HgCdTe quantum wells grown by molecular beam epitaxy
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Abstract. Cd$_{x}$Hg$_{1-x}$Te-based ($x = 0 – 0.25$) quantum wells (QWs) of $8 – 22$ nm in thickness were grown on (013) CdTe/ZnTe/GaAs substrates by molecular beam epitaxy. The composition and thickness ($d$) of wide-gap layers (spacers) were $x \sim 0.7$ mol.frac. and $d \sim 35$ nm, respectively, at both sides of the quantum well. The thickness and composition of epilayers during the growth were controlled by ellipsometry in situ. It was shown that the accuracy of thickness and composition were $\Delta x = \pm 0.002$, $\Delta d = \pm 0.5$ nm. The central part of spacers (10 nm thick) was doped by indium up to a carrier concentration of $\sim 10^{15}$ cm$^{-3}$. A CdTe cap layer 40 nm in thickness was grown to protect QW. The compositions of the spacer and QWs were determined by measuring the $E_1$ and $E_1 + \Delta_1$ peaks in reflection spectra using layer-by-layer chemical etching. The galvanomagnetic investigations (the range of magnetic fields was 0 – 13 T) of the grown QW showed the presence of a 2D electron gas in all the samples. The 2D electron mobility $\mu_e = (2.4 – 3.5)\times10^5$ cm$^2$/V·s for the concentrations $N = (1.5 – 3)\times10^{11}$ cm$^{-2}$ ($x < 0.11$) that confirms a high quality of the grown QWs.
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1. Introduction

The interest to nanostructures based on II-VI narrow-gap semiconductors containing mercury (such as HgTe/CdTe superlattices) was due to their advantages in comparison with mercury cadmium telluride (MCT) alloys on the fabrication of high-quality multiple-unit infrared (IR) photodetectors firstly from the point of view of uniformity of a spectral response [1]. However, the first growth experimental results did not lead to high-quality nanostructures [2]. The development of molecular beam epitaxy (MBE) technique in last years allows one to grow nanostructures suitable for the development and fundamental investigations of devices. The authors in [3] demonstrated the growth of doped HgTe/HgCdTe superlattices which were used for the fabrication of very long wavelength IR photodetectors (cut-off wavelength over 20 µm). Microcavity Cd$_{0.60}$Hg$_{0.35}$Te/Cd$_{0.30}$Hg$_{0.70}$Te (350 nm / 250 nm in thickness) structures were grown by MBE and used to fabricate 3–3.5 µm IR photoemitters [4].

The fundamental characteristics of HgTe-based quantum wells (QWs) were intensively studied (see [5-8]). It was shown that the electron effective mass in HgTe-based QWs is lower than that in QWs of III-V compounds. This leads to a wider splitting of Landau levels, weaker electron localization, increasing the amplitude of Shubnikov–de Haas oscillations, and increasing the Rashba effect [5]. HgTe-based QWs with thicknesses over than 5 nm are characterized by the inverted conduction band (the band of light holes becomes similar to the conduction band) that is undoubtedly interesting for studying the electron behavior in such a system. It is interesting also the behavior of electrons in HgCdTe-based QWs at small Cd mole fractions. From fundamental considerations, this should lead to decreasing the band gap (up to a zero-gap semiconductor) with increase in the Cd content and, as a consequence, to changing the thickness, at which the band inversion occurs. So it is of interest to investigate HgCdTe-based QWs at increasing the Cd content beyond a zero-gap semiconductor, at which no band inversion occurs.
At the growth of nanostructures, the precise control over the MCT composition and thickness is very important. However, there are no data in the literature about providing such a control at the growth of QWs.

The layers thickness control at the growth of QWs on the basis of III-V compounds in Si-Ge system is carried out by measuring the reflection high energy electron diffraction (RHEED) oscillations. However, using RHEED at the growth of HgCdTe-based QWs is impossible for two reasons. First, the electron beam with an energy over than 15 keV essentially influences the growth process, which leads to variations in the surface morphology and MCT composition. Second, the RHEED oscillations are observed only during the growth on singular (001) orientations. However, because of technological reasons, the growth of MCT alloys is usually carried out on the vicinal (112)B, (013) orientations of substrates.

We showed a very effective use of ellipsometry for the growth of MCT heteroepitaxial structures (HS). This technique allows one to provide a high-precision control in situ of the MCT composition, thickness, and growth rates. This allows one to grow MCT HS with a special MCT composition profile throughout the thickness for different IR detectors [9-11]. The use of ellipsometry for the control over the nanolayers growth was firstly shown in [12]. The author in [13] presented the calculations of ellipsometric parameters changing at the HgTe/CdTe superlattices growth that ensures their possible effective use in practice. The ellipsometry control over the growth of MCT-based potential barriers, wells, and periodical structures with 100 nm in thickness was carried out in [14]. There, the possibility to grow nanostructures ellipsometrically controlled in situ at growth rates up to 3.5 µm/h was demonstrated. The accuracies of the determination of the MCT composition and thickness were \( \Delta x \approx \pm 0.002 \text{ mol.fract.} \) and \( \Delta d \approx 1 \text{ monolayer} \), respectively.

