

DEC 28 1965 *Roman*

*F-24219*

National Aeronautics and Space Administration  
Goddard Space Flight Center  
Contract No. NAS-5-3760

*7110*

ST - LPS - 10431

GPO PRICE \$ \_\_\_\_\_

CFSTI PRICE(S) \$ \_\_\_\_\_

Hard copy (HC) 1.00

Microfiche (MF) .50

# 653 July 65

ON THE INAPPLICABILITY OF THE BALDWIN CORRELATION  
FOR THE DETERMINATION OF THE CAUSES OF  
EMERGENCE OF LUNAR CRATERS

by

G. S. Shteinberg

(USSR)

FACILITY FORM 802	N66 39994	
	(ACCESSION NUMBER)	(THRU)
	6	
	(PAGES)	(CORE)
CR-79089		30
(NASA CR OR TMX OR AD NUMBER)	(CATEGORY)	

22 D E C E M B E R 1965

ON THE INAPPLICABILITY OF THE BALDWIN CORRELATION FOR  
THE DETERMINATION OF THE CAUSES OF EMERGENCE OF LUNAR CRATERS \*

Doklady A. N. SSSR,  
Astronomiya  
Tom 165, No. 1, 55 - 57,  
Izd-vo "NAUKA," 1965

by G. S. Shteinberg

N66-3999f

S U M M A R Y

Comparing the results of research by other authors with some observations of volcanic craters after aerial photographs taken in eastern volcanic belt, the present author points to the necessity of detailed morphological analysis of the latest data on lunar objects, comparing them with meteoritic and volcanic forms, since any alternate approach to causes having conditioned the formation of lunar relief does not allow a satisfactory explanation of all the facts observed. The author states that the "single-eventness" in the formation of meteoritic forms, and the duration and phase multiplicity of volcanic activity, must unconditionally, find reflection in the morphology of craters.

*Author*

Among the important conclusions in favor the meteoritic hypotheses of lunar crater formation stand the correlations established by Baldwin [1]. This author has shown, indeed, that for bomb and missile-produced funnels for meteorite, terrestrial and lunar craters the ratio of funnel diameter to respectively the bottom depth wall height and explosion energy, these correlations constitute a unique sequence. The Baldwin conclusions were accepted by partisans of the meteoritic hypothesis [2 - 5], despite the fact that they point only to the explosive character of crater formation [6].

Calderae are the greatest volcanic objects morphologically resembling lunar craters. Depending upon the formation mechanism, these can be subdivided as follows: a) cave-in calderae (Glenco type); b) explosion calderae (Krakatau type) [7]. For calderae whose formation is attended by eruption of enormous amounts of pumice and ignimbrites, most of the authors assume the explosive genesis.

The question of volcanic (calderic) genesis of lunar craters was examined more than once [8, 9]. On the basis of gravimetric works, I. Yokoyama estimated the ener-

---

\* O NEPRIMENIMOSTI SOOTNOSHENIYA BOLDUNA DLYA OPREDELENIYA PRICHIN VOZNIKNOVENIYA LUNNYKH KRATEROV

gy by the displacement of volcanic material at eruptions having led to caldera formation and established the empirical dependence of the explosion energy on caldera dimensions [10]. We plotted in Fig. 1 A the combined graph of energy dependence on the diameter, drawn from data brought out in Baldwin and Yokoyama works, respectively [1] and [10]. As may be seen from this graph, calderae hit the curve, established for missile, meteoritic, nuclear, and lunar craters. The same conclusion is found to be valid for the dependence, linking the depth of funnels (craters, calderae) with their diameter (Fig. 1 B). The results obtained are quite regular, for the deformation of terrestrial (lunar) surface as a result of near-surface explosion depend only on the medium's properties and explosion energy; they are not dependent on the type of explosion whether it be chemical, nuclear, meteoritic, or volcanic. Thus, from the fact that lunar craters satisfy the correlations established for explosion craters, one cannot conclude upon their meteoritic origin, since these correlations are also valid for volcanic objects. Other criteria are thus required in order to refer craters to either the meteoritic or volcanic category.

