the other hand, further exceptions are Cd and Zn in the sample S3 where they showed C type sorption curve suggesting low affinity to this sample. Moreover, sorption curves of Zn can be characterized by a maximum (Lmax) in competitive situation in the samples S1 and S3 suggesting the increasing role of competition with increasing metal concentrations. In the alkaline samples, however, H1 type sorption curves were found for each metal in single element situation, with complete retention for Cu and Pb in the whole range of initial metal concentrations. In competitive situation, also H type curves were observed as shown by the RSC values of 100% at low initial metal concentrations. Exception of Pb, each studied metals showed also saturation in competitive situation in these samples. This is completed by a maximum for Cd in the sample with lowest clay content (C2) and for Zn in all alkaline samples which can be related to both increasing competition and the decrease of solution pH as sorption progresses.

Plotting the relative sorption capacity (RSC) in the function of initial metal concentrations provides also a useful tool to compare the sorption behaviour of the metals (Fig. 2). The RSC values decreased as initial metal concentration increased in most of the cases. However, there were found large differences among the behaviour of the studied metals which was significantly affected both by the competitive situation and the soil properties.
The most conspicuous phenomenon was the higher relative sorption capacity of soils for Pb and Cu than for Cd and Zn. This difference is primarily characteristic of the low initial metal concentrations in the acidic samples and it decreases as the initial metal concentration increases. In the alkaline samples, however, this difference increases with the initial metal concentrations and even constant RSC values (around 100%) could be found for Cu and Pb in for the whole metal concentration range at single element conditions.

In the acidic samples, the RSC values decreases in the order of S1, S2 and S3 at single element conditions for each metals. In competition, however, these differences disappear at the highest initial metal concentrations for Cd and Zn, and this is the case for Pb and Cu for the samples S2 and S3. The difference between the behaviour of metals in single element and competitive situations is more expressed in the alkaline samples. The competitive situation affects the sorption of metals more significantly which is shown by the higher decrease of the RSC values.

Additionally, differences cannot be made among the sorption behaviour of Cu and Pb in the alkaline samples at single element and that of Cd and Zn at competitive situation. The previous one can be related to the fact that Cu and Pb show complete retention at the whole initial metal concentration range in single element situation; however, competition results in differentiation of their sorption in the alkaline samples with the highest affinity for the sample with highest TOC and clay content (C1) for Cu and for those with highest pH (C2 and C3) for Pb.
On the contrary, competition resulted in very similar sorption behaviour for Cd and Zn in all three alkaline samples, whereas large and slight differences were found for Cd and Zn, respectively, in single element situation for these samples. Highest RSC values were found for Cd in the sample C1 followed by the samples C2 and C3 which may be related to their decreasing TOC content. For Zn, however, RSC values decrease in the order of C1, C3 and C2 suggesting close relation to the clay content and pH of the samples.

As Pb and Cu show higher decrease in the RSC values with increasing initial metal concentrations than Cd and Zn, the RSC values at the highest initial metal concentrations can be very similar primarily for Cu and Cd although their RSC values show large differences at lower initial metal concentrations. This is primarily characteristic of the acidic soils samples both at single element and competitive situations. This phenomenon may result in similar Langmuir Q_max values for these two metals in these cases although Cd exhibits lower affinity to soils than Cu obviously.

3.2. Fit of the Langmuir isotherms to the experimental data

The fit of the Langmuir isotherms to the experimental data points are generally excellent, the R^2 value is higher than 0.93 in the two-thirds of the cases (Table 2). In the case of certain sorption curve type, however the goodness of fit declines to varying degree. These are the curves showing a maximum (Zn in the samples S3, C2 and C3) where lower fits were observed although the R^2 values are still higher than 0.7.
R2 values between 0.51-0.73. This can be due to the goodness of fit shows much higher decline resulting element Pb and Cu sorption in alkaline samples) the whole initial concentration range (for single competition significantly show generally very good characteristic in this case. Sorption curves of metals which are not affected either by precipitation or by competition significantly show generally very good fit to the Langmuir isotherms.

