Obestatin prevents analgesic tolerance to morphine and reverses the effects of mild morphine withdrawal in mice
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A B S T R A C T

Obestatin is a 23-amino acid gut-derived neuropeptide, encoded by the same gene with ghrelin. The goal of this study was to examine the effects of obestatin on the acute and chronic analgesic actions of morphine and on mild morphine withdrawal. Open-field (OF) and elevated plus maze (EPM) tests were used to assess mild morphine withdrawal-induced behavior changes and the heat-radiant tail-flick assay was used to investigate analgesic actions of morphine. CFLP male mice were treated twice a day with graded doses of morphine in EPM and OF experiments and once a day in tail-flick studies. Obestatin (1.5 μg/2 μl) was administrated once a day in all experiments. Furthermore, 0.2 mg/kg naloxone or saline was administered after the final injection of morphine at a dose of 20 mg/kg in EPM and OF. These behavioral parameters were monitored in the OF: the percentage of center ambulation time and distance; whereas in the EPM: the time spent in open arms and the entries into open arms compared to the total time (%OAT) and entries (%OAE). In the OF, obestatin significantly decreased the percentage of time spent in the center in mice undergoing naloxone-precipitated mild morphine withdrawal. EPM results were similar to open field, but obestatin had no significant effect on parameters mentioned above. Besides, obestatin maintained the analgesic effect of morphine 90 and 120 min after morphine injection in mice treated with morphine receiving obestatin compared to mice treated with morphine. In tolerance studies, obestatin diminished the analgesic tolerance to morphine on the 5th day. In this study we confirmed that obestatin reversed the effect of mild morphine withdrawal and enhances the analgesic effect of morphine. These data suggest that obestatin may have a role in opioid-induced analgesia and in behavioral responses induced by opioid withdrawal.

© 2013 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

In 2005, a ghrelin-associated peptide derived from the preproghrelin was discovered by Zhang et al. [1] and named obestatin. Obestatin was purified from the rat stomach and was initially reported to reduce food intake, gastric emptying and intestinal motility [1,2]. It was also characterized as an activator of the orphan G protein-coupled GPR39 receptor and was found to be the main ligand for it. The highest levels of GPR39 mRNA were detected by in-situ hybridization in the amygdala, the hippocampus, and the auditory cortex, while lower levels were found in several other brain regions but surprisingly no expression of GPR39 was found in the hypothalamus in mice [3]. GPR39 receptor has two splice variants, GPR39-1a and GPR39-1b. GPR39-1a is expressed selectively in the gastrointestinal tract, whereas GPR39-1b has a wider expression pattern, including nuclei in the central nervous system, for example the amygdala, and hippocampus [4]. Later studies reported that GPR39 may not have obestatin as a main ligand [5–7]. After these findings, Zhang et al. confirmed that their original result was unreproducible [8] and subsequent results suggested that glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor (GLP-1R) is the receptor of obestatin [9,10]. Moreover, a few in vitro studies claimed that obestatin stimulated ERK1/2 phosphorylation, in rat tumor somatotroph cells [11]; in human pancreatic islet microendothelial cells [10]; in human β cells [9] and in human retinal pigment epithelial cells [12].

In the past few years the metabolic and body weight-regulating effect of obestatin has been investigated in detail, however, there are only a few reports, which examined the role of obestatin in exploratory behavior and its analgesic effect. A previous study on the EPM indicated that obestatin induced the elevation of the %OAT and %OAE in rat [13]. These data were later confirmed by Ishitobi et al. [14]: intracerebroventricular administration of antisense DNA for GPR39-1b caused anxiolytic-like effect in rats in two different behavioral tests. The same research group discovered that ghrelin decreased the %OAT in the EPM and increased the ambulation time in the OF test in rats and neonatal chicks [15,16], hence ghrelin exerts opposite effects on behavioral patterns. The role of ghrelin in reward (see reviews in this issue: [17,18]) and in anxiety (see review: [19]) are well-examined research areas, but the role of obestatin in these research fields has not been clarified yet.
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Thus, the aim of the present study was to investigate the actions of obestatin on morphine-induced analgesia and on mild morphine withdrawal in mice using three behavioral methods.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Animals