The dependences established by Baldwin bear a statistical character; for lunar and terrestrial craters, the deflections from strict regularity are sufficiently noticeable. The opinion of B. Yu. Levin [5] that this discrepancy is "incomparably greater" (for the ratio of depth to diameter) is not corroborated by calculations. B. Yu. Levin estimates that claderae constitute "cave-in formations at volcano summits," that is, he considers only an insignificant group of the so-called summit calderae, while calderae proper are vast depressions with diameters from 20 to 25 km; during the latest years annular volcanic depressions were detected of which the diameters were from 30 to 50 km [11]. Secondly, the depth of calderae, taken down from topographic maps, is underrated, for calderae (and particularly the summit type) are filled with deposits of subsequent volcanic activity. For the cases when the true depth of a caldera is determined by geographical methods [12, 13], the discrepancy is less than for lunar objects. In Fig. 1 B, the interval of depths  $d$  was taken from the minimum ones, taken down from the map (!) to the maximum ones determined by geophysical methods, that is, the separated region is widened along the abscissa axis. However, even in this case, the discrepancy for calderae does not practically differ from that for lunar craters.

When making aerial photographs in the eastern volcanic belt, we identified, aside from calderae, a series of volcanic formations morphologically similar to lunar craters and satisfying the Baldwin correlations. Among these, we should mention maar Valentina (Fig. 2 A), maar in the Uzon caldera, maar Galya on the southern shore of the Krotovskiy lake (Fig. 2 B), the Shtyubel' crater in Ksudach caldera, the chain of craters on the southern slopes of the caldera of the Krashennikov volcano and others [14]. The number of such examples may be extended. They will be considered at further length in a subsequent work.

Analysis of the available data shows that an alternate statement of the problem of causes, conditioning the formation of lunar surface relief, does not allow a satisfactory explanation of all the observed facts. At the present time, when detailed large-scale photographs of lunar surface have been obtained, it would be necessary to pass to a detailed morphological analysis of lunar objects and their comparison with meteoritic and volcanic forms with the view of working out subdivision criteria. The "one-eventness" in the formation of meteoritic forms and the duration, and "multiphaseness" of

volcanic activity unquestionably find reflection in the morphology of craters.

I wish to express my deep gratitude to Professor P. P. Sharonov and A. V. Khabakov for the useful remarks and their assistance in carrying out the work.

\* \* \* T H E   E N D \* \* \*

Institute of Volcanology of the  
Siberian Division of the USSR  
Academy of Sciences


Received on 20 April 1965

Contract No. NAS-5-3760  
Consultants & Designers, Inc.  
3240 Wilson Boulevard  
Arlington, Virginia

Translated by ANDRE L. BRICHANT  
on 23 December 1965


#### R E F E R E N C E S

1. R. B. BALDWIN. The Face of the Moon, Univ. Chicago, 1949.
2. H. C. UREY. Sky and Telescope, 15, No. 3, 1956.
3. SPENCER JONES. Proc. Roy. Inst. Gr. Brit., 35, No. 3, 1953.
4. J. SADIL. Mesic, Praha, 1953.
5. B. YU. LEVIN, E. L. RUSKOL. Vopr. kosmogonii, v. 8, 1962.
6. K. P. STANYUKOVICH. Sborn. Luna, M., 1960.
7. V. I. VLODAVETS. Tr. Moskovsk. obl. ped. inst., 9, v. 4, 1947.
8. A. V. KHABAKOV. Ob osnovnykh voprosakh razvitiya poverkhnosti Luny, M., 1949.
9. B. J. ESCHER. Bull Volcanol., Ser. II, 8, 1949.
10. I. YOKOYAMA. J. Faculty Science, Hokkaido, Univ. Ser. VII, Geophys., 1957.
11. E. A. HEALY. New Zealand, J. Geol. and Geophys. 7, 1, 1964.
12. I. YOKOYAMA. Intern. Symp. Volcanol., Japank 1962.
13. I. YOKOYAMA. Nature, 191, 4792, 1961.
14. G. S. SHTEYNBERG. Byull. vulkanol. stantsiy, No. 37, 1964.



