A S A C R-396

2

brought to you by 🗓 CORE



# NASA CONTRACTOR Report

# A THEORY OF ANISOTROPIC VISCOELASTIC SANDWICH SHELLS

by John L. Baylor

Prepared under Grant No. NsG-637 by UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA Berkeley, Calif. for

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION • WASHINGTON, D. C. • MARCH 1966



# A THEORY OF ANISOTROPIC VISCOELASTIC SANDWICH SHELLS

By John L. Baylor

Distribution of this report is provided in the interest of information exchange. Responsibility for the contents resides in the author or organization that prepared it.

# Prepared under Grant No. NsG-637 by UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA Berkeley, Calif.

# for

# NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

For sale by the Clearinghouse for Federal Scientific and Technical Information Springfield, Virginia 22151 – Price \$3.00



#### ABSTRACT

- -

The differential equations governing the small deflections of a sandwich shell are developed from the Hellinger-Reissner variational theorem. The facings are thin anisotropic Kirchhoff-Love shells with different physical properties and thicknesses. The core is considered a three dimensional orthotropic medium which can only resist transverse shear and normal stresses. Representative equations for a sandwich shell with a visccelastic core are displayed.

Illustrative examples investigating a circular plate with a circular hole, a square plate with orthotropic facings and an infinite circular cylinder with a visccelastic core are given.

#### INTRODUCTION

The type of sandwich construction which is considered here consists of two thin anisotropic Kirchhoff-Love shells (facings) separated by a three dimensional orthotropic medium (core) in which the in-plane stresses  $\mathcal{T}^{\alpha\beta}$  + are zero, see figure 1. Since  $\mathcal{T}^{\alpha\beta} = 0$  in the core, only the transverse shear resultants  $\overline{S}^{\alpha}$  and the mean normal stress  $\overline{O}^{33}$  need be considered when dealing with the core. On an element of a facing (see figure 1) the force  $\overline{O}^{N\alpha}$  and couple  $\overline{O}^{N\alpha}$  per unit of coordinate are evaluated at the surfaces which are common to both a facing and the core (interfaces).

The prefix  $\underline{n}$  stands for  $\underline{O}$  or  $\underline{1}$  according as the quantity is associated with the upper or lower facing, respectively.

To avoid considering continuity of displacements at the interfaces, the interface displacements  $(\overbrace{\mathbf{n}}^{\nabla})$  are utilized. In the formulation of the theory, the sums and differences of the interface displacements  $(\overbrace{\mathcal{W}_{r}}^{r})$  are introduced.

The dimensionless surface coordinates  $\theta^{\alpha}$  are assumed to be lines of curvature. Hence, the metric tensors ( $\mathcal{A}_{\alpha\beta}$  and  $\mathcal{A}_{\alpha\beta}$ ) and the coefficients of the second fundamental forms ( $b_{\alpha\beta}$  and  $\mathbf{A}_{\alpha\beta}$ ) are diagonal matrices. Also, the coefficients of the second fundamental forms are associated with the curvatures of the surface under consideration.

The differential equations governing the small deflections of the above described sandwich shell are derived from the Hellinger-Reissner

<sup>+</sup>Usual tensor notation prevails, see reference 1.



# FIG. 1, COMPOSITE SHELL ELEMENT

variational theorem  $\begin{bmatrix} 2 \end{bmatrix}^{++}$ . The equilibrium equations for the composite shell are similar to those obtained in  $\begin{bmatrix} 3 \end{bmatrix}$  and the boundary conditions for the individual facings are comparable to those obtained for a homogeneous shell in  $\begin{bmatrix} 4 \end{bmatrix}$ . The stress resultant-displacement relations for the composite shell obtained here have not been presented before. Representative equations for a sandwich shell with a viscoelastic core are displayed.

The equations presented here are applicable to plates as well as shells, however, they will not be specialized in their general form since a complete theory of sandwich plates has been given by G. A. Wempner and J. L. Baylor 5.

Many authors have used variational principles in the derivation of sandwich shell theories. E. Reissner  $\begin{bmatrix} 6 \end{bmatrix}$  and C. T. Wang  $\begin{bmatrix} 7 \end{bmatrix}$  used the principle of minimum complementary energy to derive the stress resultant-displacement relations for a composite shell. Both Reissner and Wang regarded the facings as membranes. Equations which include the bending stiffness of the individual facings have been derived by E. I. Grigolyuk  $\begin{bmatrix} 8 \end{bmatrix}$  and R. E. Fulton  $\begin{bmatrix} 9 \end{bmatrix}$  from the principle of stationary potential energy. A non-variational derivation of sandwich shell theory is given by Wempner and Baylor  $\begin{bmatrix} 3 \end{bmatrix}$ .

Presented here is a theory, developed from the Hellinger-Reissner variational theorem, which includes bending resistance and dissimilarities of the facings. The resulting equations are applied to examples illustrating the effects of anisotropic facings and a viscoelastic core on sandwich shell behavior.

<sup>++</sup>Numbers in brackets refer to the bibliography at the end of the report.

#### A THEORY OF ANISOTROPIC VISCOELASTIC SANDWICH SHELLS

# 1. Stress Distribution thru the Core

In what follows it is assumed that the components of the displacement vector and their derivatives are infinitesimals of the first order and the squares and products of these infinitesimals are neglected when compared with their first powers.

The core is weak in the sense that it only resists transverse shear and transverse normal stresses, i.e.  $\mathcal{C}^{\alpha\beta} = 0$ . Upon setting  $\mathcal{C}^{\alpha\beta} = 0$  in the equilibrium equations, the core stresses become statically determinate. Integration of the equilibrium equations gives [3]

$$\sqrt{9}^{2} \mathcal{C}^{3\alpha} = \frac{\left[1 - \left(2 b_{\alpha}^{\alpha}\right)^{2}\right] \sqrt{a} \, \bar{s}^{\alpha}}{2\lambda L \left[1 - 2 \theta^{3} b_{\alpha}^{\alpha}\right]^{2}} \tag{1}$$

and

$$\sqrt{9}^{2}^{33} = \frac{L\sqrt{\alpha}}{2\lambda} \sigma^{33} + \left[ \frac{\sqrt{\alpha} \overline{5}^{\alpha}}{2\lambda L} \left( \frac{2b_{\infty}^{(\alpha)} - \theta^{3}}{1 - \lambda \theta^{3} b_{\infty}^{(\alpha)}} \right) \right], \qquad (2)$$

where  $5^{33}$  and  $5^{7}_{2}$  are proportional to physical stress and physical stress resultants, respectively.

On the edge of the core the shear stress distribution is a priori statically determined in terms of the shear resultant. From equilibrium of a boundary element, the shear resultant on the edge of the core is

$$S = U_{\alpha} \overline{S}^{\alpha}$$

This shear resultant must be assigned on the edge of the core.

# 2. Core Stress-Strain Relations

The relative displacement of two particles on the normal, one at each interface, is

$$\overrightarrow{\nabla} - \overrightarrow{\nabla} = \int_{-1}^{+1} \sqrt{r_{3}} \overrightarrow{q} r d\theta^{3}.$$

After some manipulation  $\begin{bmatrix} 3 \end{bmatrix}$  this yields

,

$$\mathcal{W}_{3} = \frac{1}{2\lambda L} \int_{-1}^{+1} e_{33} d\theta^{3}$$
(3)

and

$$\mathcal{W}_{\alpha} = \frac{1}{2L} \left\{ -\left[ \frac{\sqrt{3}\alpha}{1 - \lambda b_{\alpha}^{(\alpha)}} \right]_{\theta^{3} = +1}^{2} - \left[ \frac{\sqrt{3}\alpha}{1 + \lambda b_{\alpha}^{(\alpha)}} \right]_{\theta^{3} = -1}^{2} + 2 \int_{-1}^{+1} \frac{1}{1 - \lambda \theta^{3} b_{\alpha}^{(\alpha)}} + 2 \int_{-1}^{+1} \frac{1}{1 - \lambda \theta^$$

Presuming the core to be orthotropic with respect to the surface coordinates, the stress and strain components are related as follows;

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{33} &= \frac{\lambda^{4}L^{4}}{E} \mathcal{Z}^{33} , \qquad (5) \\ \delta_{3\alpha} &= \frac{\lambda^{2}L^{4}\Omega_{\alpha\beta}}{2E^{(\beta)}} \Big[ 1 - \lambda \Theta^{3} b^{(\beta)}_{(\beta)} \Big]^{2} \mathcal{Z}^{3\beta} . \qquad (6) \end{aligned}$$

Because of the displacement assumption

$$\delta_{33} = e_{33} \tag{7}$$

$$\delta_{3\alpha} = e_{3\alpha} \,. \tag{8}$$

Substituting, in turn, (7) and (5) into (3) and (7), (8), (5) and (6) into (4), expanding the integrands in  $\mathcal{A}$  power series and neglecting  $\mathcal{A}^2$  when compared to one, there results

$$w_{3} = \frac{\lambda L}{2E} \left[ \sigma^{33} + \frac{2\lambda}{3L^{2}} \bar{s}^{\alpha} ||_{\alpha} \left( b_{\alpha}^{(\alpha)} - h \right) + \frac{2\lambda}{3L^{2}} \bar{s}^{\alpha} \bar{b}_{\alpha}^{(\alpha)} \right]$$
(9)

and

$$\begin{split} w_{\alpha} &= -\lambda \overline{w_{3,\alpha}} - \lambda^{2} w_{3,\alpha} b_{(\alpha)}^{(\alpha)} - \lambda \overline{w_{\alpha}} b_{(\alpha)}^{(\alpha)} - \\ &- \lambda^{2} w_{\alpha} (b_{(\alpha)}^{(\alpha)})^{2} + \frac{\alpha_{\alpha\beta} \overline{5}^{\beta}}{2LE^{(\beta)}} - \frac{\lambda^{2}}{6LE} \overline{5}^{\beta} \|_{\beta\alpha} + \\ &+ \frac{\lambda^{3} L}{3E} \sigma^{33} h_{3\alpha} + \frac{\lambda^{3} L}{6E} \sigma^{33}_{3\alpha} (b_{(\alpha)}^{(\alpha)} + 2h) + \\ &+ \frac{\lambda^{4}}{15LE} (\overline{5}^{\beta} b_{(\beta),\beta}^{(\beta)})_{,\alpha} (b_{(\alpha)}^{(\alpha)} + 2b_{(\beta)}^{(\beta)} + 2h) + \\ &+ \frac{2\lambda^{4}}{15LE} \overline{5}^{\beta} b_{(\beta),\beta}^{(\beta)} (b_{(\beta)}^{(\beta)} + h)_{,\alpha} + \end{split}$$

$$+\frac{\lambda^{4}}{2LE}\overline{s}^{\beta}\|_{\beta}\left[\frac{2}{3}b_{(\beta)}^{(\beta)}h_{3\alpha}-\frac{2}{5}(b_{(\alpha)}^{(\alpha)}+b_{(\beta)}^{(\beta)})h_{3\alpha}+\frac{2}{5}b_{(\beta)}^{(\beta)}\left(b_{(\alpha)}^{(\alpha)}+2b_{(\beta)}^{(\beta)}+2h\right)-\frac{1}{5}(8hh_{3\alpha}-k_{3\alpha})\right].$$
(10)

Equations (9) and (10) are the core stress-strain relations.

# 3. Stress Distribution thru a Facing

The force and couple, per unit of coordinate, on an element of a facing are (see figure 1)

$$\overline{N}^{\alpha} = \sqrt{a_{n}} n_{\underline{a}}^{\alpha\beta} \overline{a_{\beta}} + \sqrt{a_{n}} q_{\underline{a}}^{\alpha} \widehat{a_{3}}$$

and

$$\overline{M}^{\alpha} = L \sqrt{\alpha} \underline{\alpha} \underline{\varepsilon}_{\beta \gamma \underline{\alpha}} \underline{m}^{\alpha \beta} \underline{\alpha}^{\gamma}$$
where  $\underline{\alpha}^{\alpha \beta}, \underline{L}_{\underline{\alpha}} \underline{m}^{\alpha \beta} and \underline{\alpha} \underline{\beta}^{\alpha}$  are proportional

physical stress resultants.

Neglecting terms of order  $_{a}$ , the stress resultants are related to the stresses as follows;

to

$${}_{\underline{n}}n^{\alpha\beta} = L^{4}_{\underline{n}} \lambda_{\underline{n}} j \int_{\underline{a}}^{\underline{b}} \mathcal{T}^{\alpha\beta} d_{\underline{n}} \theta^{3}, \qquad (11)$$

$$\mathbf{m}^{\alpha\beta} = L^{4}_{\underline{n}} \lambda^{2}_{\underline{n}} j \int_{\underline{a}_{1}}^{\underline{b}} \mathcal{C}^{\alpha\beta}_{\underline{n}} \theta^{3} d_{\underline{a}} \theta^{3} \qquad (12)$$

and

$${}_{\underline{\rho}} q^{\alpha} = \mathcal{L}_{\underline{\rho}}^{4} \mathcal{A}_{\underline{\rho}}^{2} j \int_{\underline{\rho}}^{1} \mathcal{C}^{\alpha 3} d_{\underline{\rho}} \theta^{3}.$$
 (13)

7

Notice that  $n^{\alpha\beta}$  and  $n^{\alpha\beta}$  are symmetric. Guided by (11) and (12), the stress components are presumed to have the following form

$$\mathcal{C}^{\alpha\beta} = \left(2 \mp 3_{\underline{\alpha}} \Theta^{3}\right) \frac{2_{\underline{\alpha}} \Pi^{\alpha\beta}}{L_{\underline{\alpha}}^{4} \lambda_{\underline{\alpha}} j} + \left(2_{\underline{\alpha}} \Theta^{3} \mp 1\right) \frac{6_{\underline{\alpha}} \Pi^{\alpha\beta}}{L_{\underline{\alpha}}^{4} \lambda_{\underline{\alpha}}^{2} j} \qquad (14)$$

or

ï

$$\mathcal{C}^{\alpha\beta} = \left(2 \mp 3_{\underline{\alpha}} \Theta^{3} \right) \frac{d\overline{n}^{\alpha\beta} \pm 2L n^{\alpha\beta}}{L^{4} d_{\underline{\alpha}} \lambda_{\underline{\alpha}, \underline{j}}} + 3 \left(2 \underline{n}^{\alpha\beta} \mp 1 \right) \frac{d\overline{m}^{\alpha\beta} \pm 2L m^{\alpha\beta}}{L^{4} d_{\underline{\alpha}} \lambda_{\underline{\alpha}, \underline{j}}^{2}} \right)$$
(15)

The normal stress  $\sim^{33}$  is assumed to be zero.

