A computational study on the excited state properties of a cationic cyanine dye: TTBC
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ABSTRACT

The ground and excited state behaviors of a biologically important mitochondria selective dye, 5,5',6,6'-tetrachloro-1,1',3,3'-tetraethyl-benzimidazolo carbocyanine (TTBC), were investigated in order to give useful information related to the enhancement of its electronic and optical properties. DFT and TDDFT levels of theory with different functionals and basis sets were used. The results obtained by using B3P86/6-31+G(d,p)/C-PCM are in good agreement with the experiments. The potential energy surface sections of TTBC were explored and the geometry optimized in the first excited state, S\textsubscript{1}, to analyze the fluorescence spectrum of the dye. There are two distinct minima on the S\textsubscript{1} surface. One is located near the ground state geometry and an energetically favorable twisted geometry, having a charge transfer character. The observation of similar ground and excited state dipoles as well as solvent-independent fluorescence and absorption wavelengths reveals that there is no competition between the two minima; the emission is dominantly coming from the more planar configuration.

© 2010 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Cyanine dyes are synthetic dyes with the general formula, R\textsubscript{n}N[CH=CH]\textsubscript{n}N=NR\textsubscript{2}. They consist of two nitrogen centers bonded to each other by a conjugated chain of the odd number of carbon atoms with one of the centers being positively charged [1,2]. The first cyanine was synthesized in the 1800s [3]. They are widely used as sensitizers for photographic films [4], laser dyes [5,6], anti-tumor agents [7], non-linear optics [8], optical disk recording media [9] and as fluorescence probes for biological molecules [10]. They are ideal fluorescent reagents, because of their high extinction coefficient and good quantum yield. In addition, they exhibit absorbance and emission wavelength maxima in the range of 400 nm to 900 nm [11].

A well known cyanine dye, which has a delocalized positive charge is 1,1',3,3'-tetraethyl-5,5',6,6'-tetrachlorobenzimidazolo-carbocyanine (TTBC or JC-1). Its chemical structure is shown in Fig. 1. It is used as a molecular probe especially for monitoring mitochondrial membrane potential (ΔΨ). A high ΔΨ indicates that the dye is in the J-aggregate [12,13] form, emitting at 590 nm and that the cell is alive, whereas a low ΔΨ is a sign of apoptosis and TTBC exists in the monomer form, emitting at 527 nm [14–16]. The advantage of TTBC rather than the other carbocyanines is that the status of mitochondria can be monitored with both low and high membrane potentials [17].

The purpose of this study is to investigate the ground and excited state behaviors of the well known mitochondria selective cyanine dye, TTBC by the use of quantum chemical methods. The simulated absorption and fluorescence spectrum of TTBC will be compared with the experimental spectra reported by Özçelik [18]. This versatile organic dye is an important biological molecular probe; it is envisioned that the knowledge gained from our work here may benefit the use and development of the optical and spectroscopic properties of this and similar dyes. The theoretical investigation of the ground and excited state molecular and electronic structures of the TTBC dyes would shed light on what may be required to enhance their functions and applications.

Time-Dependent Density Functional Theory [19–21] (TDDFT) has been widely used for the excited state energies of organic molecules recently [22–30]. It is a powerful tool with low computational cost. Jacquemin, Preat, Perpète and Adamo have published a series of works on various organic dyes concerning the weakness and strength of TDDFT as well as the efficiency, and reliability of DFT functionals for spectroscopic calculations [31–40]. The calculated transition energies of many organic dyes including cyanines with TDDFT are in good agreement with experiment although some limitations of TDDFT such as extended π systems [41,42], Rydberg states [43,44], double excitations [45–47], and charge transfer [48–50] are reported.
2. Computational details

All the computations have been carried out with the Gaussian09 program suite [51]. We have performed computations for the geometry optimizations and potential energy surface sections of TTBC in both gas phase and solvent at the ground and excited states. The frequency calculations have also been done to check imaginary eigenvalues for the confirmation of minimum structure. The iodide ion (counter ion) of the TTBC has been ignored in all calculations. Semi-empirical methods AM1 and PM3, ab initio method HF (Hartree–Fock), and DFT (Density Functional Theory) have been chosen in the optimization part with different functionals and basis sets. The DFT functionals used in the calculations are the gradient-corrected exchange–correlation functional of Perdew, Burke and Ernzerhof, PBEPBE [52], and hybrid functionals (25% exchange and 75% correlation), PBE1PBE [53,54] and Becke Three Parameter Lee, Yang, and Parr, B3LYP [55]. The Coulomb-attenuated hybrid exchange–correlation functional, CAM-B3LYP [56]. Time-Dependent Density Functional Theory (TDDFT) has been used for the excited state calculations. The solvation effects have been investigated using the Integral Equation Formalism Polarizable Continuum Model [57–60] (IEF-PCM) and Conductor-like Polarizable Continuum Model [61,62] (C-PCM).

