ĥ

2

NASA CR71035

4

. ,







d.

DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMICS WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY ST. LOUIS, MISSOURI

| GPU PRD | 2 | |
|---------------|-----|------|
| CFSTI PROCES | \$_ | |
| Hard copy () | HC) | 2.00 |
| Microfiche (N | MF) | .50 |

ff 653 July 65

Present Values of Lifetime Earnings of College Occupations

By Hugh Folk

Working Paper 6509 December 27, 1965

This is a preliminary draft and is intended for private circulation. The contents may be quoted by any agency of the Federal Government. Comments are solicited and should be addressed to the author at the Department of Economics, University of Essex, Wivenhoe Park, Colchester, England.

PRESENT VALUES OF LIFETIME EARNINGS

OF COLLEGE OCCUPATIONS

By Hugh Folk*

This paper presents an analysis of the economic returns to a number of occupations commonly entered by college graduates and uses the results to analyze several questions arising from the engineering and scientific labor market. The first section discusses several measures of the economic return to an occupation. The second section presents computations of present values of expected lifetime earnings for a number of occupations. The third section applies these findings to the analysis of the scientific and engineering labor market.

1. Economic Return to Occupations

What is the best measure of the economic returns to an occupation? Among the possibilities are:

- (1) Starting salary
- (2) Mean salary
- (3) Mean salary adjusted for age distribution
- (4) Expected lifetime earnings
- (5) Present value of expected lifetime earnings based on a cross-section of earnings
- (6) Present value of lifetime earnings for a cohort.

^{*} I wish to thank Melvin Borland and Theodore Scheinman for assistance with the computations. This research was supported by NASA Grant NsG-342 at Washington University, St. Louis.

It is obvious that the starting salary does not provide very much information about the economic return of an occupation over the lifetime of a worker. For example, the starting salaries or earnings of physicians are quite low relative to their peak earnings, while the starting salaries of school teachers, professors, and research scientists are high relative to their peak earnings.

Mean salary is also subject to objections. Rapidly growing occupations are disproportionately composed of young and inexperienced workers with relatively low earnings, while stable or slowly growing occupations have larger proportions of older workers. These problems could be met by standardizing all occupations on a given age distribution, but the data necessary for such standardization also permits the computation of expected lifetime earnings, a measure with some advantages over age-adjusted averages.

Expected lifetime earnings are computed by summing the expected earnings of a worker over his lifetime, taking account of his probability of survival (see Miller (-4, 7)). The formula for expected lifetime earnings is

$$L = \sum_{t=1}^{R} E_t P_t$$
(1)

where

L is expected lifetime earnings

 E_t is the average earnings in the occupation at time t (or age t). R is time (or age) of retirement

 \boldsymbol{p}_t is the probability of surviving through time t (or age t).

-2-

The principle objection to expected lifetime earnings is that it fails to take account of differences in the time shapes of different earnings streams. In effect, all earnings are weighted equally, whether they occur early or late in working life. Thus in fig. 1, earnings stream 1 represents



earnings in an occupation requiring long training before earnings begin to grow (such as surgery) and stream 2 represents earnings in an occupation in which earnings start earlier, increase rapidly, and then stabilize (such as dentistry). As long as $L = \sum E_t p_t$ is the same for both occupations we will conclude that the return is the same in both occupations. We should recognize, however, that the difference in earnings of 2 over 1, in the early years, could be put out at interest and redistributed over the working life so that 2 could attain an earnings stream 2' which was everywhere higher than 1.

-3-

To correct for different time shapes of earnings streams it is necessary to discount the earnings stream back to some time such as the present by computing a "present value."

D

$$P = \sum_{t=1}^{n} \frac{E_t P_t}{(1+r)t}$$
(2)

Here P is the present value of expected lifetime earnings, E_t , P_t , and R' are as defined for equation (1), and $(1+r)^t$ is the discount factor. As time ranges from the present to R (retirement), the income is summed. The average earnings $(E_t^{1}s)$ are obtained from cross-section data (such as the census for a single year) both for lifetime earnings and for present values. Thus the present value is based on the assumption that the average earnings of a worker (or cohort) entering the occupation will recapitulate the earnings that previous entrants have made at various ages over the worker's lifetime. Of course, the actual earnings experience of past cohorts thoroughly contradicts this assumption. The most striking examples of this is the commonly observed decilne in the cross-section data from the 45-54 year age group to the 55-64 year age group, which leads Miller to argue:

The average male worker enters the labor market either on a full-time or a part time basis when he is in his teens. For several years he goes through an apprenticeship or training phase during which he is paid relatively little. During this period he learns general rather than specific skills and he tends to change jobs and interests frequently. By the time he is in his midtwenties he has usually selected the general field in which he plans to work, and he spends the next period of his working life acquiring skill and experience. When he is in his forties or early fifties he has usually attained the peak of his earning power, and from that time until he is ready to retire from the labor market his annual earnings shrink until they are not any higher than those he received as a young man. In retirement, his earnings are frequently replaced by receipts from other sources such as pensions or public assistance; but his total income is, on the average, still far below what he received in his prime. ($/ 3_/$, p.64) With respect to averages this pattern simply is not true, even when we adjust for price changes. Thus Becker ($\sqrt{1}$, p. 141) shows that the college graduate cohort aged 35 to 44 years old in 1939 received a price adjusted average income of 8,386 in 1939, 11,543 in 1949, and 10,966 in 1958, instead of the amounts "forecast" by the cross-section in 1939 of 9,430 in 1949, and 8,338 in 1959.

To compute a present value of lifetime earnings taking account of the expected increase in earnings levels of all experience groups is a complex task. Not only must a set of age-specific mortaility assumptions be made (which also exhibit cross-section bias), but also a set of average earnings levels for all numbers of years of experience must be made from the present year until the year when the cohort can be expected to retire or leave the labor force.

On what basis shall these projections be made? For example, the rate of growth of the average earnings of a mechanical engineer with ten years experience is for from constant, even when it is adjusted for changes in the general level of prices or the purchasing power of money, <u>i.e.</u>, the age experience structure of earnings (or salaries) of an occupation changes unpredictably over time.

-5-

For example in the United States, average real earnings have been increasing at about 2 percent per year for several decades. If we assume this rate of increase, then the cohort present value would be

$$P^{I} = \Sigma \frac{E_{t}P_{t}(1.02)^{t}}{(1 + r)^{t}}$$

where the 1.02 factor represents the annual rate of growth of earnings, and the E_t represents the cross-section earnings for the starting date. In general we can write

$$P^{I} = \Sigma \frac{E_{t}P_{t}(1 + \alpha)^{t}}{(1 + r)^{t}}$$

or approximately

$$P^{t} = \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \frac{E_{t}P_{t}}{(1+r-\alpha)^{t}}$$

since $\frac{(1+r)^{t}}{(1+\alpha)^{t}} \approx (1+r-\alpha)^{t}$ when r and are small. In our example this means that for a 1.02 growth factor, the cohort present value at a given interest rate, say 8 percent, is closely approximated by the cross-section present value estimated at two percentage points less, or 6 percent.

There are further problems that require attention. These include: (1) the problem of attrition from the occupation; and (2) options from the occupation. Turnover, or attrition, needs attention because there is much movement into and out of most occupations. There are relatively few lifetime occupations, such as physician or lawyer. The occupation of civil engineer is sometimes a prelude to a managerial job that is not titled "engineer" although engineering training may be required. Similarly, the occupation of manager is not typically a lifetime career, but is entered by persons from occupations in sales, engineering, or accounting. In measuring lifetime incomes we measure the expected income of a person that enters the occupation young and retires at the given time having enjoyed the average income over his lifetime.

It is known that some students enter engineering training because they wish to work in management and believe that engineering is a good entry port. Few persons with engineering training will be forced to earn less than the salary engineers receive since engineering jobs are currently plentiful. It seems reasonable to believe, then, that the lifetime earnings of persons that enter engineering and then leave it may be somewhat higher than the earnings of lifetime engineers.

If we were to attempt to measure the return to a certain kind of training, such as engineering, we might come closer to measuring occupational returns as they are usually thought of. This is the problem of options which Weisbrod has examined $\sqrt{5}\sqrt{7}$. Engineering training is obviously valuable not only because it prepares a person for engineering, but also because it is good preparation for a managerial career. Similarly, engineering work and experience is valuable for advancement not only in engineering careers but in managerial careers. Lifetime earnings data from occupational incomes cannot include the value of these options from an occupation.

These limitations to our analysis are likely to have three main effects: (1) the estimates will probably be smaller than the actual outcomes since there is no correction for "cross-section bias;" (2) the estimated lifetime incomes of occupations characterized by late entry (such as managers) will tend to overestimate the lifetime incomes of persons entering these occupations from other entry occupations; (3) the lifetime earnings of persons entering occupations that provide options for entering more highly paid occupations will be underestimated by the estimated lifetime earnings of the entry occupation.

