COVER SHEET FOR TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM

An Application of Group Theory TITLE to the Numerical Integration of the Equations of Motion of a Conservative Dynamical System

TM - 65 - 1032 - 3

FILING CASE NO(S) - 101

DATE - September 1, 1965

AUTHOR(S) - H. A. Helm

FILING SUBJECT(S) -Dynamics (ASSIGNED BY AUTHOR(S) -Lie Groups

Numerical Analysis

ABSTRACT

Group theoretical concepts are applied to the numerical integration of the equations of motion of a conservative dynamical system. Rather than obtain the solution by one of the standard methods of numerical analysis a transformation group is derived which maps the state of system at time t, onto the state of the system at time $t_{i+1} = t_i + \Delta t$. Because of the group property in conservative systems, time is reversable. That is, the readily obtained inverse to the transformation, maps the state of the system at time t_{i+1} onto the state at time $t_i = t_{i+1} - \Delta t$ so that given a desired terminal condition for a trajectory the problem may be worked backwards to determine the required initial conditions. Truncation error is arbitrary so that the programmer may trade off function evaluation for step size to optimize computer running time.

This memoranda is a preliminary report and the development is heuristic. A brief review of classical Hamiltonian theory is included.

الله المستقيرين المستقيرين المستقير المستقير المستقير المستقير المستقير المستقير المستقير المستقير المستقير الم	and the second second
N66 33573	(THRU)
(ACCESSION NUMBER)	(CODE)
(PAGES) (RASA CR OR TMX OR AD NUMBER)	(CATEGÓRY)

GPO PRICE CFSTI PRICE(S) \$ _ Hard copy (HC) 2.00 Microfiche (MF) _____

ff 653 July 65

SUBJECT: An Application of Group Theory to the Numerical Integration of the Equations of Motion of a Conservative Dynamical System-Case 101.

DATE: September 1, 1965

FROM: H. A. Helm

TM-65-1032-3

TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM

Introduction

This memorandum is a preliminary report on one aspect of an investigation into the geometrical properties of dynamical systems. The numerical technique introduced below is derived from the canonical transformation theory of conservative systems. For this reason, a succinct (and, hopefully, readable) account of such transformation theory is included for reference and review.

The technique is interesting in several ways. In the first place, instead of solving the differential equations of motion numerically, an approximation to an "infinitesimal transformation" is used to map the state of the dynamical system time t_i onto the state of the system at time $t_{i+1} = t_i + \Delta t$. Secondly, these transformations have the group property. In this connection, (and for conservative systems in general) the means that time is reversible; i.e. the inverse transformation maps the state of the system at time t_{i+1} onto the state of the system at time t_{i+1} onto the state of the system at time t_{i+1} onto the state of the

the targetting problem where one desires the initial conditions of a trajectory given arbitrary terminal conditions. However, it should be remembered that the above does not apply to powered flight nor to flight in the atmosphere where dissipative drag forces are present. Finally, considered only from the point of view of numerical analysis the idea of approximating a transformation which leaves invariant an appropriate function, functional, or differential form would appear to be worthy of further investigation.

A Brief Review of Transformation Theory

We restrict our attention to conservative, holonomic systems. That is to say there are no dissipative forces acting and that in a system of n position coordinates there are n degrees of freedom.

Let the dynamical system be specified by n position coordinates $(q_1, q_2, \cdots q_n)$, where the q_i depend implicitly upon time. The restriction to holonomic systems may be more precisely stated as there shall be no functions $a_i(q)$ not identically zero such that

$$\sum_{i} a_{i}(q) dq_{i} = 0.$$

This of course also precludes constraints of the form $f(q_1, \cdots q_n) = 0$ since this implies

$$\sum_{i} \frac{\partial f}{\partial q_{i}} dq_{i} = 0.$$

In such a system the kinetic energy T is a positive semi-definite quadratic form in the $\dot{q}_i = \frac{dq_i}{dt}$. It is homogeneous of degree 2 in the variables \dot{q}_i . That is:

(1)
$$T(q_1, \dots, q_n, s\dot{q}_1, \dots, s\dot{q}_n) = s^2T(q_1, \dots, \dot{q}_n, \dot{q}_1, \dots, \dot{q}_n)$$

The potential energy $V(q_1, \cdots q_n)$ is a function of the position coordinates alone. The Lagrangian is by definition:

(2)
$$L(q_1, \dots, q_n, \dot{q}_1, \dots, \dot{q}_n) = L(q_i, \dot{q}_i) = T-V$$

Hamilton's principle then says that the functional

(3)
$$A = \int_{t_0}^{t_1} L dt$$

shall be an extremal. That is, the first variation $\delta A = 0$. The necessary condition that (3) shall be extremal is that the Euler's equations:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial q_{i}} \right) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial q_{i}} = 0$$

shall hold. These n equations are the equations of motion of the system. In general, they are not immediately integrable. The object of transformation theory is to make a change of variable in a systematic way which will simplify the equations sufficiently so that a solution can be effected.

The first step is to put the equations into the Hamiltonian or canonical form. The generalized momenta are defined by:

$$p_{i} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial q_{i}} = \frac{\partial T}{\partial q_{i}}$$

In Hamiltonian theory the generalized momenta are treated as independent coordinates. They have the same status as the position coordinates. One now makes a change of variable which converts the Lagrangian, L, to a new function H, the Hamiltonian. Thus:

(6)
$$H = \sum_{i} p_{i} \dot{q}_{i} - L.$$

Computing the differential dH from the defining equation (6) one has

(7)
$$dH = \sum_{i} p_{i} d\dot{q}_{i} + \sum_{i} \dot{q}_{i} dp_{i}$$

$$- \sum_{i} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_{i}} d\dot{q}_{i} - \sum_{i} \frac{\partial L}{\partial q_{i}} dq_{i}$$

Substitution of the definition of p_i into (7) leads to:

(8)
$$dH = \sum_{i} \dot{q}_{i} dp_{i} - \sum_{i} \frac{\partial L}{\partial q_{i}} dq_{i}.$$

Expressing H now as a function of only $(q_1, \cdots q_n, p_1, \cdots p_n)$ and computing the differential dH by the chain rule in the usual manner

(9)
$$dH = \sum_{i} \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_{i}} dp_{i} + \sum_{i} \frac{\partial H}{\partial q_{i}} dq_{i}.$$

Since a differential is independent of the coordinate system in which it is computed (8) and (9) are equivalent so that:

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i} = q_i$$

$$\frac{\partial q}{\partial H} = -\frac{\partial q}{\partial L}$$

But from Euler's equation (4) we have

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial q_{i}} = \frac{d}{dt} \left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_{i}} \right] = \dot{p}_{i}.$$

Thus, the equations of motion in the Hamiltonian form are

$$\dot{q}_{i} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_{i}}$$

$$\dot{p}_{i} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial q_{i}}$$

In the case of a conservative system it is possible to give a physical interpretation of H so that equations (9) are something more than a mere notational simplification.

As noted before the kinetic energy, T, is a positive definite quadratic form in the velocities \dot{q}_i which is homogenious of degree 2. Hence, by Euler's theorem 1 :

(11)
$$2T = \sum_{i} \dot{q}_{i} \frac{\partial T}{\partial \dot{q}_{i}} = \sum_{i} p_{i} \dot{q}_{i} = H + L$$

^{1.} Taylor, A. E. Advanced Calculus, Ginn and Co., 1955, p 184

From which:

(12)
$$H = 2T - L = 2T - (T-V) = T + V$$
.

Thus, the Hamiltonian is the total energy of the system, and since the only systems under consideration are conservative, by definition the total energy, the Hamiltonian, must be a constant.

First Order Transformations

The canonical equations of motion (10) are immediately suggestive of an algorithm for their numerical solution. Thus, by approximating the derivative with the difference quotient equations (10) become:

$$\dot{q}_{i} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_{i}} \stackrel{\circ}{=} \frac{\Delta q_{i}}{\Delta t} = \frac{q_{i}(t_{k+1}) - q_{i}(t_{k})}{t_{k+1} - t_{k}}$$

