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Graphical Abstract

Fe$_3$O$_4$@polyaniline yolk-shell micro/nanospheres synthesized by a facile silica-assisted solution phase method show excellent bifunctional properties when used as lithium ion battery anodes and electromagnetic wave absorbers.
Highlights

- Fe$_3$O$_4$@polyaniline yolk-shell micro/nanospheres were synthesized.
- The sample exhibits excellent lithium storage properties.
- The sample possesses good electromagnetic wave absorption properties.
- The excellent bifunctional properties are associated with the unique micro/nanostructures.

ABSTRACT

Unique Fe$_3$O$_4$/polyaniline (PANI) composite with yolk-shell micro/nanostructure (FPys) has been successfully synthesized by a facile silica-assisted in-situ polymerization and subsequent etching strategy. The structural and compositional studies of the FPys composites are performed by employing X-ray diffraction (XRD), and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS). The yolk-shell morphology of the products is confirmed by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM) observations. When evaluated as anode material for lithium-ion batteries, the as-prepared FPys electrodes deliver superior capacity, better cycling stability and rate capability than those of bare Fe$_3$O$_4$ micro/nanospheres and Fe$_3$O$_4$/PANI core-shell (FPcs) electrodes. Moreover, FPys also exhibits excellent electromagnetic wave absorption performance when comparing to the synthesized Fe$_3$O$_4$-based electromagnetic wave absorbers, in which strong reflection loss and extensive response bandwidth can be achieved simultaneously. The excellent bifunctional properties of FPys material are associated with the specially designed hierarchical micro/nanostructures. The current strategy that application directed structural design can be applied to the synthesis of other multifunctional materials.
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1. Introduction

Energy and environment are important factors that affect the sustainable development of the society [1]. Typical examples include the expanding of various electronic and communication devices in the past decades. Those techniques definitely facilitate and enrich the daily life, however, energy usage and environment protection related issues raise at the same time. Firstly, the pace of R&D for energy storage (such as lithium ion batteries, LIBs) and mobile devices should be coordinated [2]. Secondly, the environment pollution induced by the excessive electromagnetic radiation must be solved [3, 4]. In this regard, multifunctional material that simultaneously possesses excellent lithium storage and electromagnetic wave absorption abilities would be highly needed. Design and synthesis of those materials with suitable size, morphology, and composition are essential to achieve the required multifunction since the overall device performance is dependent on those structural parameters [5-7].

LIBs with long cycle life, high capacity, and good rate capability attract considerable responsiveness. The specific energy of the commercialized LIBs is insufficient due to the low capacity of electrode materials [8, 9]. Therefore, developing advanced electrodes is one of the key elements that relates to the battery performance improvement. In recent years, great efforts have been paid to design high performance anodes, including carbon materials, alloys, transition-metal compounds, and their composites [10-14]. Among them, magnetite (Fe₃O₄) has been extensively studied for anode materials due to the obvious advantages including environmental benignity, natural abundance, low cost, and high theoretical capacity (926 mA h g⁻¹, higher than the
commercial used graphite with a capacity of 372 mA h g\(^{-1}\)) [15, 16]. The storage of lithium in Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) anodes is through electrochemical conversion reaction mechanism (Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) + 8Li\(^+\) + 8e\(^-\) ↔ 3Fe + 4Li\(_2\)O) [17]. This reaction, however, is not efficient and reversible in bulk Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) electrodes. In addition, the Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) bulk anode undergoes unavoidable large volume expansion and severe particle aggregation during charging and discharging process, which results in the deterioration of reversible capacity and poor cycling stability. To improve the battery durability, reversible capacity, as well as rate capability of Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) anodes, a wide range of nanostructures with optimized morphology, composition, and assembly have been designed and synthesized [18-20]. Those nanostructures not only offer extra active sites for lithium storage, but also facilitate the rapid and efficient transportation for mass and ion/electron, and accommodate the localized strain generation during continuous cycling. Combining the anodes with conducting mediums, such as carbon nanotube/fiber, graphene, or conducting polymers, is another effective method to improve the battery performance [21-37]. Those nanocomposites can prevent the electrode cracking and improve the electrode kinetics through conductivity enhancement. Polyaniline (PANI) represents one of the most attractive conducting polymers thanks to its excellent conductivity, chemical/physical stability, and commercial viability, and it is also widely used as a efficient conducting medium to prepare metal oxides and PANI hybrids with improved lithium storage properties [38, 39]. For example, Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) nanorods and PANI composite anodes show a reversible capacity of 778 mA h g\(^{-1}\) at a current of 1.0 A g\(^{-1}\) after 100 cycles [40]. Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) nanourchins modified with PANI layers possess good electrochemical properties after long term cycling [41]. Although different kinds of Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) and PANI composites have been synthesized by employing a wide range of polymerization routes or self-assembly methods [42-46], less attention has been
paid to study the lithium storage properties of Fe₃O₄/PANI composite anodes.

With regard to the electromagnetic wave absorption applications, materials should possess the properties of strong magnetic and dielectric loss, as well as suitable impedance matching. Fe₃O₄ nanostructures are considered as promising electromagnetic wave absorber due to the unique magnetic feature and strong spin polarization, which are favorable for the magnetic loss [47]. However, the intrinsic low complex permittivity in Fe₃O₄ materials leads to poor dielectric loss and thus microwave absorption properties. To boost the electromagnetic wave absorption ability of Fe₃O₄ materials, strategies based on integrating Fe₃O₄ nanostructures with different components (such as carbon materials and conducting polymers) and microstructure design on the nanoscale have been proposed [48]. Typically, Fe₃O₄/PANI composites were constructed to adjust and improve the dielectric loss of Fe₃O₄ structures [49]. Fe₃O₄ nanowires, nanorings, and other hierarchical nanostructures were synthesized to yield multiple reflection and scattering of the incident waves inside the nanoarchitectures, which are helpful to attenuating the electromagnetic waves [50, 51]. Combining the composting and microstructure control together will be a possible route to further enhance the electromagnetic wave absorption properties of Fe₃O₄ materials.