# 14. Strain-Displacement Relations for a Facing

The facings are presumed to be thin Kirchhoff-Love shells, i.e. normals remain straight and normal to the interface surfaces.

If extension of the normal is neglected, the displacement of a particle in a facing is

$$\overline{\nabla} = \overline{\underline{\nabla}} + \underline{\alpha} \lambda L_{\underline{\alpha}} \Theta^{3} (\underline{\alpha} \widehat{A}_{3} - \widehat{\alpha}_{3}).$$
(16)

The deformed and undeformed unit normal vectors are related as follows [3],

. .....

-

$${}_{\underline{n}}\hat{A}_{\underline{3}} = \hat{a}_{\underline{3}} + \frac{\underline{n}\,\omega_{\underline{\alpha}\underline{3}}}{\underline{n}\,\underline{\lambda}\,\underline{L}^{\underline{2}}\,\underline{n}\,\overline{\alpha}\,\underline{\alpha}\,} \qquad (17)$$

Substituting (17) into (16), one finds

$$\overline{\nabla} = \underline{\nabla} + \underline{\partial} \theta^3 \, \underline{\partial} \, \underline{\partial$$

The covariant components of the displacement vector are

$$\bigvee_{3} = \frac{n\lambda}{\lambda} \bigvee_{3} \tag{18}$$

and

$$\bigvee_{\beta} = \left( \delta_{\beta}^{\alpha} - \mathcal{D}_{\underline{n}} \Theta_{\underline{n}}^{3} \delta_{\beta}^{\alpha} \right) \left( \mathcal{D}_{\alpha}^{\vee} + \mathcal{D}_{\underline{n}} \Theta_{\underline{n}}^{3} \omega_{\alpha 3} \right)$$
 (19)  
where [3]

$${}_{\underline{\alpha}}V_{\underline{\beta}} = \mathcal{I}\mathcal{L}\left(\overline{w_{\underline{\beta}}} \pm w_{\underline{\beta}}\right), \qquad (20)$$

$${}_{\underline{n}}V_{\alpha} = L\left(1\mp \lambda b_{(\alpha)}^{(\alpha)}\right)\left(\overline{w_{\alpha}}\pm w_{\alpha}\right) \quad (21)$$

and

----

$${}_{\underline{\alpha}}\omega_{3\alpha} = {}_{\underline{\alpha}}\lambda\left(\frac{\underline{\alpha}\sqrt{3},\alpha}{\lambda} + \underline{\alpha}b_{\alpha}^{\beta}\sqrt{3}\right). \tag{22}$$

Equations (20) and (21) are obtained directly from the definitions of  

$$\widetilde{W_{r}}$$
 and  $\widetilde{W_{r}}$ .  
Because of the displacement assumption [1]  
 $\delta_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \sqrt{\alpha | \beta} + \sqrt{\beta | \alpha} \right)$  (23)

If one uses (18), (19), (20), (21) and (22) in (23) and neglects terms of order  $\underline{a}^{\lambda}$ , then

$$\delta_{\alpha\beta} = {}_{\underline{\alpha}} \delta_{\alpha\beta} - {}_{\underline{\alpha}} \Theta_{\underline{\alpha}}^{3} \mathcal{H}_{\alpha\beta}$$
(24)

where

$$\sum_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \sum_{\alpha} \bigvee_{\beta\beta} + \sum_{\alpha} \bigvee_{\beta\alpha} - 2 \sum_{\alpha} \sum_{\alpha\beta} \bigvee_{\beta\alpha} - 2 \sum_{\alpha} \sum_{\alpha\beta} \bigvee_{\beta\alpha} - 2 \sum_{\alpha\beta} \sum_{\alpha\beta} \bigvee_{\beta\beta} \right]$$

$$- 2 \sum_{\alpha\beta} \sum_{\alpha\beta} \bigvee_{\beta\beta} \Big]$$

$$(25)$$

and

$${}_{\underline{\alpha}}\mathcal{K}_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ {}_{\underline{\alpha}}\mathcal{W}_{3\alpha,\beta} + {}_{\underline{\alpha}}\mathcal{W}_{3\beta,\alpha} - 2 {}_{\underline{\alpha}} {}_{\underline{\alpha}}\mathcal{W}_{3\beta} \right]$$
(26)

Equations (24), (25) and (26) are the same strain-displacement relations  
obtained in 
$$\begin{bmatrix} 3 \end{bmatrix}$$
. Also  $\mathcal{A} \neq \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A} =$ 

assumed zero throughout a facing.

# 5. Hellinger-Reissner Three Dimensional Variational Theorem

The equilibrium equations, stress resultant-displacement relations and boundary conditions for a sandwich shell will be derived from the following variational principle of Hellinger and Reissner 2.

The state of stress and displacement which satisfies the differential equations of equilibrium and the stress displacement relations in the interior of the body, and the conditions of prescribed stress on the part  $\mathcal{O}_1$  and of prescribed displacements on the part  $\mathcal{O}_2$  of the surface of the body, is determined by the variational equation

 $\iint (z^{rs} \delta_{rs} - W) dz - \iint \widetilde{P}^{r} V_{r} dd - \bigcup_{\sigma_{i}} \widetilde{P}^$ 

10

$$-\iint (V_r - \widetilde{V_r}) \mathsf{P}^r \mathsf{d} \mathscr{G} = 0. \tag{27}$$

## 6. Contribution to the Hellinger-Reissner Theorem from the Facings

The normal stress  $\sim^{33}$  and the shear strains  $\bigvee_{3\propto}$  have been assumed zero. Having zero shear strains,  $\bigvee_{3\propto}$ , while there exist nonzero inplane stresses  $\sim^{\sim}$  and non-zero shear stresses  $\sim^{3\propto}$ requires the elastic coefficients  $\bigcap_{3\propto}^{3} \bigotimes_{\beta} \bigotimes_{\alpha}^{\beta} \bigotimes_{\alpha}^{\beta} \bigotimes_{\beta}^{\alpha} \otimes_{\beta}^{\beta} \bigotimes_{\beta}^{\beta} \bigotimes_{\alpha}^{\beta} \bigotimes_{\beta}^{\beta} \bigotimes_{\beta}^{\beta} \otimes_{\beta}^{\beta} \bigotimes_{\alpha}^{\beta} \bigotimes_{\beta}^{\beta} \bigotimes_{\beta}^{\beta} \otimes_{\beta}^{\beta} \otimes_{\beta}^{\beta} \bigotimes_{\beta}^{\beta} \otimes_{\beta}^{\beta} \otimes_{\beta$ 



and



Substituting (15) and (24) into the integrals thru a facing thickness and neglecting terms of order 2, one finds



$$-\frac{d\overline{m}^{\alpha\beta}\pm 2Lm^{\alpha\beta}}{2L^2d_{\alpha}d_{\alpha}^2j}\mathcal{K}_{\alpha\beta}$$
(30)

and

$$\int_{-1}^{0} e^{\alpha \beta} e^{\beta \gamma} d_{\alpha} \theta^{3} =$$

$$= \frac{1}{L^{6}d_{p}^{2}d_{p}^{2}j^{2}} \left[ d\bar{n}^{\%} \pm 2Ln^{\%} \right] \left[ d\bar{n}^{\delta} 2 \pm 2Ln^{\delta} \right] + \frac{3}{2L^{5}d_{p}^{2}d_{p}^{3}j^{2}} \left[ d\bar{n}^{\%} \pm 2Ln^{\%} \right] \left[ d\bar{m}^{\delta} 2 \pm 2Ln^{\delta} \right] + \frac{3}{2L^{5}d_{p}^{2}d_{p}^{3}j^{2}} \left[ d\bar{n}^{\delta} 2 \pm 2Ln^{\delta} \right] \left[ d\bar{m}^{\%} \pm 2Ln^{\%} \right] + \frac{3}{2L^{5}d_{p}^{2}d_{p}^{3}j^{2}} \left[ d\bar{n}^{\delta} 2 \pm 2Ln^{\delta} \right] \left[ d\bar{m}^{\%} \pm 2Ln^{\%} \right] + \frac{3}{L^{4}d_{p}^{2}d_{p}^{4}j^{2}} \left[ d\bar{m}^{\%} \pm 2Ln^{\%} \right] \left[ d\bar{m}^{\delta} 2 \pm 2Ln^{\delta} \right] \left[ d\bar{m}^{\delta} 2 \pm 2Ln^{\delta} \right] (31)$$

The surface of a facing consists of three parts; the interface surface, the exterior face and the edge. Over the exterior face and the interface  $\delta_2 = 0$ , i.e., stresses are prescribed. The integrals

Prvrdas,

over the interfaces, from the facings are the negatives of the corresponding integrals from the core, consequently they sum to zero.

The load on the exterior face of a facing is



where  $\underline{n}^{0}/\underline{2}$  and  $\underline{n}^{0}/\underline{2}$  are proportional to physical force per unit undeformed area.

- ...

ł

Using (18), (19), (20), (21) and (32), neglecting surface load times rotation terms and neglecting terms of order  $\underline{n}^{\lambda}$  and  $\lambda^{2}$  one obtains

$$\begin{split} &\iint_{\Omega} \widetilde{P}^{r} \nabla_{r} d_{\alpha} \overline{s} = \frac{1}{2} \iint_{S} \left[ \left( 1 \mp \lambda b_{(\alpha)}^{(\alpha)} \right) \left( \overline{p}^{\alpha} \pm p^{\alpha} \right) \cdot \right] \\ &\cdot \left( \overline{w_{\alpha}} \pm w_{\alpha} \right) + \left( \overline{p}^{3} \pm p^{3} \right) \left( \overline{w_{3}} \pm w_{3} \right) \right] ds. \quad (33) \\ & \quad \text{From (13), (14), (18) end (19), after neglecting terms of order} \\ & \quad a^{\lambda} \quad \text{and } \lambda^{2} \quad \text{it is found that} \\ & \quad \iint_{\Omega} \widetilde{P}^{r} \nabla_{r} d_{\alpha} \Omega + \iint_{\Omega} \left( \nabla_{r} - \widetilde{\nabla_{r}} \right) \widetilde{P}^{r} d_{\alpha} \Omega = \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \left[ \frac{1}{L} \alpha \widetilde{n}^{\alpha\beta} \nabla_{\beta} - \frac{2}{d} \alpha \widetilde{m}^{\alpha\beta} \nabla_{3,\beta} + \frac{2}{d} \alpha \widetilde{Q}^{\alpha} \nabla_{3} - \right. \\ &- \frac{1}{L} b_{(\beta)}^{(\beta)} \left( 1 \pm \lambda b_{(\beta)}^{(\beta)} \right) \alpha \widetilde{m}^{\alpha\beta} \nabla_{\beta} \right] \frac{\alpha \mathcal{U}_{\alpha}}{\alpha j} d_{\alpha} \mathcal{A} + \\ &+ \int_{\Omega} \left[ \frac{1}{L} \alpha \widetilde{n}^{\alpha\beta} \left( \alpha \nabla_{\beta} - \alpha \widetilde{\nabla_{\beta}} \right) - \frac{2}{d} \alpha \widetilde{m}^{\alpha\beta} \left( \alpha \nabla_{3,\beta} - \alpha \widetilde{\nabla_{3,\beta}} \right) - \right. \\ &- \frac{1}{L} b_{(\beta)}^{(\beta)} \left( 1 \pm \lambda b_{(\beta)}^{(\beta)} \right) \alpha \widetilde{m}^{\alpha\beta} \left( \alpha \nabla_{\beta} - \alpha \widetilde{\nabla_{\beta}} \right) + \\ &+ \frac{2}{d} \alpha Q^{\alpha} \left( \alpha \nabla_{3} - \alpha \widetilde{\nabla_{3}} \right) \right] \frac{\alpha \mathcal{U}_{\alpha}}{\alpha j} d_{\alpha} \mathcal{A} . \end{aligned}$$

13

\_\_\_\_\_

This is the contribution to the surface integrals from the edge of a facing.

# 7. Contribution to the Hellinger-Reissner Theorem from the Core

For the core the equilibrium equations have been identically satisfied, the stress-strain relations have been determined, the boundary condition has been obtained and over the interface surfaces  $o_2$  has been presumed zero. Thus the only contribution to the variational theorem from the core is

$$\iint_{\underline{s}} P' \delta V_{r} d_{\underline{s}} S.$$

The outward unit normals to the core interface surfaces are

$$n\hat{n} = \pm \Im L \overline{q}^{3}. \tag{35}$$

Equations (1), (2), (20), (21) and (35) give

$$\begin{split} \int P^{r} \delta V_{r} d_{2} \delta &= \int \left[ \left( \pm \frac{L^{2}}{2} \sigma^{33} - \frac{1}{2} \overline{s}^{\alpha} \|_{\alpha} \right) \bullet \right] \\ \bullet \left( \delta \overline{w}_{3} \pm \delta w_{3} \right) + \frac{\overline{s}^{\alpha}}{22} \left( \pm 1 + 2 b_{\alpha}^{(\alpha)} \right) \left( \delta \overline{w}_{\alpha} \pm \pm \delta w_{\alpha} \right) \right] \\ & \pm \delta w_{\alpha} \left( d \delta s \right) \end{split}$$
(36)

## 8. Hellinger-Reissner Variational Theorem for a Sandwich Shell

Upon substituting (28), (29), (30), (31), (33), (34) and (36) into the variational theorem (27) and using Green's theorem  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \end{bmatrix}$ , one obtains the following variational equation appropriate for a sandwich shell.

