## View metadata, citation and similar papers at core.ac.uk

On The Eig**en**values of a Singular Nonself-Adjoint Differential Operator of Second Order

a :

GPO PRICE \$_		- by
CFSTI PRICE(S) \$		
Hard copy (HC)	1.00	Allan M. Krall*
Microfiche (MF)	:50	
# 853 Lab 85		

The nonself-adjoint operator ly = y'' + q(x)y, where  $q(x) = q_1(x) + iq_2(x)$ ,  $q_1(x)$  and  $q_2(x)$  are real valued, limit  $q_2(x) = \delta$ , limit  $q_2(x) = \gamma$ , was considered over  $x \to a$   $x \to b$ 

an interval (a,b) in [1]. From l a nonself-adjoint operator L was defined in  $L^2(a,b)$ . The spectrum and adjoint of L were found, and a "spectral resolution" was derived.

If r is an arbitrary point in (a,b), it was shown that when  $\lambda = \mu + i\nu$ ,  $\nu \neq \gamma$ ,  $ky = \lambda y$  has a solution  $*(x,\lambda)$  in  $L^2(r,b)$ , and when  $\nu \neq \delta$ ,  $ky = \lambda y$  has a solution  $h(x,\lambda)$  in  $L^2(a,r)$ .  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of L if and only if the Wronskian of  $*(x,\lambda)$  and  $h(x,\lambda)$  is zero. The problem this paper wishes to consider is to characterize these eigenvalues, the zeros of W[\*, h].

\* McAllister Building, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, Pennsylvania. This work was supported in part by NASA Grant No.  $1/6 \approx -39 - 0.09 - 0.041$ 



In what follows every expression is a function of the complex variable  $\lambda$ . In the interest of notational clarity, it has been suppressed.

Let  $\theta(x)$  and  $\phi(x)$  be the solutions of  $ly = \lambda y$ satisfying  $\theta(r) = l$ ,  $\theta'(r) = 0$ ,  $\phi(r) = 0$ ,  $\phi'(r) = -l$ . We choose s in [r,b) such that  $|q_2(x)-\gamma| < |\nu-\gamma|/2$  for all x in [s,b] when  $\gamma$  is finite, or such that  $|q_2(x)-\nu| > \epsilon$  for all x in [s,b] for some  $\epsilon > 0$  when  $\gamma$  is infinite.

We then define  $y_{lb}(x) = \theta'(s)\phi(x) - \phi'(s)\theta(x)$  and  $y_{2b} = \theta(s)\phi(x) - \phi(s)\theta(x)$ .

In [s,b] a sequence of nested circles  $C(\beta)$  is found all containing a limit circle or limit point given by

$$M = \lim_{\beta \to b} - \frac{y_{1b}(\beta)z_b + y_{1b}'(\beta)}{y_{2b}(\beta)z_b + y_{2b}'(\beta)},$$

where  $z_b$  is any real number.  $\psi(x)$  is then given by  $\psi(x) = \theta(x)[-\phi'(s) + M\phi(s)] + \phi(x)[\theta'(s) + M\theta(s)].$ (See [1] and [2].)

Similarly we choose t in (a,r) such that  $|q_2(x)-\delta| < |\nu-\delta|/2$  for all x in (a,t] when'  $\delta$  is finite, or such that  $|q_2(x)-\nu| > \epsilon$  for all x in (a,t] for some  $\epsilon > 0$  when  $\delta$  is infinite.

We define  $y_{la}(x) =$ 

 $\theta'(t)\phi(x) - \phi'(t)\theta(x)$  and  $y_{2a}(x) = -\theta(t)\phi(x) + \phi(t)\theta(x)$ .

In (a,t] a sequence of nested circles  $C(\alpha)$  is found,

all containing a limit circle or limit point given by

$$-m = \lim_{\alpha \to a} - \frac{y_{1a}(\alpha)z_{a} + y_{1a}'(\alpha)}{y_{2a}(\alpha)z_{a} + y_{2a}'(\alpha)}$$

where  $z_a$  is any real number. h(x) is then given by  $h(x) = -\theta(x)[\phi'(t) + m\phi(t)] + \phi(x)[\theta'(t) + m\theta(t)].$ 

(Again see [1] and [2].)

