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ABSTRACT

The dispersion relations for a finite temperature two-component plasma subjected to crossed electrostatic and magnetostatic fields have been derived using the coupled Boltzmann-Vlasov-Maxwell equations under the one-dimensional, small-signal assumptions. The derived dispersion relation is given in a form in which various characteristic modes of the system can be readily identified. Moreover it is given in a form particularly suitable for a study of the coupling between the transverse circularly polarized wave and the longitudinal wave. Inspection of the derived dispersion relation reveals that the coupling of the longitudinal mode to the transverse mode may take place in the presence of a transverse electrostatic field.

The derived dispersion relation is examined in detail for a Maxwellian plasma in the case of longitudinal propagation. As an illustration, the detailed analysis of the dispersion relation is carried out for a homogeneous, electrically neutral electron gas in which the thermal velocity of the electron is taken into account, but the ion motion is neglected. The variation of refractive index with various system parameters, such as $X \equiv\left(\omega_{p}^{2} / \omega^{2}\right)$, and $Y \equiv\left(\omega_{z} / \omega\right)$, has been studied under the conditions of low temperature and a weak applied electrostatic field. $\omega_{p}$ and $\omega_{z}$ are the plasma frequency and cyclotron frequency of the electron respectively, and $\omega$ is the angular frequency of the transverse electromagnetic wave. The plots of $\eta$ vs. X , and $\eta$ vs. $Y$, with $\delta$ and $\gamma$ as parameters, are presented and discussed. $\eta \equiv\left(c^{2} / v_{o}^{2}\right)$ denotes the square of the refractive index, where $c$ is the speed of light in vacuum, and $v_{o}$ is the phase velocity of the transverse electromagnetic wave under consideration. $\delta \equiv(\mathrm{m} / 2 \mathrm{KT})\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{o}} / \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{o}}\right)^{2}$ and $\gamma \equiv\left(2 \mathrm{KT} / \mathrm{mv}_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}\right)$ in which m is the electron mass, $K$ is the Boltzmann constant and $T$ the electron temperature. $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{o}}$ is the magnetostatic field present in the system along the direction of wave propagation and $E_{0}$ is the applied electrostatic field which is perpendicular to $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{O}}$.

It is shown that the presence of an applied transverse electrostatic field $E_{o}$ in the electron gas has two interesting effects upon the propagation characteristic of the transverse circularly polarized electromagnetic wave, which travels along the magnetostatic field $\vec{B}_{0}$ :

1. The cutoff frequency of the electromagnetic wave, $\omega_{o}$, shifts; e.g., an investigation of the cutoff condition reveals that, for a given $B_{o}$, an increase in $E_{o}$ will cause the cutoff frequency of the left-hand circularly polarized wave to increase, while it causes that of the righthand circularly polarized wave to decrease.
2. The longitudinal plasma oscillation may be coupled to the transverse electromagnetic wave, which is referred to as "electrostatic coupling". The temperature effect in the electrostatic coupling has been examined and it is observed that the "coupling velocity", i.e., the velocity at which the electrostatic coupling may take place, depends upon the electron temperature $T$; e.g., an increase in $T$ causes the coupling velocity of the left-hand circularly polarized wave to increase and the coupling velocity of the right-hand circularly polarized wave to increase when $\omega_{z}>\omega_{p}$.
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## I. INTRODUCTION

A theory of growing electromagnetic waves was advanced some years ago by Bailey ${ }^{l}, 2$ in his electromagnetoionic (EMI) theory, which is an extension of the well-known magnetoionic (MI) theory of Appleton and others. In his treatment the random motion of charged particles is taken into account by means of Maxwell's law of momentum transfer. From a detailed study of the case in which both static electric and magnetic fields are parallel to the direction of wave propagation, Bailey concludes that wave amplification is possible in certain frequency ranges, and he has used the theory to explain the excess noise radiation observed in sunspots. However, Bailey's theory of amplified circularly polarized waves in an ionized medium has been criticized by Twiss ${ }^{3}$ and Piddington ${ }^{4}$. Twiss points out that the growing wave which Bailey interprets as an amplified wave can only be excited by reflection and it is argued that this can explain neither the excess radiation observed from sunspots nor the excess noise observed in discharge tubes.

Piddington has examined Bailey's theory also for the case in which the ionized gas drift and the wave normal are both in the direction of the steady magnetic field. He concludes that Bailey's theory predicts spurious growing waves which do not correspond to any interchange of energy between gas and field. Piddington further points out that the presence of a steady electric field introduces no new wave forms although it modifies the existing waves.

In analyzing the dispersion relations in a finite temperature magnetoactive plasma this author ${ }^{5}$ recently found that when the externally applied static electric field is not parallel to the steady magnetic field, which is directed along the direction of wave propagation, coupling between the transverse and longitudinal waves in the plasma can take place.

The purpose of the present report is to investigate the effect of externally applied electrostatic fields upon the propagation characteristics of electromagnetic waves in a plasma pervaded by a static magnetic field. From the coupled Boltzmann-Vlasov-Maxwell equation, a small-amplitude, one-dimensional analysis is considered.

## II. BASIC EQUATIONS

Consider a plasma composed of two species (positive ions and electrons) in which collision effects are negligible. The electron distribution function $f(\vec{r}, \vec{v}, \ddagger)$ and the ion distribution function $F(\vec{r}, \vec{v}, t)$ for this plasma are governed by the Boltzmann-Vlasov equation:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}+\vec{v} \cdot \nabla f-\frac{e}{m}(\vec{E}+\vec{v} \times \vec{B}) \cdot \nabla_{v} f=0  \tag{la}\\
& \frac{\partial F}{\partial t}+\vec{v} \cdot \nabla F+\frac{e}{M}(\vec{E}+\vec{v} \times \vec{B}) \cdot \nabla_{v} f=0 \tag{lb}
\end{align*}
$$

where m and M denote the electron and ion mass respectively and e is the electronic charge which is taken as a positive quantity. The electromagnetic fields in the plasma are governed by the Maxwell equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \nabla \times \overrightarrow{\mathrm{E}}=-\frac{\partial \overrightarrow{\mathrm{B}}}{\partial \mathrm{t}}  \tag{2a}\\
& \nabla \times \overrightarrow{\mathrm{H}}=\vec{J}+\frac{\partial \vec{D}}{\partial \mathrm{t}} \tag{2b}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla \cdot \vec{D}=\rho \tag{2c}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla \cdot \vec{B}=0 . \tag{2d}
\end{equation*}
$$

The electric displacement vector $\vec{D}$ and the magnetic flux density $\vec{B}$ are, respectively, related to the electric field intensity $\overrightarrow{\mathrm{E}}$ and the magnetic field intensity $\overrightarrow{\mathrm{H}}$ in the following manner :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{D}=\epsilon_{0} \vec{E} \tag{3a}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{B}=\mu_{0} \vec{H} \tag{3b}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\epsilon_{0}$ and $\mu_{0}$ denote the dielectric constant and the permeability of vacua.

The convection current density $\vec{J}$ and the charge density $\rho$ may be written in terms of the distribution function as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{J}=e \int \vec{v}(F-f) d^{3} v \tag{4a}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho=e \int(F-f) d^{3} v \tag{4b}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider all quantities of interest to be composed of two parts, a timeindependent part and a time-dependent part which are denoted by the subscripts 0 and 1 respectively:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\vec{B} & =\vec{B}_{0}(\vec{r})+\vec{B}_{1}(\vec{r}, t) \\
\vec{E} & =\vec{E}_{0}(\vec{r})+\vec{E}_{1}(\vec{r}, t) \\
\vec{J} & =J_{0}(\vec{r})+\vec{J}_{1}(\vec{r}, t) \\
\rho & =\rho_{0}(\vec{r})+\rho_{1}(\vec{r}, t) \\
f(\vec{r}, \vec{v}, t) & =f_{0}(\vec{r}, \vec{v})+f_{1}(\vec{r}, \vec{v}, t)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(\vec{r}, v, t)=F_{0}(\vec{r}, \vec{v})+F_{1}(\vec{r}, \vec{v}, t) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the present paper the following assumptions are made:

1. Small-amplitude conditions are satisfied so that the terms involving the product of time-dependent quantities are negligible.
2. A one-dimensional analysis is applicable, i.e., all quantities vary only with one spatial variable, and $\partial / \partial x=\partial / \partial y=0$ in a rectangular Cartesian coordinate system.
3. All time-dependent quantities have harmonic dependence of the form $\exp [j(\omega t-k z)]$, where $\omega$ and $k$ are the angular frequency and the propagation constant respectively.

Based on the above assumptions, and the substitution of Eqs. 5 into Eqs. 1 through 4, the following set of equations governing the time-varying quantities is obtained:

$$
\begin{align*}
& j\left(\omega-k v_{z}\right) f_{1}-\frac{e}{m}\left(\left(E_{o x}+v_{y} B_{o z}-v_{z} B_{o y}\right) \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial v_{x}}+\left(E_{o y}+v_{z} B_{o x}-v_{x} B_{o z}\right) \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial v_{y}}\right. \\
& \left.+\left(E_{o z}+v_{x} B_{o y}-v_{y} B_{o x}\right) \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial v_{z}}\right) \\
& =\frac{e}{m}\left(\left(E_{1 x}+v_{y} B_{1 z}-v_{z i y} B_{1 y}\right) \frac{\partial f_{o}}{\partial v_{x}}+\left(E_{1 y}+v_{z} B_{1 x}-v_{x} B_{1 z}\right) \frac{\partial f_{o}}{\partial v_{y}}\right. \\
& \left.+\left(E_{1 z}+v_{x} B_{1 y}-v_{y} B_{1 x}\right) \frac{\partial f_{0}}{\partial v_{z}}\right),  \tag{6a}\\
& j\left(\omega-k v_{z}\right) F_{z}+\frac{e}{M}\left(\left(E_{o x}+v_{y} B_{o z}-v_{z} B_{o y}\right) \frac{\partial F_{1}}{\partial v_{x}}+\left(E_{o y}+v_{z} B_{o x}-v_{x} B_{o z}\right) \frac{\partial F_{1}}{\partial v_{y}}\right. \\
& \left.+\left(E_{o z}+v_{x} B_{o y}-v_{y} B_{o x}\right) \frac{\partial F_{1}}{\partial v_{z}}\right) \\
& =-\frac{e}{M}\left(\left(E_{1 x}+v_{y} B_{1 z}-v_{z} B_{1 y}\right) \frac{\partial F_{o}}{\partial v_{x}}+\left(E_{1 y}+v_{z} B_{1 x}-v_{x} B_{1 z}\right) \frac{\partial F_{o}}{\partial v_{y}}\right. \\
& \left.+\left(E_{1 z}+v_{x} B_{1 y}-v_{y} B_{1 x}\right) \frac{\partial F_{o}}{\partial v_{z}}\right),  \tag{6~b}\\
& B_{1 x}=-\frac{k}{\omega} E_{1 y}, \quad B_{1 y}=\frac{k}{\omega} E_{1 x}, \frac{\partial B_{1 z}}{\partial z}=0,  \tag{6c}\\
& \frac{\partial^{2} E_{1 x}}{\partial z^{2}}+\frac{\omega^{2}}{c^{2}} E_{1 x}=\operatorname{jax}_{0} J_{1 x},  \tag{6d}\\
& \frac{\partial^{2} E_{1 y}}{\partial z^{2}}+\frac{\omega^{2}}{c^{2}} E_{1 y}=j a \mu_{0} J_{1 y}, \tag{6e}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\omega^{2}}{c^{2}} E_{1 z}=j \alpha \mu_{0} J_{1 z},  \tag{6f}\\
& \vec{J}_{1}=e \int \vec{v}\left(F_{1}-f_{1}\right) d^{3} v \tag{6~g}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{1}=e \int\left(F_{1}-f_{1}\right) d^{3} v \tag{6h}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c$ is the speed of light in vacuum.
On the other hand the time-independent quantities are related to one another in the following manner:

$$
\begin{align*}
& v_{z} \frac{\partial f_{o}}{\partial y}-\frac{e}{m}\left(\vec{E}_{o} \nRightarrow \vec{v} \times \vec{B}_{o}\right) \cdot \nabla_{v} f_{o}=0,  \tag{7a}\\
& v_{z} \frac{\partial F_{o}}{\partial z}+\frac{e}{M}\left(\vec{E}_{o}+\vec{v} \times \vec{B}_{o}\right) \cdot \nabla_{v} F_{o}=0,  \tag{7b}\\
& \frac{\partial E_{o x}}{\partial x}=0, \frac{\partial E_{o y}}{\partial y}=0, \frac{\partial E_{o z}}{\partial z}=\frac{\rho_{0}(z)}{\epsilon_{0}},  \tag{7c}\\
& \frac{\partial B_{o y}}{\partial z}=-\mu_{0} J_{o x}, \frac{\partial B_{o x}}{\partial z}=\mu_{0} J_{o 女}, \frac{\partial B_{o z}}{\partial z}=0,  \tag{7d}\\
& \vec{J}_{0}=e \int \vec{v}\left(F_{o}-f_{o}\right) d^{3} v \tag{7e}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{0}=e \int\left(\mathbf{F}_{0}-f_{0}\right) d^{3} v \tag{7f}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now consider a transformation of velocity variable coordinates given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{x}=v_{\perp} \cos \varphi, v_{y}=v_{\perp} \sin \varphi \text { and } v_{z}=v_{z}, \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for convenience of discussion define the quantities $\vec{\omega}_{c}$ and $\vec{a}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{\omega}_{c} \equiv\left(\frac{e}{m} \vec{B}_{0}\right) \text { and } \vec{a} \equiv\left(\frac{e}{m} \overrightarrow{\mathrm{E}}_{0}\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then Eq. Ga can be transformed ${ }^{5}$, with the aid of Eq. Wc, into

