On The Eigenvalues of a Singular Nonself-Adjoint Differential Operator of Second Order
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> The nonself-adjoint operator $\ell y=y^{\prime \prime}+q(x) y$, where $q(x)=q_{1}(x)+i q_{2}(x), q_{1}(x)$ and $q_{2}(x)$ are real valued, $\underset{x \rightarrow a}{\operatorname{limit}} q_{2}(x)=\delta, \operatorname{limit}_{x \rightarrow b} q_{2}(x)=\gamma$, was considered over an interval $(a, b)$ in [1]. From $\ell$ a nonself-adjoint operator $L$ was defined in $I^{2}(a, b)$. The spectrum and adjoint of $L$ were found, and a "spectral resolution" was derived.

If $r$ is an arbitrary point in ( $a, b$ ), it was shown that when $\lambda=\mu+i v, v \neq \gamma, \ell y=\lambda y$ has a solution $\phi(x, \lambda)$ in $L^{2}(r, b)$, and when $\nu \neq 0, l_{y}=\lambda y$ has $a$ solution $n(x, \lambda)$ in $L^{2}(a, r) . \lambda$ is an eigenvalue of $L$ if and only if the Wronskian of $(x, \lambda)$ and $n(x, \lambda)$ is zero. Treprotolem This paper wishes to enssidervasjut characterize these eigenvalues, the zeros of $W[\psi, n]$.
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In what follows every expression is a function of the complex variable $\lambda$. In the interest of notational clarity, it has been suppressed.

Let $\theta(x)$ and $\phi(x)$ be the solutions of $\ell_{y}=\lambda y$ satisfying $\theta(r)=1, \theta^{\prime}(r)=0, \phi(r)=0, \phi^{\prime}(r)=-1$. We choose $s$ in $[r, b)$ such that $\left|q_{2}(x)-\gamma\right|<|\nu-\gamma| / 2$ for all $x$ in $[s, b]$ when $\gamma$ is finite, or such that $\left|q_{2}(x)-\nu\right|>\epsilon$ for all $x$ in $[s, b]$ for some $\epsilon>0$ when $\gamma$ is infinite.

We then define $y_{l b}(x)=$
$\theta^{\prime}(s) \phi(x)-\phi^{\prime}(s) \theta(x)$ and $y_{2 b}=$
$\theta(s) \phi(x)-\phi(s) \theta(x)$.
In $[s, b)$ a sequence of nested circles $C(\beta)$ is found all containing a limit circle or limit point given by

$$
\left.M=\operatorname{limit}_{\beta \rightarrow b}-\frac{y_{1 b}(\beta) z_{b}+y_{1 b}^{\prime}(\beta)}{y_{2 b}(\beta) z_{b}+y_{2 b}^{\prime}(\beta)}\right)
$$

where $z_{b}$ is any real number. $\forall(x)$ is then given by

$$
\psi(x)=\theta(x)\left[-\phi^{\prime}(s)+M \phi(s)\right]+\phi(x)\left[\theta^{\prime}(s)+M \theta(s)\right]
$$

(See [1] and [2].)
Similarly we choose $t$ in ( $a, r$ ) such that $\left|q_{2}(x)-\delta\right|<|v-\delta| / 2$ for all $x$ in $(a, t]$ when $\delta$ is finite, or such that $\left|q_{2}(x)-\nu\right|>\epsilon$ for $a l l x$ in ( $a, t$ ] for some $\epsilon>0$ when $\delta$ is infinite.

We define $y_{1 a}(x)=$
$\theta^{\prime}(t) \phi(x)-\phi^{\prime}(t) \theta(x)$ and $y_{2 a}(x)=$ $-\theta(t) \phi(x)+\phi(t) \theta(x)$.

In (att] a sequence of nested circles $C(\alpha)$ is found,
all containing a limit circle or limit point given' by

$$
-m=\operatorname{limit}_{\alpha \rightarrow a}-\frac{y_{1 a}(\alpha) z_{a}+y_{1 a}{ }^{\prime}(\alpha)}{y_{2 a}(\alpha) z_{a}+y_{2 a}{ }^{\prime}(\alpha)},
$$

where $z_{a}$ is any real number. $n(x)$ is then given by $n(x)=-\theta(x)\left[\phi^{\prime}(t)+m \phi(t)\right]+\phi(x)\left[\theta^{\prime}(t)+m \theta(t)\right]$.
(Again see [1] and [2].)
Lemma. Let $D_{b}(\beta)=y_{2 b}(\beta) z_{b}+y_{2 b}{ }^{\prime}(\beta)$,
$D_{a}(\alpha)=y_{2 a}(\alpha) z_{a}+y_{2 a}{ }^{\prime}(\alpha)$. Then $w[\phi, n]=$
$\operatorname{limit}_{\alpha \rightarrow a}\left[\left[\phi(\beta) z_{b}+\phi^{\prime}(\beta)\right]\left[\theta(\alpha) z_{a}+\theta^{\prime}(\alpha)\right]\right.$ $\underset{\beta \rightarrow b}{a \rightarrow a}$

$$
\left.-\left[\theta(\beta) z_{b}+\theta^{\prime}(\beta)\right]\left[\phi(\alpha) z_{a}+\phi^{\prime}(\alpha)\right]\right\} / D_{a}(\alpha) D_{b}(\beta)
$$

