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## NOMENCLATURE

| $\mathrm{a}^{*}, \overline{\mathrm{a}}$ * | $=$ | sonic velocity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | $=$ | nozzle cross-sectional area |
| m, $\overline{\mathrm{m}}$ | $=$ | mass flow |
| $\mathrm{P}, \overrightarrow{\mathrm{P}}$ | $=$ | pressure |
| r | $=$ | transformed coordinate, $\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{y}$ * |
| R | $=$ | nozzle throat wall radius of curvature normalized with respect to nozzle throat half-height; gas constant |
| T | $=$ | temperature |
| $\mathrm{u}, \overline{\mathrm{u}}$ | $=$ | velocity in x-direction normalized with respect to sonic velocity |
| v, $\overline{\mathrm{v}}$ | $=$ | velocity in $y$-direction normalized with respect to sonic velocity |
| x | $=$ | axial distance from nozzle throat plane |
| $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ | $=$ | fraction of mass flow in outer zone of a two-zone expansion |
| y | $=$ | nozzle half-height |
| y* | = | nozzle throat half-height |
| 2 | $=$ | transiormed coordinate, $x / \mathrm{R}^{1 / 2} \mathrm{y}^{*}$. |
| $\gamma, \bar{\gamma}$ | $=$ | ratio of specific heats |
| $\varepsilon$ | $=$ | nozzle contraction ratzo |
| $\rho, \bar{\rho}$ | $=$ | density |
| $\sigma$ | $*$ | 1. for circular arc throats, 0 for parabolic throats, -R for hyperbolic throats |
| $\omega$ | $=$ | 1 for axisymmetric nezzle, 0 for planar nozzle |

Superscript

* $=$ sonic condition; throat condition

Subscripts
c $\quad=\quad$ chamber condition

- $=$ stagnation condition
$s \quad=\quad$ at the streamline dividing the two.flow zones
$i \quad=\quad$ throat condition
w at at wall of a nozzle


## 1. INTRODUCTION

This report contains the results of a study of uniform two-zone perfect gas expansions in convergent-divergent nozzles. This study was performed by TRW Systems Group for NASA (MSC) under contract NAS 9-4358: Improvement of Analytical Predictions of Delivered Specific Impulse.

The objective or this contract was to develop a family of four computer programs to calculate inviscid, one-dimensional and axisymmetric nonequilibrium nozzle flow fields accounting for the nonequilibrium effects of finite rate chemical reactions between gaseous combustion products and velocity and tnermal lags between gaseous and condensed combustion products.

The four programs developed under this contract are:

- A one-dimensional program which calculates the equilibrium; frozen and kinetic performance of propellant systems having gaseous exhaust products containing the elements carbon,

- A one-dimensional program reich calculates the equifibrium, frozen and kinetic performonce of systems having gaseous and condensed exhaust producis containing the elements cax;in. hydrogen, oxygen, nitrocen, fluorine, chlorine and one na, 81 element, either aluminum. beryilium, boron or lithium.
- An axisymmetric program . calculates the kinetic pa formance of propellant gustens having gasecus exhaust $\mathrm{F}:$ : : ats containing the elements ca-bon, hydrogen, oxygen, rit:....., fluorine and chlorine. On vorion, this program cons: $: ;$ either the expansion of a utits,ra mixture (the ideal. . ne case) or of a two-zoned mixture ithe film cooled $2 . \quad \therefore$ case).
- An axisymmetric program which calcuidias cne beiri. : : jerformance of propellant systems having gasgovg a\% condensed exhaust products containing the elements carbor. mydrogen, oxygen, nitrogen, fluorine, chlorine and one metsi element, either aluminum, beryllium, boron or lithium. This program considers only the expansion of a uniform mixture (the ideal engine case).

These programs differ in a number of ways from previous programs developed to calculate nonequilibrium nozzle expansions.

In particular:

- ine proorams are completely self-contained, requiring specification of only the propellant system (elemental compcsition and heat of formation), relaxation rates and nozzle geometry to $r u$ a case.
- The chemical species considered by the $\bar{p}$-ograms have been selected to allow accurate equilibrium, frozen and kinetic performance analyses of cryogenic, space storable, p:epackaged, hybrid and solid propellant systems of current and projected operational use.
- All dissociation-recombination and binary exchav: ieactions between the gaseous species present in the exhaust aie conside ed by the programs allowir, complete kinetic expansion calculations.
- The programs utilize TRW Systems' implicit integration method which allows rapid integration of the chemical and sas-particle relaxation equations from equilibrium chamber conditions. Typical run times are three minutes for the ons-ainensional programs and ten minutes for the axisymmetric programs un an IBM 7094 Mod II computer.
- The programs allow analysis of the performance loss associated with film con ing in propellant systems having all gaseous exhaust products.
- The programs allow simulcaneuus consideration of both chemical and gas-particle relaxation losses in propellart. systems having condensed exhaust products.
© The one-dimensiona! programs allow equilibrium, frozen and kinetic performance calculations to te performed during a single machine run.
o The prograws are writter in machine independent language (FORTRAN IV), allowing tieir use on all standard computer-

The study described in this report was performed to determine the appropriate transonic initial conditions for the two axisymmetric characteristic programs developed under NASA (MSC) contract NAS 9-4358. Since the study resulted in a new. method of analyzing both uniform and two-zone convergent-divergent ruzzle flows and revualed the nature and interrelationship of previous nozzle analyses, the results of this study are believed to be of sufficient general interest to merit publication as a separate contract report. The results of thes study are prescnted in the following sections without refsence to their use in the axisymetric programs.

## 2. UNIFORM EXIANSIONS

The equa=ions governiag the inviscic isentropic expansion of a perfect ges through a conversent-divergent nozzle are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(i-u^{2}-\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+!} v^{2}\right) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}+\left(1-\vartheta^{2}-\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1} u^{2}\right) \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}+\left[1-\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1}\left(u^{2}+v^{2}\right)\right] \frac{\omega v}{y} \\
& \quad-\frac{4}{\gamma+1} u v \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}=0  \tag{2-1}\\
& \frac{3 v}{\partial x}-\frac{\hat{\partial} u}{\partial y}=0 \tag{2-2}
\end{align*}
$$

where the velocities have been normalized with respect to the throat sonic velocity and - equals $O$ or 1 dspending on whether the nozzle is planar or axisymmetric. In seeking solutions of the above equations, it is desirable to choose a set of son-dimensional coordinates such that the various velocity derivatives are independent of the nozzle scale. For large values of the normalized throat wall radius of curvature, ti.e fluw velocities asymptotically approach those obtained froa the one-dinensional channis flow equations. It can be shown from the channel flow equations (see appencix A) that for choked flows

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=1+\sqrt{\frac{\omega+1}{y+1} \frac{I}{R}} \frac{x}{y^{*}}+\ldots \tag{2-3}
\end{equation*}
$$

at tire nozzle thioat, where $x$ is the distance from the throat plane, $y *$ is the throat ialf $h_{1} \in i g h t$, and $R$ is the normalized throat wail radius of curvature. Examination of this equetion reveals $t^{2}$ tat the axial nozzle coordin $x$ must be normalized with respect to the distance $\sqrt{R} y^{*}$ in order for tife dimensionless axial velocity gradient to remain of order one at the nozzle throat independent of the nozzle scale. Since the nozzle scale perpendicular to the nozzle axis is set by the throat half height $y^{*}$, it is apparent that the perpendjcular coordinate $y$ shculd be normalized with respect to the distance $y *$. Thus, solutions of the above equations should be sought in terms of the normalized coordinates

$$
\begin{align*}
& z=\sqrt{\frac{1}{R}} \frac{x}{y^{*}}  \tag{2-4}\\
& r=\frac{y}{y^{*}} \tag{2-5}
\end{align*}
$$

rather than in tine $x, y$ coordinate system for large values of the normalized throat wal.1 radius of curvature.

The aoove oxial coordinate choice differs from Hall's (i) by a factor $\frac{\overline{\mu+1}}{\gamma+1} R$, since the axial coordirate used by Hall is

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{H}=\sqrt{\frac{\omega+1}{\gamma+1} R} \frac{x}{y^{*}} \tag{2-6}
\end{equation*}
$$

As will be shown later, the above choice results in the present solution being unifformly valid for all (subsonic, transonic and supersonic) nozzle flow regimes, ralile Hall's choice limits the validity of his solution to the transonic throat region.

In the $r, z$ coordinate system, the above equations become

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sqrt{\frac{1}{R}}\left(1-u^{2}-\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1} v^{2}\right) \frac{\partial u}{\partial z}+\left(1-v^{2}-\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1} u^{?}\right) \frac{\partial v}{\partial r}+\left[1-\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1}\left(u^{2}+v^{2}\right)\right] \frac{\omega r}{r} \\
& \quad-\frac{4}{\gamma+1} u v \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}=0  \tag{2-7}\\
& \sqrt{\frac{1}{R}} \frac{\partial v}{\partial z}-\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}=0 \tag{2-8}
\end{align*}
$$

The boundary conditions are

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(0, z)=0 \tag{2-9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{v}\left(r_{W^{2}} z\right)}{\mathrm{u}\left(r_{W}, z\right)}=\sqrt{\frac{1}{R}} \frac{\mathrm{dr}}{\mathrm{~d}} \mathrm{w} \tag{2-10}
\end{equation*}
$$

At the nozzle throat,

$$
\begin{align*}
x_{w} & =1+\frac{x^{2}}{2 R}+\ldots \\
& =1+\frac{z^{2}}{2}+\ldots \tag{2-11}
\end{align*}
$$

for all throat sections. Thus, both $u$ and $\frac{d r}{d z}$ are $O(1)$ at the throat and $v$ must be $O\left(R^{-1 / 2}\right)$. This suggests that the velocity components can be expressed as expansions in inverse power of $R$, 1.e.,

$$
\begin{align*}
& u=u_{0}(r, z)+\frac{u_{1}(r, z)}{R}+\frac{u_{2}(r, z)}{R^{2}}+\ldots  \tag{2-12}\\
& v=\sqrt{\frac{1}{R}}\left[v_{0}(z, z)+\frac{v_{1}(r, z)}{R}+\frac{v_{2}(r, z)}{R^{2}}+\ldots\right] \tag{2-13}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting into equations (2-7) and (2-8) and equating porers of $R^{-1}$ separately yields two sets of equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(1-u_{0}^{2}\right) \frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial z}+\left(1-\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1} u_{0}^{2}\right)\left(\frac{\partial v_{0}}{\partial r}+\frac{\omega \bar{y}}{r}\right)=0  \tag{2-14}\\
& \frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial r}=0  \tag{2-15}\\
& \left(1-u_{0}^{2}\right) \frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial z}+\left(1-\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1} u_{0}^{2}\right)\left(\frac{\partial v_{n}}{\partial r}+\frac{\omega v_{n}}{r}\right)-\frac{4}{\gamma+1} \dot{o}_{0} v_{0} \frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial r}=\phi_{n}, n \geqslant 1  \tag{2-i6}\\
& \frac{\partial v_{n-1}}{\partial z}-\frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial r}=0 \tag{2-17}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \phi_{1}=\left\{2 u_{0} u_{1}+\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1} v_{0}^{2}\left|\frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial i}+\left|v_{0}^{2}+2 \frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1} u_{0} u_{1}\right| \frac{\partial v_{0}}{\partial r}+\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1}\right| 2 u_{0} \mu_{1}+\left.v_{c}^{2}\right|^{\omega v_{0}} \frac{r}{r}(2-18)\right. \\
& \phi_{2}=\left(2 u_{0} u_{2}+u_{1}^{2}+2 \frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1} v_{0} v_{i}\right) \frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial z}+\left[2 v_{0} v_{1}+\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1}\left(2 u_{0} u_{2}+u_{1}^{2}\right)\right] \frac{\partial v_{0}}{\partial r} \\
& +\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1} \cdot\left(2 u_{0} u_{2}+u_{1}^{2}+2 v_{0} v_{1}\right) \frac{\omega v}{r} \frac{0}{r}+\left(2 u_{0} u_{1}+\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1} v_{0}^{2}\right) \frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial z}+\left(v_{0}^{2}+2 \frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1} u_{0} u_{1}\right) \frac{\partial v_{1}}{\partial r} \\
& +\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1}\left(2 u_{0} u_{1}+v_{0}^{2}\right)^{\omega v_{1}} \frac{4}{r}+\frac{4}{\gamma+1}\left(u_{0} v_{1}+u_{1} v_{0}\right) \frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial r} \tag{2-19}
\end{align*}
$$

From equations (2-9), (2-10), (2-12) and (2-13), it is fourj tnat the boundaxy conditions are

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{n}(0, z)=0 \quad n \geqslant 0 \tag{2-2.0}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{n}\left(r_{w}, z\right)=u_{n}\left(r_{w}, z\right) \frac{d r_{w}}{d z} \quad n \geqslant 0 \tag{2-21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (2-15) shows that $u_{0}(r, z)$ is a funciion cf $z$ ajone. Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{0}(r, z)=a_{0}(z) \tag{2-22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (2-14) is satisfied if $v_{0}(r . z)$ is of the form,

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{0}(r, z)=a_{I}(z) r+\operatorname{wa}_{3}(z) r^{-1}+(1-w) a_{5}(z) \tag{2-23}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the axis and wall boundary conditions i equations (2-20) and (2-21)], it is easily showin the :

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{1}=\frac{a_{0}}{r_{w}} \frac{d r_{w}}{d z}  \tag{2-24}\\
& a_{3}=0  \tag{2-25}\\
& a_{5}=0 \tag{2-26}
\end{align*}
$$

Substitating the above results into equation (2-14) yieicis

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-a_{0}^{2} \frac{d a_{o}}{d z}+(\omega+1)\left(1-\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1} a_{o}^{2}\right) \frac{a_{0}}{r_{w}} \frac{d r_{w}}{d z}=0 \tag{2-27}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the one-dimensional channel flow equation. The solution of the above equations defines the one-dimensional velocity distribution ( $u_{0}$ and $v_{0}$ ) through the nozzle. Since the one-dimensional sclution is valid for all (subsonic, transonic anc supersonic) nozzle flow regimes, the present solution will also be valid for all nozzie fiow regimes. The one-dimensinnal throat toundary conditions are that

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{0}(0)=1  \tag{2-28}\\
& a_{1}(0)=0 \tag{2-29}
\end{align*}
$$

for both planar and axisymmetric rozzle flows, since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{\mathrm{dr}}{\mathrm{~d} z}\right|_{0}=0 \tag{2-30}
\end{equation*}
$$

ar the nozzie throat.

The first order equations are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(1-u_{0}^{2}\right) \frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial z}+\left(1-\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma^{4} 1} u_{0}^{2}\right)\left(\frac{\partial v_{1}}{\partial r}+\frac{w y}{r}\right)-\frac{4}{\gamma+1} u_{0} v_{o} \frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial r} \\
& =\left(2 u_{0} u_{1}+\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1} v_{0}^{2}\right)^{\partial u_{o}} \frac{\partial z}{\partial z}\left(v_{0}^{2}+2 \frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1} u_{0} u_{1}\right)^{\partial v} \frac{{ }_{c}}{\partial r}+\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1}\left(2 u_{o} u_{1}+v_{o}^{2}\right)^{\omega v_{o}} \frac{r}{r} \tag{2-31}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial v_{0}}{\partial z}-\frac{{ }^{\partial 1_{1}}}{\partial r}=0 \tag{2-32}
\end{equation*}
$$

From equations (2-23) and (2-32), it is easily shown that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{1}=b_{z}(z)+b_{2}(z) r^{2} \tag{2-33}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{b}_{2}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{~d} \mathrm{a}_{1}}{\mathrm{dz}} \tag{2-34}
\end{equation*}
$$

From equatiors $(2-20),(2-31)$, and $(2-33)$, it can be shown that

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{1}=b_{1}(z) r+b_{3}(z) r^{3} \tag{2-35}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& 1-a_{0}^{2} \left\lvert\, \frac{d o_{0}}{d z}+(\omega+1)\left(1-\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1} a_{0}^{2}\right) b_{1}=2 a_{0} b_{o}\left[\frac{d a_{o}}{d z}+\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1}(\omega+1) a_{1}\right]\right.  \tag{2-36}\\
& \left(1-a_{0}^{2}\right) \frac{d b_{2}}{d z}+(\omega+3)\left(1-\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1} a_{0}^{2}\right) b_{3}-\frac{8}{\gamma-1} a_{0} a_{1} b_{2} \\
&  \tag{2-37}\\
& =2 a_{0} b_{2}\left[\frac{d a_{0}}{d z}+\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1}(\omega+1) a_{1}\right]+a_{1}^{2}\left[\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1} \frac{d c_{0}}{d z}+\left(1+\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1} \omega\right) a_{1}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

From the wall boundary condition [equation (2-21)], it can be shown that

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{1}=\left(b_{0}+b_{2} r_{w}^{2}\right) \frac{1}{r_{w}} \frac{d r_{w}}{d z}-b_{3} r_{w}^{2} \tag{2-38}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solution of the above equations defines the first order velocity components ( $u_{1}$ and $v_{1}$ ) through the nozzle.

Examination of equations (2-36) and (2-37) reveals that they are singular at the nozzle throat (where $a_{0}=1$ ). Thus, the above equations are algebraic at the throat: and can be solved directly for $j_{0}(0), b_{1}(0)$ and $b_{3}(0)$, yielding

$$
\begin{align*}
& b_{0}(0)=-\frac{1}{4}  \tag{2-39}\\
& b_{1}(0)=-\frac{1}{4} \sqrt{\frac{\gamma+1}{2}}  \tag{2-40}\\
& b_{3}(0)=\frac{1}{4} \sqrt{\frac{\gamma+1}{2}} \tag{2-41}
\end{align*}
$$

for axisymmetric flows and

$$
\begin{align*}
& b_{0}(0)=-\frac{1}{6}  \tag{2-42}\\
& b_{1}(0)=-\frac{1}{6} \sqrt{\gamma+1}  \tag{2-43}\\
& b_{3}(0)=\frac{1}{6} \sqrt{\gamma+1} \tag{2-44}
\end{align*}
$$

for planar flows. From equations (2-24) and (2-34) it can be shown that

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{2}(0)=\frac{1}{2} \tag{2-45}
\end{equation*}
$$

for both axisymmetric and planar flows.
The above first order throat conditions are identical to those obtained by Sauer ${ }^{(2)}$ and Hall ${ }^{(1)}$. The two rasults differ : $y$ from the throat plane, however, due to the different funstional dependence of the coefficients on the axial coordinate.

Examination of equation (2-16) reveals that it is also singular at the nozzle throat (where $u_{0}=1$ ). Thus, the boundary conditions for all orders are set at the nozzle throat, and the various order throat conditions can be determined directly. The fact that the boundazy conditions are set at the throat for all orders is mathematical proof that the nozzle throat plane sots the choked flow through the nozzle.

Examination of equations (2-24), (2-34) and (2-37) shows that $\mathrm{b}_{2}$ depends on $\frac{d^{2} r_{w}}{d z^{2}}$ and $b_{3}$ depends on $\frac{d^{3} r_{w}}{d z^{3}}$. Thus, if $\frac{d^{3} r_{w}}{d z^{3}}$ is discontinuous, the first order solution will be discontinuous. Thus, in general, if tie wail derivative $\frac{d^{2 n+1} r_{w}}{d z^{2 n+1}}$ is nonanalytic, the nth order sclution of the above ecuacions will be discontinuous. The complete solution of the above equations will be analytic only if the wall is analytic.

