

SOCIAL NETWORKS OF THE ITALIAN MAFIA; THE STRONG AND WEAK PARTS

Abstract

In this paper, it is argued that well-built, social network system has enabled the Mafia to reach a certain level of success through three main networks: members, local people, and politicians. I assert that the role of the executive power of the state has been partially supportive in this success. Moreover, this paper also concludes that to combat different Mafia groups, it is essential to know their strong and weak parts. Consequently, it is found that their well-built network system does not solely comprise of strong parts but that the weak parts also exist, albeit, that they have not yet played a defective role in the resolution of the Mafia. Therefore, this paper suggests that the illustration of both the strong and weak parts of these networks can have prominent and assisting role in the combat against the Mafia phenomenon in the future, either by strengthening the weak parts or by weakening the strong parts of its networks.

1. Introduction

The mafia phenomenon in Italy has been discussed widely from sociological, economical, psychological, etymological and political point of view whereas the social network system of the mafia from the approach of closed networks has not taken its place in the literature. Therefore, this paper aims to focus on the social network system of the different Mafia associations so as to fill the gap in the mafia studies. I need to mention the name of the prosecutor, Giovanni Falcone, who was murdered in 1992 by Sicilian Mafia (Cosa Nostra) as the source of inspiration of this paper through his words about the Mafia:¹ "We have to learn to think about the methods of Cosa Nostra calmly and with an open mind."² Hopefully, this paper tries to show a distinctive analysis on how different Mafia groups have built their methods through up-to-date networks, reaching a certain level of success. The main aim of this paper is not to show how to combat the Mafia by using its networks but to examine how they built their networks and illustrating the strong and weak parts of these networks in order to assist the fight against the Mafia.

In the first section, the paper emphasizes the theoretical and methodological reasoning of the paper. The paper highlights the social networks application to organized crimes, and finally, it explains the differential organizations theory of Matsueda³ which is used for this analytical and critical paper, before taking a further step and commencing the network analysis of the organized crimes in Italy.

In the second part, the notion is regarded firstly with the members of the Italian Mafia associations. This is necessary to comprehend what kind of formation they have, how they gain new members and build a system of recruitment, the importance of leadership, and their communicative and descriptive type of relationship. Moreover, *pentito* (Mafioso who are collaborated with justice) is explained as a concept of the weak part of these networks. To illustrate the argument, open and closed network system of Matsueda⁴ is used to define their

¹ Mafia is used as a term to refer to Italian organized crime. Different mafia groups Cosa Nostra (Sicily), the Camorra (Campania), the 'Ndrangheta (Calabria), and the Sacra Corona Unita (Apuglia).

² Diego Gambetta, "The Sicilian Mafia," *Five Books*, 6 October 2009, 6.

³ Ross L. Matsueda, "Differential social organization, collective action and crime," *Crime, Law, and Social Change* 46 (2006): 3-33.

⁴ Matsueda, "Differential social organization," 3-33.

network system after gaining a new member and in altering the type of relationship among the members.

In the third section, the main topic will be Italian Mafia groups' infiltration into the local people, how they have dominated the people in the local regions, and subordinated them on behalf of their own targets. To make the argument clear, historical link of the Mafia groups in rural and urban regions based on the economical, sociological, and cultural facts of these regions are presented as the remarkable points.

In the fourth section, Mafia groups' network with the politicians is the main concern of this part. In this section, the corrupted politicians and different Mafia groups' relationships are broadly described. In the next step, my argument suggests that there has never been consistent and cooperative commitment among politicians from the ruling and opposition parties in the fight against the Mafia. Furthermore, I assert that it is easy to break the relationship between the politicians and the Mafia groups. By using Matsueda's two strong closed networks and a weak tie figure⁵, I define this relationship as weak tie of the two closed strong bonds: the Mafia groups and the politicians.

In the fifth and the last part, the executive power of the state is analyzed in the consideration of its (dys)functionality and (in)effectiveness in the judicial and administrative divisions of the state. This is the last section as it signals that the owner of the relatively big success of the Mafia does not simply belong to the efforts of its members while building their networks but to the promotional and supportive influence of the half-functional administrative and justice system of the Italian state.

2- Matsueda's Theory of Social Networks in the Evaluation of Mafia Groups in Italy

Social networking theory has been the prominent grounding in many fields: social psychology, communications, political science, and so on. Apart from these fields, it has gained essential importance for the study of organized crimes. Moreover, a network perspective can be appraised as a social, political, and economic structure as lasting prototypes of the relational bonds between the actors.⁶ Thus, the denouncing of these bonds has critical importance for better comprehension of the workings of organized crimes like the Mafia groups in Italy, which have taken their power from social, political, and economical discourses.

It is argued that social networking does not only belong to the upper world but that it is exclusively observable in the underworld; in the system of organized crimes.⁷ In this social network of the Mafia, the communication networks have an essential place because social interactions are the main instruments of a social organization so communication networks constitute the critical elements of social structure of the organization.⁸ In accordance with these communication networks, the argument has gained popularity which states that the Mafia's main network systems are subordinated by three facts: kinship, patronage, and friendship.⁹ Additionally, these relationships, which are huge in number and variety, should

⁵ Matsueda, "Differential social organization," 15.

⁶ Jeffrey Scott McIlwain, "Organized Crime: A Social Network Approach," *Crime, Law & Social Change: An International Journal* 32 (Dec. 1999): 301-323.

⁷ McIlwain, "Organized Crime: A Social Network Approach," 310.

⁸ Charles W. Morris, ed., *Mind, Self, and Society*, George Herbert Mead 1934, (Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1968), 253.

⁹ Raimondo Catanzaro, *Men of Respect: A Social History of the Sicilian Mafia*, trans. R. Rosenthal, (New York: Free Press, 1992), 43.

be latent; each situation ought to be considered under their particular needs. Therefore, they have been master in binding those individuals in a traditional society. Similar to this, "a social network was viewed as a field of interaction that had neither units nor boundaries. It was formed by ties of friendship, kinship, and acquaintance partly inherited and partly constructed by the person himself or herself."¹⁰ These kinships, friendships, and networking structures of the Mafia phenomenon in Italy will be examined in the next sections within its members, local people, and politicians.

The role of Matsueda's noteworthy study¹¹ on social organization and crime in this paper could not be denied. His work on differential social organizations, collective action and crime is significantly important because it illustrates the conceptualization of an organization in favor of, and against crime as collective behavior. His figures, which are related with open and closed social networks, and local bridges linking two distinct closed networks, have been influential on my interpretation of the Mafia's social networks within its members, local people, and politicians, respectively. Two main reasons explain the preference for Matsueda's approach to scrutinize my arguments; first, this is the most appropriate orientation for understanding the Mafia's complex relationship background in Italy because it prioritizes goal-focused organizations like the Mafia. Secondly, Matsueda prioritizes collective behavior, which is pivotal for the analysis of secretly bonded informal structure like the Mafia. Therefore, his theoretical framework sets the tone for this paper. Replication of the Matsueda's figures while analyzing the social networks is the main methodological reasoning in the paper. Nonetheless, this paper will hopefully bridge the gap in the academic field of Mafia research; where no evaluations exists of the Mafia phenomenon in Italy that takes into account its social networks and relations with members, local people, and politicians altogether.