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(j\left(\omega-k v_{z}\right)+\omega_{z} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi}\right) f_{1} \\
& -\left[a_{-}\left(\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial v_{1}}+j \frac{1}{v_{1}} \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial \varphi}\right)+\omega_{-} \frac{v_{z}}{v_{1}} \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial \varphi}+j \omega_{-} D\left(f_{1}\right)\right] e^{j \varphi} \\
& -\left[a_{+}\left(\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial v_{1}}-j \frac{1}{v_{1}} \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial \varphi}\right)+\omega_{+} \frac{v_{z}}{v_{1}} \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial \varphi}-j \omega_{+} D\left(f_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right] e^{-j \varphi}-a_{z} \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial v_{z}} \\
& =\frac{e}{m} M_{-}\left(f_{o}\right) E_{-} e^{j \varphi}+\frac{e}{m} M_{+}\left(f_{o}\right) E_{+} e^{-j \varphi}+\frac{e}{m} E_{1 z} \frac{\partial f_{o}}{\partial v_{z}}-\frac{e}{m} B_{1 z} \frac{\partial f_{o}}{\partial \varphi}, \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{ \pm} & \equiv 1 / 2\left(E_{1 x} \pm j E_{1 y}\right), \\
B_{ \pm} & \equiv 1 / 2\left(B_{1 x} \pm j B_{1 y}\right), \\
\omega_{ \pm} & \equiv 1 / 2\left(\omega_{x} \pm j \omega_{y}\right), \\
a_{ \pm} & \equiv 1 / 2\left(a_{x} \pm j a_{y}\right), \\
\omega_{c x} & \equiv \omega_{x}, \omega_{c y} \equiv \omega_{y}, \omega_{c z} \equiv \omega_{z}, \\
M_{-}\left(f_{o}\right) & \equiv\left[\left(1-\frac{k v_{z}}{\omega}\right)\left(\frac{\partial f_{o}}{\partial{v_{u}}_{u}}-\frac{j}{v_{1}} \frac{\partial f_{0}}{\partial \varphi}\right)+\frac{k v_{1}}{\omega} \frac{\partial f_{o}}{\partial v_{z}}\right] \\
M_{+}\left(f_{o}\right) & \equiv\left[\left(1-\frac{k v_{z}}{\omega}\right)\left(\frac{\partial f_{o}}{\partial v_{i}}+\frac{j}{v_{\perp}} \frac{\partial f_{0}}{\partial \varphi}\right)+\frac{k v_{\perp}}{\omega} \frac{\partial f_{0}}{\partial v_{z}}\right] \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

and the differential operator $D$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
D() \equiv\left[v_{2} \frac{\partial()}{\partial v_{z}}-v_{z} \frac{\partial()}{\partial v_{i}}\right] \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

It should be noted that $\mathrm{E}_{-}$and $\mathrm{E}_{+}$appearing in Eq . 10 correspond to the electric field of the left-hand and right-hand circularly polarized waves respectively.

## III. DISPERSION RELATIONS

Suppose that the positive z-direction is taken in the direction of the magnetostatic field $\vec{B}_{0}$, i.e., $B_{o x}=B_{o y}=0$ so that $\omega_{ \pm}=0$. From Eq. $6 c, B_{1 z}$ is independent of $z$ and it is taken to be zero in the present discussion (which is reasonable for longitudinal propagation).

Now consider that the time-varying electron distribution function $f_{1}$ is composed of three parts and may be written as: $f_{1}\left(z, t, v_{1}, v_{z}, \varphi\right)=f_{-}\left(z, t, v_{1}, v_{z}\right) e^{j \varphi}+f_{+}\left(z, t, v_{1}, v_{z}\right) e^{-j \varphi}+g\left(z, t, v_{1}, v_{z}\right)$,
where the first, second and third terms of the right-hand side can be regarded as the distribution of those electrons associated with the righthand circularly polarized, left-hand circularly polarized and longitudinal waves respectively. Since Eq. 10 must be valid for an arbitrary value of $\varphi$, the substitution of Eq. 13 into Eq. 10 yields the following system of equations ${ }^{5}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
j\left(\omega-k v_{z}+\omega_{z}\right) f_{-}-a_{z} \frac{\partial f_{-}}{\partial v_{z}}-a_{-} \frac{\partial g}{\partial v_{\perp}}=\frac{e}{m} M_{-}\left(f_{0}\right) E_{-}, \tag{14a}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
j\left(\omega-k v_{z}-\omega_{z}\right) f_{+}-a_{z} \frac{\partial f_{+}}{\partial v_{z}}-a_{+} \frac{\partial g}{\partial v_{\perp}}=\frac{e}{m} M_{+}\left(f_{0}\right) E_{+} \tag{14b}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
j\left(\omega-k v_{z}\right) g-a_{z} \frac{\partial g}{\partial v_{z}}-\frac{2 a_{-}}{v_{\perp}} f_{+}-\frac{2 a_{+}}{v_{\perp}} f_{-}=\frac{e}{m} \frac{\partial f_{o}}{\partial v_{z}} E_{1 z} \tag{14c}
\end{equation*}
$$

which clearly suggests that no coupling between the transverse mode and the longitudinal mode can take place when $a_{+}$and $a_{-}$are zero, which is the case when the transverse electrostatic field is zero.

In the present investigation it is assumed that $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{z}}=0$, i.e., $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{OZ}}=0$, since the effect of the transverse electrostatic field is of primary concern. This assumption is equivalent to assuming that the condition of electrical neutrality is atisfied. For this case, it is possible to solve the system of Eqs. 14 for $f_{-}, f_{+}$and $g$ explicitly in terms of $E_{-}, E_{+}$and $E_{1 z}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{-}=k_{11} E_{-}+k_{12} E_{+}+k_{13} E_{1 z}, \\
& f_{+}=k_{21} E_{-}+k_{22} E_{+}+k_{23} E_{12}, \\
& g=k_{31} E_{-}+k_{32} E_{+}+k_{33} E_{12}, \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
k_{11}=\frac{-j \frac{e}{m} M_{-}\left(f_{0}\right)}{b+\omega_{z}}, k_{12}=0, k_{13}=\frac{-\frac{e}{m} a_{-} \frac{\partial}{\partial v_{1}}\left(\frac{\partial f_{0}}{\partial v_{z}}\right)}{b\left(b+\omega_{z}\right)}, \\
k_{21}=0, k_{22}=\frac{-j \frac{e}{m} M_{+}\left(f_{0}\right)}{b-\omega_{z}}, k_{23}=\frac{-\frac{e}{m} a_{+} \frac{\partial}{\partial v_{1}}\left(\frac{\partial f_{0}}{\partial v_{z}}\right)}{b\left(b-\omega_{z}\right)}, \\
k_{31}=\frac{-2 \frac{e}{m} \frac{a_{+}}{v_{1}} M_{-}\left(f_{0}\right)}{b\left(b+\omega_{z}\right)}, k_{32}=\frac{-2 \frac{e}{m} \frac{a}{v_{1}} M_{+}\left(f_{0}\right)}{b\left(b-\omega_{z}\right)}, \\
k_{33}=\frac{-j \frac{e}{m} \frac{\partial f_{0}}{\partial v_{z}}}{b}+j \frac{4 a-a_{+}}{v_{1}} \frac{\frac{e}{m} \frac{\partial}{\partial v_{1}}\left(\frac{\partial f_{0}}{\partial v_{z}}\right)}{b\left(b^{2}-\omega_{z}^{2}\right)}, \tag{16}
\end{gather*}
$$

in which $\mathrm{b} \triangleq\left(\omega-\mathrm{kv}_{\mathrm{z}}\right)$.
Similarly the ion distribution functions may be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{1}\left(z, t, v_{\perp}, v_{z} \varphi\right)=F_{-}\left(z, t, v_{\perp}, v_{z}\right) e^{j \varphi}+F_{+}\left(z, t, v_{\perp}, v_{z}\right) e^{-j \varphi}+G\left(z, t, v_{\perp}, v_{z}\right) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and in view of the fact that Eqs. $6 a$ and $6 b$ have exactly the same form, the substitution of Eq. 17 into Eq. 6 b yields a system of equations governing $\mathrm{F}_{-}, \mathrm{F}_{+}$and G which is similar to the system of Eqs. 14. By defining $\vec{\Omega}$ and $\vec{A}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{\Omega}=-\frac{e}{M} \vec{B}_{0} \text { and } \vec{A}=\frac{-e}{M} \vec{E}_{0} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

$F_{-}, F_{+}$and $G$ can be expressed in terms of $E_{-}, E_{+}$and $E_{1 z}$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{-}=K_{11} E_{-}+K_{12} E_{+}+K_{13} E_{1 z}, \\
& F_{+}=K_{21} E_{-}+K_{22} E_{+}+K_{23} E_{1 z}, \\
& G=K_{31} E_{-}+K_{32} E_{+}+K_{33} E_{12}, \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
K_{11}=\frac{j \frac{e}{M} M_{-}\left(F_{0}\right)}{\left(b+\Omega_{z}\right)}, K_{12}=0, K_{13}=\frac{\frac{e}{M} A_{-}-\frac{-\partial}{\partial v_{\perp}}\left(\frac{\partial F_{0}}{\partial v_{z}}\right)}{b\left(b+\Omega_{z}\right)}, \\
K_{21}=0, K_{22}=\frac{j \frac{e}{M} M_{+}\left(F_{0}\right)}{\left(b=\Omega_{z}\right)}, K_{23}=\frac{\frac{e}{M} A_{+} \frac{\partial}{\partial v_{\perp}}\left(\frac{\partial F_{0}}{\partial v_{z}}\right)}{b\left(b-\Omega_{z}\right)}, \\
K_{31}=\frac{2 \frac{e}{M} \frac{A_{+}}{v_{ \pm}} M_{-}\left(F_{0}\right)}{b\left(b+\Omega_{z}\right)}, K_{32}=\frac{2 \frac{e}{M} \frac{A_{-}}{v_{1}} M_{+}\left(F_{0}\right)}{b\left(b \Omega_{z}\right)}, \\
K_{33}=\frac{j \frac{e}{M} \frac{\partial F_{0}}{\partial v_{z}}}{b}-\frac{j 4 A_{-} A_{+}}{v_{\perp}} \frac{\frac{e}{M} \frac{\partial}{\partial v_{\perp}}\left(\frac{\partial F_{0}}{\partial v_{z}}\right)}{b\left(b^{2}-\Omega_{z}^{2}\right)}, \tag{20}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $A_{ \pm} \equiv(1 / 2)\left(A_{x} \pm j A_{y}\right)$ and $\Omega_{z} \equiv\left[(-e / M) B_{O Z}\right]$.
When the time-varying distribution functions are expressed explicitly in terms of the time-varying electric field, the convection current density $\vec{J}_{1}$ and the space-charge density $\rho_{1}$ can then be expressed in terms of the electric field with the aid of Eqs. 6 g and 6 h respectively. On the other hand, the electric field is related to the current density by Eqs. 6 d , 6 e and $6 \mathrm{f}^{\prime}$ so that it can be expressed as

$$
\begin{gather*}
E_{ \pm}=\frac{j\left(\frac{\omega \dot{e}}{\epsilon_{0}}\right)}{2\left(\omega \pm e^{2} \cdot c^{2} k^{2}\right)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} v_{\perp}^{2}\left(F_{1}-f_{1}\right) e^{ \pm j \varphi_{d \varphi} d v_{\perp} d v_{z},} \\
E_{1 z}=\frac{j e}{\omega \epsilon_{0}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} v_{z_{1}} v_{1}\left(F_{1}-f_{1}\right) d \varphi d v_{1} d v_{z} . \tag{21}
\end{gather*}
$$

When Eqs. 13, 15, 17 and 19 are substituted into Eqs. 21, the following set of equations is obtained:

$$
\begin{align*}
& E_{-}=R_{11} E_{-}+R_{12} E_{+}+R_{13} E_{1 z}, \\
& E_{+}=R_{21} E_{-}+R_{22} E_{+}+R_{23} E_{12}, \\
& E_{1 Z}=R_{31} E_{-}+R_{32} E_{+}+R_{33} E_{12}, \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
R_{p, q} & \equiv P\left(S_{p, q}\right) \text { for } p=1,2 ; q=1,2,3 \\
& \equiv Q\left(S_{p, q}\right) \text { for } p=3 ; q=1,2,3 \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

in which the integration operators $P(S)$ and $Q(S)$ are defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
& P(S) \equiv \frac{j\left(\frac{\omega e}{\theta_{0}}\right)}{2\left(\omega^{2}-c^{2} k^{2}\right)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} S\left(v_{\perp}, v_{z}\right) v_{L}^{2} d v_{\perp} d v_{z}, \\
& Q(S) \equiv \frac{j e}{\omega \epsilon_{0}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} S\left(v_{\perp}, v_{z}\right) v_{\perp} v_{z} d v_{\perp} d v_{z}, \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

and the functions $S_{p, q}\left(v_{z}, v_{\perp}\right)$ are defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{1 \ell} & \equiv \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left[\left(k_{1 \ell}-k_{1 \ell}\right)+\left(K_{2 \ell}-k_{2 \ell}\right) e^{-j 2 \varphi}+\left(K_{3 \ell}-k_{3 \ell}\right) e^{-j \varphi}\right] d \varphi \\
S_{2 p} & \equiv \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left[\left(K_{1 p}-k_{1 p}\right) e^{j 2 \varphi}+\left(k_{2 p}-k_{2 p}\right)+\left(K_{3 p}-k_{3 p}\right) e^{j \varphi}\right] d \varphi
\end{align*},
$$

for $\ell=1,2,3 ; p=1,2,3$; and $q=1,2,3$, and where $k_{p, q}$ and $K_{p, q}$ are given in Eqs. 16 and 20 respectively.

Therefore the dispersion relation of the system is given by

$$
D(\omega, k)=\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
\left(R_{11}-1\right) & R_{12} & R_{13}  \tag{26}\\
R_{21} & \left(R_{22}-1\right) & R_{23} \\
R_{31} & R_{32} & \left(R_{33}-1\right)
\end{array}\right|=0 .
$$

It should be observed that once the time-independent distribution functions $f_{o}$ and $F_{o}$ are known, the parameters $k_{p, q}$ and $K_{p, q}$ are specified so that the elements of the determinants, $R_{p, q}$, are determined. Thus the dispersion relation can be analyzed to obtain the information on the propagation characteristics of the waves in the system.