Considering the similar microstructure requirements for the applications in LIB anodes and electromagnetic wave absorption, in this work, we design and synthesize bifunctional Fe₃O₄@polyaniline yolk-shell (FPys) micro/nanospheres via a facile silica-assisted solution phase route. Microstructure characterizations showed that the yolks (Fe₃O₄ micro/nanospheres) were assembled by nanoparticles with porous structures, and void spaces existed between the inner Fe₃O₄ and outer PANI parts, indicating the formation of typical yolk-shell structures. Such unique hierarchical nanostructures enhance the physical and chemical properties. For example, the
micro/nanoarchitecture is favored for preventing the aggregation of the subunits during the usage, the porous yolks and void spaces can ensure short transport length for lithium ions and electrons, and also provide extra sites for ion storage, and the PANI layer can effectively enhance the conductivity. Moreover, this structural design based on FPys micro/nanospheres can not only improve the dielectric loss, but also reinforce the reflection loss from the incident electromagnetic waves (Scheme 1). The resultant FPys micro/nanospheres thus show excellent bifunctional properties in terms of lithium storage and electromagnetic wave absorption, which are superior to those of Fe₃O₄@polyaniline core-shell (FPcs) and bare Fe₃O₄ micro/nanospheres. The current strategy that application directed structural design can also be applied to the synthesis of other high-performance-multifunction materials.

2. Experimental

2.1. Materials synthesis

*Synthesis of Fe₃O₄ micro/nanospheres:* The Fe₃O₄ microspheres were synthesized by a facile hydrothermal method. In a typical synthesis, an ethylene glycol solution (40 mL) containing iron(III) chloride hexahydrate (FeCl₃·6H₂O, 1.35 g) and sodium acetate anhydrous (CH₃COONa, 3.3 g) was added into a 50 mL Teflon-lined stainless-steel autoclave. The homogeneous mixture was stirred for 30 min and then maintained at 200 °C for 10 h. The obtained precipitates were washed with ethanol and deionized water several times and then dried at 60 °C.

*Synthesis of FPys micro/nanospheres:* Fe₃O₄ microspheres were coated with silica shells those synthesized by a modified Stöber method [52, 53]. The products were dispersed in ethanol (300 mL) by sonication with the subsequent addition of polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP, 0.1 g). Aniline
solution (0.5 mL) was then injected into the above mixture. After stirring for 5 min, HCl (25.0 mL, 1.21 mol/L) containing ammonium peroxydisulfate (APS, 1.55 g) was added. To achieve a full polymerization, the suspension was kept on stirring at ~0 °C for 24 h. The SiO₂ spacer shell was removed with NaOH solution to yield the final FPys micro/nanospheres.

2.2. Structural characterization

The morphology, composition as well as crystal structure of the products were examined by field-emission scanning electron microscope (FESEM; Hitachi, S5500, 5 kV; Zeiss, MERLIN, 5 kV), transmission electron microscope equipped with an energy dispersive X-ray (EDX) system (TEM; FEI, Tecnai T20 G², 200 kV; JEOL, JEM-2100, 200 kV), X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS, Escalab 250, Al Kα) and thermal gravimetric analysis (TGA; Netzsch-STA 449C). Phase structure and surface functional groups were determined by a Bruker Model D8 Advance powder X-ray diffractometer (XRD) with Cu Kα irradiation (λ = 1.5418 Å) and a Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR; Spotlight 3000). The Bruauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) surface area was measured by nitrogen adsorption-desorption isotherm at 77 K (Micromeritics ASAP 2010 system). The nitrogen adsorption volume at the relative pressure (P/P₀) of 0.994 was used to analyze the pore volume and average pore size.

2.3. Electrochemical measurements

The working electrodes for electrochemical performance measurements were constructed by mixing the active materials, conductive carbon black and carboxymethyl cellulose (weight ratio 80:10:10) in the Milli-Q water. The yielded slurry was spread onto a copper foil with typical active material loading of 3.2-4.5 mg cm⁻². The electrode was dried at 120 °C for 5 h before cut into disks with diameter of 12 mm and dried again in vacuum at 100 °C for 24 h. CR 2032 coin-type half
cells were assembled inside an Ar-filled glove box by using a lithium metal foil as the counter electrode and the reference electrode and microporous polypropylene as the separator. The electrolyte was made by dissolving 1M LiPF₆ in a mixture of ethylene carbonate (EC), propylene carbonate (PC), and diethyl carbonate (DEC) (volume ratio EC/PC/DEC = 3:1:1). The electrochemical tests were performed on a LAND battery test unit at room temperature.

Galvanostatic charge and discharge of the assembled cells were evaluated at a current density of 100 mA g⁻¹ between voltage limits of 0.05 and 3 V (vs. Li⁺/Li) for 50 cycles. For the high rate tests, the discharge current gradually increased from 50 mA g⁻¹ to 100, 500 and 1000 mA g⁻¹, and then decreased to 50 mA g⁻¹. The cyclic voltammogram (CV) was recorded between 0.05 and 3 V (vs. Li⁺/Li) at a scan rate of 0.5 mV s⁻¹ by using a CHI 660D electrochemical workstation (Chenhua Instrument, Shanghai). Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS, IM6, Zahner) was carried out at open circuit potential by applying an AC voltage of 5 mV over a frequency range of 100 kHz to 0.01 Hz.