Proof. We observe that $W[\psi, h]$ is independent of $x$. Computing $W[\psi, n]$ at $x=r$ we see $W[\phi, n]=\left[\phi^{\prime}(s)+M \phi(s)\right]\left[\theta^{\prime}(t)+m \theta(t)\right]-$

$$
-\left[\theta^{\prime}(s)+M \theta(s)\right]\left[\phi^{\prime}(t)+m \phi(t)\right] .
$$

Inserting the expressions for $m$ and $M$ and taking limits completes the proof.
Theorem 1. If $D_{a}(\alpha)$ and $D_{b}(\beta)$ approach finite limits as
$\alpha \rightarrow a$ and $\beta \rightarrow b$, then $W[\psi, n]=0$ if and only if

$$
\begin{aligned}
\underset{\substack{\alpha \rightarrow a \\
\beta \rightarrow b}}{\operatorname{limit}^{\alpha}} & \left\{\left[\phi(\beta) z_{b}+\phi^{\prime}(\beta)\right]\left[\theta(\alpha) z_{a}+\theta^{\prime}(\alpha)\right]\right. \\
& \left.-\left[\theta(\beta) z_{b}+\theta^{\prime}(\beta)\right]\left[\phi(\alpha) z_{a}+\phi^{\prime}(\alpha)\right]\right\}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that this last equation in no way depends upon the points $x=s$ or $s=t$.

In the limit point cases the value of $M$ (or $m$ ) is independent of the choice of $z_{b}$ (or $z_{a}$ ). Only in the limit circle cases does $M$ (or $m$ ) vary with the choice of $z_{b}$ (or $z_{a}$ ).
Theorem 2. If the limit point case holds at $a$ and $b$, then under the conditions of theorem 1
$W[\dagger, n]=0$ if and only if
$\operatorname{limit}[\phi(\beta) \theta(\alpha)-\varphi(\beta) \phi(\alpha)]=0$, $\alpha \rightarrow a$
$\beta \rightarrow b$
$\operatorname{limit}\left[\phi(\beta) \theta^{\prime}(\alpha)-\theta(\beta) \phi^{\prime}(\alpha)\right]=0$,
$a \rightarrow a$
$\beta \rightarrow b$

```
\(\operatorname{limit}\left[\phi^{\prime}(\beta) \theta(\alpha)-\theta^{\prime}(\beta) \phi(\alpha)\right]=0\),
    \(a \rightarrow a\)
    \(\beta \rightarrow b\)
\(\operatorname{limit}\left[\phi^{\prime}(\beta) \theta^{\prime}(\alpha)-\theta^{\prime}(\beta) \phi^{\prime}(\alpha)\right]=0\).
    \(\alpha \rightarrow a\)
    \(\beta \rightarrow b\)
```

Proof. Multiply the expression in theorem one out and collect the coefficients of $z_{a} z_{b}, z_{a}$ and $z_{b}$ together. Since $z_{a}$ and $z_{b}$ are arbitrary the result follows. Theorem 3. If the limit point case holds at $b$, and the limit circle case holds at $a$, then under the conditions of theorem 1, $W[\psi, n]=0$ if and only if

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \underset{\substack{\alpha \rightarrow a \\
\beta \rightarrow b}}{\operatorname{limit}_{\substack{ }}\left\{\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{a}}[\phi(\beta) \theta(\alpha)-\theta(\beta) \phi(\alpha)]+\left[\phi(\beta) \theta^{\prime}(\alpha)-\theta\left(\beta^{\prime}\right) \phi^{\prime}(\alpha)\right]\right\}=0,} \\
& \operatorname{limit}_{\substack{\alpha \rightarrow a \\
\beta \rightarrow \mathrm{~b}}}\left\{\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{a}}\left[\phi^{\prime}(\beta) \theta(\alpha)-\theta^{\prime}(\beta) \phi(\alpha)\right]+\left[\phi^{\prime}(\beta) \theta^{\prime}(\alpha)-\theta^{\prime}(\beta) \phi^{\prime}(\alpha)\right]\right\}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

A similar statement is valid if the limit circle case holds at $b$, and the limit point cast holds at $a$.

These results are valid only in nonself-adjoint problems.
They are not applicable in the self-adjoint case, since, in that instance, all eigenvalues lie on the real axis. Where the existence of and $n$ cannot be established in general.
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