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Ground state calculations

Various levels of theory have been used for the ground state, S0, structure determination. The calculated geometrical parameters have been compared with X-ray data [63] by performing linear regression analysis without hydrogen atoms (Table 1). The orientation of the ethyl groups on the calculated TTBC geometry are different from the X-ray data may be reflected as a decrease in the correlation coefficients. The geometry optimizations were performed starting with different orientations of alkyl groups but it has been observed that the direction of ethyl groups does not affect the stability, but rather have free rotation. When the bond distances were used in the regression analysis, the three largest correlation coefficients, $R^2$, have been found for the calculations at B3LYP, CAM-B3LYP, and PBEPBE level of theory. However, the order becomes HF/STO-3G, PBEPBE, and CAM-B3LYP according to the bond angles. The PM3 result is in best agreement with the X-ray dihedral angle for the dihedral angle ($\theta_1 = N8-C13-C14-15$), which shows deviation from planarity as shown in Table 2. On the other hand, DFT values for this torsional angle differ from the experimental value by about 15°, which might be explained by the packing effect in crystals. It should be noted that the geometry optimization results obtained for TTBC with the B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) method have been reported in our previous work, which is related to the substituent effects on the UV–Vis absorption wavelength of that dye [64].

These findings state that any of the given DFT methods with the given functionals can be used to describe the TTBC ground state properties since there is no significant difference in the correlation coefficients.

3.2. Absorption calculations

We have used both TDDFT and CIS methods to investigate the excited state behavior of TTBC; however, the $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ (330 nm) value obtained by CIS is much lower than experimental results (514–522 nm). We concluded that the CIS method is not reliable for the excited state calculations of TTBC.

The effects of split valence, polarized basis sets, diffuse functions, and DFT functionals on the excited state calculations of TTBC have been examined to propose a valid computational level. The B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) ground state equilibrium structure is used in these calculations. The $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ values and corresponding oscillator strengths obtained by these calculations are listed in Table 3. All the oscillator strengths are about two arbitrary units.

The B3LYP functional has been used with different basis sets in the excited state calculations. The calculated $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ increased by 6 nm when diffusion functions were added to the heavy atoms. Use of the triple-split valence basis set (6-311) red-shifted $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ by 5 nm when compared to the double-split basis set, (6-31) where there is no diffusion function. However, when a diffusion function is used with the double-split basis set, there is only a 1–2 nm difference in $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ values. Both diffusion– and split-valence effects red-shifted $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ by 8 nm (Table 3). Addition of polarization effects on the heavy atoms modified $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ by 4 nm. In contrast there is almost
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Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>$R^2$ with bond distances</th>
<th>$R^2$ with bond angles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AM1</td>
<td>0.9395</td>
<td>0.9505</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM3</td>
<td>0.9265</td>
<td>0.9739</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STO-3G</td>
<td>0.9622</td>
<td>0.9852</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HF/6-31G++</td>
<td>0.9853</td>
<td>0.9874</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B3LYP/6-31G++</td>
<td>0.9894</td>
<td>0.9813</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAM-B3LYP/6-31G++</td>
<td>0.9887</td>
<td>0.9831</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBEPBE/6-31G++</td>
<td>0.9858</td>
<td>0.9822</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBE1PBE/6-31G++</td>
<td>0.9856</td>
<td>0.9832</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.1. Ground state calculations