(13)
$$\dot{p}_{i} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial q_{i}} \stackrel{2}{=} \frac{\Delta p_{i}}{\Delta t} = \frac{p_{i}(t_{k+1}) - p_{i}(t_{k})}{t_{k+1} - t_{k}}$$

which lead to:

$$q_{\mathbf{i}}(t_{k+1}) \stackrel{\sim}{=} q_{\mathbf{i}}(t_{k}) + \Delta t \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_{\mathbf{i}}} \bigg|_{t = t_{k}}$$

$$(14)$$

$$p_{\mathbf{i}}(t_{k+1}) \stackrel{\sim}{=} p_{\mathbf{i}}(t_{k}) - \Delta t \frac{\partial H}{\partial q_{\mathbf{i}}} \bigg|_{t = t_{k}}$$

where $t_{k+1} = t_k + \Delta t$. Equations (14) may be regarded as a change of variable, and the numerical solution as obtained by iteration of the change. However, in general, changing variables will change the form of the Hamiltonian, H, and computation will therefore be more complicated. It will now be shown that the particular transformation (14) leaves the Hamiltonian invariant to first order terms in Δt . In evaluating the variation of the Hamiltonian it is convenient to use matrix notation. From Taylor's formula with remainder for $H(q + \Delta q, p + \Delta p)$ one has:

to use matrix notation. From Taylor's formula with remainder for
$$H(q + \Delta q, p + \Delta p)$$
 one has:

(15) $H(q+\Delta q, p+\Delta p) = H(q,p) + \left[\frac{\partial H}{\partial q_1}, \cdots, \frac{\partial H}{\partial q_n}, \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_1}, \cdots, \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_n}\right] \begin{bmatrix} \Delta q_1 \\ \vdots \\ \Delta q_n \\ \Delta p_1 \\ \vdots \\ \Delta p_n \end{bmatrix}$

$$+\frac{1}{2}[\Delta q_{1}, \dots, \Delta q_{n}, \Delta p_{1}, \dots, \Delta p_{n}] \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial^{2}H}{\partial q_{1}^{2}} & \frac{\partial^{2}H}{\partial q_{1}\partial q_{2}} & \frac{\partial^{2}H}{\partial q_{1}\partial q_{n}} & \frac{\partial^{2}H}{\partial q_{1}\partial p_{1}} & \dots & \frac{\partial^{2}H}{\partial q_{1}\partial p_{n}} \\ \frac{\partial^{2}H}{\partial q_{2}\partial q_{1}} & \frac{\partial^{2}H}{\partial q_{2}^{2}} & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \frac{\partial^{2}H}{\partial p_{1}\partial q_{1}} & \dots & \frac{\partial^{2}H}{\partial p_{1}^{2}} & \dots & \frac{\partial^{2}H}{\partial p_{1}\partial p_{n}} \\ \vdots & & & & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial^{2}H}{\partial p_{n}\partial q_{1}} & \dots & \frac{\partial^{2}H}{\partial p_{n}^{2}} & \dots & \frac{\partial^{2}H}{\partial p_{n}^{2}} \\ \end{bmatrix}$$

where R is the remainder. Substitution of $\Delta p_i = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial q_i} \Delta t$, $\Delta q_i = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i} \Delta t$ into (26) shows after a trivial computation that the variation of the Hamiltonian under this transformation consists only of terms proportional to powers of Δt higher than the first, which is as desired.

Some very difficult problems have relatively simple Hamiltonians; viz. the three body problem. Thus, the algorithm will be computationally practical since the functional evaluation of the partials at = t_k will usually be quite simple. The problem of determining a transformation which leaves the Hamiltonian invariant to higher orders of Δt is considered below. The first order transformation just introduced which is not a really good approximation will be seen to play a key role in the derivation of a more accurate method.

Group Theoretic Aspects

Without giving a formal definition of an abstract algebraic group, we shall describe its properties in terms of the transformation (14). Thus, if p and q are such that:

(16)
$$H(q, p) = E$$

where E is the total energy, then (15) shows that for

$$\hat{q}_{i} = q_{i} + \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_{i}} \Delta t$$

and

$$\hat{p}_{i} = p_{i} - \frac{\partial H}{\partial q_{i}} \quad \Delta t$$

 $H(\tilde{q},\tilde{p})=E$ to within first order terms in Δt . Hence, the set of q_i , p_i such that (16) holds is closed under the transformation (14). Now, for simplicity, let $\Delta t=\tau$ and let T_{τ} be the transformation defined by (14). That is:

(17)
$$T_{\tau}: q_{i}(t_{k}) \rightarrow q_{i}(t_{k} + \tau) = \hat{q}_{i}$$

$$T_{\tau}$$
: $p_{i}(t_{k}) \rightarrow p_{i}(t_{k} + \tau) = \tilde{p}_{i}$

Since the effect of T_{τ} on p_{i} is obvious once its effect on q_{i} has been given we will only consider the q_{i} for the present. The product of two transformations $T_{\tau_{1}}$, $T_{\tau_{2}}$ is their composition. That is first apply $T_{\tau_{1}}$ and then apply $T_{\tau_{2}}$ to the result. Formally:

(18)
$$T_{\tau_2} T_{\tau_1} : q_i(t) = T_{\tau_2} : q_i(t+\tau_1) \rightarrow q_i(t+\tau_1+\tau_2)$$

BELLCOMM, INC.

