ELECTRON IMPACT BROADENING OF ISOLATED ION LINES* HANS R. GRIEM

University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland (Received...)

Several experiments¹⁻⁷ have yielded Stark widths of spectral lines from singly ionized atoms other than helium which exceed theoretically predicted values⁸ by factors from 2 to 10. This is in marked contrast to the satisfactory (~±20%) agreement with measured widths of lines from neutral atoms^{8,9} and to a similar agreement in case of singly ionized nitrogen¹⁰ and carbon¹¹. The purpose of this letter is to show that most of the reported discrepancies stem from two approximations, namely the neglect of collision-induced transitions between upper and lower levels of the line and of Coulomb interactions with the perturbed ions.

The shape $I(\omega)$ of a pressure-broadened line obeys, e.g., for radiation polarized in the z-direction, in the classical path approximation

$$I(\omega) = \frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Re} \operatorname{Tr} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-i\omega s} \left\{ Z(0)Z(s) \rho_{A} \right\}_{\text{average}}^{\text{ds}}, \qquad (1)$$

where Z(s) and ρ_A are the dipole moment and atomic density matrix operators. The trace is over states of the perturbed system and the average over perturber coordinates. Introducing the time-evolution operator U(s,0) and using the iterative solution of the Schrödinger equation accounting for the integrated effect

MF 1.00

N66 37317

(ACCESSION NUMBER)

(CODE (CODE)

of a perturber approaching the radiator at time s, the average can be written with $Z(0) \equiv Z$

$$\left\{ Z(0)Z(s)\rho_{A} \right\} = \left\{ Ze^{-1Hs/\hbar} \ U(s,0)Z \ U^{\dagger}(s,0)e^{+1Hs/\hbar}\rho_{A} \right\}$$

$$\approx Ze^{-1Hs/\hbar} \ Ze^{+1Hs/\hbar}\rho_{A} - \left(\frac{1}{\hbar}\right) \left\{ Z\left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} V'(t)dt \right] \right\}$$

$$\times e^{-1Hs/\hbar} Ze^{+1Hs/\hbar} - e^{-1Hs/\hbar} Ze^{+1Hs/\hbar} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} V'(t)dt \right] \rho_{A}$$

$$+ \left(\frac{1}{\hbar}\right)^{2} \left\{ Z\left[\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} V'(t)dt \int_{-\infty}^{t} V'(t')dt' e^{-1Hs/\hbar} Ze^{+1Hs/\hbar} \right] \right\}$$

$$+ e^{-1Hs/\hbar} Ze^{+1Hs/\hbar} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} V'(t)dt \int_{-\infty}^{t} V'(t')dt'$$

$$- \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} V'(t)dt e^{-1Hs/\hbar} Ze^{+1Hs/\hbar} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} V'(t)dt \right] \rho_{A} \right\} + \cdots$$

$$(2)$$

Here H is the Hamiltonian of the unperturbed system and $V' = e^{+iHs/\hbar} V e^{-iHs/\hbar}$ the interaction Hamiltonian. The zero-order term in the Dyson expansion produces no broadening and the average of the first order term vanishes. For monopole-dipole interactions and complete degeneracy (V' = V) the second order term can be evaluated exactly also for hyperbolic perturber paths, 12 yielding

$$\left\{ Z(0)Z(s)\rho_{A} \right\} \approx -2\pi Nvs \int \rho d\rho \frac{2}{3} \left(\frac{\hbar v}{e^{2}}\right)^{2} \left[1 + \left(\frac{m\rho v^{2}}{e^{2}}\right)^{2}\right]^{-1}$$

$$\times Z\left(\underbrace{R \cdot Re^{-iHs/\hbar} Ze^{+iHs/\hbar} + e^{-iHs/\hbar} Ze^{+iHs/\hbar}}_{-2 \underbrace{Re^{-iHs/\hbar} Ze^{+iHs/\hbar} \cdot R}_{-2 \underbrace{Re^{-iHs/\hbar$$

with N, v, and ρ being electron density, velocity and impact parameter and R the perturbed electron coordinate vector in atomic units.