 $\iint \left\{ \delta \overline{n} \overset{\alpha \beta}{=} \left[ \left( \overline{w}_{\alpha} - \Im b_{\alpha}^{(\alpha)} w_{\alpha} \right)_{\parallel \beta} - \Im \overline{T}_{\alpha \beta}^{\beta} \left( \overline{w}_{\beta} - \Im b_{\alpha}^{(\alpha)} w_{\alpha} \right)_{\parallel \beta} \right] \right\}$  $-2b_{(8)}^{(8)}w_{5})-2^{2}\gamma_{x}^{8}(w_{5}-2b_{(8)}^{(8)}w_{5})-b_{x}(w_{5}-2b_{(8)}^{(8)}w_{5}) -2b_{\alpha}^{(\alpha)}u_{\overline{3}})-\overline{C}_{\alpha\beta\delta\gamma}\Big(\frac{\overline{\alpha}}{L}\overline{n}^{\delta\gamma}+\frac{2\alpha}{d}n^{\delta\gamma}-\frac{3\beta}{2L}\overline{m}^{\delta\gamma} -\frac{3\overline{\beta}}{d}m^{\delta}\eta - C_{\alpha\beta\delta\eta}\left(\frac{\alpha}{L}\overline{n}^{\delta}\eta + \frac{2\overline{\alpha}}{d}n^{\delta}\eta - \frac{3\overline{\beta}}{2L}\overline{m}^{\delta}\eta - \frac{3\overline{\beta$  $-\frac{3\beta}{d}m^{8}7)]+5n^{\alpha\beta}\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(w_{\alpha}^{2}-2b_{\alpha}^{(\alpha)}\overline{w_{\alpha}}\right)\right]_{\beta} -\overline{T}_{xy}^{\delta}(w_{\overline{y}}-2b_{(\delta)}^{(\delta)}\overline{w_{\overline{y}}})-2T_{xy}^{\delta}(\overline{w_{\overline{y}}}-2b_{(\delta)}^{(\delta)}w_{\overline{y}}) -\frac{1}{2}b_{\alpha\beta}\left(w_{3}-2b_{\alpha\beta}^{(\alpha)}w_{3}\right)-\frac{1}{2}\overline{c}_{\alpha\beta\delta\gamma}\left(\frac{\alpha}{L}\overline{n}^{\delta\gamma}+\right)$  $+\frac{2\overline{\alpha}}{2}n^{\delta_{1}}-\frac{3\overline{\beta}}{2}\overline{m}^{\delta_{2}}-\frac{3\beta}{2}m^{\delta_{2}})-\frac{1}{2}C_{\alpha\beta\delta_{1}}\left(\frac{\overline{\alpha}}{L}\overline{n}^{\delta_{2}}+\right)$  $+\frac{2\alpha}{d}n^{\delta}\eta - \frac{3\beta}{2L}\overline{m}^{\delta}\eta - \frac{3\overline{\beta}}{d}\overline{m}^{\delta}\eta - \frac{3\overline{\beta}}{d}\overline{m}^{\delta}\eta - \frac{3\overline{\beta}}{d}\overline{m}^{\delta}\eta - \frac{3\overline{\beta}}{2L}\overline{m}^{\delta}\eta - \frac{3\overline{\beta}}{2L}\overline{m}$  $+\overline{w_{\alpha}}b_{\alpha}^{(\alpha)})_{\parallel\beta}+2\overline{T}_{\alpha\beta}^{\delta}\left(\overline{w_{3,\beta}}+\overline{w_{\beta}}b_{(\beta)}^{(\delta)}\right)+$  $+2^{2}T_{\alpha\beta}^{\delta}\left(w_{3,\delta}^{\ast}+w_{\delta}^{\ast}b_{(\delta)}^{(\delta)}\right)+\overline{C}_{\alpha\beta\delta\gamma}\left(\frac{3\beta}{2L}\overline{n}^{\delta\gamma}+\right.$ 

 $+\frac{3\overline{a}}{d}n^{*}\eta - \frac{3\varepsilon}{L}\overline{m}^{*}\eta - \frac{6\overline{\delta}}{d}m^{*}\eta + C_{\alpha\beta\delta\eta}\left(\frac{3\overline{a}}{2L}\overline{n}^{*}\eta + \frac{1}{2}\right)$  $+\frac{38}{7}n^{8}n^{-1}-\frac{38}{7}m^{8}n^{-1}-\frac{68}{7}m^{8}n^{-1}+5m^{8}\left[-\frac{1}{2}(w_{3}+w_{3$  $+w_{\alpha}b_{\alpha}^{(\alpha)})_{\parallel\beta}+\overline{T}_{\alpha\beta}^{\delta}(w_{\overline{3},\delta}+w_{\overline{5}}b_{(\delta)}^{(\delta)})+\lambda \Upsilon_{\alpha\beta}^{\delta}(w_{\overline{3},\delta}+w_{\overline{5}}b_{(\delta)}^{(\delta)})+\lambda \Upsilon_{\alpha\beta}^{\delta}(w_{\overline{5},\delta}+w_{\overline{5}}b_{(\delta)}^{(\delta)})+\lambda \Upsilon_{\alpha\beta}^{\delta}(w_{\overline{5},\delta}+w_{\overline{5}}b_{(\delta)}^{(\delta)})+\lambda \Upsilon_{\alpha\beta}^{\delta}(w_{\overline{5},\delta}+w_{\overline{5}}b_{(\delta)}^{(\delta)})+\lambda \Upsilon_{\alpha\beta}^{\delta}(w_{\overline{5},\delta}+w_{\overline{5}}b_{(\delta)}^{(\delta)})+\lambda \Upsilon_{\alpha\beta}^{\delta}(w_{\overline{5},\delta}+w_{\overline{5}}b_{(\delta)}^{(\delta)})+\lambda \Upsilon_{\alpha\beta}^{\delta}(w_{\overline{5},\delta}+w_{\overline{5}}b_{(\delta)}^{(\delta)})+\lambda \Upsilon_{\alpha\beta}^{\delta}(w_{\overline{5},\delta}+w_{\overline{5}}b_{(\delta)}^{(\delta)})+\lambda \Upsilon_{\alpha\beta}^{\delta}(w_{\overline{5},\delta}+w_{\overline{5}}b_{(\delta)}^{(\delta)}$  $+\overline{w_{y}}b_{(y)}^{(x)})+\frac{1}{2}\overline{c}_{gy}\left(\frac{3\overline{e}}{2L}\overline{n}^{y}\right)+\frac{3\overline{e}}{d}n^{y}\right)-\frac{3\overline{e}}{L}\overline{m}^{y}\right) -\frac{67}{3}m^{\delta_{1}}+\frac{1}{3}C_{\alpha\beta\delta_{1}}\left(\frac{3\beta}{2L}\overline{n}^{\delta_{1}}+\frac{37}{4}n^{\delta_{1}}-\frac{37}{L}\overline{m}^{\delta_{1}}-\frac{37}{L}\right)$  $-\frac{68}{7}m^{8})]+5\overline{w_{\alpha}}\left[-\overline{n}^{\alpha\beta}||_{\beta}+b_{\alpha}^{(\alpha)}n^{\alpha\beta}||_{\beta}-2\overline{T}_{\gamma\alpha}^{\alpha}(\overline{n}^{\beta\beta})\right]$  $-b_{(\alpha)}^{(\alpha)}n^{\beta\beta}) + 2\gamma_{\beta\beta}^{\alpha}\left(\lambda^{2}b_{(\alpha)}^{(\alpha)}-\delta^{\beta}-n^{\delta\beta}\right) + \overline{5}^{\alpha}b_{(\alpha)}^{(\alpha)} +$  $+b_{(\alpha)}^{(\alpha)}\overline{m}_{\beta}^{\alpha\beta}\|_{B}+2b_{(\alpha)}^{(\alpha)}\overline{T}_{\delta\beta}^{\alpha}\overline{m}_{\beta}^{\delta\beta}+2b_{(\alpha)}^{(\alpha)}T_{\delta\beta}^{\alpha}\overline{m}_{\beta}^{\delta\beta} -\overline{p}^{\alpha}+2b_{\alpha}^{\alpha}p^{\alpha}]+\varepsilon_{w_{\alpha}}\left[-\frac{1}{2}n^{\alpha\beta}\|_{\beta}+2b_{\alpha}^{\alpha}\overline{n}^{\alpha\beta}\|_{\beta}-\frac{1}{2}n^{\alpha\beta}\|_{\beta}+2b_{\alpha}^{\alpha}\overline{n}^{\alpha\beta}\|_{\beta}-\frac{1}{2}n^{\alpha\beta}\|_{\beta}+2b_{\alpha}^{\alpha}\overline{n}^{\alpha\beta}\|_{\beta}-\frac{1}{2}n^{\alpha\beta}\|_{\beta}+2b_{\alpha}^{\alpha}\overline{n}^{\alpha\beta}\|_{\beta}-\frac{1}{2}n^{\alpha\beta}\|_{\beta}+2b_{\alpha}^{\alpha}\overline{n}^{\alpha\beta}\|_{\beta}-\frac{1}{2}n^{\alpha\beta}\|_{\beta}+2b_{\alpha}^{\alpha}\overline{n}^{\alpha\beta}\|_{\beta}-\frac{1}{2}n^{\alpha\beta}\|_{\beta}+2b_{\alpha}^{\alpha}\overline{n}^{\alpha\beta}\|_{\beta}-\frac{1}{2}n^{\alpha\beta}\|_{\beta}+2b_{\alpha}^{\alpha}\overline{n}^{\alpha\beta}\|_{\beta}-\frac{1}{2}n^{\alpha\beta}\|_{\beta}+2b_{\alpha}^{\alpha}\overline{n}^{\alpha\beta}\|_{\beta}-\frac{1}{2}n^{\alpha\beta}\|_{\beta}+2b_{\alpha}^{\alpha}\overline{n}^{\alpha\beta}\|_{\beta}-\frac{1}{2}n^{\alpha\beta}\|_{\beta}+2b_{\alpha}^{\alpha}\overline{n}^{\alpha\beta}\|_{\beta}-\frac{1}{2}n^{\alpha\beta}\|_{\beta}+2b_{\alpha}^{\alpha}\overline{n}^{\alpha\beta}\|_{\beta}-\frac{1}{2}n^{\alpha\beta}\|_{\beta}+2b_{\alpha}^{\alpha}\overline{n}^{\alpha\beta}\|_{\beta}-\frac{1}{2}n^{\alpha\beta}\|_{\beta}+2b_{\alpha}^{\alpha}\overline{n}^{\alpha\beta}\|_{\beta}-\frac{1}{2}n^{\alpha\beta}\|_{\beta}+2b_{\alpha}^{\alpha}\overline{n}^{\alpha\beta}\|_{\beta}+2b_{\alpha}^{\alpha}\overline{n}^{\alpha\beta}\|_{\beta}+2b_{\alpha}^{\alpha}\overline{n}^{\alpha\beta}\|_{\beta}+2b_{\alpha}^{\alpha}\overline{n}^{\alpha\beta}\|_{\beta}+2b_{\alpha}^{\alpha}\overline{n}^{\alpha\beta}\|_{\beta}+2b_{\alpha}^{\alpha}\overline{n}^{\alpha\beta}\|_{\beta}+2b_{\alpha}^{\alpha}\overline{n}^{\alpha\beta}\|_{\beta}+2b_{\alpha}^{\alpha}\overline{n}^{\alpha\beta}\|_{\beta}+2b_{\alpha}^{\alpha}\overline{n}^{\alpha\beta}\|_{\beta}+2b_{\alpha}^{\alpha}\overline{n}^{\alpha\beta}\|_{\beta}+2b_{\alpha}^{\alpha}\overline{n}^{\alpha\beta}\|_{\beta}+2b_{\alpha}^{\alpha}\overline{n}^{\alpha\beta}\|_{\beta}+2b_{\alpha}^{\alpha}\overline{n}^{\alpha\beta}\|_{\beta}+2b_{\alpha}^{\alpha}\overline{n}^{\alpha\beta}\|_{\beta}+2b_{\alpha}^{\alpha}\overline{n}^{\alpha\beta}\|_{\beta}+2b_{\alpha}^{\alpha}\overline{n}^{\alpha\beta}\|_{\beta}+2b_{\alpha}^{\alpha}\overline{n}^{\alpha\beta}\|_{\beta}+2b_{\alpha}^{\alpha}\overline{n}^{\alpha\beta}\|_{\beta}+2b_{\alpha}^{\alpha}\overline{n}^{\alpha\beta}\|_{\beta}+2b_{\alpha}^{\alpha}\overline{n}^{\alpha\beta}\|_{\beta}+2b_{\alpha}^{\alpha}\overline{n}^{\alpha\beta}\|_{\beta}+2b_{\alpha}^{\alpha}\overline{n}^{\alpha}\|_{\beta}+2b_{\alpha}^{\alpha}\overline{n}^{\alpha}\|_{\beta}+2b_{\alpha}^{\alpha}\overline{n}^{\alpha}\|_{\beta}+2b_{\alpha}^{\alpha}\overline{n}^{\alpha}\|_{\beta}+2b_{\alpha}^{\alpha}\overline{n}^{\alpha}\|_{\beta}+2b_{\alpha}^{\alpha}\overline{n}^{\alpha}\|_{\beta}+2b_{\alpha}^{\alpha}\overline{n}^{\alpha}\|_{\beta}+2b_{\alpha}^{\alpha}\overline{n}^{\alpha}\|_{\beta}+2b_{\alpha}^{\alpha}\overline{n}^{\alpha}\|_{\beta}+2b_{\alpha}^{\alpha}\overline{n}^{\alpha}\|_{\beta}+2b_{\alpha}^{\alpha}\overline{n}^{\alpha}\|_{\beta}+2b_{\alpha}^{\alpha}\overline{n}^{\alpha}\|_{\beta}+2b_{\alpha}^{\alpha}\overline{n}^{\alpha}\|_{\beta}+2b_{\alpha}^{\alpha}\overline{n}^{\alpha}\|_{\beta}+2b_{\alpha}^{\alpha}\|_{\beta}+2b_{\alpha}^{\alpha}\|_{\beta}+2b_{\alpha}^{\alpha}\|_{\beta}+2b_{\alpha}^{\alpha}\|_{\beta}+2b_{\alpha}^{\alpha}\|_{\beta}+2b_{\alpha}^{\alpha}\|_{\beta}+2b_{\alpha}^{\alpha}\|_{\beta}+2b_{\alpha}^{\alpha}\|_{\beta}+2b_{\alpha}^{\alpha}\|_{\beta}+2b_{\alpha}^{\alpha}\|_{\beta}+2b_{\alpha}^{\alpha}\|_{\beta}+2b_{\alpha}^{\alpha}\|_{\beta}+2b_{\alpha}^{\alpha}\|_{\beta}+2b_{\alpha}^{\alpha}\|_{\beta}+2b_{\alpha}^{\alpha}\|_{\beta}+2b_{\alpha}^{\alpha}\|_{\beta}+2b_{\alpha}^{\alpha}\|_{\beta}+2b_{\alpha}^{\alpha}\|_{\beta}+2b_{\alpha}^{\alpha}\|_{\beta}+2b_{\alpha}^{\alpha}\|_{\beta}+2b_{\alpha}^{\alpha}\|_{\beta}+2b_{\alpha}^{\alpha}\|_{\beta}+2b_{\alpha}^{\alpha}\|_{\beta}+2b_{\alpha}^{\alpha}\|_{\beta}+2b_{\alpha}^{\alpha}\|_{\beta}+2b_{\alpha}^{\alpha}\|_{\beta}+2b_{\alpha}^{\alpha}\|_{\beta}+2b_{\alpha}^{\alpha}\|_{\beta}+2b_{\alpha}^{\alpha}\|_{\beta}+2b_{\alpha}^{\alpha}\|_{\beta}+2b_{\alpha}^{\alpha}\|_{\beta}+2b_{\alpha}^{\alpha}\|_{$  $-\overline{\Upsilon}^{\alpha}_{\beta\beta}\left(n^{\beta\beta}-\lambda^{2}b^{(\alpha)}_{(\alpha}\overline{n}^{\beta\beta}\right)+\lambda^{2}\Upsilon^{\alpha}_{\beta\beta}\left(b^{(\alpha)}_{(\alpha)}n^{\beta\beta}-\overline{n}^{\beta\beta}\right)+$  $+\frac{1}{2}\overline{5}^{\alpha}+\frac{1}{2}b_{\alpha}^{(\alpha)}m^{\alpha\beta}\|_{\beta}+b_{\alpha}^{(\alpha)}\overline{T}_{\beta\beta}^{\alpha}m^{\beta\beta}+$ 



In the boundary integrals of (37) the following moment equilibrium equation was used;

$$\underline{a}g^{\alpha} = \underline{a}m^{\alpha\beta}\|_{\beta} + \lambda_{\underline{a}}\Upsilon^{\alpha}_{\beta\beta\underline{a}}m^{\beta\delta}.$$

This equation is derived in 3.