2.4. Electromagnetic wave absorption measurements

The electromagnetic parameters were measured by an Agilent N5244A vector network analyzer (VNA, USA) with coaxial method. The samples were dispersed in paraffin wax with a mass ratio of 1:1, and then pressed into toroidal shape with outer diameters of 7 mm and inner diameters of 3 mm. The relative complex permittivity (ε_r, ε_r=ε'−jε'') and permeability (μ_r, μ_r=μ'−jμ'') were determined from S-parameters taking advantage of the simulation program of the Reflection/Transmission Nicolson-Ross model [54]. The reflection loss was calculated by the following equation [42-47]:

\[ RL(\text{dB}) = 20 \log_{10} \left| \frac{Z_{in} - 1}{Z_{in} + 1} \right| \]

where \( Z_{in} \) is the input impedance.
\[ Z_n = \sqrt{\mu / \varepsilon} \tanh\left( \frac{1}{2} \pi \sqrt{\mu / \varepsilon} \right) \text{, where } f, D, \text{ and } c \text{ represent the electromagnetic wave frequency in free space, coating thickness of the absorbent, and velocity of light, respectively.} \]

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Morphology and microstructure of the samples

Fig. 1 displays XRD pattern of the FPys micro/nanospheres. The pattern of Fe$_3$O$_4$ micro/nanospheres is also shown for comparison. The diffraction peaks of the samples match well with the standard pattern of magnetite Fe$_3$O$_4$ with inverse spinel structure (JCPDS card No. 19-0629, \( a = 8.396 \, \text{Å} \)). The samples are high purity since no other diffraction peaks from possible impurities are observed. The relatively high peak intensities and broad peak widths imply that the samples are highly crystalline with small size. The crystallite sizes \( d \) of Fe$_3$O$_4$ phase in the samples can be estimated from the physical breadth of diffraction peaks as expressed by the Scherrer formula: \( d = \frac{0.89\lambda}{\beta \cos \theta} \), where \( \lambda \) is the X-ray wavelength, \( \beta \) is the full width at half maximum of the diffraction peak, and \( \theta \) is the diffraction angle \([55]\). The average crystallite sizes of Fe$_3$O$_4$ phase in FPys and Fe$_3$O$_4$ micro/nanospheres are calculated to be \(~12.5 \) and \(~13.7 \) nm by using (311) diffraction peak. For FPys sample, no distinct diffraction peaks corresponding to PANI are detected which may due to its amorphous nature.

The presence of PANI in FPys sample is confirmed by FTIR technique, which is able to identify functional groups in organic molecules based on the vibration modes at different infrared wave numbers. Typical FTIR spectra for FPys and PANI show similar character in the low wavenumber region (Fig. S1). In the spectrum for pure PANI sample, the peaks at \(~1561 \) and \(~1483 \, \text{cm}^{-1} \) are accounting for the C=C stretching vibration of the quinoid and benzene rings, showing the
presence of PANI with a conducting state (emeraldine salt) [56]. The vibration modes at ~1298 and 1111 cm\(^{-1}\) are due to the C-N stretching vibration of the secondary aromatic amine and C=N stretching vibration (-N=quinoid=N-) in the aromatic ring [57]. The modes located between 850 and 500 cm\(^{-1}\) are corresponding to the C-H vibration of benzene rings [58]. The FTIR results for FPyS sample show combined characteristic of both Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) and PANI, demonstrating the existence of PANI in the FPyS composites [59]. The content of PANI is further determined by TGA and derivative thermogravimetric (DTG) studies as shown in Fig. S2. The pristine PANI shows a gradual decrease in mass from room temperature to 250 °C due to the desorption of residual water or other possible smaller oligomeric units. Starting from ~300 °C, the mass decreasing is associated with the loss of acid dopant in PANI. The PANI polymer is completely combusted above 600 °C. The thermal gravimetric response for FPyS micro/nanospheres show similar trends. A small weight loss before 100 °C is attributed to the evaporation of gaseous content, moisture and trace ethanol. The degradation of PANI can be observed at ~250 °C, which stops at ~450 °C. Both the temperatures are lower than those for the pristine PANI, indicating the polymer is more thermal active when composting with Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) micro/nanospheres. The total mass loss of 22.5% taking place in the temperature range of 250 -450 °C can be ascribed to the combustion of PANI and oxidation of Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) to Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) in the air atmosphere. Based on the mass change and the oxidation reaction of Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) (4 Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) + O\(_2\) = 6 Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\)) [60, 61], the contents of PANI and Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) in the FPyS micro/nanospheres are estimated to be ~25.3 wt% and 74.7 wt%, respectively.