Male CFLP white mice (30 ± 5 g of weight) of an outbred strain (Domaszéki, Hungary) were used. They were kept under a standard light–dark cycle (lights on between 07.00 and 19.00 h) with food and water available ad libitum. The animals were kept and treated according to the rules of the Ethical Committee for the Protection of Animals in Research (Faculty of Medicine, University of Szeged, Hungary).

2.2. Surgery

For intracerebroventricular (i.c.v.) cannulation, the mice were anesthetized with an intraperitoneal (i.p.) injection of Sodium Pentobarbital (Nembutal®, Phylaxia-Sanofi, Budapest, Hungary; 50 mg/kg), and a polyethylene cannula was inserted into the right lateral cerebral ventricle and cemented to the skull with cyanoacrylate-containing instant glue. The experiments were started 4 days after i.c.v. cannulation. Upon conclusion of the experiments, 10 μl of methylene blue were injected into the cerebral ventricle of the decapitated animals and the position of the cannula was inspected visually. The spread of methylene blue throughout the ventricular space indicated that the whole amount of obestatin got into the ventricles. Mice with improper cannula placement were excluded from the final statistical analysis.

2.3. Drugs

For intracerebroventricular (i.c.v.) treatments obestatin (Anaspec, Inc.) was dissolved in artificial cerebrospinal fluid (aCSF) and injected in a volume of 2 μl. For testing the morphine effects, subcutan (s.c.) morphine–HCl (Sigma-Aldrich) and naloxone–HCl (Sigma-Aldrich) injections were used. Control mice received saline s.c. and aCSF i.c.v.

2.4. Elevated plus maze (EPM)

The elevated plus maze (EPM) is an accepted model for studying anxiety-like behavior in mice [20]. Conditions that decrease time spent in the open arms are associated with anxiety-like behavior, whereas increased time spent in the open arms is associated with an anxiolytic effect. The EPM apparatus (Columbus Instruments, Columbus, Ohio, USA) consists of four arms (87-mm wide, 155-mm long) elevated 63.8 cm above the ground, with two arms enclosed by 16.3-cm-high opaque walls and illuminated with a 60 W light situated 1 m above the maze. The combination of height, luminosity and open space is assumed to induce anxiety-like behavior in mice. Behavioral testing was conducted between 10.00 and 12.00 h. Mice were carried to the experimental room in their home cages and habituated to the laboratory for at least 30 min before testing. Only one EPM apparatus per testing room was present. The apparatus was thoroughly cleaned with ethanol (96%) and water between mice. Mice were placed in the center of the maze facing toward an enclosed arm and behavioral activities were recorded for 10 min [21]. The following behavioral parameters were monitored: the time spent in open arms and the entries into open arms compared to the total time (%OAT) and entries (%OAE) and the total activity which was defined as the total number of crosses between any two arms.

2.4.1. The effect of naloxone and obestatin on EPM behavior in mice treated with morphine

We used twice daily injections of ascending doses of morphine (08.00 and 16.00 h) as follows: day 1: 10 mg/kg, day 2: 20 mg/kg, day 3: 40 mg/kg or saline [22]. Mice were also treated once a day with either obestatin (1.5 μg/2 μl, i.c.v.) or aCSF (i.c.v.) at 08.15 h. On the test day (day 4) animals received a single dose of morphine (20 mg/kg, s.c.) or saline (s.c.) at 08.00 h and either aCSF or obestatin (i.c.v.) was given at 09.45 h. Naloxone treatment in a dose of 0.2 mg/kg, s.c. preceded behavioral assessment by 5 min. The behavioral changes were measured for 10 min 2 h after the final morphine treatment with EPM [21,23]. The treatment of specific groups is described below (Figs. 1A, B, 2 and Table 2).