-7-

There remains the problem of choosing a rate of discount. A high rate, such as 10 percent, makes earnings to be received at the end of working life of relatively little importance, while a low rate of discount, such as 2 percent, makes them more important. Thus physicians whose earnings peak relatively late in working life have higher present values than dentists at a 2 percent rate of discount, but lower present values at a 6 percent or 10 percent rate of discount. The choice of a rate of discount will, therefore, have some effect on the rankings of occupations by present values, but there is relatively little effect because most career income patterns have similar shapes.

For the purpose of the present analysis, we shall adopt the 6 percent rate of discount. I do not suggest that this is a "best" rate. The rate relevant to the individual is his own rate of time discount, or his opportunity rate of interest, and these naturally differ between individuals.

-8-

11. Present Values of Lifetime Earnings

At a 6 percent rate of discount the male worker with four years of college has a present value of expected lifetime earnings of \$129,000. (Table 1) Natural scientists as a group and chemists both earn less than this amount, but geologists and geophysicists, physicists, and all specialties of technical engineers earn more than the average. The differential of engineers over the average is not large, however, and this suggests that the substantial premiums earned by starting engineers have not been maintained over the whole working life, at least in the recent past. It is possible that currently entering engineers may be able to maintain the premium.

The strikingly low present values of teachers, college professors, and clergymen, and the relatively high present values of physicians, dentists, and lawyers provide the extremes to the selected occupations. There are no surprises except for the reduction in the differential between physicians earnings and other professional occupations that is observed in average earnings data. The late entry of physicians is responsible for this difference.

The close correlation between lifetime earnings and the amount of education is usually interpreted causally, but with the cautionary remark that ability varies also. The association of 1.Q. with educational level suggests that some of the differential associated with education may be attributable to differences in ability. A study by Wolfle and Smith $\sqrt{7}$, throws some light on this possibility. They found that among persons of college level ability, incomes varied with education in each 1.Q. class while there were only small income differences associated with 1.Q. within each education class. Thus, on the average, education appeared necessary to permit 1.Q. differences to have much effect on income.

-9-

Table 1

Five Years Four Years Or More Total experienced civilian \$129,455 \$147,429 Professional and technical 119,154 150,527 Accountants and auditors 120,150 126,590 Clergymen 64,260 65,717 College professors 78,079 112,509 Dentists 230,083 228,275 Lawyers and judges 177,661 202,342 Natural scientists 119,119 131,973 Chemists 114,897 128,986 Geologists and geophysicists 151,848 151,093 Physicists 137,090 151,804 Physicians and surgeons 214,482 232,720 Social scientists 134,116 134,084 Economists 146,305 141,711 Teachers 77,355 94,819 74,361 Elementary school teachers 92,378 Secondary school teachers 78,419 96,582 Insurance agents and brokers 137,418 131,891 175,434 Real estate agents and brokers 162,071 Technical engineers 138,127 145,732 Aeronautical engineers 145,778 150,281 Civil engineers 132,871 134,316 Electrical engineers 139,131 151,225 Mechanical engineers 136,630 143,196 149,824 Sales engineers 151,015 Managers, officials, and proprietors 172,891 177,105 Buyers and department store heads 153,497 157,579 98,379 Inspectors, public administration 99,443 Officials and administrators nec 126,327 110,937 Other specified managers 117,105 120,626

Present Values at Age 23 of Lifetime Earnings of Selected Occupations by Years of College, Discounted at Six Percent

Source: Appendix Table 2.

Table 2.

No doubt this applies somewhat less strongly within occupations. The obstacle to the earning ability of highly intelligent people is often one of the barriers to occupational entry. Once occupational barriers are overcome, ability becomes more important. Apparently this is true to a degree, since the income differential associated with college education within occupations are in most instances smaller than those of all occupations combined (see Table 2). This comparison omits occupations such as dentistry and medicine in which entry is effectively limited to graduates.

If for the moment we accept the difference between high school and college present values as the value of a college education in the occupation, it becomes clear that in absolute terms a college degree is very valuable to persons in business, such as managers and real estate agents, but of much less value to engineers and scientists. Clearly the major value of a college degree is in gaining entry into engineering and scientific occupations and not in earning a large differential over those persons that somehow manage to enter the occupation without a degree. For obvious reasons we cannot attribute the differences in earnings of college graduates and high-school graduates in the same occupation to college education alone. Differences in ability between the two levels are perhaps greater in the business occupations than in technical occupations. Objections mentioned above relating to late entry business occupations are also valid.