Additionally, Cd generally shows much lower immobilization still correctly. On the other hand, Qmax values may be slightly underestimated when calculated from fitting to data forming curves with a maximum. This is the case for Zn and Cd in certain samples as well as in competitive situation. Additionally, Cd generally shows much lower decrease in its relative sorption capacity when compared to Cu (and Pb) at higher initial metal concentrations resulting in a similar or higher Qmax value for it than to Cu, although Cd can be characterized by a much lower sorption than Cu in a wide initial concentration range as shown by its RSCav.

For curves which show complete sorption in the whole initial concentration range (for single element Pb and Cu sorption in alkaline samples) the goodness of fit shows much higher decline resulting R2 values between 0.51-0.73. This can be due to the fact that small fluctuations (equal to a few hundredth percent of the sorbed amounts) in the experimental data may significantly affect the goodness of fit of the practically vertical sorption curve. Such a small fluctuations in the experimental values are within the standard deviation of the analyses. Consequently, sorption curves for the acidic samples show generally good fit to the Langmuir isotherms, whereas precipitation of certain metals in the alkaline samples results in a significant decline of the fit and in a lowering of the reliability of the estimated Langmuir parameters. Additionally, the fit is generally better in competitive than in single element situations which can be related to the lower importance of precipitation although curves with a maximum are much more characteristic in this case. Sorption curves of metals which are not affected either by precipitation or by competition significantly show generally very good fit to the Langmuir isotherms.

3.3. Langmuir maximum sorption and relative sorption capacities

The maximum monolayer coverage (Qmax) values calculated from the Langmuir curves fitted to the experimental data and the average relative sorption capacity values (RSCav) are summarized in Table 2. In the alkaline samples, Pb and Cu often show a vertical sorption curve. The calculated Qmax values show very high sorption capacities in these cases and the reliability of such data is lower as mentioned above, although they suggest very high immobilization still correctly. On the other hand, Qmax values may be slightly underestimated when calculated from fitting to data forming curves with a maximum.

### Table 2. Results of the sorption curve evaluation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Metal</th>
<th>Single element</th>
<th>Competitive</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Qmax</td>
<td>b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S1</td>
<td>Cd</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>1.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2</td>
<td>Cd</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>1.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S3</td>
<td>Cd</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1</td>
<td>Cd</td>
<td>286</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>711</td>
<td>233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>395</td>
<td>611</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2</td>
<td>Cd</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>778</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>454</td>
<td>315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3</td>
<td>Cd</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>1492</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>506</td>
<td>343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Qmax = Langmuir maximum monolayer coverage (mmol/kg), b = Langmuir bonding term (l/kg), RSCav = average relative sorption capacity (%).
values. Based on the above, exact Qmax values provide misleading information sometimes on the rate of sorption in the studied samples. Thus, Qmax and RSCav values are suggested to be used together for the evaluation of the sorption properties of soils (Xiong et al., 2005), as the latter ones directly show the metal amounts immobilized by the given soil sample. Otherwise, the Qmax and RSCav values show strong linear correlation both in single element ($R^2 = 0.70$) and competitive situations ($R^2 = 0.73$). However, it can be characterized by a significant decline for the acidic samples at single element situation ($R^2 = 0.31$) which can be due to the overestimation of the Qmax data for Cd as compared to its RSCav values. This observation is also shown up in the affinity sequences of the studied metals. If the RSCav values are studied, the Pb > Cu > Cd > Zn affinity sequence can be defined, except for sample C3 (the one with the lowest TOC content) at single element conditions, where Zn (86%) show higher RSCav values than Cd (82%). On the contrary, affinity sequences based on the Qmax values show significantly different features as Cd mostly exhibit higher Qmax values than Cu in the acidic samples both in single element and competitive situation (except in sample S1 with the highest TOC content at competitive situation), and it can be characterized by the highest Qmax values in the most acidic samples (S2 and S3) at single element situation. Additionally, Cu showed slightly higher Qmax values than Pb in the alkaline samples, and also Zn showed higher values than Cd in the most alkaline (C2 and C3) samples at single element situation.

