				provided by NASA Technical Reports Server
-		PREIMARY	DATA	GPO PRICE \$
	N65-2949 9		INERTIAL ROTATION SENSOR*	CFSTI PRICE(S) \$
	ACCESSION NUMBER)	(THRU)	S. P. Heims ^{##}	Hard copy (HC)
UNAS	A CR OR TMX OR AD NUMBER)	(CODE) / 4 (CATEGORY)	Brandeis University Waltham, Massachusetts	Microfiche (MF)50
		1		11 055 Suly 05

View metadata, citation and similar papers at core.ac.uk

brought to you by DCORE

An inertial rotation sensor is a device to measure <u>absolute</u> rotations, not merely the rotation relative to an observer. If a man is in a vehicle in space, the rotation sensor fixed to the vehicle should tell him his rate and direction of rotation relative to an inertial frame of reference. In the course of theoretical studies of rotation phenomena it became apparent that various physical phenomena exist which, in principle, could provide mechanisms for inertial rotation-sensing devices, mechanisms which are quite different from those that have been employed in the past. The purpose of this note is to point out one such mechanism.

Suppose a crystal is rotating relative to an inertial frame, and spectroscopic equipment is rotating along with it. The equipment measures the spectral lines of the rotating atoms as seen by an observer who is also rotating. According to a theory given elsewhere¹⁾, some of the characteristic atomic frequencies seen in such an experiment would differ from the corresponding frequencies in a stationary experiment by an amount proportional to and of the order of the rotation frequency itself. Consequently the frequency shifts could, in principle, be used to detect rotation. In the language of quantum mechanics²⁾ the magnitude of the line shift for angular velocity $\vec{\omega}$ is

*Research supported by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration Graut 843 - N_5 G- 612

** Assistant Professor, Department of Physics.

$$\Delta \mathbf{v}_{12} = \langle 1 | \frac{\vec{\mathbf{t}} \cdot \vec{\omega}}{h} | 1 \rangle - \langle 2 | \frac{\vec{\mathbf{t}} \cdot \vec{\omega}}{h} | 2 \rangle \qquad (1)$$

where $\langle 1 \rangle$ and $\langle 2 \rangle$ refer to the two states of the atom connected by the line in question, and \vec{L} is the internal orbital angular momentum operator for the atom. Since usually the unperturbed energy levels are degenerate, a degeneracy lifted by the rotation, what will actually be seen is a splitting of the line into several components with separation of the order of $\Delta \nabla_{12}$. According to (1) the frequency shift occurs if the expectation value of $\vec{L} \cdot \vec{\omega}$ is different in states $\langle 1 \rangle$ and $\langle 2 \rangle$. For some atoms or ions in crystals these expectation values vanish or are very small, a phenomenon known as orbital quenching. However, in other cases, e.g. the rare earth ions in rare earth salts, these expectation values are of the order of $|\vec{\omega}|$ for the usual states of the ion.

2

The experimental problem anticipated is that the line splitting or shift (1) must be observed for a line which has a width much larger than Δy_{12} . Recent development of MASERS (microwave range of frequencies) and LASERS (optical range) makes it possible to see changes in the structure or shifts in the center of mass of spectral lines of less than a cycle/sec. However, the resolution depends on the shape and width of the line. So favorable conditions for observing the effect are: (a) the two states in question have very different expectation values for $\vec{1} \cdot \vec{0}$, and (b) the line is as narrow as possible. One can choose either a line in the paramagnetic resonance spectrum or in the optical spectrum, whichever gives the highest resolution. Finally it is noted that the frequency shift (1) can be distinguished from the well-known Zeemann effect due to magnetic fields, because the latter acts on the spin as well as on the orbital angular momentum. Otherwise the details of the rotation effect (i.e., details of the splitting, dependence on polarization, etc.) closely resemble that of the Zeemann effect.

References:

1. S. P. Heims, Physica 29, 1430 (1963).

2. P. A. M. Dirac, Quantum Mechanics, Third Ed. (Oxford, 1947).

• • • • • • • • • • • • •