3. Italian Mafia and Its Members

In this part, the regulation of the affairs of the Mafiosi in different Mafia groups and the network systems among their members are explored. Firstly, the importance of the concepts, which make these bonds stronger, is examined, and further, the meaning of these rules and conducts are evaluated from the point of view of different Mafia associations like the Sicilian Cosa Nostra (Our Affair), the Napolitan Camorra, and the Calabrian 'Ndrangheta (Society of Men of Honor). Secondly, there is a need to clarify the organizational structure of the members of the Mafia family so as to comprehend and examine all components of the network system among the Mafia members such as the leadership, and the talent of adjustability. Thirdly, the vital importance of instrumental friendship, secrecy, and trust are the main notions of this section while establishing these networks. Finally, how they increase their members and include them in their closed network system and further recruitment process are explained by using the open and closed network system of Matsueda¹² in Figure 1. Even though the Mafia associations have been successful in building these networks for its members, the existing weak parts among members have been emerging as the *pentiti*, the Mafiosi, who after being captured, turn for confession so collaborate with the justice system and violate the code of silence. They are defined as *collaboratori di giustizia* (collaborators with justice). This weak part in their network system is examined in the second section of this part, where the importance of their organizational structure, trust, and loyalty are elaborated.

¹⁰ Fortunata Piselli, "Communities, Places, and Social Networks," *American Behavioral Scientist* 50 (2007): 867-878.

¹¹ Matsueda, "Differential social organization, collective action and crime"

¹² Matsueda, "Differential social organization, collective action and crime," 15.

Firstly, the bond among mafia members is defined in three basic concepts: conduct, rules, and trust. These concepts have a leading role in making close interpersonal relationships and have crucial importance for unification in attaining the goals of the Mafia. These relationships were labeled as a process for "idealization of Mafia phenomenon."¹³ This is because such an idealization would be indispensable for transferring feelings to the members of the Mafia associations in that they belong to an organization with certain types of ceremonies and norms. Thereby, these features are established to feel themselves 'man of honor'. In addition to this, these structural rituals create very close formations based on strong trustworthiness among the members, which are prominent for the existence of their future over the notion of secrecy. Furthermore, trust and close personal relationships are fundamental features for the strength of their networks.

The struggle to be a family has significant importance for the different Mafia associations. From here, the concept of 'family' is the symbol of close relationships, secrecy, and trustworthiness as it is expected to be observed in the life of an ordinary family. Moreover, although blood kinship is the natural outcome of an ordinary family, this is not always the fact for different Mafia groups. For instance, formation of Mafia organizations, especially the La Cosa Nostra, resembles a very large family, whose thousands of members is strongly bonded and secretly oriented. This is not preferable but demonstrates that having blood kinship is not indispensable. On the contrary, the Calabrian 'Ndrangheta does not illustrate any hierarchical structure like the La Cosa Nostra but embraces a cell-based approach and the importance of blood kinships are more observable.¹⁴ Despite the existence of the differential structural formations among different Mafia groups, they have corresponding points, such as the importance of secrecy, the perception of being a family (with or without blood kinship), and demonstrating loyalty.

Secondly, I argue that the organizational structure of the Mafia indicates differences among different Mafia groups but the same factor that influences on the contribution of the new members has not been changing; this is the protection of the secrecy and building of the trust in order to reduce the risks in the closed network system. The formation of the numbers of the members, their kinship and territorial basement may vary from one type of Mafia group to another. For instance, the traditional Cosa Nostra is a male-dominated family structure ruled by a code of conduct for members forbidding them to tell the secrets of their organization.¹⁵ Moreover, any disobedience may result with violence against the violator of these 'code of conducts.' Similarly, Sicily's Cosa Nostra and the Calabrian 'Ndrangheta are observed as typical representations of the Mafia, and each is composed of more than 90 clans or 'families.' Certainly the most powerful are the Cosa Nostra clans, which have some 3,200 members concentrated in western Sicily.¹⁶ The mainland counterpart to the Cosa Nostra is the 'Ndrangheta, whose homeland is in the southern Calabrian province of Reggio Calabria. Around 4,500 members are grouped into some 90 clans or cosche, "a Sicilian term for 'artichoke,' which is intended to symbolize their intense cohesion."¹⁷

¹³ Letizia Paoli, *Mafia Brotherhoods: Organized Crime, Italian Style* (New York: Oxford University Press, 2003), 89.

¹⁴ Christine Spolar, "New Mafia gains power in Italy, baffling law enforcement," *Chicago*, 13 July 2008, in <http://www.freep.com/apps/pbcs.dll/article?AID=/20080713/NEWS07/807130540>

¹⁵ Donald Ray Cressey, *Theft of the Nation: The Structure and Operation of Organized Crime in America* (New York: Harper and Row, 1969), 114-119, 242.

¹⁶ Letizia Paoli, "Family Crises among the 'Man of Honor,'" *Research et Society*. Max Planck Research, 2 (2004) 58-59.

¹⁷ Paoli, , "Family Crises among the 'Man of Honor," 59.

Finally, while establishing the new closed network system, Mafia members use special phrases and choose new members to include in their organizational chart by considering the strategic professions of those people from whose expertise they will benefit. In its special secrecy, instrumental friendship becomes crucially important while knitting the Mafia's network system to establish its basis as it is vital in order to make their informal organization more powerful through enlarging their members with strong bonds and specific communication systems. Thus, the expressions 'Tell him that I sent you' or 'Go in my name' are used in the Mafia network as a way of communication.¹⁸ These phrases create special bonds, which lead to the production of the social networks described in a popular way as 'the friends of the friends.' (Figure-1)

Furthermore, when the notion is Mafia phenomenon, a distinction should be made between different Mafia groups because the Cosa Nostra and 'Ndrangheta mainly prefer new members for recruitment from their neighborhood or towns in southern Italy.¹⁹ In contrary, the Camorra illustrates different characteristics and can establish its networks outside of their family or town if the candidate carries the potential to increase the effectiveness of the organization.²⁰ Finally the biggest fourth Mafia group from Puglia, *Sacra Corona Unita* (United Sacred Crown), is consisted of three main layers hierarchically but it is important to note that the member can graduate its statue in the organization through demonstrating more violence so they prove that they may graduate to the up levels. The formations for closed networks and connection among the members have crucial importance for the *Sacra Corona Unita* because the networking should be like a ring of a chain.²¹ Even though, there are differences in the formation of the different mafia groups, there is one certain common point which is both crucial and indispensable for every mafia groups. This is the creation of trust as a value and its importance for the targets of the Mafia. The utmost importance of the trust comes from "an emergent property of the social system, as much as a personal attribute. Individuals are able to be trusting (and not merely gullible) because of the social norms and networks within which their actions are embedded."²² The trust has been established by the symbols and signs in the alteration of the Mafia's network system from open network to closed network in order to add new members to their organization. (Figure-1)

After the deeply descriptive and normative formation of the Mafia members' network system, it is time to illustrate it through the open and closed network system of Matsueda.²³ I argue that the well-organized communication system of different Mafia groups has a leading role in the construction of well-working social networking. Clarity for this argument may be enhanced by focusing on the study of Matsueda. He states that "given common everyday knowledge of the way in which these structures operate, individuals can use the structures strategically, seeking out closed structures to increase control over members."²⁴ He also added that in Figure 1a, both A and B can have influence C, but that they can only do it independently and with the help of individual sanctions, developing trust, establishing norms, and the like. However, they cannot influence each other because of the lack of social ties. As in Figure 1b, after this network is established, a close network system is built so that A', B',

¹⁸ Catanzaro, *Men of Respect: A Social History of the Sicilian Mafia*, 54.