As it was expected, higher metal sorption was found at single element than at competitive situation. The lowest difference was found for Pb, where 1.6 ± 0.3-times higher Qmax values were found on average at single element than at competitive situation. It is followed by Cu (5.6 ± 3.3), Cd (6.6 ± 2.8) and Zn (11.2 ± 3.9). Lower differences but the same sequence was found when the RSCav values were compared: 1.1 ± 0.1 for Pb, 1.2 ± 0.1 for Cu, 1.6 ± 0.2 for Cd, and 1.9 ± 0.5 for Zn. No significant differences were observed between the acidic and alkaline samples in this point of view, except for Cu which showed significantly higher ratios in the alkaline (8.4 ± 2.0) than in the acidic samples (2.8 ± 0.8). Also slight differences among the studied samples for Cd and Zn were found from this point of view, but this could not be related to the soil pH. The highest difference for Zn was found in the most acidic sample (S3) but it was also similarly high in all the alkaline samples. On the other hand, Cd showed similar ratios in most of the samples, except in the one with the highest CEC and Fed values (C1) where this ratio was twice as much as in the other samples. Finally, Pb exhibited very similar values in each studied sample.

### 3.4. Relationship between the sorption capacities and soil properties

As it was expected, the Qmax and RSCav values were generally higher in the alkaline than in the acidic soil samples for each metal. The RSCav values are 5-6-times higher for Zn and 4-5-times higher for Cd in the alkaline as compared to the acidic soils. On the contrary, these values are only 2.5 and 1.6-1.8-times higher for Cu and Pb, respectively. These data suggest a more marked increase in the sorption of Cd and Zn in alkaline soils when compared to Cu and Pb. This observation was also supported by the correlation analysis. A very close linear relationship was found between the soil pH and both the Qmax ($R^2 > 0.83$) and RSCav ($R^2 > 0.96$) values, except for the Qmax of Cd at single element situation. Also close linear relationship was found between the sorption capacities and CEC ($R^2 > 0.63$) and clay content ($R^2 > 0.60$) of the samples. Exceptions are Qmax values for Pb and RSCav values for Cu, where lower relationship was found to both variable and clay content, respectively. All other studied variables (TOC, BET-surface area, Fe$_4$, Fe$_6$) did not show linear relationship either with Qmax or with RSCav values.

These results show good agreement to that of the cluster analyses (Fig. 3). These latter ones were carried out on the whole data set and also separately on the data from single element and competitive experiments. Due to the small number of data and the complexity of potential relationships among soil properties and sorption capacities, slight contradictions could be observed only. When the Qmax values are compared to the soil properties, Cd and Zn showed closer relationship to all the studied soil properties than Cu and Pb, which is more pronounced at competitive situation. However, results do not allow to do clear differentiation among soil properties with respect to their relationship to Qmax values of Cd and/or Zn.

On the other hand, when the RSCav values are related to the soil properties, lower similarity between the studied variables could be found. The closer relationship of the RSCav values of Cd and Zn than that of Cu and Pb to the soil properties at competitive situation could be also observed in this case.