-11-

Table 2

| Present Values of | Expected Li | etime Earning | s at Six Per | cent, |
|------------------------|----------------|---------------|-----------------|-------------------------------------|
| | Selected (| occupations | | |
| | High School | College | Dif- ference | Difference 85% of High School |
| Total experienced | | | | |
| civilian | \$ 88,277 | \$129,455 | \$41,178 | 46.7 |
| Professional, tech- | | | | |
| nical, and kindred | 101,765 | 119,154 | 17,389 | 17.1 |
| Accountants and | | | | • - |
| auditors | 98,651 | 120,150 | 21,499 | 21.8 |
| Clergymen | 59,084 | 64,260 | 5,176 | 8.8 |
| Natural Scientists | 95,724 | 119,119 | 23,395 | 24.4 |
| Chemists | 94,889 | 114,897 | 20,008 | 21.1 |
| Teachers | 82,671 | 77,355 | - 5,316 | -6.4 |
| Insurance agents and | | | | |
| brokers | 104,082 | 137,418 | 33,336 | 32.0 |
| Real estate agents and | · | | | - |
| brokers | 126,158 | 175,434 | 48,276 | 38.3 |
| Technical engineers | 115,030 | 138,127 | 23,097 | 20.1 |
| Aeronautical | ••• | - • | | |
| engineers | 125,631 | 145,778 | 20,147 | 16.0 |
| Civil engineers | 100,452 | 132,871 | 32,419 | 32.3 |
| Electrical engineers | 117,755 | 139,131 | 21,376 | 18.2 |
| Mechanical engineers | 122,833 | 136,630 | 13,797 | 11.2 |
| Sales engineers | 129,139 | 149,824 | 20,685 | 16.0 |
| Managers, officials, | · | . • | • | |
| and proprietors | 117,411 | 172,891 | 55,480 | 47.3 |
| Buyers and department | • | · | · | |
| store heads | 112,992 | 153,497 | 40,505 | 35.8 |
| Inspectors, public | • | • | • • • | |
| administration | 630, 87 | 98,379 | 10,749 | 12.3 |
| Officials and adminis- | · | • | · | |
| trators, nec | 91,145 | 110,937 | 19,792 | 21.7 |
| Other specified | - | - | - | |
| managers | 101,630 | 117,105 | 15,475 | 15.2 |

Difference between College Graduate and High-School Graduate

Source: Appendix table 2.

.

.

i

III. Application to Scientific Manpower Problems

The present values derived in the foregoing section suggest that engineers did not receive earnings markedly above the average of all graduates and that scientists received somewhat less than the average. The lifetime incomes are not consistent with the commonly held view that engineers receive "large" compensation. It is not possible to make valid comparisons over time, but there is some evidence that the ratios of present values of expected lifetime earnings of engineers and chemists to present values for all college graduates have deteriorated rather sharply since 1929 and especially from 1949 to 1959, a period in which the shortage of engineers was thought to be severe and during which the opposite movement would have been expected.

It is difficult to brush aside these results because they do not accord with common prejudices or because the concepts of present values used are open to serious objection. It is possible that the movements in starting salaries that are so obvious a sign of shortage have not been reflected in lifetime earnings. Indeed, it may be that the high demand for engineers has been largely for recently trained junior engineers with fresh technology and that older engineers are affected only to the extent that they are substitutes for this group. Considered as a career, engineering does not pay better than other business careers for college graduates. It must be noted, however, that we have not been able to evaluate engineering training and experience as gateways to management.

The data in the previous section also allows us to examine the economic position of engineers without college degrees. While graduate engineers do little better than the average graduate, non-graduate engineers do markedly better. While there are significant differences in present value associated with education for engineers, there is no question that non-graduate engineers do very well relative to non-graduates and to graduate engineers (Table 3). These results suggest that the claim of many non-graduates to deserve the title "engineers" should be taken somewhat more seriously than it has been hitherto by students of scientific manpower. Obviously, it would be desirable to know a great deal more about the qualifications of these non-graduate engineers and the channels by which they entered engineering.