Treatment protocol was the same in the open-field.

2.5. Open-field (OF) test

Obestatin effects on mild morphine withdrawal were also tested by the Conducta System (Experimetria Ltd., Budapest, Hungary). The apparatus consists of five black-painted testing boxes (40 cm × 50 cm × 50 cm each) set in an isolated room; the movements of mice were detected by high-density arrays of infrared diodes. One animal was placed in one box, the apparatus is able to test 5 mice at the same time and there is no connection between them. The floor of the box was washed with ethanol (96%), water and dried prior to the next animal testing. On the test day, mice were transported to the testing room and the percentage of time spent in the center and ambulation distances in the center were recorded individually for each animal and separately for each box.

2.5.1. The effect of graded doses of acute obestatin on OF behavior in mice

Obestatin was administrated i.c.v. at graded doses: 0.5–2 μg. Mice were tested 15 min after the obestatin treatment for 10 min.

2.5.2. The effect of naloxone on OF behaviors in mice treated with obestatin

We used twice daily injections of saline. Mice were also treated once a day with either obestatin (1.5 μg/2 μl, i.c.v., respectively) or aCSF (i.c.v.) at 08.15 h. On the test day (day 4) animals received saline (s.c.) at 08.00 h and either aCSF or obestatin (i.c.v.) was given at 09.45 h. Naloxone treatment in a dose of 0.2 mg/kg, s.c. preceded behavioral assessment by 5 min. The behavioral changes were measured 2 h after the final saline treatment in the OF. See the specific treatments under Fig. 3A, B and Table 1.
2.6. Tail-flick

Obestatin effect on morphine-evoked analgesic response was tested by the tail-flick system (IITC Life Science, California, USA) described by [24]. All experiments were started with an initial tail-flick latency measurement, pain sensitivity was measured 15, 30, 60 min after peptide challenge in acute dose–response experiments and 60, 90, 120 min after morphine treatment in acute morphine experiment (day 1). In tolerance studies, pain sensitivity was measured 60 min after morphine injection. For tail-flick measurement, animals were habituated to the experimental room at least 30 min prior to testing. During the measurement, they were loosely restrained and the tail was positioned so that the light beam focused on the tail approximately 1–2 cm from the base. Tail stimulation was delivered at different sites in consecutive measures to prevent tissue damage. The analgesic effect was expressed according to this equation:

\[ \text{analgesic effect} = \frac{\text{TF}_n - \text{TF}_0}{\text{TF}_{\text{max}} - \text{TF}_0} \times 100 \]

where \( \text{TF}_0 \) is the tail-flick latency in the preliminary test mentioned above or (in tolerance studies) before morphine injection. \( \text{TF}_n \) is the value of a repeated corresponding measurement \( n \) (15, 30, 60 or 60, 90, 120 min) after obestatin or/and morphine injection, and \( \text{TF}_{\text{max}} \) indicates the cutoff (20 s).

2.6.1. The analgesic effect of graded doses of acute obestatin

Obestatin was administrated i.c.v. at graded doses: 0.5–2 µg. Mice were tested 15, 30 and 60 min after the obestatin treatment.

2.6.2. The effect of obestatin on analgesic effect induced by acute morphine treatment (1st day)

Mice were treated 10 mg/kg morphine (s.c.) or saline (s.c.) at 09.00 h, an hour before the first tail-flick measurement. Obestatin or aCSF were injected i.c.v. at 09.45 h. The analgesic response was measured 60, 90 and 120 min after the morphine injection.