## IV. MAXWELIIAN PLASMA

The time-independent distribution functions $f_{0}$ and $F_{o}$ must satisfy Eqs. 7a and 7b respectively, in which the electrostatic field $\vec{E}_{\mathrm{o}}$ can be written by $\vec{E}_{0}=\vec{E}_{s}+\vec{E}_{a}$ where $\vec{E}_{a}$ represents the externally applied
electrostatic field and $\overrightarrow{\mathrm{E}}_{\mathrm{s}}$ represents the space-charge field. For the present one-dimensional analysis, $\overrightarrow{\mathrm{E}}_{\mathrm{S}}$ is directed in the z -direction. By assumption $\vec{E}_{\mathrm{a}}$ is perpendicular to $\overrightarrow{\mathrm{B}}_{\mathrm{o}}$, which is in the positive z-direction. Suppose that the positive $y$-direction is taken in the direction of applied uniform electrostatic field; then it is not difficult to show that the function $f_{0}\left(z, v_{x}, v_{y}, v_{z}\right)$ has the form (see Appendix A for details),

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{0}=n_{0} e^{-\alpha\left\{\left[\left(v_{x}-u\right)^{2}+v_{y}^{2}+v_{z}^{2}\right]-(2 e / m) \Phi(z)\right\}} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r_{0}$ i.s the normalization constant. The electronic drift velocity $u$, and the space-charge potential $\Phi$ are given by

$$
\vec{u}=\left(\vec{E}_{a} \times \vec{B}_{0}\right) /\left|\vec{B}_{0}\right|^{2}, \text { or } u=\left(E_{a} / B_{0}\right) \text { and }(\partial \Phi / \partial z)=-E_{s}
$$

respectively. Since it is assumed in the previous section that $E_{S}=E_{o z}=0, \Phi$ must be independent of $z$. The time-independent distribution function $f_{0}$ in the case of a homogeneous plasma, therefore, can be given as

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{o}=n\left(\frac{\alpha_{e}}{\pi}\right)^{3 / 2} e^{-\alpha_{e}\left[\left(v_{x}-u\right)^{2}+v_{y}^{2}+v_{z}^{2}\right]} \tag{28a}
\end{equation*}
$$

where n is the number density of electrons, $\alpha_{e} \triangleq\left(\mathrm{~m} / 2 K T_{e}\right)$ wi.th K denoting the Boltzmann constant, and $T_{e}$ is the electron temperature. In view of the fact that the eiectronic drift velocity $u$ depends neither on the ratio of charge to mass, nor on the initial velocities, it is the same for electrons and ions regardless of their energy. The time-independent ion distribution function $F_{o}$ can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{0}=N\left(\frac{\alpha_{i}}{\pi}\right)^{3 / 2} e^{-\alpha_{i}\left[\left(v_{x}-u\right)^{2}+v_{y}^{2}+v_{z}^{2}\right]} \tag{28b}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N$ is the number density of ions, $\alpha_{i} \equiv\left(M / 2 K T_{i}\right)$, with $T_{i}$ denoting the ion temperature.

Since the form of the time-independent distribution functions $f_{o}$ and $F_{0}$ is specified, $R_{p q}$ can be evaluated. After some algebraic manipulation the following expressions are obtained (see Appendix Bul for the details):

$$
\begin{align*}
& R_{11}=\frac{1}{(1-\eta)} \sum_{q=1,2} S_{q}\left(\frac{j \delta_{q}}{V_{q}}+\delta_{q} G_{o}\left(U_{o}\right)+G_{0}\left(U_{+q}\right)\right),  \tag{29a}\\
& R_{i 2}=\frac{1}{(I-\eta)} \sum_{q} S_{q}\left(j \frac{\left(2 \mu_{q}+\delta_{q}\right)}{V_{q}}+\delta_{q} G_{o}\left(U_{o}\right)-Y_{q}\left(2 \mu_{q}+\beta_{q}\right) G_{o}\left(U_{-q}\right)\right),  \tag{29b}\\
& R_{13}=\frac{1}{(1-\eta)} \sum_{q} \sqrt{\delta_{q} S_{q}}\left[j+\left(2+v_{q}\right) \bar{V}_{q} G_{o}\left(\bar{U}_{o}\right)+\left(\frac{B_{q}}{2}-I\right) V_{q}\left(1+Y_{q}\right) G_{o}\right. \\
& \left.\cdot\left(U_{+q}\right)+\left(\frac{\beta_{q}}{2}+\mu_{q}\right) V_{q}\left(1-Y_{q}\right) G_{o}\left(U_{-q}\right)\right],  \tag{29c}\\
& R_{21}=\frac{1}{(1-\eta)} \sum_{q} S_{q}\left(j \frac{\left(2 \mu_{q}+\delta_{q}\right)}{V_{q}}+\delta_{q} G_{o}\left(U_{o}\right)+Y_{q}\left(2 \mu_{q}+\beta_{q}\right) G_{o}\left(U_{+q}\right)\right),  \tag{29d}\\
& R_{22}=\frac{1}{(1-\eta)} \sum_{q} S_{q}\left(\frac{j \delta_{q}}{V_{q}}+\delta_{q} G_{0}\left(U_{o}\right)+G_{o}\left(U_{-q}\right)\right), \tag{29e}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& R_{23}=\frac{1}{(1-\eta)} \sum_{q} S_{q} \sqrt{\delta_{q}}\left[j+\left(2+v_{q}\right) V_{q} G_{o}\left(U_{o}\right)+\left(\frac{\beta_{q}}{2}+\mu_{q}\right) V_{q}\left(1+Y_{q}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\cdot G_{0}\left(U_{+q}\right)+\left(\frac{\beta_{q}}{2}-I\right) V_{q}\left(I-Y_{q}\right) G_{o}\left(U_{-q}\right)\right], \\
& R_{3 I}=2 \sum_{q} S_{q} \sqrt{\delta}{ }_{q}\left[j\left(I+v_{q} Y_{q}\right)+V_{q} G_{0}\left(U_{o}\right)+V_{q} v_{q} Y_{q}\left(I+Y_{q}\right) G_{o}\left(U_{+q}\right)\right], \\
& \text { (29g) } \\
& R_{32}=2 \sum_{q} S_{q} \sqrt{\delta_{q}}\left[j\left(1-v_{q} Y_{q}\right)+V_{q} G_{o}\left(U_{o}\right)-V_{q} v_{q} Y_{q}\left(1-Y_{q}\right) G_{o}\left(U_{-q}\right)\right], \\
& \text { (29h) } \\
& R_{33}=2 \sum_{q} S_{q} V_{q}\left[j+\left(1-2 \lambda_{q}\right) V_{q} G_{o}\left(U_{O q}\right)+\lambda_{q}\left(1+Y_{q}\right)^{2} V_{q} G_{o}\left(U_{+q}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\lambda_{q}\left(1-Y_{q}\right)^{2} V_{q} G_{o}\left(U_{-q}\right)\right], \tag{29i}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& s_{q} \equiv j v_{q} X_{q}, v_{q} \equiv\left(\sqrt{\alpha_{q} U_{o}}\right), x_{q} \equiv\left(\frac{\omega_{p q}}{\omega}\right)^{2}, \\
& \delta_{q} \equiv \alpha_{q} u^{2}, \eta \equiv\left(\frac{c^{2} k^{2}}{\omega^{2}}\right), \\
& U_{o} \equiv\left(\frac{\omega}{k}\right), U_{+q} \equiv\left(\frac{\omega+\omega_{z q}}{k}\right), U_{-q} \equiv\left(\frac{\omega-\omega_{z q}}{k}\right), \\
& Y_{q} \equiv\left(\frac{\omega_{q q}}{\omega}\right), \\
& \beta_{q} \equiv \delta_{q} D_{q}, \\
& \mu_{q} \equiv\left(D_{q}-1\right), \\
& v_{q} \equiv\left[1-D_{q}\left(1+\delta_{q}\right)\right]=-\left(\mu_{q}+\beta_{q}\right), \\
& \lambda_{q} \equiv \delta_{q}\left[1-(1 / 2) D_{q}\left(1+2 \delta_{q}\right)\right], \\
& D D_{q} \equiv e \tag{30}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{o}\left(x_{q}\right) \equiv \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\alpha_{q} v_{z}^{2}}}{\left(v_{z}-x_{q}\right)} d v_{z} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $q=1$ and 2, and $X_{q}$ may in general be complex. The subscript $q$ takes values of 1 or 2 , and the quantities appearing in Eqs. 30 with subscript 1 denote those associated with the electron and those with
subscript 2 are for the ion. The summation in Eqs. 29 is taken over both components of the plasma.

It should be noted that the integral (31) has been discussed in detail by Stix ${ }^{6}$ and his results can be applied to the present discussion. The function $G_{o}\left(X_{q}\right)$ has an interesting asymptotic expansion property ${ }^{6}$. Using this property, if the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\sqrt{\alpha_{q}} x_{q}\right|^{4} \gg 1 \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

is satisfied, then $G_{0}\left(X_{q}\right)$ may be approximated by

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{o}\left(x_{q}\right)=\frac{-j}{\sqrt{\alpha_{q} x_{q}}}\left(1+\frac{1}{2\left(\sqrt{\alpha_{q} x_{q}}\right)^{2}}\right) \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{gather*}
G_{0}\left(U_{o}\right)=\frac{-j}{V_{q}}\left(1+\frac{1}{2 V_{q}^{2}}\right), \\
G_{o}\left(U_{ \pm q}\right)=\frac{-j}{V_{q}\left(1 \pm Y_{q}\right)}\left(1+\frac{1}{2 V_{q}^{2}} \frac{1}{\left(1 \pm Y_{q}\right)^{2}}\right) \tag{34}
\end{gather*}
$$

On the other hand, if the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{q}^{2} \ll 1 \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

is satisfied, then

$$
\begin{gather*}
D=\left(1-\frac{\delta_{q}}{2}\right), \beta_{q}=\delta_{q}\left(1-\frac{\delta_{q}}{2}\right), \mu_{q}=-\frac{\delta_{q}}{2}, \\
\lambda_{q}=\frac{\delta_{q}}{2}\left(1-\frac{3}{2} \delta_{q}\right) \tag{36}
\end{gather*}
$$

Through use of the above approximations, Eqs. 34 and 36, Eqs. 29 become

$$
\begin{align*}
& R_{11}=\sum_{q} \frac{X_{q}}{(I-\eta)}\left(\Pi_{11}+\gamma_{q_{11}} \Lambda_{11}\right), \\
& R_{12}=\sum_{q} \frac{\delta_{q} X_{q}}{(1-\eta)}\left(I_{12}+\gamma_{q} \Lambda_{12}\right), \\
& R_{13}=\sum_{q} \frac{\sqrt{\delta_{q} \gamma_{q} x_{q}}}{(1-\eta)} \Lambda_{13}, \\
& R_{21}=\sum_{q} \frac{\delta_{q} X_{q}}{(1-\eta)}\left(I_{21}+\gamma_{q} \Lambda_{21}\right), \\
& R_{22}=\sum_{q} \frac{X_{q}}{(I-\eta)}\left(I_{22}+\gamma_{q} \Lambda_{22}\right), \\
& R_{23}=\sum_{q} \frac{\sqrt{\delta_{q}^{\gamma} q^{X}}{ }_{q}}{(1-\eta)} \Lambda_{23}, \\
& R_{31}=\sum_{q} \sqrt{\delta_{q} \gamma_{q} X_{q}} \Lambda_{31}, \\
& R_{32}=\sum_{q} \sqrt{\delta_{q} \gamma_{q} X_{q}} \Lambda_{32}, \\
& R_{33}=\sum_{q} X_{q}\left(I+\delta_{q} A_{q}\right), \tag{37}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \gamma_{q} \equiv \frac{1}{V_{q}^{2}}, \quad \Pi_{11} \equiv \frac{1}{1+Y_{q}}, \quad \Pi_{12} \equiv\left(1+\frac{\delta_{q}}{2} \frac{Y_{q}}{\left(1-Y_{q}\right)}\right) \\
& \Pi_{21} \equiv\left(1-\frac{\delta_{q}}{2} \frac{Y_{q}}{\left(1+Y_{q}\right)}\right), \quad \Pi_{22} \equiv \frac{1}{1-Y_{q}}, \quad \Lambda_{11} \equiv \frac{1}{2}\left(\delta_{q}+\frac{1}{\left(1+Y_{q}\right)^{3}}\right), \\
& \Lambda_{12} \equiv \frac{1}{2}\left(1+\frac{\delta_{q}}{2} \frac{Y_{q}}{\left(1-Y_{q}\right)^{3}}\right), \Lambda_{13} \equiv \frac{1}{2}\left[\left(2-\frac{\delta_{q}}{2}\right)+\frac{\left(\frac{\delta_{q}}{2}-1\right)}{\left(1+Y_{q}\right)^{2}}\right], \\
& \Lambda_{21} \equiv \frac{1}{2}\left(1-\frac{\delta_{q}}{2} \frac{Y_{q}}{\left(1+Y_{q}\right)^{3}}\right), \Lambda_{22} \equiv \frac{1}{2}\left(\delta_{q}+\frac{1}{\left(1-Y_{q}\right)^{3}}\right), \\
& \Lambda_{23} \equiv \frac{1}{2}\left[\left(2-\frac{\delta_{q}}{2}\right)+\left(\frac{\delta_{q}}{2}-1\right) \frac{1}{\left(1-Y_{q}\right)^{2}}\right], \Lambda_{3_{1}} \equiv\left(1-\frac{\delta_{q}}{2} \frac{\left(1-\delta_{q}\right) Y_{q}}{\left(1+Y_{q}\right)^{2}}\right) \text {, } \\
& \Lambda_{32} \equiv\left(1+\frac{\delta_{q}}{2} \frac{\left(1-\delta_{q}\right) Y_{q}}{\left(1-Y_{q}\right)^{2}}\right), \\
& A_{q} \equiv\left(\frac{3}{2} \delta_{q}-1\right)\left(1-\frac{1}{1-Y_{q}^{2}}\right)=\frac{\left(1-\frac{3}{2} \delta_{q}\right) Y_{q}^{2}}{\left(1-Y_{q}^{2}\right)} . \tag{38}
\end{align*}
$$

It should be observed that as $\delta \rightarrow 0, R_{p q} \rightarrow 0$ for $p \neq q$ so that the off-diagonal terms of the determinant in Eq. 26 vanish, which indicates that the coupling between the modes disappears as expected from the discussion in Section III. Equation 26 then becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(R_{11}-1\right)\left(R_{22}-1\right)\left(R_{33}-1\right)=0, \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{align*}
& I=R_{11}=\sum_{q} \frac{x_{q}}{(1-\eta)}\left(\Pi_{11}+\gamma \Lambda_{11}\right),  \tag{40a}\\
& I=R_{22}=\sum_{q} \frac{x_{q}}{(I-\eta)}\left(\Pi_{22}+\gamma \Lambda_{22}\right) \tag{40~b}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=R_{33}=\sum_{q} x_{q} \tag{40c}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which Eqs. 40 a and 40 b are those given by Montgomery and Tidman ${ }^{8}$. It is of interest to note that as $\gamma \rightarrow 0$, i.e., the plasma temperature approaches zero, Eqs. 40 are reduced to the following familiar expressions in the cold-plasma magnetoionic theory:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \eta=1-\sum_{q} \frac{X_{q}}{1+Y_{q}}=1-\sum_{q} \frac{\omega_{p q}^{2}}{\omega\left(\omega+\omega_{z q}\right)},  \tag{41a}\\
& \eta=1-\sum_{q} \frac{x_{q}}{1-Y_{q}}=1-\sum_{q} \frac{\omega_{p q}^{2}}{\omega\left(\omega-\omega_{z q}\right)} \tag{41b}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega^{2}=\sum_{q} \omega_{p q}^{2} \tag{4lc}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\eta$ is the square of the refractive index of the wave; ide., $\eta \equiv$ $\left(c^{2} k^{2} / \omega^{2}\right)$. Equations $41 a$ and $41 b$ are simply the dispersion equations
for the left-hand and right-hand circularly polarized waves respectively, and Eq. 4 lc is that of the longitudinal plasma oscillation. On the other hand, in the case where $\delta \neq 0$, but $\gamma=0, R_{13}, R_{23}, R_{31}$ and $R_{32}$ are all zero, which suggests that the plasma temperature undoubtedly has an effect on the electrostatic coupling. The term "electrostatic coupling" is introduced here to describe the phenomenon of coupling between the longitudinal wave and the transverse wave in the presence of a transverse electrostatic field. The temperature effect in the electrostatic coupling for an electron gas is considered in detail in the following section.