The major conclusion that we can draw from the foregoing data is that engineers and scientists are not well paid relative to the professions, selected business occupations, and all college graduates. This conclusion contradicts the commonly held view that engineering is a well paid occupation. If comparisons were made for persons of equal academic ability, measured, perhaps, by I.Q., the conclusion would probably be even stronger. It is known that the average I.Q.'s of engineering and science students is higher than the average I.Q.'s in most other occupations (see Folk $\sqrt{2}\sqrt{2}$).

Since lifetime incomes do not maintain the relatively high rankings of engineering starting salaries, the economic attractiveness of the occupation is overestimated by starting salaries. The failure of an increasing fraction of college students to major in engineering and science in response to what is seen as a short-run inducement can hardly be considered surprising. It would be expected that the number of entrants to an occupation would increase in response to an increase in economic rewards going to the occupation, but our findings suggest that engineering is not highly rewarding from a career point of view, regardless of what the trend may be.

-14-

| Eng | gineers' | Pres | sent | Valu | es a | s Perce | ent of | |
|-------|----------|-------|-------|------|-------------------|---------|--------|----|
| Total | Experie | nced | Civi | lian | s [†] Pi | resent | Value | 8, |
| | b | y Edu | icati | on, | 1959 | | | |

| | High Sc | hool | College | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------|-----------|--------------------|--|
| | 1-3 years | 4 years | 1-3 years | 4 years | 5 years or more | |
| Technical engineers (total) | \$109,815 | \$115 , 0 3 0 | \$120,691 | \$138,127 | \$145 , 732 | |
| Total experienced civilian | TT, 219 | 88,217 | 103,040 | 129,455 | 147,429 | |
| Engineers as percent of total | 142.2 | 130.3 | 117.1 | 106.7 | 98.8 | |

Source: Appendix Table 2.

-15-

Let us assume that the present value of engineering earnings is increasing relative to the present value of other occupations (although we have no evidence of this.) Is there any reason to expect this would lead to an increased supply of engineers or to an increasing proportion of college students entering the occupation? I think not. Two reasons come to mind. First, the working conditions of engineering may have deteriorated during the period; and, second, the relative position of engineering may be so low that even improvement is not sufficient to stop the decline in enrollment proportions.

I believe a strong case can be made to support the possible decline in working conditions in engineering. A large fraction of engineers now work for defense contractors that operate on a contract basis. Employment in such firms is sometimes temporary, however well paid it may be. During the development stage, engineers are employed in large numbers, and as the project develops and is either cancelled or put into production, the engineering staff is reduced in size. The short-term engineer gains no benefit from pensions and his specialized training is often useless when he enters the market. He may also be accustomed to high earnings but have little transferrable knowledge that can demand a premium over newly graduated engineers. Thus part of the higher starting salaries of recent years may reflect the temporary nature of many of the defense engineering jobs.

To support the second possibility, we need only assume that the process of occupational choice is subject to lags in response. Occupational returns are not widely known, and changes in earnings profiles take time to become established and accepted into the attitudes of counselors, students, and parents. The relatively low earnings of engineers during the temporary post-World War II engineer glut become established, and the relatively higher earnings of the post-sputnik era

-16-

are still below a level of parity with other occupations. Entry into engineering training was perhaps relatively high in the earlier period even though earnings were, say, 20 percent below the long-run rate needed to procure continued recruitment at the 1948 rate. After this period, the earnings of engineers increased so that they were only 10 percent below the long-run constant recruitment rate, and in so doing, they showed an increase relative to other occupational earnings. The earnings ratio increase was offset by the learning of the potential recruits, and this accounts for the decline in the engineering enrollment percentage during a period when the earnings ratio was increasing.

While both of these arguments are \underline{ad} hoc, they seem reasonable in the light of lifetime income levels and known salary trends.

-18-

Appendix A, Method of Computation of Present Values

The formula used in computing the present values of expected lifetime earnings is $P = \Sigma \frac{Et^P t}{(1+r)^t}$, where P is the present value, E_t is expected earnings at time t, P_t is the probability of surviving through time t, and $(1+r)^t$ is the discount factor.

The E_t are derived from mean earnings given in <u>U.S. Census of Population:</u> <u>1960</u>, volume 11, part 7B, "Occupation by Earnings and Education." Average earnings by education and occupation are given for four age groups, 25 to 34 years, 35 to 44 years, 45 to 54 years, and 55 to 64 years. Since we are estimating present values as of age 23 (the median age of graduation from college) we need average earnings for the 23rd and 24th years. In our calculations we assume that average earnings for age 23 and age 24 are the average earnings for the 25 to 34 year age group. Earnings data for the 18 to 24 age group are available, but these appear to be far too small for the full-time earnings of persons 23 and 24 years old. In estimating E_t , it was occasionally necessary to interpolate or estimate a value for one of the four age groups. This was done by applying a ratio of the earnings of two adjacent age groups in a closely similar occupation to one of the earnings figures adjacent to the empty cell.