Equation (37) is the required variational equation for a composite sandwich shell.

#### 9. Equilibrium Equations

The Euler equations resulting from operating on (37) and corresponding to  $\delta \overline{w_3}$  and  $\delta \overline{w_3}$  are  $\overline{P}^3 + \overline{5}^{\alpha} ||_{\alpha} + b_{\alpha\beta} \overline{n}^{\alpha\beta} + \overline{m}^{\alpha\beta} ||_{\alpha\beta} - b_{(\alpha)}^{(\alpha)} b_{\alpha\beta} n^{\alpha\beta} +$  $+ \mathcal{Q} \left( \overline{T}_{\alpha\beta}^{\beta} \overline{m}^{\alpha\beta} + T_{\alpha\beta}^{\beta} m^{\alpha\beta} \right) ||_{\delta} = 0$  (38)

and

$$-\lambda L^{2} \mathcal{S}^{33} + \lambda p^{3} + b_{\alpha\beta} n^{\alpha\beta} + m^{\alpha\beta} ||_{\alpha\beta} - \lambda^{2} b_{\alpha\beta}^{(\alpha)} b_{\alpha\beta} \overline{n}^{\alpha\beta} + \lambda \left( \overline{T}_{\alpha\beta}^{\ \ \delta} m^{\alpha\beta} + \lambda^{2} T_{\alpha\beta}^{\ \ \delta} \overline{m}^{\alpha\beta} \right) ||_{\delta} = 0.$$

$$(39)$$

Forming linear combinations of the Euler equations associated

with  $\delta \overline{w}_{\alpha}$  and  $\delta w_{\alpha}$ , one finds  $\overline{\rho}^{\alpha} + \overline{n}^{\alpha\beta} \|_{\beta} - 2\overline{s}^{\alpha} b_{(\alpha)}^{(\alpha)} + \Omega \gamma_{\beta\beta}^{\alpha} \overline{n}^{\delta\beta} + \Omega \gamma_{\beta\beta}^{\alpha} - b_{(\alpha)}^{(\alpha)} \overline{m}^{\alpha\beta} \|_{\beta} - (b_{(\alpha)}^{(\alpha)})^{2} \overline{m}^{\alpha\beta} \|_{\beta} - \Omega \overline{m}^{\delta\beta} [\overline{\gamma}_{\delta\beta}^{\alpha} b_{(\alpha)}^{(\alpha)} + \Omega^{2} \gamma_{\beta\beta}^{\alpha} (b_{(\alpha)}^{(\alpha)})^{2}] - \Omega \overline{m}^{\delta\beta} [\overline{\gamma}_{\delta\beta}^{\alpha} b_{(\alpha)}^{(\alpha)} + \overline{\gamma}_{\delta\beta}^{\alpha} (b_{(\alpha)}^{(\alpha)})^{2}] = 0 \quad (40)$  and

$$\begin{aligned} 2\rho^{\alpha} - \overline{5}^{\alpha} + n^{\alpha\beta} \|_{\beta} + 2\overline{T}^{\alpha}_{\gamma\beta} n^{\gamma\beta} + 2^{3} T^{\alpha}_{\gamma\beta} \overline{n}^{\delta\beta} - b^{(\alpha)}_{(\alpha)} m^{\alpha\beta} \|_{\beta} - 2^{2} (b^{(\alpha)}_{(\alpha)})^{2} \overline{m}^{\alpha\beta} \|_{\beta} - 2m^{\gamma\beta} \overline{T}^{\alpha}_{\gamma\beta} b^{(\alpha)}_{(\alpha)} + 2^{2} T^{\alpha}_{\gamma\beta} (b^{(\alpha)}_{(\alpha)})^{2} - 2m^{\gamma\beta} \overline{T}^{\alpha}_{\gamma\beta} b^{(\alpha)}_{(\alpha)} + 2^{2} T^{\alpha}_{\gamma\beta} (b^{(\alpha)}_{(\alpha)})^{2} - 2m^{\gamma\beta} \overline{T}^{\alpha}_{\gamma\beta} b^{(\alpha)}_{(\alpha)} + \overline{T}^{\alpha}_{\gamma\beta} (b^{(\alpha)}_{(\alpha)})^{2} - 2m^{\gamma\beta} \overline{T}^{\alpha}_{\gamma\beta} b^{(\alpha)}_{(\alpha)} + 2m^{\gamma\beta} \overline{T}^{\alpha}_{\gamma\beta} (b^{(\alpha)}_{(\alpha)})^{2} - 2m^{\gamma\beta} \overline{T}^{\alpha}_{\gamma\beta} b^{(\alpha)}_{(\alpha)} + 2m^{\gamma\beta} \overline{T}^{\alpha}_{\gamma\beta} (b^{(\alpha)}_{(\alpha)})^{2} - 2m^{\gamma\beta} \overline{T}^{\alpha}_{\gamma\beta} b^{(\alpha)}_{(\alpha)} + 2m^{\gamma\beta} \overline{T}^{\alpha}_{\gamma\beta} b$$

Equations (38), (39), (40) and (41) are the equilibrium equations for a composite sandwich shell. If the equilibrium equations of  $\begin{bmatrix} 3 \end{bmatrix}$  are specialized to small rotations and if  $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbf{2}}$  is neglected when compared to one, the resulting equations are the same as (38), (39), (40) and (41).

Equations (38) and (40) are identified with the equilibrium of a gross element of the composite shell.

## 10. Stress Resultant-Displacement Relations

Combining the Euler equations corresponding to  $\delta \overline{n}^{\alpha\beta}$ ,  $\delta n^{\alpha\beta}$ ,  $\delta \overline{n}^{\alpha\beta}$ ,  $\delta \overline{n}^{\alpha\beta}$ , and  $\delta \overline{n}^{\alpha\beta}$  in a suitable way, it can be verified that

$$\begin{split} \overline{n}^{\underline{s}\mu} &= \underline{o} j_{\underline{1}} j_{\underline{o}} 2_{\underline{1}} 2L^{9} \overline{B}^{\underline{s}\mu\alpha\beta} \left[ \overline{\alpha} \left( \overline{w}_{\alpha}^{} - 2b_{(\alpha)}^{(\alpha)} w_{\alpha} \right) \right\|_{\overline{p}} \right] \\ &- \alpha \left( w_{\alpha}^{} - 2b_{(\alpha)}^{(\alpha)} \overline{w}_{\alpha}^{} \right) \left\|_{\overline{p}} - \overline{\alpha} b_{\alpha\beta} \left( \overline{w}_{\underline{3}}^{} - 2b_{(\alpha)}^{(\alpha)} w_{\alpha}^{} \right) + \\ &+ \alpha b_{\alpha\beta} \left( w_{\underline{3}}^{} - 2b_{(\alpha)}^{(\alpha)} \overline{w}_{\underline{3}}^{} \right) + \\ &+ 2 \left( -\overline{\alpha} \, \overline{T}_{\alpha\beta}^{\phantom{\alpha\beta}} + 2\alpha \, T_{\alpha\beta}^{\phantom{\alpha\beta}} \right) \left( \overline{w}_{\overline{\beta}}^{\phantom{\beta}} - 2b_{(\beta)}^{(\beta)} w_{\overline{\beta}}^{\phantom{\beta}} \right) + \\ &+ 2 \left( \alpha \, \overline{T}_{\alpha\beta}^{\phantom{\alpha\beta}} - 2\overline{\alpha} \, T_{\alpha\beta}^{\phantom{\alpha\beta}} \right) \left( w_{\overline{\beta}}^{\phantom{\beta}} - 2b_{(\beta)}^{(\beta)} \overline{w}_{\overline{\beta}}^{\phantom{\beta}} \right) \right] + \end{split}$$

 $n^{\mathcal{F}\mathcal{M}} = \mathcal{I}_{1} \mathcal{I}_{2} \mathcal{I}_{1} \mathcal{I}_{2} \mathcal{I}$ 

. . . . . .

\_\_\_\_\_ · \_\_\_ · \_\_ · .

\_ .....

 $-2b_{(\alpha)}^{(\alpha)}w_{\alpha})_{\parallel B} + \overline{\alpha}\left(w_{\alpha} - 2b_{(\alpha)}^{(\alpha)}\overline{w_{\alpha}}\right)_{\parallel B} +$  $+ \alpha b_{\alpha} (\overline{w_3} - \lambda b_{\alpha}^{(\alpha)} w_3) - \overline{\alpha} b_{\alpha} (w_3 - \lambda b_{\alpha}^{(\alpha)} \overline{w_3}) +$  $+\lambda(\alpha \overline{T}^{\delta}_{\alpha\beta} - \lambda \overline{\alpha} T^{\delta}_{\alpha\beta})(\overline{w}_{\delta} - \lambda b^{(\delta)}_{(\delta)}w_{\delta}) +$  $+2(-\overline{\alpha}\overline{T}^{\delta}_{\alpha}+2\alpha T^{\delta}_{\alpha})w_{\delta}^{-}-2b^{(\delta)}_{(\delta)}\overline{w_{\delta}})]+$  $+_{\underline{o}\underline{j}\underline{i}\underline{j}\underline{o}\underline{\lambda}\underline{i}\underline{\lambda}\underline{\lambda}\underline{L}^{9}B^{\underline{F}\mu\alpha\beta}\left[\overline{\alpha}\left(\overline{w}_{\alpha}-\lambda b^{(\alpha)}_{(\alpha)}w_{\alpha}\right)\right]_{B}$  $-\alpha(w_{\alpha}-\lambda b_{(\alpha)}^{(\alpha)}\overline{w_{\alpha}})\|_{\beta}-\overline{\alpha}b_{\alpha\beta}(\overline{w_{3}}-\lambda b_{(\alpha)}^{(\alpha)}w_{3})+$  $+ \alpha b_{\alpha\beta} \left( w_{\overline{3}} - \lambda b_{\alpha}^{(\alpha)} \overline{w_{\overline{3}}} \right) +$ +  $2\left(-\overline{\alpha}\overline{T}_{\alpha}^{\delta}+2\alpha T_{\alpha}^{\delta}\right)\left(\overline{w_{\xi}}-2b_{(\delta)}^{(\delta)}w_{\xi}\right)+$  $+ 2\left(\alpha T_{\alpha\beta}^{\beta} - 2\overline{\alpha} T_{\alpha\beta}^{\beta}\right)\left(w_{\beta} - 2b_{\beta}^{(\beta)}\overline{w_{\beta}}\right) +$  $+\frac{1}{2} e_{j_1 j_2} \lambda_1^2 \lambda_2 \lambda_2 B^{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{M}} \times \mathcal{B}} \left[ -\overline{\mathcal{B}} \left( \overline{w_{3, \alpha}} + \right) \right]$  $+ \overline{w_{\alpha}} b_{(\alpha)}^{(\alpha)} \Big|_{B} + \beta \Big( w_{3,\alpha} + w_{\alpha} b_{(\alpha)}^{(\alpha)} \Big) \Big|_{B} +$  $+ 2 \left( \overline{\beta} \overline{T}_{\alpha\beta}^{\beta'} - 2 \beta T_{\alpha\beta}^{\beta'} \right) \left( \overline{w_{3,\beta}} + \overline{w_{5}} b_{(\delta)}^{(\delta)} \right)$  $+ 2 \left( -\beta \overline{T}_{x}^{\delta} + 2\overline{\beta} T_{x}^{\delta} \right) \left( w_{\overline{3},\delta} + w_{\overline{5}} b_{(\delta)}^{(\delta)} \right) +$  $+\frac{1}{2}$ 

 $+\overline{w_{\alpha}}b_{(\alpha)}^{(\alpha)})_{\parallel \beta}-\overline{\beta}\left(w_{3,\alpha}+w_{\alpha}b_{(\alpha)}^{(\alpha)}\right)_{\parallel \beta}+$  $+ \lambda \left(-\beta \overline{T}^{\delta}_{xs} + \lambda \overline{\beta} T^{\delta}_{xs}\right) \left(\overline{w}_{3,s} + \overline{w}_{s} b^{(\delta)}_{(\delta)}\right) +$  $+ 2 \left( \overline{\beta} \overline{T}_{x}^{\delta} - 2\beta T_{x}^{\delta} \right) \left( w_{3,\delta} + w_{\delta} b_{(\delta)}^{(\delta)} \right) \right],$ (43)