Clearly,

(19)
$$T_{\tau_3}(T_{\tau_2}T_{\tau_1}) = (T_{\tau_3}T_{\tau_2}) T_{\tau_1}$$

If $\tau = 0$ one has

(20)
$$T_0: g_1(t) \rightarrow g_1(t+0) = g_1(t)$$

and T_{o} is the identity transformation, I. From (18) one has:

$$T_{-\tau}$$
 $T_{\tau} = T_{0}$

hence

(21)
$$T_{\tau}^{-1} = T_{-\tau}$$

or the inverse of T_{τ} is $T_{-\tau}$. Now the above holds true for the transformation given by (14) only when τ is very small so that the error resulting from the dropping of higher order terms is negligible. Thus for τ sufficiently small we may write:

$$p_{i}(t+\tau) = p_{i}(t) - \tau \frac{\partial H}{\partial q_{i}} \bigg|_{t} = T_{\tau}(p_{i})$$

$$q_{i}(t+\tau) = q_{i}(t) + \tau \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_{i}} \Big|_{t} = T_{\tau}(q_{i})$$

The group T_{τ} depends continuously upon the parameter τ and is a one parameter continuous group or Lie Group. The problem now is to derive a continuous one parameter group so that the group relations hold for finite τ instead of only for an infinitesimal.

Infinitesimal Transformations Associated with a Continuous One Parameter Group

Below we shall use the notational convention that q_i shall stand for the 2n variables p_i and q_i . Thus, a function f(q) shall be understood to be a function of 2n variables

$$f(q_1, q_2, \dots, q_n, p_1, \dots p_n).$$

Furthermore, the function f shall be understood to be analytic at the point q. This means that we have placed restrictions upon allowable q. However, these restrictions for the problems of interest will generally occur naturally such as, for instance the Kepler problem being restricted to orbits with non-zero radii. Thus, on the set of analytic functions one can define a tangent vector at the point $q = q^*$ as an operator of the form

$$X_{q*} = \sum_{i} \xi^{i}(q^{*}) \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{i}}$$

Cohen, P. M., <u>Lie Groups</u>, Cambridge University Press, 1961, p. 11 ff.

where since the functions $\xi^{\dot{1}}(q)$ are evaluated at point $q=q^*$ they are real constants. It is readily seen that for functions of one variable $y=f(q_1)$ one has as a tangent vector

$$X_{q}*f = \frac{\partial f}{\partial q_1} \Big|_{q_1=q}* = \frac{df}{dq_1} \Big|_{q_1=q}*$$

the usual definition. One now has the following definition3:

Definition: An <u>infinitesimal transformation</u> is a collection of tangent vectors $X_{q}*$ one at each allowable point $q = q^*$; i.e.

$$X = \sum_{i} \xi^{i}(q) \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{i}} .$$

These transformations are closely related to the first order transformation groups discussed above. Their relationship to these groups will be discussed in terms of a particular transformation arising in dynamics. The approach is admittedly heuristic and justified only by the desire for brevity since a full treatment would require the development of the interrelations between a Lie Group and its Lie Algebra.

Let us first consider the infinitestimal transformation associated with a first order transformation, remembering that

^{3.} Ibid p. 16.

we will neglect terms in Δq higher than the first. Thus, rewriting (15) and dropping the remainder term one has:

(22)
$$f(q+\Delta q) = f(q) + \sum_{i} \frac{\partial f}{\partial q_{i}} |_{q_{i}} \Delta q_{i}$$

But allowing q in (22) to vary is precisely an infinitesimal transformation as defined, since Δq_i as given by (14) is in general a function and may be considered to be the $\xi^k(q)$ of the definition and if we define X as $\sum_i \Delta q_i \frac{\partial}{\partial q_i}$ one may

write (22) (to first order terms) as

(23)
$$f(q+\Delta q) = (I + X) f$$

where I is the identity operator. Now supposing $f = q_i$. Thus,

(24)
$$q_{i}(q+\Delta q) = (I+X) q_{i} = q_{i} + \sum_{i} \Delta q_{i} \frac{\partial q_{i}}{\partial q_{i}} = q_{i} + \Delta q_{i}.$$

But for $\Delta q_i = \tau \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i}$ this is just the first order transformation group which we derived as an approximation to the equations of motion of a dynamical system. In regard to the function f in (23), if Xf = 0, then (24) is the transformation group which leaves f invariant to the first order.