¹⁹ Paoli, *Mafia Brotherhoods: Organized Crimes, Italian Style*, 18-47.

²⁰ Tom Behan, *The Camorra* (London: Routledge, 1996), 191

²¹ Antonio Nicaso and Lee Lamothe, *Global Mafia; The New World Order of Organized Crime*, (Toronto: McMillan, 1995), 69.

²² Robert D. Putnam., *Making Democracy Work* (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1993), 177.

²³ Matsueda, "Differential social organization, collective action and crime," 15.

²⁴ Matsueda, "Differential social organization, collective action and crime," 14.

and C' can influence each other by developing coordinated strategies, simultaneous sanctions, and similar rhetorical arguments.²⁵

For the case of the Mafia system, while they are building their social networks for increasing their members and/or to solve the problems of their customers or to make cooperation with them, they effectively create a closed social network system through 'trust' and the famous phrase, 'tell him that your are friend of mine.' Thus, after establishing a network followed with requirements about efficiency, reliability, and trustworthiness, a new member in the Mafia structure can take his place in this closed social network. He is not in the open structure anymore, but in the closed structure, where he should now obey the 'rules' of the Mafia. Additionally, the other significant feature is the importance of stability in the closed groups, which is gained through strong ties among its members with similar ideas and homogeneity.²⁶ Thus, the creation of this strong closed network among its members has crucial magnitude in the targets of the Mafia thanks to the principles of solidarity and commitment. Despite differences in structuring of the Mafia associations, they all have the same common point when trust and secrecy are the notions.




Figure 1 – Replication of open and closed social networks. (Source: Ross L. Matsueda, "Differential Social Organization, Collective Action and Crime," *Crime, Law, and Social Change*, 46 (2006), 14.

At this point, after examining the strong parts of the Mafia while recruiting new membership, it remains important to stress the weak part of this network. Traditionally, secrecy is perceived as the most important criteria in order to be member of the mafia groups and to keep secrets after contribution to the Mafia as well. Contrary to this strict rule, it had been observed many times that the Mafiosi collaborated with the police when they were captured. Therefore, they violated these rules by becoming *pentiti* and confiding their secrets to the police and justice organs. Even the leaders of Mafia, through being *pentiti*, violated these rules. Accordingly, such violations are a natural outcome of being captured. This is because many Mafiosi primarily prefer to consider their own future and benefits by becoming *pentiti* and have some privileges through their sentence and conditions as the fruitful result of cooperating with the justice system and confessing Mafia secrets. Thereby, the *pentiti* emerges as a significant concept of the weak part of different Mafia groups in Italy and the strong part in their closed network system sustains its strength until being captured of the Mafiosi who starts facing the challenges at the same time.

²⁵ Matsueda, "Differential social organization, collective action and crime," 13-14.

²⁶ M. Granovetter, "The Strength of Weak Ties," *American Journal of Sociology* 78 (May 1973) 1360-1380.

The first *pentito* in 1973, Leonardo Vitale confessed significant secrets; albeit he did not receive sufficient attention for his confessions because of his mental problems. Apart from him, the most significant Mafioso turned *pentito* was Tommaso Buscetta in the 1980s. He helped prosecutor Giovanni Falcone in the identification of the main channels that the Mafia operated. Especially, after the Maxi Trial process during the mid 1980s, important Mafioso figures decided to be *pentiti*, such as Salvatore Contorno, Antinino Calderone, Giovanni Brusca, and so on.²⁷ In addition to this, it has rarely been observed that such collaboration has been done with willingness when there is no possibility for arrest. Therefore, the consistency, solidarity, and loyalty to the Mafia are widely followed until being captured. In sum, the success of the Mafia groups has been limited because of the *pentiti* factor. Besides, the Mafiosi prefer to collaborate with the state after they are captured. This weak part, confession of the Mafiosi, has played as an essential role many times to resolve the networks of the different Mafia groups.

4. Italian Mafia and Local People

This section aims to show the well-established communication networks of different Mafia groups, who take their territorial power from the relationships between the Mafiosi and the local people. Throughout the section, territorial power of the different Mafia groups is examined, which is a significant instrument to improve their strength over the local people. Firstly, Kohlberg's stages of moral reasoning will be applied to examine the bond between the Mafia groups and local people. Secondly, I will argue that the weak parts of these networks have been again the local people, who have tried to show resistance against the Mafia by protesting the Mafia individually or establishing civil associations against its culture.

In this section, the main concern is adaptation of Kohlberg's²⁸ and Piaget's notions over stages of moral reasoning,²⁹ which were referred in the study of Matsueda as well.³⁰ This adaptation is focused on the relationships between the Mafia and local people on the one hand, and local people's reactions to these relationship bonds, on the other. Firstly, there is a need to elaborate Kohlberg's three levels and six stages of moral reasoning³¹ before making such a deep assessment. These six stages are based on the relationships between the parents and children through following stages by role taking and the application of it to moral reasoning, and later a provoking question follows it that is to be directed to the children. The reason I replicated the relationship between the child and parents to the relationship between Mafia and local people can be explained by the similarity of those actors. The emergence of the Mafia from the late 19th century among the local people, its changing situation over time and representing an authoritative and repressive figure in the contemporary era may share the attitudes of the parents who raise a child and demonstrate violence to their child in time with an irresponsible attitude.

²⁷ Martin Bull and James Newell, *Italian Politics: Adjustment under Duress* (Cambridge: Polity Press, 2005), 111.

²⁸ Lawrence Kohlberg, *The Philosophy of Moral Development* (San Francisco: Harper & Row, 1981)

²⁹ Jean Piaget *The Child's Conception of the World* (London: Routledge and Kegan Paul, 1928)

³⁰ Matsueda, "Differential social organization, collective action and crime"

³¹ Lawrence Kohlberg, *The Philosophy of Moral Development*

Table 1 Kohlberg's stages of moral reasoning

Levels	Stages
Level 1 Pre-Conventional Morality	Stage 1. Obedience and punishment orientation Stage 2. Individualism, exchange, and instrumentalism
Level 2 Conventional Morality	Stage 3. Good relationships and mutual expectations Stage 4. Law and order
Level 3 Post-Conventional Morality	Stage 5. Social contract and individual rights Stage 6. Universal ethical principles

(Source: Ross L. Matsueda, "Differential Social Organization, Collective Action, and Crime," *Crime, Law, and Social Change*, 46 (2006), 11.