 $\overline{m}^{\mathcal{F}\mathcal{U}} = \frac{1}{2eU_{1}U_{0}} \mathcal{L}^{2} \mathcal{L}^{2} \mathcal{L}^{9} \overline{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{F}\mathcal{U} \propto \beta} \left[ -\beta \left( \overline{w_{\alpha}} - \right)^{2} \mathcal{L}^{2} \mathcal{L}^{9} \overline{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{F}\mathcal{U} \propto \beta} \right]$  $-2b_{(\alpha)}^{(\alpha)}w_{\alpha})\|_{\beta}+\overline{\beta}\left(w_{\alpha}-2b_{(\alpha)}^{(\alpha)}w_{\alpha}\right)\|_{\beta}+$  $+\beta b_{\alpha\beta}(\overline{w_3}-2b_{\alpha}^{(\alpha)}w_3)-\overline{\beta}b_{\alpha\beta}(w_3-2b_{\alpha}^{(\alpha)}w_3)+$  $+ 2 \left( \beta \overline{T}_{\alpha\beta}^{\beta} - 2 \overline{\beta} T_{\alpha\beta}^{\beta} \right) \left( \overline{w_{\beta}} - 2 b_{(\beta)}^{(\delta)} w_{\beta} \right) +$  $+2\left(-\overline{\beta}\overline{T}_{x\beta}^{\delta}+2\beta T_{x\beta}^{\delta}\right)\left(w_{\delta}^{\delta}-2b_{(\delta)}^{(\delta)}\overline{w_{\delta}}\right)\left|+\right.$  $+\frac{1}{2} \underline{j_1} \underline{j_2} \lambda_1^2 \lambda^2 L^9 B^{\text{Emap}} \overline{\beta} (\overline{w_x} -2b_{(\alpha)}^{(\alpha)}w_{\alpha})_{||_{\mathcal{B}}} - \beta(w_{\alpha}-2b_{(\alpha)}^{(\alpha)}w_{\alpha})_{||_{\mathcal{B}}} -\overline{\beta}b_{\alpha}(\overline{w_{3}}-2b_{\alpha}^{(\alpha)}w_{3})+\beta b_{\alpha}(w_{3}-2b_{\alpha}^{(\alpha)}w_{3})+$  $+2\left(-\overline{\beta}\overline{T}_{xg}^{\delta}+\lambda\beta\Upsilon_{xg}^{\delta}\right)\left(\overline{w_{g}}-\lambdab_{(\delta)}^{(\delta)}w_{g}\right)+$  $+ \lambda \left( \beta \overline{T}^{\delta}_{\alpha\beta} - \lambda \overline{\beta} T^{\delta}_{\alpha\beta} \right) \left( w_{\delta} - \lambda b^{(\delta)}_{(\delta)} \overline{w_{\delta}} \right) \right] +$  $+\frac{1}{3} \underline{j}_{\underline{i}} \underline{j}_{\underline{i}} \underline{\lambda}_{\underline{i}}^{3} \underline{\lambda}_{\underline{i}}^{3} \underline{L}^{9} \overline{B}^{\underline{F} \underline{\mu} \underline{\alpha} \underline{\beta}} \Big[ -\overline{8} \Big( \overline{w}_{\underline{3},\underline{\alpha}} + \Big) \Big] + \frac{1}{3} \underline{j}_{\underline{i}} \underline{j}_{\underline{i}} \underline{\lambda}_{\underline{i}}^{3} \underline{\lambda}_{\underline{i}}^{3}$ 

 $+ \overline{w_{\alpha}} b_{(\alpha)}^{(\alpha)} \big|_{\beta} + 8 \left( w_{\overline{3},\alpha} + w_{\alpha} b_{(\alpha)}^{(\alpha)} \right) \big|_{\beta} + 3 \left( \overline{8T_{\alpha\beta}}^{\delta} - \lambda 8T_{\alpha\beta}^{\delta} \right) \left( \overline{w_{\overline{3},\beta}} + \overline{w_{\delta}} b_{(\delta)}^{(\delta)} \right) + 2 \left( - 8\overline{T_{\alpha\beta}}^{\delta} + \lambda \overline{8T_{\alpha\beta}}^{\delta} \right) \left( w_{\overline{3},\delta} + w_{\delta} b_{(\delta)}^{(\delta)} \right) \Big] + \frac{1}{3} e^{j_{\pm}j_{\pm}j_{2}} \lambda^{3} L^{2} B^{\frac{5}{2}m\alpha\beta} \left[ 8 \left( \overline{w_{\overline{3},\alpha}} + w_{\alpha} b_{(\alpha)}^{(\alpha)} \right) \big|_{\beta} + 3 \left( - 8\overline{T_{\alpha\beta}}^{\delta} + \lambda \overline{8T_{\alpha\beta}}^{\delta} \right) \left( \overline{w_{\overline{3},\delta}} + w_{\alpha} b_{(\alpha)}^{(\alpha)} \right) \big|_{\beta} + 2 \left( - 8\overline{T_{\alpha\beta}}^{\delta} + \lambda \overline{8T_{\alpha\beta}}^{\delta} \right) \left( \overline{w_{\overline{3},\delta}} + w_{\delta} b_{(\delta)}^{(\delta)} \right) + 2 \left( \overline{8T_{\alpha\beta}}^{\delta} - \lambda \overline{8T_{\alpha\beta}}^{\delta} \right) \left( w_{\overline{3},\delta} + w_{\delta} b_{(\delta)}^{(\delta)} \right) \Big], \quad (44)$ 

 $m^{\underline{F}} = \frac{1}{2} \underline{J}_{\underline{I}} J_{\underline{I}} 2^{\underline{2}} 2^{\underline{2}} 2^{\underline{2}} 2^{\underline{2}} B^{\underline{F}} \overline{B}^{\underline{F}} \overline{B$  $-2b_{(\alpha)}^{(\alpha)}w_{\alpha})_{||_{B}} - \beta(w_{\alpha}-2b_{(\alpha)}^{(\alpha)}w_{\alpha})_{||_{B}} - \beta(w_{\alpha}-2b_{(\alpha)}^{(\alpha)}w_{\alpha})_{||_{B}}$  $-\overline{\beta}b_{\alpha}(\overline{w_{3}}-2b_{\alpha}^{(\alpha)},w_{3})+\beta b_{\alpha}(w_{3}-2b_{\alpha}^{(\alpha)},\overline{w_{3}})+$  $+2(-\overline{\beta}\overline{T}^{\delta}_{a}+2\beta T^{\delta}_{a})(\overline{w}_{\delta}-2b^{(\delta)}_{(\delta)}w_{\delta})+$  $+2\left(\beta\overline{T}_{\alpha\beta}^{\delta}-2\overline{\beta}T_{\alpha\beta}^{\delta}\right)\left(w_{\delta}-2b_{(\delta)}^{(\delta)}\overline{w_{\delta}}\right)\left[+$  $-2b_{(\alpha)}^{(\alpha)}w_{\alpha})_{\parallel B} + \overline{\beta}\left(w_{\alpha} - 2b_{(\alpha)}^{(\alpha)}\overline{w_{\alpha}}\right)_{\parallel B} +$ 

 $+\beta b_{\alpha\beta} \left(\overline{w_3} - \lambda b_{(\alpha)}^{(\alpha)} w_3\right) - \overline{\beta} b_{\alpha\beta} \left(w_3 - \lambda b_{(\alpha)}^{(\alpha)} \overline{w_3}\right) +$  $+\lambda(\overline{pT}_{xp}^{\delta}-\overline{z}\overline{pT}_{xp}^{\delta})(\overline{w}_{s}-\overline{z}b_{(\delta)}^{(\delta)}w_{s})+$  $+2\left(-\overline{\beta}\overline{T}_{x\beta}^{x}+2\beta T_{x\beta}^{y}\right)\left(w_{\overline{y}}-2b_{(x)}^{(x)}\overline{w_{\overline{y}}}\right)\right]+$  $+\frac{1}{3} \cdot j_{1} j_{2} \lambda_{1}^{3} \lambda_{2}^{3} \lambda_{1}^{9} \overline{B}^{\mathcal{F} \mu \alpha \beta} \left[ \mathcal{F} \left( \overline{w_{3,\alpha}} + \right) \right]$  $+w_{\alpha}b_{(\alpha)}^{(\alpha)})_{\|\beta} - \overline{\delta}\left(w_{3,\alpha} + w_{\alpha}b_{(\alpha)}^{(\alpha)}\right)_{\|\beta} +$  $+ 2 \left(-8 \overline{T}_{xs}^{\delta} + 2 \overline{S} T_{xs}^{\delta} \right) \left( \overline{w_{3,s}} + \overline{w_{s}} b_{(s)}^{(\delta)} \right) +$  $+\lambda\left(\overline{s}\overline{T}_{x\beta}^{\delta}-\lambda sT_{x\beta}^{\delta}\right)\left(w_{\overline{3},\delta}+w_{\overline{s}}b_{(\delta)}^{(\delta)}\right)\right]+$  $+\frac{1}{3} \underbrace{i}_{2} \underbrace{i}_{2} \underbrace{\lambda_{1}^{3}}_{2} \underbrace{\lambda_{2}^{3}}_{2} \underbrace{\lambda_{2}^{3}$  $+ \overline{w_{\alpha}} b_{(\alpha)}^{(\alpha)} \big|_{B} + 8 \big( w_{3\alpha} + w_{\alpha} b_{(\alpha)}^{(\alpha)} \big)_{\|_{B}} +$  $+ \lambda \left(\overline{s}\overline{T}_{xs}^{\delta} - \lambda s \Upsilon_{xs}^{\delta}\right) \left(\overline{w}_{3,\delta} + \overline{w}_{s} b_{(\delta)}^{(\delta)}\right) +$  $+ 2 \left(-8 \overline{T}_{\alpha\beta}^{\delta} + 2 \overline{S} T_{\beta}^{\delta} \right) \left( w_{\overline{3},\delta} + w_{\overline{5}} b_{(\delta)}^{(\delta)} \right) \left[. (45)\right]$ 

Remembering that  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{A}^2$  have been reglected when compared to one, care must be taken when using (42), (43), (44) and (45) since  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{A}$  are contained in  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}, \overline{\mathcal{A}}, \overline{\mathcal{A}}, \overline{\mathcal{A}}$  and  $\mathcal{X}$  and  $\mathcal$ 

Terms multiplied by  $\propto, \beta$  and  $\gamma$  when 2 = 12, by  $B^{\alpha\beta\beta}$  when the facings have the same physical properties, by  $2b_{(\alpha)}^{(\alpha)}$  and by  $T_{\alpha\beta}^{\gamma}$  and  $T_{\alpha\beta}^{\gamma}$  are due to the variation in the geometry thru the composite shell thickness. If the sandwich shell is thin these terms can be neglected. Hence for a thin sandwich shell with equal facings, (42) reduces to

$$\overline{\Pi}^{\mathcal{F}\mu} = {}_{\mathcal{O}} \lambda_{1} \lambda L^{9} \overline{B}^{\mathcal{F}\mu\alpha\beta} \left[ \overline{\alpha} \left( \overline{w_{\alpha}}_{\|\beta} - b_{\alpha\beta} \overline{w_{3}} \right) \right] - \frac{1}{2} {}_{\mathcal{O}} \lambda_{1}^{2} \lambda^{2} L^{9} \overline{B}^{\mathcal{F}\mu\alpha\beta} \left[ \overline{\beta} \left( w_{3,\alpha} + w_{\alpha} b_{\alpha}^{(\alpha)} \right) \right]_{\|\beta} \right].$$

This is the same stress resultant-displacement relation obtained in [11]. Equations (9), (10), (38), (39), (40), (41), (42), (43), (44) and (45) form a system of 21 simultaneous differential equations in the 21 variables \$\overline{\mathcal{F}\_{r,m}}\$, \$\overline{\mathcal{S}\_{r,m}}\$, \$\overline{\mathcal{S}\_{r,m}}\$, \$\overline{\mathcal{S}\_{r,m}}\$, \$\overline{\mathcal{M}\_{r,m}}\$, \$\overline{\mathcal{M}\_{r,m}}\$, \$\overline{\mathcal{S}\_{r,m}}\$, \$\overline{\mathcal{S}\_{r,m}}\$, \$\overline{\mathcal{S}\_{r,m}}\$, \$\overline{\mathcal{S}\_{r,m}}\$, \$\overline{\mathcal{M}\_{r,m}}\$, \$\overline{\mathcal{M}\_{r,m}}\$

# 11. Boundary Conditions

The boundary condition for the edge of the core has already been given, i.e. the shear resultant on the edge of the core,

$$\mathscr{S} = \mathcal{U}_{\alpha} \overline{\mathcal{S}}^{\alpha},$$

must be specified.

Since on a normal to the core mid-surface at the edge of the composite shell stresses may be prescribed for one facing while displacements are prescribed for the other facing, the boundary conditions for the individual facings will be given. Using 3

25



....

integrating by parts and then setting the resulting coefficients of the varied quantities in the line integrals equal to zero, the required facing boundary conditions are

$$\begin{bmatrix} n^{\alpha\beta} (1 \mp \lambda b^{(\alpha)}_{(\alpha)}) - n^{\alpha\beta} b^{(\alpha)}_{(\alpha)} \end{bmatrix} a^{\alpha} U_{\beta} = \begin{bmatrix} n^{\alpha\beta} (1 \mp \lambda b^{(\alpha)}_{(\alpha)}) - n^{\alpha\beta} b^{(\alpha)}_{(\alpha)} \end{bmatrix} a^{\alpha} U_{\beta},$$

$${}_{\underline{\alpha}}\mathcal{m}^{\alpha\beta}{}_{\underline{\alpha}}\mathcal{U}_{\alpha}{}_{\underline{\alpha}}\mathcal{U}_{\beta} = {}_{\underline{\alpha}}\widetilde{\mathcal{m}}^{\alpha\beta}{}_{\underline{\alpha}}\mathcal{U}_{\alpha}{}_{\underline{\alpha}}\mathcal{U}_{\beta} \tag{46}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial_{\alpha} \mathcal{A}} \left[ \underbrace{a \mathcal{I}_{\alpha \alpha} \mathcal{U}_{\beta}}_{\alpha j} m^{\alpha \beta} \right] + \underbrace{a \mathcal{U}_{\alpha}}_{\alpha j} \underbrace{a \mathcal{A}^{\alpha}}_{\alpha j}$$

# 12. <u>Stress-Strain Relations for a Sandwich Shell with a Viscoelactic</u> <u>Core</u>

In the following two sections a sandwich shell with a viscoelastic core is considered. Representative equations for this shell are displayed.

Only the core will be presumed viscoelastic, however, viscoelastic facings could be treated in the same way.