 $\underline{\text{Lemma.}} \quad \underline{\text{Let}} \quad D_{b}(\beta) = y_{2b}(\beta)z_{b} + y_{2b}'(\beta) ,$   $D_{a}(\alpha) = y_{2a}(\alpha)z_{a} + y_{2a}'(\alpha) . \quad \underline{\text{Then}} \quad W[\texttt{*},\texttt{n}] =$   $\lim_{\alpha \to a} \left[ [\phi(\beta)z_{b} + \phi'(\beta)][\theta(\alpha)z_{a} + \theta'(\alpha)] \right]_{\alpha \to b}$ 

- 
$$[\theta(\beta)z_{b} + \theta'(\beta)][\phi(\alpha)z_{a} + \phi'(\alpha)]]/D_{a}(\alpha)D_{b}(\beta).$$

Proof. We observe that  $W[\psi, n]$  is independent of x. Computing  $W[\psi, n]$  at x = r we see  $W[\psi, n] = [\phi'(s) + M\phi(s)][\theta'(t) + m\theta(t)] -$ 

-  $[\theta'(s) + M\theta(s)][\phi'(t) + m\phi(t)].$ 

Inserting the expressions for m and M and taking limits completes the proof.

<u>Theorem 1.</u> If  $D_a(\alpha)$  and  $D_b(\beta)$  approach finite limits as  $\alpha \rightarrow a$  and  $\beta \rightarrow b$ , then  $W[\psi, h] = 0$  if and only if

limit {[ $\phi(\beta)z_b + \phi'(\beta)$ ][ $\theta(\alpha)z_a + \theta'(\alpha)$ ]  $\alpha \rightarrow a$  $\beta \rightarrow b$ 

 $- \left[\theta(\beta)z_{b} + \theta'(\beta)\right] \left[\phi(\alpha)z_{a} + \phi'(\alpha)\right] = 0.$ 

Note that this last equation in no way depends upon the points x = s or s = t.

In the limit point cases the value of M (or m) is independent of the choice of  $z_b$  (or  $z_a$ ). Only in the limit circle cases does M (or m) vary with the choice of z<sub>h</sub>  $(or z_a).$ Theorem 2. If the limit point case holds at a and b, then under the conditions of theorem 1  $W[\psi, n] = 0$  if and only if limit  $[\phi(\beta)\theta(\alpha) - \theta(\beta)\phi(\alpha)] = 0$ , α→a в→ъ limit  $[\phi(\beta)\theta'(\alpha) - \theta(\beta)\phi'(\alpha)] = 0$ , α→a ₿→Ъ limit  $[\phi'(\beta)\theta(\alpha) - \theta'(\beta)\phi(\alpha)] = 0$ , a→a β→Ъ limit  $[\phi'(\beta)\theta'(\alpha) - \theta'(\beta)\phi'(\alpha)] = 0$ . α→a β→Ъ

Proof. Multiply the expression in theorem one out and collect the coefficients of  $z_a z_b$ ,  $z_a$  and  $z_b$  together. Since  $z_a$  and  $z_b$  are arbitrary the result follows. <u>Theorem 3</u>. If the limit point case holds at b, and the limit circle case holds at a, then under the conditions of theorem 1, W[\*,n] = 0 if and only if  $\underset{\substack{\alpha \to \alpha \\ \beta \to b}}{\text{limit}} \left\{ z_{a} \left[ \phi(\beta) \theta(\alpha) - \theta(\beta) \phi(\alpha) \right] + \left[ \phi(\beta) \theta'(\alpha) - \theta(\beta) \phi'(\alpha) \right] \right\} = 0,$ 

 $\underset{\substack{\alpha \to \alpha \\ \beta \to b}}{\text{limit}} \{ z_{a}[\phi'(\beta)\theta(\alpha) - \theta'(\beta)\phi(\alpha)] + [\phi'(\beta)\theta'(\alpha) - \theta'(\beta)\phi'(\alpha)] \} = 0.$ 

A similar statement is valid if the limit circle case holds at b, and the limit point cast holds at a.

These results are valid only in nonself-adjoint problems. They are not applicable in the self-adjoint case, since, in that instance, all eigenvalues lie on the real axis, where the existence of  $\dagger$  and  $\hbar$  cannot be established in general.

## References

- [1] Allan M. Krall, "On the expansion problem for nonselfadjoint ordinary differential operators of second order," submitted for publication.
- [2] \_\_\_\_\_\_, "On nonself-adjoint ordinary differential operators of second order," Doklady Akademii Nauk, to appear.

19. F