ų

(PAGES) CR 20/20 (NASA CR OR TMX OR AD NUMBER)

CONCERNING METRIZATION AND SEPARATION IN NORMAL, SEPARABLE MOORE SPACES D. Reginald Traylor

(CODE)

(CATEGORY

C

brought to you by T CORE

Recently, [3] E. E. Grace and R. W. Heath raised a question which is stated below as Conjecture A.

Conjecture A: Suppose that S is a connected, normal Moore space such that S contains no cut points and it is true that if each of P and Q is a point of S and R is a region containing P then some separable, closed, connected subset N of R separates P from Q in S. Then S is separable.

The purpose of this note is to answer Conjecture A in the negative, provided there exists a normal, separable, nonmetrizable Moore space. It follows that, should Conjecture A be found true, it thus would remove the condition of the continuum hypothesis from Jones' result ([7], Theorem 5), that each normal, separable Moore space is metrizable, provided  $2^{\sqrt{c}} < 2^{\sqrt{c}}$ .

For definitions and results related to the question of metrization of normal Moore spaces, refer to ([1], [2], [3], [4], [5], [6], [7], [8], [9], [10]).

The following lemmas prove helpful in describing the construction of a space which denies Conjecture A. There is much reliance on the methods which were employed in ([2], Theorem 1), ([9], Theorem 3 and Theorem 7), and ([10], Theorem 4). No proof of Lemma 1 is included here, as it only states formally a property of  $E^3$ .

Lemma 1. There exist, in  $E^3$ , a countably infinite discrete point set K



and a collection G of mutually exclusive arcs such that

1

- i) if each of x and y is a point of K some arc in G has x as one end point and y as the other,
- ii) each arc in G has its end points in K, and
- iii) if g is an arc in G, then g contains no limit point of G\*-g.

Lemma 2. If there exists a normal, separable, nonmetrizable Moore space  $(S, \Omega)$  then there exists one, say  $(S', \Omega')$ , such that S' is a subset of  $E^3$  and  $(S', \Omega')$  is locally compact.

Proof. Denote by  $(S,\Omega)$  a normal, separable, nonmetrizable Moore space. There exists [7, Lemma C] an uncountable subset N of S with no limit point and a countable dense subset L of S - N. If  $S^{O} = L + N$ , let  $(S^{O}, \Omega^{O})$  denote the subspace of  $(S,\Omega)$  induced by the relative topology.

If x is a point of N, denote by  $P_{x,1}, P_{x,2}, \dots$  a sequence of points of L which converges, in the  $\Omega^{0}$  sense, sequentially to x. In [2, Theorem 2] it is established that there exists a space  $(S_1, \Omega_1)$  with the following properties:

- i)  $S_1 = S^0$ ,
- ii)  $\Omega_1$  is the topology induced by the following definition of region: The point set R is a region if and only if either
  - (a) for some point P of L, R is the degenerate set whose only point is P, or
  - (b) for some point x of N and some integer K, R is the set to which p belongs if and only if P = x or P = P x,j for some j ≥ k, and

 iii) (S<sub>1</sub>,Ω<sub>1</sub>) is normal, separable, locally compact, nonmetrizable, and no region has boundary.

If  $G_n^1$  denotes the collection to which the region R belongs if and only if R is a degenerate region, or, for some point x of N and some positive integer  $i \ge n$ ,  $R = x + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} P_{x,j}$  then  $\{G_n^1\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  gives a development for  $(S_1, \Omega_1)$ .

Denote by K the subset of  $E^3$  and by G the collection of arcs described in Lemma 1. There exists a reversible transformation T from K onto L. Let G' denote the subcollection of G to which the arc [a,b] belongs if and only if there exist a point x of N, points y and z of K, and a positive integer i such that  $T(y) = P_{x,i}$ ,  $T(z) = P_{x,i+1}$ , and a = y, b = z, or a = z, b = y. Denote by M an uncountable subset of  $E^3$  such that  $\overline{M} = \overline{N}$ , and M is a subset of  $E^3 - (K + \overline{G'^*})$ . It is no restriction to assume that T has been extended such that T is a reversible transformation from M + Kto N + L with T(M) = N and T(K) = L.

Let S' = M + K and consider the space  $(S', \Omega')$  where  $\Omega'$  is the topology induced by the following definition of region: The statement that the point set R is a region of  $G'_n$  means that there exists a region g of  $G'_n$ such that T(g) = R. Clearly,  $(S', \Omega')$  is topologically equivalent to  $(S_1, \Omega_1)$  and thus satisfies the lemma.