The second order equations are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(1-u_{0}^{2} \left\lvert\, \frac{\partial u_{2}}{\partial z}+\left(1-\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1} u_{0}^{2}\right)\left(\frac{\partial v_{2}}{\partial r}+\frac{\omega v_{2}}{r}\right)-\frac{4}{\gamma+1} u_{0} v_{0} \frac{\partial u_{2}}{\partial r}\right.\right. \\
& =\left(2 u_{0} u_{2}+u_{1}^{2}+2 \frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1} v_{0} v_{1}\right)^{\partial u_{0}}+\left[2 v_{0} v_{1}+\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1}\left(2 u_{0} u_{2}+u_{1}^{2}\right)\right] \frac{\partial v_{0}}{\partial r} \\
& \\
& \quad+\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1}\left(2 u_{0} u_{2}+u_{1}^{2}+2 v_{0} v_{1}\right) \frac{\omega v_{0}}{r}+\left(2 u_{0} u_{1}+\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1} v_{0}^{2}\right) \frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial r} \\
& \left.\left.\quad+\left(v_{0}^{2}+2 \frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1} u_{0} u_{1}\right) \frac{\partial v_{1}}{\partial r}+\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1}\left(2 u_{0} u_{1}+v_{0}^{2}\right) \frac{\omega v_{1}}{r}+\frac{4}{\gamma+1} \right\rvert\, u_{0} v_{1}+u_{1} v_{0}\right) \frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial r}(2-46)  \tag{2-47}\\
& \frac{\partial v_{1}}{\partial z}-\frac{\partial u_{2}}{\partial r}=0
\end{align*}
$$

From equations (2-35) and (2-47), it is easily shown that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{2}=c_{0}(z)+c_{2}(z) r^{2}+c_{4}(z) r^{4} \tag{2-48}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& c_{2}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{db}_{1}}{\mathrm{dz}}  \tag{2-49}\\
& c_{4}=\frac{1}{4} \frac{\mathrm{db}_{3}}{\mathrm{dz}} \tag{2-50}
\end{align*}
$$

From equations (2-20), (2-46) and (2-48), it can be shown that

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{2}=c_{1}(z) r+c_{3}(z) r^{3}+c_{5}(z) r^{5} \tag{2-51}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(1-a_{0}^{2}\right) \frac{d c_{0}}{d z}+(\omega+1)\left(1-\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1} a_{0}^{2}\right) c_{1}=\left(2 a_{0} c_{c}+b_{0}^{2}\right)\left[\frac{d a_{0}}{d z}+\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1}(1+\omega) a_{1}\right] \\
& +2 a_{o} b_{o}\left[\frac{d b_{o}}{d z}+\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1}(1+\omega) b_{1}\right]  \tag{2-52}\\
& \left(1-a_{0}^{2}\right) \frac{d c_{2}}{d z}+(\omega+3)\left(1-\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1} a_{0}^{2}\right) c_{3}-\frac{8}{\gamma+1} a_{0} a_{1} c_{2} \\
& =2\left(a_{0} c_{2}+b_{0} b_{2}\right)\left[\frac{d a_{0}}{d z}+\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1}(1+\omega) a_{1}\right]+2 a_{1} b_{1}\left[\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1} \frac{d a_{0}}{d z}+\left(1+\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1} \omega\right) a_{1}\right] \\
& +\frac{4}{\gamma+1}\left|a_{0} b_{1}+a_{1} b_{0}\right| \frac{d a_{1}}{d z}+2 a_{0} b_{0}\left[\frac{d b_{2}}{d z}+\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1}(3+w) b_{3}\right] \\
& +2 a_{0} b_{2}\left[\frac{d b_{o}}{d z}+\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1}(1+\omega) b_{1}\right]+a_{1} 2\left[\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1} \frac{d b_{o}}{d z}+\left(1+\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1} i\right) b_{1}\right] \tag{2-53}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\left.\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\left(1-a_{0}^{2}\right) \frac{d c_{4}}{d z} & +(\omega+5)\left(1-\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1} a_{0}^{2}\right) c_{5}-\frac{16}{\gamma+1} a_{0} a_{1} c_{4} \\
= & \left(2 a_{0} c_{4}\right.
\end{array}+b_{2}^{2}\right)\left[\frac{a a_{0}}{d z}+\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1}(1+\omega) a_{1}\right]+2 a_{1} b_{3}\left[\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1} \frac{d a_{0}}{d z}+\left(1+\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1} \omega\right) a_{1}\right]\right)
$$

From the wall boundary condition [equation (2-21)], it can be shown that

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1}=\left(c_{0}+c_{2} r_{w}^{2}+\varepsilon_{L_{1}} r_{w}^{4}\right) \frac{1}{r_{w}} \frac{d r}{d z}-c_{3} r_{w}^{2}-c_{5} r_{w}^{4} \tag{2-55}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solution of the above tiuations defines the second order velocity components ( $u_{2}$ and $v_{2}$ ) through the nozzle.

As freviously discussed, the above equations are singular at the throat and can be solved directly to determine the second order throat conditions. Thus,

$$
\begin{align*}
& c_{0}(0)=\frac{10 \gamma+57}{288}  \tag{2-56}\\
& c_{1}(0)=\frac{28 \gamma+93}{288} \sqrt{\frac{\gamma+1}{2}}  \tag{2-57}\\
& c_{2}(0)=-\frac{4 \gamma+15}{24}  \tag{2-58}\\
& c_{3}(0)=-\frac{20 \gamma+63}{96} \sqrt{\frac{\gamma+1}{2}}  \tag{2-59}\\
& c_{4}(0)=\frac{2 \gamma+9}{24}  \tag{2-60}\\
& c_{5}(0)=\frac{\gamma+3}{9} \sqrt{\frac{\gamma+1}{2}}
\end{align*}
$$

for axisymmetric fiows and

$$
\begin{align*}
& c_{0}(0)=\frac{\gamma+30}{270}  \tag{2-62}\\
& c_{1}(0)=\frac{34 \gamma+195}{1080} \sqrt{\frac{\gamma+1}{2}}  \tag{2-63}\\
& c_{2}(0)=-\frac{2 \gamma+9}{18}  \tag{2-64}\\
& c_{3}(0)=-\frac{5 \gamma+21}{54} \sqrt{\frac{\gamma+1}{2}}  \tag{2-65}\\
& c_{4}(0)=\frac{\gamma+6}{18} \\
& c_{5}(0)=\frac{22 \gamma+75}{360} \sqrt{\frac{\gamma+1}{2}} \tag{2-67}
\end{align*}
$$

for planar flows.
The above second order throat conditions are identicai to those obtained by Hall ${ }^{(1)}$. Both the first and second orier throat conditions are ind-, -ndent of the nozzle shape and are thus universally valid for ail nczzle flows. The solution away from the throat aepends on the nozzle shape for all orders, however.

The third and higher order equations can be similarly obtained. The throat boundary conditions for these equations depend on the nozzle shape, ard are thus not universally valid for all nozzle flows. The solutions of these equations are polynomial in $r$ of order $2 n$ and $2 n+1$, respectively. for $u_{n}$ and $v_{n}$. Thus, studies of nozzle flows using numerical or integral techniques which ussume that the velocity component.s can be represented by polynomials in $r$ are mithematically equivalent to the present analysis and will have eriors of the same order $\left\langle\frac{1}{R^{n+1}}\right\rangle$ when terms containing higher powers of $r$ in $u$ and $v$ are neglected. The second order solution given by Oswat.ltsch ${ }^{(3)}$ does not contain terms of $r^{4}$ and $r^{5}$ in his $u_{2}$ and $v_{2}$, respectively. This sclution is thus not truly second order, but contains errors of order $\frac{1}{R^{2}}$ due to neglecting these terms. This explains the discrepancy between Oswatitoch's and Ha11's second order results noted $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{Hall}{ }^{(1)}$. It is noted chat a number of previous analyses $(4,5,6)$ have utilized terminated polynomials in $r$ of order $2 n$ and $2 n-1$ for $u$ and $v$, respectively, and that these analyses are of inconsistent ordfit, being of order $n$ in $u$ and $n-1$ in $v$.

Figures 2-1 and 2-2 show the results of the present analysis for the flow of air ( $\gamma=1.4$ ) through axisymmetric and planar hyperbolic nozzles having a normalized throat wall radius of curvature of 5 . Tables $2-1$ through 2-4 tabulate the velocity distribution along the axis and wall in these nozzles. In general, the convergence of the solution is fastest in the subsonic region and slowest in the supersonic region. This is to be expected, since the deviation from onedimensional flow increases through the nozzle and is greatest in the supersonic section.

Figures 2-3 through 2-11 show the first and secund order throat wall velocities, the throat axis velocities and the sonic point displacements as a function of the normalized throat wall radius of curvature in axisyminetric hyperbolic noziles for flows with specific heat ratios of $1.2,1.4$, and $1.6^{-}$. The throat wall and axis velocity variations are identical to Hall's results since the two analyses have the same throat boundary conditions. The sonic point displacement differs, however, and Hall's results are included for comparison. Also included on the velocity plots are second order rationai fraction approximations (see Appendix B), which represent the probable true solution. Examination of the figures reveals only a weak dependence of the trinsonic results
on gamma. Comparison of t'ie second order solution with the second order rational fraction approximation shows that this snlution pronably represents the true solution quite accurately up to normalized throat wall radil of curvatures of three, and gives reasonable estimates of the isansonic flow conditions up to normalized throat wall radii of curvatures of two. For a normalized throat wall radius of curvature less than one, the second orler solution predicts that the throat axis velocity is supersonic, which is physically imposisible. It is concluded that uge of the second order solution should probably be limited to normalized throat wall radii of curvatures greater than two.

I'able 2-1. Axis Velccity in an Axisymmetric
Hyperbolic Nozzle $(\gamma=1.4, R=5)$

| $\frac{\mathrm{x}}{\mathrm{y}^{*}}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{0}+\frac{u_{1}}{R}$ | $u_{0}+\frac{u_{1}}{\mathrm{R}}+\frac{\mathrm{u}_{2}}{R^{2}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| -1.0 | $0.625_{2}$ | 0.6231 | 0.6235 |
| -0.5 | 0.8 f 11 | 0.7772 | 0.7821 |
| -0.4 | 0.8396 | 0.8106 | 0.8166 |
| -0.3 | 0.8789 | 0.8446 | 0.8517 |
| -0.2 | 0.9188 | 0.8793 | 0.8874 |
| -0.1 | 0.9593 | 0.9144 | 0.9235 |
| 0.0 | 1.0000 | 0.9500 | 0.9599 |
| 3.1 | 1.0408 | 0.9858 | 0.9964 |
| 0.2 | 1.0815 | 1.0219 | 1.0330 |
| 0.3 | 1.1221 | 1.0579 | 1.0695 |
| 0.4 | 1.1622 | 1.0940 | 1.1057 |
| 0.5 | 1.2017 | 1.1298 | 1.1416 |
| 1.0 | 1.3853 |  | 1.3123 |

Table 2-2. Wall Velocity in an Axisymmetric
Myperbolic Nozzle ( $\gamma=1.4, \mathrm{R}=5$ )

| $\frac{\mathrm{x}}{\mathrm{y}^{*}}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{0}$ | $u_{0}+\frac{u_{1}}{R}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| -1.0 | 0.6252 | 0.6328 |
| -0.5 | 0.8011 | 0.8306 |
| -0.4 | 0.8396 | $0.873 \%$ |
| -0.3 | 0.8789 | 0.9175 |
| -0.2 | 0.9188 | 0.9617 |
| -0.2 | 0.9593 | 1.0059 |
| 0.0 | 1.0000 | 1.0500 |
| 0.2 | 1.0408 | 1.0936 |
| 0.2 | 1.0816 | 1.1364 |
| 0.3 | 1.1221 | 1.1783 |
| 0.4 | 1.1622 | 1.2189 |
| 0.5 | 1.2017 | 1.2581 |
| 1.0 | 1.3858 |  |

Table 2-3. Axis Yeiocity in a Planar
Hyperbolic Nozzle $i \gamma=1.4, R=5$,

| $\frac{x}{r^{*}}$ | $u_{0}$ | $u_{0}+\frac{u_{1}}{R}$ | $u_{0}+\frac{u_{1}}{R}+\frac{u_{2}}{R_{2}^{2}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| -1.0 | 0.7303 | 0.7260 | $0.726:$ |
| -6.5 | 0.8586 | 0.8404 | $0.843 i$ |
| -0.4 | 0.8961 | 0.8649 | $0.868 i$ |
| -0.3 | $1.914 i$ | 0.8898 | 0.3934 |
| -0.2 | 0.9425 | 0.9152 | 0.9192 |
| -0.1 | 0.9712 | 0.9408 | 0.9451 |
| 0.0 | 1.0000 | 0.9567 | 0.9713 |
| 0.1 | 2.0287 | 0.9927 | 0.9976 |
| 0.2 | 1.0577 | 1.0187 | 1.0239 |
| 0.3 | 1.0864 | 1.0451 | 1.0502 |
| 0.4 | 1.1148 | 1.0712 | 1.0763 |
| 0.5 | 1.1428 | 1.0972 | 1.1023 |
| 1.0 | 1.2749 | 1.2230 | 1.2270 |

Table $2-4$. Wail Velocity in a Planer
Hyperbolic Nozzle ( $\gamma=1.4, \mathrm{R}=5$ )

| $\frac{x}{y^{*}}$ | $u_{0}$ | $u_{0}+\frac{u_{1}}{R}$ | $u_{0}+\frac{u_{1}}{R}+\frac{u_{2}}{R^{2}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| -1.0 | 0.7303 | 0.7509 | 0.7454 |
| -0.5 | 0.8586 | 0.9045 | 0.8985 |
| -0.4 | 0.8861 | 09369 | 0.9311 |
| -0.3 | 0.9141 | 0.9696 | 0.9639 |
| -0.2 | 0.9425 | 1.0022 | 0.9967 |
| -0.1 | 0.9712 | 1.0346 | 1.0293 |
| .0 | 1.0600 | 1.0667 | 1.0615 |
| 0.1 | 1.0287 | 1.0981 | 1.6932 |
| 0.2 | 1.0577 | 1.1287 | 1.1240 |
| 0.3 | 1.0864 | 1.1584 | 1.1838 |
| 0.4 | 1.1148 | 1.2145 | 1.2102 |
| 0.5 | 1.1428 | 1.3327 | 1.3286 |
| 1.0 | 1.2749 |  |  |

*     *         * first order sollition
- SECOND ORDER SOLUTION


Figure 2-1. Contours of Constant Specd in Axisymmetric Hype=bolic Nozzle with $Y=1.4$ and $R=5$.

## -~~ONE-DIMENSIONAL SOLUTICN <br> *** First ORDER sClution <br> - SECOND ORdER SOLUT:ON



Figure 2-2. Contours of Constant Speed in Planar Hyperbolic Nozzle with $Y=1.4$ and $R=5$.


Figure 2-3. Throat Wall velucity in Axisymetric Hyperbolic Nozzle as a Function of Inverse Normalized Throat Wall Radius of Curvature, $\because=1.2$.


Figure 2-4. Throat wall Velocity in Axisymmetric Hyperbolic Nozzle as a Function of Inverse Normalized Throat Wall Radius of Curvature, $Y=1.4$.


Figure 2-5. Throat Wall Velocity in Axisymmetric Hyperbolic Nozzle as a Function of Inverse Normalized Ihroat Wall Radjus of Curvature, $Y=1.67$.


Figute 2-6. Throat Axis Velocity in Axisymetric Hyperbolic Nozzle as a Function of Inverse Normalized Throat WaIl Radius of Curvature, $\gamma=1.2$.


Figure 2-7. Throat Axis Velocity in Axisymmetric Hyperbolic Nozzle as a Function of Inverse Normalized Throat Wall Radius of Curvature, $\gamma=1.4$.


Figure 2-8. Throat Axis Velocity in Axisymmetric Hyperbolic Nozzle as a Function of Inverse Normalized Throat Wall Radius of Curvature, $Y=1.67$.


Figure 2-9. Sonic Point Displacement on Axis of Axisymmetric Hyperbolic Nozzle as a Function of Inverse Normalized Throat Waill Radius of Curvature, $\gamma=1.2$.


Figure 2-10. Sonic Point Displacement on Axis of Axisymmetric Hyperbolic Nozzle as a Function of Inverse Normalized Throat Wall Radius of Curvature, $\gamma=1.4$.


Figure 2-11. Sonic Point Displacement on Axis of Axisymmetric Hyperbolic Nozzle as a Function of Inverse Normalized Throat Wall Radius of Curvature, $\gamma=1.67$.

## 3. UNCHOKED NOZZLE FLOWS

Since unchoked shock free nozzie flows were of secondary interest during this study, only the applicability of the previous analysis to nozzle flows of this type will be shown and the throat boundary conditions given. Since the channel flow equations (see Appendix A) show that for unchoked (symmetric) fiows $u=u *-\frac{\omega+1}{2}\left(1-\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1} u *^{2}\right) \frac{u *}{1-u *^{2}} \frac{x^{2}}{R y *^{2}}+\ldots$
at the nozzle throat, it is apparent that the axial nozzle coordinate $x$ must be normalized with respect to the distance $\sqrt{R} y^{*}$ when analyzing unchoked nozzle flows in order for the dimensionless axial velocity gradients to remain of order one at the nozzle throat independent of the nozzle scale. Since the noczle perpendicular to the axis is set by the throat half height $y^{*}$ for all nozzle flows, it is apparent that the perpendicular coordinate $y$ must be rormalized with respect to the distance $\mathrm{y}^{*}$ when analyzing unchoked nozzle flows. Thus solutions of the equations governing the inviscid isentropic expansion of a perfect gas through a convergent-divergent nozzle [equations(2-1) and(2-2)] should be sought in terms of the normalized coordinates

$$
\begin{align*}
& z=\sqrt{\frac{I}{R}} \frac{\mathrm{x}}{\mathrm{y}^{*}}  \tag{3-2}\\
& \mathrm{r}=\frac{\overline{\mathrm{I}}}{\mathrm{y}^{*}} \tag{3-3}
\end{align*}
$$

rather than the $x, y$ coordinate system fo: large values of the normalized throat wal: radius of curvature when analyzing either choked or unchoked nozzle flows. Thus the preceeding analysis is also valid for unchoked nozzle flows.

Since the flow is symmetric, the throat boundary conditions for unchoked nozzle flows are that the axial derivatives of the various order axial velucity coefficients ( $a_{0}, b_{0}, b_{2}$, etc.) are zero at the throat. It can be simply shown from the equarioss in the preceedjng section that the onedimensional, first order and second order unchoked throat conditions are

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{0}(0)=a_{0}^{*}  \tag{3-4}\\
& a_{1}(0)=0 \tag{3-5}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& b_{1}(0)=0  \tag{3-6}\\
& b_{2}(0)=\frac{1}{2} a_{0}^{*}  \tag{3-7}\\
& b_{3}(0)=0  \tag{3-8}\\
& c_{1}(0)=0  \tag{3-9}\\
& c_{3}(0)=0  \tag{3-10}\\
& c_{5}(0)=0 \tag{3-11}
\end{align*}
$$

It is noted that unlike the choked flow case, the throat boundary conditions are incompletely specified fur unchoked nozzie flows. Physically this occurs because unchoked nozzle flows are not unique, there being an infinite family of such flows, the flow of interest being specified by an external constraint, the nozzle pressire ratio. This lack of uniqueness appears in the one-dimensional equations as the unspecifiea throat velocity $a^{*}{ }_{o}$ and in the equations governing the various order coefficients by the fact that the axis coefficients ( $b_{0}, c_{0}$, etc.) drop from the equations at the throat due to the symmetrical nature of the flow. The uniqueness of the choked flow solution appears in the equations as a singularity which is missing in the equations for unchoked flows.