Cluster analyses also supported the observation on the different sorption behaviour of the metal-pairs of Cd-Zn and Cu-Pb in the studied soils. Moreover, Cd and Zn showed higher similarity to each other in their sorption properties than Cu and Pb.
4. DISCUSSION

The generally observed phenomenon that Pb and Cu can be characterized higher sorption than Cd and Zn was also found in the studied soils. The affinity sequence of the studied metals is as follows: Pb > Cu > Cd > Zn. It is primarily explained by the fact that sorption of the metals may depend on both electrostatic forces and on covalent bonding with available surfaces in the soil. Results of Sangiumsak & Punrattanasin, (2014) showed that high and quick leaching of metals with lower sorption (like that of Zn) suggests immobilization by non-specific adsorption whereas those with higher sorption (like Cu) are immobilized rather by specific adsorption. This behavior of metals can be related to their certain chemical properties like electronegativity, hydrolysis constants, ionic radius etc. (Zhang et al., 2012). These results are also in harmony with that of Baghenejad et al., (2016) who found that large amount of added Cd and Zn to soils may remain as soluble forms in the soil solution even at alkaline conditions that can intensify their uptake by plant roots or their leaching from soil profile and entrance to groundwater posing higher environmental risk than caused by Pb or Cu. In the sample with the lowest TOC content, however, Zn showed slightly higher affinity than Cd. Low TOC content in soil is expected to inhibit the sorption of Zn rather than Cd as suggested by several results (e.g. Wong et al., 2007). This sample, however, can be also characterized by relatively high smectite and Fed content and also alkaline pH causing favourable sorption for Zn than for Cd, primarily due to their smectite content and secondary to the potential presence of iron-oxyhydroxide coating on the soil (clay) particles (Helios-Rybicka & Wojcik, 2012).

For the correct characterization of the sorption capacity of a given soil, the Qmax and RSC values must be evaluated together. As long as Qmax values are significantly affected by the isotherm shape, RSC values show the rate of the sorption directly (Xiong et al., 2005). This may result in similar Qmax values for metals with different sorption curve types, although their RSC values may show large differences. This is, however, cannot be related to the goodness of fit of the Langmuir isotherms to the experimental data, rather to the effect of sorption process or conditions (like precipitation and competition) on the isotherm type. That is why exact Qmax values cannot be used to characterize the sorption capacity of a soil exclusively, although they show the trends of the sorption correctly. An example for that case is that of Cd with type 1 isotherm (no saturation), which may exhibit higher Qmax values than Cu with type 2 isotherm (close to saturation) in the acidic soils, although the relative sorption capacity of the latter metal is generally higher than that of the previous
one, except at the highest concentration level where similar rates were found. Vidal et al. (2009) also found that Cd is a competitive metal for Cu only if the initial concentration the previous metal is high enough, and for scenarios with a decrease in site selectivity for the target metal. This latter one is the case also for our acidic samples where the equilibrium pH values at the highest initial metal concentrations are around 3 and 4 suggesting low site selectivity for cationic metals (Violante et al., 2008). Contrarily, in the sample with highest TOC, Cu showed higher Qmax value than Cd in spite of the low pH showing the higher affinity of Cu to organic matter at acidic conditions. This is in harmony with the observations of Covelo et al., (2007) who found that Cu (and also Pb) shows higher sorption in soils with high organic matter content primarily due to the formation of metal complexes with organic matter whereas Cd is retained in exchangeable form and is more readily available.