In the application of these principles, I examine the relationship between the local people and the historical Mafia, which exists in the towns of southern Italy and also in urban life in the era of the contemporary Mafia in the last decades. I argue that since the middle of the 19th century, stage 1, stage 2, and stage 3 occurred and that stage 4 had occurred partially because the representative of the 'law and order' was not extensively identical with the Mafia but did start being under state control, particularly after the Maxi-trial in 1986. Additionally, this stage has not been completed because of the existence of untrustworthiness in the region, and less likely, because of the behavior of the local people to cooperate with the justice system, even though this number has increased in the last decades. I assert that the Mafia phenomenon in Italy can widely be eradicated from the country after the end of stage 4, where all local people in the southern region and all the people in the country admit the supreme power of culture of lawfulness and legality and have the sufficient encouragement to stand against the Mafia. Post-conventional morality is the last level in Italian society that ought to be reached, as it is a level of morality to rid the severe grip of the Mafia. Stages 5 and 6 in this last level may provide an ideal country, where the Mafia notion cannot invade the social, political, and economical arenas anymore by subordination of the local people physically, psychologically, and sociologically.

Additionally, to comprehend such a relationship between the local people and the Mafia groups deeply, I explain step-by-step how I raise these arguments through following three levels and six stages of Kohlberg's moral reasoning. The first stage – obedience and punishment – occurred since emergence of the Mafia phenomenon in the middle of the 18th century and was observed extensively until the period of the contemporary Mafia which has been effective in the last decades. Obeying the rules and not to be punished by the Mafiosi have been violated frequently in the last decade, but the fear of punishment by the Mafiosi is still effective in both rural areas and cities of *Mezzogiorno* – southern Italy. The local people witnessed brutality and violence publicly and observed clearly what happened to the ones who did not take the threats and notices of the Mafia groups seriously. These people paid for this opposition with their lives. Undoubtedly, an unequal relationship clearly exists between the local people and the Mafiosi like the one that exists between a child and its authoritative parents. The Mafioso was the supreme authority over the local people so only one option remained for the local people and this was obeying the rules and power of the Mafiosi.

The second stage – individualism and exchange – was accordingly the outcome of such oppression. Security has been one of the crucial elements in taking care of the benefits of the community and society. With a lack of security and in the place of violence where your life is in danger, consideration of the societal benefits is not expected in the long term, so from an egocentric perspective, the security of your life becomes more significant in the first instance. This is also the reason why the Mafia has become more powerful through the features of this

network structure and that people valued it to ask for ‘private protection.’³² Due to these reasons, the local people preferred to obey ‘omertà’ –code of silence- when any issue was related to the Mafia. These first two stages would be labeled as pre-conventional morality reasoning, which emerged in the atmosphere of violence, embezzlement, and oppression, so egocentrism and personal benefits became the priority for the local people to survive under such a brutality.

The second level – conventional morality – has not been terminated completely yet. Although the third stage – good relationships and mutual expectation – has come to an end, the fourth stage – law and order – is still in the process of completion. If I start from the third stage, this process has almost been completed in the demonstration of people’s attempts to consider the benefits of the society as a whole through organizing civil societies and non-governmental initiatives against the Mafia phenomenon. Aside from the anti-Mafia movement, local people have showed their cooperation by being *pentiti* and breaking the order of silence about the Mafia. In this regard, the local people who made such cooperation labeled their act as ‘Good’ and the Mafia as ‘Bad’. Thus, they started improving ‘good relationships’ with the justice, police, and administrative organs of the state. On the other hand, this optimistic panorama can be deceptive because the local people should take the most crucial step, the fourth step, in the fight against the Mafia. The supreme power of rule of law and the benefits of the society, which are based on legality, should be the main basement in the fight against the Mafia. Unfortunately, such a decisive struggle has not already been observed by the contribution of the all people in the region. For instance, in Sicily, the majority of the people and local shops still pay *pizzo*, a protection racket.³³ In sum, the completion of the fourth stage and establishing the pure mechanism of law and order in the region is significantly prominent to terminate the second level – conventional morality. The devastating past history in the story of the local people and the relationships with the Mafia should not be underestimated for the completion of the third step permanently and after the victory of the fourth stage, the last level is likely to be less challenging.

The last level – post-conventional morality – can only occur in the last steps of the fight if it becomes possible to eradicate the Mafia from the country completely one day. The fifth step – social contract and individual rights – may increase its importance on Italian citizens in an environment where they can enforce the political spectrum with mass social movements to ask for decisive and ad hoc policies, only associated with the social contract, whose particular characteristic recognizes the benefits of both individuals and society through anti-corruption, transparency, accountability, and matured democracy. Therefore, in the last step, it can be possible to talk about the universal values and ethics after taking account of the full consensus of the citizens’ participation in this process. In the last place, after a significant victory has been gained against the Mafia groups, the remaining Mafiosi can start giving up and breaking off their illegal and violent business and brutality so they may potentially turn towards these universal values and ethics. This argument has already found its echoes in the definition of social equilibrium of Putnam. The high levels of cooperation, trust, reciprocity, civic engagement and collective well-being are noted as the traits to define civic community.³⁴ In this regard, attaining the last level depends on the establishment of these traits so as to be a recognized civic community who demonstrate consistent resistance against the Mafia.

³² Diego Gambetta. *The Sicilian Mafia The Business of Private Protection* (Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 1996), 3, 26-29.

³³ Francesca Forno and Carina Gunnarson, "Everyday shopping to fight the Italian Mafia," in Michele Micheletti and Andrew S. McFarland eds., *Creative Participation: Responsibility-Taking in the Political World*, (Paradigm Publishers, Bolder, 2009), 101-110.

³⁴ Putnam., *Making Democracy Work*, 177.

Finally, a weak part also exists in the networks of the Mafiosi within the local people. Although this weak part has not been directly related to the success or failure of the Mafiosi, it has an indirect effect, which causes direct resistance from the local people to increase their voice against the subordination of the Mafia. It still remains difficult to declare that this weak part is strong enough to break all these networks of the different Mafia groups among the local people in today's Italy. But it contains in itself an opportunity for the future to demolish its networks when the resistance of the local people is extended and becomes powerful enough to replace the culture of illegality with the culture of lawfulness.

The first strikes of this resistance were appeared generally as individual reactions. For instance, Italian journalist Mauro de Mauro was kidnapped by the Mafia in 1970 when he tried to investigate a murder.³⁵ Secondly, in 1978 the Cosa Nosta murdered Giuseppe Impastato, a significant anti-Mafia figure and political activist. He was born in a Mafia family but decided to fight against the Cosa Nostra and paid it with his life. This was the striking point to prove that the Mafia brutally reacts when their networks and 'respectability' are targeted. Mauro de Mauro and Giuseppe Impastato were not the only victims of this resistance. Libero Grassi was a businessman who rejected to pay *pizzo*, extortion money, and he advertised in the newspaper by calling other businessmen not to pay racket to the Mafia. He was murdered in 1991.³⁶ Furthermore, the tragic assassinations of the prosecutors Giovanne Falcone and Paolo Borsellino in 1992 had been the limit for the civil society to illustrate their reaction in a well planned and intensive way. All these individual attempts to seek the Mafia's dirty works and to fight against it lead to attaining societal reactions against the Mafia. Thereby, *Libera* was established in 1995 as a non-profit civil society aimed at fighting against the Mafia and giving self-esteem and support to the local people who show their resistance to it. This resistance has been institutionalized with over 1000 associations in the country but it is still far from declaring that victory has been accomplished in this fight. Apart from *Libera*, many other civil society organizations were established to be aligned in the fight against the Mafia. What is more, this struggle should not be underestimated because of its potential opportunity in devastating the local networks of the Mafiosi. For instance, in 2009, over 100,000 people gathered in Naples and marched against the Mafia.³⁷ Even though the domination of the different Mafia groups is still clearly observable in southern Italy, these popular protests are the weak part of the networks of the Mafiosi on local people who demonstrated clear reluctance to react against the Mafia for dozens of years.