Since an external constraint must be specified in order to obtain a unique unchoked flow solution, it is desirable to specify a constraint such as to uniquely determine the throat conditions. The most natural such constraint is that the mass flow through the nozzle equals the one-dimensional mass flow through the nozzle. Thus specifying that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1} \frac{(2 \pi r) \rho(r, 0)(1(r, 0)}{\rho^{*} a^{*}} d r=\pi a_{0}^{\omega}\left[\frac{\gamma+1}{2}\left(1-\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1} a_{0}^{*^{2}}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{\gamma-1}} \tag{3-12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and expanding the integral as a power series in $R^{-1}$ and equating the coefficients nf the various powers of $R^{-1}$ to zero yields the unique set of throat conditions

$$
\begin{align*}
& b_{0}(0)=-\frac{\omega+1}{2(\omega+2 ;} a_{0}^{*}  \tag{3-13}\\
& c_{0}(0)=\frac{\left(\omega+\frac{j}{2}\right)^{2} a_{0}^{\star}}{16(\omega+3)^{2}(\omega+5)}\left\{\frac{16\left(3-a_{0}^{\star 2}\right) a_{0}^{\star 2}}{(\omega+1)(\gamma+1)\left(1-\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1} a_{0}^{*}\right)\left(1-a^{2}{ }^{2}\right)}\right. \\
& -\frac{8(\omega+5)}{\omega+1}+\frac{(\omega+1)\left(3-5 a *_{0}^{2}\right)}{1-a \star^{2}}  \tag{3-14}\\
& -\frac{\left.3\left(1-\frac{\sigma}{\mathrm{R}}\right)\left(1-a \star_{0}^{2}\right)+2\left[\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1}(\omega+1)+\frac{4}{\gamma+1}\right] a{\star_{0}^{2}}_{0}^{\gamma}\right\}}{1-\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1} a_{0}^{\star^{2}}} \\
& c_{2}(0)=\frac{a_{0}^{*}}{16(\omega+3)}\left\{8+\frac{(\omega+1)\left(3-5 a_{0}^{2}\right)}{1-a_{0}^{*^{2}}}-\right. \\
& -\underbrace{3\left(1-\frac{0}{R}\right)\left(1-a *_{0}^{2}\right)+2\left[\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1}(u+1)+\frac{4}{\gamma+1}\right] a_{0}^{*^{2}}}  \tag{3-15}\\
& 1-\frac{\gamma-1}{r+1} a \star_{0}^{2} \\
& \begin{aligned}
c_{4}(0)=\frac{a_{0}^{*}}{8(\omega+3)} & \left\{-\frac{(\omega+1)\left(3-5 a_{0}^{*^{2}}\right)}{1-a \star_{0}^{2}}\right. \\
& +\frac{3\left(1-\frac{\sigma}{R}\right)\left(1-a_{0}^{*_{0}^{2}}\right)+2\left[\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1}(\omega+1)+\frac{4}{\gamma+1}\right] a_{0}^{a^{2}}}{1-\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1} a_{0}^{*^{2}}}
\end{aligned} \tag{3-16}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\sigma=\left\{\begin{align*}
0 & \text { for parabolic throats }  \tag{3-17}\\
1 & \text { for rircular arc throats } \\
-R & \text { for hyperolic throats }
\end{align*}\right.
$$

It is interesting to note that the second order throat conditions depend on the wall shape. Thus only the first order throat conditions are universally valid for all nozzles. The solution away from the throat depends on the wall shape for all orders, however.

## 4. RELATIONSHIP TO HALL'S TRANSONIC SOLUT: : : :

It is evident from the previous discussion that the nozzle flow solution presented in this report and Hall's transonic solution are closely related. The exact relationship between the two solutions can be easily seen by transforming Hall's solution to the coordinate system utilized in the present analysis. Thus, in the $r, z$ coordinate system, Hall's first and second order axisymmetric solutions are:

$$
\begin{align*}
& u=1+\sqrt{\frac{2}{\gamma+1}} z+\frac{1}{R}\left[-\frac{1}{4}+\frac{1}{2} r^{2}\right]  \tag{4-1}\\
& v=\sqrt{\frac{1}{R}}\left\{z r+\frac{1}{3}\left[-\frac{1}{4} \sqrt{\frac{Y+1}{2}} r+\frac{1}{4} \sqrt{\frac{\gamma+1}{2}} r^{3}\right]\right\} \tag{4-2}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
u= & 1+\sqrt{\frac{2}{\gamma+1}} z+\frac{3-2 \gamma}{3(\gamma+1)} z^{2}+\frac{1}{R}\left[-\frac{1}{4}-\frac{5}{8} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\gamma+1}} z\right. \\
& \left.+\left(\frac{1}{2}+\sqrt{\frac{2}{\gamma+1}} z\right) i^{2}\right]+\frac{1}{R^{2}}\left[\frac{10 \gamma+57}{288}-\frac{4 \gamma+15}{24} r^{2}+\frac{2 \gamma+9}{24} r^{4}\right]  \tag{4-3}\\
v & =\sqrt{\frac{1}{R}}\left\{z r+\sqrt{\frac{2}{\gamma+1}} z^{2} r+\frac{1}{R}\left[\left(-\frac{1}{4} \sqrt{\frac{Y+1}{2}}-\frac{4 \gamma+15}{12} z\right) r\right.\right. \\
& \left.+\left(\frac{1}{4} \sqrt{\frac{\gamma+1}{2}+\frac{2 \gamma+9}{6}} z\right) r^{3}\right]+\frac{1}{R^{2}}\left[\frac{28 \gamma+93}{288} \sqrt{\frac{\gamma+1}{2}} r\right. \\
& \left.\left.-\frac{20 \gamma+63}{96} \sqrt{\frac{\gamma+1}{2}} r^{3}+\frac{\gamma+3}{9} \sqrt{\frac{\gamma+1}{2}} r^{5}\right]\right\} \tag{4-4}
\end{align*}
$$

In the present analysis, the corresponding solutions are

$$
\begin{align*}
& u=a_{0}(z)+\frac{1}{R}\left[b_{0}(z)+b_{2}(z) r^{2}\right]  \tag{4-5}\\
& v=\sqrt{\frac{1}{R}}\left\{a_{1}(z) r+\frac{1}{R}\left[b_{1}(z) r+b_{3}(z) r^{3}\right]\right\} \tag{4-6}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{array}{r}
u=a_{0}(z)+\frac{1}{R}\left[b_{0}(z)+b_{2}(z) r^{2}\right]+\frac{1}{R^{2}}\left[c_{0}(z)+c_{2}(z) r^{2}+c_{4}(z) r^{4}\right] \\
v=\sqrt{\frac{1}{R}}\left\{a_{1}(z) r+\frac{1}{R}\left[b_{1}(z) r+b_{3}(z) r^{3}\right\}+\frac{1}{R^{2}}\left[c_{1}(z) r+c_{3}(z) r^{3}\right.\right. \\
\left.\left.+c_{5}(z) r^{5}\right]\right\} \tag{4-8}
\end{array}
$$

Expanding the various functions of $z$ in the above equations as power series about the throat, the above solutions become

$$
\begin{align*}
& u=1+\sqrt{\frac{2}{\gamma+1}} z+\frac{1}{R}\left[-\frac{1}{4}+\frac{1}{2} r^{2}\right]  \tag{4-9}\\
& v=\sqrt{\frac{1}{R}}\left\{z r+\frac{1}{R}\left[-\frac{1}{4} \sqrt{\left.\left.\frac{\gamma+1}{2} r+\frac{1}{4} \sqrt{\frac{\gamma+1}{2}} r^{3}\right]\right\}}\right.\right. \tag{4-j0}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
u= & 1+\sqrt{\frac{2}{\gamma+1}} z+\frac{3-2 \gamma}{3(\gamma+1)} z^{2}+\frac{1}{R}\left[-\frac{1}{4}-\frac{5}{8} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\gamma+1}} z\right. \\
& \left.+\left(\frac{1}{2}+\sqrt{\frac{2}{\gamma+1}} z\right) r^{2}\right]+\frac{1}{R^{2}}\left[\frac{10 \gamma+57}{288}-\frac{4 \gamma+15}{24} r^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{2 \gamma+9}{24} r^{4}\right]  \tag{4-11}\\
v= & \sqrt{\frac{1}{R}}\left\{z r+\sqrt{\frac{2}{\gamma+1}} z^{2} r+\frac{1}{R}\left[\left(-\frac{1}{4} \sqrt{\frac{\gamma+1}{2}}-\frac{4 \gamma+15}{12} z\right) r\right.\right. \\
& +\left(\frac{1}{\frac{1}{4} \sqrt{\frac{\gamma+1}{2}}+\frac{2 \gamma+9}{6}} z r^{3}\right] \cdot \frac{1}{R^{2}}\left[\frac{28 \gamma+93}{288} \sqrt{\frac{\gamma+1}{2}} r\right. \\
& -\frac{20 \gamma+63}{96} \sqrt{\frac{\gamma+1}{2}} r^{3}+\frac{\gamma+3}{9} \sqrt{\left.\left.\frac{\gamma+1}{2} r^{5}\right]\right\}} \tag{4-12}
\end{align*}
$$

for axisymmetric flows. Comparison of Hall's solutions [ equations (4-1) through (4-4)] with the above equations reveals that Hall's solutions are contained in the present solution and consist of expanding the various functions of $z$ as power series about the throat and terminating the expansions at the $n-m^{\text {th }}$ term where $n$ is the order of the solution desired and $m$ is the order of the term in which the function appears. Thus, Hall's solutions are actually double expansion solutions, being expansions in both $\frac{1}{R}$ and $z$. The $z$ expansion limits the validity of Hall's solution to the transonic region near the throat ( $2 \ll 1$ ). Figures 4-1 through 4-12 compare Hall's results with the present solution for the flow of air through hyperbolic nozzles.

In these figures, curve A refers to the present solution, curve $B$ refers to Hall's first approximation and curve $C$ refers to Hall's second approximation. Examination of the figures reveals that althougi both solutions are identical at the throat, there are considerable differences (especially in che higher order coefficients) away from the throat. This explains the difference in sonic point location between the present analysis and Hall's noted in Figures 2-9 through 2-11. In particular, the sonic point displacement in the present anaiysis depends on the throat shape for all orders while Hall's first and second ordar resuits are independent of the throat shape. Tables 4-1 through 4-3 compare Hall's sonic point displacement results with those of the present analysis for hyperbolic, parabolic and circular arc throat shapes as a function of $\frac{1}{R}$ for flows with specific heat ratios of $1.2,1.4$ and 1.67. Examination of the tables revea's that there is a noticeable effect of wall shape on the sonir point displacement. Comparison of the first order and second order results between themselves reveals that Hall's results do not fall between those for the three nozzle shapes. It would appear that Hall's analysis is applicable only to regions very near the throat and that his results away from the throat in the neighborhood of the sonic point are valid only for values of the normalized wall radius of curvature of five or greater.

Comparison of Hall's results with the present solution for planar flows reveals the same relationship between the two first order and second order analyses as was shown for axisymmetric flows. Although the present third order solution has not been complately worked out, comparison of Hall's third order results with the third order throat boundary conditions obtained for the present analysis reveals that the third order throat condition obtained from the two anelyses differ. In particular, the third order throat conditions obtained for the present analysis depend on the wall shape (whether parabolin, hyperbolic or circular arc) while Hall's results do not. Since the present solution is uniformly valid for all nozzle flow regimes while Hall's solution is limited to the throat region, it appears that Hall's third and higher order colutions may be of mixed order in relationship to the present analysis. Resolution of this point is beyond the scope of the current study b'c it would appear that Hall's third order results mey be severely limited in their applicability.

Tablc 4-1. Sonic Point Displacement on Ax: 3 of Axisymmetric Nozzle as a Function of Inverse Normalized Throat Wall Radius of Curvature ( $\gamma=1.2$ )

| $\frac{1}{\mathrm{R}}$ | Circular Throat | Parabolic Thrcat | Hyperbolic Throat | Hall's Results |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | from First Order Solution |  |  |
| $0 . \hat{i}$ | 0.0883 | 0.0883 | 0.0882 | 0.0829 |
| 0.2 | 0.1538 | 0.1337 | 0.1330 | 0.1173 |
| 0.3 | 0.1775 | 0.1767 | 0.1741 | ๆ. 1436 |
| 0.5 | 0.2863 | 0.2746 | 0.2576 | 0.1854 |
| 0.8 | -** | 0.6059 | 0.3928 | 0.234 .5 |
| 1.0 | -._* | - ** | 0.4804 | 0.2622 |
|  | - | from Second Orler Solution |  |  |
| 0.1 | 0.0790 | 0.0791 | 0.0794 | 0.0758 |
| 0.2 | 0.1033 | 0.1037 | 0.1057 | 0.1073 |
| 0.3 | 0.1121 | 0.1137 | 0.1195 | 0.1249 |
| 0.5 | 0.0970 | 0.1039 | 0.1215 | 0.1386 |
| 0.8 | $0.0+11$ | 0.0502 | 0.0717 | 0.1075 |
| 1.0 | 0.0065 | 0.3088 | 0.0135 | 0.0239 |

[^0]Table 4-2. Sonic Point Displacemeat on Axis of Axisymmetric Nozzle as a Function of Inverse Nemalized Throat. Wall Radius of Curvature ( $\gamma=1.4$ )

| $\frac{I}{R}$ | Circular Throat | Parabolic Throat | Hyperbolic Throat | Hall's Results |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | from First Order Solution |  |  |
| 0.1 | 0.0924 | 0.0924 | 0.0922 | 0.0856 |
| 0.2 | 0.1403 | 0.1402 | 0.1393 | 0.1225 |
| 0.3 | 0.1866 | 0.1857 | 0.1827 | 0.1500 |
| 0.5 | 0.3049 | 0.2907 | 0.2706 | 0.1936 |
| 0.8 |  | 0.6808 | 0.4094 | 0.2449 |
| 1.0 | * | * | 0.4953 | 0.2739 |
|  | from Second Order Solution |  |  |  |
| 0.1 | 0.0824 | 0.0824 | 0.0828 | 0.0832 |
| 0.2 | 0.1070 | 0.1075 | 0.1098 | 0.1122 |
| 0.3 | 0.1150 | 0.1168 | 0.1234 | 0.1297 |
| 0.5 | 0.0962 | 0.1036 | 0.1229 | 01422 |
| 0.8 | 0.0370 | 0.0455 | 0.0659 | 0.1028 |
| 1.0 | 0.0021 | 0.0029 | 0.0045 | 0.0101 |

* No solution obtained.

Table 4-3. Sonic Point Displacement on Axis of Axisymnetric Gozzle as $a$ Function of inverse Normalised Throat Nall Radius of Curvature $(\gamma=1.67)$

| $\frac{1}{\mathrm{R}}$ | Circular Throat | Parabolic Ihroat | Hyperbolic Throat | Hall's Results |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\frac{x^{*}}{y^{*}}$ from First Order Solution |  |  |  |
| 0.1 | 0.0977 | 0.0977 | 0.0975 | 0.0913 |
| 0.2 | C. 1488 | 0.1486 | 0.1476 | 0.1292 |
| 0.3 | 0.1987 | 0.1975 | 0.1939 | 0.1582 |
| 0.5 | 0.3308 | 0.3124 | 0.2876 | 0.2043 |
| 0.8 | _* | $\ldots$ | 0.4309 | 0.2584 |
| 1.0 | _* | _* | 0.5148 | 0.2889 |
|  |  | from Second Order Scjution |  |  |
| 0.1 | 0.0866 | 0.0866 | 0.0870 | 0.0876 |
| 0.2 | 0.1116 | 0.1121 | 0.1148 | 0.1178 |
| 0.3 | 0.1181 | 0.1202 | 0.1279 | 0. 1355 |
| 0.5 | 0.0943 | 0.1021 | 0.1233 | 0.1461 |
| 0.8 | 0.0314 | 0.0389 | 0.0569 | 0.0944 |
| 1.0 | -0.0036 | -0.0046 | -0.0075 | -0.0181 |

* No solution obtained.

Figure 4-2. ${ }^{2}$


Figure 4-1. $a_{o}$ vs. $z$











## 5. TWO-ZOHE NOZZLE EXPANSTONS

Since most rocket engines operate with a cool "barrier" zone near the wall tc protect the thrust chamber from the hot "core" gases, the exhaust gas expansiun through rocket engines can generally be represented as a two-zone expansion as shown in Figure 5-1. In order to simplify the analysis, the barrier $z$ one is assumed to be confined to an annular ring. Thus the flow is axisymmetric in both zones. (The analysis is also applicatle to two-dimensional nozzle flows in which che outer zone is planar.) Although it will be shown that the equations governing the two-zone expans: in reduce to those for a uniform expansion, the two-zone solution will be derived separately.