The sorption behavior of metals was found to be expressed also by the effect of competition on their sorption capacities. Metals with higher sorption were found to be affected by the competition at lower rate than those with low sorption. They showed the inverse sequence as in case of their sorption affinity, e.g. the effect of competition decreased as follows: Zn > Cd > Cu > Pb. Vidal et al., (2009) studied the effect of competition on the sorption of metals pairs of the same elements as in our case. They found that the effect of competition was more evident for those metals which sorption is controlled by both inner (chemical bond) and outer-sphere (ion pair) mechanisms (like Cd and Zn). Although the effect of pH on that of the competition could be expected, this was the case only for Cu. Here, competition exhibited higher effect on the sorption of Cu at alkaline than at acidic soil conditions. This can be related to the appearance of precipitation as immobilization process at alkaline conditions, which is also a competitive process when free Pb is also available to form carbonates. Cao et al. (2003) showed that Pb-carbonate may be precipitated in neutral and alkaline soils when free carbonate is available and are stable in the range of pH 6-10. In contrast, Cu-carbonate precipitation can be also expected both in neutral and alkaline soils (Pozinovsky et al., 2007), but the chance of its formation decreases significantly with the increase of soil organic matter content (Rutkowska et al., 2013). This metal was found to be bound to soil organic matter also in highly calcareous soils dominantly (Jalali & Khanlari, 2008). Additionally, carbonates may be the most effective sorbents for metals, as found by Halmos et al., (2015) in alkaline sediments. For Zn, largest effect of competition was found not only at alkaline conditions but in the sample with lowest clay content and highest acidity. This can be due to the increasing sorption of metals with higher affinity (Pb, Cu and Cd) in the first case, as well as to the very low number of available sites at clay surfaces and increasing role of competition with H+ ions (besides the metals with higher sorption) in the second one, as shown by the earlier mentioned study of Vidal et al., (2009). Highest effect of the competition on Cd sorption was found in the soil with the highest clay content. Although it is an alkaline soil where higher sorption could be expected, the high competition can be also related to the increasing sorption of metals with higher affinity than that of Cd. These metals displace Cd from the sorption sites of highest affinity, e.g. those on soil organic material, and it will be not sorbed on clay minerals due to its lower affinity (Helios-Rybicka & Wojcik, 2012). Finally, no difference in the effect of competition on the sorption of Pb was found in the studied samples showing also the highest affinity of this metal to the studied soils even at competitive situation. This can be related to the fact that, among the studied metals, Pb can be characterized the most favorable electrochemical properties (like electronegativity, hydrolysis constants, softness) to form strong chemical bonding with most of the potential surfaces available in soil (Flogenac et al., 2007).