A

Dependence of explosion energy on crater diameter; a - meteoritic craters; b - nuclear craters; c - calderae



B

Dependence of crater diameter in depth (Baldwin); a - meteoritic craters, b - caldera region

Fig. 1



A

Maar Valentina in the Karymsk volcano region



B

Maar Galya on the south shore of Kronotsk Lake

Fig. 2

Do Notyfilm

DISTRIBUTION

<u>GODDARD SPACE FLIGHT CENTER</u>	<u>NASA HEADQUARTERS</u>	<u>OTHER CENTERS</u>
100 CLARK, TOWNSEND	SS NEWELL, NAUGLE	<u>AMES</u>
110 STROUD	SG MITCHELL	LIBRARY (3)
400 BOURDEAU	SCHARDT	SONETT (5)
610 MEREDITH	ROMAN	
611 MC DONALD	SMITH	<u>LANGLEY</u>
ABRAHAM	DUBIN	160 ADAMSON
BOLDT	SL LIDDEL	235 SEATON
VKB	BRUNK	BABCOCK III
612 HEPPNER	BRYSON	213 KATZOFF
NESS	FELLOWS	303 ROBERTS
613 KUPPERIAN	HIPSHER	242 GARRICK
614 WHITE	HOROWITZ	185 WEATHERWAX (2)
615 BAUER	SM FOSTER	
GOLDBERG	ALLENBY	<u>J P L</u>
STONE	GILL	NEWBURN
WHIPPLE	BADGLEY	SPEED
640 HESS (3)	RR KURZWEG	CONEL
O'KEFFE	RTR NEILL	KOVACH
641 CAMERON	ATSS SCHWIND	LOOMIS
LOWMAN	ROBBINS	WYCKOFF
252 LIBRARY	WX SWEET	
256 FREAS		<u>U C L A</u>
630 GI for SS (5)		COLEMAN
		<u>U. C. at BERKELEY</u>
		WILCOX
		<u>U. of ARIZONA</u>
		KUIPER
		<u>U. of MICHIGAN</u>
		HADDOCK
		<u>N. O.</u>
		LIBRARY
		<u>S. W. C. A. S.</u>
		JOHNSON
		<u>W. P. A. F. B.</u>
		TDBX-T

*Gilgaf SM*

1-24219

National Aeronautics and Space Administration  
Goddard Space Flight Center  
Contract No. NAS-5-3760

ST - LPS - 10431

ON THE INAPPLICABILITY OF THE BALDWIN CORRELATION  
FOR THE DETERMINATION OF THE CAUSES OF  
EMERGENCE OF LUNAR CRATERS

by

G. S. Shteinberg

(USSR)

---

22 D E C E M B E R 1965

---

ON THE INAPPLICABILITY OF THE BALDWIN CORRELATION FOR  
THE DETERMINATION OF THE CAUSES OF EMERGENCE OF LUNAR CRATERS \*

Doklady A. N. SSSR,  
Astronomiya  
Tom 165, No. 1, 55 - 57,  
Izd-vo "NAUKA," 1965

by G. S. Shteinberg

S U M M A R Y

Comparing the results of research by other authors with some observations of volcanic craters after aerial photographs taken in eastern volcanic belt, the present author points to the necessity of detailed morphological analysis of the latest data on lunar objects, comparing them with meteoritic and volcanic forms, since any alternate approach to causes having conditioned the formation of lunar relief does not allow a satisfactory explanation of all the facts observed. The author states that the "single-eventness" in the formation of meteoritic forms, and the duration and phase multiplicity of volcanic activity, must unconditionally, find reflection in the morphology of craters.

---

Among the important conclusions in favor the meteoritic hypotheses of lunar crater formation stand the correlations established by Baldwin [1]. This author has shown, indeed, that for bomb and missile-produced funnels for meteorite, terrestrial and lunar craters the ratio of funnel diameter to respectively the bottom depth wall height and explosion energy, these correlations constitute a unique sequence. The Baldwin conclusions were accepted by partisans of the meteoritic hypothesis [2 - 5], despite the fact that they point only to the explosive character of crater formation [6].