The equations governing the inviscad isentropic expansion of two perfect gases through a nozzle are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(1-u^{2}-\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1} v^{2}\right) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}+\left(1-v^{2}-\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1} u^{2}\right) \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}+\left[1-\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1}\left(u^{2}+v^{2}\right)\right] \frac{\omega v}{y} \\
& \quad-\frac{4}{\gamma+1} u v \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}=0  \tag{5-1}\\
& \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}-\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}=0 \tag{5-2}
\end{align*}
$$

in the inner zone and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(1-\bar{u}^{2}-\frac{\bar{\gamma}-1}{\bar{\gamma}+1} \bar{v}^{2}\right) \frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial x}+\left(1-\bar{v}^{2}-\frac{\bar{y}-1}{\bar{\gamma}+1} \bar{u}^{2}\right) \frac{\partial \bar{v}}{\partial y}+\left[1-\frac{\bar{\gamma}-1}{\bar{\gamma}+1}\left(\bar{u}^{2}+\bar{v}^{2}\right)\right] \frac{\omega \bar{v}}{y} \\
& \quad-\frac{4}{\bar{\gamma}+1}-\overline{u v} \frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial y}=0  \tag{5-3}\\
& \frac{\partial \bar{v}}{\partial x}-\frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial y}=0 \tag{5-4}
\end{align*}
$$

In the outer zone where the velocities have been normalized with respect to the appropriate throat sonic velocity and wequals 0 or 1 , depending on whether the nozzle is planar or axisymmetric. As in the previous analysis, we shall seek solutions of the above equations in nondimensional coordinates chosen from the shannel flow solutions such that the various velocity derivatives are independent of the nozzle scale for large values of the normalized throat wall radius of curvature. It can be shown from the two-zone channel flow solutions (see Appendix A)
that for choked flows

$$
\begin{align*}
& u=1+\sqrt{\frac{\alpha+1}{\gamma+1} \frac{k}{R}} \frac{x}{y^{*}}+\ldots  \tag{5-5}\\
& \vec{u}=1+\frac{\gamma}{\bar{\gamma}} \sqrt{\frac{\omega+1}{\gamma+1} \frac{k}{R} \frac{x}{y^{*}}+\ldots} \tag{5-6}
\end{align*}
$$

at the nozzle throat where $x$ is the distance from the throat plane, $y$ * is the throat half height, $R$ is the normalized throat wall radius of curvature and $k$ is a dimensionless constant of order one. Examination of these equations reveals that as in the previous analysis, the axial nozzie coordinate $x$ must be normalized with respect to the distance $\sqrt{R} y^{*}$ in order for the dimensionless axial velocity. gradients to remain of order one at the nozzie throat independent of the nozzle scale. Similarly, since the nozzle scale perpendicular to the nozzle axis is set by the throat haif height $y^{*}$, the perpendicular coordxanie $y$ shouid be normalszed with respest to the distance $y^{*}$. Thus, solutions to the above equations for large values of the normalized throat wall radius of curvr $E$ should again be sought in terms of the normalized coordinates

$$
\begin{align*}
& z=\sqrt{\frac{1}{R}} \frac{x}{y^{*}}  \tag{5-7}\\
& r=\frac{y}{y^{*}} \tag{5-8}
\end{align*}
$$

rather than in the $x, y$ coordinate system.
In the $r, z$ coordinate system, the above equations become

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sqrt{\frac{1}{R}}\left(1-u^{2}-\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1} v^{2}\right) \frac{\partial u}{\partial z}+\left(1-v^{2}-\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1} u^{2}\right) \frac{\partial v}{\partial r}+\left[1-\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1}\left(u^{2}+v^{2}\right)\right] \frac{\omega v}{r} \\
& -\frac{4}{\gamma+1} u v \frac{\partial u}{\partial r}=0  \tag{5-9}\\
& \sqrt{\frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial v}{\partial z}-\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}=0} \tag{5-10}
\end{align*}
$$

in the inner zone and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sqrt{\frac{1}{R}}\left(1-\bar{u}^{2}-\frac{\bar{\gamma}-1}{\bar{\gamma}+1} \bar{v}^{2}\right) \frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial z}+\left(1-\bar{v}^{2}-\frac{\bar{\gamma}-1}{\bar{\gamma}+1} \bar{u}^{2}\right) \frac{\partial \bar{v}}{\partial r}+\left[1-\frac{\bar{\gamma}-1}{\bar{\gamma}+1}\left(\bar{u}^{2}+\bar{v}^{2}\right)\right] \frac{\omega \bar{v}}{r} \\
&-\frac{4}{\bar{\gamma}+1} \bar{u} \bar{v} \frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial r}=0 \tag{5-11}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{\frac{1}{k}} \frac{\partial \bar{v}}{\partial z}-\frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial r}=0 \tag{5-12}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the outer zone.
The boundary conditions on the axis and at the wall are

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(0, z)=0 \tag{5-13}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\bar{v}\left(r_{W}, z\right)}{\bar{u}\left(r_{W}, z\right)}=\sqrt{\frac{1}{R}} \frac{d r_{W}}{d z} \tag{5-14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the flow angle and pressure $m \in t c h$ at the streamline dividing the two zones, the boundary conditions at the dividing streamline are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{v\left(r_{s}, z\right)}{u\left(r_{s}, z\right)}=\frac{\bar{v}\left(r_{s}, z\right)}{\bar{u}\left(r_{s}, z\right)}=\sqrt{\frac{1}{R} \frac{d r_{s}}{d z}}  \tag{5-15}\\
& P *\left\{\frac{\gamma+1}{2}\left[1-\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1}\left(u\left(r_{s}, z\right)^{2}+v\left(r_{s}, z\right)^{2}\right)\right]\right\}^{\frac{\gamma}{\gamma-1}} \\
& =\bar{P} *\left\{\frac{\bar{\gamma}+1}{2}\left[1-\frac{\bar{\gamma}-1}{\bar{\gamma}+1}\left(\bar{u}\left(r_{s}, z\right)^{2}+\bar{v}\left(r_{s}, z\right)^{2}\right)\right]\right\}^{\bar{\gamma}-1} \tag{5-16}
\end{align*}
$$

where $r_{s}$ is the radial position of the dividing streamline.
The sonic pressure is equal in both zones (see Appendix A) since this condition raximizes the mass flow through the nozzle and the throat plane then sets the flow through the nozzles. There are uther families of solutions to the above equations for different pressure c\&nditions (such as the total pressure in both zones being equal). In these solutions, the flow is not set at the throat plane but is set elsewhere in the flow system. These solutions (which correspond to nozzle flows with controlled external bleed such as occur in jet engines or ducted rockets) will not be further considered in this report.

Since $u, \bar{u}, \frac{d r}{d z}$ and $\frac{d r_{w}}{d z}$ are $O(1)$ at the throat, $v$ and $\bar{v}$ must both be $0\left(R^{-\cdots / 2}\right)$. This suggests that the velocity components in both zones can be expressed as expansions in inverse power of $R$ for large values of the normalized
throat wall radius of curvature, i.e.,

$$
\begin{align*}
& u=u_{0}(r, z)+\frac{u_{1}(r, z)}{R}+\frac{u_{2}(r, z)}{R^{2}}+\ldots  \tag{5-1?}\\
& v=\sqrt{\frac{1}{R}}\left[v_{0}(r, z)+\frac{v_{1}(r, z)}{R}+\frac{v_{2}(r, z)}{R^{2}}+\ldots\right]  \tag{5-18}\\
& \bar{u}=\bar{u}_{0}(r, z)+\frac{\bar{u}_{1}(r, z)}{R}+\frac{\bar{u}_{2}(r, z)}{R^{2}}+\ldots  \tag{5-19}\\
& \bar{v}=\sqrt{\frac{1}{R}}\left[\bar{v}_{0}(r, z)+\frac{\bar{v}_{1}(r, z)}{R}+\frac{v_{2}(r, z)}{R^{2}}+\ldots\right] \tag{5-20}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting into equations (5-9) through (5-12) and equating powers of $R^{-1}$ separately gives the following sets of equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(1-u_{0}^{2}\right) \frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial z}+\left(1-\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1} u_{0}^{2}\right)\left(\frac{{ }^{2} v_{0}}{\partial r}+\frac{\omega v_{o}}{r}\right)=0  \tag{5-21}\\
& \frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial r}=0  \tag{5-22}\\
& \left(1-u_{0}^{2}\right) \frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial z}+\left(1-\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1} u_{0}^{2} \left\lvert\,\left(\frac{\partial v_{n}}{\partial r}+\frac{\omega v_{n}}{r}\right)-\frac{4}{\gamma+1} u_{0} v_{0} \frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial r}=\phi_{n}\right., \quad n \geqslant 1\right.  \tag{5-23}\\
& \frac{\partial v_{n-1}}{\partial z}-\frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial r}=0, \quad n \geqslant 1 \tag{5-24}
\end{align*}
$$

in the inner zone where

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi_{1} & =\left(2 u_{0} u_{1}+\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1} v_{0}^{2}\right) \frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial z}+\left(v_{0}^{2}+2 \frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1} u_{0} u_{1}\right) \frac{\partial v_{0}}{\partial r}+\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1}\left(2 u_{0} u_{1}+v_{0}^{2}\right) \frac{\omega v_{0}}{r}( \\
\phi_{2} & =\left(2 u_{0} u_{2}+u_{1}^{2}+2 \frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1} v_{0} v_{1} \left\lvert\, \frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial z}+\left[\left.2 v_{0} v_{1}+\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1} \right\rvert\, 2 u_{0} u_{2}+u_{1}^{2}\right)\right.\right] \frac{\partial v_{0}}{\partial r} \\
& +\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1}\left(2 u_{0} u_{2}+u_{1}^{2}+2 v_{0} v_{1} \left\lvert\, \frac{\omega v_{0}}{r}+\left(\left.2 u_{0} u_{1}+\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1} v_{0}^{2} \right\rvert\, \frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial z}\right.\right.\right. \\
& +\left(v_{0}^{2}+2 \frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1} u_{0} u_{1}\left|\frac{\partial v_{1}}{\partial r}+\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1}\right| 2 u_{0} u_{1}+v_{0}^{2}\right) \frac{\omega v_{1}}{r}+\frac{4}{\gamma+1}\left(u_{0} v_{1}+u_{1} v_{0} \left\lvert\, \frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial r}\right.\right. \tag{5-26}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1-\bar{u}_{0}^{2}\right) \frac{\partial \bar{u}_{0}}{\partial z}+\left(1-\frac{\bar{\gamma}-1}{\bar{\gamma}+1} \bar{u}_{0}^{2}\right)\left|\frac{\partial \bar{v}_{0}}{\partial r}+\frac{\omega \bar{v}_{0}}{r}\right|=0 \tag{5-27}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial \bar{u}_{0}}{\partial r}=0  \tag{5-28}\\
& \left(1-\bar{u}_{0}^{2}\right) \frac{\partial \bar{u}_{n}}{\partial z}+\left(1-\frac{\bar{\gamma}-1}{\bar{\gamma}+1} \bar{u}_{0}^{2}\right)\left(\frac{\partial \bar{v}_{n}}{\partial r}+\frac{\omega \bar{v}_{n}}{r}\right)-\frac{4}{\gamma^{+}+1} \bar{u}_{0} \bar{v}_{0} \frac{\partial \bar{u}_{n}}{\partial r}=\bar{\phi}_{n}, \quad n \geqslant 1  \tag{5-29}\\
& \frac{\partial \bar{v}_{n-1}}{\partial z}-\frac{\partial \bar{u}_{n}}{\partial r}=0, \quad n \geqslant 1 \tag{5-30}
\end{align*}
$$

in the outer zone where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{\phi}_{1}=\left(2 \bar{u}_{0} \bar{u}_{1}+\frac{\bar{\gamma}-1}{\bar{\gamma}+1} \bar{v}_{0}^{2}\right) \frac{\partial \bar{u}_{0}}{\partial z}+\left(\bar{v}_{0}^{2}+2 \frac{\bar{\gamma}-1}{\bar{\gamma}+1} \bar{u}_{0} \bar{u}_{1}\right) \frac{\partial \bar{v}_{0}}{\partial r}+\frac{\bar{\gamma}-1}{\bar{\gamma}+1}\left(2 \bar{u}_{0} \bar{u}_{1}+\bar{v}_{0}^{2}\right)^{\omega \bar{v}_{0}} \frac{\bar{o}_{0}}{r}  \tag{5-31}\\
& \left.\bar{\phi}_{2}=\left|2 \bar{u}_{o} \bar{u}_{2}+\bar{u}_{1}^{2}+2 \frac{\bar{\gamma}-1}{\bar{\gamma}+1} \bar{v}_{o} \bar{v}_{1}\right| \frac{\partial \bar{u}_{o}}{\partial z}+\left[\left.2 \bar{v}_{c} \bar{v}_{1}+\frac{\bar{\gamma}-1}{\bar{\gamma}+1} \right\rvert\, 2 \bar{u}_{o} \bar{u}_{2}+\bar{u}_{1}^{2}\right\}\right] \frac{\partial \bar{v}_{o}}{\partial r} \\
& +\frac{\bar{\gamma}-1}{\bar{\gamma}+1}\left(2 \bar{u}_{0} \bar{u}_{2}+\bar{u}_{1}^{2}+2 \bar{v}_{0} \bar{v}_{1}\right) \frac{\bar{\omega}_{0}}{r}+\left(2 \bar{u}_{0} \bar{u}_{1}+\frac{\bar{\gamma}-1}{\bar{\gamma}+1} \bar{v}_{0}^{2}\right) \frac{\partial \bar{u}_{1}}{\partial z}+\left(\bar{v}_{0}^{2}+2 \frac{\bar{\gamma}-1}{\bar{\gamma}_{1}} \bar{u}_{0} \bar{u}_{1}\right) \frac{\partial \bar{v}_{1}}{\partial r} \\
& +\frac{\bar{\gamma}-1}{\bar{\gamma}+1}\left(2 \bar{u}_{0} \bar{u}_{1}+\bar{v}_{0}^{2}\right) \frac{\omega \bar{v}_{1}}{r}+\frac{-4}{\bar{\gamma}+1}\left(\bar{u}_{0} \bar{v}_{1}+\bar{u}_{1} \bar{v}_{0}\right) \frac{\partial \bar{u}_{1}}{\partial r} \tag{5-32}
\end{align*}
$$

The above system of equations are identical to those governing a uniform expansion. Thus, the solution for the inner zore is identical to the solution previously derived excepr that the dividing streamline boundary condition replaces the wall boundary condition. As was shown earlier [equation (2-23)], the complete solution of the above equations for $v_{0}$ is of the farm

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{0}(r, z)=a_{1}(z) r+\omega a_{3}(z) r^{-1}+(1-\omega) a_{5}(z) \tag{5-33}
\end{equation*}
$$

wher? the functions $a_{3}(x)$ and $a_{5}(z)$ are identically zeio in uniform expansions and in the fner zone. In the outer zone, however, $a_{3}(z)$ and $a_{5}(z)$ are not identically zero but are determined from the dividing streamline boundary conditions. Thus, the outer zone soluitions concain additional terms dependent on $a_{3}(z)$ and $a_{5}(z)$ which do not appear in the inner zone soilutions. Since plauar two-zone expansions were nec of interest in the present study, only the axisymmetric solution is given belcw.

Since the solution in both zones is obtained as power series in inverse powers of $R$, it is convenient to reduce the boundary conditions to a series of conditions for the various order solutions. The axis and wall boundary conditions are

$$
\begin{align*}
& v_{n}(0, z)=0  \tag{5-34}\\
& \bar{v}_{n}\left(r_{w}, z\right)=\bar{u}_{n}\left(r_{w}, z\right) \frac{d r}{d z} \tag{5-35}
\end{align*}
$$

By expanding the position of the dividing streamline ( $r_{s}$ ) in inverse powers of $z$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{s}(z)=r_{s 1}(z)+\frac{r_{s 1}(z)}{R}+\frac{r_{s 2}(z)}{R^{2}}+\ldots \tag{5-16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and noting that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u\left(r_{s}, z\right)=u\left(r_{s,}, z\right)+\left.\frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial u}{\partial r}\right|_{r_{s 0^{\prime}},} r_{s 1}(z)+\frac{1}{R^{2}}\left[\left.\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}\right|_{r_{s 0}, z} r_{s 2}(z)\right. \\
& \left.+\left.\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial r^{2}}\right|_{r_{s 0}, z} r_{s 1}(z)^{2}\right]+\ldots \\
& =u_{0}\left(r_{s o}, z\right)+\frac{1}{R}\left[u_{1}\left(r_{s o}, z\right)+\left.\frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial r}\right|_{r_{s 0}, z} r_{s 1}(z)\right]+\frac{1}{R^{2}}\left[u_{2}\left(r_{s o}, z\right)\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

(and similarly for the other velocity components), the first dividing streamline boundary condition [equation (5-15)] call be rewritten in terms of the various order solutions as

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{0}\left(r_{80}, z\right)=u_{0}\left(r_{s 0}, z\right) \frac{d r_{s 0}}{d z} \tag{5-38}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{v}_{0}\left(r_{s_{0}}, z\right)=\bar{u}_{0}\left(r_{s o}, z\right) \frac{d r_{s c}}{d z} \tag{5-41}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\bar{v}_{1}\left(r_{s o}, z\right)+\left.\frac{\partial \bar{v}_{0}}{\partial r}\right|_{r_{s o}, z} r_{\varepsilon_{1}}(z)=\left[\bar{u}_{1}\left(r_{s o,}, z\right)+\left.\frac{\partial \bar{u}_{0}}{\partial r}\right|_{r_{s o}, z} r_{s l}(z)\right]_{d}^{d r} \frac{r_{s o}}{d z}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
+\bar{u}_{0}\left(r_{s}, z\right) \frac{d r_{s l}}{d z} \tag{5-42}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& +u_{0}\left(r_{s o}, z\right) \frac{d r}{d z}  \tag{5-39}\\
& v_{2}\left(r_{s o}, z\right)+\left.\frac{\partial v_{1}}{\partial r}\right|_{r_{s o}, z} r_{s 1}(z)+\left.\frac{\partial v_{o}}{\partial r}\right|_{r_{s o}, z} r_{s 2}(z)+\left.\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^{2} v_{2}}{\partial z^{2}}\right|_{r_{s 0}, z} r_{s 1}(z)^{2} \\
& =\left[u_{2}\left(r_{s o}, z\right)+\left.\frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial r}\right|_{r_{s o}, z} r_{s 1}(z)+\left.\frac{\partial u_{o}}{\partial r}\right|_{r_{s 0,}, z} r_{s 2}(z)\right.
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& +u_{0}\left(r_{s 0^{\prime}}, \frac{\left.d r_{s}\right)^{d z}}{}\right. \tag{5-40}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\left[\bar{u}_{2}^{\prime} r_{s 0}, z\right)+\left.\frac{\partial \bar{u}_{1}}{\partial r}\right|_{r_{S O}, z} r_{s 1}(z)+\left.\frac{\partial \bar{u}_{o}}{\partial r}\right|_{r_{S O}, z} r_{s 2}(z)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& +\bar{u}_{c}\left(r_{s o}, z\right) \frac{d r_{s 2}}{d z} \tag{5-43}
\end{align*}
$$

by equating inverse powers of $R$. vimilarly, by expanding as a power series and equating inverse powers or $R$, the second dividing streamline boundary cordition [equation (5-16)] can be rewritten in terms of the various order solutions as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{\frac{\gamma+1}{2}\left[1-\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1} u_{0}\left(r_{s o}, z\right)^{2}\right]\right\}^{\frac{\gamma}{\gamma-1}}=\left\{\frac{\bar{\gamma}+1}{2}\left[1-\frac{\overline{\gamma-1}}{\bar{\gamma}+1} \bar{u}_{c}\left(r_{s 0^{\prime}} z\right)^{2}\right]\right\}^{\overline{\bar{\gamma}-1}}  \tag{5-44}\\
& \frac{\gamma}{\gamma+1}\left[1-\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1} u_{0}\left(r_{: 30}, z\right)^{2}\right]^{-1}\left\{2 u_{0}\left(r_{s o}, z\right)\left[u_{1}\left(r_{s o}, z\right)+\left.\frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial r}\right|_{r_{s o}, z} r_{s 1}(z)\right]\right. \\
& \left.+v_{0}\left(r_{s o}, z\right)^{2}\right\} \\
& =\frac{\bar{\gamma}}{\bar{\gamma}+1}\left[1 \cdots \frac { \overline { \gamma } - 1 } { \overline { \gamma } + 1 } \overline { u } _ { 0 } ( r _ { s 0 ^ { \prime } } , z ) ^ { 2 j ^ { - 1 } } \left\{2 \overline { u } _ { 0 } ( r _ { s 0 ^ { \prime } } z ) \left[\bar{u}_{1}\left(r_{s 0^{\prime}} z+\left.\frac{\partial \bar{u}_{o}}{\partial r_{i}}\right|_{s 0^{\prime}}, r_{s 1}(z)\right]\right.\right.\right. \\
& \left.+\vec{v}_{0}\left(r_{S O}, z\right)^{2}\right\} \tag{5-45}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\gamma}{(\gamma+1)^{2}}\left[1-\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1} u_{0}\left(r_{S O}, z\right)^{2}\right]^{-2}\left\{2 u_{o}\left(r_{S O}, z\right)\left[u_{1}\left(r_{S O}, z\right)+\left.\frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial r}\right|_{r_{S O}, z} r_{S l}(z)\right]\right. \\
& +v_{0}\left(r_{s o}, z\right)^{2}{ }^{2}-\frac{\gamma}{\gamma+1}\left[1-\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1} u_{0}\left(r_{s C}, z\right)^{2}\right]^{-1} \\
& \left\{2 u _ { 0 } ( r _ { s o } , z ) \left[u_{2}\left(r_{s c}, z\right)+\left.\frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial r}\right|_{r_{S O}, z} r_{s 1}\left(z j+\left.\frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial r}\right|_{r_{s o}, z} r_{s 2}(z)\right.\right.\right. \\
& \left.+\left.\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{o}}{\partial r^{2}}\right|_{r_{s O}, z} r_{s l}(z)^{2}\right\}+\left[u_{1}\left(r_{s o}, z\right)+\left.\frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial r}\right|_{r_{S O}, z} r_{s 1}(z)\right]^{2} \\
& \left.+2 v_{o}\left(r_{s o}, z\right)\left\{v_{1}\left(r_{s o}, z\right)+\left.\frac{\partial v_{o}}{\partial r}\right|_{r_{s o}, z} r_{s 1}(z)\right]\right\} \\
& =\frac{\bar{y}}{(\bar{y}+1)^{2}}\left[i-\frac{\bar{y}-1}{\bar{\gamma}+1} \bar{u}_{0}\left(r_{s o}, z\right)^{2}\right]^{-2}\left\{2 \overline { u } _ { 0 } ( r _ { s o } , z ) \left[\bar{u}_{1}\left(r_{s o}, z\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\left.\frac{\partial \bar{u}_{o}}{\partial r}\right|_{r_{s o}, z} r_{s 1}(z)\right]+\bar{v}_{0}\left(r_{s o}, z\right)^{2}\right\}^{2} \\
& -\frac{\bar{\gamma}}{\bar{\gamma}+1}\left[1-\frac{\bar{\gamma}-1}{\bar{\gamma}+1} \bar{u}_{0}\left(r_{s 0}, z\right)^{2}\right]^{-1}\left\{2 \overline { u } _ { 0 } ( r _ { s o } , z ) \left[\bar{u}_{2}\left(r_{s 0}, z\right)+\left.\frac{\partial \bar{u}_{1}}{\partial r}\right|_{r_{s 0^{\prime}}, 2} \bar{z}_{s 1}(z)\right.\right. \\
& \left.+\left.\frac{\partial \vec{u}_{0}}{\partial r}\right|_{r, z} r_{s 2}(z)+\left.\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^{2} \hat{u}_{0}}{\partial r^{2}}\right|_{r_{s 0}, z} r_{s 1}(z)^{2}\right] \\
& +\left[\bar{u}_{1}\left(r_{s 0^{\prime}}, z\right)+\left.\frac{\partial \bar{u}_{o}}{\partial r}\right|_{r_{s 0^{\prime}} z} r_{s 1}(z)\right]^{2}+2 \bar{v}_{o}\left(r_{s 0^{\prime}}, z\right)\left[\bar{v}_{1}\left(r_{s 0^{\prime}}, z\right)\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\left.\frac{\bar{\partial}_{\hat{v}}}{\partial r}\right|_{r_{s 0}, z} i_{s 1}(z)\right]\right\} \tag{5-46}
\end{align*}
$$

