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Photography of hars in five color regions at New Hexico State University yielded a total of 339 plates covering central meridian longitudes $90^{\circ}=240^{\circ}$. thus including observable portions of the path of Mariner IV. Of that number, 251 plates were of sufficient quality to warrant composite copying in order to extract a maximum amount of information concerning this region. Although the linear resolution of the best of these plates is roughly two orders of magnitude poorer than that expected in the Mariner photographs. they nevertheless should be of some value in correlating the latter with features observable from the earth. The wave-length regions included were ultraviolet, blue, green, red, and nearwinfrared.

During most of the 1963 apparition a certain amount of general surface obscuration by atmospheric haze was suspected between areo graphic longitudes $80^{\circ}$ and $150^{\circ}$. In addition, two large clouds invariably covered the Tharsis ( $\lambda 100^{\circ}$ ) and Arcadia ( $\lambda 130^{\circ}$ ) regions on blue and green plates. The appearance in 1965 was similar ${ }_{8}$ but the haze density was probably greater during most of this apparition. However, a close comparison with the 1963 plates is very difficult to make, both because of the generally poorer seeing and the higher contrast images (different processing techniques were used) extant in 1963. The projected path of dariner IV was relatively near the
western limits of the haze, and appears to have been within it much of the tine. Thus it is possible that not as much surface detail was recorded as might have been otherwise.

The date of formation of the haze is unknown. It appears to be present on the first green plate of usable quality obtained 9 December 1964. It was always strongest between longitudes $90^{\circ}$ and $140^{\circ}$, and obscuration was greatest in early April 1965. The haze was still much in evidence as late as June 1965, as evidenced by a lack of visibility of the Triviun Charontis ( $\lambda 193^{\circ}$ ) and Propontis ( $\lambda \lambda 150^{\circ}$. $180^{\circ}$ ) areas as well as the presence of limb brightening in all colors. The $6!5$ disk of Mars on 14 July precluded the recording of much sur face detail. However ${ }_{8}$ it can be said that the increased contrast of the Trivima Charontis and Propontis at that time indicated a probable decrease in haze at those longitudes. Further east at $\lambda 120^{\circ}$ its continued presence was suggested by limb brightening on a red plate。

The diurnal behavior of the haze in the lower latitudes (ioe.o north of Mare Sirenum) covered by Mariner IV was such that it generally seemed to clear considerably by local noon, but gradually formed again as the afternoon progressed. Such proninent features as Trivium Charontis and Propontis were often completely invisible on green plates during the morning hours, and barely perceptible even in the red, By the time these features reached the central meridian, they would appear reasonably normal in the red, but still indistinct in the green.

The dark features recorded along the Mariner path are summarized in Table $I_{\text {. }}$ Three of these narkings, vizo, Trivium Charontis, Titanum Sinus, and a feature identified in the table as Propontis $I_{8}$ were suf ficiently prominent for direct positional determination with a Mann measuring engine. Only crude determinations of the other positions have so far been made due to problems of low contrast. The longitudes are referred to the zero point defined by the American Ephemeris and Nautical Almanac.

TABLE I
DARK FEATURES

| Name | Feature Type | Position |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\lambda$ | p |
| Propontis I | oasis | $181^{\circ}$ | +33 ${ }^{\circ}$ (center) |
| Titanum Sinus | caret (M. Sirenum) | $167^{\circ}$ | - $19^{\frac{1}{3}}{ }^{\circ}$ |
| Trivium Charontis | oasis | $193^{\circ}$ | $+12^{\circ}$ (E. end) |
| Hecates Lacus (?) | oasis | $190^{\circ}$ | $+24^{\circ}$ |
| Saus | canal | $160^{\circ}=180^{\circ}$ | $\left(-9^{\circ}\right)=\left(-7 \frac{1}{2}{ }^{\circ}\right)$ |
| Tartarus | canal | $167^{\circ}=195^{\circ}$ | $\left(-19 \frac{1}{2}^{\circ}\right)-\left(+7^{\circ}\right)$ |
| Saus-Tartarus intersection | canals | $171^{\circ}$ | $-7 \frac{1}{2}{ }^{\circ}$ |
| Gigas | canal | $125^{\circ}-167^{\circ}$ | $\left(+5^{\circ}\right)-\left(-19^{\frac{1}{2}}{ }^{\circ}\right)$ |
| Erebus | canal | $144^{\circ}$-175 ${ }^{\circ}$ | $\left(+32^{\circ}\right)=\left(+20^{\circ}\right)$ |