2.6.3. The effect of obestatin on analgesic tolerance to morphine

To develop morphine tolerance, mice received either morphine (10 mg/kg, s.c.) or saline twice daily for four days, at 09.00 and 16.00 h. Mice were also treated with either obestatin or aCSF once a day at 09.45 h. On the fifth day, morphine was administrated only in the morning at 09.00 h. Obestatin treatment was the same as in the previous days. Analgesic effect was measured on the 1st, 3rd and 5th days in the morning at 10.00 h.

2.7. Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis of the elevated plus maze and open-field data was made by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Sidak post-hoc test. Tail-flick experiments were analyzed using two-way repeated measures ANOVA, where drug effect (between subjects), time effect (within subjects) and their interactions were analyzed. In presence of interactions between drug and time, drug differences depend on time and vice versa, so in case of a significant interaction, drug effects were tested on each time point and time differences were tested in each group by Sidak post-hoc test. A probability value, \( P < 0.05 \) was considered statistically significant.

3. Results

3.1. The effect of naloxone and obestatin on EPM behavior in mice treated with morphine

Obestatin alone had no effect on the EPM behavior compared to control mice. Obestatin treated mice undergoing withdrawal showed decreased tendency in both parameters (Fig. 1A, B) compared to the

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Day 1</th>
<th>Day 2</th>
<th>Day 3</th>
<th>Day 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a.m.</td>
<td>a.m.</td>
<td>a.m.</td>
<td>p.m.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Sal. + aCSF</td>
<td>Sal.</td>
<td>Sal. + aCSF</td>
<td>Sal.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Sal. + aCSF</td>
<td>Sal.</td>
<td>Sal. + aCSF</td>
<td>Sal.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Sal. + obestatin</td>
<td>Sal.</td>
<td>Sal. + obestatin</td>
<td>Sal.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 M 10 mg/kg and aCSF</td>
<td>M 10 mg/kg</td>
<td>M 20 mg/kg and aCSF</td>
<td>M 20 mg/kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 M 10 mg/kg and obestatin</td>
<td>M 10 mg/kg</td>
<td>M 20 mg/kg and obestatin</td>
<td>M 20 mg/kg</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Day 1</th>
<th>Day 2</th>
<th>Day 3</th>
<th>Day 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a.m.</td>
<td>a.m.</td>
<td>a.m.</td>
<td>p.m.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Sal. + aCSF</td>
<td>Sal.</td>
<td>Sal. + aCSF</td>
<td>Sal.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 M 10 mg/kg and aCSF</td>
<td>M 10 mg/kg</td>
<td>M 20 mg/kg and aCSF</td>
<td>M 20 mg/kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 M 10 mg/kg and aCSF</td>
<td>M 10 mg/kg</td>
<td>M 20 mg/kg and aCSF</td>
<td>M 20 mg/kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 M 10 mg/kg and obestatin</td>
<td>M 10 mg/kg</td>
<td>M 20 mg/kg and obestatin</td>
<td>M 20 mg/kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 M 10 mg/kg and obestatin</td>
<td>M 10 mg/kg</td>
<td>M 20 mg/kg and obestatin</td>
<td>M 20 mg/kg</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

bars represent the total activity; vertical lines on the top of the bars denote S.E. M.
morphine withdrawal mice that did not receive obestatin, but the differences were not significant (%OAT: \(F(4,38) = 7.11, P < 0.086\); %OAE: \(F(4,38) = 7.11, P < 0.227\)). Naloxone caused a significant increase in both parameters in morphine treated mice compared with control mice and mice treated with morphine [\(F(4,38) = 11.01, P < 0.002\)]. Morphine withdrawal mice receiving obestatin did not show significant changes in total activity compared to morphine withdrawal mice \(F(4,38) = 9.243, P = 0.682\) [Fig. 2].

### 3.2. The effect of graded doses of acute obestatin on OF behavior in mice

The 1.5 µg/2 µl dose of obestatin had a moderate decreasing effect on the percentage of time spent in the center compared to control mice so this dose of obestatin was selected for the following experiments. See the statistical data in Table 3.