The boundary condition on the position of the dividing streamline is that the ratio of mass flows + l. rough the two zones be constant at the throat. This condition can be expressed as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\int_{r_{0}(0)}^{1} 2-\bar{p}(r, o) \bar{u}(r, 0) d r}{\int_{0}^{r_{0}(0)} 2 \pi r o(r, o) u(r, 0) d r}=\frac{\bar{\rho} \hbar_{a} \bar{a}^{*}}{\rho^{*} a^{*}}\left\{\frac{1-r_{s o}(0)^{2}}{r_{s o}(0)^{2}}-\frac{1}{R^{2}}\left[\frac{\bar{r}+1}{2} \int_{r_{s o}}^{1}(0)^{2 \pi r \bar{u}_{j}(r, o) d r}\right.\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{\gamma+1}{2} \int_{0}^{r}{ }^{s o}(0) 2 \pi r u_{1}(r, o) d r\right]+\ldots l \\
& =\text { constant } \tag{5-47}
\end{align*}
$$

by expanding the irtegrals as a power series in $\mathrm{R}^{-1}$. Substituting for $\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{s}}(0)$ [equation (5-36)] and equating powers of $\mathrm{R}^{-1}$ to zero yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& r_{s j}(0)=\left[1+\frac{\bar{\lambda}}{1-\bar{x}} \frac{\rho * a^{*} \star}{\rho * a *}\right]^{-1 / 2}  \tag{5-48}\\
& r_{s 1}(0)=0  \tag{5-49}\\
& r_{s 2}(0)=\frac{r_{S O}\left[1-r_{S O}(0)^{2}\right]}{2}\left[\frac{\gamma+1}{\hat{2}} \int_{0}^{r_{S O}^{(0)}} 2 \pi r u_{1}(r, 0) d r\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{\bar{\gamma}+1}{2} \int_{r_{s o}}^{1}(0) 2 \pi r \bar{u}_{1}(r, 0) d r\right] \tag{5-50}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\bar{x}$ is the fraction of the nozzle mass flow in the outer zone.

Equaticns (5-22) and (5-28) show that $u_{0}(x, z)$ and $\bar{u}_{0}(r, z)$ are functions of $z$ alone. Thus,

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{0}(r, z)=a_{0}(z)  \tag{5-51}\\
& \bar{u}_{0}(r, z)=\bar{a}_{0}(z) \tag{5-52}
\end{align*}
$$

Equations (5-21), (5-27) and (5-34) are satisifed if $v_{0}(r, z)$ and $\bar{v}_{0}(r, z)$ are of the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& v_{o}(r, \bar{z})=a_{1}(z) r  \tag{5-53}\\
& \bar{v}_{0}(r, z)=\bar{a}_{1}(z) r+\bar{a}_{3}(z) r^{-1} \tag{5-54}
\end{align*}
$$

From the remaining boundary conditions [equations (5-35), (5-38), (5-41), (5-44) and (5-48)], it is easily showni thät

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{1}=\frac{a_{0}}{r_{s o}} \frac{d r_{s o}}{d z}  \tag{5-55}\\
& \bar{a}_{1}=\frac{a_{0}}{r_{w}{ }^{2}-r_{s o}^{2}}\left(r_{w} \frac{d r_{w}}{d z}-r_{s o} \frac{d r_{s o}}{d z}\right)  \tag{5-56}\\
& \bar{a}_{3}=\frac{a_{0} r_{w}{ }^{r} s o}{r_{w}{ }^{2}-r_{s o}^{2}}\left|r_{w} \frac{d r_{s o}}{d z}-r_{s o} \frac{d r_{w}}{d z}\right|  \tag{b-57}\\
& \left\{\frac{\gamma+1}{2}\left[1-\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1} u_{0}^{2}\right]\right\} \frac{\gamma}{\gamma-1}=\left\{\frac{\gamma+1}{2}\left[1-\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1} u_{o}^{2}\right]\right\}^{\frac{\gamma}{\gamma-1}} \\
& r_{s o}(0)=\left[1+\frac{\bar{x}}{1-\bar{x}} \frac{\rho \star_{a} k}{\bar{\rho} a_{a} *}\right]^{-1 / 2} \tag{5-59}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting the above results into equations (5-21) and (5-27) yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\left.\left(1-a_{0}^{2}\right) \frac{d e_{0}}{d z}+2 \right\rvert\, 1-\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1} a_{0}^{2}\right)^{\frac{a}{r_{0}}} \frac{d r}{d z} \frac{s o}{d z}=0 \tag{5-60}
\end{align*}
$$

which are the one-dimensional channel flow equations. The solution of the above equations defines the one-dimensional velocity distribution ( $u_{0}, v_{0}, \bar{u}_{0}$ and $\bar{v}_{0}$ )
and dividing streamline location ( $\because_{s u}$ ) Linrough the nozzle. Since the one-dimencional solution is valid for all (subsonic, Lransonic and supersonic) nozzle flow regimes, the present $30 i u t i o n$ will also be valid fur all nozzle fiow regimes.

The first order equations are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(1-u_{0}^{2}\right)^{\partial u_{1}} \frac{\partial z}{\partial z}\left(1-\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1} u_{0}^{2}\right)\left(\frac{\partial v_{1}}{\partial r}+\frac{v_{1}}{r}\right)-\frac{4}{\gamma+1} u_{0} v_{0} \frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial r} \\
& =\left(2 c_{0} u_{1}+\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1} v_{0}^{2}\right)^{\partial u_{0}} \frac{\partial z}{\partial z}+\left(v_{0}^{2}+2 \frac{\partial-1}{\partial+1} u_{0} u_{1}\right)^{\partial v_{0}} \frac{\partial r}{\partial r}+\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1}\left|2 u_{0} u_{1}+v_{0}^{2}\right|_{i}^{v_{0}}  \tag{5-62}\\
& \frac{\partial v_{0}}{\partial z}-\frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial r}=0  \tag{5-63}\\
& \left(1-\bar{u}_{0}^{2}\right) \frac{\partial \bar{u}_{1}}{\partial z}+\left(1-\frac{\bar{\gamma}-1}{\bar{\gamma}+1} \cdot \bar{u}_{0}^{2}\right)\left(\frac{\partial \bar{v}_{1}}{\partial r}+\frac{\bar{v}_{1}}{z}\right)-\frac{\dot{4}}{\bar{\gamma}+1} \bar{u}_{0} \bar{v}_{0} \frac{\partial \bar{u}_{1}}{\partial r} \\
& \left.=\left(2 \bar{u}_{0} \bar{u}_{1}+\frac{\bar{\gamma}-1}{\bar{\gamma}+1} \bar{v}_{0}^{2}\right) \frac{\partial \bar{u}_{0}}{\partial z}+1 \bar{v}_{0}^{2}+2 \frac{\bar{\gamma}-1}{\bar{\gamma}+1} \bar{u}_{0} \bar{u}_{1} \right\rvert\, \frac{\partial \bar{v}_{0}}{\partial r}+\frac{\bar{\gamma}-1}{\bar{\gamma}+1}\left(2 \bar{u}_{0} \bar{u}_{1}+\bar{v}_{0}^{2}\right)_{0}^{\bar{v}_{0}}  \tag{5-64}\\
& \frac{\partial \bar{v}_{0}}{\partial z}-\frac{\partial \bar{u}_{1}}{\partial r}=0 \tag{5-65}
\end{align*}
$$

From equations $(5-53),(5-54),(5-63)$ and $(5-65)$, it is easily shown that

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{1}=b_{0}(z)+b_{2}(z) r^{2}  \tag{5-66}\\
& \bar{u}_{1}=\bar{b}_{0}(z)+\bar{b}_{2}(z) r^{2}+\bar{b}_{4}(z) \ln r \tag{5-67}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{b}_{2}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{da}}{1} \frac{\mathrm{dz}}{} \\
& \bar{b}_{2}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{\overline{d a}_{1}}{\mathrm{dz}}  \tag{5-69}\\
& \overline{\mathrm{~b}}_{4}=\frac{d \bar{a}_{3}}{\mathrm{dz}} \tag{5-70}
\end{align*}
$$

From equations $(5-34),(5-62),(5-64),(5-00)$ and $(5-67)$, it can be shown that

$$
\begin{align*}
v_{1} & =b_{1}(z) r+b_{3}(z) r^{3}  \tag{5-71}\\
\bar{v}_{1} & =\bar{b}_{1}(z) r+\bar{b}_{3}(z) r^{3}+\bar{b}_{5}(z) r \ln r+\bar{b}_{7}(z) r^{-1} \\
& +\bar{b}_{y}(z) r^{-3}+\bar{b}_{11}(z) r^{-1} \ln r \tag{5-72}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(1-a_{0}^{2}\right) \frac{d o_{o}}{d z}+2\left(1-\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1} a_{0}^{2}\right) b_{1}=2 a_{0} b_{0}\left[\frac{d a}{d z}+2 \frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1} a_{1}\right]  \tag{5-73}\\
& \left(1-a_{o}^{2}\right) \frac{d b_{2}}{d z}+4\left(1-\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1} a_{0}^{2}\right) b_{3}-\frac{8}{\gamma+1} a_{o} a_{1} b_{2} \\
& =2 a_{o} b_{2}: \frac{d a}{d z}+2 \frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1} a_{1}!+a_{1} 2\left\{\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1} \frac{d a}{d z}+\frac{2 \gamma}{\gamma+1} a_{1}\right]  \tag{5-74}\\
& \left(1-\bar{a}_{0}^{2}\right) \frac{d \bar{b}_{o}}{d z}+\left(1-\frac{\bar{y}-1}{\bar{\gamma}+1} \bar{a}_{0}^{2}\right)\left(2 \bar{b}_{1}+\bar{b}_{5}\right)=2 \bar{a}_{0} \bar{b}_{0}\left[\frac{d \bar{a}_{0}}{d z}+2 \frac{\bar{y}-1}{\bar{\gamma}+1} \bar{a}_{1}\right] \\
& +2 \bar{a}_{1} \bar{a}_{3}\left[\frac{\bar{\gamma}-1}{\bar{\gamma}+1} \frac{d \bar{a}}{d z}+\frac{2 \bar{\gamma}}{\bar{\gamma}+1} \bar{a}_{1} \vdots-\frac{2}{\bar{\gamma}+1} \cdot \bar{a}_{1}{ }^{2} \bar{a}_{3}: \frac{4}{\bar{\gamma}+1} \bar{a}_{0} \bar{a}_{1} \frac{d \bar{a}_{3}}{d z}+\frac{4}{\bar{\gamma}+1} \bar{a}_{0} \bar{a}_{3} \frac{d \bar{a}_{1}}{d z}\right.  \tag{5-75}\\
& \left(1-\bar{a}_{o}^{2}\right) \frac{d \bar{b}_{2}}{d z}+4\left(1-\frac{\bar{\gamma}-1}{\bar{\gamma}+1} \bar{a}_{0}^{2}\right) \bar{b}_{3}-\frac{8}{\bar{\gamma}+1} \bar{a}_{o} \bar{a}_{1} \bar{b}_{2} \\
& =2 \bar{a}_{o} \bar{b}_{2}: \frac{d \bar{a}_{o}}{d z}+2 \frac{\bar{y}-1}{\bar{\gamma}+1}+\bar{a}_{1} 2 ; \frac{\bar{y}-1}{\bar{\gamma}+1} \frac{d \bar{a}_{o}}{d z}+\frac{2 \bar{y}}{\bar{\gamma}+1} \bar{a}_{1}  \tag{5-76}\\
& \left.\left|1-\bar{a}_{0}^{2}\right| \frac{d \bar{b}_{4}}{d z}+2\left(1-\frac{\bar{\gamma}-1}{\bar{\gamma}+i} \bar{a}_{0}^{2}\right) \bar{b}_{5}=2 \bar{a}_{0} \bar{b}_{4} \frac{d \bar{a}_{0}}{d z}<2 \frac{\bar{\gamma}-1}{\bar{\gamma}+1} a_{1} \right\rvert\,  \tag{5-77}\\
& 2\left(1-\frac{\bar{\gamma}-1}{\bar{\gamma}+1} \bar{a}_{0}^{2}\right) \bar{b}_{9}=\frac{2}{\bar{\gamma}+1} \bar{a}_{3}^{3} \tag{5-78}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1-\frac{\bar{\gamma}-1}{\bar{\gamma}+1} \bar{a}_{0}^{2}\right) \bar{b}_{11}=\bar{a}_{3} 2\left[\frac{\bar{z}_{1}}{\frac{d}{\gamma}+1} \frac{\bar{a}_{0}}{d z}+\frac{2 \bar{\gamma}}{\bar{\gamma}+1} \bar{a}_{1}\right]+\frac{4}{\bar{\gamma}+1} \bar{a}_{0} \bar{a}_{3} \frac{d \bar{a}_{3}}{d z}-\frac{4}{\bar{\gamma}^{\prime}+1} \bar{a}_{1} \bar{a}_{3}^{2} \tag{5-79}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the remairing boundary conditions [equations (5-35), (5-39), (5-42), (5-45) and (5-49) $j$, it $6 \therefore$ n be shown that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{b}_{1} r_{w}+\bar{b}_{3} r_{w}^{3}+\bar{b}_{5} r_{w} \ell_{11} r_{w}+\bar{b}_{7} r_{w}^{-1}+\bar{b}_{9} r_{w}^{-3}+\bar{b}_{11} r_{w}^{-1} \ln r_{w} \\
& =\left(\vec{b}_{o}+\vec{b}_{2} r_{w}{ }^{2}+\bar{b}_{4} \ln r_{w}\right) \frac{d r_{w}}{d z}  \tag{5-80}\\
& b_{1} r_{s o}+b_{3} r_{s o}^{3}+a_{1} r_{s 1}=\left(b_{o}+b_{2} r_{s o}^{2}\right) \frac{d r}{d z}+a_{0} \frac{d r_{s 1}}{d z}  \tag{5-81}\\
& \bar{b}_{1} r_{s o}+\bar{b}_{3} r_{s o}^{3}+\bar{b}_{5} r_{s o} \ln r_{s o}+\bar{b}_{7} r_{s o}^{-1}+\bar{b}_{9} r_{s o}^{-3}+\bar{b}_{11}(z) r_{s o}^{-1} \ln r_{s o} \\
& +\left(\bar{a}_{1}-\bar{a}_{3} r_{s o}^{-2}\right) r_{s 1}=\left(\bar{b}_{0}+\bar{b}_{2} r_{s o}^{2}+\bar{b}_{4} \ln \varepsilon_{s o}\right) \frac{d r_{s o}}{d z}+\bar{a}_{0} \frac{d r_{s 1}}{d z}  \tag{5-82}\\
& \frac{\gamma}{\gamma+1}\left[1-\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1} a_{0}^{2}\right]^{-1}\left[2 a_{0}\left(b_{0}+b_{2} r_{s o}{ }^{2}\right)+a_{1}{ }^{2} r_{s 0}^{2}\right] . \\
& =\frac{\bar{\gamma}}{\bar{\gamma}+1}\left[1-\frac{\bar{\gamma}-1}{\bar{\gamma}+1} \bar{a}_{0}^{2}\right]^{-1}\left[2 \bar{a}_{0}\left(\bar{b}_{0}+\bar{b}_{2} r_{s o}{ }^{2}+\bar{b}_{4} \ln r_{s o}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\bar{a}_{1}{ }^{2} r_{s o}{ }^{2}+2 \bar{a}_{1} \bar{a}_{3}+\bar{a}_{3}{ }^{2} r_{30}{ }^{-2}\right]  \tag{5-83}\\
& r_{s 1}(0) \times 0 \tag{5-84}
\end{align*}
$$

The solution of the above equations defines the first order velocity components ( $u_{1}, u_{1}, \bar{u}_{1}$ and $\bar{v}_{1}$ ) and dividiró streamline location ( $r_{g 1}$ ) through the nozzle.