Therefore, the success of the Mafia on the influence of the local people depends on many reasons, such as the socio-historical situation of the region, cultural patriarchy, economic factors, the lack of the existence of a responsible state mind in the region, and a combination of all these paradigms. All these reasons need to be counted in this complex sociological concentration of the Mafia. Further, if we do not consider their well-calculated social network system and influence on the local people through these networks, any explanation is far from being complete. Indeed, I assert that the power of this network comes from the strong bond between the local people and authoritative manner of the Mafiosi. This also makes challenging to devastate the Mafia and prevent its extended power and pressure on the local people but the very recently civil society attempts and sensitivity with the aim of eradication the Mafia cause

³⁵ Peter Popham, "Revealed: How Story of Mafia Plot to Launch Coup Cost Reporter His Life," *The Independent*, (June 19, 2005) [database on-line]; Available at:

<http://www.independent.co.uk/news/world/europe/revealed-how-story-of-mafia-plot-to-launch-coup-cost-reporter-his-life-494619.html>

³⁶ Dino Paternostro, "Libero grassi, martire civile," *La Sicilia*, August 30, 2009. 34.

³⁷ Cristiano Corvino, "Tens of Thousand March in Naples against the Mafia," *Reuters* (21 March, 2009), [database on-line]; Available at: <http://www.reuters.com/article/idUSTRE52K0Z220090321>

to protect the hope for the future and becomes the weak part of the Mafia's networks within the local people.

5. Italian Mafia and Politicians

In this section, I argue that the relationship between the Mafiosi and the politicians is based on mutual benefits of the each part. The polls are given as an example to indicate intersected parts where the Mafiosi and politicians correspond. Secondly, I conclude that the contextual events are influential in the evaluation of the collusion between the politicians and the Mafia from the perspective of decaying corrupted institutions. Finally, I assert that sensitive bond between the politicians and the Mafia is the main concern of this part. The main argument concentrates on the sensitivity of this bond as the outcome of existing weak link between the politicians and Mafiosi, which is open to be broken up easily due to the lack of emotional attachment. I will illustrate my argument with Figure 2 through Matseuda's weak tie of two strong closed networks. This deficiency of the emotional bond has also been the weak part of their successfully renowned history and mutual benefits, which is hard to discover but easy to be broken up after its emergence.

I argue that the symbiotic relationship between the Mafiosi and politicians dominates their networks, taking into account the benefits of both parts. In this context, the commencement of this strong relationship between the Mafia and politicians should be perceived as a historical phenomenon whose roots were established on the symbiotic bond of each part in the reality of the criminal world because of its target for higher goal and profit making.³⁸ This bond managed to extend to the entire political system, especially after the emergence of the Mafia in the 19th century. In the meantime, this poison seems to have had an aphrodisiac-like effect in the spectrum of the cooperative Mafia-political nexus. Moreover, the pure dependency of the politicians on the territorial power of the Mafia was more apparent during election times because winning a local or parliamentary election is almost unachievable without accepting Mafia protection.³⁹ Thereof, the Mafia had another arm in the Senate and Chamber of the Duties to use for their ends, which were mostly related with the political and administrative handicaps that they faced.⁴⁰ Thus, this system, which is consisted of real power groups, may have the potential to affect political life deeply by using its influence on people's decisions during the electoral time.⁴¹ In sum, then, the baseline of these mutual relationships was determined by the obsessive power holders between the Mafiosi and politicians. The polls also can give clues about rising power of the Mafia and it becomes clear after the emergence of questionable rising situation of Silvia Berlusconi in the political arena in the first half of the 1990s. That was interpreted as a 'vicious circle': "More than a few corrupt Christian Democrats and Socialists who were voted out in the anti-Mafia climate of the 1990s are now finding their way back into office – most of them as candidates for the right-wing conservative camp of Silvio Berlusconi's governing coalition."⁴² In the last elections, by presenting the unified list under the slogan of Casa della Libertà ('House of Liberty') more essentially, they gained extensive success by winning 60 direct seats.⁴³

³⁸ Pierre Trambly and Carlo Morselli, "Patterns in Criminal Achievement; Wilson and Abrahamse Revisited" *Criminology* 68 (May 2000), 659-663.

³⁹ Gaetona Mosca, "*Che Cosa è la Mafia*," in G. Mosca, *Partiti a sindacati nelle crisi del regime parlamentare* (Bari: Laterza, [1900] 1949), 243.

⁴⁰ Catanzaro, *Men of Respect*, 54, 142, 201.

⁴¹ Salvatore F. Romano, *Storia Della Mafia* (Salerno: Arnoldo Mondadori, 1966), 172.

⁴² Paoli, "Family Crises among the 'Man of Honor,'" 62.

⁴³ Paoli, "Family Crises among the 'Man of Honor,'" 63.

Political institutions, contextual events and even international setting may help to throw a light on the notion to examine the relationship between the politicians and the Mafiosi. For instance, *Propaganda Due* (P2) was a secret Masonic lodge whose members are comprised not only journalists and people from the business and industry but also from the Italian Parliament like Silvio Berlusconi, current prime minister of the country.⁴⁴ P2 influenced taking over *Corriere della Sera*, prominent Italian newspaper,⁴⁵ misleading police in the investigation of Bologna Massacre which happened in 1980 and resulted with death of 85 people.⁴⁶ Secondly, *Gladio*, stay-behind secret organization, was influential in Italy in order to create resistance against communism with the collaboration of the CIA and other Mafia associations in Italy. The collusion of the *Gladio* with the politicians and the underworld were mainly structured both the political spectrum after post-war Italy and remained as another justification for mafia-politicians collusion.⁴⁷ Thirdly, Aldo Moro, Prime Minister of Italy was killed in 1978 by a communist organization, *Red Brigades*, and it is argued that he was not saved by Italian government because of the fear that Moro could confess about *Gladio*.⁴⁸ Moreover, one of the most significant examples was the operation of 'Clean Hands'. During this operation, 3,200 suspected bureaucrats and politicians were convicted between 1992 and 2002.⁴⁹ Unfortunately, even before these corruptions, mafia domination in the politics was expressed and approved by the state founded organizations like *Ant-Mafia Commission* which was established in 1962.⁵⁰ Thus, it is clear that other secret organization accompanied the Mafia in the second half of the 20th century after the manipulation of the Italian social and political life widely by the Mafia during the first half of the 20th century. It is remarkable to stress that the state demonstrated counter attack to the Mafia and secret organizations. In this regards, *Maxiprocesso*, Maxi Trial, was held between 1984 and 1986. As a result, hundredths of the Mafiosi put behind the bars. Resolution of the secret organization like P2 and *Gladio*; and the operations of Clean Hands occurred during the 1990s. In the last years, famous Mafia Godfathers like Bernardo Provenzano and Salvatore Russo were captured. All these developments against the Mafia in the country created the image that the fight against the Mafia has gradually been more successful recently. But, the last confession of the son of former mayor of Palermo, Massimo Ciancimino caused the public to be in doubt about anti-mafia struggle of Berlusconi government. He declared that Forza Italia, led by Berlusconi, was the result of negotiations between the state and Cosa Nostra, Sicilian Mafia.⁵¹