Calderae are the greatest volcanic objects morphologically resembling lunar craters. Depending upon the formation mechanism, these can be subdivided as follows: a) cave-in calderae (Glenco type); b) explosion calderae (Krakatau type) [7]. For calderae whose formation is attended by eruption of enormous amounts of pumice and ignimbrites, most of the authors assume the explosive genesis.

The question of volcanic (calderic) genesis of lunar craters was examined more than once [8, 9]. On the basis of gravimetric works, I. Yokoyama estimated the ener-

---

\* O NEPRIMENIMOSTI SOOTNOSHENIYA BOLDUNA DLYA OPREDELENIYA PRICHIN VOZNIKNOVENIYA LUNNYKH KRATEROV



gy by the displacement of volcanic material at eruptions having led to caldera formation and established the empirical dependence of the explosion energy on caldera dimensions [10]. We plotted in Fig. 1 A the combined graph of energy dependence on the diameter, drawn from data brought out in Baldwin and Yokoyama works, respectively [1] and [10]. As may be seen from this graph, calderae hit the curve, established for missile, meteoritic, nuclear, and lunar craters. The same conclusion is found to be valid for the dependence, linking the depth of funnels (craters, calderae) with their diameter (Fig. 1 B). The results obtained are quite regular, for the deformation of terrestrial (lunar) surface as a result of near-surface explosion depend only on the medium's properties and explosion energy; they are not dependent on the type of explosion whether it be chemical, nuclear, meteoritic, or volcanic. Thus, from the fact that lunar craters satisfy the correlations established for explosion craters, one cannot conclude upon their meteoritic origin, since these correlations are also valid for volcanic objects. Other criteria are thus required in order to refer craters to either the meteoritic or volcanic category.

The dependences established by Baldwin bear a statistical character; for lunar and terrestrial craters, the deflections from strict regularity are sufficiently noticeable. The opinion of B. Yu. Levin [5] that this discrepancy is "incomparably greater" (for the ratio of depth to diameter) is not corroborated by calculations. B. Yu. Levin estimates that claderae constitute "cave-in formations at volcano summits," that is, he considers only an insignificant group of the so-called summit calderae, while calderae proper are vast depressions with diameters from 20 to 25 km; during the latest years annular volcanic depressions were detected of which the diameters were from 30 to 50 km [11]. Secondly, the depth of calderae, taken down from topographic maps, is underrated, for calderae (and particularly the summit type) are filled with deposits of subsequent volcanic activity. For the cases when the true depth of a caldera is determined by geographical methods [12, 13], the discrepancy is less than for lunar objects. In Fig. 1 B, the interval of depths  $d$  was taken from the minimum ones, taken down from the map (!) to the maximum ones determined by geophysical methods, that is, the separated region is widened along the abscissa axis. However, even in this case, the discrepancy for calderae does not practically differ from that for lunar craters.

When making aerial photographs in the eastern volcanic belt, we identified, aside from calderae, a series of volcanic formations morphologically similar to lunar craters and satisfying the Baldwin correlations. Among these, we should mention maar Valentina (Fig. 2 A), maar in the Uzon caldera, maar Galya on the southern shore of the Kronotskiy lake (Fig. 2 B), the Shtyubel' crater in Ksudach caldera, the chain of craters on the southern slopes of the caldera of the Krashennikov volcano and others [14]. The number of such examples may be extended. They will be considered at further length in a subsequent work.

Analysis of the available data shows that an alternate statement of the problem of causes, conditioning the formation of lunar surface relief, does not allow a satisfactory explanation of all the observed facts. At the present time, when detailed large-scale photographs of lunar surface have been obtained, it would be necessary to pass to a detailed morphological analysis of lunar objects and their comparison with meteoritic and volcanic forms with the view of working out subdivision criteria. The "one-eventness" in the formation of meteoritic forms and the duration, and "multiphaseness" of

volcanic activity unquestionably find reflection in the morphology of craters.

I wish to express my deep gratitude to Professor P. P. Sharonov and A. V. Khabakov for the useful remarks and their assistance in carrying out the work.