The core stress-strain relations are altered as follows; E and  $E^{\infty}$  are replaced by  $\gamma^{*}E$  and  $\gamma^{*}E^{\infty}$ , respectively, and all other functions of time are replaced by their Laplace transforms, e.g. (9) becomes

Since  $\overline{B}^{\alpha\beta\delta\gamma}$  and  $B^{\alpha\beta\delta\gamma}$  are not functions of time, the stress resultant-displacement relations for the composite shell are converted simply by substituting Laplace transforms for all time functions. To illustrate this a few terms of the equation corresponding to (42) are presented;

 $*\overline{n}^{\mathcal{F}\mathcal{U}} = \underbrace{j_{1}}_{\mathcal{I}} \underbrace{j_{2}}_{\mathcal{I}} \mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{I}} \overline{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{F}\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{B}} \overline{\alpha} (*\overline{w_{\alpha}} -$  $-2b_{(\alpha)}^{(\alpha)}*w_{\alpha})_{||_{B}} - \alpha \left(*w_{\alpha} - 2b_{(\alpha)}^{(\alpha)}*w_{\alpha}\right)_{||_{B}}$  $-\overline{\alpha}b_{\alpha}(\underbrace{\ast}_{\overline{u_3}}-\underline{\lambda}b_{\alpha}^{(\alpha)}\underbrace{\ast}_{\overline{u_3}})+\alpha b_{\alpha}(\underbrace{\ast}_{\overline{u_3}}-\underline{\lambda}b_{\alpha}^{(\alpha)}\underbrace{\ast}_{\overline{u_3}})+$  $+ 2 \left(-\overline{\alpha} \overline{T}_{\alpha}^{\gamma} + 2 \alpha T_{\alpha}^{\gamma}\right)^{\ast} \overline{w}_{\gamma} - 2 b_{(\gamma)}^{(\gamma)} \overline{w}_{\gamma}\right) +$ 

$$+2\left(\alpha \overline{T}_{\alpha\beta}^{\delta}-2\overline{\alpha} T_{\alpha\beta}^{\delta}\right)(*w_{\beta}-2b_{(\delta)}^{(\delta)}*w_{\beta}\right)]+$$

+ - - - .

# 13. Equilibrium Equations and Boundary Conditions for a Sandwich Shell with a Viscoelastic Core

The equilibrium equations for the composite shell and the boundary conditions for the individual facings and the core are obtained by merely replacing all functions of time by their Laplace transforms, e.g. (38) and (46) beccme

 $*\overline{p}^{3} + *\overline{s}^{\alpha} \|_{\alpha} + b_{\alpha\beta}^{\ast} \overline{n}^{\alpha\beta} + *\overline{m}^{\alpha\beta} \|_{\alpha\beta} - b_{\alpha\beta}^{(\alpha)} b_{\alpha\beta}^{\ast} - b_{\alpha\beta}^{(\alpha)} b_{\alpha\beta}^{\ast} + b_{\alpha\beta}^{\ast} + b_{\alpha\beta}^{\ast} \overline{n}^{\alpha\beta} + b_{\alpha\beta}^{\ast} \overline{n}^{\alpha\beta}$  $+ \mathcal{I}\left(\overline{\mathcal{T}}_{\alpha\beta}^{\gamma} + \overline{\mathcal{T}}_{\alpha\beta}^{\gamma} + \mathcal{T}_{\alpha\beta}^{\gamma} + \mathcal{T}_{\alpha\beta}^{\gamma}$ and

 $\underline{}^{*}_{\underline{n}} \underline{}^{\alpha \beta}_{\underline{n}} \underline{u}_{\alpha \underline{n}} \underline{u}_{\beta} = \underline{}^{*}_{\underline{n}} \underline{}^{\alpha \beta}_{\underline{n}} \underline{u}_{\alpha \underline{n}} \underline{u}_{\beta}$ 

It has been assumed that  $\sigma_{\alpha}$  and  $c_{\alpha} C_{\alpha}$  are independent of time.

#### EXAMPLES

The theory presented here is valid for sandwich shells (plates) with thin Kirchhoff-Love shell (plate) facings. However, the following three examples are only concerned with sandwich shells (plates) with membrane facings. The facings are presumed membranes so that the influence of a hole, of orthotropic facings and of a viscoelastic core on the behavior of a sandwich shell (plate) can be studied without unduly complicating the examples.

## 14. Circular Plate with a Circular Hole at the Center

Consider a simply supported circular plate with a circular hole at the center loaded by a uniformly distributed bending couple around the outer boundary. The facings are isotropic membranes with similar physical properties and equal thicknesses. The core is presumed isotropic. The dimensionless surface coordinates are

$$\Theta^{1} = \frac{\varphi}{R} , \Theta^{2} = \phi ,$$

where  $\rho$  and  $\phi$  are polar coordinates (see figure 2).

Due to the symmetry of the plate and the applied edge couple,

$$\bar{s}^2 = \bar{w}_2 = \bar{w}_2 = \bar{n}^{12} = n^{12} = 0$$

and the remaining dependent variables are independent of  $\Theta^2$ .

The equilibrium equations which are not identically satisfied are

$$\overline{s}_{,1}^{1} + \frac{\overline{s}_{,1}^{1}}{\Theta_{1}} = 0, \qquad (47)$$

$$\sigma^{33} = 0, \qquad (48)$$

$$\overline{n}_{,1}^{11} + \frac{\overline{n}_{,1}^{11}}{\Theta_{1}} - \Theta^{1} \overline{n}^{22} = 0, \qquad (49)$$

$$n_{31}^{11} + \frac{n_{11}^{11}}{\Theta^{1}} - \Theta^{1} n^{22} - \overline{5}^{1} = 0.$$
 (50)



# FIG.2, CIRCULAR PLATE

The core stress-strain relations are

ł

$$\mathcal{W}_{3} = \frac{\mathcal{L}}{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{E}^{33}, \qquad (51)$$

$$w_{1} = -2 w_{3,1} + \frac{5^{1}}{2LG} - \frac{2^{2}}{6LE} 5^{\beta} \|_{\beta_{1}}.$$
(52)

The stress resultant-displacement relations for the composite plate are

$$\begin{split} \overline{n}^{11} &= {}_{9} \mathcal{L} R \, \frac{{}_{9}E}{1-\nu^{2}} \Big[ 2 \Big( \overline{w}_{1,1} + \frac{\nu}{\Theta^{1}} \, w_{1} \Big) - \\ &- {}_{9} \mathcal{L} \Big( w_{3,11} + \frac{\nu}{\Theta^{1}} \, w_{3,1} \Big) \Big] \quad (53) \\ \overline{n}^{22} &= \frac{{}_{9}\mathcal{L} R}{(\Theta^{1})^{2}} \frac{{}_{9}E}{1-\nu^{2}} \Big[ 2 \Big( \nu \overline{w}_{1,1} + \frac{1}{\Theta^{1}} \, \overline{w}_{1} \Big) - \\ &- {}_{9}\mathcal{L} \Big( \nu \overline{w}_{3,11} + \frac{1}{\Theta^{1}} \, w_{3,1} \Big) \Big] \quad (54) \\ n^{11} &= \mathcal{L}_{9}\mathcal{L} R \, \frac{{}_{9}E}{1-\nu^{2}} \Big[ 2 \Big( w_{1,1} + \frac{\nu}{\Theta^{1}} \, w_{1} \Big) - \\ &- {}_{9}\mathcal{L} \Big( \overline{w}_{3,11} + \frac{\nu}{\Theta^{1}} \, \overline{w}_{3,1} \Big) \Big] \quad (55) \\ n^{22} &= \frac{\mathcal{L}_{9}\mathcal{L} R}{(\Theta^{1})^{2}} \frac{{}_{9}E}{1-\nu^{2}} \Big[ 2 \Big( \nu w_{1,1} + \frac{1}{\Theta^{1}} \, w_{1} \Big) - \\ &- {}_{9}\mathcal{L} \Big( \nu \overline{w}_{3,11} + \frac{1}{\Theta^{1}} \, \overline{w}_{3,1} \Big) \Big] . \quad (56) \end{split}$$

The boundary conditions are

$$\left[\bar{s}^{1}\right]_{\theta^{1}=1}=O, \qquad (57)$$

$$\left[\bar{n}^{11}\right]_{\theta^{1}=1} = O_{J}\left[\bar{n}^{11}\right]_{\theta^{1}=T_{R}} = O, \quad (58)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} n^{11} \end{bmatrix}_{\theta^{1}=1}^{} = -\frac{M}{R}, \begin{bmatrix} n^{11} \end{bmatrix}_{\theta^{1}=\gamma_{R}}^{} = 0, \quad (59)$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} \overline{w_{3}} \end{bmatrix}_{\theta^{1}=1}^{} = 0. \quad (60)$$

From (48) and (51) one sees that  $\mathcal{M}_3 = O$ . (61) The solution of (47) and (57) is

$$\overline{s}^{1} = 0. \tag{62}$$

Substituting (53), (54) and (61) into (49) gives

$$\left[\frac{1}{\Theta^{1}}\left(\Theta^{1}\overline{w_{1}}\right)_{,1}\right]_{,1} = O.$$
(63)

Equation (63) and the boundary conditions (58) yield

$$\overline{w_1} = 0 \tag{64}$$

Hence,

$$\overline{n}^{11} = \overline{n}^{22} = 0.$$

In the same way (64) was obtained, from (50), (62), (52), (55), (56), (59) and (60) one finds

$$\overline{w_{3}} = \left[\frac{M}{2 \alpha_{o} \alpha (2 \alpha + \alpha \alpha) (R^{2} - r^{2}) R_{o}^{2} E}\right] \cdot \left\{ (1 - \nu) R^{2} \left[ (\theta^{1})^{2} - 1 \right] + 2(1 + \nu) r^{2} (\alpha q (\theta^{1})) \right\}$$

This solution has exactly the same character as the solution of a homogeneous plate [12]. If the facings had been thin plates instead of membranes the problem would have been greatly complicated and the character of the solution would have been different. The character of the solution would depend on the boundary conditions, however,  $\mathcal{M}_3$  and  $\overline{5^1}$  in general would not be zero and  $\overline{\mathcal{M}_3}$  would be considerably more complicated.

A sandwich plate with equal facings and a hole (circular or not)

. . . . . .
loaded by the same inplane edge tensions on each facing has exactly the same solution as a homogeneous plate. In this case the facings can be either membranes or thin plates.

#### 15. Square Plate with Orthotropic Facings

To illustrate the influence of anisotropic facings consider a simply supported square plate with orthotropic membrane facings. The principal axes of each facing are parallel to the coordinate axes. The facings have the same thickness and the core is isotropic. A uniform transverse load is applied to the upper facing.

The dimensionless surface coordinates are

$$\theta' = \frac{\chi}{L}, \ \theta^2 = \frac{\chi}{L}$$

(see figure 3) and it is assumed that

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{100} , \quad \underline{2} \lambda = \frac{1}{2000} ,$$

$$\frac{G}{eE_1} = \frac{1}{100} , \quad \nu = \frac{3}{10} .$$

For this example  $\underline{c} E_{\infty}$  is the elastic modulus in the  $\Theta^{\infty}$  direction and  $\underline{c} E_{J2}$  is the cross modulus for the facings. If the facings are isotropic  $\underline{c} E_{\infty}$  and  $\underline{c} E_{J2}$  are equal to Young's modulus. It will be assumed that  $\underline{c} E_{J}$  is the largest of all the moduli. For brevity it has been assumed that

$$\frac{e^{E_{2}}}{e^{E_{1}}} = \alpha_{1}, \quad \frac{e^{E_{12}}}{e^{E_{1}}} = \alpha_{2},$$
$$\frac{e^{\frac{1}{2}}}{e^{\frac{1}{2}}} = \beta_{1}, \quad \frac{e^{\frac{1}{2}}}{e^{\frac{1}{2}}} = \beta_{2}, \quad \frac{e^{\frac{1}{2}}}{e^{\frac{1}{2}}} = \beta_{3}.$$





# FIG. 3, SQUARE PLATE

Since the plate is simply supported and symmetric

$$\overline{n}^{\alpha\beta} = 0$$

$$n^{12} = 0 \int.$$
(65)

The equilibrium equations not identically satisfied are

The core stress-strain relations are

$$w_{3} = \frac{L}{200E} \sigma^{33}$$
(69)

$$w_{\alpha} = -\frac{\overline{w_{3,\alpha}}}{100} + \frac{\overline{5}^{\alpha}}{2LG} - \frac{10^{-4}}{6LE} \overline{5}^{\beta}_{\beta\alpha}.$$
 (70)

The stress resultant-displacement relations for the composite

plate are



The boundary conditions are

$$\left[n^{(\alpha\alpha)}\right]_{\theta^{\alpha}=0,1}=0, \left[\overline{\mathcal{M}_{3}}\right]_{\theta^{\alpha}=0,1}=0. \quad (73)$$

From (67) it is seen that  $3^{33}$  is a constant, hence, from (69) it follows that  $\mathcal{M}_3$  is a constant. According to (66),  $\overline{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathcal{I}}^{\alpha}$ is a constant so that (70) reduces to

$$\mathcal{M}_{\alpha} = -\frac{\overline{\mathcal{M}_{3,\alpha}}}{100} + \frac{\overline{5}^{\alpha}}{2LG} \tag{74}$$

Using (65), (71) and (72) in (68) one obtains  

$$\frac{41}{4000} \left[ \overline{F}^{1111} \overline{w}_{3,111} + \overline{F}^{1122} \overline{w}_{3,122} \right] - \frac{1}{2LG} \left[ \overline{F}^{1111} \overline{5}_{3,11}^{1} + \overline{F}^{1122} \overline{5}_{3,12}^{2} \right] + \frac{10^{5}}{L^{5}} \overline{5}^{1} = 0, \qquad (75)$$

$$\frac{41}{4000} \left[ \overline{F}^{2211} \overline{w}_{3,112} + \overline{F}^{2222} \overline{w}_{3,222} \right] - \frac{1}{2LG} \left[ \overline{F}^{2211} \overline{5}_{3,12}^{1} + \overline{F}^{2222} \overline{5}_{3,22}^{2} \right] + \frac{10^{5}}{2LG} \overline{5}^{2} = 0, \qquad (76)$$

(76)

where

$$\overline{F}^{(\infty,\chi_{\beta\beta})} = \overline{B}^{(\infty,\chi_{\beta\beta})} -$$

$$-B^{(\infty)11} \left[ \frac{\overline{B}^{2222} B^{11(\beta\beta)} - \overline{B}^{1122} B^{22(\beta\beta)}}{\overline{B}^{1111} \overline{B}^{2222} - (\overline{B}^{1122})^2} \right] - B^{(\infty)22} \left[ \frac{\overline{B}^{1111} B^{22(\beta\beta)} - \overline{B}^{1122} B^{11(\beta\beta)}}{\overline{B}^{1111} \overline{B}^{2222} - (\overline{B}^{1122})^2} \right].$$

Equations (66), (75) and (76) are three simultaneous differential equations in the three dependent variables  $\overline{\mathcal{M}_3}$ ,  $\overline{5}^1$  and  $\overline{5}^2$ .