Survival ratios are derived from life-table values in U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Vital Statistics of the United States, 1959, section.5.

Appendix Table 1

| Present Va | alues a | it A | ge | 23 | of | Lij | eti | me | Earr | iing | 5 | of |
|------------|---------|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------------|-----|-------|------|----|-----------|
| Selected | Occupa | tio | ns | by | Yea | rs | of | Sch | looli | ing | Di | s- |
| | count | ed | a't | TVO | Pe | TC: | ent | | | _ | | |

| | High | School | College | | | | |
|----------------------|--------------------|------------------|-----------|------------------|----------------------|--|--|
| | 1-3 yrs. | 4 years | 1-3 yrs. | 4 years | Five or More Yrs. | | |
| Total experienced | | | | | | | |
| civilian | \$140 , 739 | \$162,231 | \$194,313 | \$250,031 | \$294,227 | | |
| Professional and | _ | | | | - | | |
| technical | 172,827 | 187 ,9 88 | 197,896 | 226,834 | 302,083 | | |
| Accountants and | | | | | | | |
| auditors | 172,001 | 184,667 | 190,863 | 231,332 | 239,484 | | |
| Clergymen | - | 105,756 | 110,366 | 116,816 | 120,763 | | |
| College professors | •• | - | - | 155,361 | 218,125 | | |
| Dentists | - | - | - | 413,130 | 418,912 | | |
| Lawyers and judges | - | - | - | 341,529 | 429,808 | | |
| Natural scientists | - | 179,208 | 198,603 | 221,996 | 250,922 | | |
| Chemists | - | 175,539 | 190,038 | 213,799 | 242,364 | | |
| Geologists and | | | | | | | |
| geophysicists | - | - | - | 296,515 | 297,053 | | |
| Physicists | - | - | — | 269 , 650 | 284,322 | | |
| Physicians and | | | | | | | |
| surgeons | | - | - | 438,836 | 477,230 | | |
| Social scientists | - | - | - | 262,397 | 253,147 | | |
| Economists | - | - | - | 273,301 | 280,208 | | |
| Teachers | - | 151,138 | 139,868 | 142,832 | 176,368 | | |
| Elementary school | | | | | | | |
| teachers | - | - | - | 135,111 | 169,494 | | |
| Secondary school | | | | | - 0 | | |
| teachers | - | - | - | 145,219 | 180,115 | | |
| Insurance agents | | | | | | | |
| and brokers | 196,483 | 193,447 | 200,210 | 265,959 | 245 , 480 | | |
| Real estate agents | | | | | | | |
| and brokers | 193,950 | 226,801 | 253,310 | 315,945 | 296,071 | | |
| Technical engineers | 201,117 | 211,360 | 222,280 | 258,424 | 272,377 | | |
| Aeronautical | | | | | | | |
| engineers | 203,510 | 232,340 | 246,990 | 267,670 | 2(2,689 | | |
| Civil engineers | 178,621 | 185,991 | 201,523 | 246,616 | 252,565 | | |
| Electrical engineers | 209,856 | 214,143 | 224,070 | 259,366 | 276,045 | | |
| Mechanical engineers | 208,394 | 222,796 | 231,110 | 253,967 | 268,329 | | |
| Sales engineers | 266,683 | 235,015 | 256,34I | 284,743 | 289,172 | | |
| Mgrs, OIIIcials, | noc obr | | 000 100 | | 0.00 | | |
| and proprietors | 196,045 | 222,052 | 266,410 | 347,087 | 353,838 | | |
| Buyers and dept. | 101 150 | | | 207 000 | | | |
| store neads | 191,192 | 212,145 | 246,300 | 307,988 | 312,493 | | |
| inspectors, | 250 2Ch | 259 000 | | | 7.00 000 | | |
| public admn. | 170,104 | 120,900 | 700,000 | 101,545 | TOO, 238 | | |
| UIIICIALS and | | 169 560 | 10/ 100 | | 000 000 | | |
| coministrators, nec. | TJTJUIO | 100,502 | 200,203 | 209,682 | 239,392 | | |
| other specified | 190 1.0- | 106 000 | 106 900 | 018 (00 | 000 000 | | |
| managers | 100,401 | TOD, A. (O | 190,093 | 21(,629 | 223,072 | | |