Now let  $S_2 = S' + G'*$  and consider the space  $(S_2, \Omega_2)$  where  $\Omega_2$  is the topology induced by the following definition of region: The statement that

the point set R is a region of  $G_n^2$  means that either

- i) there exists a region g of  ${\tt G}_n^{\,\prime}$  such that P belongs to R if and only if either
  - (a) P is a point of g, or
  - (b) there exists an arc [a,b] of G which has both end points in g and P is a point of [a,b], or
  - (c) there exists an arc [a,b] of G such that a is in g, b is not in g and P is some point of that component of [a,b]which contains a and (in  $E^3$ ) each of whose points is less than 1/n from a, or
  - (d) there exists an arc [a,b] of G such that g contains b but not a and P is some point of that component of [a,b] which contains b and (in E<sup>3</sup>) each of whose points is less than 1/n from b, or
- ii) there exists an arc [a,b] of G which contains a subsegment g whose length (in  $E^3$ ) is less than 1/n and R = g.

It follows, as in [9, Theorem 3], that  $(S_2, \Omega_2)$  with the development  $\{G_n^2\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a normal, separable, arcwise connected, locally connected, nonmetrizable space. The following lemma is thus established.

Lemma 3. If there exists a normal, separable, nonmetrizable Moore space then there exists one, say  $(S_2, \Omega_2)$ , such that  $S_2$  is a subset of  $E^3$  and  $(S_2, \Omega_2)$  is normal, separable, arcwise connected, locally connected and nonmetrizable.

Lemma 4. If there exists a normal, separable, nonmetrizable Moore space

 $(S,\Omega)$  and N is a discrete uncountable subset of S then there exists a normal, separable, arcwise connected, locally connected, nonmetrizable Moore space  $(S_2,\Omega_2)$  which is embedded in a normal, arcwise connected, locally connected, nonmetrizable Moore space  $(S_3,\Omega_3)$  which contains a collection H of mutually exclusive domains such that  $\overline{H} = \overline{N}$ .

Proof. Consider  $(S_2, \Omega_2)$  of Lemma 3. There exists a subset M of  $S_2$  which is discrete and uncountable. Denote by Q a point of  $E^3$  and by H a collection of mutually exclusive horizontal line segments of  $E^3$  such that  $\overline{(H^* + Q)}$ does not intersect  $S_2$  in  $E^3$  and  $\overline{\overline{H}} = \overline{\overline{M}}$ . There exists a reversible transformation T from H onto M.

Let  $S_3 = S_2 + H^* + Q$  and consider the space  $(S_3, \Omega_3)$  where  $\Omega_3$  is the topology induced by the following definition of region: The statement that the point set R is a region of  $G_n^3$  means that either

- i) there is a region g of  $G_n^2$  such that g does not intersect M and R = g, or
- ii) there is a region g of  $G_n^2$  which contains a point x of M such that the point P belongs to R if and only if P is a point of g or, if (a,b) is the element of H such that T[(a,b)] = x, then P is a point of (a,b) less than 1/n (in  $E^3$ ) from a, or
- iii) there exists a segment (a,b) of H and a subsegment (c,d) of (a,b) such that the length of (c,d), in  $E^3$ , is less than 1/nand R = (c,d), or
- iv) R is the set to which P belongs if and only if P = Q or there exists a segment (a,b) of H such that P is a point of (a,b)

which is less than 1/n from b (in  $E^3$ ).

Å

Clearly,  $(S_3, \Omega_3)$ , with the development  $\{G_n^3\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ , satisfies the lemma. Lemma 5. If there exists a Moore space  $(S,\Omega)$  satisfying the hypothesis of Lemma 4 then there exists a Moore space  $(S_3, \Omega_3)$  satisfying the conclusion of Lemma 4 and, in addition, is embedded in a normal, connected, locally connected, arcwise connected Moore space  $(S_4, \Omega_4)$  such that if each of P and Q is a point of  $S_3$  and R is a region in  $(S_4, \Omega_4)$  then there is a closed, connected, separable subset N of R which separates P from Q in  $(S_4, \Omega_4)$ .

Proof. Consider the space  $(S_3, \Omega_3)$  of Lemma 4. If W is a set such that  $\overline{W} = \overline{S}_3$  and W does not intersect  $S_3$  and for each positive integer n,  $C_n$  denotes a circle with radius 1/n such that no  $C_n$  intersects  $S_3$  or W, then for each element w of W, let  $C_{w,n} = w \times C_n$ . There is a reversible transformation T from W onto  $S_3$ . If T(w) = P, then with each point P of  $S_3$  there is associated an infinite sequence of circles  $C_{w,1}, C_{w,2}, \cdots$ . For each i and each point P of  $S_3$ , let  $C_{w,i} = C_i^p$ .