The ρ -integral diverges at large ρ , but here $V'\approx V$ is invalid. Since re-instating V' would lead to a rapid decrease in the integrand for perihelion distances ρ' larger than $v'/\Delta\omega'$ (where v' is the velocity at ρ' and $\Delta\omega'$ the angular frequency separation between the levels involved), the integral must be cut off at

$$\rho_{\max} = \rho_{\max}^{\dagger} \frac{\mathbf{v}^{\dagger}}{\mathbf{v}} = \frac{\mathbf{v}}{\Delta \mathbf{w}^{\dagger}} \left(\frac{\mathbf{v}^{\dagger}}{\mathbf{v}} \right)^{2} , \qquad (4)$$

i.e., at a distance larger by a factor

$$\left(\frac{\mathbf{v'}}{\mathbf{v}}\right)^2 = 1 + 2\left(\frac{e^2}{m\rho_{\text{max}}\mathbf{v}^2}\right)^2 + \frac{2e^2}{m\rho_{\text{max}}\mathbf{v}^2}\left[1 + \left(\frac{e^2}{m\rho_{\text{max}}\mathbf{v}^2}\right)^2\right]^{1/2}$$
 (5)

than for a straight classical path. At small ρ no divergence occurs and the integral could be extended down to $\rho=0$ (or to $\rho\approx\hbar/mv$ to account for the breakdown of the classical path approximation). However, for close collisions fourth and higher order terms in the Dyson series are no longer negligible. To include them in a gross way the integrand in Eq. (3), which represents the change of $Z(0)Z(s)\rho_A$ due to one collision, should not be allowed to exceed this quantity, and a minimum impact parameter may be defined through

$$\frac{2}{3} \left(\frac{\hbar_{V}}{e^{2}}\right)^{2} \left[1 + \left(\frac{m\rho_{\min}v^{2}}{e^{2}}\right)^{2}\right]^{-1} Z(\Re e^{-iHs/\hbar}Ze^{+iHs/\hbar} + \cdots)\rho_{A}$$

$$= Ze^{-iHs/\hbar}Ze^{+iHs/\hbar}\rho_{A}. \tag{6}$$

Below this impact parameter the integrand must then be replaced by the right-hand side of Eq. (6). In this manner follows from Eq. (3)

$$\left\{ Z(0)Z(s)\rho_{A} \right\} \approx -\pi NvsZ \left[\frac{2}{3} \left(\frac{\hbar}{mv} \right)^{2} \left(\underbrace{R \cdot Re^{-1Hs/\hbar}}_{Ze^{+1Hs/\hbar} + \cdots} \right) \right]$$

$$\times \left(1 + \ln \frac{1 + (m\rho_{max}v^{2/e^{2}})^{2}}{1 + (m\rho_{min}v^{2/e^{2}})^{2}} \right) - \left(\frac{e^{2}}{mv^{2}} \right)^{2} \left(e^{-1Hs/\hbar} Ze^{+1Hs/\hbar} \right) \rho_{A}.$$

$$(7)$$

Substitution into Eq. (1) and inserting in addition to upper state i and lower state f intermediate states i' \neq f and f' \neq i results in the asymptotic line shape as function of the frequency separation $\Delta \omega$ from the line center

$$I(\Delta\omega) \sim \frac{2N}{3v} \left| \frac{\hbar \langle \mathbf{i} | \mathbf{Z} | \mathbf{f} \rangle}{m\Delta\omega} \right|^{2} \left\{ \left[\langle \mathbf{f} | \mathbf{R} | \mathbf{i} \rangle \cdot \langle \mathbf{i} | \mathbf{R} | \mathbf{f} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{f} | \mathbf{R} | \mathbf{f} \rangle \cdot \langle \mathbf{f} | \mathbf{R} | \mathbf{f} \rangle \right] + \left\langle \mathbf{i} | \mathbf{Z} | \mathbf{f} \rangle \right] \left(\langle \mathbf{i} | \mathbf{R} | \mathbf{i} \rangle \cdot \langle \mathbf{i} | \mathbf{R} | \mathbf{f} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{i} | \mathbf{R} | \mathbf{f} \rangle \cdot \langle \mathbf{f} | \mathbf{R} | \mathbf{f} \rangle \right) + \left\langle \mathbf{i} | \mathbf{R} | \mathbf{f} \rangle \cdot \langle \mathbf{f} | \mathbf{R} | \mathbf{i} \rangle \right] + \left\langle \mathbf{i} | \mathbf{R} | \mathbf{i} \rangle \cdot \langle \mathbf{i} | \mathbf{R} | \mathbf{i} \rangle \right] \left[1 + \ln \frac{1 + (m\rho_{\text{max}} \mathbf{v}^{2}/e^{2})^{2}}{1 + (m\rho_{\text{min}} \mathbf{v}^{2}/e^{2})^{2}} \right] - \frac{3(e^{2})^{2}}{2(\hbar \mathbf{v})^{2}} \right\}.$$
(8)