Returning now to the 2n variables p_i , q_i , the infinitesimal transformations will be applied to the original problem. First (22) becomes:

(25)
$$f(q+\Delta q,p+\Delta p) = f(p,q) + \sum_{i} \Delta q_{i} \frac{\partial f}{\partial q_{i}} + \sum_{i} \Delta p_{i} \frac{\partial f}{\partial p_{i}} + R$$

From (14) one has (letting $t = \tau$) for Δq_i and Δp_i

$$\Delta q_i = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i} \tau$$

$$\Delta p_i = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial q_i} \tau$$

From which we have an infinitesimal transformation:

(26)
$$X_{H} = \sum_{i} \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_{i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{i}} - \frac{\partial H}{\partial q_{i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{i}}$$

Furthermore, it is obvious that X_H H = 0. Hence, for first order terms (15) may be written:

(27)
$$H(\hat{q}, \hat{p}) = (I + X_H) H(q,p) = H(q+\Delta q, p+\Delta p)$$

and the transformation group (14) becomes:

(28)
$$\hat{q}_{i} = (I + X_{H}) q_{i}$$

$$\hat{p}_{i} = (I + X_{H}) p_{i}$$
.

In the next section we will consider the problem of obtaining a transformation group which leaves the Hamiltonian invariant for finite τ rather than truly infinitesimal τ .

The Exponential Map

The development below is essentially due to $Cohn^4$. What is desired is to find the group T_{τ} mapping q(t) onto $q(t+\tau)$ which leaves the Hamiltonian invariant. Again, for the moment, let q_i stand for both q_i and p_i . The action of T_{τ} on q(t) can be written.

(29)
$$T_{\tau}(q(t)) = q(t+\tau)$$

Now the group T_{τ} not only depends continuously upon τ but is also a Lie Group. In the case under consideration here this means that there is an analytic function T such that

(30)
$$q_{i}(t+\tau) = T_{i}(q(t),\tau) = T_{i}(\tau)$$

^{4.} Ibid., p. 79 ff.

BELLCOMM, INC.

or as a vector $q(t+\tau) = T(q(t),\tau) = T(\tau)$. From the definition of the group T_{τ} one has that T_{0} is the identity, hence

$$q(t) = T(q,0) = T(0)$$

For f an analytic function of q it is desired to evaluate.

(31)
$$f(T(q,\tau)) = f(T(\tau))$$

Now by the chain rule:

(32)
$$f' = \frac{df}{d\tau} = \sum_{i} \frac{\partial f}{\partial q_{i}} \frac{\partial T_{i}}{\partial \tau} = X f$$

where X is the infinitesimal transformation corresponding to T_{τ} . It can be shown⁵ that given an X there corresponds to it a unique T_{τ} . Therefore since we already have an infinitesimal transformation X_H and a translation group T_{τ} which is valid for τ truly infinitesimal the problem is to extend the method for a finite τ .

Since f in (31) is analytic, Taylor's series may be applied in the following way. Let

$$f(T_1(q,\tau),\cdots,T_n(q,\tau)) = F(\tau)$$

^{5.} Ibid, Theorem 3.5.1 p. 70

re $F(\tau)$ is again analytic and hence expending about $\tau=0$ to has:

(33)
$$F(\tau) = F(0) + \tau F'(0) + \frac{\tau^2}{2!} F''(0) + \frac{\tau^3}{3!} F'''(0) + \cdots$$

By (32) one has for F'(0) = f'(T(q,0))

$$F'(0) = f'(T(q,0)) = Xf |_{\tau=0}$$

Thus, (33) becomes

(34)
$$F(\tau) = f(T(q,\tau)) = f(q) + \tau X f \Big|_{\tau=0} + \frac{\tau^2}{2!} X^2 f \Big|_{\tau=0} + \frac{\tau^3}{3!} X^3 f \Big|_{\tau=0} + \cdots$$