Various levels of theory have been used for the ground state, S0, structure determination. The calculated geometrical parameters have been compared with X-ray data [63] by performing linear regression analysis without hydrogen atoms (Table 1). The orientation of the ethyl groups on the calculated TTBC geometry are different from the X-ray data may be reflected as a decrease in the correlation coefficients. The geometry optimizations were performed starting with different orientations of alkyl groups but it has been observed that the direction of ethyl groups does not affect the stability, but rather have free rotation. When the bond distances were used in the regression analysis, the three largest correlation coefficients, $R^2$, have been found for the calculations at B3LYP, CAM-B3LYP, and PBEPBE level of theory. However, the order becomes HF/STO-3G, PBEPBE, and CAM-B3LYP according to the bond angles. The PM3 result is in best agreement with the X-ray dihedral angle for the dihedral angle ($\theta_1 = N8-C13-C14-15$), which shows deviation from planarity as shown in Table 2. On the other hand, DFT values for this torsional angle differ from the experimental value by about 15°, which might be explained by the packing effect in crystals. It should be noted that the geometry optimization results obtained for TTBC with the B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) method have been reported in our previous work, which is related to the substituent effects on the UV–Vis absorption wavelength of that dye [64].

These findings state that any of the given DFT methods with the given functionals can be used to describe the TTBC ground state properties since there is no significant difference in the correlation coefficients.

3.2. Absorption calculations

We have used both TDDFT and CIS methods to investigate the excited state behavior of TTBC; however, the $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ (330 nm) value obtained by CIS is much lower than experimental results (514–522 nm). We concluded that the CIS method is not reliable for the excited state calculations of TTBC.

The effects of split valence, polarized basis sets, diffuse functions, and DFT functionals on the excited state calculations of TTBC have been examined to propose a valid computational level. The B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) ground state equilibrium structure is used in these calculations. The $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ values and corresponding oscillator strengths obtained by these calculations are listed in Table 3. All the oscillator strengths are about two arbitrary units.

The B3LYP functional has been used with different basis sets in the excited state calculations. The calculated $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ increased by 6 nm when diffusion functions were added to the heavy atoms. Use of the triple-split valence basis set (6-311) red-shifted $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ by 5 nm when compared to the double-split basis set, (6-31) where there is no diffusion function. However, when a diffusion function is used with the double-split basis set, there is only a 1–2 nm difference in $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ values. Both diffusion– and split-valence effects red-shifted $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ by 8 nm (Table 3). Addition of polarization effects on the heavy atoms modified $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ by 4 nm. In contrast there is almost
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Fig. 1. Cationic structure of TTBC.
no effect of the polarization and diffusion functions on the hydrog-
natoms. It has been observed that the largest change is found
around 11 nm when the basis set is extended with higher-order
polariations (2d,2p; df,pd; 2df,2pd; 3d,dp); however, to make
computational times more reasonable, the 6-31G(d,p) basis set
was chosen for our calculations.

After choosing the basis set, the DFT functional effect was stud-
ied by changing exchange and hybrid functionals in excited state
computations. According to Table 3, the change of the functionals
is a more suitable method for the excited state calculations due to
its having lesser computational time constraints.

### 3.3. Solvent effect

The solvent effect on the \( \lambda_{\text{max}} \) value was evaluated using the C-
PCM and IEF-PCM methods with various solvents having dielectric
constant ranging from 9 to 78. The computed and experimental
\( \lambda_{\text{max}} \) values are displayed in Table 5. This data shows that addition
of solvent in the excited state shifts the wavelength by 15–20 nm.
On the other hand, the ground state geometry optimization in sol-
vent shifts the wavelength by only 1–2 nm. The results of the C-
PCM and IEF-PCM methods were very similar, having differences
of only 2–3 nm. Any of these methods can be used for the calcula-
tions in solvent for this molecule. Table 5 shows that the \( \lambda_{\text{max}} \) values
are almost independent of the polarity of the solvent, which is
consistent with the experiments. The calculations are in fairly good
agreement with experiment, with the computed wavelengths dif-
fering from the experimental value by approximately 10 nm.

The transition nature with their coefficients and the oscillator
strengths of TTBC in different solvents for the first excited states are also given in Table 5. The S1 excitation involves a dominant
HOMO \( \rightarrow \) LUMO with a coefficient of 0.6 for all solvents. All these
transitions are intense with the oscillator strength values around
2. On the other hand, S2 transitions observed around 400 nm have
dominant HOMO \( \rightarrow \) LUMO + 1 character with almost zero oscilla-
tor strength. The six frontier molecular orbitals of TTBC are given
in Fig. 2. The electron densities are evenly distributed within the
molecular skeleton of the HOMO. There is no electron density on
the ethyl groups which are bonded to nitrogen atoms. The nitro-
gen has a nonbonding character in both the HOMO and LUMO.
The electron density on the polymethine chain increases while the electron densities of chlorines decrease for the HOMO → LUMO transition. In contrast, the electrons moved from the nitrogen, chlorine atoms, and polymethine chain to the benzene rings for the HOMO → LUMO + 1. In HOMO-1 → LUMO and HOMO-2 → LUMO transitions, the electron migration from chlorines and benzimidazole rings to the polymethine chain was observed. HOMO-2 has no electron density on the polymethine chain and it is degenerate with the HOMO-1 state.