Here it was assumed that initially only the upper state of the line is populated, i.e., $\langle 1|\rho_A|1\rangle=1$ was taken as the only non-vanishing matrix element of ρ_A , and the fact was utilized that significant contributions only arise when the $e^{-iHs/\hbar}$ and $e^{+iHs/\hbar}$ matrix elements correspond to the lower and upper states, respectively. (Also, $\langle 1|R|1\rangle=0$ for isolated lines.)

The first of the two terms involving the ratio of Z-matrix elements reduces to $\langle i'|\underline{R}|i\rangle \cdot \langle i|\underline{R}|i'\rangle$, while the second ought to be well estimated by $\langle f'|\underline{R}|f\rangle \cdot \langle f|\underline{R}|f'\rangle$, unless profiles of emission and absorption coefficients were different.

If one now defines a damping constant w through $I(\Delta\omega) \sim |\langle i | Z | f \rangle^2 w/\pi \Delta\omega^2, \text{ then this quantity which for a dispersion}$ profile equals its (half) half width, becomes in terms of radial integrals $R_{n_{\alpha}, l_{\alpha}}^{n_{\beta}, l_{\beta}}$ of central field wave functions

$$w \approx \frac{2\pi}{3v} \left(\frac{\hbar}{m}\right)^{2} N\left\{\frac{3}{2} \left(\frac{e^{2}}{\hbar v}\right)^{2} (X-1) + \left[\frac{4 \ell_{1,f}^{2}}{4 \ell_{1,f}^{2}-1} \left(R_{n_{1}\ell_{1}}^{n_{f}\ell_{f}}\right)^{2}\right] + \sum_{i' \neq f} \frac{\ell_{1',i}}{2\ell_{1',i}^{2}+1} \left(R_{n_{1}\ell_{1}}^{n_{1'}\ell_{1'}}\right)^{2} + \sum_{f' \neq i} \frac{\ell_{f',f}}{2\ell_{f',f}^{2}+1} \left(R_{n_{f}\ell_{f}}^{n_{f'}\ell_{f'}}\right)^{2}\right] \ln \frac{1+Y_{ifi}^{2}}{X}$$

$$+ \sum_{i' \neq f} \frac{\ell_{1,i'}}{2\ell_{1,i'}^{2}+1} \left(R_{n_{1}\ell_{1}}^{n_{1}\ell_{1'}}\right)^{2} \ln \frac{1+Y_{1i'}^{2}}{X} + \sum_{f' \neq i} \frac{\ell_{f,f'}}{2\ell_{f,f}^{2}+1} \left(R_{n_{f}\ell_{f}}^{n_{f'}\ell_{f'}}\right)^{2} \ln \frac{1+Y_{ff'f}^{2}}{X}$$

$$+ \sum_{i' \neq f} \frac{\ell_{1,i'}}{2\ell_{1,i'}^{2}+1} \left(R_{n_{1}\ell_{1}}^{n_{1}\ell_{1'}}\right)^{2} \ln \frac{1+Y_{1i'}^{2}}{X} + \sum_{f' \neq i} \frac{\ell_{f,f'}}{2\ell_{f,f}^{2}+1} \left(R_{n_{f}\ell_{f}}^{n_{f'}\ell_{f'}}\right)^{2} \ln \frac{1+Y_{ff'f}^{2}}{X}$$

Here X and $Y_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ are

$$X = \frac{2}{3} \left(\frac{\hbar v}{e^{2}}\right)^{2} \left[\frac{\frac{4 \ell_{1,f}^{2}}{4 \ell_{1,f}^{2}}}{\frac{4 \ell_{1,f}^{2}}{4 \ell_{1,f}^{2}}} \left(R_{n_{1} \ell_{1}}^{n_{f} \ell_{f}}\right)^{2} + \sum_{i' \neq f} \frac{\frac{4 \ell_{1,i'}^{2}}{4 \ell_{1,i'}^{2}}}{\frac{4 \ell_{1,i'}^{2}}{4 \ell_{1,i'}^{2}}} \left(R_{n_{1} \ell_{1}}^{n_{1} \ell_{1}}\right)^{2} + \sum_{i' \neq f} \frac{\frac{4 \ell_{1,f'}^{2}}{4 \ell_{1,f'}^{2}}}{\frac{4 \ell_{1,f'}^{2}}{4 \ell_{1,f'}^{2}}} \left(R_{n_{f} \ell_{f}}^{n_{f'} \ell_{f'}}\right)^{2}\right],$$