The aim of the present paper is to present the results of the growth of Hg\(_{1-x}\)Cd\(_x\)Te-based QWs (\( x = 0-0.25 \)) with precise ellipsometric control of the MCT composition and thickness in situ on (013) CdTe/ZnTeGaAs substrates and their magnetogalvanic investigation.

2. Experimental results and discussion

An MCT-based QW structure is shown schematically in Fig. 1. The QW growth was carried out on the MBE equipment of the “Ob-M”-type [11]. The buffer layers of ZnTe (0.3 µm) and sequence CdTe (5–7 µm) were grown on the atomic clean surface of (013) GaAs substrate preparing by chemical etching and thermal annealing in the As flux in an ultra vacuum chamber [15]. Then the growth of an MCT-based (\( x = 0-0.25 \)) QW with a spacer (\( x \approx 0.7 \)) was carried out on the CdTe buffer layer surface at a temperature of 180-190 °C. The doping of the central part of a spacer up to the carrier concentration \( 10^{15} \text{ cm}^{-3} \) was realized in situ with the use of an In conventional source [16]. The growth rate was 0.15 µm/h. The MCT composition during the growth was varied by a cadmium flux. An ultra fast single-beam (\( \lambda = 0.6328 \)) automatic ellipsometer LEF-755 (UFE) based on the original static scheme [17] was used for the control over the epilayer buffer thickness and the MCT composition and thickness.

At the epitaxial growth of a layer on the substrate with different optical constants, the decaying oscillations of the ellipsometric parameters \( \psi \) and \( \Delta \) were observed. The number of oscillations depends on the absorption coefficient of a growing layer and decreases with increase in its value. The oscillation amplitude is determined by the difference of the absorption coefficients of the substrate and the growing layer. One period of oscillations corresponds to a 100-nm MCT layer. At the growth of multilayer structures with thicknesses lower than 100 nm, one should observe sectionally smooth curves which correspond to the growth of MCT layers with constant composition. The length of a sectionally smooth curve corresponds to the thickness of a growing layer.

The evolution of the ellipsometric parameters \( \Delta \) and \( \psi \) during the growth of HgTe-based QWs is shown in Fig. 2. It is represented as a sectionally smooth curve in the \( \psi-\Delta \) plane. Smooth sections correspond to the constant MCT composition of layers. Their length determines the layer thickness. The initial point O corresponds to the ellipsometric parameters of the CdTe surface. The fabrication of a QW begins from the growth
Fig. 2. Evolution of the ellipsometric parameters $\Delta$ and $\psi$ during the growth of a HgTe-based QW. The points at breaks of the curve (O-C) correspond to the initial stage of growth of the following QW layer. Dots – experimental data; solid line and circles (through 1 nm) – calculated data. 

Fig. 3. Evolution of ellipsometric parameters $\Delta$ and $\psi$ at the wide-gap layer growth on the CdTe surface. Rhombs – experimental data. Lines 1-3 – calculated MCT composition $x = 0.75 \ (1)$, 0.735 (2), and 0.72 (3) and the following parameters: the angle of incident light – 67.9°±0.05°; $n_{\text{CdTe}} = 3.003$; $k_{\text{CdTe}} = 0.204$; $n_{\text{HgTe}} = 4.08$, and $k_{\text{HgTe}} = 1.16$. These parameters were determined by measuring the ellipsometric parameters during the growth of an epilayer with constant MCT composition. The thickness of the growing wide-gap layer is determined by the length of O-A curve. The thick transverse lines to O-A curve mean the line of equal thickness for different MCT compositions. The accuracy of the layer thickness is determined by the coincidence of experimental and calculated curves and the accuracy of the MCT optical constants for different compositions were obtained from the spectral measurements at the room or a lower temperature [22, 23]. But these data cannot be used for calculating the variation of ellipsometric parameters during the growth of a QW which occurs at much higher temperatures. Thus, we used the dependences of the optical constants [$n(x)$ and $k(x)$] on the MCT composition which were measured at room temperature [20] and then calculated them for temperatures of the growth using the experimentally determined thermooptical coefficients. The experimental data are in good agreement with the results of calculations (Fig. 2) within this procedure.