Therefore, in order to make these complex networks more observable systematically, there is a need to elaborate and illustrate the network relations between politicians and the Mafia on a figure. According to Matsueda, as it is shown in Figure 2, closed network groups can establish weak ties with other closed groups to have benefits from information flows, novel ideas, more so, since all these exchanges are coming from a set of comparatively dissimilar groups.⁵² In addition to this, the weak ties between different closed networks provide group

⁴⁴ Jones Tobias, *The Dark Heart of Italy* (London: Faber & Faber, 2003), 186-187.

⁴⁵ Paul Gingsborg, *Italy and Its Discontents; Family, Civil Society, State: 1980 – 2001*, (New York: Palgrave McMillan, 2003), 144-148.

⁴⁶ Bull and Newell, *Italian Politics: Adjustment under Duress* (Cambridge: Polity Pres, 2005), 100.

⁴⁸ Par Hubert Artus, "Pourquoi le pouvoir italien a lâché Aldo Moro, exécuté en 1978," *Rue8*, 6 February 2008, available at: <http://www.rue89.com/cabinet-de-lecture/pourquoi-le-pouvoir-italien-a-lache-aldo-moro-execute-en-1978>

⁴⁹ Maffei and Betsos, "Crime and Criminal Policy in Italy," 474.


⁵⁰ René Seindal, *Mafia Money and Politics in Sicily 1950-1997* (Denmark: Museum Tusculanum Press, 1998), 50.

⁵¹ "Forza Italia Born from Mafia Talks," *Ansa*, 8 February 2010, available at http://www.ansa.it/web/notizie/rubriche/english/2010/02/08/visualizza_new.html_1701529485.html

⁵² Matsueda, "Differential Social Organization," 15.

members chances to increase the likelihood of group members who organize into social movements.⁵³ For the case of the Mafia, the weak tie between C and E in Figure 2 represents the mutual outcomes of this network between the Mafia and politicians and bureaucracy in the state. Furthermore, it is argued that resource mobilization theory through the utilization of the maximum benefits of the rational actors by using their social networks and political opportunities is significant with collective action.⁵⁴ It remains important to stress that in order to design such collective action, the civic engagement less likely to be observed with the lack of trust to the politicians.⁵⁵ With hindsight it is clear that this is the road taken by the political institutions and regional governments in order to make cooperation with the Mafia.

Besides, the significance of the accordance for the objectives and the struggle to employ them to make their targets attainable has become the remarkable argument for the criminal world.⁵⁶ This argument is also operative for the case of the Mafia. On the one hand, the Mafia worked for the politicians to help them in the struggle to take the power in the elections, or to make their positions stronger and to support the bureaucrats through bribes financially. On the other hand, its position and businesses became more and more secure under the protective umbrella of the state bureaucrats and politicians. This mutual network structure between the Mafia and dark side of the state is one of the essential proofs as to why the success of the vicious cycle of the Mafia could not be terminated permanently to date. Their meeting point to serve their mutual benefits makes this bond stronger but not unbreakable. Furthermore, this bond is weak when we compare two groups' network structures, which are based on rules, laws, and regulations for both the informal organization of the Mafia and the formal organization of the state. Therefore, since this bond between C and E (see Figure 2) is based on mutual material benefits but not strong emotional bonds, this tie can be defined as paradoxically weak but strong in terms of resisting showing their relationships explicitly. Moreover, the indispensable relationship between the Mafia and politicians is open to some risk of being broken up when their benefits are at risk. Therefore, in this regard, this tie is also weak but breaking it up is difficult since this symbiotic relationship of the two closed group networks has a very little strategic gap that can harm their bonds.



⁵³ Mark Granovetter, "The Strength of Weak Ties," *American Journal of Sociology* 78(May 1973): 1360-1380

⁵⁴ Robert D. Benford and David A. Snow, "Framing Processes and Social Movements: An Overview and Assessment," *Annual Review of Sociology* 26 (2000), 611-639.

⁵⁵ Putnam, *Making Democracy Work*, 172.

⁵⁶ Edwin H. Sutherland, "Development of the Theory," in E. H. Sutherland, *On Analyzing Crime* (Chicago: University of Chicago Press, [1942] (1973), 13-29.

Figure 2 - Replication of the Local Bridge (weak tie) linking two distinct closed networks. (Source: Ross L. Matsueda "Differential Social Organization, Collective Action, and Crime." *Crime, Law, and Social Change* 46:3-33, p. 15, 2006.)

6. Italian Mafia and Executive Power of the State

In this section, the role of the executive power of the state in its relationships with different Mafia groups is examined. Throughout the section, I argue that the two most critical elements, dysfunctionality and ineffectiveness of the judiciary and administration system of the state, fostered the power of the different Mafia groups. Even in the last decade, the performance of these institutions has gradually increased, but that it is far from filling the gap that has occurred since the birth of the Italian unification in 1861. Firstly, I elaborate on the role of the executive power of the state from the concepts of violence and power. Secondly, I examine the reliability of the state institutions in the country. Finally, I scrutinize today's political arena in the country and the latest developments in this field.

Firstly, there is a need to make the link as to how Mafia has become successful in the lack of state's observable existence of power and their background knowledge about the facts of the society. Further, their success in observing the fact of the state's illness as not being effective in the territorial order made their infrastructure more profitable and serviceable. In the meantime, the basement of the Mafia's emergent point provided them with good knowledge of the society's reaction, formation, and local people's attitudes. Thus, the strength of the Mafia's enduring, undefeatable position is related with their strong social networks, which were established in the consideration of the historical process and in mastering adaptability and power orientation. Using these features, the Mafia gained benefits for their ends through cooperating with the politicians and legal authorities in the lines of the mutual outcomes.

Today's Italian administrative and justice system are in serious decline in terms of its efficiency and reliability, which makes the Mafia's position more durable. The alarming siren of the Italian justice system with regard to the decrease in public confidence for the justice system creates a dangerous atmosphere in the country.⁵⁷ In confirmation to this argument, "Italian criminal courts seem unable to deal with the backlog of cases. Further, a 2006 pardon law intended to put an end to the endemic overcrowding of state prisons, has further undermined public confidence in the state's ability to bring justice to criminal matters."⁵⁸ In fact, urgent reform in the justice and administrative system of Italy is not the issue of recent years but one that stems from the historical ineffectiveness of the Italian justice system, which has led to the empowering of the Mafia through the socio-cultural and historical structure of the *Mezzogiorno* (southern Italy) since the mid-19th century. This appropriate atmosphere for the Mafia provides them the best conditions to make their bonds stronger and to increase their power and 'order' over the society.