Examination of equations (5-73) through (5-77) reveals that they are singular at the nozzle throat (whera $a_{0}=\bar{a}_{0}=1$ ). Thus, the above equations are algebraic at the throat and can be solved directly for the throat conditions as in the
uniform expansion case. Thus,

$$
\begin{align*}
& b_{0}(0)=B_{0}  \tag{5-85}\\
& b_{1}(0)=\frac{1}{2}(\gamma+1) B_{0} B_{1}  \tag{5-86}\\
& b_{2}(0)=\frac{1}{4}(\gamma+1) B_{1}^{2}  \tag{5-87}\\
& b_{3}(0)=\frac{1}{16}(\gamma+1)^{2} \bar{b}_{1}^{3}  \tag{5-88}\\
& \bar{b}_{0}(0)=\bar{B}_{0}  \tag{5-89}\\
& \bar{b}_{1}(0)=\frac{1}{2}(\overline{\gamma+1}) \bar{B}_{1}\left(\bar{B}_{0}-\frac{1}{2} \bar{C}_{1}\right)  \tag{5-90}\\
& \bar{b}_{2}(0)=\frac{1}{4}(\bar{\gamma}+1) \overline{\mathrm{B}}_{1}^{2}  \tag{5-0,1}\\
& \bar{b}_{3}(0)=\frac{1}{16}(\bar{\gamma}+1) \bar{B}_{1}^{2}  \tag{5-92}\\
& \bar{b}_{4}(0)=\bar{C}_{1}  \tag{5-93}\\
& \bar{b}_{5}(0)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\overline{(\gamma+1)} \bar{B}_{1} \bar{c}_{1}\right.  \tag{5-94}\\
& \bar{b}_{7}(0)=\overline{C_{2}}  \tag{5-95}\\
& \bar{b}_{9}(0)=0  \tag{5-96}\\
& \bar{b}_{11}(0)=0 \tag{5-97}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& B_{1}=\left[\frac{1}{2}(\gamma+1) r_{s o}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\gamma}{\gamma}\right)^{2}(\bar{\gamma}+1)\left(1-r_{s o}^{2}\right)\right]^{-1 / 2}  \tag{5-98}\\
& \bar{B}_{1}=\left(\left.\frac{\gamma}{\gamma} \right\rvert\, B_{1}\right.  \tag{5-99}\\
& \bar{C}_{1}=1-\frac{1}{2}(\bar{\gamma}+1) \bar{R}_{1}^{2} \tag{5-100}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& B_{0}=-B_{1} \bar{B}_{1}(\bar{\gamma}+1)\left[\frac{1}{4} \bar{C}_{1}\left(r_{s o}^{2}-1-2 \ln r_{s o}\right)+\frac{1}{16}(\bar{\gamma}+1) \bar{B}_{1}^{2}\left(1-r_{s o}^{2}\right)^{2}\right] \\
& -(\gamma+1) B_{1}{ }^{2}{ }_{r}{ }_{s o}{ }^{2}\left[\frac{1}{8}(\bar{\gamma}+1) \bar{B}_{1}^{2}\left(1-r_{s o}^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{16}(\gamma+1) B_{1}{ }^{2}{ }_{r}{ }_{s o}{ }^{2}\right]  \tag{5-101}\\
& \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{B}_{o}=-\frac{1}{4}(\bar{\gamma}+1) \bar{B}_{1}^{2} r_{\text {so }}^{2}-\bar{C}_{1} \ln r_{s o}+\left(\frac{Y}{\gamma}\right)\left[\frac{1}{4}(\gamma+1) B_{1}^{2} r_{\text {so }}^{2}+B_{o}\right]  \tag{5-102}\\
& \bar{C}_{2}=-(\bar{\gamma}+1) \bar{B}_{1}\left[\frac{1}{16}\left(\bar{\gamma}+1, \bar{B}_{1}^{2}-\frac{1}{4} \bar{C}_{1}+\frac{1}{2} \bar{B}_{0}\right]\right. \tag{5-103}
\end{align*}
$$

The above first order two-zone throat conditions are identical to those which would be obtained by a Sauer ${ }^{(2)}$ or Hali ${ }^{(1)}$ type transonic analysis for this case ${ }^{(7)}$. As discussed previously, the present solution and such a transonic solution Nill differ away from the throat plane, however.

Examination of equations (5-23) and (5-29) reveds that they are also singular at the nozzle throat (where $u_{0}=\bar{u}_{0}=1$ ). Thus, the boundary conditicns for all orders are set at the nozzle throat and the various order throat conditions can be determined directly.

Examination of equations (5-56), (5-57), (5-69), (5-70), (5-76) and (5-77) shows that $\bar{b}_{2}$ and $\bar{b}_{4}$ depend on $\frac{d^{2} r w}{d z^{2}}$ and $\bar{b}_{3}$ and $\bar{b}_{5}$ depend on $\frac{d^{3} r w}{d z^{3}}$. Thus, if $\frac{d^{3} r_{w}}{d z^{3}}$ is discontinuous, the first order two-zone solution will also be discontinuous. Thus, in general, if the wall derivative $\frac{d^{2 n+1} r_{w}}{d z^{2 n+1}}$ is nonanalytic, the nth order solutions for the above equations will be discontinuous. The complete solution of the above equations will be analytic only if the wall is analytic.

The second order equations are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial v_{1}}{\partial z}-\frac{\partial u_{2}}{\partial r}=0 \tag{5-105}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\left(1-\bar{u}_{c}^{2} \left\lvert\, \frac{\partial \bar{u}_{2}}{\partial z}+\left(1-\frac{\bar{\gamma}-1}{\bar{\gamma}+1} \bar{u}_{0}^{2}\right)\left(\frac{\partial \bar{v}_{2}}{\partial r}+\frac{\bar{v}_{2}}{r}\right)-\frac{4}{\bar{\gamma}+1} \bar{u}_{0} \bar{v}_{0} \frac{\partial \bar{u}_{2}}{\partial r}\right.\right.
$$

$$
=\left|2 \bar{u}_{0} \bar{u}_{2}+\bar{u}_{1}^{2}+2 \frac{\bar{\gamma}-1}{\bar{\gamma}+1} \bar{v}_{0} \bar{v}_{1}\right| \frac{\partial \bar{u}_{0}}{\partial z}+\left[2 \bar{v}_{0} \bar{v}_{1}+\frac{\bar{\gamma}-1}{\bar{\gamma}+1}\left(2 \bar{u}_{0} \bar{u}_{2}+\bar{u}_{1}^{2}\right)\right] \frac{\partial \bar{v}_{0}}{\partial r}
$$

$$
+\frac{\bar{\gamma}-1}{\bar{\gamma}+1}\left|2 \bar{u}_{0} \bar{u}_{2}+\bar{u}_{1}^{2}+2 \bar{v}_{0} \bar{v}_{1}\right|_{\frac{\bar{v}_{0}}{r}}+\left(\left.2 \bar{u}_{0} \bar{u}_{1}+\frac{\bar{\gamma}-1}{\bar{\gamma}+1} \bar{v}_{0}^{2} \right\rvert\, \frac{\partial \bar{u}_{1}}{\partial z}\right.
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
+\left(\left.\bar{v}_{0}^{2}+2 \frac{\bar{\gamma}-1}{\bar{\gamma}+1} \bar{u}_{0} \bar{u}_{1}\left|\frac{\partial \bar{v}_{1}}{\partial r}+\frac{\bar{\gamma}-1}{\bar{\gamma}+1}\right| 2 \bar{u}_{0} \bar{u}_{1}+\bar{v}_{0}^{2}\left|\frac{\bar{v}_{1}}{r}+\frac{4}{\bar{\gamma}+1}\right| \bar{u}_{0} \bar{v}_{1}+\bar{u}_{1} \bar{v}_{0} \right\rvert\, \frac{\partial \bar{u}_{1}}{\partial r}\right. \tag{5-106}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \bar{v}_{1}}{\partial z}-\frac{\partial \bar{u}_{2}}{\partial r}=0 \tag{5-107}
\end{equation*}
$$

From equations (5-71), $(5-72),(5-105)$, and (5-107), it is exsily shown that

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{2} & =c_{0}(z)+c_{2}(z) r^{2}+c_{4}(z) r^{4}  \tag{5-108}\\
\bar{u}_{2} & =\bar{c}_{0}(z)+\bar{c}_{2}(z) r^{2}+\bar{c}_{4}(z) r^{4}+\bar{c}_{6}(z) \ln r \\
& +\bar{c}_{8}(z) r^{2} \ln r+\bar{c}_{10}(z)(\ln r)^{2}+\bar{c}_{12}(z) r^{-2} \tag{5-109}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(1-u_{0}^{2}\right) \frac{\partial u_{2}}{\partial z}+\left(1-\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1} u_{0}^{2}\right)\left(\frac{\partial v_{2}}{\partial r}+\frac{v_{2}}{r}\right)-\frac{4}{\gamma+1} u_{0} v_{0} \frac{\partial u_{2}}{\partial r} \\
& =\left(2 u_{0} u_{2}+u_{1}^{2}+2 \frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1} v_{0} v_{1}\right) \frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial z}+\left[2 v_{0} v_{1}+\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1}\left(2 u_{0} u_{2}+u_{1}^{2}\right)\right] \frac{\partial v_{0}}{\partial r} \\
& \left.\left.+\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1} \right\rvert\, 2 u_{0} u_{2}+u_{1}^{2}+2 v_{0} v_{1}\right)^{i} \frac{{ }_{\rho}}{r}+\left(2 u_{0} u_{1}+\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1} v_{0}^{2}\right)^{\partial u_{1}} \frac{\partial z}{\partial z} \\
& +\left|v_{0}^{2}+2 \frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1} u_{0} u_{1}\right| \frac{\partial v_{1}}{\partial r}+\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1}\left|2_{u_{0}} u_{1}+v_{0}\right|^{v_{1}} \frac{4}{r}+\frac{4}{\gamma+1}\left|u_{0} v_{1}+u_{1} v_{0}\right| \frac{\partial]_{1}}{\partial r} \tag{5-104}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& c_{2}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{d b_{i}}{d z}  \tag{5-110}\\
& c_{4}=\frac{1}{4} \frac{\mathrm{db}_{3}}{\mathrm{dz}}  \tag{5-111}\\
& \bar{c}_{2}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{d \bar{b}_{1}}{d z}-\frac{1}{4} \frac{d \bar{b}_{5}}{d z}  \tag{5-112}\\
& \bar{c}_{4}=\frac{1}{4} \frac{\mathrm{db}_{3}}{\mathrm{dz}} \\
& \bar{c}_{6}=\frac{\mathrm{db}_{7}}{\mathrm{dz}}  \tag{5-114}\\
& \bar{c}_{8}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{d \bar{b}_{5}}{d \mathrm{z}}  \tag{5-115}\\
& \overline{\mathrm{c}}_{10}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{db}_{11}}{\mathrm{dz}}  \tag{5-116}\\
& \bar{c}_{12}=-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{~d}_{9}}{\mathrm{dz}} \tag{5-117}
\end{align*}
$$

From equations (5-104), (5-106), (5-108), and (5-103), it can be shown that

$$
\begin{align*}
v_{2} & =c_{1}(z) r+c_{3}(z) r^{3}+c_{5}(z) r^{5}  \tag{5-118}\\
\bar{v}_{2} & =\bar{c}_{1}(z) r+\bar{c}_{3}(z) r^{3}+\bar{c}_{5}(z) r^{5}+\bar{c}_{7}(z) r \ln r \cdot \bar{c}_{9}(z) r(\ln r)^{2} \\
& +\bar{c}_{11}(z) r^{3} \ln r+\bar{c}_{13}(z) r^{-1}+\bar{c}_{15}(z) r^{-3}+\bar{c}_{17}(z) r^{-5} \\
& +\bar{c}_{19}(z) r^{-1} \ln r+\bar{c}_{21}(z) r^{-1}(\ln r)^{2}+\bar{c}_{23}(z) r^{-3} \ln r \tag{5-119}
\end{align*}
$$