Appendix Table 2

|

| | Selected Occupa | ations by Ye | ars of School | ling Dis- | | | | |
|------------------------|-----------------|--------------|----------------|-----------------|----------------------|--|--|--|
| counted at Six Percent | | | | | | | | |
| | High | School | · | | | | | |
| | 1-3 yrs. | 4 years | 1-3 yrs. | 4 years | Five or More Yrs. | | | |
| Total experienced | | | | | | | | |
| civilian | \$ 77,219 | \$ 88.277 | \$103.040 | \$129.455 | \$147.429 | | | |
| Professional and | 1 117 | | | 1 | ₩ | | | |
| technical | 93,728 | 101,765 | 105,458 | 119,154 | 150,427 | | | |
| Accountants and | | | | | | | | |
| auditors | 91,819 | 98,651 | 102,002 | 120,150 | 126,590 | | | |
| Clergymen | | 59,084 | 61,733 | 64,260 | 65,717 | | | |
| College professors | - | | - | 78,079 | 112,509 | | | |
| Dentists | - | - | - | 230,083 | 228,275 | | | |
| Lawyers and judges | - | | - | 177,661 | 202,342 | | | |
| Natural scientists | - | 95,724 | 106,239 | 119,119 | 131,973 | | | |
| Chemists | - | 94,889 | 102,047 | 114,897 | 128,986 | | | |
| Geologists and | | | | | | | | |
| geophysicists | - | - | - | 151,093 | 151,848 | | | |
| Physicists | - | - | - | 137,090 | 151,804 | | | |
| Physicians and | | | | | | | | |
| surgeons | - | - | - | 214,482 | 232,720 | | | |
| Social scientists | - | . 🛥 | - | 134,116 | 134,084 | | | |
| Economists | - | - | - | 141,711 | 146,305 | | | |
| Teachers | - | 82,671 | 139,868 | 77,355 | 94,816 | | | |
| Elementary school | | • | | | | | | |
| teachers | - | - | - | 74,361 | 92,378 | | | |
| Secondary school | | | | | | | | |
| teachers | - | - | - | 78,419 | 96,582 | | | |
| Insurance agents | | | | | | | | |
| and brokers | 100,274 | 104,082 | 108,746 | 137.418 | 131,891 | | | |
| Real estate agents | | • | | ••• | | | | |
| and brokers | 107,680 | 126,158 | 140,994 | 175,434 | 162,071 | | | |
| Technical engineer | s 109,815 | 115,030 | 120,691 | 318.127 | 145,732 | | | |
| Aeronautical | | | | | | | | |
| engineers | 111,122 | 125,631 | 132,075 | 145,778 | 150,281 | | | |
| Civil engineers | 97,734 | 100,452 | 110,141 | 132,871 | 134,316 | | | |
| Electrical enginee | rs 113,520 | 117,755 | 121,508 | 139,131 | 151,225 | | | |
| Mechanical enginee | rs 115,903 | 122,833 | 125,760 | 136,630 | 143,196 | | | |
| Sales engineers | 133,032 | 129,139 | 145,612 | 149,824 | 151,015 | | | |
| Mgrs., officials, | | | | | | | | |
| and proprietors | 104,736 | 117,411 | 137,696 | 172,891 | 177,105 | | | |
| Buyers and dept. | ,. . - | • | | | | | | |
| store heads | 102,443 | 112,992 | 132,901 | 153,497 | 157,579 | | | |
| Inspectors, public | , | *** | - // | | | | | |
| administration | 89,140 | 87,630 | 91,89 4 | 98 , 379 | 99 , 443 | | | |