Thus, although all these statements draw a pessimistic portrait in the fight against Mafia, elimination of it from the society and state units is not unattainable. The severe hit to destroy the Mafia's power and capacity should be breaking up its networks, especially the ones established with the politicians. In the last years, it has been found that "organized crime is negatively related to police performance and the rule of law and positively related to grand corruption."⁵⁹ In this context, if organized crimes are more powerful; legislation, policy-

⁵⁷ Sergio Fabbrini, "The Transformation of Italian Democracy," *Bulletin of Italian Politics* 1 (2009), 42.

⁵⁸ Maffei and Betsos, "Crime and Criminal Policy in Italy," 465.

⁵⁹ Van J. Dijk, "Mafia Markers: Assessing Organized Crime and its Impacts upon Societies," *Trends in Organized Crime* 10 (2007), 51.

making, and legal rulings may not be for the general interests of the public but consider the benefits for the few.⁶⁰ Moreover, the importance of the value network cannot be denied by the essential struggle of the police through following goods, knowledge, and the connections between actors.⁶¹ According to this approach, the cooperation of the legislative officers, analysts, and investigating officers may provide crucial support to resolve the organized crime networks. Above all, it deserves to emphasize that in order to break up the strong networks of the Mafia, what we need is a functional and effective justice system, police power, and by creating more operative ways to increase local people's cooperation with the state. The final essential requirement is a public transparency reform to remove grand corruption and increase transparency in the public administration and reinforcing the executive power of the state with the aim of constituting consolidated anti-mafia policies.

7. Conclusion

The examination of the Italian Mafia groups from the perspective of social networks, tries to fill a significant void in the academia as being the first study by the illustration of the strong and weak parts of these networks within three main actors: members, local people, and politicians. There is certainly much to be said about the importance of Matsueda's work because his differential social organization sheds light on the strong and weak parts of the Mafia. Although the main aim of this paper is not to show how to resolve these networks, illustrating these strategic strengths and weaknesses of their networks may play an important role in simplifying the methodology of future research regarding the fight against the Mafia phenomenon in Italy.

The findings of this paper hopefully fill the gap in the interdisciplinary field of social networks and organized crime structuring in Italy. These findings declare that the complex structure of the Mafia organization among its members, local people, and politicians has a strong historical background that affects the contemporary situation. Making the strong parts weaker and using the weaker parts to demolish the strong ones of its networks appear as a crucial strategy to be focused on it. Thus, this study's findings announce firstly the strong parts of its networks among the members of the Mafia which are leadership factors; their solidarity and commitment until being arrested; the rules, codes, and norms in the organizations; adjusting capacity to the new situations by making their organizational structure tighter or looser according to this change in closed network structural formation. Secondly, the strong part of its networks established with the local people can be summed up as the domination of the people through using violence; acting as the informal state in their territories; severe punishment of people who violate their rules; creating an untrustworthy atmosphere to settle private protection; and reference to the 'man of honor' or 'respectable person' phrases to legitimize their brutal authority. Finally, the politicians who make collaboration with the Mafia groups in the exchange of the electoral support and bribery in order to get legal and political protection summarize the strong parts of its networks. Therefore, another outcome of the relationship has been the enrichment of the each actor's benefit in the system of corruption.

Apart from the strong parts of these networks, the weak parts provide an opening change in the ways of how to eradicate these networks. The first weakness in the network is the violations of their closed network system even by the leaders of organizations as being *pentiti*

⁶⁰ Dijk, "Mafia Markers," 478.

⁶¹ Peter Gottschalk, "Value Configurations in Organized Crime," *Journal of Policing and Society* 19 (Jan. 2009), 47-57.

and collaborators with the justice system. Cooperation with justice and state organs and violating the basic rules after being arrested emerge as significant outcomes. These results bear emphasis that how they are so weak when there is no another alternative to save themselves in the existence of pure pressure because they prefer to prioritize their personal benefits rather than the benefits of their Mafia family and friends while doing cooperation with the state. Secondly, the weak part among the networks of the local people is the increasing willingness to resist the dominance of the Mafia groups in the region albeit they are still in the minority. In essence, civil society groups, non-governmental institutions and personal endeavors may potentially lead a decrease in the extortion of the Mafiosi in the long-term. Even though this struggle is not directly related with the networks system of the Mafiosi, it is the outcome of past violence applications of the Mafiosi on the local people who tried before to demonstrate resistance against the Mafiosi and were punished relentlessly. Finally, the weak part in the network with politicians is their bond, which is solely based on material benefit and the lack of any emotional link between the politicians and the Mafiosi. This weak tie between two strong closed network systems is a breaking point when a threat appears and putting the benefits of each part in danger.

The second part of the paper focuses on the role of the state and it bears emphasis that the state constituted the catalyst in fostering both the emergence and empowering of the Mafia because of its malfunction in the administrative and justice system. The decaying trust against the politicians has made so difficult the fight to eradicate the Mafia from the political and social spectrum of the country. At this point, it is worth noting that lack of such a counter poison from the stable state power for demolishing the Italian Mafia has made the humus of the Mafia's culture of illegality more resilient over time. Thereof, if different Mafia associations still exist and are invincible in Italy today, albeit in the late efforts of the state, their well-built and designed social network system has an essential place in this success story of the Mafia, but their achievement cannot solely be explained by the power of their networks. Not only the ineffective and corrupted state institutions but also the cooperative roles of some politicians with the Mafia and the half functional system in the criminal justice have made the position of the Mafia stronger and more overwhelming. Even though there have been combative periods to demolish the Mafia, with some fruitful results, these periods could never be followed up with corresponding and durable cooperation, including all state institutions and politicians to destroy the Mafia permanently. In contrast to this, the Mafia has always found another solution to cope with any threat or danger by adjusting themselves to the new situations through their disciplined and synchronized structure. Putting it differently, because of such a boomerang effect in the fight against the Mafia, the silence of the different Mafia groups in the last decade can create butterfly effect for the Mafia groups to increase their power and seek new, illegal, and profitable markets with the less risky atmosphere by strengthening its weak parts.

All of this amounts to saying that both strong and weak parts of the social networks of the Mafia should be followed by a well-designed systematic plan in the contribution of the all stakeholders in the society against the Mafia. At this point, it would be reductive not to mention about the limitations of this study in order to construct the combat plan with the aim of getting rid of the grip of Mafia. Even though, Mafia phenomenon has been studied more than one century, the subject contains obstacles because of the criminal secrecy of the underworld. In this study, as it commonly occurs in other mafia studies, the limitations are firstly based on the confessions of the captured Mafiosi. It is doubtful how much those confessions can be trustworthy. Secondly, there may be other strong and weak parts of the social networks of the Mafia groups which are not mentioned in this study. Thirdly, this study aims to focus on the Mafia networks' from the point view of actor-based approach rather than target-based approach so it classified networks of the Mafia within local people, politicians

and its members who are significant national actors in terms of emergence of the Mafia and gaining power over those networks. Despite these concerns, the limitations of the study include opportunities for the Mafia studies in the future as well. Firstly, the opportunity arises from taking social networks approach as the point of origin and seeking the targets of the Mafiosi throughout those networks. Secondly, this paper is limited with the nation and it does not examine the international dimension of the subject so this paper may inspire further research endeavors which can take in account the other actors in the field especially international social networks of the Mafia and its influence in their past, present and future.