whe -.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(1-a_{0}^{2}\right) \frac{d c_{0}}{d z}+2\left(1-\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1} a_{0}^{2}\right) c_{1}=\left(2 a_{0} c_{0}+b_{0}^{2}\right)\left[\frac{d a_{0}}{d z}+2 \frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1} a_{1}\right] \\
& \quad+2 a_{0} b_{0}\left[\frac{d b_{0}}{d z}+2 \frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1} b_{1}\right] \tag{5-120}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|1-a_{0}^{2}\right|^{d c_{2}} \frac{d z}{d z}+4\left(1-\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1} a_{0}^{2}\right) c_{3}=2\left(a_{c} c_{2}+b_{c} b_{2}\right)\left[\frac{d a_{0}}{d z}+2 \frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1} a_{1}\right] \\
& +2 a_{1} b_{1}\left[\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1} \frac{d a_{0}}{d z}+\frac{2 \gamma}{\gamma+1} a_{2}\right]+\frac{4}{\gamma+1}\left\{a_{0} b_{1}+a_{1} b_{0} \frac{d a_{1}}{d z}\right. \\
& +2 a_{c} b_{0}\left[\frac{d b_{2}}{d z}+4 \frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1} b_{3}\right]+2 a_{0} b_{2}\left[\frac{b_{o}}{d z}+2 \frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1} b_{1}\right] \\
& +a_{1} 2\left\{\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1} \frac{b_{0}}{d z}+\frac{2 \gamma}{\gamma+1} b_{1}\right]+\frac{4}{\gamma+1} a_{0} a_{1} \frac{d b_{1}}{d z}  \tag{5-121}\\
& \left|1-a_{0}^{2}\right| \frac{d c_{4}}{d z}+\left(\left(1-\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1} a_{c}^{2}\right) c_{5}=\left(2 a_{0} c_{4}+b_{2}{ }^{2}\right)\left[\frac{d a}{d z}+2 \frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1} a_{1}\right]\right. \\
& +2 a_{1} b_{3}\left\lfloor\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1} \frac{d a_{0}}{d z}+\frac{2 \gamma}{\gamma+1} a_{1}\right]+\frac{4}{\gamma+1}\left\{a_{0} b_{3}+a_{1} b_{2} \left\lvert\, \frac{d a_{1}}{d z}\right.\right. \\
& +2 a_{0} b_{2}\left[\frac{d b_{2}}{d z}+4 \frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1} b_{3}\right]+a_{1}{ }^{2}\left[\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1} \frac{d b_{2}}{\alpha L}+\left(3+\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1}\right) b_{3}\right]+\frac{4}{\gamma+1} a_{0} a_{1} \frac{d b_{3}}{d z_{1}} \tag{5-12z}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(1-\bar{a}_{0}^{2}\right) \frac{d \bar{c}_{0}}{d z}+\left(1-\frac{\bar{\gamma}-1}{\bar{\gamma}+1} \bar{a}_{0}^{2}\right)\left(2 \bar{c}_{1}+\bar{c}_{7}\right)=\left(2 \bar{a}_{0} \bar{c}_{0}+\overline{\xi_{0}}\right)\left(\frac{\bar{a}}{d z}+2 \frac{\bar{q}_{-1}}{\bar{\gamma}+1} \bar{a}_{1}!\right. \\
& \left.\left.+2\left(\bar{a}_{1} \bar{b}_{7}+\bar{a}_{3} \bar{b}_{1}\right)\left[\frac{\bar{\gamma}^{-1}}{\bar{\gamma}+1} \frac{d \bar{a}_{0}}{d z}+\frac{2 \bar{\gamma}}{\bar{\gamma}+1} \bar{a}_{1}\right]+\frac{4}{\bar{\gamma}+1} \right\rvert\, \hat{a}_{0} \bar{b}_{7}+\bar{a}_{3} \bar{b}_{0}\right) \frac{\frac{\bar{a}_{1}}{d z}}{1 z} \\
& +\frac{4}{\bar{\gamma}+1}\left(\bar{a}_{0} \bar{b}_{1}+\bar{a}_{1} \bar{b}_{0}+\bar{a}_{3} \bar{b}_{2}\right) \frac{\bar{a}_{3}}{d z}-\frac{4}{\bar{\gamma}+1}\left|\bar{a}_{1} \bar{b}_{1}+\bar{a}_{3} \bar{b}_{3}\right| \bar{a}_{3} \\
& +2 \bar{a}_{0} \bar{b}_{0}\left[\frac{d \bar{b}}{d z}+\frac{\bar{\gamma}-1}{\bar{\gamma}+1}\left(2 \bar{b}_{1}+\bar{b}_{5}\right)\right]+2 \frac{\bar{\gamma}-1}{\bar{\gamma}+1} \bar{a}_{0} \bar{b}_{2} \bar{b}_{11}+2 \bar{z}_{1} \bar{a}_{3}\left[\frac{\bar{\gamma}^{\prime}-1}{\bar{\gamma}+1} \frac{d \bar{b}_{0}}{d z}+\frac{2 \bar{\gamma}_{\gamma}}{\bar{\gamma}+1} \bar{b}_{1}+\bar{b}_{5}\right] \\
& +\bar{a}_{1}^{2}\left(-\frac{2}{\bar{\gamma}+1} \bar{b}_{7}+\bar{b}_{11}\right)+\frac{4}{\bar{\gamma}+1} \bar{a}_{0} \bar{a}_{1} \frac{d \bar{b}_{7}}{d z}+\frac{4}{\bar{\gamma}+1} \bar{a}_{0} \cdot a_{3} \frac{d \bar{b}_{2}}{d z} \\
& +\bar{a}_{3}^{2}\left[\frac{\bar{\gamma}-1}{\bar{\gamma}+1} \frac{\mathrm{~d}_{2}}{\mathrm{dz}}+\left(3+\frac{\bar{\gamma}-1}{\bar{\gamma}+1}\right) \overline{\mathrm{b}}_{3}\right] \tag{5-123}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|1-\bar{a}_{0}^{-2}\right| \frac{d \bar{c}}{d z}+\left(1-\frac{\bar{\gamma}-1}{\bar{\gamma}+i} \bar{a}_{o}^{2}\right)\left(4 \bar{c}_{3}+\bar{c}_{1 i}\right)=\left(2 \bar{a}_{0} \bar{c}_{0}+\bar{b}_{0}^{2}\right)\left[\frac{\bar{d} \bar{a}_{0}}{d z}+2 \frac{\bar{\gamma}-1}{\bar{\gamma}+1} \bar{a}_{1}\right] \\
& \left.+\frac{2}{2} \bar{a}_{1} \bar{b}_{i}+\bar{a}_{3} \bar{b}_{3}\right)\left[\frac{\bar{y}-1}{\bar{r}+1} \frac{\overline{d a}}{d z}+\frac{\overline{2}}{\bar{q}+1} \bar{a}_{1}\right]-\frac{\bar{a}}{\bar{z}+1} \bar{a}_{1} \bar{a}_{3} \bar{b}_{3} \\
& +2 \bar{a}_{0} \bar{b}_{0}\left[\frac{d \bar{b}_{2}}{d z}+4 \frac{\bar{\gamma}-1}{\bar{\gamma}+1} \bar{b}_{3}\right]+2 \bar{a}_{0} \bar{b}_{2}\left[\frac{d \bar{b}_{0}}{d z}+\frac{\bar{r}-1}{\bar{y}+1}\left(2 \bar{b}_{\Sigma}+\bar{b}_{5}\right)\right] \\
& +2 \bar{a}_{1} \bar{a}_{3}\left[\frac{\bar{\gamma}-1}{\bar{\gamma}+1} \frac{d \bar{E}_{2}}{d z}+\left(3+\frac{\bar{\gamma}-1}{\bar{\gamma}+1}\right)_{3}\right]+\bar{a}_{1}^{-2}\left[\frac{\bar{\gamma}-1}{\gamma+1} \frac{d \bar{o}}{d} \frac{0}{y}+\frac{2 \bar{y}_{y}}{\bar{\gamma}+1} \bar{b}_{1}+\bar{b}_{5}\right] \\
& +\frac{4}{\bar{\gamma}+1} \bar{a}_{0} \bar{a}_{1} \frac{d \bar{b}_{1}}{d z}+\frac{4}{\bar{\gamma}+1} \bar{a}_{0} \bar{a}_{3} \frac{d \bar{b}_{3}}{d z}+\frac{4}{-1}\left|\bar{a}_{0} \bar{b}_{1}+\bar{a}_{1} \bar{b}_{0}+\bar{a}_{3} \bar{b}_{2}\right| \frac{d \bar{a}_{1}}{d z} \\
& +\frac{4}{\bar{\gamma}+1}\left(\bar{a}_{0} \bar{b}_{3}+\bar{a}_{1} \bar{b}_{2}\right) \frac{\bar{d}_{3}}{d z}  \tag{5-124}\\
& \left|1-\bar{a}_{0}^{2}\right| \frac{d \bar{c}_{4}}{d z}+6\left|1-\frac{\bar{\gamma}-1}{\bar{\gamma}+1} \bar{a}_{0}^{2}\right| \bar{c}_{5}=\left(2 \bar{a}_{0} \bar{c}_{4}+\bar{b}_{2}^{2}\right)\left[\frac{\bar{d}_{0}}{d z}+2 \frac{\bar{\gamma}-1}{\bar{\gamma}+1} \bar{a}_{1}\right] \\
& +2 \bar{a}_{1} \bar{b}_{3}\left[\frac{\bar{\gamma}-1}{\gamma+1} \frac{d \bar{a}_{0}}{d z}+\frac{2 \bar{\gamma}_{\gamma}}{\bar{\gamma}+1} \bar{a}_{1}\right]+2 \bar{a}_{0} \bar{b}_{2}\left[\frac{d \bar{b}_{2}}{d z}+4 \frac{\bar{\gamma}-1}{\bar{\gamma}+1} \bar{b}_{3}\right] \\
& +\bar{a}_{1}^{2}\left[\frac{\bar{\gamma}-1}{\bar{\gamma}+1} \frac{d \bar{b}_{2}}{d z}+\left[3+\frac{\bar{\gamma}-1}{\bar{\gamma}+1}\right) \bar{b}_{3}\right]+\frac{4}{\bar{\gamma}+1} \bar{a}_{0} \bar{a}_{1} \frac{d \bar{b}_{3}}{d z} . \\
& \left.\left.+\frac{4}{\bar{\gamma}+1} \right\rvert\, \bar{a}_{0} \bar{b}_{1}+\bar{a}_{1} \bar{b}_{0}+\bar{a}_{3} \bar{b}_{2}\right) \frac{d \bar{a}_{1}}{d z} \tag{5-125}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\left(1-\bar{a}_{0}^{2}\right) \frac{d \bar{c}_{6}}{d z}+2 \left\lvert\, 1-\frac{\bar{\gamma}-\overline{1}}{\bar{\gamma}+1} \bar{a}_{0}^{2}\right.\right)\left(\bar{c}_{7}+\bar{c}_{9}\right)=2\left(\bar{a}_{0} \bar{c}_{0}+\bar{b}_{0} \bar{b}_{4}\right)\left[\frac{d \bar{a}_{0}}{d z}+2 \frac{\overline{\bar{x}}}{\frac{\bar{\gamma}}{}+1} \bar{a}_{1}\right] \\
& +2\left(\bar{a}_{1} \bar{b}_{11}+\bar{a}_{3} \bar{b}_{5}\right)\left[\frac{\bar{\gamma}_{\bar{y}}}{\bar{\gamma}+1} \frac{d \bar{a}_{0}}{d z}+\frac{2 \bar{y}}{\bar{\gamma}+1} \bar{a}_{1}\right]+2 \bar{a}_{0} \bar{b}_{0}\left[\frac{d \bar{b}_{4}}{d z}+2 \frac{\bar{\gamma}-1}{\bar{\gamma}+1} \bar{b}_{5}\right] \\
& +2 \bar{a}_{0} \bar{b}_{4}\left[\frac{d \bar{b}_{0}}{d z}+\frac{\bar{y}-1}{\bar{\gamma}+1}\left(2 \bar{b}_{1}+\bar{b}_{5}\right)\right]+2 \bar{a}_{2} \bar{a}_{3}\left[\frac{2 \bar{y}_{y}}{\frac{\bar{b}_{5}}{5}}+\frac{\bar{y}-1}{\bar{y}^{\prime}+1} \frac{d \bar{b}_{4}}{d z}\right] \\
& +\frac{4}{\bar{\gamma}+1} \bar{a}_{0} \bar{a}_{1} \frac{d \bar{b}_{i 1}}{d z}+\frac{4}{\bar{\gamma}+1} \bar{a}_{0} \bar{a}_{3} \frac{d \bar{b}_{5}}{d z}+\frac{4}{\bar{\gamma}+1}\left|\bar{a}_{0} \bar{b}_{5}+\bar{a}_{1} \bar{b}_{4}\right| \frac{d \bar{a}_{3}}{d z} \\
& +\frac{4}{\bar{\gamma}+1}\left|\bar{a}_{0} \bar{b}_{11}+\bar{a}_{3} \bar{b}_{4}\right|_{\overline{d z}}^{\frac{d \bar{a}_{1}}{}}-\frac{4}{\bar{\gamma}+1} \bar{a}_{1} \bar{a}_{3} \bar{b}_{5}-\frac{2}{\bar{\gamma}+1} \bar{a}_{1}^{2} \bar{E}_{11}  \tag{5-126}\\
& \left|1-\bar{a}_{0}^{z}\right|_{i z}^{d \bar{c}_{8}}+4\left(1-\frac{\bar{\gamma}-1}{\bar{\gamma}+1} \bar{a}_{0}^{2}\right)_{11}=2\left(\bar{a}_{0} \bar{c}_{8}+\bar{b}_{2} \bar{b}_{4}\right)\left[\frac{d \bar{o}_{0}}{d z}+2 \frac{\bar{\gamma}-1}{\bar{\gamma}+1} \bar{a}_{1}\right] \\
& +2 \bar{a}_{1} \bar{b}_{5}\left[\frac{\bar{\gamma}-1}{\bar{\gamma}+1} \frac{d \bar{a}_{0}}{d z}+\frac{2 \bar{\gamma}_{1}}{\bar{\gamma}+1} \bar{a}_{1}\right]+2 \bar{a}_{0} \bar{b}_{2}\left[\frac{d \bar{b}_{4}}{d z}+2 \frac{\bar{\gamma}-1}{\bar{\gamma}+1} \bar{E}_{5}\right] \\
& +2 \bar{a}_{0} \bar{b}_{4}\left[\frac{d \bar{b}_{2}}{d z}+4 \frac{\bar{\gamma}-1}{\bar{\gamma}+1} \bar{b}_{3}\right]+\bar{a}_{1}^{2}\left[\frac{2 \bar{r}_{m}}{\bar{\gamma}+1} \bar{b}_{5}+\frac{\bar{\gamma}-1}{\bar{\gamma}+1} \frac{d \bar{b}_{4}}{d z}\right] \\
& +\frac{4}{\bar{y}+1} \bar{a}_{r} \bar{a}_{1} \frac{d \bar{b}_{5}}{d z}+\frac{4}{\bar{v}_{y+1}}\left|\bar{a}_{0} \bar{b}_{5}+\bar{a}_{1} \bar{b}_{4}\right|_{\frac{d z}{d z}}^{\bar{a}_{1}}  \tag{5-127}\\
& \left|1-\bar{a}_{0}^{2}\right| \frac{d \bar{c}_{10}}{\overline{d z}}+2\left|\bar{i}-\frac{\bar{y}-1}{\bar{\gamma}+1} \bar{a}_{0}^{2}\right| \bar{c}_{9}=\left(2 \bar{a}_{0} \bar{c}_{10}+\bar{b}_{4}^{2}\right)\left[\frac{d \bar{a}_{0}}{d z}+\frac{\bar{\gamma}-1}{\bar{\gamma}+1} \bar{a}_{1}\right] \\
& +2 \bar{a}_{0} \bar{b}_{4}\left[\frac{3 \bar{b}_{4}}{d z}+2 \frac{\bar{y}-1}{\bar{\gamma}+1} \bar{b}_{5}\right] \tag{5-128}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\frac{4}{\bar{\gamma}+1}\left[\bar{a}_{1} \bar{b}_{j}+\bar{a}_{3} \bar{b}_{7}\right) \bar{a}_{3}+2\left(\bar{a}_{i} \bar{b}_{9}+\bar{a}_{2} \bar{b}_{7} \cdot\left[\frac{\bar{\gamma}_{-1}}{\bar{\gamma}_{+1}} \frac{\bar{d}_{0}}{d_{2}}+\frac{2 \bar{\gamma}_{\gamma}}{\bar{\gamma}+1} \bar{a}_{i}\right]\right. \\
& +2 \frac{\overline{\bar{r}}-1}{\bar{\gamma}+1} \bar{a}_{0} \bar{b}_{0} \bar{b}_{11}-4 \cdot \frac{\bar{\gamma}-1}{\bar{y}+1} \bar{a}_{0} \bar{b}_{2} \bar{b}_{9}+2 \bar{a}_{1} \bar{a}_{3}\left(-\frac{2}{\bar{\gamma}+1}-\bar{b}_{7}+\bar{b}_{11}\right) \\
& \left.+\bar{a}_{1}^{2} \left\lvert\,-3+\frac{\bar{y}-1}{\bar{\gamma}+1}\right.\right) \bar{b}_{9}+\bar{a}_{3}^{2}\left[\frac{\bar{\gamma}-1}{\bar{\gamma}+1} \frac{d \bar{b}_{0}}{d z}+\frac{2 \bar{\gamma}_{\gamma}}{\gamma+1} \bar{b}_{1}+\bar{b}_{y} \bar{j}+\frac{4}{\bar{\gamma}+1} \bar{a}_{0} \bar{a}_{1} \frac{d \bar{b}_{9}}{d z}\right. \\
& +\frac{4}{\vec{\gamma}^{+}+1} \bar{a}_{0} \bar{a}_{3} \frac{d \bar{b} \bar{q}_{3}}{d z}+\frac{4}{\bar{\gamma}+1}\left(\bar{a}_{0} \bar{b}_{7}+\bar{a}_{3} \bar{b}_{0}\right) \frac{\bar{d}_{3}}{d z}+\frac{1}{\bar{\gamma}+1} \bar{a}_{0} \bar{b}_{9} \frac{d \bar{a}_{1}}{d z} \tag{5-129}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\left.-i \frac{\bar{\gamma}-1}{\bar{\gamma}+1} \bar{a}_{0} \bar{b}_{0} \bar{b}_{9}+2\left(-3 \div \frac{\bar{\gamma}-1}{\bar{\gamma}+1}\right)_{1} \bar{m}_{3} \bar{b}_{9}+\bar{a}_{3}^{2} \right\rvert\, \cdot \frac{2}{\bar{\gamma}+1} \bar{b}_{7}+\bar{b}_{11}\right) \\
& +\frac{4}{\bar{\gamma}+1} \cdot \bar{a}_{0} \bar{a}_{3} \frac{d \bar{b}_{9}}{d z}+\frac{4}{\bar{\gamma}+1} \bar{a}_{0} \bar{b}_{9} \frac{\overline{d a}_{3}}{d z}  \tag{52130}\\
& 4\left|1-\frac{\bar{\gamma}-1}{\bar{\gamma}+1} \bar{a}_{0}^{2}\right| \bar{c}_{17}=\left(\frac{5}{\bar{\gamma}+1}-3\right) \bar{a}_{3}^{2} \bar{b}_{9}  \tag{5-131}\\
& 2\left|1-\frac{\bar{\gamma}-1}{\bar{\gamma}+1} \bar{a}_{0}^{2}\right| \bar{c}_{21}=-\frac{4}{\bar{\gamma}+1}\left(\bar{a}_{1} \bar{b}_{11}+\bar{a}_{3} \bar{b}_{5}\right) \bar{a}_{3}+2 \bar{a}_{3} \bar{b}_{11}\left[\frac{\bar{\gamma}-1}{\gamma+1} \frac{d \bar{a}_{0}}{d z}+\frac{2 \bar{y}}{\bar{\gamma}+1} \bar{a}_{1}\right] \\
& +2 \frac{\bar{\gamma}-1}{\bar{\gamma}+1} \bar{a}_{0} \bar{b}_{4} \bar{b}_{11}+\bar{a}_{3}^{2}\left[\frac{2 \bar{\gamma}}{\gamma+1} \bar{b}_{5}+\frac{\bar{\gamma}-1}{\gamma+1} \frac{d \bar{b}_{4}}{d z}\right]+\frac{4}{\bar{\gamma}+1} \bar{a}_{0} \bar{a}_{3} \frac{d \bar{b}_{11}}{d z} \\
& +\frac{4}{\bar{\gamma}+1}\left(\bar{a}_{0} \bar{b}_{11}+\bar{a}_{3} \bar{b}_{4}\right) \frac{\overline{d a}_{3}}{d z}  \tag{5-132}\\
& \left|1-\frac{\bar{y}-1}{\bar{y}+1} \bar{o}_{0}^{2}\right| \bar{c}_{23}=-\frac{1}{\gamma+1} \bar{a}_{3}^{2} \bar{b}_{11}+2 \frac{\bar{y}-1}{\bar{\gamma}+1} \bar{a}_{0} \bar{b}_{4} \bar{b}_{9} \tag{5-133}
\end{align*}
$$

From the remaining boundary conditions [equations (5-34). (5-40): (3-43), (j-46) and ( $5-50$ )], it is found that

$$
\begin{align*}
& +\bar{c}_{13} r_{w}^{-1}+\bar{c}_{15} r_{w}^{-3}+\bar{c}_{17^{r}}{ }^{-5}+\bar{c}_{19^{1}}{ }^{-1} \ln r_{w}+\bar{c}_{21} r_{w}^{-1}\left(\ln r_{w}\right)^{2} \\
& +\bar{c}_{23} r_{w}^{-2} \text { in } r_{w}+\left[\bar{b}_{1}+3 \bar{b}_{3} r_{w}^{2}+\bar{b}_{5}\left(1+\ln r_{w}\right)-\bar{h}_{7} r_{w}^{-2}-3 \bar{b}_{9} r_{w}^{-4}\right. \\
& \left.+\bar{b}_{11}\left(1-\ln r_{w}\right) r_{w}^{-2}\right] r_{w}=\left[\bar{c}_{0}+\bar{c}_{2} r_{w}^{2}+\bar{c}_{4} r_{w}^{4}+\bar{c}_{6} \ln r_{w}+\bar{c}_{8} r_{w}^{2} \ln r_{w}\right. \\
& \left.+\bar{c}_{10}\left(\ln r_{w}\right)^{2}+\bar{c}_{12} r_{w}{ }^{-2}\right] \frac{d r_{w}}{d z}  \tag{5-134}\\
& \bar{c}_{1} r_{80}+\bar{c}_{3} r_{80}{ }^{3}+\bar{c}_{5} r_{80}{ }^{5}+\bar{r}_{7} r_{80} \ln r_{80}+\bar{c}_{9} r_{80}\left(\ln r_{80}\right)^{2}+\bar{c}_{11} r_{80}{ }^{3} \ln r_{80}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& +\bar{c}_{23} r_{80}{ }^{-3} \ln r_{80}+\left[\bar{b}_{1}+3 \bar{b}_{3} r_{80}{ }^{2}+\bar{b}_{5}\left(1+\ln r_{80}\right)-\bar{b}_{7} r_{80}{ }^{-2}-3 \bar{b}_{9} r_{80}{ }^{-4}\right. \\
& \left.+\bar{b}_{11}\left(1-\ln r_{80}\right) r_{80}{ }^{-2}\right] r_{s 1}+\left[\bar{a}_{1}-\cdots_{a_{3}} r_{80}{ }^{-2}\right]_{82}+a_{3} r_{80}{ }^{-3} r_{81}^{2} \\
& =\frac{d r_{s 2}}{d z}+\left[\bar{b}_{0}+\bar{b}_{2} r_{s 0}^{2}+\bar{b}_{4} \ln r_{80}\right] \frac{d r_{81}}{d z}+\left[\bar{c}_{0}+\bar{c}_{2} r_{80}^{2}+\bar{c}_{4} r_{80}^{4}\right. \\
& +\bar{c}_{6} \ln r_{80}+\bar{c}_{8} r_{80}{ }^{2} \ln r_{80}+\bar{c}_{10}\left(\ln r_{80}\right)^{2}+\bar{c}_{12} r_{80}-2 \\
& +\left(2 \bar{b}_{2}^{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{so}^{+} \overline{\mathrm{b}}_{4}^{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{so}^{-1}\right) \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{s} 1} \mathrm{~J} \frac{\mathrm{dr}}{\mathrm{dz}}  \tag{5-135}\\
& { }_{c_{1} r_{0}}+c_{3} r_{80}^{3}+c_{5} r_{00}^{5^{\prime}}+\left[b_{1}+3 b_{3} r_{00}\right]_{81}+r_{1} r_{a 2}=a_{0} \frac{d r_{22}}{d z} \\
& +\left[b_{0}+b_{2}^{r}{ }_{00}^{2}\right] \frac{d r}{d z}+\left[c_{0}+c_{2} r 0^{2}+c_{4}^{r} 00+2 b_{2} r_{8}\right]^{\frac{d}{d z}} \tag{5-136}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\gamma}{(\gamma+1)^{2}}\left[1-\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1} a_{1}\right]^{-2}\left[2 a_{0} b_{0}+2 a_{0} b_{2} r_{s o}{ }^{2}+a_{1}{ }^{2} r_{s o}\right]^{2}-\frac{\gamma}{\gamma+1}\left\{1-\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1} a_{0}^{2}\right\}^{-1} \\
& {\left[2 a_{0} c_{0}+2 a_{0} c_{2} r_{s o}{ }^{2}+2 a_{0} c_{4} r_{s o}{ }^{4}+4 a_{0} b_{2} r_{B o} r_{s 1}+b_{0}^{2}+2 b_{0} b_{2} r_{s o}{ }^{2}\right.} \\
& \left.+b_{2}{ }^{2} r_{80}{ }^{4}+2 a_{1} b_{1} r_{s o}{ }^{2}+2 a_{1} b_{j} r_{80}{ }^{4}+2 a_{1}{ }^{2} r_{80} r_{81}\right] \\
& =\frac{\bar{\gamma}}{(\bar{\gamma}+1)^{2}}\left[1-\frac{\bar{\gamma}-1}{\bar{\gamma}+1} \bar{a}_{0}^{2}\right]^{-2}\left[2 \bar{a}_{0} \bar{b}_{0}+2 \bar{a}_{0} \bar{b}_{2} r_{s o}{ }^{2}+2 \bar{a}_{0} \bar{b}_{4} \ln r_{s o}\right. \\
& \left.+\bar{a}_{1}^{2} r_{g 0}{ }^{2}+2 \bar{a}_{1} \bar{a}_{3}+a_{3}{ }^{2} r_{s c}{ }^{-2}\right]^{2}-\frac{\bar{\gamma}}{\bar{\gamma}+1}\left[1-\frac{\bar{y}-1}{\bar{\gamma}+1} \bar{a}_{0}^{2}\right]^{-1}\left[2 \bar{a}_{0} \bar{c}_{0}+2 \bar{a}_{0} \bar{c}_{2} r_{s o}{ }^{2}\right. \\
& +2 \bar{a}_{0} \bar{c}_{4} r_{s o}{ }^{4}+2 \bar{a}_{0} \bar{c}_{6} \ln r_{s o}+2 \bar{a}_{0} \bar{c}_{8} r_{s o}{ }^{2} \ln r_{s o}+2 \bar{a}_{0} \bar{c}_{10}\left(\ln r_{s o}\right)^{2} \\
& +2 \bar{a}_{0} \bar{c}_{12} r_{s o}{ }^{-2}+4 \bar{a}_{0} \bar{b}_{2} r_{s o} r_{s 1}+2 \bar{a}_{0} \bar{b}_{4} r_{s o}{ }^{-1} r_{s 1}+\bar{b}_{0}^{2}+2 \bar{b}_{0} \bar{b}_{2} r_{s 0}{ }^{2} \\
& +2 \overline{3}_{0} \bar{b}_{4} \ln r_{B O}+\bar{b}_{2}^{2} r_{B O}{ }^{2}+2 \bar{b}_{2} \bar{b}_{4} r_{s o}{ }^{2} \ln r_{B O}+\bar{b}_{4}^{2}\left(\ln r_{s O}\right)^{2} \\
& +2 \bar{a}_{1} \bar{b}_{1} r_{80}{ }^{2}+2 \bar{a}_{1} \bar{b}_{3} r_{s C}{ }^{4}+2 \bar{a}_{1} \bar{b}_{5} r_{80}{ }^{2} \ln r_{80}+2 \bar{a}_{1} \bar{b}_{7}+2 \bar{a}_{1} \bar{b}_{9} r_{80}{ }^{-2} \\
& +2 \overline{\mathrm{a}}_{1} \overline{\mathrm{~b}}_{11} \ln \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{BO}}+2 \overline{\mathrm{a}}_{3} \overline{\mathrm{~b}}_{1}+2 \overline{\mathrm{a}}_{3} \overline{\mathrm{~b}}_{3} \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{so}}{ }^{2}+2 \overline{\mathrm{a}}_{3} \overline{\mathrm{~b}}_{5} \ln \mathrm{r}_{80}+2 \overline{\mathrm{a}}_{3} \overline{\mathrm{~b}}_{7} \mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{so}}{ }^{-2} \\
& +2 \bar{a}_{3} \bar{b}_{9} r_{s o}{ }^{-4}+2 \bar{a}_{3} \bar{b}_{11} r_{80}{ }^{-2} \ln r_{s 0}+2 \bar{a}_{1}^{2} r_{80} r_{81}-2 \bar{a}_{3}^{-2} r_{80}{ }^{-3} r_{s 1} 1 \tag{5-137}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r_{s 2}(0)=\frac{r_{s o}{ }^{2}\left(1-r_{B O}{ }^{2}\right)}{2}\left\{\frac{r+1}{2}\left[k_{0}{ }^{2}+b_{0} b_{2} r_{s 0}{ }^{2}+\frac{1}{3} b_{2}{ }^{2} r_{s 0}{ }^{4}\right\}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\left.-\frac{4}{9} \bar{b}_{2} \bar{b}_{4}\left(\frac{1-\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{so}}{ }^{3}+3 \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{so}}{ }^{3} \ln \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{so}}}{1-\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{so}}^{2}}\right)+2 \overline{\mathrm{~b}}_{4}^{2}\left(\frac{2-2 \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{so}}-\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{so}}\left(\ln \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{so}}\right)^{2}+2 \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{so}} \mathrm{ln}^{2} \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{s}}}{1-\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{so}}{ }^{2}}\right)\right]\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the terms on the right-hand side of equation (5-138) are evaluated at the throat $(z=0)$.