## V. A HOMOGENEOUS NEUTRAL ELECTRON GAS

The analysis of the dispersion relation is carried out in detail here for a homogeneous neutral electron gas in which the thermal velocity of the electrons is taken into account; however the ion motion is neglected. Suppose that the applied electrostatic field is sufficiently weak so that the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \ll 1 \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

is satisfied. Then, using the fact that $\gamma^{2} \ll 1$ is assumed, which is condition (32), Eq. 26 can be expanded into the following form (see Appendix B. 4 for details):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi \frac{x^{3}}{(1-\eta)^{2}}-\Psi \frac{x^{2}}{(1-\eta)^{2}} \cdots \frac{x^{2}}{(1-\eta)}+\Lambda \frac{x}{(1-\eta)}+x-1=0, \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\text { where } \begin{aligned}
\Phi & \equiv \Phi_{0}+\gamma \Phi_{1}, \\
\Psi & \equiv \Psi_{0}+\gamma \Psi 1 \\
\Pi & \equiv \Pi_{0}+\gamma \Pi_{1}, \\
\Lambda & \equiv \Lambda_{0}+\gamma \Lambda_{1},
\end{aligned}
$$

in which

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi_{0} & \equiv \frac{1}{\xi}\left(1+\delta^{2} Y^{2}\right) \\
\Phi_{1} & \equiv \frac{1}{\xi^{3}}\left(1+Y^{2}-\delta^{2} Y^{6}\right) \\
\Psi_{0} & \equiv \Phi_{0}, \\
\Psi_{1} & \equiv \frac{1}{\xi^{3}}\left(1+Y^{2}+\delta^{2} Y^{6}\right) \\
\Pi_{0} & \equiv \frac{2}{\xi} \\
\Pi_{1} & \equiv \frac{1}{\xi^{3}}\left(1-2 \delta Y+3 Y^{2}+2 \delta Y^{3}-\delta^{2} Y^{5}-\delta Y^{6}\right) \\
\Lambda_{0} & \equiv \frac{2}{\xi} \\
\Lambda_{I} & \equiv \frac{1}{\xi^{3}}\left(1+3 Y^{2}-\delta Y^{6}\right) \tag{44}
\end{align*}
$$

with $\xi \equiv\left(1-Y^{2}\right)$.
Since Eq. 43 is a quadratic in $\eta$, it can be solved for $\eta$ as follows, provided that ( $\Phi \mathbf{X}-\Psi$ ) $\neq 0$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta=1-\frac{2 X(\Phi X-\Psi)}{(\pi X-\Lambda) \pm \sqrt{(\pi X-\Lambda)^{2}-4(\Phi X-\Psi)(X-1)}} \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

It should be noted that when $\delta=0$ from Eqs. 44 , it is easily seen that $\Phi=\Psi$ and $\Pi=\Lambda$ so that Eq. 43 becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x-1)\left(\Phi \frac{x^{2}}{(1-\eta)^{2}}-\Pi \frac{x}{(1-\eta)}+1\right)=0, \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

and Eq. 45 accordingly becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta=1-\frac{2 \Phi X}{\pi \pm \sqrt{\pi^{2}-4 \Phi}} \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Phi=\Psi=\frac{1}{\xi}\left(1+\frac{\gamma}{\xi^{2}}\left(1+\mathrm{Y}^{2}\right)\right), \\
& \Pi=\Lambda=\frac{1}{\xi}\left(2+\frac{\gamma}{\xi^{2}}\left(1+\mathrm{Y}^{2}\right)\right) . \tag{48}
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, in the case where $\delta=0$, Eqs. 40 yield

$$
\begin{align*}
& I=\frac{X}{(I-\eta)}\left(\frac{1}{I+Y}+\frac{\gamma / 2}{(I+Y)^{3}}\right)  \tag{49a}\\
& I=\frac{X}{(I-\eta)}\left(\frac{1}{I-Y}+\frac{\gamma / 2}{(I-Y)^{3}}\right) \tag{49b}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=X \tag{49c}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is not difficult to show that upon substituting $\Phi$ and $\Pi$ given by Eqs. 48 into Eq. 46 , the left-hand side of Eq. 46 can be written as the product of three factors which leads to Eqs. 49 as is expected.

Based on Eq. 43, or equivalently on Eq. 45, with $\gamma$ and $\delta$ as parameters, $\eta$ vs. $X$ and $\eta$ vs. $Y$ are shown in Figs. 1 and 2 respectively. Y vs. $X$ for the case of $\eta=0$, which corresponds to the cutoff condition, is shown in Fig. 3.


FIG. 1a THE PLOT OF $\eta$ VS. $X$ FOR $\delta=0.01, \gamma=0.1$ AND $Y=0.5$.


FIG. Ib THE PLOT OF $\eta$ VS. $X$ FOR $\delta=0.01, \gamma=0.1$ AND $Y=2.1$.


FIG. 2a THE PLOT OF $\eta$ VS. $Y$ FOR $\delta=0.05, \gamma=0.1$ AND X $=0.4$, 4.0, 10.


FIG. 2b THE PLOT OF $\eta$ VS. $Y$ FOR $\gamma=0.3, \mathrm{X}=8.0 \mathrm{AND} \delta=0.01$, $0.05,0.09$.


FIG. 3 THE PLOT OF Y VS. X FOR $\delta=0, \gamma=0 \mathrm{AND} \eta=0$.

It should be observed that the dispersion equations for the uncoupled rodes are given in Eqs. 49 which are, respectively, for the left-hand circularly polarized wave, the right-hand circularly polarized wave and :he longitudinal plasma oscillation. The plot of $\eta$ vs. $X$ based on Eqs. 49, is shown in Figs. 4.

The plots of $\eta_{O_{-}}$vs. $X$ and $\eta_{O_{+}}$vs. $X$ are shown in Fig. 4 a for the case of $Y<1$ and in Fig. 4b for the case of $Y>1$ respectively, where $\eta_{0-}$ denotes the value of $\eta$ obtained from Eq. 49 a, and $\eta_{0+}$ denotes that obtained from Eq. 49b. The intersection point between the plot of $\eta_{0-}$ vs. $X$ and the line $X=1$ in the $\eta-X$ plane represents the "coupling point" between the left-hand circularly polarized wave and the plasma oscillation provided that $\eta>0$. Similarly the intersection point of the plot of $\eta_{0+}$ vs. $X$ with the line $X=1$ represents the "coupling point" between the right-hand circularly polarized wave and the longitudinal plasma oscillation. The velocity of the electromagnetic wave at which coupling between the transverse electromagnetic wave and the longitudinal plasma oscillation takes place, i.e., the "coupling velocity", can be determined from the coupling point. On the other hand, from Eqs. 49 or Figs. 4 it is not difficult to see that the coupling point depends upon the parameter $\gamma$, which in turn depends on the plasma temperature $T$. For example, for a given value of $Y<1$, an increase in $\gamma$ causes $\eta_{0-}$ to decrease, which in turn causes an increase in the coupling velocity.

For $Y>1$ (e.g., see Fig. 4b) an increase of $\gamma$ causes $\eta_{0-}$ to decrease so that the coupling velocity increases, while it causes $\eta_{0+}$ to increase so that the coupling velocity decreases. In view of the fact that


FIG. 4 a THE PLOT OF $\eta$ VS. X FOR $\delta=0, \mathrm{Y}=0.5, \gamma=0$ AND 0.2.


FIG. 4 b THE PLOT OF $\eta$ VS. X FOR $\delta=0, \mathrm{Y}=2, \gamma=0$ AND 0.2.
$\gamma \equiv\left(1 / V^{2}\right)=\left(2 K T / \mathrm{mv}_{0}^{2}\right)$, where $\mathrm{v}_{0}$ is the phase velocity of the electromagnetic wave, Eqs. $49 a$ and 49 c give

$$
\begin{equation*}
c^{2}=\left(1-\frac{1}{1+y_{0}}\right) v_{0-}^{2}-\frac{K T}{m} \frac{1}{\left(1+y_{0}\right)^{3}} \tag{50a}
\end{equation*}
$$

and Eqs. 49 b and 49 c give

$$
\begin{equation*}
c^{2}=\left(1-\frac{1}{1-y_{0}}\right) v_{0+}^{2}-\frac{K T}{m} \frac{1}{\left(1-y_{0}\right)^{3}} \tag{50b}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $y_{o} \equiv\left(\omega_{z} / \omega_{p}\right)$, and $v_{o^{-}}$and $v_{o^{+}}$denote the coupling phase velocity of the left-hand and right-hand circularly polarized waves respectively.

It should be noted that an increase in $T$ causes $v_{0}$ - to increase for $y_{0}>0$, and $y_{0+}$ to decrease for the case $y_{0}>1$. Thus the plasma temperature appears to have an interesting effect on the coupling velocity of electromagnetic waves under the electrostatic coupling. The term "electrostatic coupling" is introduced here to describe the phenomenon of coupling between the longitudinal wave and the transverse wave in the presence of a transverse static electric field.

On the other hand, since the cutoff of an electromagnetic wave occurs when its propagation constant $k$ becomes zero, the "cutoff condition" for the transverse mode can be obtained by setting both $\eta$ and $\gamma$ equal to zero in the derived dispersion relation; Eq. 26, with the aid of Eqs. 37, 38 and condition(42). This condition can be expressed in the following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\delta^{2} y_{0}^{2}}{x^{4}}=y_{o}^{2}-\left(x-\frac{1}{x}\right)^{2} \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x \equiv\left(\omega_{0} / \omega_{p}\right)$ is the normalized cutoff frequency, and $y_{o} \equiv\left(\omega_{z} / \omega_{p}\right)$ is the normalized cyclotron frequency, with $\omega_{0}$ being the cutoff frequency. Once the values of $y_{o}$ and $\delta$ are specified, Eq. 51 can be solved for $x$, and thus $\omega_{0}$ can be determined. However, the variation of $\omega_{0}$ with respect to $\delta$ can be easily observed with the aid of a graphical method illustrated below.

Let $F_{I}(x)$ be the left-hand side and $F_{2}(x)$ be the right-hand side of Eq. 5l. If $F_{1}$ vs. $x$ and $F_{2}$ vs. $x$ are plotted in the same plane, as illustrated in Fig. 5, then the intersection of the two plots provides the real root of Eq. 51. Once $y_{o}$ is given, the curve of $F_{2}(x)$ is determined, and if $\delta$ is also specified, then $F_{1}(x)$ is also determined. Thus the intersection point of two plots is readily determined. It should be noted that when $\delta=0$, the $F_{1}$-curve coincides with the $x$-axis, and if its intersections with the $\mathrm{F}_{2}$-curve are denoted by $\mathrm{x}_{\ell}$ and $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{r}}$, they are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{\ell}=\frac{-y_{0}+\sqrt{y_{0}^{2}+4}}{2} \text { and } x_{r}=\frac{y_{0}+\sqrt{y_{0}^{2}+4}}{2} . \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

$x_{\ell}$ determines $\omega_{o \ell}$, the cutoff frequency of the left-hand circularly polarized wave, and $x_{r}$ determines $\omega_{o r}$, the cutoff frequency of the righthand circularly polarized wave. It is easily seen from Fig. 5 that an increase of the parameter $\delta$ leads to an increase of $\omega_{o l}$, but to a slight decrease of $\omega_{o r}$.

## VI. CONCLUDING REMARKS

In the present report the dispersion relation for a finite temperature two-component plasma subjected to crossed electrostatic and magnetostatic


FIG. 5 ILLUSTRATION OF GRAPHICAL SOLUTION OF EQ. 51, AND THE VARIATION OF CUTOFF FREQUENCY WITH THE PARAMETER $\delta$.
fields has been derived using the coupled Boltzmann-Vlasov-Maxwell equations assuming a one-dimensional, small-signal model. The derived dispersion relation is given in a form in which various characteristic modes of the system can be readily identified and the coupling between these characteristic modes can be studied. The investigation of the dispersion relation in Section III clearly shows the possibility of coupling the longitudinal mode to the transverse modes in the presence of transverse applied electrostatic fields.