Bibliography

- Artus, Par Hubert. "Pourquoi le pouvoir italien a lâché Aldo Moro, exécuté en 1978," *Rue8*, 6 February 2008, available at: <http://www.rue89.com/cabinet-de-lecture/pourquoi-le-pouvoir-italien-a-lache-aldo-moro-execute-en-1978>
- Behan, Tom. *The Camorra*. Routledge: London, 1996.
- Benford, Robert D. and Snow, David A. "Framing Processes and Social Movements: An Overview and Assessment," *Annual Review of Sociology* 26 (2000): 611-639.
- Block, Alan. *East side, West side. Organizing Crime in New York; 1930-1950* New Brunswick, N.J, London: Transaction Books, 1999.
- Blok, A. *The Mafia of a Sicilian village, 1860-1960*. New York: Harper & Row., 1974.
- Bull, Martin and Newell, James. *Italian Politics: Adjustment under Duress*, Polity Press, Cambridge, 2005.
- Catanzaro, R. *Men of Respect: A Social History of the Sicilian Mafia*, trans. R. Rosenthal. New York: Free Press, 1992.
- Corvino, Cristiano. "Tens of Thousand March in Naples against the Mafia," *Reuters*, (21 March, 2009. Available at: <http://www.reuters.com/article/idUSTRE52K0Z220090321>
- Cressey, D.R. *Theft of the Nation: The Structure and Operation of Organized Crime in America*. New York: Harper and Row, 1969.
- Duggan, Christopher, *Fascism and the Mafia*. New Haven and London: Yale University Press, 1989.
- Fabbrini, S. "The Transformation of Italian Democracy," *Bulletin of Italian Politics* 1 (2009): 29-47.
- Falcone, Giovanni. *Men of Honour: The Truth about the Mafia*. London: Fourth Estate, 1992.
- Forno, Francesca and Gunnarson, Carina. "Everyday Shopping to Fight the Italian Mafia," in *Creative Participation: Responsibility-taking in the Political World*, ed. Michele Micheletti and Andrew McFarland. Bolder, Paradigm Publishers, 2009.
- "Forza Italia Born from Mafia Talks," *Ansa*, 8 February 2010, available at: http://www.ansa.it/web/notizie/rubriche/english/2010/02/08/visualizza_new.html_1701529485.html
- Gambetta, Diego. *The Sicilian Mafia; The Business of Private Protection*. Cambridge MA: Harvard University Press, 1993.
- _____. "The Sicilian Mafia," *Five Books*, 6 October 2009, 6.
- Gingsborg, Paul. *Italy and Its Discontents; Family, Civil Society, State: 1980 – 2001*. New York: Palgrave MacMillan, 2003.
- Gottschalk, Peter. "Value Configurations in Organized Crime," *Journal of Policing and Society* 19 (Jan. 2009): 47-57.
- Granovetter, M. "The Strength of Weak Ties," *American Journal of Sociology* 78 (May 1973): 1360-1380.
- Hess, Henner. *Mafia*, Bari: Laterza, 1970.
- Lupo, Salvatore, "Utopia totalitaria del fascismo (1918-42)," in *La Sicilia*, ed. M. Aymard and G. Giarrizzo. Torino: Giulio Einaudi Editore, 1987.
- Kohlberg, L. *The Philosophy of Moral Development*. Harper & Row, San Francisco, 1981.

- Maffei, Stefano and Merzagora Betsos, Isabella. "Crime and Criminal Policy in Italy: Tradition and Modernity in a Troubled Country," *European Journal of Criminology* 4 (Apr. 2007): 461-482.
- Madno, Giuseppe C., *L'opposizione mafiosa. Mafia politica stato liberale*. Palermo: Flaccovio, 1986.
- Matsueda, Ross L. "Differential Social Organization, Collective Action, and Crime," *Crime, Law, and Social Change* 46 (2006): 3-33.
- McIllwain, Jeffrey Scott. "Organized Crime: A Social Network Approach," *Crime, Law & Social Change: An International Journal* 32(April 2006): 301-323.
- Mosca, Gaetona. "*Che Cosa è la Mafia*," in G. Mosca, *Partiti a sindacati nelle crisi del regime parlamentare*. Bari: Laterza, [1900] 1949.
- Nicaso, Antonio and Lamothe, Lee. *Global Mafia; The New World Order of Organized Crime*. Toronto: McMillan, 1995.
- Paoli, Letizia. *Mafia Brotherhoods: Organized Crime, Italian Style*, New York: Oxford University Press, 2003.
- _____. "Family Crises among the 'Man of Honor,'" *Research et Society*. Max Planck Research, 2 (2004): 58-62
- Paternostro. Dino, "Libero grasso, martire civile," *La Sicilia*, 30 August 2009, 34.
- Piaget, J. *The Child's Conception of the World*. Routledge and Kegan Paul, London, 1928.
- Piselli, Fortunata. "Communities, Places, and Social Networks" *American Behavioral Scientist* 50 (2007): 867-878.
- Popham, Peter. "Revealed: How Story of Mafia Plot to Launch Coup Cost Reporter His Life," *The Independent*. 19 July 2005. Available at <http://www.independent.co.uk/news/world/europe/revealed-how-story-of-mafia-plot-to-launch-coup-cost-reporter-his-life-494619.html>
- Putnam, Robert D., *Making Democracy Work*. Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1993.
- Romano, Salvatore F. *Storia Della Mafia*. Salerno: Arnoldo Mondadori, 1966.
- Seindal, René. *Mafia Money and Politics in Sicily 1950-1997*, Denmark: Museum Tusulanum Press, 1998.
- Santino, Umberto. "Guida al processo Andreotti," *Citt d'utopia* (November, 1995)
- Schneider, Jane, and Peter T. Schneider. *Culture and Political Economy in Western Sicily*. New York: Academic Press, 1976.
- Stille, Alexander. *Excellent Cadavers : The Mafia and the Death of the First Italian Republic*. 1st ed. New York: Pantheon Books, 1995.
- Spolar, Christine. "New Mafia gains power in Italy, baffling law enforcement," *Mafia-News*, 2008. Database online, available at: <http://www.mafia-news.com/new-mafia-gains-power-in-italy-baffling-law-enforcement/>
- Tarrow, Sidney G., *Peasant Communism in Southern Italy*. New Haven and London: Yale University Press, 1967.
- Tobias, Jones. *The Dark Heart of Italy*, London: Faber & Faber, 2003.
- Turone, Giuliano, *Il delitto di associazione mafiosa*. Milano: Giuffrè, 1995.
- Sutherland, E. H. "Development of the Theory," In E. H. Sutherland, *On. Analyzing Crime*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, [1942] 1973.
- Tremblay, Pierre and Carlo Morselli. "Patterns in Criminal Achievement: Wilson and Abrahamse Revisited," *Criminology* 38 (May 2000): 659-663.
- Van Dijk, J. "Mafia Markers: Assessing Organized Crime and its Impacts upon Societies," *Trends in Organized Crime* 10 (2007): 39-56.