The following series satisfy the boundary conditions

$$\frac{\overline{w_3}}{LQ} = \sum_{r \neq s = odd}^{\infty} A_{rs} \sin(r\pi \theta^1) \sin(s\pi \theta^2),$$
  
$$\frac{\overline{5}^1}{\overline{P}} = \sum_{r \neq s = odd}^{\infty} B_{rs} \cos(r\pi \theta^1) \sin(s\pi \theta^2),$$
  
$$\frac{\overline{5}^2}{\overline{P}} = \sum_{r \neq s = odd}^{\infty} C_{rs} \sin(r\pi \theta^1) \cos(s\pi \theta^2),$$

where

Ē

$$Q = \frac{P}{L_{Q}^{2}E_{1}}.$$

Substituting these series into (66), (75) and (76) one obtains three simultaneous algebraic equations in the three sets of constants  $A_{rs}$ ,  $B_{rs}$  and  $C_{rs}$ . Solving these equations one finds

$$A_{rs} = - \left[ \frac{16 \times 10^5}{41 \pi^4 rs} \right] \frac{ \left\{ s^2 (\Gamma_2 + \Gamma_3) \left[ 2000 + r^2 \pi^2 (\Gamma_1 - \Gamma_3) \right] + \right\} + r^2 (\Gamma_1 + \Gamma_3) \left[ 2000 + s^2 \pi^2 (\Gamma_2 - \Gamma_3) \right] + \right\} + s^2 \pi^2 (\Gamma_2 - \Gamma_3) \left[ 4000 + r^2 \pi (\Gamma_1 - \Gamma_3) + \right] + s^2 \pi^2 (\Gamma_2 - \Gamma_3) \left[ 4000 + s^2 \pi^2 (\Gamma_2 - \Gamma_3) \right] + \left\{ r^2 (r^2 \Gamma_1 + s^2 \Gamma_3) \left[ 2000 + s^2 \pi^2 (\Gamma_2 - \Gamma_3) \right] + \right\} + s^2 (s^2 \Gamma_2 + r^2 \Gamma_3) \left[ 2000 + r^2 \pi^2 (\Gamma_1 - \Gamma_3) \right] + \left\{ r^2 (s^2 \Gamma_2 + r^2 \Gamma_3) \left[ 2000 + r^2 \pi^2 (\Gamma_1 - \Gamma_3) \right] + \right\} + s^2 (s^2 \Gamma_2 + r^2 \Gamma_3) \left[ 2000 + r^2 \pi^2 (\Gamma_1 - \Gamma_3) \right] + \left\{ r^2 (r^2 \Gamma_2 + r^2 \Gamma_3) \left[ 2000 + r^2 \pi^2 (\Gamma_1 - \Gamma_3) \right] + r^2 (r^2 \Gamma_3 + r^2 \Gamma_3) \left[ 2000 + r^2 \pi^2 (\Gamma_2 - \Gamma_3) \right] + r^2 (r^2 \Gamma_3 + r^2 \Gamma_3) \left[ 2000 + r^2 \pi^2 (\Gamma_2 - \Gamma_3) \right] + r^2 (r^2 \Gamma_3 + r^2 \Gamma_3) \left[ 2000 + r^2 \pi^2 (\Gamma_2 - \Gamma_3) \right] + r^2 (r^2 \Gamma_3 + r^2 \Gamma_3) \left[ 2000 + r^2 \pi^2 (\Gamma_2 - \Gamma_3) \right] + r^2 (r^2 \Gamma_3 + r^2 \Gamma_3) \left[ 2000 + r^2 \pi^2 (\Gamma_2 - \Gamma_3) \right] + r^2 (r^2 \Gamma_3 + r^2 \Gamma_3) \left[ 2000 + r^2 \pi^2 (\Gamma_2 - \Gamma_3) \right] + r^2 (r^2 \Gamma_3 + r^2 \Gamma_3) \left[ 2000 + r^2 \pi^2 (\Gamma_2 - \Gamma_3) \right] + r^2 (r^2 \Gamma_3 + r^2 \Gamma_3) \left[ 2000 + r^2 \pi^2 (\Gamma_2 - \Gamma_3) \right] + r^2 (r^2 \Gamma_3 + r^2 \Gamma_3) \left[ 2000 + r^2 \pi^2 (\Gamma_2 - \Gamma_3) \right] + r^2 (r^2 \Gamma_3 + r^2 \Gamma_3) \left[ 2000 + r^2 \pi^2 (\Gamma_2 - \Gamma_3) \right] + r^2 (r^2 \Gamma_3 + r^2 \Gamma_3) \left[ 2000 + r^2 \pi^2 (\Gamma_3 - \Gamma_3) \right] + r^2 (r^2 \Gamma_3 + r^2 \Gamma_3) \left[ 2000 + r^2 \pi^2 (\Gamma_3 - \Gamma_3) \right] + r^2 (r^2 \Gamma_3 + r^2 \Gamma_3) \left[ 2000 + r^2 \pi^2 (\Gamma_3 - \Gamma_3) \right] + r^2 (r^2 \Gamma_3 + r^2 \Gamma_3) \left[ 2000 + r^2 \pi^2 (\Gamma_3 - \Gamma_3) \right] + r^2 (r^2 \Gamma_3 + r^2 \Gamma_3) \left[ 2000 + r^2 \pi^2 (\Gamma_3 - \Gamma_3) \right] + r^2 (r^2 \Gamma_3 + r^2 \Gamma_3) \left[ 2000 + r^2 \pi^2 (\Gamma_3 - \Gamma_3) \right] + r^2 (r^2 \Gamma_3 + r^2 \Gamma_3) \left[ 2000 + r^2 \pi^2 (\Gamma_3 - \Gamma_3) \right] + r^2 (r^2 \Gamma_3 + r^2 \Gamma_3 + r^2 \Gamma_3) \left[ 2000 + r^2 \pi^2 (\Gamma_3 - \Gamma_3) \right] + r^2 (r^2 \Gamma_3 + r^2 \Gamma_3 + r^2 \Gamma_3) \left[ 2000 + r^2 \pi^2 (\Gamma_3 - \Gamma_3) \right] + r^2 (r^2 \Gamma_3 + r^2 \Gamma_3 + r^2$$

.

$$B_{rs} = \frac{16\Gamma_{3}}{s\pi \left[2000 + r^{2}\pi^{2}(\Gamma_{1} - \Gamma_{3})\right]} + \frac{41\pi^{3}}{2 \times 10^{5}} \left[\frac{r^{2}\Gamma_{1} + s^{2}\Gamma_{3}}{2000 + r^{2}\pi^{2}(\Gamma_{1} - \Gamma_{3})}\right] rA_{rs} > 0$$



$$\Gamma_{I} = \frac{50}{91} (1+\beta_{I}) - \frac{50 \left[100 (1-\beta_{I})^{2} (\alpha_{1}+\beta_{2}) - 18 (1-\beta_{1}) (\alpha_{2}+\beta_{3}) (\alpha_{2}-\beta_{3}) + 9 (1+\beta_{2}) (\alpha_{2}-\beta_{3})^{2}\right]}{91 \left[100 (1+\beta_{1}) (\alpha_{1}+\beta_{2}) - 9 (\alpha_{2}+\beta_{3})^{2}\right]},$$

$$\Gamma_{2}^{-} = \frac{50}{91} (\alpha_{1} + \beta_{2}) - \frac{50 \left[ 100 (\alpha_{1} - \beta_{2})^{2} (1 + \beta_{1}) - 18 (\alpha_{1} - \beta_{2}) (\alpha_{2} + \beta_{3}) (\alpha_{2} - \beta_{3}) + 9 (\alpha_{1} + \beta_{2}) (\alpha_{2} - \beta_{3})^{2} \right] }{91 \left[ 100 (1 + \beta_{1}) (\alpha_{1} + \beta_{2}) - 9 (\alpha_{2} + \beta_{3})^{2} \right]},$$

i.

$$\begin{split} \Gamma_{3} &= \frac{15}{91} (\alpha_{2} + \beta_{3}) - \\ & 15 \left[ 100 (1 - \beta_{1}) (\alpha_{1} + \beta_{2}) (\alpha_{2} - \beta_{3}) + 100 (1 + \beta_{1}) (\alpha_{1} - \beta_{2}) (\alpha_{2} - \beta_{3}) - \\ & - 100 (1 - \beta_{1}) (\alpha_{1} - \beta_{2}) (\alpha_{2} + \beta_{3}) - 9 (\alpha_{2} + \beta_{3}) (\alpha_{2} - \beta_{3})^{2} \right] \\ & - \frac{91 \left[ 100 (1 + \beta_{1}) (\alpha_{1} + \beta_{2}) - 9 (\alpha_{2} + \beta_{3})^{2} \right]}{91 \left[ 100 (1 + \beta_{1}) (\alpha_{1} + \beta_{2}) - 9 (\alpha_{2} + \beta_{3})^{2} \right]} \end{split}$$

ł

41

. . . .

The remaining unknown functions can now be determined. One obtains  

$$\mathcal{W}_{\alpha} \text{ from (74) and } \bigcap^{(x \propto)} \text{ from (68) and (73);}$$

$$\frac{\mathcal{W}_{1}}{LQ} = -\frac{1}{100} \sum_{r \neq s = odd}^{\infty} r \mathcal{N} A_{rs} \cos(r \mathcal{N} \Theta^{1}) \sin(s \mathcal{N} \Theta^{2}) + 50 \sum_{r \neq s = odd}^{\infty} B_{rs} \cos(r \mathcal{N} \Theta^{1}) \sin(s \mathcal{N} \Theta^{2}),$$

$$\frac{\mathcal{W}_{2}}{LQ} = -\frac{1}{100} \sum_{r \neq s = odd}^{\infty} s \mathcal{N} A_{rs} \sin(r \mathcal{N} \Theta^{1}) \cos(s \mathcal{N} \Theta^{2}) + 50 \sum_{r \neq s = odd}^{\infty} C_{rs} \sin(r \mathcal{N} \Theta^{1}) \cos(s \mathcal{N} \Theta^{2}),$$

$$\frac{\Omega^{11}}{P} = \sum_{r \neq s = odd}^{\infty} B_{rs} \left(\frac{1}{r \mathcal{N}}\right) \sin(r \mathcal{N} \Theta^{1}) \sin(s \mathcal{N} \Theta^{2}),$$

$$\frac{\Omega^{22}}{P} = \sum_{r \neq s = odd}^{\infty} C_{rs} \left(\frac{1}{s \mathcal{N}}\right) \sin(r \mathcal{N} \Theta^{1}) \sin(s \mathcal{N} \Theta^{2}).$$

Figures 4, 5, 6 and 7 show displacements and stress resultants for a sandwich plate whose upper facing remains isotropic while its lower facing ranges over various degrees of orthotropy.  $\_\_E\_\_$  is equal to Young's modulus for the upper facing and  $\_\_E\_\_$  decreases from  $\_\_E\_\_$ . The cross modulus is assumed to have the following form

$$_{\underline{1}}E_{12} = \sqrt{_{\underline{1}}E_{1}} E_{2} \ .$$

From the figures we see that as the lower facing ranges over various degrees of orthotropy all displacements and stress resultants behave as one would expect. The stress resultants  $\overline{S}^1$  and  $\bigcap^{11}$  are larger than  $\overline{S}^2$  and  $\bigcap^{22}$  since the plate stiffness in the  $\Theta^1$  direction is greater than the stiffness in the  $\Theta^2$  direction. For the same reason the rotation  $\mathcal{M}_1$  is less than  $\mathcal{M}_2$ .



FIG.4, GROSS DISPLACEMET



FIG. 5, EDGE ROTATIONS



FIG. 6, EDGE SHEARS



FIG.7, BENDING MOMENTS

'

47

L

### 16. Infinite Circular Cylinder with a Viscoelastic Core

In order to study the effect of a viscoelastic core, an infinite circular cylinder loaded by a concentrated uniform ring load acting at  $\Theta^2 = O$  is investigated. The facings are isotropic membranes with the same thickness and physical properties. The core is isotropic with an infinite Young's modulus in transverse extension.

The dimensionless surface coordinates are

$$\Theta^{1} = \phi, \quad \Theta^{2} = \frac{z}{R}$$

see figure 8. The assumed viscoelastic character of the core is that of a standard linear solid as shown in figure 9. For this example we take

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{80} \, , \, \, _{Q} \mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{1600} \, , \\ & G_{1/_{Q}E} = \frac{1}{100} \, , \, \, G_{2/_{Q}E} = \frac{1}{400} \, , \\ & \mathcal{V} = \frac{3}{10} \, . \end{aligned}$$

In the sequel the variation of the geometry thru the thickness of the composite shell has been neglected.

From symmetry of the shell and the load

$$\bar{s}^{1} = \bar{w}_{1} = \bar{m}_{1} = \bar{n}^{12} = n^{12} = 0$$

and all remaining functions are independent of  $\Theta^{I}$ .





# FIG.8, CIRCULAR CYLINDER



\_\_\_\_

FIG. 9, CORE VISCOELASTIC BEHAVIOR The following equations are the time Laplace transforms of the equilibrium equations, the core stress-strain relations and the stress resultant-displacement relations for the composite shell

$$-\frac{1}{7}P\delta(\theta^{2}) + \frac{*}{5}\frac{2}{2} - \frac{*}{5}\overline{n}^{11} = 0, \qquad (77)$$

$$\frac{L^{2}}{80} * 3^{3} + \frac{1}{80} P S(\theta^{2}) - * n^{11} = 0, \qquad (78)$$

$$*\bar{n}_{2}^{2}=0,$$
 (79)

$$*\bar{s}^{2} - *n_{j2}^{2} = 0, \qquad (80)$$

$$*\omega_{2} = -\frac{1}{80}*\omega_{3,2} + \frac{*5^{2}}{2L\eta^{*}G}, \qquad (81)$$

$$*\pi^{11} = \frac{L_{o}E}{728} \left[ *w_{3} + \frac{3}{10} *w_{2,2} \right],$$

$$*\pi^{22} = \frac{L_{o}E}{728} \left[ \frac{3}{10} *w_{3} + *w_{2,2} \right], \quad (82)$$

$$*n^{11} = \frac{3L_{e}E}{1164800} \left[ 2*w_{2,2} - \frac{1}{1600} *w_{3,22} \right],$$
$$*n^{22} = \frac{L_{e}E}{116480} \left[ 2*w_{2,2} - \frac{1}{1600} *w_{3,22} \right]. (83)$$

Notice that

$$*n^{11} = \frac{3}{10} *n^{22} \tag{84}$$

The boundary conditions are

$$\begin{bmatrix} \overline{w_2} \end{bmatrix}_{\theta^2 = 0}^{\theta^2 = 0}, \qquad (85)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \overline{5}^2 \\ \theta^2 = +0 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{P}{2}$$
and  $\overline{w_3}, \overline{w_2} \text{ ond } \overline{w_{2,2}}^{\text{vanish as }} \theta^2 \longrightarrow \pm \infty.$ 
From (79), (82) and the boundary conditions one finds
$$\overline{n}^{22} = 0$$

Hance

$$\overline{w}_{e,z} = -\frac{3}{10} \overline{w}_{3}, \qquad (86)$$

$$n^{11} = \frac{L_{0}E}{800} \overline{w}_{3}. \qquad (87)$$

Differentiating (80) with respect to  $\Theta^2$  and then using (77),

(87) and (81) one obtains

$$\frac{41}{1600} * \overline{w_{3,2222}} - \frac{aE}{8007} * \overline{w_{3,222}} + \frac{1456}{10} * \overline{w_{3}} = -116480 \frac{P\delta(\theta^{2})}{L_{a}E7} + \frac{P}{L_{7}^{2}*G} \left[\delta(\theta^{2})\right]_{J_{22}}, \quad (88)$$
where  $\delta(\theta^{2})$  is Dirac's delta function.  
Taking the  $\theta^{2}$  Fourier transform of (88) yields  $\begin{bmatrix} 13 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 14 \end{bmatrix}$ 

•

$$*\tilde{w}_{3} = -\frac{P\left[\frac{116480}{7^{2}E} + \frac{g^{2}}{7^{2}*G}\right]}{L\sqrt{2\pi'}\left[\frac{41}{1600}g^{4} + \frac{g^{2}}{8007}g^{2} + \frac{1456}{10}\right]},(89)$$

where  $\mathfrak{s}$  is the Fourier transform parameter and a  $\bigvee$  over a function indicates a Fourier transform.