In order to make a detailed analysis of the derived dispersion relation a knowledge of the time-independent part of the distribution functions for electrons and ions is required. A Maxwellian distribution is considered in detail for the present investigation in Section IV. As an illustration, a detailed analysis of the dispersion relation is carried out in Section $V$ for a homogeneous, electrically neutral electron gas in which the thermal velocity of the electron is taken into account but the ion motion is neglected. In the interests of simplicity, the conditions $\delta \ll 1$ and $\gamma^{2} \ll 1$ are imposed in deriving the dispersion relation Eq. 43. The desired information with regard to the propagation characteristics of the transverse electromagnetic wave is provided by Eq. 43 or equivalently by Eq. 45. Upon setting $\eta=0$ in Eq. 43, the cutoff condition is obtained. The plots of $\eta$ vs. $X$ and $\eta$ vs. $Y$, in general, represent a family of curves in the $\eta-X$ plane and in the $\eta-Y$ plane as shown in Figs. 1, 2 and 3. However, when $\delta=0$, Eq. 45 is reduced to Eq. 47 which represents a family of straight lines for the plot of $\eta$ vs. $X$ in the $\eta-X$ plane. It is shown that the presence of an applied transverse
electrostatic field in the electron gas has two interesting effects upon the propagation characteristic of transverse circularly polarized electromagnetic waves:

1. It causes the cutoff frequency to shift, e.g., an increase in the parameter $\delta$ causes $\omega_{o l}$ to increase.
2. It causes the longitudinal plasma oscillation to be coupled to the transverse electromagnetic wave, e.g., an increase in the electron temperature $T$ causes the coupling velocity of the circularly polarized wave to shift (see Section V).

It must be pointed out that the present investigation merely demonstrates the possibility of electrostatic coupling. In order to gain a better understanding of the mechanism of electrostatic coupling it is necessary to investigate in detail the following aspects: (l) energy conversion between the modes, and (2) effectiveness of coupling of the modes. It is intended to carry out this investigation and consider the application of the theory to ionospheric phenomena in a future report. However, it is of interest to note that if the type of coupling mechanism under consideration can be shown to be sufficiently effective, then it will provide a reasonable way of explaining phenomena such as cutoff, amplification and Landau damping of whistler propagation in the ionospheric plasma.

APPENDIX A. VERIFICATION THAT $f_{o}$ GIVEN BY EQ. 27 IS A SOLUTION OF EQ. Ta.

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{0}=n_{0} e^{-\alpha\left[\left\{\left(v_{x}-u\right)^{2}+v_{y}^{2}+v_{z}^{2}\right\}-\frac{2 e}{m} \Phi(z)\right]} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{z} \frac{\partial f_{o}}{\partial z}-\frac{e}{m}\left(\vec{E}_{o}+\vec{v} \times \vec{B}_{o}\right) \cdot \nabla_{v} f_{o}=0 \tag{Fa}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\vec{E}_{0}=\vec{E}_{S}+\vec{E}_{a}$, with $\vec{E}_{s}$ and $\vec{E}_{a}$ being the space-charge field and the externally applied electrostatic field respectively. For a one-dimensional analysis, $\vec{E}_{s}=\overrightarrow{k E}_{\mathrm{S}}$. Suppose that $\overrightarrow{\mathrm{E}}_{\mathrm{a}}$ is taken in the positive y-direction and $\vec{B}_{0}$ is in the positive z-direction, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{E}_{a}=\overrightarrow{j E}_{a}, \vec{B}_{o}=\overrightarrow{\mathrm{kB}}_{0} \text { and } \overrightarrow{\mathrm{E}}_{\mathrm{s}}=\overrightarrow{\mathrm{kF}}_{\mathrm{s}} \tag{A.I}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\vec{i}, \vec{j}$ and $\vec{k}$ are the unit vectors along the $x-, y$ - and $z$-coordinate axes. Since

$$
\begin{gathered}
\nabla_{v} f_{o}=-2 \alpha \overrightarrow{v f_{0}}+\left(2 \alpha u f_{0}\right) \vec{i}, \frac{\partial f_{o}}{\partial z}=\frac{2 e}{m} \alpha \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial z} f_{o}, \\
\left(\vec{v} \times \vec{B}_{o}\right) \cdot \nabla_{v} f_{o}=\left(2 \alpha u f_{o}\right)\left[\vec{i} \cdot\left(\vec{v} \times \vec{B}_{o}\right)\right]=\left(2 \alpha u f_{0}\right)\left(v_{y} B_{o}\right), \\
\vec{E}_{0} \cdot \nabla_{v} f_{0}=\left(\overrightarrow{j E}_{a}+\overrightarrow{k E}_{s}\right) \cdot\left(-2 \alpha f_{o} \vec{v}+2 \alpha u f_{o} \vec{i}\right)=-2 \alpha f_{0} v{ }_{y} E_{a}-2 \alpha f_{o} v{ }_{y} E_{s},
\end{gathered}
$$

Eq. Ta becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{z} \frac{\partial f_{o}}{\partial z}-\frac{e}{m}\left(-2 \alpha v_{z} f_{o} E_{s}-2 \alpha f_{o} v_{y} E_{a}+2 \alpha f_{o} v_{y} u B_{o}\right) \\
& =v_{z}\left[\frac{2 \alpha e_{0}}{m} f_{o}\left(\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial a}+E_{s}\right)\right]+\frac{2 \alpha e}{m} v_{y_{0}} f_{o}\left(E_{a}-u B_{o}\right)=0 \\
& \text { since }(\partial \Phi / \partial z)=-E_{s} \text { and } E_{a}=u B_{o}
\end{aligned}
$$

## APPENDIX B. DERIVATION OF VARIOUS EQUATIONS

B. 1 Derivation of Eqs. 29: (Determination of $R_{p, q}$ )

Suppose that the time-independent distribution functions $F_{o} \equiv f_{O_{1}}$
and $f_{0} \equiv f_{\mathrm{O}_{2}}$ are given as
$f_{\text {oq }}=n_{q}\left(\frac{\alpha_{q}}{\pi}\right)^{3 / 2} e^{-\alpha_{q}\left[\left(v_{x}-u\right)^{2}+v_{y}^{2}+v_{z}^{2}\right]}$, for $q=1,2$,
where $\alpha_{q} \equiv\left(m_{q} / 2 K T_{q}\right)$ in which $m_{1} \equiv M, T_{1} \equiv T_{i}, m_{2} \equiv m$ and $T_{2} \equiv T_{e}$ with subscripts 1 and 2 denoting the quantities associated with the ion and the electron respectively. $n_{q}$ is the number density of the particle. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{x}=v_{\perp} \cos \varphi \text { and } v_{y}=v_{\perp} \sin \varphi \text {. } \tag{B,2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{o q}=w_{q} \psi{ }^{\psi} e^{\lambda_{q} \cos \varphi}, \tag{B,3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& w_{q} \equiv n_{q}\left(\frac{\alpha_{q}}{\pi}\right)^{3 / 2} e^{-\alpha_{q} u^{2}}, \\
& \psi_{q} \equiv e^{-\alpha_{q}\left(v_{\perp}^{2}+v_{z}^{2}\right)}, \\
& \lambda_{q} \equiv\left(2 \alpha_{q} \text { uv }_{\nu}\right), \text { for } q=1,2, \\
& M_{ \pm}\left(f_{o q}\right)=\left[\left(1-\frac{k v_{z}}{\omega}\right)\left(\frac{\partial f_{o q}}{\partial v_{1}} \pm \frac{j}{v_{1}} \frac{\partial f_{o q}}{\partial \varphi}\right)+\frac{k v_{\perp}}{\omega} \frac{\partial f_{o}}{\partial v_{z}}\right], \\
& \frac{\partial f_{o q}}{\partial v_{\perp}}=-2 \alpha_{q}\left(v_{\perp}-u \cos () f_{o q},\right. \\
& \frac{\partial f_{o q}}{\partial \varphi}=-\lambda_{q} \sin \varphi f_{o q}=-\left(2 \alpha_{q} u^{\prime}{ }_{\perp}\right) \sin \varphi f_{o q}, \\
& \frac{\partial f_{o q}}{\partial v_{z}}=-2 \alpha_{q v_{z} f_{o q}}, \\
& M_{ \pm}\left(f_{o q}\right)=-2 \alpha_{q} v_{ \pm} f_{o q}+2 \alpha_{q} u f_{o q}\left(1-\frac{k v_{z}}{\omega}\right) e^{\mp j \varphi}, \\
& \frac{\partial}{\partial v_{\perp}}\left(\frac{\partial f_{o q}}{\partial v_{z}}\right)=4 \alpha_{q}^{2} v_{z}\left(v_{\perp}-u \cos \varphi\right) f_{o q} . \tag{B.4}
\end{align*}
$$

B. 2 Evaluation of $S_{p q}$ from Ens. 25

$$
\begin{align*}
& S_{1 \ell}=\sum_{q=1,2} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left(K_{1 \ell}^{q}+K_{2 \ell}^{q} e^{-j 2 \varphi}+K_{3 \ell}^{q} e^{-j \varphi}\right) d \varphi, \\
& S_{2 p}=\sum_{q=1,2} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left(K_{1 p} e^{j 2 \varphi}+K_{2 p}+K_{3 p} e^{j \varphi}\right) d \varphi, \\
& S_{3 r}=\sum_{q=1,2} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left(K_{1 r} e^{j \varphi}+K_{2 r} e^{-j \varphi}+K_{3 r}\right) d \varphi . \tag{B.5}
\end{align*}
$$

for $\ell=1,2,3 ; p=1,2,3$; and $r=1,2,3$, where

$$
\begin{align*}
& K_{11}^{q}=\frac{j \eta_{q}^{M}-\left(f_{o q}\right)}{\left(b+\omega_{z q}\right)}, K_{12}^{q}=0, \quad K_{13}^{q}=\frac{\eta_{q}^{a}-\frac{\partial}{\partial v_{1}}\left(\frac{\partial f_{o q}}{\partial v_{z}}\right)}{b\left(b+\omega_{z q}\right)}, \\
& K_{21}^{q}=0, \quad K_{22}^{q}=\frac{j \eta_{q}^{M_{+}}\left(f_{o q}\right)}{\left(b-\omega_{z q}\right)}, \quad K_{23}^{q}=\frac{\eta_{q}{ }^{q}+\frac{\partial}{\partial v_{\perp}}\left(\frac{\partial f_{o q}}{\partial v_{z}}\right)}{b\left(b-\omega_{z q}\right)}, \\
& K_{31}^{q}=\frac{2 \eta_{q} \frac{a_{+}^{q}}{v_{+}} M_{-}\left(f_{o q}\right)}{b\left(b+\omega_{z q}\right)}, \quad K_{32}^{q}=\frac{2 \eta_{q} \frac{a_{-}^{q}}{v_{+}} M_{+}\left(f_{o q}\right)}{b\left(b-\omega_{z q}\right)}, \\
& K_{33}^{q}=\frac{j \eta_{q} \frac{\partial f_{o q}}{\partial z}}{b}-j \frac{4 a_{-}^{q_{2}}{ }_{+}}{v_{\perp}} \frac{\eta_{q} \frac{\partial}{\partial v_{\perp}}\left(\frac{\partial f_{o q}}{\partial v_{z}}\right)}{b\left(b^{2}-\omega_{z q}^{2}\right)}, \tag{B.6}
\end{align*}
$$

in which $\eta_{q} \equiv e / m_{q}, \omega_{z q} \equiv e B_{o z} / m_{q}$, and $a_{ \pm}^{q}=(1 / 2)\left(a_{x}^{q} \pm j a_{y}^{q}\right)$,
ie.,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\eta_{1}=\frac{e}{M}, \eta_{2}=\frac{e}{m}, \omega_{z 1}=\left(\frac{e B_{0}}{M}\right) \equiv \Omega_{z}, \omega_{z_{2}}=\left(\frac{e B_{0}}{m}\right) \equiv \omega_{z} \\
a_{ \pm}^{1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(a_{x} \pm j a_{y}\right), \quad a_{ \pm}^{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(A_{x} \pm j A_{y}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Summation of $\sum_{\mathrm{q}}$ in Eq. B .5 is taken over both species of the constant. Substituting Eqs, A. 4 into Eqs. A. 6 gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& K_{11}^{q}=\left(C_{1}^{q}+D_{1}^{q} e^{j \varphi}\right) e^{\lambda_{q} \cos \varphi}, \quad K_{21}^{q}=0, \\
& K_{31}^{q}=\left(C_{2}^{q}+D_{2}^{q} e^{j \varphi}\right) e^{\lambda_{q} \cos \varphi}, K_{12}^{q}=0, \\
& K_{22}^{q}=\left(C_{3}^{q}+D_{3}^{q} e^{-j \varphi}\right) e^{\lambda_{q} \cos \varphi}, K_{32}^{q}=\left(C_{4}^{q}+D_{4}^{q} e^{-j \varphi}\right) e^{\lambda_{q} \cos \varphi}, \\
& K_{13}^{\mathrm{q}}=\left(\mathrm{G}_{1}^{\mathrm{q}}+\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}^{\mathrm{q}} \mathrm{e}^{j \varphi}+\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}^{\mathrm{q}} \mathrm{e}^{-j \varphi}\right) \mathrm{e}^{\lambda_{\mathrm{q}} \cos \varphi}, \\
& K_{23}^{\mathrm{q}}=\left({ }_{2}^{\mathrm{q}}+\mathrm{H}_{2}^{\mathrm{q}} \mathrm{e}^{j \varphi}+\mathrm{H}_{2}^{\mathrm{q}} \mathrm{e}^{-j \varphi}\right) \mathrm{e}^{\lambda_{\mathrm{q}} \cos \varphi}, \\
& K_{33}^{q}=\left(G_{0}^{q}+G_{3}^{q}+H_{3}^{q} e^{j \varphi}+H_{3}^{q} e^{-j \varphi}\right) e^{\lambda_{q} \cos \varphi}, \tag{B.7}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{1}^{q} \equiv \frac{j \eta_{q} c_{q}}{\left(b+\omega_{z q}\right)}, \quad D_{1}^{q} \equiv \frac{j \eta_{q} D_{q}}{\left(b+\omega_{z q}\right)}, \quad C_{z}^{q} \equiv \frac{2 \eta_{q} \frac{a_{+}^{q}}{v_{1}} c_{q}}{b\left(b+\omega_{z q}\right)}, \\
& D_{z}^{q} \equiv \frac{2 \eta_{q} \frac{a_{+}^{q}}{v_{-}} D_{q}}{b\left(b+\omega_{z q}\right)}, \quad C_{3}^{q} \equiv \frac{j \eta_{q} C_{q}}{\left(b-\omega_{z q}\right)}, \quad D_{3}^{q} \equiv \frac{j \eta_{q} D_{q}}{\left(b-\omega_{z q}\right)}, \\
& C_{4}^{q} \equiv \frac{{ }^{2 \eta_{q} \frac{a^{q}}{v_{1}} c_{q}}}{b\left(b-\omega_{z q}\right)}, \quad D_{4}^{q} \equiv \frac{2 \eta_{q} \frac{q_{-}^{q}}{v_{1}} D_{q}}{b\left(b-\omega_{z q}\right)}, \quad G_{1}^{q} \equiv \frac{\eta_{q} a^{q}-q_{q}}{b\left(b+\omega_{z q}\right)},
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& G_{0}^{q} \equiv \frac{-j 2 \alpha_{q} \eta_{q}{ }^{W}{ }_{q}{ }^{v} z_{z}{ }^{\psi} q}{b}, \tag{By}
\end{align*}
$$

in which $C_{q} \equiv-2 \alpha_{q} v_{\perp} w_{q} \psi_{q}, D_{q} \equiv 2 \alpha_{q}{ }_{q}{ }_{q} \psi_{q}\left(1-\frac{k v_{z}}{\omega}\right)$,

$$
G_{q} \equiv 4 \alpha_{q}^{2} v_{z} v_{\perp} w_{q} \psi_{q}, \quad H_{q} \equiv-2 \alpha_{q}^{2} v_{z} u_{q} \psi_{q}
$$