The series on the right of (34) may be taken as the definition of the exponential of the transformation X. (34) may therefore, be written concisely as

(35)
$$f(T(q,\tau)) = [exp(\tau X)f]_{\tau=0}$$

Remembering that $T(q,\tau) = q(t+\tau)$, T(q,0) = q(t) one has for (35)

(36)
$$f(T(q,\tau)) = f(q(t+\tau)) = exp(\tau X) f(q(t))$$

It is now possible to evaluate $T(q,\tau)$ and thus obtain $T_\tau.$ First one considers that q_1 itself is an analytic function of all the q_j . That is,

$$q_{i}(t) = q_{i}(q_{1}(t), \dots, q_{n}(t))$$

and

$$q_{1}(T(q,\tau)) = q_{1}(T_{1}(q,\tau), T_{2}(q,\tau), \dots, T_{n}(q,\tau)) = q_{1}(t+\tau).$$

Substitution of this q_i for f in (36) therefore leads to

(37)
$$q_{i}(t+\tau) = q_{i}(T(q,\tau)) = \exp(\tau X)q_{i}(q(t)) = \exp(tX)q_{i}(t)$$

which is the desired formula. An example is now in order. Consider the case of two variables \mathbf{q}_1 , \mathbf{q}_2 with

$$X = \xi_1(q) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial q_1} + \xi_2(q) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial q_2}$$

Then, including only 2nd order terms,

$$q_{1}(t+\tau) = [q_{1} + \tau(\xi_{1}(q) \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{1}} + \xi_{2}(q) \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{2}}) q_{1} + \frac{\tau^{2}}{2!}(\xi_{1}(q) \frac{\partial \xi_{1}}{\partial q_{1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{1}} + \xi_{2}(q) \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{2}}) q_{1} + \frac{\tau^{2}}{2!}(\xi_{1}(q) \frac{\partial \xi_{1}}{\partial q_{1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{1}} + \xi_{2}(q) \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial q_{2}} + \xi_{1}(q) \xi_{2}(q) \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial q_{2}} + \xi_{2}(q)$$

Thus:

$$q_1(t+\tau) = \left[q_1 + \tau \xi_1(q) + \frac{\tau^2}{2!} \left(\xi_1(q) \frac{\partial \xi_1}{\partial q_1} + \xi_2(q) \frac{\partial \xi_1}{\partial q_1}\right) + \cdots\right]$$

or

$$q_{1}(t+\tau) = [q_{1} + \tau \xi_{1}(q) + \frac{\tau^{2}}{2!} (X \xi_{1}) + \cdots]$$

$$q_{2}(t+\tau) = [q_{2} + \tau \xi_{2}(q) + \frac{\tau^{2}}{2!} (X \xi_{2}) + \cdots]$$

Specializing now (and returning to the p, q, notation) for the case X_H as given by (26) one has from (36) when f = H

$$H(q(t+\tau), p(t+\tau)) = H(\mathring{q}, \mathring{p}) = \exp(\tau X_{H}) H(q,p) = H(q(t),p(t))$$
 since $X_{H} H = 0$.

Suppose now one applies (36) twice in succession. That is one computes $T(q,\tau_1)$ and then extrapolates on this point by $T(q(t+\tau_1), \tau_2)$. Formally, one has for $q_i^* = q_i(t+\tau_1)$

(38)
$$q_{i}^{*}(t+\tau_{2}) = \exp(\tau_{2}X) q_{i}^{*} = \exp(\tau_{2}X)[\exp(\tau_{1}X)q_{i}] = q_{i}(t+\tau_{1}+\tau_{2})$$

Now X is an operator not a number, and the usual rules of manipulation for exponentials do not necessarily hold. That is to say (exp X) (exp Y) for X and Y operators does not in general equal exp(X+Y). However, in the case of interest above τ_1^X and τ_2^X commute; i.e. $\tau_2^{X(\tau_1^X f)} = \tau_1^{X(\tau_2^X f)}$. It can be shown that for commuting operators.

(39)
$$\exp(\tau_2 X) \exp(\tau_1 X) f = \exp(\tau_1 X) \exp(\tau_2 X) f = \exp(\tau_1 X + \tau_2 X) f$$
.