Typical FESEM image (Fig. 2a) of the FPyS sample show that high density spheres with submicrometer (200-600 nm) diameter and rough surface are dispersed over the substrate. Higher magnification FESEM image shown in Fig. 2b displays an individual micro/nanosphere with
broken shell. The void space between the Fe$_3$O$_4$ and PANI part indicated by white arrows unambiguously shows the yolk-shell structural nature of the micro/nanospheres. TEM images (Fig. 2c-e) show that the inner Fe$_3$O$_4$ spheres possess a diameter ranging from 200 to 500 nm, while the PANI shells with lower contrast are coated on to the Fe$_3$O$_4$ with void spaces (see the white arrows). The selected area electron diffraction (SAED) pattern (Fig. 2f) indicates the FPys sample is polycrystalline structure, and the Fe$_3$O$_4$ yolks are assembled by primary particle with size of ~10 nm and there exists porous gap between each particle (Fig. 2e). HRTEM images (Fig. 2g-i) exhibit clear lattice fringes with spacing values of 4.83-4.87, 2.51-2.53, 2.11, and 2.91 Å, which are in good agreement with the (111), (311), (400), and (220) crystalline planes of cubic-phase Fe$_3$O$_4$. The amorphous PANI shells without lattice fringe are also clearly observed. The EDX result (Fig. 2c inset) shows that the FPys sample is composed of Fe, O, N (the Cu signal comes from the supported Cu grip), and the atomic ratio is ~3:4 for element Fe to element O, also confirming the formation of FPys composites. Reference samples were also synthesized for structural studies as shown in Fig. S3-7. The results indicate that the size of Fe$_3$O$_4$ micro/nanospheres in the different samples is similar, while there is no PANI coatings in pure Fe$_3$O$_4$ micro/nanospheres, and a close contact is formed between Fe$_3$O$_4$ and PANI in the FPCs micro/nanospheres. Elemental mapping results (Fig. S8) of the three samples further demonstrate the spatial distribution of Fe, O, and N elements, which agree well with the TEM results.

The chemical composition and surface oxidation state of FPys and Fe$_3$O$_4$ micro/nanospheres were analyzed by XPS in the region of 0-1350 eV. Fig. 3a shows the survey XPS spectrum of FPys sample that contains Fe, O, N, and C elements, which are in accordance with the EDX and elemental mapping results.
The high-resolution XPS spectrum of Fe 2p (Fig. 3b) shows two main binding energy peaks centered at ~710.7 and 724.7 eV, which are corresponding to the electronic states of Fe 2p3/2 and Fe 2p1/2, respectively. The two peaks can be fitted with two pair spin-orbit doublets those are the characteristic peaks of Fe^{2+} and Fe^{3+} [62, 63]. The ratio of Fe^{3+}/Fe^{2+} calculated from the peak area is 2.1:1, which is close to the theoretical value of 2:1 in Fe_{3}O_{4}. It should be noted that the absent of satellite peak situated at ~719-720 eV excludes the possibility of surface oxidation of Fe_{3}O_{4} [63]. De-convolution of the O1s peak (Fig. 3c) show three distinct peaks centered at 532.9, 531.3, and 530.1 eV, which are related to the molecular water in the sample or adsorbed on the surface, defects related OH- group, and lattice oxygen [64, 65]. The N1s peak (Fig. 3d) is deconvoluted into two components with peaks at 400.1 and 399.2 eV, which are assigned to pyrrolic-N (-NH-) and pyridine-N (=N-), respectively [66, 67]. Fig. 3e shows the high-resolution XPS spectrum of Fe 2p region for Fe_{3}O_{4} micro/nanospheres. Besides the main peaks those corresponding to Fe 2p3/2 (710.3 eV) and Fe 2p1/2 (723.9 eV), a satellite peak situated at ~718.8 eV, which is a characteristic peak of Fe^{3+} in γ-Fe_{2}O_{3} phase, can be distinguished [63]. The results indicate the surface of Fe_{3}O_{4} micro/nanospheres is partly oxidized to γ-Fe_{2}O_{3}. As shown in Fig. 3f, the O 1s spectrum can be fitted with defects related OH- group (530.8 eV) and lattice oxygen peak (529.7 eV), respectively. The surface area and porous structure of FPys and Fe_{3}O_{4} micro/nanospheres were studied by measuring nitrogen adsorption–desorption isotherms at 77 K (Fig. 4a, b).

The BET surface area for FPys micro/nanospheres is 78.9 m² g⁻¹. The corresponding pore size curve confirms the mesopores and micropores size distribution based on the
Barret-Joyner-Halende (BJH) method. The micropores could be ascribed to the assembly of the primary Fe₃O₄ particle with size of sub-10 nm into the yolks (Fig. 2e, g), while the mesopores mainly come from the gap between the Fe₃O₄ yolks and PANI shells with loose nature (Fig. 2b-d). For comparison, the surface area of Fe₃O₄ micro/nanospheres is 86.5 m² g⁻¹, which is a little larger than that of FPys sample. The large surface area and hierarchical microporous/mesoporous pore structures in FPys micro/nanospheres are important for lithium storage, due to the capability of providing extra active sites for the reaction with lithium ions and facilitating rapid ion transport and mass diffusion. It is anticipated that the FPys micro/nanospheres should show good lithium-storage properties.