The solution of the above equations defires the second order velocity components ( $u_{2}, v_{2}, \bar{u}_{2}$ and $\bar{v}_{2}$ ) and dividing streamiline location ( $r_{22}$ ) thiough the nozzle.

As previously discussed, the above equations are singular at the nozzle throat and can in principle be solved directly to determine the second order throat conditions. This has been done numerically in the present study, since direct solution of the above equations for the second order throat conditions requires the solution of twenty-six inear algebraic equations.

It is noted that both the first and second order two-zone throat conditions are independent of the nozzle shape and are thus universally applicable to all two-zone nozrle flows. The solution away from the throat depends on the nozzle shape for all orders, however. The third and higher order two-zone throat conditions depend on the nozzle shape as in the uniform expansion case.

Figures 5-2 and 5-3 show the second order results of the present two-zone analysis for a typical 'barrier' cooled rocket engine having a hyperbolic nozzle with a normalized throat wall radius of curvature of 5 . The inner and outar zone propertiee were chosen as representative of an ablative engina operating with Aerozine - $50 / \mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ at an overall engine mixture ratio of 3.6 , in which twenty percent of the propellant mase flow is djscharged through a barrier zone
at a maxture ratio of 0.8 and racovery tempanture of $3900^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$ in order to minimize dimensional erosion. Examation of the figures shows that while the constant pressure lines are continuous through the nozzle (as requited by the dividing streamline boundary condition), the constant Mach lines ase discontinuous ecross the dividing streamiline, except the nonic (M - 1) line, which is continuous. Figures 5-4 and 5-5 how the sacond-order constant pressure and constant Mech number lines for the same engine operating without a barrier zone (unform 1.6 mixture ratio throughout). Comparison of the two sets of figures grapically illustrates the difference between a two-zone and uniform mixture expension through a typical rocket engine, Although the pressure distribution is gimilar In the two cases, the Mach number distributions are quite different except near the sonic surfaces, which are nearly identical. The performance losaes associated with 'barrier' cooling will be discussed in a later report.


Figure 5-1. Two-Zoze Expansion in Nozzle.


Figure 5-2. Contours of Constant Pressure in Two-Zone Nuzzle Expansicn.


Figure 5-3. Contuurs of Constant Speed in Two-Zone Nozzle Expansion.


Figure 5-4. Ccncnure of Constant Pressure in Uniform Nozzle Expansion,


Figure 5-5. Contours of Constant Speed in Uniform Noz:le Expansion.
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## A.1. Uniform Expansions

The one-dimensional channel flow equation governing the inviscid isentropic expansion of a perfect gas through a nozzle is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1-u^{2}\right) \frac{d u}{d x}+(\omega+1)\left(1-\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+I} u^{2}\right) \frac{u}{y_{w}} \frac{d y_{w}}{d x}=0 \tag{A-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the velocity has been normalized with respect $\because 0$ the throat sonic velocity and $\omega$ equals 0 or $I$ depending on whether the nozzie is planar or axisymmetric. At the nozzie throat,

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{w}=y^{*}\left[1+\frac{x^{2}}{2 R y *^{2}}+\ldots\right]  \tag{A-2}\\
& \frac{d y_{w}}{d x}=\frac{x}{R y^{*}}+\ldots \tag{A-3}
\end{align*}
$$

By substituting the above expressions into equation ( $A-1$ ), expanding $u$ as a power series in $x$ and equating powers of $x$, $i t$ can be shown that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=1+\sqrt{\frac{\omega+1}{\gamma+1} \frac{1}{R}} \frac{x}{y^{\star}}+\ldots \tag{A-4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for choked flows and

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=u^{*}-\frac{\omega+1}{2}\left(1-\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1} u^{*}\right) \frac{u^{*}}{1-u *^{2}} \frac{x^{2}}{R y *^{2}}+\ldots \tag{A-5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for unchoked flows ( $u *<1$ ). The above equations are equations (2-3) and (3-1) in the text.

## A.2. Multistream Expansions

Consider a rocket engine in which two fixed quantities of propellant of different mixture ratio are injected into a finite contraction ratio (es chamber In such a manner that they burn and expand through the nozzie without mixing. The one-dimensional channel flow relationships governing the inviscid isentropic expansion of two perfect gas streams through a nozzle are

$$
\begin{equation*}
m=A P_{0} \sqrt{\frac{2 \gamma}{\gamma-1}-\frac{1}{R T}\left(\frac{P}{P_{0}}\right)^{2 / \gamma}\left[1-\left(\frac{P}{P_{0}}\right)^{\frac{\gamma-i}{\gamma}}\right]} \tag{A-6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{m}=\bar{A} \bar{p}_{0} \sqrt{\frac{\overline{2}}{\bar{\gamma}-1} \frac{1}{\overline{R T}_{0}}\left(\left.\frac{P}{\bar{P}_{0}}\right|^{2 / \bar{Y}} 1-\left[\left|\frac{P}{\bar{P}_{0}}\right|^{\frac{\bar{\gamma}-1}{\bar{Y}}}\right]\right.} \tag{A-7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the pressure in both streams is equal throughout the nozzle. Applying the above mass flow relationships at the chamber and throat, it is found that

$$
\begin{align*}
& m=A_{c} P_{d} \sqrt{\frac{2 Y}{Y-1} \frac{1}{E \cdot I_{0}}\left(\frac{P_{c}}{P_{0}}\right)^{2 / Y}\left[1-\left(\frac{\sum_{c}}{P_{0}}\right)^{\frac{Y-i}{Y}}\right]} \tag{A-8}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathfrak{m}=A_{t} P_{0} \sqrt{\frac{2 Y}{Y-1} \frac{1}{R T_{0}}\left(\frac{P_{t}}{P_{0}}\right)^{2 / Y}\left[1-\left(\frac{P_{t}}{P_{0}}\right)^{Y-1}\right]} \tag{A-10}
\end{align*}
$$

The pressure ratio function appearing on the right-hand side of the above equations monotonicall; increases as the pressure ratio decreases, reaching a maximum at the sonic pressure ratio. Thus for fixed mass flows through the two streams,
 are the sonic pressure ratios, $\left(\frac{2}{\gamma+1}\right)^{\frac{\gamma}{\gamma-1}}$ and $\left(\frac{2}{\gamma+1}\right)^{\frac{\bar{\gamma}}{\gamma-1}}$, respectively. Since for Eixed mass flovs through the two streams, $A_{t}$ and $\bar{A}_{t}$ aie a minimum when $\frac{\mathrm{P}_{t}}{\mathrm{P}_{0}}$ and $\frac{\mathrm{P}_{t}}{\overline{\mathrm{P}}_{0}}$ are the sonic pressure ratios, then $P_{0}$ and $\bar{P}_{0}$ are aisc a minimum wion $\frac{P_{t}}{F_{0}}$ and $\frac{P_{t}}{\bar{P}_{0}}$ are the sonic pressure ratio.

Since the total flow area equals the nozzle area,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{z}+\bar{A}_{c}=\varepsilon_{c} A^{*} \tag{A-12}
\end{equation*}
$$

equations $(A-8),(A-9)$ and ( $A-12$ ) may be solved for the contraction ratio, yielding


Examination of this equation reveals that the pressure ratio functions on the right-hand side of the equation will be maximum when $P_{0}$ and $\bar{P}_{0}$ are minimum for fixed mass flows through the two streams and fixed engine geometry (contraction ratio). Since these functions monotonically increase as the pressure ratios $\frac{P_{c}}{P_{c}}$ and $\frac{P_{c}}{P_{o}}$ decrease, it is concluded that the engine (static) chamber pressure, $P_{c}$ will be a minimum when $P_{0}$ and $\bar{P}_{0}$ are a minimum. Since th, engine will operate at
mindmun chander pressure in the absence of external influtnces on the flow througn the nozzle (such as secondary injection ahead of the throat, etc.), it is concluded that at the throat, $\frac{P_{t}}{P_{0}}$ and $\frac{P_{t}}{\bar{P}_{0}}$ are the sonic pressure ratios for fixed mass flows through the two streams. Thus the sonic points in the two streams coincide and are iocated at the nozzle throat. Since the sonic pressures in the twe streams are equal, the total pressures $j$ in the two streams are unequal unless the twr streams are identical $(\gamma=\bar{\gamma})$, their ratio being

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{P_{0}}{\bar{P}_{0}}=\frac{P_{t}}{\bar{P}_{0}} \frac{P_{0}}{P_{t}}=\left(\frac{2}{\bar{\gamma}+1}\right)^{\frac{\bar{\gamma}}{\bar{\gamma}-1}} /\left(\frac{2}{\gamma+1}\right)^{\frac{\gamma}{\gamma-1}} \tag{A-14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Generalizing the above analysis to multistream flows, it fs concluded that:

- The sonic pressure of each stream is equal.
o The sonia point of each stream coincidea with the rozzle throat (for one-jimensional ilows).
- The total pressure of each stream is different (unless the streams are identical).
- There does not exist a common engine (stream) stagnation pressure for performance reference.
- The propar performance reference pressure is the sonic pressure for multistream nozzle flows since it is the only reference pressure common to all streams.

Thus Wrobel's* analysis of multistream rocket nozzle flows is incorrect, since it is based on the assumption that the total pressure in each stream is equal and that there exists a comon stream (englne) stagnation pressure for performance reference.

[^1]
## A.3. Two-Zone Expansions

The one-dimensional channel flow equations governing the inviscid two-zone isentropic expansion of two perfect gases through a nozzle are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1-u^{2}\right) \frac{d u}{d x}+(w+1)\left(1-\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1} u^{2}\right) \frac{u}{y_{s}} \frac{d y_{s}}{d x}=0 \tag{A-15}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the inner zone and

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(1-\bar{u}^{2}\right) \frac{d \bar{u}}{d x}+(w+1)\left(1-\frac{\bar{y}-1}{\bar{\gamma}+1} \bar{u}^{2}\right) \frac{\bar{u}}{y_{w}^{\omega+1}-y_{s}^{\omega+1}} \\
\left(y_{w}^{\omega} \frac{d y_{w}}{d x}-y_{s}^{\prime \prime} \frac{d y_{s}}{d x}\right)=0 \tag{A-16}
\end{gather*}
$$

in the outer zone where the velocities bave been normalized with respect to the appropilate throat sonic velocity and wequals 0 or 1 depending on whether the nozzle and the two zones are planar or axisymmetric. Since the sonic points of both streams coincide with the nozzie throat,

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{s}=y_{s}^{*}\left[1+\frac{x^{2}}{2 R_{s} y *^{2}}+\ldots\right] \tag{A-17}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{dy}_{s}}{\mathrm{dz}}=\frac{x}{\mathrm{R}_{s} y^{*}}+\ldots \tag{A-18}
\end{equation*}
$$

By substituting the above expressions and equations ( $A-2$ ) and ( $A-3$ ) into equations ( $A-15$ ) and $(A-1 K)$, expariding $a$ and $\bar{u}$ as power series in $x$ and equating powers of $x$, it can be shown that

$$
\begin{align*}
& u=1+\sqrt{\frac{\omega+1}{\gamma+1} \frac{y^{*}}{y_{s}^{*}} \frac{1}{R_{s}} \frac{x}{y^{*}}+\ldots}  \tag{A-19}\\
& \bar{u}=1+\sqrt{\frac{\omega+1}{\bar{\gamma}+1} \frac{y^{*}}{y^{*}{ }^{\omega+1}-y_{s}^{*_{s}^{\omega+1}}}\left[\frac{y^{*^{\omega}}}{R}-\frac{y_{s}^{*^{\omega}}}{R_{s}}\right] \frac{x}{y^{*}}+\ldots} \tag{A-20}
\end{align*}
$$

Since the pressure and sonic pressures are equal in both zones of the nozale,

$$
\frac{p}{p *}=\left[\frac{\gamma+1}{2}\left(1-\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1} u^{2}\right)\right]^{\frac{\gamma}{\gamma-1}}=\left[\frac{\bar{\gamma}+1}{2}\left(1-\frac{\bar{\gamma}-1}{\bar{\gamma}+1} \bar{u}^{2}\right)\right]^{\frac{\bar{\gamma}}{\bar{\gamma}-1}}
$$

Differentiating this expression it is found that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{d u}{d x}\right|_{*}=\left.\frac{d \bar{u}}{d x}\right|_{*} \tag{A-22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using this relationship and solving equations ( $A-19$ ) and ( $A-20$ ) for $R_{8}$, it is found that

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{s}=\left[\frac{y^{2}}{\gamma^{2}} \frac{\overline{\gamma+1}}{\gamma+1} \frac{y^{*^{\omega+1}}-y_{8}^{\star^{\omega+1}}}{y^{*^{\omega+1}}}+\frac{y_{s}^{\star^{\omega+1}}}{y^{*^{\omega+1}}}\right] \frac{y^{*}}{y_{s}^{*}} R \tag{A-23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting the above expression into equations (A-19) and (A-20) it is found that

$$
\begin{align*}
& u=1+\sqrt{\frac{\omega+1}{\gamma+1} \frac{k}{R} \frac{x}{y^{*}}}+\ldots  \tag{A-24}\\
& \bar{u}=1+\frac{\gamma}{\gamma} \sqrt{\frac{\omega+1}{\gamma+1} \frac{k}{R}} \frac{x}{y^{*}}+\ldots \tag{A-25}
\end{align*}
$$

where

It is noted that $k$ is a dimensionless constant which varies between $\frac{\bar{\gamma}^{2}}{\gamma^{2}} \frac{\gamma+1}{\gamma+1}$ and 1
and is thus of order one.

APPENDIX B. RATIONAL FRACTION APPROXIMATIONS

Van Dyke* discussen the use of various transformations to imyrove the ronvergence of perturbation expansions. Of particular interest in the current analysis is the use of rational fraction approximetions of such series. Consider the gerien

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(0,1)=1+\frac{1}{4 \vec{R}}-\frac{14 y+15}{288 R^{2}} \tag{3-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Which is the second order solution for the throat wall velocity in axisymmetric nozzles. Since the neglected terms in the series are $0\left|\frac{1}{R^{3}}\right|$, alternate representations of the above series may be considered which match the indicated terms for large $R$ but whose behavior for gmall $R$ (for which the above series is 111-behaved) is a better represontation of the true solation.
Following Van Dyke*, the above aeries can also be represented as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(0,1)=\frac{1+\frac{14 \gamma+33}{72 R}}{1+\frac{14 \gamma+15}{72 R}} \tag{B-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which, when expanded in inverse power: of $R$, matches the first three terms of the above sezies for large $R$. The advantage of the above representation can be seen by comparing the behavior of the two expressions as functions of $R$ as shown in Figure $\mathrm{B}-1$. Examination of the figure shows that the throat wall velocity given by the first expreasion maximizes for $R$ approximately one, and indicates that the throat wall velocity 18 subsonic for $R$ less than approximately one-half, which is physically impossible. In the limit as $R$ goes tc zero, the first expreasion goes to negative infinity. Thus, the first expression is clearly not a good representation of the wall velocity for small $R$.

[^2]Examination of the behavior of the second expression as function of $R$ indicates that che throat wall velocity monotonically increases as $R$ decreases, reaching the 1 imit $\frac{14 y+33}{14 \gamma+15}$ as $R$ goes to zero. Physically, the wall velocity is known to behave in this nanner. Thus the rational fraction representation of the throat wall velocity $1 s$ probably closely representative of its trum behavior for al.. values of $R$ and can be used to approximately determine the accuracy of various order solutions.

In a simila: fastinun, it can be siown that the rational fraction approximation for the throat axis velocity is

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(0,0)=\frac{1+\frac{10 \gamma+39}{72 R}}{1+\frac{10 \gamma+57}{72 R}} \tag{B-3}
\end{equation*}
$$

in axisymatric nozzles. The above rational fractions were used for petimating the accuracy of the various crder golutions in Section 2.


Figure B-1. Comparison of Power Series and Katioral Fraction
Representation of th: Throat Wall Velocity as a Function of the Inverse Normalized Throat Wall Radius of Curvature, $Y=1.4$.


[^0]:    * No solution obtained.

[^1]:    *Wrobel, J. R., Some Effects of Gas Stratification on Choked Nozzle Flows,
    AIAA paper No. 64-266, presented at the first annual AIAA meetirg, Washington, D. C., 29 June to $2 \mathrm{July}, 1965$.

[^2]:    *Van Dyke, M., Perturbation Methods in Fluid Mechsnics, Academic Press, New York, 1964.