Now all the group properties given by equations (17) through (21) follow from (39) and well known properties of the exponential. For instance the inverse is obtained by setting $\tau_2 = -\tau_1$ in (39) leading to:

$$exp(-\tau_1X) exp(\tau_1X)f = exp(0)f = If = f.$$

As a more detailed example of the above let us consider the linear oscillator in one dimension; a simple spring and mass. For q the displacement of the mass, p its momentum, the Hamiltonian is

(40)
$$H = T+V = \frac{p^2}{2m} + \frac{kq^2}{2} = \frac{p^2}{2} + \frac{q^2}{2}$$
 for $m = k = 1$.

Obviously

$$X_{H} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p} \frac{\partial q}{\partial q} - \frac{\partial H}{\partial q} \frac{\partial p}{\partial p} = p \frac{\partial q}{\partial q} - q \frac{\partial p}{\partial p}$$

Our first order transformation is for $\Delta t = \tau$

$$p(t+\tau) = (I+X_H) p(t) = p(t) - \tau \frac{\partial H}{\partial q} \Big|_{p(t),q(t)} = p(t) - \tau q(t)$$

as before.

Now we wish to correct our procedure at least to the second order by applying (37). Thus, we wish

$$q(t+\tau) = T(q,p,\tau)$$

$$p(t+\tau) = T(q,p,\tau)$$

BELLCOMM, INC.

Now

$$\exp(tX_H) p(t) = [I + (p\frac{\partial}{\partial q} - q\frac{\partial}{\partial p}) + \frac{1}{2}\tau^2(p^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial q^2} - p\frac{\partial}{\partial p} - q\frac{\partial}{\partial q} + q^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial p^2})$$

+ ···]
$$p(t) = p(t) - \tau q(t) - \frac{\tau^2}{2} p(t) = p(t+\tau)$$

Similarly:

$$q(t+\tau) = q(t) + \tau p(t) - \frac{\tau^2}{2} q(t)$$

Substitution into (15) leads to the following upon neglecting terms higher than the second:

$$\Delta H = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial H}{\partial p}, & \frac{\partial H}{\partial q} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta p \\ \Delta q \end{bmatrix} + \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta p, \Delta q \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta p \\ \Delta q \end{bmatrix} + \cdots$$

Substitution of $\Delta p = -\tau q(t) - \frac{\tau^2}{2} p(t)$, $\Delta q = \tau p(t) - \frac{\tau^2}{2} q(t)$ into the above gives:

$$\begin{split} H &= \tau \left[-pq - \frac{\tau p^2}{2} + qp - \frac{\tau q^2}{2} \right] + \frac{\tau^2}{2} \left[q^2 + \tau pq + \frac{\tau^2}{4} p^2 + p^2 - \tau pq + \frac{\tau^2}{4} q^2 \right] \\ &+ \cdots \\ &= -\frac{\tau^2}{2} (p^2 + q^2) + \frac{\tau^2}{2} (p^2 + q^2) + \frac{\tau^4}{4} (p^2 + q^2) + \cdots \\ &= \frac{\tau^4}{4} (p^2 + q^2) + \cdots \end{split}$$

so that $\Delta H = 0$ to second order at least.

Conclusion

The above numerical technique is now being programmed to evaluate whether it is indeed as good in practice as the above theory would indicate. Results will be reported in a later memorandum. However, the technique does in theory offer the following advantages:

- a) Truncation error can be made as small as desired.

 This allows a programmer to trade off step size versus function evaluation to minimize running time.
- b) The method applies to a clearly defined reasonably large $\underline{\text{class}}$ of problems. Although the partial derivatives must be recomputed if the problem changes the truncation error is always a function of the highest power of X_H used-not the problem.
- c) Since the transformation used is a group it possesses an inverse. Hence, given the Hamiltonian and the terminal position and momenta, it is possible to work the problem backwards to obtain the initial conditions of the trajectory. This is of obvious utility in targetting problems. However, it must be remembered that this does not apply to powered trajectories or to trajectories in the atmosphere.

d) The concepts involved open up a new approach to the fundamental understanding of the geometry of dynamics problems themselves. This aspect will be explored in subsequent memoranda.

Acknowledgement

The author wishes to express his appreciation to Mr. M. J. Norris for many enlightening discussions and constructive criticisms.

1032-HAH-gdn

H. A. Helm