The procedure of determination of the MCT composition and the layer thickness for the growth of a wide-gap layer on the CdTe surface is shown in more details in Fig. 3. The point O corresponds to the ellipsometric parameters of the CdTe surface. After the start of the growth, a smooth variation of the ellipsometric parameters $\psi$ and $\Delta$ is observed (O-A sector). After point A, the growth of a HgTe layer is running. Lines 1-3 are the calculated data for the growth of MCT layers with different MCT compositions $x = 0.75 \ (1)$, 0.735 (2), and 0.72 (3) and the following parameters: the angle of incident light – 67.9°±0.05°; $n_{\text{CdTe}} = 3.003$; $k_{\text{CdTe}} = 0.204$; $n_{\text{HgTe}} = 4.08$, and $k_{\text{HgTe}} = 1.16$. These parameters were determined by measuring the ellipsometric parameters during the growth of an epilayer with constant MCT composition. The thickness of the growing wide-gap layer is determined by the length of O-A curve. The thick transverse lines to O-A curve mean the line of equal thickness for different MCT compositions. It is clear that the accuracy of the MCT composition and the layer thickness is determined by the coincidence of experimental and calculated curves and the accuracy of
the position of the inflection point. For the data in Fig. 2, the MCT composition was determined using the above-mentioned procedure as \( x = 0.735 \pm 0.002 \). The upper bound of the thickness determination accuracy does not exceed 0.5 nm, i.e., 1 monolayer. In an analogous manner, we performed the analysis of other parts of the curve in Fig. 2.

Thus, we determined the MCT compositions and the thicknesses of a HgTe-base QW. The determination accuracies of the MCT composition and the thickness were \( \Delta x \sim 0.002 \) and 0.5 nm, respectively.

The MCT compositions and thicknesses of layers of seven HgCdTe-based QWs are given in Table.

The MCT composition distribution throughout the thickness of a HgCdTe-based QW was measured by reflection spectra in the range 1.5 – 6 eV with layer-by-layer chemical etching in a 0.05 % Br-HBr solution.

The thickness of a layer removed by chemical etching was determined by the shifts of the interference maxima of transmission spectra in the range 1600 – 2300 cm\(^{-1}\). The measurements of the transmission spectra were carried out using an IR Fourier-spectrometer “Infralum FT-801” (2 – 25 \( \mu \)m). The positions of interference maxima are described by the relation \( 2n\delta = m \times 10^5/\nu \), where \( n \) – refraction index, \( \delta \) – thickness in \( \mu \)m, \( m \) – maximum order, and \( \nu \) – wavenumber in cm\(^{-1}\). It was easy to evaluate that a 1-nm variation of the CdTe thickness leads to a 0.3-cm\(^{-1}\) shift of interference maxima for 5.5-\( \mu \)m CdTe, and the refraction index \( n_{CdTe} = 2.8 \) (\( \nu = 1780 \) cm\(^{-1}\)). The accuracy of the determination of a position of interference maxima with the use of an “Infralum FT-801” is lower than 0.01 cm\(^{-1}\). Fig. 4 shows the shifts of interference maxima during the removal of a layer of 5486.8 nm in thickness at QW N 221 (see Table) under chemical etching. After the removal of 31.9-nm layers, the interference maxima shift to thicknesses of 5454.9 and 5430.0 nm, respectively. The thickness determination accuracy is lower than 0.1 nm.

The measurement of the reflection spectra of narrow-gap semiconductors (1.5-6 eV range) allows one to determine the shape of \( E_1 \) and \( E_1 + \Delta_1 \) peaks and their energy positions. These peaks are related to the critical points of the density of states for direct band gap transitions at energies over the band gap. For MCT compounds, the positions of peaks depend on the MCT composition, which allows one to determine \( x \) with accuracy \( \sim 0.5 \% \) [24]. Some formulas for the dependence of \( E_1 \) and \( E_1 + \Delta_1 \) peaks on the MCT composition are available in the literature [24, 25]. In the present work, we have measured the reflection spectra at the room temperature to determine the position of the \( E_1 \) and \( E_1 + \Delta_1 \) peaks for MCT epitaxial layers grown by MBE with a constant MCT composition (\( x = 0 - 1 \)).

These data are described by the following expressions:

\[
E_1 = 2.0944 + 0.5869\nu + 0.6295\nu^2, \quad (1)
\]

\[
E_1 + \Delta_1 = 2.7441 + 0.4895\nu + 0.6895\nu^2. \quad (2)
\]

These dependencies were used for the structure composition determination.