### 3.3. The effect of naloxone on OF behavior in mice treated with obestatin

Naloxone alone had no effect on the percentage of time spent in the center and ambulation distance in the center. Mice treated with naloxone and obestatin did not show any changes in these parameters. See the statistical data in Table 4.

### 3.4. The effect of naloxone and obestatin on OF behavior in mice treated with morphine

Obestatin alone had no significant effect on both parameters compared to control mice. Obestatin significantly decreased the percentage of time spent in the center in mice undergoing naloxone-precipitated mild morphine withdrawal \(F(4,51) = 10.998, P < 0.045\) [Fig. 3B]. Obestatin had no significant effect on the percentage of ambulation distance in center in mice treated with morphine and naloxone \([F(4,51) = 13.149, P < 0.998]\) [Fig. 3A]. Naloxone precipitated mild morphine withdrawal caused significant increase in both parameters compared control mice and mice treated with morphine (the percentage of time spent in the center: \(F(4,51) = 10.998, P < 0.001\); the percentage of ambulation distance in the center: \(F(4,51) = 13.149, P < 0.005\)).

### 3.5. The analgesic effect of graded doses of acute obestatin

The 1.5 µg/2 µl dose of obestatin had a mild analgesic effect 15 min after peptide administration compared to control mice \([F(4,41) = 3.744, P < 0.055]\), so this dose of obestatin and time-interval were selected for the other tail-flick experiments. Drug–time interactions: (drug–time \(F(4,41) = 2.260, P < 0.033\); time \(F(4,41) = 4.001, P < 0.022\)) were significant; drug \(F(4,41) = 1.910, P < 0.129\) was not significant. See the statistical data in Table 5.

### 3.6. The effect of obestatin on analgesic effect induced by acute morphine treatment (1st day)

Mice treated with morphine showed significant higher pain sensitivity 90 and 120 min after morphine injection compared to first measurement (60 min) of the same group \([F(3,28) = 12.482, P < 0.001]\) and significant lower pain-related behavior compared with control in all time of measurements. Obestatin maintained the analgesic effect of morphine 90 and 120 min after morphine injection in mice treated with morphine receiving obestatin compared to mice treated with morphine (90 min: \([F(3,28) = 6.285, P < 0.001]\); 120 min: \([F(3,28) = 6.285, P < 0.001]\). Drug–time interactions (drug–time \(F(3,28) = 7.198, P < 0.001\); time \(F(3,28) = 7.198, P < 0.003\)) were significant [Fig. 4].

### 3.7. The effect of obestatin on analgesic tolerance to morphine

Morphine tolerant mice showed significant higher pain sensitivity on the 3rd and 5th days of experiments compared to the 1st day of the same group \([F(3,28) = 67.693, P < 0.001]\) and significant lower pain-related behavior compared with control on the 1st and 3rd days, but not on the 5th day. Morphine tolerant mice receiving obestatin displayed significant higher pain sensitivity on the 5th day compared with the 1st day of the same group \([F(3,28) = 8.693, P < 0.001]\). Obestatin diminished the analgesic tolerance to morphine on the 5th day in morphine tolerant mice receiving obestatin compared with morphine tolerant mice \([F(3,28) = 8.693, P < 0.001]\). Drug–time interactions (drug–time \(F(3,28) = 15.813, P < 0.001\)) were significant. See the statistical data in Table 5.

### Tables

#### Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters in open-field</th>
<th>aCSF control (16)</th>
<th>Obestatin 0.5 µg/2 µl (15)</th>
<th>Obestatin 1.0 µg/2 µl (15)</th>
<th>Obestatin 1.5 µg/2 µl (10)</th>
<th>Obestatin 2.0 µg/2 µl (15)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The percentage of time spent in the center (±) S.E.M.</td>
<td>6.77 ± 0.69</td>
<td>6.49 ± 0.68</td>
<td>5.71 ± 0.59</td>
<td>4.97 ± 1.3</td>
<td>6.31 ± 0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The percentage of ambulation distances in the center (±) S.E.M.</td>
<td>7.57 ± 0.81</td>
<td>6.89 ± 0.88</td>
<td>6.85 ± 0.69</td>
<td>5.91 ± 1.2</td>
<td>6.31 ± 0.32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Numbers in brackets show the numbers of mice used in these experiments.