Substituting Eqs. A. 7 into Eqs. A. 5 yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{11}=\sum \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left[\left(C_{1}+D_{2}\right)+D_{1} e^{j \varphi}+{C_{2}}_{2}^{-j \varphi}\right] e^{\lambda \cos \varphi} d \varphi, \\
& S_{12}=\sum_{1} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left[\mathrm{C}_{4} e^{-j \varphi}+\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}+\mathrm{D}_{4}\right) \mathrm{e}^{-j 2 \varphi}+\mathrm{D}_{3} \mathrm{e}^{-j 3 \varphi}\right] \mathrm{e}^{\lambda \cos \varphi} d \varphi, \\
& S_{13}=\sum_{1} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left[\left(G_{I}+H_{3}\right)+H_{1} e^{j \varphi}+\left(H_{1}+H_{2}+G_{0}+G_{3}\right) e^{-j \varphi}\right. \\
& \left.+\left(G_{2}+H_{3}\right) e^{-j 2 \varphi}+H_{2} e^{-j 3 \varphi}\right] e^{\lambda \cos \varphi} d \varphi, \\
& S_{21}=\sum \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left[c_{2} e^{j \varphi}+\left(C_{1}+D_{2}\right) e^{j 2 \varphi}+D_{1} e^{j 3 \varphi}\right] e^{\lambda \cos \varphi} d \varphi, \\
& S_{22}=\sum_{0} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left[\left(C_{3}+D_{4}\right)+C_{4} e^{j \varphi}+D_{3} e^{-j \varphi}\right] e^{\lambda \cos \varphi} d \varphi, \\
& S_{23}=\sum \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left[\left(G_{2}+H_{3}\right)+\left(H_{1}+H_{2}+G_{0}+G_{3}\right) e^{j \varphi}+H_{2} e^{-j \varphi}\right. \\
& \left.+\left(G_{I}+H_{3}\right) e^{j 2 \varphi}+H_{I} e^{j 3 \varphi}\right] e^{\lambda \cos \varphi} d \varphi, \\
& S_{31}=\sum_{1} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left[C_{2}+\left(c_{1}+D_{2}\right) e^{j \varphi}+D_{1} e^{j 2 \varphi}\right] e^{\lambda \cos \varphi} d \varphi, \\
& S_{32}=\sum \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left[C_{4}+\left(C_{3}+D_{4}\right) e^{-j \varphi}+D_{3} e^{-j 2 \varphi}\right] e^{\lambda \cos \varphi} d \varphi,
\end{aligned}
$$

(Eqs. B. 9 cont.)
$S_{33}=\sum_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left[\left(H_{1}+H_{2}+G_{0}+G_{3}\right)+\left(G_{1}+H_{3}\right) e^{j \varphi}+\left(G_{2}+H_{3}\right) e^{-j \varphi}\right.$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.+\mathrm{H}_{1} e^{j 2 \varphi}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{e}^{-j 2 \varphi}\right] \mathrm{e}^{\lambda \cos \varphi} d \varphi \tag{B.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the above the summation, sigma is introduced to indicate the fact that the summation is over both species (electrons and ions). The subscript $q$ associated with the coefficients $C, D, G$ and $H$ is omitted here for convenience; however their dependence on the type of particles is understood.

Integration with respect to $\varphi$ can be carried out with the aid of the following relation ${ }^{7}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{\lambda \cos \varphi}=\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} I_{n}(\lambda) e^{j n \varphi} \tag{B.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I_{n}(\lambda)$ is the $n$th order modified Bessel function of the first kind. Furthermore using the following identities ${ }^{7}$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
I_{-n}(\lambda)=I_{n}(\lambda) \\
\frac{2 n}{\lambda} I_{n}(\lambda)=I_{n-1}(\lambda)-I_{n+1}(\lambda) \tag{B.1I}
\end{gather*}
$$

the functions $S_{p q}\left(v_{z}, v_{\perp}\right), q, p=1,2,3$, can be expressed as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{11}=2 \pi \sum\left[\left(C_{1}+D_{2}\right) I_{0}(\lambda)+\left(C_{2}+D_{1}\right) I_{1}(\lambda)\right], \\
& S_{12}=2 \pi \sum\left\{\left[\left(C_{3}+D_{4}\right)-\frac{4}{\lambda} D_{3}\right] I_{0}(\lambda)+\left[C_{4}-\frac{2}{\lambda}\left(C_{3}+D_{4}\right)+\left(I+\frac{8}{\lambda^{2}}\right) D_{3}\right]\right. \\
& \text { - } \left.I_{1}(\lambda)\right\}, \\
& S_{13}=2 \pi \sum\left\{\left[\left(G_{I}+G_{2}+2 H_{3}\right)-\frac{4}{\lambda} H_{2}\right] I_{0}(\lambda)\right. \\
& \left.+\left[\left(2 H_{1}+H_{2}+G_{0}+G_{3}\right)-\frac{2}{\lambda}\left(G_{2}+H_{3}\right)+\left(1+\frac{8}{\lambda^{2}}\right) H_{2}\right] I_{1}(\lambda)\right\}, \\
& S_{21}=2 \pi \sum\left\{\left(\left(C_{1}+D_{2}\right)-\frac{4}{\lambda} D_{1}\right) I_{0}(\lambda)+\left[C_{2}-\frac{2}{\lambda}\left(C_{1}+D_{2}\right)+\left(1+\frac{8}{\lambda^{2}}\right) D_{1}\right]\right. \\
& \left.\cdot I_{1}(\lambda)\right\}, \\
& S_{22}=2 \pi \sum\left[\left(C_{3}+D_{4}\right) I_{0}(\lambda)+\left(C_{4}+D_{3}\right) I_{1}(\lambda)\right], \\
& S_{23}=2 \pi \sum\left\{\left[\left(G_{1}+G_{2}+2 H_{3}\right)-\frac{4}{\lambda} H_{1}\right] I_{0}(\lambda)\right. \\
& \left.+\left[\left(H_{1}+2 H_{2}+G_{0}+G_{3}\right)-\frac{2}{\lambda}\left(G_{I}+H_{3}\right)+\left(1+\frac{8}{\lambda^{2}}\right) H_{1}\right] I_{1}(\lambda)\right\}, \\
& S_{31}=2 \pi \sum\left[\left(C_{2}+D_{1}\right) I_{0}(\lambda)+\left(\left(C_{1}+D_{2}\right)-\frac{2}{\lambda} D_{1}\right) I_{1}(\lambda)\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

(Eqs. B. 12 cont.)

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{32}= & 2 \pi \sum\left[\left(C_{4}+D_{3}\right) I_{0}(\lambda)+\left(\left(C_{3}+D_{4}\right)-\frac{2}{\lambda} D_{3}\right) I_{1}(\lambda)\right] \\
S_{33}= & 2 \pi \sum\left[\left(G_{0}+G_{3}+2 H_{1}+2 H_{2}\right) I_{0}(\lambda)\right. \\
& \left.+\left(\left(G_{1}+G_{2}+2 H_{3}\right)-\frac{2}{\lambda}\left(H_{1}+H_{2}\right)\right) I_{1}(\lambda)\right] . \tag{B.12}
\end{align*}
$$

The determination of $R_{p q}$ involves the evaluation of the following integration:

$$
\begin{align*}
r_{p q} & \equiv \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} S_{p q} v_{r}^{2}{ }_{r} v_{r} d v_{z} ; p=1,2, q=1,2,3, \\
& \equiv \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} S_{p q} v_{r} v_{z} d v_{r} d v_{z} ; p=3, q=1,2,3, \tag{B.13}
\end{align*}
$$

which in turn involves the integration:

$$
\begin{align*}
\tau_{p q} & \equiv \int_{0}^{\infty} I_{p}(\lambda) v_{r}^{q} e^{-\alpha v_{r}^{2}} r_{d v_{r}} \\
\xi_{q}(x) & \equiv \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x_{v_{z}}^{q} e^{-\alpha v_{z}^{2}} z_{d v_{z}} \tag{B.14}
\end{align*}
$$

To facilitate the calculation, coefficients $C, D, G$ and $H$ can be written in the following more convenient form;

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{1}=-j\left(Z_{+} \Psi\right)\left(v_{r}{ }_{r}{ }_{r}\right), \\
& D_{1}=j u\left(1-\frac{k v_{z}}{\omega}\right)\left(Z_{+} \Psi_{z}\right) \Psi_{r}, \\
& C_{3}=-j\left(Z_{-} \Psi_{z}\right)\left(v_{r} \Psi_{r}\right), \\
& D_{3}=j u\left(1-\frac{k v_{z}}{\omega}\right)\left(Z_{-} \Psi_{Z}\right) \Psi_{r}, \\
& C_{4}=j u\left(Z_{-}-Z_{o}\right) \Psi_{z} \Psi_{r}, \\
& D_{4}=-j u Y\left(Z_{-} \Psi_{Z}\right)\left(\frac{u}{v_{r}} \Psi_{r}\right), \\
& G_{1}=j \alpha u\left[\left(Z_{+}-Z_{o}\right) v_{z} \Psi_{z}\right]\left(v_{r} \Psi_{r}\right), \\
& H_{I}=j \frac{\alpha u^{2}}{2}\left[\left(Z_{o}-Z_{+}\right) v_{z_{z}} \Psi_{r}\right] \Psi_{r}, \\
& G_{2}=j \alpha u\left[\left(Z_{-}-Z_{0}\right) v_{z} \Psi_{z}\right]\left(v_{r} \Psi_{r}\right), \\
& H_{2}=-j \frac{\alpha u^{2}}{2}\left[\left(Z_{-}-Z_{o}\right) v_{z} \Psi_{z}\right] \Psi_{r}, \\
& G_{3}=-j \alpha u^{2}\left[\left(Z_{-}+Z_{+}-Z_{o}\right) v_{z} \Psi_{z}\right] \Psi_{r}, \\
& H_{3}=j \frac{\alpha u^{2}}{2}\left[\left(Z_{-}+Z_{+}-2 Z_{o}\right) v_{z} \Psi_{z}\right]\left(\frac{u}{v_{r}} \Psi_{r}\right), \\
& G_{0}=-j\left(Z_{o} V_{z} \Psi_{z}\right) \Psi_{r}, \tag{B.15}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Psi_{z} \equiv e^{-\alpha v_{z}^{2}}, \Psi_{r} \equiv e^{-\alpha v_{r}^{2}}, \\
z_{ \pm}\left(v_{z}\right) \equiv \frac{\sigma}{\left(b \pm \omega_{z}\right)}, \quad z_{o}\left(v_{z}\right) \equiv \frac{\sigma}{b}
\end{gathered}
$$

with

$$
b \equiv\left(\omega-k v_{z}\right) \quad, \quad \sigma \equiv 2 \alpha_{q} \eta_{q} w_{q}
$$

and the following facts are used:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\sigma}{b\left(b-\omega_{z}\right)}=\frac{\mp l}{\omega_{z}}\left(z_{ \pm}-z_{0}\right), \\
\frac{\sigma}{b\left(b^{2}-\omega_{z}^{2}\right)}=\frac{1}{2 \omega_{z}^{2}}\left(z_{-}+z_{+}-z_{0}\right), \\
\left(\frac{a_{+}}{\omega_{z}}\right)=j \frac{u}{2},\left(\frac{a}{\omega_{z}}\right)=-j \frac{u}{2}, u \equiv\left(\frac{E_{a}}{B_{0}}\right), \tag{B.16}
\end{gather*}
$$

since in the present study it is considered that $E_{o x}=0$ and $E_{o y}=E_{a}$. By substituting Eqs. B. 15 into Eqs. B. 12 and then carrying out the integration (A.13), $r_{p q}$ can be obtained as