99,629

106,334

110,937

117,105

126,237

120,626

Officials and adminis-

trators, nec. Other specified

rangers

82,202

98,854

91,145

101,630

Present Values at Age 23 of Lifetime Earnings of

-20-

Appendix Table 3

Present Values at Age 23 of Lifetime Earnings of Selected Occupations by Years of Schooling Discounted at Ten Percent

| | High | School | | College | ollege | | |
|----------------------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|----------------------|--|--|
| | 1-3 yrs. | 4 years | 1-3 yrs. | 4 years | Five or More Yrs, | | |
| Total experienced | | | | | | | |
| civilian | \$50,353 | \$57,194 | \$65,345 | \$ 80,636 | \$ 89,013 | | |
| Professional and | | ••••• | | • • - | | | |
| technical | 60,552 | 65,654 | 67,128 | 75,013 | 90,227 | | |
| Accountants and | •••• | | | | | | |
| auditors | 58,696 | 63,084 | 65,050 | 75,117 | 79,922 | | |
| Clergymen | - | 38,852 | .40,771 | 41,839 | 42,501 | | |
| College professors | | · | | | • | | |
| and instructors | - | - | | 48,155 | 69,640 | | |
| Dentists | - | - | - | 149,487 | 145,951 | | |
| Lawyers and judges | - | - | - | 111,462 | 116,579 | | |
| Natural scientists | - | 61,173 | 67,979 | 76,344 | 83,349 | | |
| Chemists | - | 61,214 | 65,400 | 73,733 | 82,247 | | |
| Geologists and | | · | - • | | • | | |
| geophysicists | - | - | - | 93,379 | 93,241 | | |
| Physicists | - | - | - | 83,793 | 96,683 | | |
| Physicians and | | | | ••••• | | | |
| surgeons | - | - | - | 124,085 | 135,135 | | |
| Social scientists | - | - | - | 82,659 | 85,008 | | |
| Econcmists | - | - | - | 88,291 | 91,572 | | |
| Teachers | - | 53,724 | 48,782 | 49,894 | 60,876 | | |
| Elementary school | | | | | | | |
| teachers | - | - | | 48,509 | 59.916 | | |
| Secondary school | | | | | | | |
| teachers | - | - | - | 50,493 | 61,915 | | |
| Insurance agents | | | | | , | | |
| and brokers | 62,199 | 66,817 | 70,100 | 84,790 | 84.031 | | |
| Real estate agents | • • • • | • | | | , . | | |
| and brokers | 70,698 | 82,559 | 92,022 | 114,167 | 104.527 | | |
| Technical engineers | 71,463 | 74,526 | 78,003 | 88,224 | 93,120 | | |
| Aeronautical | | | | | | | |
| engineers | 72,314 | 81,050 | 84,185 | 94,105 | 97.819 | | |
| Civil engineers | 63,828 | 64,599 | 71,413 | 85.433 | 85,425 | | |
| Electrical engineers | 73,612 | 76,930 | 78.604 | 89.118 | 98.129 | | |
| Mechanical engineers | 76,440 | 80,246 | 81,527 | 87.548 | 91.114 | | |
| Sales engineers | 94,757 | 83.743 | 83.849 | 94.943 | 95,322 | | |
| Mgrs, officials, | | -, | -, , | | ///0 | | |
| and proprietors | 67,137 | 74.473 | 85,968 | 104.912 | 107.472 | | |
| Buyers and dept. | ., | | | | | | |
| store heads | 65,609 | 71,951 | 85.229 | 93.652 | 96.556 | | |
| Inspectors, public | | | | /3/-/- | <i>J-377-</i> | | |
| administration | 59.443 | 57.356 | 60.078 | 63.661 | 65.012 | | |
| Officials and | | | | | | | |
| administrators nec | 53,107 | 58,710 | 63.685 | 70,302 | 79.765 | | |
| Other specified | 1 | | | 1-70-6 | | | |
| managers | 64.434 | 65.716 | 68.293 | 75-014 | 77.761 | | |
| | | | | 179011 | 112104 | | |

.

References

- 1. Becker, G.S., <u>Human Capital</u>, New York: National Bureau of Economic Research, 1964.
- 2. Folk, H., "Some Additional Notes on the Supply of Engineers," (mimeo.), Department of Economics, Washington University, St. Louis, 1965.

. :

- 3. Miller, H. P., The Income of the American People, New York: Wiley, 1955.
- Miller, H. P., Statement in Equal Employment Opportunity, Hearings, Subcommittee on Employment and Manpower, Committee on Labor and Public Welfare, U.S. Senate, 88th Congress, 1st session, July 31, 1963, pp. 321-374.
- 5. Weisbrod, B. A., "Education and Investment in Human Capital," Journal of Political Economy, Supplement, October, 1962.
- 6. Weisbrod, B. A., 'The Valuation of Human Capital," <u>Journal of political</u> <u>Economy</u>, October, 1961.
- Wolfle, D. and J. G. Smith, 'The Occupational Value of Education for Superior High-School Graduates," Journal of Higher Education, April, 1956.