\* \* \* T H E   E N D \* \* \*

Institute of Volcanology of the  
Siberian Division of the USSR  
Academy of Sciences

Received on 20 April 1965

Contract No. NAS-5-3760  
Consultants & Designers, Inc.  
3240 Wilson Boulevard  
Arlington, Virginia

Translated by ANDRE L. BRICHANT  
on 23 December 1965

#### R E F E R E N C E S

1. R. B. BALDWIN. The Face of the Moon, Univ. Chicago, 1949.
2. H. C. UREY. Sky and Telescope, 15, No. 3, 1956.
3. SPENCER JONES. Proc. Roy. Inst. Gr. Brit., 35, No. 3, 1953.
4. J. SADIL. Mesic, Praha, 1953.
5. B. YU. LEVIN, E. L. RUSKOL. Vopr. kosmogonii, v. 8, 1962.
6. K. P. STANYUKOVICH. Sborn. Luna, M., 1960.
7. V. I. VLODAVETS. Tr. Moskovsk. obl. ped. inst., 9, v. 4, 1947.
8. A. V. KHABAKOV. Ob osnovnykh voprosakh razvitiya poverkhnosti Luny, M., 1949.
9. B. J. ESCHER. Bull Volcanol., Ser. II, 8, 1949.
10. I. YOKOYAMA. J. Faculty Science, Hokkaido, Univ. Ser. VII, Geophys., 1957.
11. E. A. HEALY. New Zealand, J. Geol. and Geophys. 7, 1, 1964.
12. I. YOKOYAMA. Intern. Symp. Volcanol., Japank 1962.
13. I. YOKOYAMA. Nature, 191, 4792, 1961.
14. G. S. SHTEYNBERG. Byull. vulkanol. stantsiy, No. 37, 1964.




Fig. 1

A  
 Dependence of explosion energy on crater diameter; a - meteoritic craters; b - nuclear craters; c - calderae

B  
 Dependence of crater diameter in depth (Baldwin); a - meteoritic craters, b - caldera region



Fig. 2

A  
 Maar Valentina in the Karymsk volcano region

B  
 Maar Galya on the south shore of Kronotsk Lake

D I S T R I B U T I O N

<u>GODDARD SPACE FLIGHT CENTER</u>	<u>NASA HEADQUARTERS</u>	<u>OTHER CENTERS</u>
100 CLARK, TOWNSEND	SS NEWELL, NAUGLE	<u>AMES</u>
110 STROUD	SG MITCHELL	LIBRARY (3)
400 BOURDEAU	SCHARDT	SONETT (5)
610 MEREDITH	ROMAN	
611 MC DONALD	SMITH	<u>LANGLEY</u>
ABRAHAM	DUBIN	160 ADAMSON
BOLDT	SL LIDDEL	235 SEATON
VKB	BRUNK	BABCOCK III
612 HEPPNER	BRYSON	213 KATZOFF
NESS	FELLOWS	303 ROBERTS
613 KUPPERIAN	HIPSHER	242 GARRICK
614 WHITE	HOROWITZ	185 WEATHERWAX (2)
615 BAUER	SM FOSTER	
GOLDBERG	ALLENBY	<u>J P L</u>
STONE	GILL	NEWBURN
WHIPPLE	BADGLEY	SPEED
640 HESS (3)	RR KURZWEG	CONEL
O'KEFFE	RTR NEILL	KOVACH
641 CAMERON	ATSS SCHWIND	LOOMIS
LOWMAN	ROBBINS	WYCKOFF
252 LIBRARY	WX SWEET	
256 FREAS		<u>U C L A</u>
630 GI for SS (5)		COLEMAN
		<u>U. C. at BERKELEY</u>
		WILCOX
		<u>U. of ARIZONA</u>
		KUIPER
		<u>U. of MICHIGAN</u>
		HADDOCK
		<u>N. O.</u>
		LIBRARY
		<u>S. W. C. A. S.</u>
		JOHNSON
		<u>W. P. A. F. B.</u>
		TDBX-T