$$
r_{1 I}=j 2 \pi \sum\left(-u \tau_{12} \xi_{0}\left(Z_{0}\right)+\left(Y u^{2} \tau_{0}-\tau_{03}+2 u \tau_{12}\right) \xi_{0}\left(Z_{+}\right)\right.
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.-\frac{k u}{\omega} \tau_{12} \xi_{1 / 1}\left(Z_{+}\right)\right) \tag{B.17a}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& r_{12}=j 2 \pi \sum\left\{-u \tau_{12} \xi_{0}\left(Z_{0}\right)-\left[\left(Y u^{2}+\frac{2}{\alpha}\right) \tau_{O_{1}}+\tau_{03}-\left(\frac{Y u}{\alpha}+\frac{2}{\alpha^{2} u}\right) \tau_{10}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.-\left(2 u+\frac{1}{\alpha u}\right) \tau_{12}\right] \xi_{0}\left(z_{-}\right)+\frac{k}{\omega}\left(\frac{2 \tau_{O_{1}}}{\alpha}-u \tau_{12}-\frac{2 \tau_{10}}{\alpha^{2} u}\right) \xi_{1}\left(z_{-}\right)\right\},  \tag{B.17b}\\
& r_{13}=j 2 \pi \sum\left\{\left[-\left(1+2 \alpha u^{2}\right) u \tau_{O_{1}}-2 \alpha u \tau_{03}+\left(u^{2}+\frac{1}{\alpha}\right) \tau_{10}\right.\right. \\
& \left.+4 \alpha u^{2} \tau_{12}\right] \xi_{1}\left(Z_{0}\right)+\left(\alpha u^{3} \tau_{O_{1}}+\alpha^{3} u \tau_{O_{3}}-\frac{u^{2}}{2} \tau_{10}-2 \alpha u^{2} \tau_{12}\right) \xi_{1}\left(Z_{+}\right) \\
& \left.+\left[\left(1+\alpha u^{2}\right) u \tau_{O_{1}}+\alpha u \tau_{O_{3}}-\left(\frac{u^{2}}{2}+\frac{1}{\alpha}\right) \tau_{10}-\left(1+2 \alpha u^{2}\right) \tau_{12}\right] \xi_{l_{1}}\left(Z_{-}\right)\right\}, \\
& r_{=1}=j 2 \pi \sum\left\{-u \tau_{12} \xi_{0}\left(Z_{o}\right)+\left[\left(y^{2}-\frac{2}{\alpha}\right) \tau_{o_{1}}-\tau_{o_{3}}+\left(\frac{2}{\alpha^{2} u}-\frac{Y u}{\alpha}\right)^{\tau}{ }_{10}\right.\right.  \tag{B.17c}\\
& \left.\left.+\left(2 u+\frac{1}{\alpha u}\right) \tau_{12}\right] \xi_{0}\left(Z_{+}\right)+\frac{k}{\omega}\left(\frac{2}{\alpha} \tau_{01}-u \tau_{12}-\frac{2}{\alpha^{2}{ }_{u}} \tau_{10}\right) \xi_{1}\left(Z_{+}\right)\right\}, \\
& r_{22}=j 2 \pi \sum\left(-u \tau_{12} \xi_{0}\left(Z_{0}\right)-\left(Y u^{2} \tau_{01}+\tau_{03}-2 u \tau_{12}\right) \xi_{0}\left(Z_{-}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{k}{\omega} u \tau_{1 a^{\xi}}\left(Z_{-}\right)\right) \quad, \quad(B .17 e)
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& r_{23}=j 2 \pi \sum\left\{\left[-\left(200 u^{2}+1\right) u \tau_{01}-2000 \tau_{03}+\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}+u^{2}\right) \tau_{10}+400 u^{2} \tau_{12}\right] \xi_{1}\left(Z_{0}\right)\right. \\
& +\left[\left(\alpha u^{2}+1\right) u \tau_{01}+\alpha u \tau_{03}-\left(\frac{u^{2}}{2}+\frac{1}{\alpha}\right) \tau_{10}-\left(200 u^{2}+1\right) \tau_{12}\right] \xi_{I}\left(Z_{+}\right) \\
& \left.+\left(\operatorname{cu}^{3} \tau_{01}+\alpha u \tau_{03}-\frac{u^{2}}{2} \tau_{10}-2 \alpha u^{2} \tau_{12}\right) \xi_{1}\left(Z_{-}\right)\right\} \text {, }  \tag{B.17f}\\
& r_{31}=j 2 \pi \sum\left\{-u \tau_{01} \xi_{1}\left(Z_{0}\right)+\left[2 u \tau_{01}+\left(Y u^{2}-\frac{1}{\alpha}\right) \tau_{10}-\tau_{12}\right] \xi_{1}\left(Z_{+}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{k}{\omega}\left(u \tau_{01}-\frac{1}{\alpha} \tau_{10}\right) \xi_{2}\left(Z_{+}\right)\right\},  \tag{B.17g}\\
& r_{32}=j 2 \pi \sum\left\{-u \tau_{\mathrm{OI}} \xi_{\mathrm{I}}\left(Z_{0}\right)+\left[2 u \tau_{01}-\left(\mathrm{Yu}^{2}+\frac{1}{\alpha}\right) \tau_{10}-\tau_{12}\right] \xi_{1}\left(Z_{-}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{k}{\omega}\left(u \tau_{01}-\frac{\tau_{10}}{\alpha}\right) \xi_{2}\left(Z_{-}\right)\right\},  \tag{B.17h}\\
& r_{33}=j 2 \pi \sum\left\{\left(\left(40 u^{2}-1\right) \tau_{01}-\left(200 u^{2}+1\right) u \tau_{10}-200 u \tau_{12}\right) \xi_{2}\left(Z_{0}\right)\right. \\
& +\left[-2 \alpha u^{2} \tau_{01}+\left(\alpha u^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\right) u \tau_{10}+\alpha u \tau_{12}\right] \xi_{2}\left(Z_{+}\right) \\
& \left.+\left[-2 \alpha u^{2} \tau_{01}+\left(\alpha u^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\right) u \tau_{10}+\alpha u \tau_{12}\right] \xi_{2}\left(Z_{-}\right)\right\} . \tag{B.17i}
\end{align*}
$$

It should be noted that $\xi_{\underline{q}}\left(Z_{ \pm}\right)$can be written as

$$
\xi_{q}\left(Z_{ \pm}\right)=j \gamma_{o} G_{q}\left(U_{ \pm}\right), q=0,1,2
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{q}\left(Z_{0}\right)=j \gamma_{0} G_{q}\left(U_{0}\right), \tag{B.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \gamma_{0} \equiv \frac{\sigma \sqrt{\pi}}{k}, \quad U_{ \pm}=\left(\frac{\omega \pm \omega_{z}}{k}\right), \\
& G_{q}(x) \equiv \frac{j}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{v_{z}^{q}-\alpha v_{z}^{2}}{\left(v_{z}-x\right)} d v_{z}, \tag{B.19}
\end{align*}
$$

in which $X$ may be complex in general. The integral (B.19) has been discussed in detail by Stix ${ }^{6}$. It is not difficult to show that

$$
\begin{align*}
& G_{1}(x)=\left(\frac{j}{\sqrt{\alpha}}+x G_{0}(x)\right), \\
& G_{2}(x)=\left(\frac{j x}{\sqrt{\alpha}}+x^{2} G_{o}(x)\right) . \tag{B.20}
\end{align*}
$$

Furthermore, by defining the parameters $Y$ and $U_{O}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Y} \equiv\left(\frac{\omega_{z}}{\omega}\right) \text { and } \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{O}} \equiv\left(\frac{\omega}{\mathrm{k}}\right) \tag{B.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1-\frac{k U}{\omega}\right)=Y \text { and }\left(1-\frac{k U_{+}}{\omega}\right)=-Y . \tag{B.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Eq. B.18, B. 20 and B.22, $r_{p q}$ can be expressed in terms of $G_{o}\left(U_{o}\right)$ and $G_{o}\left(U_{ \pm}\right)$as

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
r_{11}=-2 \pi \gamma_{o} \sum\left\{-j \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha}} \frac{k}{\omega} u \tau_{12}\right. & -u \tau_{12} G_{0}\left(U_{0}\right)
\end{array}\right)+\left[\mathrm{Yu}^{2} \tau_{\mathrm{O} 1}-\tau_{\mathrm{OB}}\right\}
$$

(B.23c)

$$
r_{21}=-2 \pi \gamma_{0} \sum\left\{\frac{j k}{\sqrt{\alpha u 1}}\left(\frac{2}{\alpha} \tau_{O_{1}}-\frac{2 \tau_{10}}{\alpha^{2} u}-u \tau_{12}\right)-u_{12} G_{0}\left(U_{0}\right)+\left[\left(1+\frac{2}{\alpha u^{2}}\right)\right.\right.
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\cdot Y u^{2} \tau_{O_{1}}-\tau_{O_{3}}-\frac{Y u \tau_{10}}{\alpha}\left(1+\frac{2}{\alpha u^{2}}\right)+\left(1-Y+\frac{1}{\alpha u^{2}}\right) u \tau_{12}\right] G_{0}\left(v_{+}\right)\right\} \tag{B.23d}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
r_{22}=-2 \pi \gamma_{0} \sum\left[\frac{-j}{\sqrt{\alpha}} \frac{k}{\omega} u \tau_{12}-u \tau_{12} G_{0}\left(U_{o}\right)-\left(Y u^{2} \tau_{01}+\tau_{0 \Theta}-(1+Y)\right.\right.
$$

$$
\left.\left.\cdot u \tau_{I 2}\right) G_{O}\left(U_{-}\right)\right] \quad, \quad(B .23 e)
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& r_{12}=-2 \pi \gamma_{0} \sum\left\{\frac{j k}{\sqrt{\alpha \omega}}\left(\frac{2 \tau_{01}}{\alpha}-\frac{2 \tau_{10}}{\alpha^{2} u}-u_{12}\right)-u_{12} G_{0}\left(U_{0}\right)+\left[-\left(1+\frac{2}{\alpha u^{2}}\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\cdot Y u^{2} \tau_{O 1}-\tau_{O 3}+\left(1+\frac{2}{\alpha u^{2}}\right) \frac{Y u \tau_{10}}{\alpha}+\left(1+Y+\frac{1}{\alpha u^{2}}\right) u \tau_{12}\right] G_{O_{0}}\left(U U_{-}\right)\right\},  \tag{B.23b}\\
& r_{13}=-2 \pi \gamma_{0} \sum\left\{-\frac{j}{\sqrt{\alpha}} \tau_{12}+\left(-\left(1+20 u^{2}\right) u \tau_{01}-20 \alpha \tau_{0 S}+\left(1+\alpha u^{2}\right) \frac{\tau_{10}}{\alpha}\right.\right. \\
& \left.+40 u^{2} \tau_{12}\right) U_{0} G_{0}\left(U_{0}\right)+\left(\alpha u^{3} \tau_{01}+\alpha u \tau_{03}-\frac{u^{2}}{2} \tau_{10}-2 \alpha u^{2} \tau_{12}\right) U_{+} G_{o}\left(U_{+}\right) \\
& \left.+\left[\left(1+\alpha u^{2}\right) u_{o 1}+\alpha u \tau_{O 3}-\frac{\tau_{10}}{\alpha}\left(1+\frac{\alpha u^{2}}{2}\right)-\left(1+2 \alpha u^{2}\right) \tau_{12}\right] U_{-} G_{o}\left(U_{-}\right)\right\},
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& r_{23}=-2 \pi \gamma_{0} \sum\left\{-\frac{j}{\sqrt{\alpha}} \tau_{12}+\left(-\left(1+200 u^{2}\right) u_{01}-20 u \tau_{03}+\frac{\tau_{10}}{\alpha}\left(1+\alpha u^{2}\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.+4 \alpha u^{2} \tau_{12}\right) U_{O} G_{O}\left(U_{O}\right)+\left[\left(1+\alpha u^{2}\right) u \tau_{O 1}+\alpha u \tau_{O 3}-\frac{\tau_{10}}{\alpha}\left(1+\frac{\alpha u^{2}}{2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-\left(1+2 \alpha u^{2}\right) \tau_{12}\right] U_{+} G_{0}\left(U_{+}\right)+\left(\alpha u^{3} \tau_{01}+\alpha u \tau_{03}-\frac{u^{2}}{2} \tau_{10}-200 u^{2} \tau_{12}\right) \\
& \text { - } \left.U_{-G_{0}}\left(U_{-}\right)\right\} \text {, }  \tag{B.23f}\\
& r_{31}=-2 \pi \gamma_{0} \sum\left[\frac{j}{\sqrt{\alpha}}\left(-Y u \tau_{O_{1}}-\tau_{12}+\frac{Y \tau}{\alpha}\left(1+\alpha u^{2}\right)\right)-u \tau_{O 1} U_{O} G_{O}\left(U_{O}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\left((1-Y) u \tau_{O 1}+\frac{Y \tau_{10}}{\alpha}\left(1+\alpha u^{2}\right)-\tau_{12}\right) U_{+} G_{O}\left(U_{+}\right)\right],  \tag{B.23g}\\
& r_{32}=-2 \pi \gamma_{0} \sum \frac{j}{\sqrt{\alpha}}\left(Y_{O \tau_{O 1}}-\tau_{12}-\frac{Y \tau_{10}}{\alpha}\left(1+\alpha u^{2}\right)\right)-u \tau_{O 1} U_{O} G_{O}\left(U_{0}\right) \\
& +\left((1+Y) u \tau_{O 1}-\tau_{12}-\frac{Y \tau 10}{\alpha}\left(1+\alpha u^{2}\right)\right) U_{-} G_{O}\left(U_{-}\right),  \tag{B.23h}\\
& r_{33}=-2 \pi \gamma_{0} \sum\left\{-\frac{j}{\sqrt{\alpha}} \tau_{O 1} U_{0}-\tau_{O 1} U_{O}^{2} G_{O}\left(U_{0}\right)-\left(2 \alpha u^{3} \tau_{O 1}-\frac{u \tau}{2}\left(1+2 \alpha u^{2}\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.-\alpha u \tau_{12}\right)\left[U_{+}^{2} G_{G_{0}}\left(U_{+}\right)+U_{-}^{2} G_{0}\left(U_{-}\right)-2 U_{0}^{2} G_{0}\left(U_{0}\right)\right]\right\} . \tag{B.23i}
\end{align*}
$$