$$Y_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = \frac{m\rho_{\max}^{\alpha\beta\gamma} v^{2}}{2},$$
(10)

with $\rho_{\max}^{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ to be estimated from Eq. (4) using for $\Delta\omega$ ' the maximum splitting between the levels involved in the R matrix elements. (To further ensure symmetry between emission and absorption, $Y_{i'if}$ and $Y_{i'f'f}$ had to be assumed equal to each other and therefore practically equal to $Y_{fif} = Y_{ifi}$, because normally

levels 1 and f will be further apart than 1 and 1', etc.) Also, $l_{1,f}$, e.g., stands for the larger of the two orbital quantum numbers l_{1} and l_{f} and + or - signs are to be used depending on whether, e.g., l_{1} , - l_{1} = +1 or l_{1} , - l_{1} = -1. The n_{1} , etc., are effective quantum numbers, and the radial integrals may according to Bates and Damgaard l_{1} be estimated from

$$R_{n_{\alpha} \boldsymbol{l}_{\alpha}}^{n_{\beta} \boldsymbol{l}_{\beta}} = \frac{3}{4} n_{\alpha, \beta} (n_{\alpha, \beta}^2 - \boldsymbol{l}_{\alpha, \beta}^2)^{1/2} \varphi, \qquad (12)$$

where ϕ is a correction factor tabulated for s-p, p-d, and d-f transitions.

Effective quantum numbers and angular frequency splittings must be determined from experimental energy values. Errors due to the central field model are minimized by using for the states i' and f' energies, if possible, of levels having the same parent and spin whose total angular momentum quantum numbers differ from those of states i and f by the same amounts as the single electron orbital quantum numbers. The quantities $Y_{\alpha\beta\alpha}$ can, according to Eq.s (4), (5), and (11), be obtained from

$$Y_{\alpha\beta\alpha} = \frac{mv^3}{e^2 \Delta \omega_{\alpha\beta}} \left[1 + \frac{2}{Y_{\alpha\beta\alpha}^2} + \frac{2}{Y_{\alpha\beta\alpha}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{Y_{\alpha\beta\alpha}^2} \right)^{1/2} \right], \quad (13)$$

and instead of actually averaging over electron velocities it should suffice to use the mean velocity $\bar{v} = (8kT/\pi m)^{1/2}$.

Widths of lines of singly ionized carbon, nitrogen, sulfur, argon and calcium for which measured data are available were calculated as described above, including up to 3 levels 14 i' and f' interacting with upper states and lower states, respectively. For most of these levels the logarithmic terms in Eq. (9) turn out to be slightly negative, which indicates that the approximation V' & V is valid only marginally. Experience with straight classical path calculations indicates that the strong collision contributions are virtually unchanged even if $\omega_{\alpha\beta} \leq v'/\rho'$, while the weak collision contributions then become very small. To allow for this, the logarithms were dropped when they became negative. Most of the broadening is accordingly through strong collisions as was first suggested by Murakawa 3 and Yamamoto 4. Their effects are reduced, however, by a factor $1 - \frac{1}{Y}$ from the straight classical path hydrogenic result and increased by collision-induced transitions between upper and lower states of the lines. These transitions are made much more frequent by the Coulomb acceleration of the perturbing electrons.

Comparison of measured and calculated widths (referred to $N=10^{17} {\rm cm}^{-3}$) in Table 1 demonstrates that the agreement between measured and calculated values is now in almost all cases well within a factor of 2. Averaged over all lines and plasma conditions, the ratio of calculated and measured widths is 1.1, against an average ratio of 0.5 if previous straight classical path calculations neglecting lower state broadening are compared

with measured widths. The ratios for CII and NII are now almost uniformly high, which might be due to a systematic error in the electron density determination. Without these data, new and old ratios would come to about 0.9 and 0.25, respectively, and of the remaining 29 measurements all but 2 yield ratios between 0.6 and 1.2. In any event, average ratios of calculated and measured widths are now close to 1, which should encourage further classical path calculations of the electron impact broadening of isolated ion lines accounting for Coulomb effects and upper and lower state broadening through monopole-dipole interactions. Possible refinements are then detailed calculations of the velocity average, removal of the approximation V' ≈ V (i.e., replacement of the cutoff at large impact parameters by an actual calculation), and inclusion of higher multipole interactions, if necessary. It is unlikely that the combined effects of these refinements would exceed ~ 20% for the lines considered here. Uncertainties from the approximate treatment of the strong collision term are certainly of the same order and significant improvement of the theoretical accuracy therefore will only be possible if the Dyson series is carried to higher orders. Finally it remains to be shown (either theoretically or experimentally) that the profiles indeed have dispersion shapes throughout.