The reflection spectra of HgCdTe-based QWs were measured at room temperature using a “SPECORD UV-VIS” equipped by reflection attachments alternating with layer-by-layer chemical etching in a 0.05 % Br-HBr solution. The reflection from an Al mirror was used as the reference signal. Fig. 5 shows the reflection spectrum of QW N 221 of the initial surface (curve 1) and after three steps of chemical etching (curves 2-4). The peak at 3.31 eV (curve 1) corresponds to the \( E_1 \) transition in CdTe that is in agreement with [24] and with data for bulk CdTe (curve 1'). It seems that the peak near 2.2 eV is related to the interference of the incident light and the light reflected from QW interfaces.

After removing a 32-nm layer (curve 2), the peaks at 2.75 and 3.37 eV correspond to the \( E_1 \) and \( E_1 + \Delta_1 \) transitions for the MCT composition \( x \sim 0.67 \). Then, after removing a 57-nm layer (curve 3), the reflection spectrum is essentially changed. The peak at the 3.0 – 3.5-eV interval disappears. Apparently, the peaks at 2.11 and 2.76 eV correspond to the \( E_1 \) and \( E_1 + \Delta_1 \) transitions for the MCT composition \( x \sim 0.03 \). Finally, after removing a 89-nm layer (curve 4), peaks at 2.66 and 3.27 eV correspond to the \( E_1 \) and \( E_1 + \Delta_1 \) transitions for the MCT composition \( x \sim 0.67 \) that is similar to that observed on curve 2. After removing the QW, the reflection spectrum corresponds to the buffer CdTe layer (curve 1').

The results of measurements of the MCT composition by ellipsometry in situ and by reflection spectra with layer-by-layer chemical etching are in a good agreement. Really, we need to take into account the influence of thin layers with different compositions on the position of peaks because of the penetration of incident light through these layers and the interference effects.

For all the grown samples (Table, except for N 219), the magnetotransport measurements were carried out at temperatures of 1.6 – 4.2 K at a magnetic field up to 13 T using standard Hall bars (50 \( \mu \)m in width, and...
Table. Parameters of grown QW samples.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N</th>
<th>MCT composition of a wide-gap layer, $x_{\text{CdTe}}$</th>
<th>MCT composition of QW, $x_e$</th>
<th>Thickness of a CdTe cap layer, $d_{\text{CdTe}}$, nm</th>
<th>MCT composition of QW, $x_e$ from reflection spectra</th>
<th>Carrier concentration, $10^{11}$ cm$^{-2}$</th>
<th>Mobility, cm$^2$/V·s</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$d_1$, nm</td>
<td>$d_2$, nm</td>
<td>$d_3$, nm</td>
<td>$D_{\text{W}}$, nm</td>
<td>$d_4$, nm</td>
<td>$d_5$, nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>218</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td></td>
<td>34</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td></td>
<td>34</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>220</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>14.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td></td>
<td>38</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>221</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>230</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>15.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td></td>
<td>44</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>330</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td></td>
<td>46</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>331</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td></td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the quantum Hall effect regime, the well-pronounced plateaus and minima are observed in all the examined structures. As an example, the longitudinal dissipative ($\rho_{xx}$) and Hall ($\rho_{xy}$) components of magnetoresistance versus the magnetic field ($B$) are presented in Fig. 5 for the sample N 330. The wide plateaus in $\rho_{xx}$ and the corresponding wide minima in $\rho_{xy}$ are clearly observed even at 1.6 K. It is due to a small value of the effective mass of electrons in the two-dimensional electron gas (2DEG) in a HgTe QW and, respectively, a large distance between Landau levels. The more extensive investigation of the quantum Hall effect in the described samples demonstrates the presence of critical points which are some additional evidence for a high quality of the grown HgTe QW. Such a behavior of magnetoresistances is typical of 2DEG.

3. Conclusion

The narrow-gap Cd$_x$Hg$_{1-x}$Te-based ($x = 0.0–0.25$) QWs of 10.5–22 nm in thickness were grown on GaAs (013) substrates with precise in situ ellipsometric control over the MCT composition and thickness. The determination accuracies of the MCT composition and thickness were $\sim \pm 0.001$ mol. frac. and $\Delta d \sim 0.5$ nm, respectively.

The measurements of reflection spectra with layer-by-layer chemical etching qualitatively confirmed the results of in situ ellipsometric measurements.

We have demonstrated experimentally the reproducible process of growth of HgCdTe-based QWs.

We have obtained 2DEG with high values of the electron mobility $\mu_e = (2.4–3.5) \times 10^5$ cm$^2$/V·s for concentrations $N_e = (1.5–3) \times 10^{11}$ cm$^{-2}$ observed for all the grown QW structures, which indicates a high quality of
QW. The width of dissipative resistance minima and the extensive Hall quantization plateaus testify to a low effective mass of electrons in 2DEG.
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