

ROYAL SOCIETY
OPEN SCIENCE

rsos.royalsocietypublishing.org

Commentary   Check for updates

Cite this article: Norscia I, Palagi E. 2017
When do you scratch that itch? The relative
impact of different factors on scratching
depends on the selection of time scale and
timing. *R. Soc. open sci.* **4**: 170106.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rsos.170106>

Received: 4 February 2017

Accepted: 6 March 2017

Subject Category:

Biology (whole organism)

Subject Areas:

behaviour

Authors for correspondence:

Ivan Norscia

e-mail: ivan.norscia@gmail.com


Elisabetta Palagi

e-mail: elisabetta.palagi@unipi.it

When do you scratch that itch? The relative impact of different factors on scratching depends on the selection of time scale and timing

Ivan Norscia and Elisabetta Palagi

Museo di Storia Naturale, Università di Pisa, Via Roma 79, Calci, Pisa 56011, Italy

 EP, 0000-0002-2038-4596

In their interesting article, Duboscq *et al.* [1] used behavioural data to examine equitably a range of hypotheses on the factors that may cause scratching variations in their wild group of Japanese macaque (*Macaca fuscata fuscata*). They concluded that the animals scratched ‘primarily because of an immune/stimulus itch, possibly triggered by ectoparasite bites/movements’ [1, pp. 1–2] but did not exclude that other factors may have a secondary role. In this Commentary we explain why, in our opinion, the definition of the primary and secondary role of different factors, even within the same group and period, is not absolute but rather time scale and timing dependent. Among other types, stressors can be *acute* if they have rapid onset and/or short course or *chronic* if they extend over a prolonged period of time. The response to different types of stressors (e.g. threatening incidents, uncomfortable weather, ectoparasites) also reflects this difference [2,3].

The authors put forth different hypotheses on self-directed behaviours related to the effect that parasitological (presence of ectoparasites), environmental (temperature and humidity/rainfall) and social factors (e.g. aggression, proximity with higher ranking individuals, etc.) have in affecting the rates of self-directed behaviours, including scratching. Such hypotheses are presented as alternative in the first part of the Introduction [1, p. 2] and in the Method section [1, p. 8], but the authors themselves define them as ‘mutually non-exclusive’ [1, p. 3] and test

them via different statistical models in which the three groups of factors are included either separately or together. Other than not being alternative, the hypotheses can no longer be considered as such with the present level of knowledge. As Duboscq *et al.* [1] also explain, in either the Introduction [1, p. 2] or Discussion [1, p. 11], that ectoparasites can directly trigger 'immune' itch (via immune response) [4,5] whereas temperature and stress can cause non-immune itch. In particular, the environmental temperature, by possibly causing skin temperature changes, can activate C neural sensory fibres, involved in the sensation of itch [6]. In humans and other mammals, stress hormones are related to skin inflammatory processes and can also lead to dermatitis and itching [7]. Whatever the triggering stressor (or stressors) may be, itching activates the scratch reflex. Moreover, as briefly pointed out by Duboscq *et al.* [1], the strong linkage between stress-induced hormones and self-directed behaviours has been demonstrated in monkeys via pharmacological trials [8]. In macaques, self-scratching is sensitive to anxiolytic and anxiogenic substances [9]. In primates, spanning lemurs and apes, the scratching rates measured in the minutes following a stressful event can be reliably used to measure anxiety and are sensitive to different kinds of homeostasis perturbation (e.g. predation attempt, aggression, proximity of dominant individuals) [10–15]. Hence, the association between self-directed behaviours, and particularly scratching, with social, environmental and parasitological factors can be considered as more than just a hypothesis.

Once established that the different factors are not alternative and that their relationship with scratching has been demonstrated, it is worth focusing on the role that each factor can have in relation to the time scale. We will particularly focus on scratching. The framework of Duboscq *et al.* [1] could benefit from considering not *if* but *when* a certain factor can be more effective than another in producing scratching. Stressors can be additive but they operate in the short- or long-term depending on whether they are transient (acute) or prolonged (chronic) [3]. Thus, if concurrently present they can have a combined action on the behavioural response. However, determining the relative effect of each factor on scratching may not be possible all at once by using a single methodological approach because the effect of multiple stressors cannot be quantified using incomparable time scales. Normalizing the behavioural bouts over the observation minutes does not solve the problem because, as we explain below, timing (*when*) other than time scale (*how long*) is fundamental.

In the case of the Duboscq *et al.* study group, the scratching due to long-lasting ectoparasite load (the authors use monthly average values for this variable) can be the primary component of the overall baseline scratching levels. However, this does not exclude the possibility that scratching can significantly increase—compared with baseline—in response to a transient stressor, such as a conflict. In the short period around the event, the aggression can be primarily responsible for scratching variation but only a sequential, temporal analysis can unveil this aspect [16]. The scratching reaction (activated by itching) to an episodic stressful event is a matter of minutes. For this reason, previous studies on post-conflict behaviour considered the exact time of the aggressive event (t_0) and the tight time window ($t_0 - t_1$) immediately following the aggressive event in order to detect the variation of scratching levels [17]. The variation observed between time t_0 and t_1 cannot be linked to parasite load if the load is not significantly different between t_0 and t_1 . There is no reason to believe that, in the absence of any other additional perturbing factor, the ectoparasite load varies significantly in the minutes immediately preceding and following the stressful event. Of course, an extra control measure can be taken in this respect if deemed necessary. It may be questioned that in the short term a change in the parasite activity (e.g. in response to temperature, humidity or even solar radiation [18–22]), and not in the load, could possibly cause an increase in the scratching levels. However, this aspect was not tested in Duboscq *et al.* [1]. Another type of study design, involving the detection of parasite positions, movements and temporal analyses, would be necessary to evaluate this aspect.