3.2. Lithium storage properties

The lithium storage properties of the samples were investigated by assembling CR 2032 coin-type half cells. Fig. 5a shows the CV curves of FPys electrode for the first three cycles. In the first scan, an obvious reduction peak at ~0.55 V that assigned to the irreversible conversion from Fe₃O₄ to Fe/Li₂O is observed [68, 69]. The corresponding oxidation peak at ~1.86 V is ascribed to the reaction of metallic iron into Fe₃O₄ [68, 69]. In the following two cycles, the peaks especially reduction peaks shift to higher potential direction, which may due to the irreversible structural change of Fe₃O₄ from the spinel to the rock salt type during the cycling [70]. The CV graph for FPcs electrode (Fig. S9) shows the similar electrochemical behavior with that of FPys sample. In the CV curves of Fe₃O₄ micro/nanospheres electrode (Fig. S10), the sharp peak at ~0.61 V in the anodic sweep and the peak at ~1.66 V in the cathodic sweep of the first scan are consistent with previous reports [16, 19, 20]. In the second and third scans, the cathodic peak shifts to ~0.76 V, while the anodic peak position does not show obvious change. The galvanostatic charge/discharge
voltage profiles are measured at a current density of 100 mA g\(^{-1}\) in the voltage range of 0.05–3 V (vs. Li /Li\(^+\)) at room temperature. Representative voltage profiles for FPcs electrode are shown in Fig. 5b. In the first discharge curve, there are three plateaus (~1.44 V, 0.89 V and 0.67 V) and a following slope down to the cutoff voltage, which are attributed to three-step lithium ion insertion process and the formation of solid electrolyte interphase (SEI) films, respectively. Similar multistep discharge plateaus in transition metal oxide anodes have also been reported previously [62, 71]. In the charging process, the lithium ion releasing reaction takes place at a voltage of ~1.5 V. The first discharge and charge capacities are 1692 and 1182 mA h g\(^{-1}\), leading to an irreversible capacity loss of 33%. The initial irreversible capacity loss is commonly ascribed to the SEI film formation and some other side reactions.

The typical charge-discharge voltage profiles for FPcs and Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) micro/nanosphere electrodes are shown in Fig. S11 and 12, which represent the main characters in FPys electrode. The cycling performance together with the Coulombic efficiency of the FPys, FPcs, and Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) micro/nanosphere cells is depicted in Fig. 5c at a constant current density of 100 mA g\(^{-1}\) between 0.05 and 3.0 V (vs. Li\(^+\)/Li) up to 50 cycles. It is obvious that FPys cell show the highest lithium storage capacity and the best cycling performance. After 50 cycles, the reversible capacity of FPys cell is 982 mA h g\(^{-1}\), which is higher than that of FPcs cell (632 mA h g\(^{-1}\)) and Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) micro/nanosphere cell (305 mA h g\(^{-1}\)), as well as the theoretical capacity of Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) (926 mA h g\(^{-1}\)). Under the same measurement condition, the capacity of pristine PANI is ~38 mA h g\(^{-1}\) (Fig. S13). This value is comparable with the values reported elsewhere and obviously lower than the theoretical capacity of Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) [72, 73]. Therefore, the contribution of PANI
addition to the overall specific capacity in FPys cell can be excluded. The extra capacity beyond the theoretical one is mainly attributed to the formation of polymeric gel-like film on the electrode surface during cycling [74]. Beside this, other reversible side reactions may also enable extra lithium storage and result in additional capacity [75, 76]. The rate capabilities for the cells were also tested at various current densities between 50 and 1000 mA g\(^{-1}\) as shown in Fig. 5d. For FPys cell, the reversible capacity changes from 1092.7 mA h g\(^{-1}\) to 734.6 mA h g\(^{-1}\) at the current densities of 50 mA g\(^{-1}\) and 1000 mA g\(^{-1}\). The capacity returns to 1054.1 mA h g\(^{-1}\) when the current density decreases back to 50 mA g\(^{-1}\). In comparison, the capacities of FPcs and Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) micro/nanosphere cells are inferior to that of FPys cell in the studied current density range, highlighting the positive role of PANI addition and yolk-shell structural design in the rate performance enhancement. After cycling test, the FPys electrode maintains the initial morphology and structure as confirmed by TEM observations (Fig. S14), demonstrating the good structural stability of the FPys sample during cycling. The lithium storage properties of FPys cell is also found to be better than or comparable with typical Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\)-based anodes reported by other groups as shown in Table 1.

EIS is further performed to study the lithium ions transfer in the cells after the first cycle (Fig. S15). All the Nyquist plots show an inclined line in the low frequency region and a semicircle in the high to medium frequency region, which are corresponding to the lithium ion diffusion inside the electrodes and the charge-transfer process on the interface between electrolyte and electrode [77, 78]. The diameter of the semicircle for FPys cell is found to be smaller than those of PFcs and Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) micro/nanosphere cells. The results show that the unique yolk-shell structure and PANI
addition in PFys cell facilitate the charge transfer during charging-discharging process, and result in enhanced rate performance.

Based on the above results, the superior lithium storage properties of PFys sample can be attributed to the synergistic effects of the specially designed yolk-shell micro/nanoarchitectures and conductive PANI addition. First, the porous nature of the yolk (Fe₃O₄ micro/nanosphere) and the void spaces between the yolk and shell parts increase the contact between electrolyte and electrode, and thus provide more active sites for lithium storage. Second, the PANI shells and the porous structure offer rapid conductive path in the electrode and reduce effective diffusion distance for lithium ions and electrons, respectively. Both are essential to improve the rate capability. Last, the micro/nanoarchitectures with internal void spaces and the flexible PANI shells can accommodate the local volume change and prevent the particle aggregation upon cycling, which are beneficial for keeping the integrity of the electrodes and thus improving the cycling performance.