#### Table 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters in open-field</th>
<th>Saline + aCSF (9)</th>
<th>Naloxone 0.2 mg/kg + aCSF (9)</th>
<th>Naloxone 0.2 mg/kg + obestatin 1.5 µg/2 µl (8)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The percentage of time spent in the center (±) S.E.M.</td>
<td>7.65 ± 0.8</td>
<td>6.44 ± 0.33</td>
<td>5.69 ± 0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The percentage of ambulation distances in the center (±) S.E.M.</td>
<td>11.56 ± 1.44</td>
<td>10.56 ± 0.8</td>
<td>10.01 ± 1.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Numbers in brackets show the numbers of mice used in these experiments.
Morphine administration increased %OAT and %OAE in rats in vivo treatment, but obestatin might exert its effect on mild morphine [27,28]. Moreover, ERK 1/2 inhibitors can attenuate the analgesic effect of morphine withdrawal increase the ERK 1/2 phosphorylation in mice point, it has been a poorly examined research and on analgesic action of morphine were investigated. Up to this point, it has been a poorly examined research field and the present data may provide a new orientation for obestatin research.

It is known that morphine treatment alone and naloxone precipitated morphine withdrawal increase the ERK 1/2 phosphorylation in mice [27,28]. Moreover, ERK 1/2 inhibitors can attenuate the analgesic effect evoked by morphine [29,30] and block the increased open arm-time after morphine withdrawal on EPM [31]. There is no data about the effect of obestatin on ERK 1/2 pathway in the central nervous system after in vivo treatment, but obestatin might exert its effect on mild morphine withdrawal and analgesia via regulation of ERK 1/2 pathway.

After chronic morphine treatment naloxone significantly increased the %OAT and %OAE compared to control mice and mice treated with morphine in the EPM (Fig. 1A,B). Our result supports the previous findings described by [22]. The same experimental protocol was used in the OF test. Mice undergoing mild withdrawal spent significantly more time and traveled significantly more distance in the center of the open field compared to the control mice and mice treated with morphine (Fig. 3A, B). To our knowledge this is the first study which has confirmed this effect of naloxone-precipitated mild morphine withdrawal in the open field test in mice. In contrast to the data obtained in mice, morphine administration increased %OAT and %OAE in rats [32–34].

Table 5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analgesic effect (%)</th>
<th>aCSF</th>
<th>Obestatin</th>
<th>Obestatin</th>
<th>Obestatin</th>
<th>Obestatin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S.E.M.</td>
<td>(8)</td>
<td>(8)</td>
<td>(10)</td>
<td>(9)</td>
<td>(7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 min after injection</td>
<td>3.26 ± 1.26</td>
<td>5.59 ± 1.06</td>
<td>6.25 ± 1.29</td>
<td>7.88 ± 0.93</td>
<td>2.53 ± 0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 min after injection</td>
<td>5.86 ± 1.47</td>
<td>5.86 ± 1.39</td>
<td>4.03 ± 1.53</td>
<td>8.64 ± 1.47</td>
<td>8.11 ± 1.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 min after injection</td>
<td>5.38 ± 1.26</td>
<td>7.26 ± 1.37</td>
<td>6.15 ± 1.41</td>
<td>9.04 ± 1.48</td>
<td>5.43 ± 1.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P < 0.001; time [F(3,28) = 25.473, P < 0.003]; drug [F(3,28) = 62.100, P < 0.003)] were significant (Fig. 5).