3.4. Potential energy surface (PES) and fluorescence calculations

To explore the rotational behavior of the molecule and to understand the fluorescence behavior of the dye, the ground state (S0), the excited singlet state (S1, S2, S3), and triplet state (T1, T2, T3) potential energy surface sections of TTBC were obtained as a function of torsional angles as shown in Fig. 3. The molecule has been rotated around the C13–C14 (\(\theta_1\)) or C14–C15 (\(\theta_2\)) bond in increments of 15° in the ground state equilibrium structure. The potential energy surface (PES) sections have been obtained by performing geometry optimizations using a frozen dihedral angle at each rotational point. All the energies have been given relative to the ground state minimum structure.

The excited states potential energy surface sections were explored by computing vertical excitation energies using the TDDFT//PBEPBE/6-31G(d,p) level of theory. The diffusion effects were ignored in the PES calculations because of computational cost. Fig. 4 displays the PES section of TTBC as a function of \(\theta_1\) for the singlet ground state, singlet excited states and triplet excited states. The gas phase results are shown with dashed lines, the solid lines are used for the results where methanol was used as solvent.

The general trend of the all singlet surfaces are very similar in both methanol and gas phases. Solvent did not affect the S0 state, but has small effects on the S1, S2 and S3 states. Solvent stabilized S2 and S3 but destabilized S1 when \(\theta_1 < 90°\). At \(\theta_1 = 90°\) solvent increased the energy of S1 as shown in the inset of Fig. 4 and the higher states became degenerate.

The potential surfaces of both S0 and S1 are very shallow around the ground state minimum (−50 < \(\theta_1 < 50°\)). Another minimum with a barrier of 0.09 eV, 2.1 kcal/mol (0.11 eV, 2.5 kcal/mol in
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Fig. 2. Six frontier molecular orbitals of TTBC.
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Fig. 3. Reaction coordinates (\(\theta_1 = N8–C13–C14–C15, \theta_2 = C13–C14–C15–C18\)) used in PES calculations.
methanol) was detected in the first excited state surface at $\theta_1 = 90^\circ$ and there is a maximum at the same point in the ground state (inset, Fig. 4). The barrier is located at $\theta_1 = 75^\circ$. In methanol the excitation energies from the ground state minimum structure and perpendicular structures are 2.52 eV (491 nm) and 2.04 eV (609 nm), respectively.

The triplet excited state surfaces in methanol are also given in Fig. 4. $T_2$ and $S_1$ energies differ by about 0.26 eV (6 kcal/mol; 25 kJ/mol) around the equilibrium $S_0$. $T_2$ also has a minimum, $T_1$ has a maximum, and $S_2, S_3$ and $T_3$ are all degenerate for the twisted structure. The energies of $S_1$ and $T_1$ are separated by 0.28 eV (6.5 kcal/mol; 27 kJ/mol) for the orthogonal structure. $T_2$ and $T_3$ become degenerate at rotational angles of 45$^\circ$ and 135$^\circ$ where they have a maximum and minimum, respectively. The nature of $T_1$ and $S_1$ states is HOMO to LUMO transition at all rotational angles, while the nature of $S_2$ is composed of HOMO to LUMO + 1 (with coefficient around 0.6) and HOMO-1 to LUMO (with coefficient around 0.2–0.4) except $\theta_1 = 90^\circ$ and 105$^\circ$ where the nature becomes HOMO to LUMO + 1. For $T_2$, HOMO to LUMO + 1 is the origin of the transition when $0^\circ \leq \theta_1 \leq 30^\circ$ and $150^\circ \leq \theta_1 \leq 180^\circ$. HOMO-1 to LUMO when $45^\circ \leq \theta_1 \leq 75^\circ$ and $120^\circ, 135^\circ$, HOMO to LUMO + 2 at 90$^\circ$ and HOMO-2 to LUMO at 105$^\circ$. Although $T_2$ and $S_1$ states have similar shape in Fig. 4, they do not have the same nature.