3.3. Electromagnetic wave absorption properties

The electromagnetic wave absorption properties of a material are mainly determined by relative permittivity ($\varepsilon_r = \varepsilon' - j\varepsilon''$) and permeability ($\mu_r = \mu' - j\mu''$), in which the real parts of permittivity ($\varepsilon'$) and permeability ($\mu'$) are associated with the storage capability of electric and magnetic energy, and the imaginary parts of permittivity ($\varepsilon''$) and permeability ($\mu''$) stand for the electric and magnetic losses, respectively. The complex permittivity and permeability of the as-prepared samples were measured in a frequency range of 2.0-18 GHz as summarized in Fig. 6a-d. Relatively small $\varepsilon'$ and $\varepsilon''$ values are observed for Fe₃O₄ micro/nanospheres in the studied frequency range. Specifically, the $\varepsilon'$ value decreases from 3.1 at 2.0 GHz to 2.6 at 18.0 GHz, and
the $\varepsilon''$ value keeps a constant of ~0.4, indicating a small dielectric loss of Fe$_3$O$_4$ micro/nanospheres. In contrast, both $\varepsilon'$ and $\varepsilon''$ of FPys and FPCs samples perform higher values than those of bare Fe$_3$O$_4$ micro/nanospheres and possess frequency dependent behavior. For instance, the $\varepsilon'$ values of FPys and FPCs samples decrease from 5.8 and 6.7 at 2.0 GHz to 4.1 and 4.6 at 18.0 GHz, respectively; while the $\varepsilon''$ values decrease from 3.1 and 3.5 at 2.0 GHz to 1.7 and 1.7 at 18.0 GHz, respectively. Therefore, the dielectric storage and loss ability for FPys and FPCs composites is much better than that of the bare Fe$_3$O$_4$ sample. Compared with Fe$_3$O$_4$ micro/nanospheres, the presence of PANI layer in FPys and FPCs composites induces improved electrical conductivity, strong polarization yielded by polaron-bipolaron and other bound charges, thus leading to high $\varepsilon'$ and $\varepsilon''$ values [79]. It should be noticed that the $\varepsilon'$ value for FPys is smaller than that for FPCs although both samples show comparable composition. The possible reason lies in the air, which exists in the void space of the FPys sample, acts as an effective medium to cut down $\varepsilon'$ according to Maxwell-Garnet theory [80]. Moreover, the $\varepsilon''$ value of FPCs sample is a little higher than that of FPys sample in the frequency range, which mainly due to the additional interfacial polarization generated at the interfaces between Fe$_3$O$_4$ micro/nanospheres and PANI shells in the FPCs sample. Relative complex permeability results are shown in Fig. 6c, d, in which the $\mu'$ value of Fe$_3$O$_4$ micro/nanospheres decreases abruptly from 1.2 to 0.8 in the range of 2.0-7.3 GHz and then increases to ~1.1 over 7.3-18 GHz. Meanwhile, the $\mu''$ value of Fe$_3$O$_4$ micro/nanospheres shows a sharp decrease from 1.0 to 0.1 in the range of 2.0-9.0 GHz and then keeps near zero in the range of 9.0-18.0 GHz. The results confirm that magnetic loss in Fe$_3$O$_4$ micro/nanospheres is mainly attributed to the natural resonance in GHz range [79, 81]. For pure PANI material, the $\mu'$ and $\mu''$ values are approximately close to 1 and 0 due to the absence of magnetic components [79, 81].
Therefore, the complex permeabilities of the Fe$_3$O$_4$ and PANI composting samples (FPys and FPcs) display similar trend as that of bare Fe$_3$O$_4$ micro/nanospheres, and the amplitude of natural resonance in the composite samples is inferior to the bare Fe$_3$O$_4$ sample. However, the complex permeabilities in the composite samples can be improved by matching impedance derived magnetic loss together with dielectric loss.

The electromagnetic wave absorption properties can also be deduced by the transmission line theory in terms of measuring $\varepsilon_r$ and $\mu_r$ [79-81]. According to the theory, the sample thickness ($D$) is one of the key parameters that influence the reflection loss and responding frequency. Generally, the minimal reflection loss, the absorber thickness and reflection loss intensity over -10.0 dB (the absorption efficiency is within the range of 90-100%) are the main factors to evaluate the electromagnetic wave absorption performance. Figure 6e, f display the reflection loss maps against the frequency range of 2.0-18.0 GHz for FPys and Fe$_3$O$_4$ micro/nanospheres with various $D$ from 1.0 to 5.0 mm in the paraffin-based composites. It can be seen that the reflection loss values of bare Fe$_3$O$_4$ sample are all above -10 dB in the whole range (Fig. 6e). By contrast, FPys sample possesses obvious enhanced reflection loss intensity (Fig. 6f). The minimum reflection loss of -46.0 dB is observed at 7.8 GHz with a thickness of 5.0 mm, and reflection loss values below -10 dB are obtained in the 6.3-18.0 GHz range with absorber thicknesses of 1.9-5.0 mm. For the FPcs sample, and the maximum reflection loss achieves -32.4 dB at 7.0 GHz with a thickness of 5.0 mm (Fig. S16). It should be noted that in the map of FPys, the bandwidth over -10.0 dB is broadened and the reflection loss intensity is enhanced when comparing to FPcs sample, suggesting the electromagnetic absorption properties of FPys have been improved.
Dielectric loss factor (\(\tan\delta_\varepsilon = \varepsilon'/\varepsilon''\)) and magnetic loss factor (\(\tan\delta_\mu = \mu'/\mu''\)) are the main loss mechanisms for incident electromagnetic waves. The \(\tan\delta_\varepsilon\) and \(\tan\delta_\mu\) for the samples are plotted in Fig. 6g, h. The small dielectric loss factor in Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) micro/nanospheres confirms the negligible contribution of dielectric loss in electromagnetic wave absorption. The mean values of \(\tan\delta_\varepsilon\) for the FPys and FPCs samples are larger than that of the bare Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\). The enhanced dielectric loss in FPys should be attributed to the specially designed yolk-shell structure, which is favorable for the multiple reflection of incident electromagnetic waves [82, 83]. The magnetic loss factor \(\tan\delta_\mu\) of the samples shows the similar trend with \(\mu''\). The results are benefit for improving impedance matching and showing highly dissipation efficiency.

