General Disclaimer

One or more of the Following Statements may affect this Document

- This document has been reproduced from the best copy furnished by the organizational source. It is being released in the interest of making available as much information as possible.
- This document may contain data, which exceeds the sheet parameters. It was furnished in this condition by the organizational source and is the best copy available.
- This document may contain tone-on-tone or color graphs, charts and/or pictures, which have been reproduced in black and white.
- This document is paginated as submitted by the original source.
- Portions of this document are not fully legible due to the historical nature of some of the material. However, it is the best reproduction available from the original submission.

Produced by the NASA Center for Aerospace Information (CASI)

MICROFILMED FROM BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Construction of Nonlinear Programming Test Problems*

by

J. B. Rosen and S. Suzuki

In order to test a nonlinear programming algorithm it is very useful to be able to construct test problems with known optimum solutions. The purpose of this note is to describe a simple procedure for constructing such test problems. We will describe the procedure for a concave maximization problem subject to concave constraints.

The concave maximization problem is

$$\max_{\mathbf{x}} \{ \varphi(\mathbf{x}) | h_i(\mathbf{x}) \ge 0, i = 1, 2, ..., k \},$$

where $x \in E^m$, and $\varphi(x)$ and $h_i(x)$ are real valued concave functions of x. The procedure will be described for $\varphi(x) = \theta(x) + c'x$, and $h_i(x) = q_i(x) + b_i$, i = 1, ..., k, where $\theta(x)$ and $q_i(x)$, i = 1, ..., kare any selected differentiable concave functions of x, c is a vector ϵE^m and the b_i are scalars.

Ster I

Choose any $x^o \in \mathbf{E}^m$ as a desired optimum point, and any set of $u_1^o \ge 0$, i = 1, ..., k, as the corresponding optimum dual solution. That is, we first specify the primal and dual solution to the problem.

*Prepared under NASA grant Ns G 565 at Stanford University. Reproduction in Whole or in Part is Permitted for any Purpose of the United States Government.

GPO PRICE

OTS PRICE(S) \$

Hard copy (HC) ____

Step II

Choose b_i , i = 1, ..., k, so that $h_i(x^o) = 0$ for $u_i > 0$ and $h_i(x^o) \ge 0$ for $u_i = 0$. Note that $u_i > 0$ means that the $i\frac{th}{t}$ constraint is active.

Step III

Let

$$c = -\nabla \theta(x^{\circ}) - \sum_{i=1}^{k} u_{i}^{\circ} \nabla q_{i}(x^{\circ})$$

This choice satisfies the Kuhn-Tucker condition $\nabla \varphi(\mathbf{x}^o) + \sum_{k=1}^{K} u_j^o \nabla h_j(\mathbf{x}^o) = 0$, and therefore ensures that \mathbf{x}^o is an optimum solution to the concave programming problem.

We will illustrate this procedure by applying it to the quadratic problem where $\theta(x) = x'Q_0 x$, $q_1(x) = x'Q_1 x + a'_1 x$, and the Q_1 , i = 0, 1, ... k, are negative semi-definite matrices.

Example (quadratic problem with four variables and three constraints)

Let

$$\mathbf{Q}_{3} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \mathbf{Q}_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \mathbf{Q}_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix},$$
$$\mathbf{Q}_{3} = \begin{pmatrix} -2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \mathbf{a}_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \mathbf{a}_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \mathbf{a}_{3} = \begin{pmatrix} -2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \mathbf{a}_{3} = \begin{pmatrix} -2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

2

25

Step I

Let
$$\mathbf{x}^{\circ} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$$
 and $\mathbf{u}^{\circ} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$

Step II

$$h_{1}(x^{o}) = x^{o'} Q_{1} x^{o} + a'_{1} x^{o} + b_{1} = -9 + b_{1}$$

Since $u_{1} > 0$, $b_{1} = 8$.

$$h_{2}(x^{o}) = x^{o'} Q_{2} x^{o} + a'_{2} x^{o} + b_{2} = -9 + b_{2}$$

Since $u_{2} = 0$, we choose $b_{2} = 10$ so that $h_{2}(x^{o}) = 1 > 0$.

$$h_{3}(x^{o}) = x^{o'} Q_{3} x^{o} + a'_{3} x^{o} + b_{3} = -5 + b_{3}$$

Since $u_{3} > 0$, $b_{3} = 5$.

Step III

$$c = \begin{pmatrix} 2x_{1}^{o} \\ 2x_{2}^{o} \\ 4x_{3}^{o} \\ 2x_{4}^{o} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 2x_{1}^{o} + 1 \\ 2x_{2}^{o} - 1 \\ 2x_{2}^{o} - 1 \\ 2x_{3}^{o} + 1 \\ 2x_{4}^{o} - 1 \end{pmatrix} + 2 \begin{pmatrix} 4x_{1}^{o} + 2 \\ 2x_{2}^{o} - 1 \\ 2x_{2}^{o} - 1 \\ 2x_{2}^{o} \\ 0 - 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 5 \\ 5 \\ 21 \\ -7 \end{pmatrix}$$

The constructed problem is:

minimize
subject to
$$\varphi = -x_1^2 - x_2^2 - 2x_3^2 - x_4^2 + 5x_1 + 5x_2 + 21x_3 - 7x_4$$
$$-x_1^2 - x_2^2 - x_3^2 - x_4^2 - x_1 + x_2 - x_3 + x_4 + 8 \ge 0$$
$$-x_1^2 - 2x_2^2 - x_3^2 - 2x_4^2 + x_1 + x_2 - x_3 + x_4 + 8 \ge 0$$
$$-x_1^2 - 2x_2^2 - x_3^2 - 2x_4^2 + x_1 + x_4 + 10 \ge 0$$
$$-2x_1^2 - x_2^2 - x_3^2 - 2x_4^2 + x_1 + x_2 + x_4 + 5 \ge 0$$

1

and has as its optimum function value $\varphi(x^{o}) = 44$.

Computer Science Division Stanford University August 12, 1964

3