These results suggest that, there might be two emission sources. In other words, the molecule might have a dual emission. An experimental fluorescence maximum appears at 528 nm with a shoulder located at 560 nm in methanol; however, the bathochromic shift with solvent polarity was not observed. The dual emission was suggested experimentally only in glycerol where a double exponential decay was detected [18]. However there is no experimental evidence of a charge transfer state for that dye. The close energies of $T_2$ and $S_1$ may cause the delay of the fluorescence signal from the second emission source. PES sections were also obtained as a function of $\theta_2$. However, the $S_1$ surface is almost flat, and is not affected by this rotation.

Fig. 5 includes the energy profiles of six frontier orbitals (three virtual and three occupied) as a function of $\theta_1$ in the gas phase and methanol. The degeneracy in HOMO-2 and HOMO-1 are not disturbed by the rotation. The highest two LUMO’s are close to each other. The solvent causes to increase all the orbital energies.

The excited state geometry optimizations were performed to evaluate the fluorescence behavior of the TTBC molecule. The
ground state equilibrium and some twisted structures were chosen as the initial geometries for the geometry optimization at the TDDFT/BP86BE/6-31G(d,p) level of theory. The results provided two different structures. Starting with the ground state geometry, a structure very similar to the ground state was calculated. For this minimum configuration, the dihedral angle ($\omega_1$) in the excited state is lowered with respect to the ground state by $5^\circ$ and $9^\circ$ in the gas phase and methanol, respectively. This shows that the TTBC molecule tends to become planar upon excitation.

The remaining initial structures with the twisted geometries ($\omega_1 = 75^\circ$, $90^\circ$, $105^\circ$) converged into another twisted configuration having $\omega_1 = 117^\circ$ ($115^\circ$ in methanol). The energy of this structure in the gas phase is 0.92 eV (21.2 kcal/mol; 88.8 kJ/mol) lower than that of the more planar one. In methanol, the energy difference in the structure becomes 0.25 eV ($5.8$ kcal/mol; $24.1$ kJ/mol) lower than that of the more planar one.

Some selected structural parameters of TTBC in the S0 and S1 states.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Atoms</th>
<th>S0</th>
<th>S1</th>
<th>S1' twisted</th>
<th>S0</th>
<th>S1</th>
<th>S1' twisted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C(1)–C(2)</td>
<td>1.396</td>
<td>1.396</td>
<td>1.397</td>
<td>1.396</td>
<td>1.401</td>
<td>1.400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(2)–C(3)</td>
<td>1.410</td>
<td>1.421</td>
<td>1.420</td>
<td>1.412</td>
<td>1.421</td>
<td>1.423</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(3)–C(4)</td>
<td>1.396</td>
<td>1.398</td>
<td>1.398</td>
<td>1.397</td>
<td>1.403</td>
<td>1.401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(4)–C(5)</td>
<td>1.405</td>
<td>1.409</td>
<td>1.413</td>
<td>1.404</td>
<td>1.407</td>
<td>1.417</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(5)–C(6)</td>
<td>1.421</td>
<td>1.423</td>
<td>1.409</td>
<td>1.416</td>
<td>1.419</td>
<td>1.402</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(6)–C(1)</td>
<td>1.405</td>
<td>1.410</td>
<td>1.414</td>
<td>1.405</td>
<td>1.408</td>
<td>1.417</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(5)–C(11)</td>
<td>1.736</td>
<td>1.732</td>
<td>1.742</td>
<td>1.747</td>
<td>1.745</td>
<td>1.757</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(6)–C(12)</td>
<td>1.736</td>
<td>1.732</td>
<td>1.742</td>
<td>1.747</td>
<td>1.745</td>
<td>1.757</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(2)–N(8)</td>
<td>1.396</td>
<td>1.387</td>
<td>1.387</td>
<td>1.392</td>
<td>1.381</td>
<td>1.381</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(9)–C(13)</td>
<td>1.384</td>
<td>1.400</td>
<td>1.421</td>
<td>1.385</td>
<td>1.398</td>
<td>1.413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(9)–C(13)</td>
<td>1.386</td>
<td>1.403</td>
<td>1.418</td>
<td>1.388</td>
<td>1.402</td>
<td>1.411</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(13)–C(14)</td>
<td>1.412</td>
<td>1.401</td>
<td>1.505</td>
<td>1.410</td>
<td>1.405</td>
<td>1.489</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(14)–C(15)</td>
<td>1.402</td>
<td>1.417</td>
<td>1.350</td>
<td>1.402</td>
<td>1.414</td>
<td>1.366</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(8)–C(2)–C(11)</td>
<td>131.4</td>
<td>131.1</td>
<td>131.3</td>
<td>131.2</td>
<td>131.1</td>
<td>131.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(9)–C(3)–C(4)</td>
<td>112.0</td>
<td>112.7</td>
<td>120.7</td>
<td>120.8</td>
<td>120.7</td>
<td>121.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(9)–C(13)–N(8)</td>
<td>106.9</td>
<td>106.2</td>
<td>104.7</td>
<td>107.0</td>
<td>106.2</td>
<td>105.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(9)–C(3)–C(4)</td>
<td>131.7</td>
<td>131.5</td>
<td>131.3</td>
<td>131.6</td>
<td>131.4</td>
<td>131.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(11)–C(5)–C(6)</td>
<td>120.8</td>
<td>120.7</td>
<td>121.1</td>
<td>120.8</td>
<td>120.7</td>
<td>121.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(11)–C(5)–C(4)</td>
<td>118.2</td>
<td>118.2</td>
<td>117.8</td>
<td>118.0</td>
<td>117.9</td>
<td>117.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(13)–C(18)–C(19)</td>
<td>129.9</td>
<td>130.5</td>
<td>130.4</td>
<td>129.9</td>
<td>131.2</td>
<td>130.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(14)–C(13)–N(8)</td>
<td>130.3</td>
<td>130.2</td>
<td>116.3</td>
<td>130.5</td>
<td>130.7</td>
<td>119.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(8)–C(13)–C(14)–C(15)</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>117.3</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>114.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Some of the most affected moieties of the dye are imidazole and polymethylene chain.