The inverse Laplace transform of (89) is



where

$$Q = \frac{P}{L_{o}^{2}E}, \quad \mathcal{C} = \frac{P}{O_{o}E}$$

Conserving that  $\underbrace{\mathcal{X}}_{\mathcal{A}}$  is an even function of f it is seen that

$$\frac{\overline{w_3}}{LQ} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^\infty \frac{\overline{w_3}}{LQ}(\underline{\mathbf{F}}) \cos(\underline{\mathbf{F}} - \underline{\mathbf{C}}^2) d\underline{\mathbf{F}} \qquad (91)$$

Expanding the exponential function

$$exp\left[\frac{-t}{400}\left(\frac{\xi^{4}+\frac{1000}{41}\xi^{2}+\frac{232960}{41}}{\xi^{4}+\frac{200}{41}\xi^{2}+\frac{232960}{41}}\right)\right] (92)$$

in a power series and comparing the integrals which result from substituting (90) and the power series of (92) into (91), it is seen that in approximating (92) by  $\exp\left(\frac{-t_{400}}{400}\right)$  only a term of order  $10^{-3}$  as compared to one is being neglected when  $\frac{t_{12}}{400} \leq 1200$ . With this approximation (91) reduces to I

$$\frac{\overline{U}}{LQ} = -3020 \left(1 - e^{-\frac{t}{4002}}\right) e^{-6.62\theta^{2}} \cdot \left[\cos\left(5.62\theta^{2}\right) + 0.602 \sin\left(5.62\theta^{2}\right)\right] - 2570e^{-\frac{t}{4002}} e^{-6.24\theta^{2}} \left[\cos\left(6.04\theta^{2}\right) + 0.907\sin\left(6.04\theta^{2}\right)\right].$$
(93)

Making the same approximation in the integral form of  $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}_{2}}$  and satisfying (85) one finds

$$\frac{\overline{W_{e}}}{LQ} = 120 - 120\left(1 - e^{\frac{t}{400}}\right)e^{-6.62\theta^{2}}$$

$$\cdot \left[\cos\left(5.62\theta^{2}\right) - 0.164\sin\left(5.62\theta^{2}\right)\right] - 120e^{-\frac{t}{400}}e^{-6.24\theta^{2}}\left[\cos\left(6.04\theta^{2}\right) - 0.0324\sin\left(6.04\theta^{2}\right)\right].$$

$$(94)$$

The stress resultant  $\overline{n}^{11}$  can be determined from (87) and (93). To determine  $\overline{5}^2$  one uses (77), (87) and (86) to obtain

$$\frac{\overline{5}_{j2}^{2}}{P} = \delta(\Theta^{2}) - \frac{1}{240} \left(\frac{\overline{w}_{2,2}}{LQ}\right). \qquad (95)$$

Integrating (95) and using the boundary conditions on  $\overline{\mathcal{M}_2}$  and  $\overline{\mathbf{5}^2}$  one finds

$$\frac{\overline{5}^{2}}{P} = H(\Theta^{2}) - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{240} \left(\frac{\overline{w_{2}}}{LQ}\right).$$
(96)

\_\_\_\_

---

\_

Equation (81) can be written  

$$\frac{w_{2}}{LQ} = -\frac{1}{80} \left( \frac{w_{3,2}}{LQ} \right) + 50 \left( \frac{5}{P}^{2} \right) + \frac{1}{275} \int_{0}^{t} e^{-\frac{1}{4007}} (t-t') \left[ \frac{5}{P}^{2} (t') \right] dt'. \quad (97)$$
Again approximating (92) by exp  $\left( -\frac{t}{4007} \right)^{(97)}$  becomes  

$$\frac{w_{2}}{LQ} = \left[ 4.66 \left( 1 - e^{-\frac{t}{4007}} \right) - 100 \left( \frac{t}{4007} \right) e^{-\frac{t}{4007}} \right] e^{-\frac{t}{4007}} \right] e^{-\frac{t}{4007}} e^{-\frac$$

Equations (83), (81), (96) and (86) yield

$$\frac{n^{22}}{P} = \frac{1}{116480} \left\{ 5005(\theta^2) - 400e^{-\frac{4}{4002}} S(\theta^2) - \frac{41}{1600} \left( \frac{\overline{w_{3,22}}}{LQ} \right) + \frac{1}{8} \left( \frac{\overline{w_{3}}}{LQ} \right) + \frac{1}{8} \left( \frac{\overline{w_{3}}}{LQ} \right) + \frac{1}{8002} \left( \frac{1}{2002} \left( \frac{1}{200$$

In evaluating  $\overline{3}_{3,22}$  it is seen that it contains  $\delta(\Theta^2)$  and that (92) can no longer be approximated by  $\exp\left(-\frac{t}{4002}\right)$ . Here one

$$\sup_{\text{must use}} \sup_{\substack{x \neq y = 1 \\ 4007}} \left( \frac{\xi^{4} + \frac{1000}{41} \xi^{2} + \frac{232960}{41}}{\xi^{4} + \frac{200}{41} \xi^{2} + \frac{232960}{41}} \right) \right] \simeq \\ \simeq e^{-\frac{t}{4007}} \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{t}{4007} \right) \left( \frac{800 \xi^{2}}{41} \xi^{2} + \frac{232960}{41} \right) \right].$$

After some manipulation one finds

$$100\left(\frac{n}{p}^{22}\right) = -\left[3.78\left(1 - e^{-\frac{t}{400r}}\right) - \frac{1.29\left(\frac{t}{400r}\right)e^{-\frac{t}{400r}}\right]e^{-6.62\theta^{2}}\cos\left(5.62\theta^{2}\right) + \frac{1.29\left(\frac{t}{400r}\right)e^{-\frac{t}{400r}}\right]e^{-6.62\theta^{2}}\cos\left(5.62\theta^{2}\right) + \frac{1.45\left(1 - e^{-\frac{t}{400r}}\right) + 0.778\left(\frac{t}{400r}\right)e^{-\frac{t}{400r}}\right]e^{-\frac{t}{400r}}$$

From (78) and (84) we have

- ---

$$\frac{L^2 \sigma^{33}}{P} = -\delta(\theta^2) + 24\left(\frac{n^{22}}{P}\right). \tag{100}$$

Equations (93), (94), (98) and (99) are only valid for  $\frac{t}{2} \leq 1200$ and  $\Theta^2 \geq 0$ . For  $\Theta^2 \leq 0$  it is observed that  $\overline{w_3}$  and  $N^{22}$ are even functions of  $\Theta^2$  and that  $\overline{w_2}$  and  $w_2$  are odd functions of  $\Theta^2$ . Equations (96), (87) and (100) are valid for all t and  $\Theta^2$ . For  $t = \infty$ 

$$\begin{split} \overline{UQ} &= -3020 \,\overline{e}^{-6.62\theta^2} \Big[ \cos(5.62\theta^2) + \\ &+ 0.602 \sin(5.62\theta^2) \Big]_{3} \\ \overline{UQ} &= 120 - 120 \,\overline{e}^{-6.62\theta^2} \Big[ \cos(5.62\theta^2) - \\ &- 0.164 \sin(5.62\theta^2) \Big]_{3} \\ (101) \\ \underline{Wz} &= 4.66 \,\overline{e}^{-6.62\theta^2} \Big[ \cos(5.62\theta^2) - \\ &- 82.0 \sin(5.62\theta^2) \Big]_{3} \\ (102) \\ 100 \Big( \frac{n^{22}}{p} \Big) &= -3.78 \,\overline{e}^{-6.62\theta^2} \Big[ \cos(5.62\theta^2) - \\ &- 1.18 \sin(5.62\theta^2) \Big]_{3} \\ \end{split}$$

Equations (98) and (102) show that  $\mathcal{M}_2$  is discontinuous at  $\theta^2 = 0$ which does not agree with physical reality. From (83) it is seen that  $\mathcal{M}_2$  must be discontinuous if  $\eta^{22}$  is to finite at  $\theta^2 = 0$ . If the facings had been thin shells instead of membranes this inconsistency would not have arisen.

As can be seen from (94) and (101),  $\overline{\mathcal{W}_2}$  at  $\Theta^2 = \infty$  is independent of  $\mathcal{L}$ .

In figures 10 to 14 only the functions for t equal zero and infinity are plotted. The functions at  $\frac{4}{1200}$  are so close to the functions at  $\frac{4}{1200}$  that they are almost indistinguishable on the figures.

For the numerical values of the physical constants chosen the displacements and stress resultants do not vary greatly as functions of time. However, for a different set of numerical values for the physical constants this may not be true.



FIG. 10, GROSS DISPLACEMET





FIG. 12, ROTATION

61

.



FIG. 13, SHEAR RESULTANT



FIG. 14, BENDING MOMENT

### NOTATIONS













- 1/2, jode + 1/2, jode  $\frac{1}{2} j_{ed}^{2} - \frac{1}{2} j_{ed}^{2}$  $\frac{1}{L_{1}j_{0}d^{3}} + \frac{1}{L_{1}j_{1}d^{3}}d^{3}$  $\frac{1}{L_{aj}}d^{3} - \frac{1}{L_{aj}}d^{3}$ 

> Christoffel symbols of the second kind evaluated at the interface surfaces



which the stress resultants are prescribed





F







\* -----



Young's modulus for transverse extension of the core shear modulus of an orthotropic core shear modulus of an isotropic core Young's modulus of an isotropic facing Poisson's ratio for both facings elastic coefficients for a facing

·B~B~J+ B~B~J

BXBXJ-BXBXJ

elastic coefficients defined by

 $\underline{B}^{\delta_{\gamma}} \underline{B}^{\delta_{\gamma}} \underline{B}^{\sigma} C_{\delta_{\gamma}} \underline{s}_{\mu} = \frac{1}{2} \left( S_{\underline{s}}^{\alpha} S_{\mu}^{\beta} + S_{\mu}^{\alpha} S_{\underline{s}}^{\beta} \right)$  $\underline{\circ}^{C_{\alpha\beta}} \underline{\ast}_{\eta} + \underline{1}^{C_{\alpha\beta}} \underline{\ast}_{\eta}$  $\underline{C}_{\alpha\beta}\delta_{\gamma} - \underline{C}_{\alpha\beta}\delta_{\gamma}$ 1 2 Crsk 2 rs 2 kl

a symbol placed over a quantity indicating that the quantity is prescribed on the edge of a facing a symbol placed over a function of time to indicate the Laplace transform of the function

Laplace transform parameter

 $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} = 1 \mp 22h + 2^{2}k$
## BIBLIOGRAPHY

- 1. A. E. Green & W. Zerna, "Theoretical Elasticity," Oxford Press, 1960.
- E. Reissner, "On a Variational Theorem for Finite Elastic Deflections," Jour. Math. & Physics 32, 1953, pp. 129-135.
- G. A. Wempner & J. L. Baylor, "A Theory of Sandwich Shells," Proc. 8th Midwestern Mechanics Conference, Cleveland, 1963.
- 4. R. M. Naghdi, "On a Variational Theorem in Elasticity and its Application to Shell Theory," A.S.M.E. Paper No. 64-APM-45, to appear in the Jour. of Appl. Mech.
- 5. G. A. Wempner & J. L. Baylor, "General Theory of Sandwich Plates with Dissimilar Facings," to appear in the Intr. Jour. of Solids & Strs., Pergamon Press, London.
- 6. E. Reissner, "Small Bending and Stretching of Sandwich-type Shells," N.A.C.A. Report 975, 1950.
- 7. C. T. Wang, "Principle and Application of Complementary Energy Method for Thin Homogeneous and Sandwich Plates and Shells with Finite Deflections," N.A.C.A. Tech. Note 2620, February 1952.
- 8. E. I. Grigolyuk, "Equations of Three Layer Sandwich Shells with Light Packing," (in Russian) Izvestia Akademii Nauk S.S.S.R., Oldeleniye Teckhnicheskikh Nauk, No. 1, 1957, pp. 77-84.
- 9. R. E. Fulton, "Nonlinear Equations for a Shallow Unsymmetrical Sandwich Shell of Double Curvature," Proc. 7th Midwestern Mechanics Conference, Plenum Press, N. Y. 1961, p. 365.
- 10. W. T. Koiter, "A Consistent First Approximation in the General Theory of Thin Elastic Shells," Proc. Symp. on Theory of Thin Elastic Shells, Delft., August 1959, North-Holland Pub. Co.
- 11. G. A. Wempner, "Theory of Moderately Large Deflections of Thin Sandwich Shells," A.S.M.E. Paper No. 64-WA/APM-6, to appear in the Jour. of Appl. Mech.
- 12. S. Timoshenko & S. Woinowsky-Krieger, "Theory of Plates and Shells," McGraw-Hill, 1959.
- 13. B. Friedman, "Principles and Techniques of Applied Mathematics," John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 1962.
- 14. I. N. Sneddon, "Fourier Transforms," McGraw-Hill, 1951.