Remark: In the space  $(S_2, \Omega_2)$  each point of K is an end point of some arc of G'. The set K is embedded in  $(S_3, \Omega_3)$ . Suppose that each of x and y is a point of K and [x,y] is that arc of G' having end points x and y. There exist, in [x,y], two subsets:  $A = \sum A_{x,y,1}$  and  $B = \sum B_{x,y,1}$  where  $A_{x,y,1}, A_{x,y,2}, \cdots$  converges sequentially and monotonically to x and  $B_{x,y,1}, B_{x,y,2}, \cdots$  converges sequentially and monotonically to y. If  $C_1^x$ is a circle, associated under T with x, and  $K_x$  is that subset of K

consist of those points each of which is an end point of an arc having the other end point x, there is a homeomorphic image of  $C_i^x$ , in  $E^3$ , which contains  $A_{x,y,i}$  in its boundary, for each y in  $K_x$ . For simplicity and notational purposes, it is assumed here that  $C_i^x$  has that property itself. Thus, in the following treatment, if x is in  $S_2$ , each  $C_i^x$  contains points of  $S_3$  as described above.

Let  $\Omega_4$  denote the topology induced by the following definition of region: The statement that the point set R is a region of  $G_n^4$  means that either

- i) there is a point P of S<sub>3</sub> and a positive integer i such that  $i \ge n$  and P belongs to a connected open (in the subspace  $C_i^P$ of  $E^3$ ) subset of  $(C_i^P - S_3 \cdot C_i^P)$  which has length (in  $E^3$ ) less than 1/i, or
- ii) there exist points x and y of K, an arc [x,y] of G having x and y as end points, a positive integer i and a point  $A_{x,y,i}$ such that P belongs to R if and only if either
  - (a)  $P = A_{x,y,i}$ , or
  - (b) P is a point of an open connected subset of [x,y] which contains A x,y,i and is of length less than 1/n, or
  - (c) P is a point of an open connected subset of  $C_i^x$  which contains  $A_{x,y,i}$  and is of length less than 1/n, or
  - (d) there exists a point  $A_{x,y,j}$  or Bx,y,j which belongs to the open connected set satisfying (b) such that P is a point of an open connected subset of some  $C_j^y$  which contains  $A_{x,y,j}$  or Bx,y,j and is of length less than 1/n, or

- (e) replace  $A_{x,y,i}$  by  $B_{x,y,i}$  in ii), or
- iii) there exists a region g of  $G_n^3$  such that P belongs to R if and only if either
  - (a) P is a point of g, or
  - (b) there exist a point x of g and a positive integer  $1 \ge n$ such that P is a point of  $C_i^x$ , or
  - (c) there is a point x of  $S_3$  such that for some j,  $C_j^x$  intersects g at only one point, say y, and P is a point of an open connected subset of  $C_j^x$  which contains y and has length less than 1/n.

It follows that  $(S_4, \Omega_4)$  is a Moore space with development  $\{G_n^4\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ . That it has the properties described in the lemma follows as in [2, Theorem 1] and from the property that if P is a point of  $S_3$  and R is a region of  $(S_4, \Omega_4)$  then there exists a closed, connected, separable subset N of R (in particular, some  $C_1^p$ ) such that  $S_4 - N = H + U$  where H and U are mutually separated, H is a subset of R and  $S_4 - R$  is a subset of U.

Lemma 6. Suppose that  $(S_4, \Omega_4)$  is a Moore space satisfying Lemma 5. Then for each positive integer  $n \geq 4$ , there exists a normal, arcwise connected, locally connected, nonmetrizable Moore space  $(S_{n+1}, \Omega_{n+1})$  such that  $(S_n, \Omega_n)$ is embedded in  $(S_{n+1}, \Omega_{n+1})$ , no point of  $S_{n+1}$  is a limit point of  $S_n$  in  $(S_{n+1}, \Omega_{n+1})$ , and it is true that if each of P and x is a point of  $S_n$  and R is a region in  $(S_{n+1}, \Omega_{n+1})$  containing P then there exists a closed, connected, separable subset N of R which separates P from x in  $(S_{n+1}, \Omega_{n+1})$ .

Proof. The construction only need by indicated. Consider  $(S_4, \Omega_4)$  of Lemma

5. Each point of  $S_4 - S_3$  is a point of some  $C_j^x$  for some point x of  $S_3$ and some positive integer j. Indeed, no point of  $S_4 - S_3$  is a limit point of any subset of  $S_3$  in  $(S_4, \Omega_4)$ . Using the constructive device of Lemma 5. there may be associated with each point P of  $S_4 - S_3$  a sequence  $C_1^P, C_2^P, \ldots$ of homeomorphic images of circles such that  $C_1^P$  intersects a connected subset of  $C_j^x$ .  $(S_4 - S_3)$  in two and only two points.