When both minimum configurations at the S1 surface are compared in the gas phase, C13–C14 and C14–C15 bonds become longer by about 0.1 Å at the twisted configuration. The N8–C13 and N9–C13 bonds are also elongated by 0.02 Å. The C15–C14–C13 and C(14)–C(13)–N(8) angles are increased by twisting approximately 8–14°. Similar behaviors are found in the methanol phase.

The Mulliken charge analysis was evaluated to compare ground and excited state charge distributions. For the first minimum, similar to geometry comparison, although there are no considerable changes of charges upon excitation, the charges of the polymethylene carbons are altered slightly as an alternating decrease and increase ($-0.02;+0.035$ au in gas phase, $-0.04;+0.035$, in methanol). The charges on the chlorines are also increased by 0.02 units in the gas phase. These results explain the solvent independency of absorption and fluorescence spectrum on TTBC.

For the twisted configuration of the TTBC molecule, the charges in the excited state were compared with the corresponding ground state charges. The largest change was observed on the chlorines and five atoms of the benzimidazole ring on one side of the molecule and on two of the polymethylene carbons. The charges of C1, C4, N8, N9, C13 and Cl–11, Cl–12 decreased whereas the charges on C14, C18, Cl–31, Cl–32 increased. It should be noted that all the decreases are observed on one side; however, all increases are observed on the opposite side of the molecule. When all increases and decreases are summed, the net result is ±0.5 au. Similar results were obtained from the same calculations in methanol. The emission from this state would have a charge transfer character.

The fluorescence wavelengths in different solvents are given in Table 7. The fluorescence wavelength shifted by 66–68 nm via the solvent effect while the polarity of the solvent did not affect the fluorescence emission line (as similar to the experimental observation). The Stokes shift is about 30 nm in methanol compared to an experimental value of 14 nm.

### Table 7

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solvents</th>
<th>IEPPCM $\lambda_{\text{flu}}$</th>
<th>Experiment $\lambda_{\text{flu}}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gas phase</td>
<td>497</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethanol</td>
<td>563</td>
<td>530</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methanol</td>
<td>564</td>
<td>528</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetonitrile</td>
<td>564</td>
<td>529</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMSO</td>
<td>565</td>
<td>536</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7 | The calculated and experimental fluorescence wavelengths (in nm) in different solvents.
The experimental [18] and simulated absorption and fluorescence spectra in methanol are compared in Fig. 6. The computed absorption spectrum was obtained by the TDDFT/PBEPBE/631+G(d,p)/IEF-PCM level of theory while the diffusion function correction was not used for the simulation of the fluorescence spectrum. Computational spectra were normalized at the wavelength maximum of TTBC. The computed absorption spectrum shows good agreement with its experimental \( \lambda_{\text{max}} \) value. The fluorescence \( \lambda_{\text{max}} \) was a bit different from the experimental value by about 30 nm which is also reasonable with the level of theory used in the calculation since diffusion functions were not used. The shoulders which appear in the experimental spectra at 480 nm (absorption) and 560 nm (emission) did not show up in the simulated spectra.