Higher sorption was expected in alkaline than in acidic soils as the amount of negative charge increases and the competition with H+ decreases with alkalinity which is favourable for the sorption of cationic elements (Bradl, 2004). The difference between the sorption in acidic and alkaline soils is higher for metals with lower sorption (e.g. Cd and Zn) as unfavourable conditions at acidic conditions reduce their sorption in soils, whereas metals with higher sorption may be still able to be adsorbed at higher degree. This observation is supported by the study of Serrano et al. (2009) who found that Pb retention on the surface hydroxyl groups occurred at a lower pH than that of Cd, suggesting that the interaction for Pb takes place at pH where Cd only interacts with exchange sites. In acidic soils, L1-type sorption curve is characteristic suggesting no saturation due to less favourable conditions. Exceptions are Cu and Pb in samples with high TOC and with high clay content suggesting the high affinity of these metals to these soil components. According to Vytopliliová et al., (2015), high organic matter content may compensate the inhibitory effect of low pH on the sorption of Cu and Pb in soils. Additionally, Pokrovsky et al., (2012) found that
bridging of Pb between montmorillonite and humic acid may be a potential sorption mechanism of this metal resulting in highly stable ternary complex in soils. So the high amount of smectite and organic matter in soil may result in high adsorption and saturation for both of these metals. On the contrary, Cd and Zn showed lower affinity (C-type curve) in the sample with highest acidity and low clay content suggesting strong competition with H⁺ ions and low number of preferred sorption sites (Vidal et al. 2009). At competitive situation, Zn exhibits generally a maximum in its sorption curves except in the samples with high clay content showing lower rate of competition with increasing clay content. The clay minerals appear to be important soil components controlling the mobility of Zn in soils (Proust, 2015). For example, results of Nachtegaal & Sparks (2004) showed that the complexation of Zn with the clay surface (kaolinite in this case) is thermodynamically favored over its adsorption onto goethite despite that Zn initially bonded to FeOH groups and the complex formation with kaolinite proceeded with aging only. In alkaline soils, however, very high affinities were found for all the studied metals (H-type curves). The almost complete studied metals (H-type curves). The almost complete retention of Pb and Cu at single element condition can be due to their precipitation as (hydroxy)carbonates on the one hand, and complexation with organic matter on the other, as discussed above. In case of competition, Cu exhibits complete retention in the sample with high clay and TOC content and Pb in samples with the highest pH, further showing the preliminary role of organic matter in Cu sorption at alkaline conditions (Jalali & Khanlari, 2008) and the increasing potential of Pb precipitation with increasing pH (Cao et al., 2003). In the other samples, their curves show saturation, but the role of precipitation in their immobilization still cannot be excluded. As mentioned earlier, the effect of competition is more expressed for metals with lower sorption, which is also shown by the sorption curves with a maximum for Cd in the sample with the lowest clay content and for Zn in all alkaline samples. No maximum in the sorption curve was found for Cd and Zn in the sample with high TOC and high clay content, which also shows their high affinities to these soil components. The statistical analysis of the data to show the relationship between sorption capacities and soil properties has been of low efficiency in our case due to the low number of data and the high complexity of soils with respect to components and potential conditions affecting the sorption process. Zhang et al., (2012) also observed that there are additional factors overshadowing the influence the key ones controlling metal sorption in soils primarily. This can be due to the fact that soils generally contain large variety components supporting available surfaces for metal immobilization processes through surface complexation and precipitation. Despite this disadvantage, different sorption behavior of the metals with high and low sorption, respectively, was also demonstrated by these analyses and some observations could be also confirmed by them. Besides the effect of soil pH, also soil CEC and clay content showed linear relationship with sorption capacities of Cd and Zn, as found also by others (Bradl, 2004). A slight contradiction arises if samples are evaluated separately as no relation between Cd sorption and the soil clay content could be observed, only for Zn. Although clay content could be closely related to the CEC of the soil, this latter one is not recovered from the clay content solely but also from oxyhydroxide minerals and soil organic matter, of which association may enhance their sorption properties (Sipos et al., 2009). Further soil variables (TOC, BET, Fe d) could not be related to the Qmax or RSC values of the studied metals. However, this does not mean the lack of the relationship necessarily. Interestingly, metals with lower sorption showed closer relationship with the studied soil properties than those with higher sorption, primarily at competitive situation. This can be explained by the phenomenon that Cu and Pb may occupy sites with different affinities, whereas Cd and Zn may be replaced from most of the sites and they are adsorbed only on those with the highest affinities (Vidal et al., 2009).

5. CONCLUSIONS

The higher sorption of Pb and Cu than that of Cd and Zn implies that the latter two metals may pose a higher risk to the environment in case of their release to the soil solution also in smectitic soils. Their sorption behavior is also affected by the competition stronger, resulting in an elevated risk even more. A kind of competition may arise also for Pb and Cu in calcareous soils where metal-precipitation occur as long as free carbonate is available, although Cu rather immobilized by soil organic matter at such conditions. Accumulation of clay minerals may significantly decrease the risk posed by Cd and Zn to the environment, primarily when smectites are associated with iron-oxyhydroxides. At acidic soil conditions, however, only sorption of Pb and Cu may be significant in case of high amount of organic matter and smectites. Occurrence of competition and precipitation during metal retention in soil also affect the shape of
sorption curves. Metal sorption capacities (like Langmuir Qmax values) calculated on the basis of such curves may result in the over- or under-estimation of the real sorption capacity of the soil. That is why a parameter directly showing the metal sorption in soil (like relative sorption capacity) should be also involved in the data evaluation.

The statistical analysis of the data to show the relationship between sorption capacities and soil properties was found to be of low efficiency in our case primarily due to the high complexity of soils with respect to components and potential conditions affecting the sorption process. Overshadowing effect of secondary factors may happen on the influence of key ones controlling metal sorption in soils. Consequently, elimination of one variable (variability of soil clay mineralogy in our case) is not enough to get a much deeper insight into soil-metal interaction, although several advantageous properties of smectites may be confirmed regarding metal sorption in soils.
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