Definition of  $(S_5, \Omega_5)$ : The statement that P is a point of S means that P is a point of S<sub>4</sub> or P is a point of some  $C_1^y$  for some point y in S<sub>4</sub>-S<sub>3</sub> and some positive integer i. The statement that the point set R is a region in  $G_n^5$  means that there exists a region g in  $G_n^4$  such that the point z belongs to R if and only if either

(a) there exist a point x of  $S_4 - S_3$  and a positive integer j and a connected subset C of  $C_j^x - C_j^x \cdot S_4$  which has length less than 1/n and z is a point of C, or

\*

- ii) there exists a point x of  $(S_4 S_3)$ .g and a positive integer i > n such that z is a point of  $C_i^x$ , or
- iii) there exists a point x of  $S_4 S_3$  which is not in g but such that, for some positive integer j,  $C_j^x$  intersects g (this intersection consists of only one point) and z is a point of a connected subset of  $C_j^x$  which contains  $C_j^x$ .g and has length less than 1/n.

Using an argument similar to that of the preceding lemma, it follows that  $(S_5, \Omega_5)$  meets the conditions of the lemma.

Indeed, it is readily seen that  $(S_5, \Omega_5)$  may be embedded in a space  $(S_6, \Omega_6)$ in a similar fashion, meeting the conditions of the lemma. The lemma follows from a formal induction which only repeats the above described construction.

If Conjecture A is true then each normal, separable Moore space Theorem. is metrizable.

Assume there exists a normal, separable, nonmetrizable Moore space Proof. and consider the sequence  $(S_1, \Omega_1)$ ,  $(S_2, \Omega_2)$ ,... given by the preceding Let  $S = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} S_i$  and consider the space  $(S, \Omega)$  where  $\Omega$  is the to lemmas. pology induced by the following definition of region: The statement that the point set R of  $G_n$  is a region means there exist a positive integer k and a sequence  $R_k, R_{k+1}, R_{k+2}, \ldots$  such that:

- i) for each i,  $R_{k+1}$  is a region of  $G_n^{k+1}$  in  $(S_{k+1}, \Omega_{k+1})$ ,
- ii)  $\mathbf{R}_{k+i+1} \cdot \mathbf{S}_{k+i} = \mathbf{R}_{k+i}$  for each i,
- iii)  $R_{k+i}$  does not intersect  $S_{k+i-1}$ , and iv)  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} R_i = R.$

1

Using an argument quite similar to that employed in [2, Theorem 1] or [10, Theorem 4], it follows that  $(S,\Omega)$  is a normal, nonmetrizable, connected, arcwise connected Moore space. That  $(S,\Omega)$  is not separable follows from the construction of  $(S_3, \Omega_3)$ . Indeed, each  $(S_n, \Omega_n)$  contains uncountably many mutually exclusive domains if  $n \ge 3$ . The construction of the space  $(S,\Omega)$  was such that if each of P and x is a point and R is a region containing P then there exists a closed, separable, connected set (a topological copy of some circle in the construction) which separates P from x. This would deny the conjecture and the theorem is proved.

- R. H. Bing, "Metrization of topological spaces", <u>Canad. J. Math.</u>,
  3 (1951), 175-186.
- B. Fitzpatrick and D. R. Traylor, "Two theorems on metrizability of Moore spaces", Pacific J. Math., to appear.
- E. E. Grace and R. W. Heath, "Separability and metrizability in pointwise paracompact Moore spaces", <u>Duke Math. J.</u>, 31, (1964), 603-610.
- R. W. Heath, "A non-pointwise paracompact Moore space with a pointcountable base", to appear.
- 5. \_\_\_\_\_, "Screenability, pointwise paracompactness and metrization of Moore spaces", Canad. J. Math, 16 (1964), 763-770.
- G. \_\_\_\_\_, "Separability and <sup>√</sup><sub>1</sub>-compactness <u>Coll. Math.</u>, 12, (1964), 11-14.
- F. B. Jones, "Concerning normal and completely normal spaces", <u>Bull.</u> <u>Amer. Math. Soc.</u>, 43 (1937), 671-677.
- R. L. Moore, <u>Foundations of Point Set Theorey</u>, Amer. Math Soc. Coll. Publ. 13, Revised Edition, (Providence, 1962).
- D. R. Traylor, "Normal, separable Moore spaces and normal Moore spaces", Duke Math. J., 30 (1963), 485-493.
- 10. \_\_\_\_\_, "Metrizability in normal Moore spaces", <u>Pacific J. Math.</u>, to appear.
- 11. J. N. Younglove, "Concerning metric subspaces of non-metric spaces", <u>Fund. Math.</u> 48 (1959), 15-25.

University of Houston

3

Houston, Texas

Foot note to appear at the bottom of the first page.

. . .

ألجر

\*This research was partially supported, by NASA Grant NGR 44-005-010.