The relatively large latitude discrepancy between the present and earlier determinations of Propontis $I\left(\sim 40^{\circ} N\right)$ leads the writer to
suspect this feature may not really be Propontis $I$, but a more recent dark development to the south. However, if this is true, then Propontis $I$ is now an indistinguishable feature between this new marking and Propontis II, some $20^{\circ}$ further north, Titanum Sinus appeared as a single pointed wedge, in contrast to the double-pronged character shown on maps by Slipher (1962), Tombaugh (1965), and others. However, the existence of another point may well be masked by the resolution limitations of the $30-\mathrm{cm}$. reflector. The commonly depicted triangular form of Trivium Charontis was not obvious on the plates. The reason for this is found in the conpletely different appearance of the southern part of the Trivium and the northern part which makes up the "triangle". The southern part appeared completely integrated with the Cerberus $I$, forming one long dark bar-like narking of uniform width and shading。 The "triangle", on the other hand, was a very diffuse, lightly shaded region just to the north of the eastern end of the bar, and appeared to be more properly considered a part of the Hecates Lacus, described below.

A few canals were faintly recorded crossing the Mariner path It seems worthwhile to emphasize that the term "canal" is merely a word used to denote a particular type of dark surface feature which is generally quite narrow in comparison to its length. The fact that such a high percentage of canals are near the limits of detection from the earth has led to much confusion and doubt concerning their existence. Actually, a goodly number of such markings have definitely been recorded photographically at this observatory over the past three apparitions, and their reality is indisputable。 Of
course，little can be said concerning the nature of these features other than the fact that their widths must be on the order of 80 km or more to be recorded from the earth．Some canalss such as the Gigas， even appear to have measurable widths suggesting the true widths of 500 km or more。

The canal known as Hades，which is normally shown connecting Hecates Lacus with Propontis I（Slipher，1962），appeared to be lost in the large peripheral development of Hecates Lacus itselfo This development，though somewhat faint，was large enough to give the appearance of almost touching both the Trivium Charontis and Pro pontis．The feature referred to as the Erebus canal actually had the appearance of a broad diffuse area at least 650 km across near $\lambda 150^{\circ}$ 。The latitudes given for this feature in Table I refer only to the center of the eastern and western limits of the area．

A number of bright regions were observed to repeatedly exhibit changes in brightness which can be due only to clouds，haze，or frost on the ground．Their recurrence in the same areas is strongly indicative of topographic influence．Several of these areas on or near the Mariner track are listed in Table II。 Not all cloud regions displayed the same diurnal behavior．For example，clouds were com mon in all of these regions during morning hours；however，Lubar， Azania，and Phlegra were always free of clouds by early afternoon while Lumen was sometimes covered to some extent throughout the day． Elysium was usually（but not always）free of clouds by afternoon。

TABLE II
REGIONS OF CLOUDS OR BRIGit HAZE

| Region | Approximate <br> Area $(\mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{km})$ | Position <br> $\lambda$ | （center） |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Phlegra | $2 \times 10^{5}$ | $187^{\circ}$ | $+42^{\circ}$ |
| Azania | $12 \times 10^{3}$ | $185^{\circ}$ | $+26^{\circ}$ |
| Elysium | $12 \times 10^{5}$ | $215^{\circ}$ | $+20^{\circ}$ |
| Atlantis | $12 \times 10^{4}$ | $170^{\circ}$ | $-29^{\circ}$ |
| Lumen | $10 \times 10^{4}$ | $163^{\circ}$ | $-20^{\circ}$ |
| Lubar | $7 \times 10^{4}$ | $180^{\circ}$ | $-18^{\circ}$ |
| Electris | $7 \times 10^{5}$ | $180^{\circ}$ | $-50^{\circ}$ |

The composites of both green and red plates of 14 July suggest the presence of an arc of white over the south limb，comprising the Ausonia（ $\lambda 250^{\circ}$ ）and Eridania（ $\lambda 220^{\circ}$ ）regions．This arc is not visible on the blue plate，indicating either a low level cloud or frost on the ground．Earlier plates，exposed when the Mariner track was much nearer to the central meridian，are not of sufficient qual． ity to yield cloud data for any of the regions of Table II．

Much of the credit for this work is due A。S．Murrell and J。H。 Hartsel．who obtained a large number of excellent plates and to nobert L．Fritz who made all of the composite copies．This work was supported by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration には，Grant NsG•142－61。
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