Table I - Comparison of calculated (w_{th}) and measured (w_{ex}) half widths for an electron density of N = $10^{17} \rm cm^{-3}$ at the electron temperatures in the various experiments $(kT = 0.95^6, 1.0^4, 1.1^1, 1.25, 1.55^2, 1.65^{10}, 2.6^{11}, 2.7^7 \rm eV)$.

a) Multiplet	w _{th} [1]	w _{ex} [A] ^{b)}	Multiplet	w _{th} [Å]	w _{ex} [Å] ^{b)}
CII 4	0.30	0.36(11)	AII 6	0.13	0.15(1)
CII 7	1.2	0.75(11)	AII 6(2)	0.13	0.14(5)
CII 8	1.4	1.05(11)	AII 6(2)	0.13	0.19(2)
NII 4	0.16	0.08(10)	AII 6(2)	0.13	0.21(7)
NII 5	0.14	0.07(10)	AII 7(2)	0.14	0.13(5)
NII 18(2)	0.16	0.13(10)	AII 7	0.15	0.17(2)
NII 29	0.25	0.10(10)	AII 7	0.12	0.17(7)
NII 30	0.20	0.29(10)	AII 10	0.12	0.11(2)
NII 40	1.1	0.69(10)	AII 14	0.19	0.24(5)
NII 58(2)	0.41	0.22(10)	AII 14	0.16	0.17(7)
NII 59	1.4	0.80(10)	AII 31	0.19	0.14(5)
SII 1	0.18	0.28(6)	AII 109	0.22	0.30(7)
SII 6(4)	0.23	0.29(6)	CaII 1(2)	0.07	0.06(4)
SII 7	0.25	0.38(6)	CaII l	0.07	0.06(11)
SII 9(2)	0.16	0.50(6)			
SII 11	0.30	0.26(6)			
SII 38	0.22	0.35(6)			

- a) Number of measured lines or different conditions in parentheses.
- b) References for experimental values in parentheses.

REFERENCES

- 1) C. H. Popenoe and J. B. Shumaker, Jr., J. Res. Natl. Bur. Std. 69A,495 (1965).
- N. W. Jalufka, G. K. Oertel and G. S. Ofelt, Phys. Rev. Letters 16, 1073 (1966).
- 3) K. Murakawa, Phys. Rev. <u>146</u>, 135 (1966).
- 4) M. Yamamoto, Phys. Rev. 146, 137 (1966).
- W. R. Powell, Dissertation, Johns Hopkins University 1966 (unpublished).
- J. M. Bridges, Dissertation, University of Maryland 1966 (unpublished); J. M. Bridges and W. L. Wiese (to be published)
- 7) D. E. Roberts, Dissertation, Imperial College 1966 (unpublished).
- 8) H. R. Griem, "Plasma Spectroscopy" (McGraw-Hill Book Company, Inc., New York 1964).
- 9) W. L. Wiese, in "Plasma Diagnostic Techniques", edited by R. H. Huddlestone and S. L. Leonard (Academic Press, Inc., New York 1966) Chap. 6.
- 10) R. A. Day and H. R. Griem, Phys. Rev. 140, A1129 (1965).
- 11) J. R. Roberts (private communication).
- 12) H. R. Griem and K. Y. Shen, Phys. Rev. 122, 1490 (1961).
- D. R. Bates and A. Damgaard, Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. London A 242, 101(1949).
- The term values were taken from C. E. Moore, "Atomic Energy Levels", Natl. Bur. Std. Circ. 467, Vol. I (1958), except for AII where a more recent analysis was used [L. Minnhagen, Arkiv Fysik 25, 203 (1964)].
 - *) Jointly supported by National Science Foundation, National Aeronautics and Space Administration and U. S. Office of Naval Research.