The enhanced electromagnetic parameters and matching performance are attributed to the unique yolk-shell structure. First, multi-reflection and scattering between the Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) core and PANI shell promotes the energy consumption of incident electromagnetic waves. Second, the plentiful interfaces between Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\), air and PANI give rise to interfacial polarization and relaxation, which are important to provide additional electromagnetic wave energy attenuate.

4. Conclusions

FPys micro/nanostructures constituted by Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\)/PANI composites with yolk-shell configuration were successfully synthesized by a facile silica-assisted \textit{in-situ} polymerization and subsequent etching method. The as-prepared sample was demonstrated to show excellent bifunctional properties when used as LIB anodes and electromagnetic wave absorbers. Specifically, the FPys cell showed a high reversible capacity of \(~982\) mA h g\(^{-1}\) after 50 cycles at a current density of 100 mA g\(^{-1}\). A capacity of 734.6 mA h g\(^{-1}\) was still achieved at 1000 mA g\(^{-1}\). The FPys sample also shows an enhancement of dielectric loss and magnetic loss at the frequency range of
2.0-18 GHz. The minimum reflection loss of -46.0 dB is observed at 7.8 GHz with a thickness of 5.0 mm, and reflection loss values below -10 dB are obtained in the 6.3-18.0 GHz range with absorber thicknesses of 1.9-5.0 mm. The bifunctional properties can be attributed to the unique hierarchical micro/nanostructures. The present route to yolk-shell Fe₃O₄/PANI composites can be extended to synthesis other multifunctional materials.
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Figure and Table Captions

**Fig. 1.** XRD patterns of the as-prepared FP\(\text{YS} \) (red), Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) micro/nanospheres (black), and the standard pattern of Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) phase.

**Fig. 2.** (a) Low- and (b) high- magnification FESEM images, (c-e) low- and (g) high-magnification TEM images, (inset in c) EDX and (f) SAED patterns, and (h, i) HRTEM images of the FP\(\text{YS} \) micro/nanospheres. The white arrows in (b-e) show the existence of gaps between the Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) yolks and PANI shells.

**Fig. 3.** (a) XPS survey spectrum and (b-d) high-resolution XPS spectra of the Fe 2p, O 1s, and N 1s regions of the FP\(\text{YS} \) micro/nanospheres; (e, f) high-resolution XPS spectra of the Fe 2p, and O 1s regions of the Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) micro/nanospheres.

**Fig. 4.** (a) Nitrogen adsorption–desorption isotherms and (b) the corresponding pore size distribution curves of the FP\(\text{YS} \) (red) and Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) micro/nanospheres (black).

**Fig. 5.** (a) CV profiles of the FP\(\text{YS} \) micro/nanospheres electrode during the first three cycles at a scan rate of 0.5 mV s\(^{-1}\) between 0.05 and 3 V (vs. Li\(^+\)/Li); (b) galvanostatic charge/discharge voltage profiles for the first three cycles between 0.05 and 3 V (vs. Li\(^+\)/Li) at a current density of 100 mA g\(^{-1}\); (c) Cycling performance of FP\(\text{YS} \) (red), FP\(\text{CS} \) (blue), and Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) (black) micro/nanospheres electrodes at a constant current rate of 100 mA g\(^{-1}\) between 0.05 and 3 V (vs. Li\(^+\)/Li); (d) rate capability of FP\(\text{YS} \) (red), FP\(\text{CS} \) (blue), and Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) (black) micro/nanospheres electrodes at different current rates between 50 mA g\(^{-1}\) and 1000 mA g\(^{-1}\) in the voltage window of 0.05-3 V (vs. Li\(^+\)/Li).

**Fig. 6.** Frequency dependent (a) real (\(\varepsilon'\)), (b) imaginary (\(\varepsilon''\)) parts of permittivity, and (c) real (\(\mu'\)), (d) imaginary (\(\mu''\)) parts of complex permeability of FP\(\text{YS}, \) FP\(\text{CS}, \) and Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\).
micro/nanospheres; reflection loss maps of (e) Fe₃O₄ micro/nanospheres and (f) FPys in the frequency range of 2.0-18.0 GHz with varied absorber thickness from 1.0 to 5.0 mm; (g) dielectric loss factor and (h) magnetic loss factor of FPys, FPcs, and Fe₃O₄ micro/nanospheres.