In conclusion, the relative weight of the different factors affecting scratching can change depending on the time scale and timing selected. The article by Duboscq *et al.* [1] is valuable in explaining the chronic cause of scratching in their study group and site. However, other types of stressors, such as severe conflicts, can still have a primary, and not a secondary, effect on scratching when acute stressors come into play. We thank the authors because through their work they have opened new interesting scenarios on how to investigate the scratching responses related to stressors that operate under different time frames.

Author contributions. Both authors contributed equally to this work.

Competing interests. We declare we have no competing interests.

Funding. We received no funding for this study.

References

- Duboscq J, Romano V, Sueur C, MacIntosh AJJ. 2016 Scratch that itch: revisiting links between self-directed behaviour and parasitological, social and environmental factors in a free-ranging primate. *R. Soc. open sci.* **3**, 160571. (doi:10.1098/rsos.160571)
- Sapolsky RM, Romero LM, Munck AU. 2000 How do glucocorticoids influence stress responses? Integrating permissive, suppressive, stimulatory, and preparative actions. *Endocr. Rev.* **21**, 55–89. (doi:10.1210/edrv.21.1.0389)
- Greenberg N, Carr JA, Summers CH. 2002 Causes and consequences of stress. *Integr. Comp. Biol.* **42**, 508–516. (doi:10.1093/icb/42.3.508)
- Alexander JO. 1986 The physiology of itch. *Parasitol. Today* **2**, 345–351. (doi:10.1016/0169-4758(86)90055-4)
- Murray MD. 1987 Arthropods—the pelage of mammals as an environment. *Int. J. Parasitol.* **17**, 191–195. (doi:10.1016/0020-7519(87)90040-3)
- Potenzieri C, Undem BJ. 2012 Basic mechanisms of itch. *Clin. Exp. Allergy* **42**, 8–19. (doi:10.1111/j.1365-2222.2011.03791.x)
- Chen Y, Lyga J. 2014 Brain-skin connection: stress, inflammation and skin aging. *Inflamm. Allergy Drug Targets* **13**, 177–190. (doi:10.2174/1871528113666140522104422)
- Troisi A. 2002 Displacement activities as a behavioral measure of stress in nonhuman primates and human subjects. *Stress* **5**, 47–54. (doi:10.1080/102538902900012378)
- Schino G, Perretta G, Tagliani AM, Monaco V, Troisi A. 1996 Primate displacement activities as an ethopharmacological model of anxiety. *Anxiety* **2**, 186–191. (doi:10.1002/(SICI)1522-7154(1996)2:4<186::AID-ANXIS>3.0.CO;2-M)
- Norscia I, Palagi E. 2011 When play is a family business: adult play, hierarchy, and possible stress reduction in common marmosets. *Primates* **52**, 101–104. (doi:10.1007/s10329-010-0228-0)
- Sclafani V, Norscia I, Antonacci D, Palagi E. 2012 Scratching around mating: factors affecting anxiety in wild *Lemur catta*. *Primates* **53**, 247–254. (doi:10.1007/s10329-012-0294-6)
- Palagi E, Norscia I. 2011 Scratching around stress: hierarchy and reconciliation make the difference in wild brown lemurs (*Eulemur fulvus*). *Stress* **14**, 93–97. (doi:10.3109/10253890.2010.505272)
- Kutsukake N. 2003 Assessing relationship quality and social anxiety among wild chimpanzees using self-directed behaviour. *Behaviour* **140**, 1153–1171. (doi:10.1163/156853903322589687)
- Fraser ON, Stahl D, Aureli F. 2008 Stress reduction through consolation in chimpanzees. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **105**, 8557–8562. (doi:10.1073/pnas.0804141105)
- Polizzi di Sorrentino E, Schino G, Tiddi B, Aureli F. 2012 Scratching as a window into the emotional responses of wild tufted capuchin monkeys. *Ethology* **118**, 1072–1084. (doi:10.1111/eth.12008)
- Palagi E, Dall'Olio S, Demuru E, Stanyon R. 2014 Exploring the evolutionary foundations of empathy: consolation in monkeys. *Evol. Hum. Behav.* **35**, 341–349. (doi:10.1016/j.evolhumbehav.2014.04.002)
- Duboscq J, Agil M, Engelhardt A, Thierry B. 2014 The function of postconflict interactions: new prospects from the study of a tolerant species of primate. *Anim. Behav.* **87**, 107–120. (doi:10.1016/j.anbehav.2013.10.018)
- James PJ, Moon RD, Brown DR. 1998 Seasonal dynamics and variation among sheep in densities of the sheep biting louse, *Bovicola bovis*. *Int. J. Parasitol.* **28**, 283–292. (doi:10.1016/S0020-7519(97)00188-4)
- Murray MD. 1960 The ecology of lice on sheep. I. The influence of skin temperature on populations of *Linognathus pedalis* (Osborne). *Aust. J. Zool.* **8**, 349–356. (doi:10.1071/ZO9600349)
- Murray MD. 1960 The ecology of lice on sheep. II. The influence of temperature and humidity on the development and hatching of the eggs of *Damalinea ovis* (L.). *Aust. J. Zool.* **8**, 357–362. (doi:10.1071/ZO9600357)
- Murray MD. 1963 The ecology of lice on sheep. V. Influence of heavy rain on populations of *Damalinea ovis* (L.). *Aust. J. Zool.* **11**, 173–182. (doi:10.1071/ZO9630173)
- Murray MD. 1963 The ecology of lice on sheep. VI. The influence of shearing and solar radiation on populations and transmission of *Damalinea ovis*. *Aust. J. Zool.* **11**, 173–182. (doi:10.1071/ZO9630173)