4. Discussion

In this study the effect of obestatin on mild morphine withdrawal and on analgesic action of morphine were investigated. Up to this point, it has been a poorly examined research field and the present data may provide a new orientation for obestatin research.

It is known that morphine treatment alone and naloxone precipitated morphine withdrawal increase the ERK 1/2 phosphorylation in mice [27,28]. Moreover, ERK 1/2 inhibitors can attenuate the analgesic effect evoked by morphine [29,30] and block the increased open arm-time after morphine withdrawal on EPM [31]. There is no data about the effect of obestatin on ERK 1/2 pathway in the central nervous system after in vivo treatment, but obestatin might exert its effect on mild morphine withdrawal and analgesia via regulation of ERK 1/2 pathway.

After chronic morphine treatment naloxone significantly increased the %OAT and %OAE compared to control mice and mice treated with morphine in the EPM (Fig. 1A,B). Our result supports the previous findings described by [22]. The same experimental protocol was used in the OF test. Mice undergoing mild withdrawal spent significantly more time and traveled significantly more distance in the center of the open field compared to the control mice and mice treated with morphine (Fig. 3A, B). To our knowledge this is the first study which has confirmed this effect of naloxone-precipitated mild morphine withdrawal in the open field test in mice. In contrast to the data obtained in mice, morphine administration increased %OAT and %OAE in rats [32–34].

and decreased these parameters during morphine withdrawal [21,35]. In line with literature, naloxone alone did not alter the behavior of mice in our experiments [22,36].

We injected obestatin 15 min prior to test in all experiments due to our dose–response data and rapid degradation of obestatin [37]. Obestatin showed maximal levels of ERK1/2 phosphorylation after 15 min of obestatin treatment in vitro [11]. In accordance with literature [13], obestatin alone had no effect on total activity compared with control mice (Fig. 2). Morphine withdrawal mice receiving obestatin also showed no significant changes in total activity compared to morphine withdrawal mice (Fig. 2). Chronic and acute administration of obestatin alone had no significant effect on EPM (Fig. 1A, B) and OF parameters (Fig. 3A, B), so we cannot support the results of the mentioned study in which obestatin caused a significant increase in the time spent in open arm in rats [13]. This contradiction alludes to the species-dependent impact of obestatin, although the amino acid-sequence of rat and mouse obestatin is completely the same. Obestatin displayed an inhibitory effect on %OAT in EPM and the time spent and ambulation distance in the center of the OF undergoing withdrawal. Although, our result was not significant in the EPM tests (P < 0.086), it followed the same tendency that we have recorded in the open field test after naloxone treatment.

The reduction of the analgesic effect of the single injection of morphine and the analgesic tolerance to morphine were confirmed using tail-flick assay. In tail-flick we also recorded that obestatin significantly prolonged the analgesic effect of acute morphine 90 and 120 min after morphine treatment (Fig. 4) and prevented the analgesic tolerance to morphine in the fifth day of chronic morphine treatment (Fig. 5).

The physiological role of obestatin in behavior and the underlying mechanism have not been elucidated, so there has been no exact explanation of these results yet. However, obestatin is produced by the gastrointestinal tract, the peripheral obestatin might cross the blood–brain barrier and enter the hippocampus and amygdala and by activating these brain regions they may modulate the behavior of rodents [13]. We administrated obestatin centrally in harmony with previous studies [38–41].

5. Conclusions

In conclusion, we observed that mice undergoing naloxone precipitated mild morphine withdrawal showed increased %OAE and %OAT on
EPM and in the percentage of center ambulation time and distance in OF. We also confirmed that chronic administration of obestatin into the central nervous system significantly diminished these effects of naloxone precipitated withdrawal in OF. We also discovered that obestatin prevented the decrease of analgesic effect of acute morphine and the analgesic tolerance to morphine in tail-flick test. Our data suggest that obestatin may have a physiological role in anxiety and analgesia regulated by the opioid system.
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