**Scheme 1.** Schematic illustration of the formation process of FPys micro/nanospheres; the bifunctional principle of the FPys micro/nanospheres.
Fig. 1. XRD patterns of the as-prepared FPys (red), Fe₃O₄ micro/nanospheres (black), and the standard pattern of Fe₃O₄ phase.
**Fig. 2.** (a) Low- and (b) high-magnification FESEM images, (c-e) low- and (g) high-magnification TEM images, (inset in c) EDX and (f) SAED patterns, and (h, i) HRTEM images of the FP\(_y\) micro/nanospheres. The white arrows in (b-e) show the existence of gaps between the Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) yolks and PANI shells.
**Fig. 3.** (a) XPS survey spectrum and (b-d) high-resolution XPS spectra of the Fe 2p, O 1s, and N 1s regions of the FPys micro/nanospheres; (e, f) high-resolution XPS spectra of the Fe 2p, and O 1s regions of the Fe$_3$O$_4$ micro/nanospheres.
Fig. 4. (a) Nitrogen adsorption–desorption isotherms and (b) the corresponding pore size distribution curves of the FPys (red) and Fe₃O₄ micro/nanospheres (black).
Fig. 5. (a) CV profiles of the FPys micro/nanospheres electrode during the first three cycles at a scan rate of 0.5 mV s$^{-1}$ between 0.05 and 3 V (vs. Li$^+$/Li); (b) galvanostatic charge/discharge voltage profiles for the first three cycles between 0.05 and 3 V (vs. Li$^+$/Li) at a current density of 100 mA g$^{-1}$; (c) cycling performance and (d) rate capability of FPys (red), FPcs (blue), and Fe$_3$O$_4$ (black) micro/nanospheres electrodes in the voltage window of 0.05-3 V (vs. Li$^+$/Li).
Fig. 6. Frequency dependent (a) real ($\varepsilon'$), (b) imaginary ($\varepsilon''$) parts of permittivity, and (c) real ($\mu'$), (d) imaginary ($\mu''$) parts of complex permeability of FPy$s$, FPC$s$, and Fe$_3$O$_4$ micro/nanospheres; reflection loss maps of (e) Fe$_3$O$_4$ micro/nanospheres and (f) FPy$s$ in the frequency range of 2.0-18.0 GHz with varied absorber thickness from 1.0 to 5.0 mm; (g) dielectric loss factor and (h) magnetic loss factor of FPy$s$, FPC$s$, and Fe$_3$O$_4$ micro/nanospheres.
Scheme 1. Schematic illustration of the formation process of FPys micro/nanospheres; the bifunctional principle of the FPys micro/nanospheres.
Table 1. Performance comparison of some LIB anode materials based on typical Fe₃O₄ structures

(1 C = 1000 mA g⁻¹).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>material</th>
<th>reversible capacity (cycles) /Ah g⁻¹</th>
<th>rate capability /mA h g⁻¹</th>
<th>voltage window /V (vs. Li⁺/Li)</th>
<th>Ref</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hollow ball-in-ball Co₉Fe₃₋ₓO₄</td>
<td>650.2 (100) @1 C</td>
<td>201.6 @10 C</td>
<td>0.005-3.0</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₃O₄ microflowers</td>
<td>1000 (50) @0.1 C</td>
<td>840 @1 C</td>
<td>0.05-3.0</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₃O₄/Fe nanocomposites</td>
<td>390 (50) @0.2 C</td>
<td>260 @2 C</td>
<td>0.05-3.0</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂ and Fe₃O₄ with graphene</td>
<td>703 (200) @0.5 C</td>
<td>169 @8 C</td>
<td>0.01-3.0</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graphene oxide/Fe₃O₄ composite</td>
<td>350 (60) @0.5 C</td>
<td>200 @3 C</td>
<td>0.005-3.0</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₃O₄/CNTs/GO composite</td>
<td>1048 (50) @0.2 C</td>
<td>540 @10 C</td>
<td>0.01-3.0</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₃O₄@N-doped carbon nanoparticles</td>
<td>860 (500) @1 C</td>
<td>180 @7 C</td>
<td>0.01-3.0</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₃O₄ nanocrystals/graphene nanosheets</td>
<td>983 (180) @0.1 C</td>
<td>323 @5 C</td>
<td>0.01-3.0</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graphene-doped carbon/Fe₃O₄ nanofibers</td>
<td>872 (100) @0.1 C</td>
<td>455 @5 C</td>
<td>0.01-3.0</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₃O₄ nanorods/N-doped graphene</td>
<td>929 (50) @0.1 C</td>
<td>491 @4 C</td>
<td>0.01-3.0</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graphene and carbon-coated hollow Fe₃O₄</td>
<td>870 (100) @0.1 C</td>
<td>285 @10 C</td>
<td>0.005-3.0</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₃O₄@polypyrrole nanocages</td>
<td>950 @0.2C</td>
<td>490 @5 C</td>
<td>0.01-3.0</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon-encapsulated Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles</td>
<td>806 (100) @0.1 C</td>
<td>573 @1.5 C</td>
<td>0.005-2.5</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₃O₄@MOF core-shell microspheres</td>
<td>1002 (100) @0.1 C</td>
<td>429 @2 C</td>
<td>0.01-3.0</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon-covered Fe₃O₄ hollow cubic</td>
<td>1126 (100) @0.1 C</td>
<td>492 @0.5 C</td>
<td>0.01-3.0</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yolk-shelled Fe₃O₄@carbon</td>
<td>1012 (70) @0.1 C</td>
<td>900 @5 C</td>
<td>0.01-3.0</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon-coated Fe₃O₄</td>
<td>1001 (50) @0.1 C</td>
<td>317 @2 C</td>
<td>0.01-3.0</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nanofibrous Fe₃O₄-TiO₂-carbon composite</td>
<td>525 (100) @0.1 C</td>
<td>70 @5 C</td>
<td>0.01-3.0</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₃O₄ micro/nanospheres</td>
<td>305 (50) @0.1 C</td>
<td>286.2 @1C</td>
<td>0.05-3.0</td>
<td>this work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPcs</td>
<td>632 (50) @0.1 C</td>
<td>660.2 @1C</td>
<td>0.05-3.0</td>
<td>this work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FP23</td>
<td>982 (50) @0.1 C</td>
<td>734.6 @1C</td>
<td>0.05-3.0</td>
<td>this work</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

41