The six molecular orbitals of TTBC for the twisted optimized structure of the \( S_1 \) state in gas phase are displayed in Fig. 7. The electrons are localized on one side of the molecule in occupied orbitals whereas they are placed on the opposite side of the molecule in unoccupied orbitals. There is a clear migration of electrons from one side to the other side of the TTBC molecule. HOMO and HOMO-2 have electron densities on the polymethine chain but HOMO-1 does not. All the transitions for this configuration have almost zero oscillator strength. The emission from the twisted \( S_1 \) state is observed at 5101 nm and 1291 nm in the gas phase and methanol, respectively, and is mainly HOMO to LUMO character (0.7). The next transition is located at 801 nm and 478 nm in the gas phase and methanol, respectively, being HOMO-1 to LUMO in nature (0.7).

There is a noticeable dipole change in the \( S_1 \) state for the twisted geometry as shown in Fig. 8. This is an indication of a charge transfer. The HOMO–LUMO pictures also allow us to propose a charge transfer existing from one side of the molecule to the other side (Fig. 7) for the twisted geometry. The dipoles in \( S_1 \) and \( S_0 \) are similar in magnitude to the ground state structure, which is also supported by the experiment. On the other hand, the dipoles of the optimized twisted structure in the excited state are 15D and 19D in the gas phase and methanol, respectively, while the corresponding ground state dipoles are about 9D.

Recently, measurements and simulations of the polarized absorption spectra of TTBC aggregates dispersed in polymer thin films have been performed to determine their structure-spectroscopy function relationship [65]. It has been observed that, two aggregate bands (H and J) have opposite polarization dependence. The H-band has a maximum intensity when the electric field vector and the macroscopic alignment axis are almost parallel to each other and the H-band has a minimum intensity when these two vectors are almost perpendicular to each other. However, the J band’s behavior was found to be just the opposite of this. The
unpublished fluorescence anisotropy measurements performed by Özçelik reveal that when the angle between the polarizer and the fluorescence state is perpendicular, maximum fluorescence intensity is obtained at 590 nm for the TTBC aggregates (J-aggregate) which is important to monitor cell apoptosis. The second minimum in the $S_1$ state might be important for understanding and improving all these aggregation behaviors of the TTBC dye.

4. Conclusions

In this work, the ground state and excited state behavior of TTBC dye in the gas phase and solvents was examined by using quantum chemical methods. The PBE0-PBE functional with 6-31G(d,p) can be used reliably for both ground state and excited state calculations for this dye. The TD-DFT method gave results consistent with experiment in the calculation of the absorption wavelength. It was shown that this was also true for calculations of the fluorescence wavelength for this dye.

There are two distinct minima on the $S_1$ surface located around the ground state equilibrium geometry and twisted geometry, the latter of which is the energetically favorable one. The twisted geometry also has a charge transfer character and might be connected to the ground state equilibrium geometry by a barrier. This barrier is small according to the $S_1$ PES section obtained by vertical excitations from the relaxed ground state optimized geometries. However it is difficult to say whether these two minima are connected with a low or high barrier; further work should be done to find the pathways between these two minima. There is no experimental evidence about the twisted state. This might be explained by either a high barrier or an intersystem crossing to the second triplet excited state which is close in energy to $S_1$, possibly delaying the fluorescence signal emitted from this state. There might also be an internal conversion, radiationless transition from the $S_1$ to $S_0$ states since their separation is around 5 kcal/mol and 20 kcal/mol in the gas phase and in methanol solvent, respectively.

The ground and excited state dipoles are almost same near the ground state equilibrium geometry and twisted geometry, the latter of which is the energetically favorable one. The twisted configuration.

This work provides useful information for the new molecular design and the modification of the electronic and optical properties of TTBC-based versatile cyanine dyes. The twisted charge transfer state might be made active by the modification of the TTBC molecule with different functional groups.
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