By using the fact that

$$
I_{0}(b t)=J_{0}(j b t) \text { and } I_{1}(b t)=\frac{1}{j} J_{1}(j b t)
$$

the integrals $\tau_{o q}$ and $\tau_{1 q}$ can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tau_{o q}=\int_{0}^{\infty} J_{0}(j b t) e^{-\alpha t^{2}} t q_{d t}, q=1,3, \\
& \tau_{1 q}=\frac{1}{j} \int_{0}^{\infty} J_{I}(j b t) e^{-\alpha t^{2}} t^{q^{2}} d t, q=1,2, \tag{B.24}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathrm{b} \equiv$ (dou) and $t \equiv \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{r}}$. These integrals can be evaluated by the following formula given by Watson ${ }^{7}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{\infty} J_{v}(a t) \exp \left(-p^{2} t^{2}\right) t^{\mu-1} d t \\
&=\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} v+\frac{1}{2} \mu\right)\left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{a}{p}\right)^{v}}{2 p^{\mu} \Gamma(v+1)} F_{1}\left(\frac{1}{2} v+\frac{1}{2} \mu, v+1,-\frac{a^{2}}{4 p^{2}}\right) \tag{B.25}
\end{align*}
$$

where the confluent hypergeometric function ${ }_{1} F_{1}(\alpha: \rho: Z)$ is defined by

$$
F_{1}(\alpha: \rho: z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha)_{n}}{n!(\rho)_{n}} z^{n}
$$

with

$$
\begin{gather*}
(\alpha)_{0} \boxminus 1,(\alpha)_{n} \equiv \alpha(\alpha+1)(\alpha+2) \ldots(\alpha+n-1), \\
\tau_{01}=\frac{1}{2 \alpha} \exp \left(\alpha u^{2}\right) \equiv \tau_{1}, \tau_{12}=\frac{u}{2 \alpha} \exp \left(\alpha u^{2}\right)=u \tau, \\
\tau_{10}=\frac{u}{2} F_{1}\left(1: 2 ; \alpha u^{2}\right) \equiv \alpha u D_{1} \tau \\
\tau_{03}=\frac{1}{2 \alpha^{2}} 1_{1} F_{1}\left(2: 1: \alpha u^{2}\right) \equiv \frac{D_{2}}{\alpha} \tau, \tag{B.26}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
D_{1}(\delta) \equiv e^{-\delta} F_{1}(1: 2: \delta)=e^{-\delta} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \frac{1}{(n+1)} \delta^{n}, \\
D_{2}(\delta) \equiv e^{-\delta} F_{1}(2: 1: \delta)=e^{-\delta} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(n+1)}{n!} \delta^{n} \tag{B.27}
\end{gather*}
$$

with $\delta \equiv\left(\alpha u^{2}\right)$ and $\tau \equiv \tau_{o x}$. It should be noted that $D_{2}$ can also be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{2}=1+\delta \tag{B.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can be verified as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{z} e^{\delta} & =(1+\delta) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \delta^{n}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{n!} \delta^{n}+\frac{1}{n!} \delta^{n+1}\right) \\
& =1+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{n!}+\frac{1}{(n+1)!}\right) \delta^{n+1} \\
& =1+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{n+2}{(n+1)!} \delta^{n+1}=\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\ell+1)}{\ell!} \delta^{\ell}
\end{aligned}
$$

which is nothing but Eqs. B.27. In view of the fact that $R_{p q}$ can be expressed in terms of $r_{p q}$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
R_{p q} & =\frac{j\left(\frac{\omega e}{\epsilon}\right)}{2\left(\omega^{2}-c^{2} k^{2}\right)} r_{p q} ; p=1,2, q=1,2,3 \\
& =\frac{j e}{\omega \epsilon_{o}} r_{p q} ; p=3, q=1,2,3, \tag{B.29}
\end{align*}
$$

upon substituting Eqs. B. 26 into Eqs. B. 23 , $R_{p q}$ can be obtained from Eqs.B. 29 as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& R_{11}=\sum \frac{S}{(1-\eta)}\left[\ell_{0}+\ell_{1} G_{0}\left(U_{0}\right)+\ell_{2} G_{0}\left(U_{+}\right)\right], \\
& R_{12}=\sum \frac{S}{(1-\eta)}\left[\ell_{3}+\ell_{1} G_{0}\left(U_{0}\right)+\ell_{5} G_{0}\left(U_{-}\right)\right], \\
& R_{13}=\sum \frac{S}{(1-\eta)}\left[\ell_{6}+\ell_{7} G_{0}\left(U_{0}\right)+\ell_{8} G_{0}\left(U_{+}\right)+\ell_{9} G_{0}\left(U_{-}\right)\right], \\
& R_{21}=\sum \frac{S}{(1-\eta)}\left[\ell_{3}+\ell_{1} G_{0}\left(U_{0}\right)-\ell_{5} G_{0}\left(U_{+}\right)\right], \\
& R_{22}=\sum \frac{S}{(1-\eta)}\left[\ell_{0}+\ell_{1} G_{0}\left(U_{0}\right)+\ell_{2} G_{0}\left(U_{-}\right)\right], \\
& R_{23}=\sum \frac{S}{(1-\eta)}\left[\ell_{6}+\ell_{7} G_{0}\left(U_{0}\right)+m_{8} G_{0}\left(U_{+}\right)+m_{9} G_{0}\left(U_{-}\right)\right], \\
& R_{31}=\sum 2 S\left[n_{0}+n_{1} G_{0}\left(U_{0}\right)+n_{2} G_{0}\left(U_{+}\right)\right], \\
& R_{32}=\sum 2 S\left[n_{3}+n_{1} G_{0}\left(U_{0}\right)+n_{5} G_{0}\left(U_{-}\right)\right], \\
& R_{33}=\sum 2 S\left[n_{6}+n_{7} G_{0}\left(U_{0}\right)+n_{8} G_{0}\left(U_{+}\right)+n_{9} G_{0}\left(U_{-}\right)\right], \tag{B.30}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \ell_{0} \equiv j\left(\frac{\delta}{v}\right), \ell_{1} \equiv \delta, \ell_{2} \equiv 1, \\
& \ell_{3} \equiv j\left(\frac{2 \mu+\delta}{V}\right), \quad \ell_{5} \equiv-Y(2 \mu+\beta), \\
& \ell_{6} \equiv j \sqrt{\delta}, \quad \ell_{7} \equiv \sqrt{\delta V}(2+v), \quad \ell_{8} \equiv \sqrt{\delta V}\left(1+Y ゆ\left(\frac{\beta}{2}-1\right),\right. \\
& \left.\ell_{9} \equiv \sqrt{\delta} \mathrm{~V}^{q} 1-\mathrm{Y}\right)\left(\frac{\beta}{2}+\mu\right), \\
& \mathrm{m}_{8} \equiv \sqrt{\delta V}(1+Y)\left(\frac{\beta}{2}+\mu\right), \quad m_{9} \equiv \sqrt{\delta V}(1-Y)\left(\frac{\beta}{2}-1\right), \\
& n_{0} \equiv j \sqrt{\delta}(1+\nu Y), \quad n_{1} \equiv \sqrt{\delta V}, \quad n_{2} \equiv \sqrt{\delta V}(1+Y) \nu Y, \\
& n_{3} \equiv j \sqrt{\delta}(1-\nu Y), \quad n_{5} \equiv-\sqrt{\delta V}(1-Y) \nu Y, \\
& \mathrm{n}_{6} \equiv \mathrm{jV}, \mathrm{n}_{7} \equiv \mathrm{~V}^{2}(1-2 \lambda), \\
& n_{8} \equiv V^{2}(1+Y)^{2} \lambda, \quad n_{9} \equiv V^{2}(1-Y)^{2} \lambda \tag{B.3I}
\end{align*}
$$

in which

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{S} \equiv \mathrm{jVX}, \mathrm{~V} \equiv \sqrt{\alpha \mathrm{U}}{ }_{0}, \quad \mathrm{X} \equiv\left(\frac{\omega_{p}}{\omega}\right)^{2}, \quad \eta \equiv\left(\frac{\mathrm{c}^{2} \mathrm{k}^{2}}{\omega^{2}}\right), \\
& \delta \equiv \alpha u^{2}, \beta=\delta D_{1}, \quad \mu=\left(D_{1}-1\right), \quad v \equiv\left[1-D_{1}(1+\delta)\right] \text {, } \\
& \lambda \equiv \delta\left(1-\frac{1}{2} D_{1}(1+2 \delta)\right) \tag{B.32}
\end{align*}
$$

where $D_{1}$ is given in Eqs. B. 27 .

## B. 3 Derivation of Eqs. 37

From Eq 34

$$
\begin{gather*}
G_{0}\left(U_{0}\right)=\frac{-j}{\bar{V}_{q}}\left(1+\frac{1}{2} \gamma_{q}\right), \\
G_{o}\left(U_{ \pm}\right)=\frac{-j}{V_{q}\left(1 \pm Y_{q}\right)}\left(1+\frac{\gamma_{q}}{2} \frac{1}{\left(1 \pm Y_{q}\right)^{2}}\right), \tag{B.33}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\gamma_{q} \equiv\left(I / v_{q}^{2}\right)$, and from Eqs. 36 under condition $\delta \ll 1$

$$
\begin{gather*}
2 \mu_{q}+\delta_{q}=0,\left(\frac{\beta_{q}}{2}-1\right)=\left(\frac{\delta_{q}}{2}-1\right), 2 \mu_{q}+\beta_{q}=-\frac{\delta_{q}^{2}}{2}, \\
\left(\frac{\beta_{q}}{2}+\mu_{q}\right)=-\frac{\delta_{q}^{2}}{4},\left(2+v_{q}\right)=\left(2-\frac{\delta_{q}}{2}\right) . \tag{B.34}
\end{gather*}
$$

Using the above approximation, Eqs. 29 become

$$
\begin{align*}
& R_{11}=\frac{1}{(1-\eta)} \sum X_{q}\left[\frac{1}{\left(1+Y_{q}\right)}+\frac{\gamma_{q}}{2}\left(\delta+\frac{1}{\left(1+Y_{q}\right)^{3}}\right)\right], \quad \text { (B.35a) } \\
& R_{12}=\frac{1}{(1-\eta)} \sum \delta_{q} X_{q}\left\{\left[1+\frac{\delta_{q}}{2}\left(\frac{Y_{q}}{1-Y_{q}}\right)\right]+\frac{\gamma_{q}}{2}\left(1+\frac{\delta_{q}}{2} \frac{Y_{q}}{\left(1-Y_{q}\right)^{3}}\right)\right\}, \\
& (B .35 b) \\
& R_{15}=\frac{1}{(1-\eta)} \sum \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\delta}_{q} X_{q} V_{q} \gamma_{q}\left[\left(2-\frac{\delta_{q}}{2}\right)+\left(\frac{\delta_{q}}{2}-1\right) \frac{1}{\left(1+Y_{q}\right)^{2}}\right], \tag{B.35c}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& R_{21}=\frac{1}{(1-\eta)} \sum \delta_{q} X_{q}\left[\left(1-\frac{\delta_{q}}{2} \frac{Y_{q}}{\left(1+Y_{q}\right)}\right)+\frac{\gamma_{q}}{2}\left(1-\frac{\delta_{q}}{2} \frac{Y_{q}}{\left(1+Y_{q}\right)^{3}}\right)\right],  \tag{B.35d}\\
& R_{22}=\frac{1}{(1-\eta)} \sum X_{q}\left[\frac{1}{\left(1-Y_{q}\right)}+\frac{\gamma_{q}}{2}\left(\delta_{q}+\frac{1}{\left(1-Y_{q}\right)^{3}}\right)\right] \text {, } \\
& R_{23}=\frac{1}{(1-\eta)} \sum \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\delta_{q}} X_{q} v_{q} \gamma_{q}\left[\left(2-\frac{\delta_{q}}{2}\right)+\left(\frac{\delta_{q}}{2}-1\right) \frac{1}{\left(1-Y_{q}\right)^{2}}\right],  \tag{B.35f}\\
& R_{31}=\sum \sqrt{\delta}{ }_{q} X_{q}{ }_{q}{ }_{q} \gamma_{q}\left(1-\frac{\delta_{q}}{2} \frac{\left(1-\delta_{q}\right) Y_{q}}{\left(1+Y_{q}\right)^{2}}\right),  \tag{B.35g}\\
& R_{32}=\sum \sqrt{\delta}_{q} X_{q} V_{q} \gamma_{q}\left(1+\frac{\delta_{q}}{2} \frac{\left(1-\delta_{q}\right) Y_{q}}{\left(1-Y_{q}\right)^{2}}\right),  \tag{B.35h}\\
& R_{33}=\sum X_{q}\left[1+\delta_{q}\left(1-\frac{3}{2} \delta_{q}\right)\left(\frac{1}{I-Y_{q}^{2}}-1\right)\right] . \tag{B.35i}
\end{align*}
$$

B. 4 Derivation of Eq. 43

For a neutral homogeneous electron gas in which ion motion is negligible, Eq. 26 can be expanded into the following form:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{X^{3}}{(1-\eta)^{2}}\left(\Phi_{0}+\gamma \Phi_{1}+\gamma^{2} \Phi_{2}\right)-\frac{X^{2}}{(1-\eta)^{2}}\left(\Psi_{0}+\Psi_{1} \gamma+\gamma^{2} \Psi\right) \\
& -\frac{X^{2}}{(1-\eta)}\left(\Pi_{0}+\gamma \Pi_{1}\right)+\frac{X}{(1-\eta)}\left(\Lambda_{0}+\gamma \Lambda_{1}\right)+\left(L_{0} X-1\right)=0, \tag{B.36}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Phi_{0} \equiv L_{0} \Psi_{0}, \\
& \Phi_{1} \equiv L_{0} \Psi_{1}+\delta\left(\Lambda_{31} D_{0}-\Lambda_{32} C_{0}\right), \\
& \Phi_{2} \equiv L_{0} \Psi_{2}+\delta\left(\Lambda_{31} D_{1}-\Lambda_{32} C_{1}\right), \\
& \Pi_{0} \equiv L_{0} \Lambda_{0}, \\
& \Pi_{1} \equiv L_{0} \Lambda_{1}-\delta\left(\Lambda_{13} \Lambda_{31}-\Lambda_{23} \Lambda_{32}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

with

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Psi_{0} \equiv \Pi_{11} \Pi_{22}-\delta^{2} \Pi_{12} \Pi_{21} \\
& \Psi_{1} \equiv\left[\left(\Pi_{22} \Lambda_{11}+\Pi_{11} \Lambda_{22}\right)-\delta^{2}\left(\Pi_{12} \Lambda_{21}+\Pi_{21} \Lambda_{12}\right)\right] \\
& \Psi_{2} \equiv\left(\Lambda_{11} \Lambda_{22}-\delta^{2} \Lambda_{12} \Lambda_{21}\right), \\
& \Lambda_{0} \equiv\left(I_{11}+\Pi_{22}\right), \\
& \Lambda_{1} \equiv\left(\Lambda_{11}+\Lambda_{22}\right), \\
& C_{0} \equiv\left(\Pi_{11} \Lambda_{23}-\delta \Pi_{21} \Lambda_{13}\right), \\
& C_{1} \equiv\left(\Lambda_{11} \Lambda_{23}-\delta \Lambda_{21} \Lambda_{13}\right), \\
& D_{0} \equiv\left(\delta \Pi_{12} \Lambda_{23}-\Pi_{22} \Lambda_{13}\right), \\
& D_{1} \equiv\left(\delta \Lambda_{12} \Lambda_{23}-\Lambda_{22} \Lambda_{13}\right), \\
& L_{0} \equiv\left(1+\delta A_{q}\right), \\
& A_{G} \equiv[1-(3 / 2) \delta] Y_{2} /\left(1-Y^{2}\right) \tag{B.37}
\end{align*}
$$

For the case in which $\delta \ll 1$, Eqs. 38 become

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Pi_{11}=\frac{1}{1+Y}, \Lambda_{11}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\delta+\frac{1}{(1+Y)^{3}}\right), \\
\Pi_{12}=\left(1+\frac{\delta Y}{2} \frac{1}{(1-Y)}\right), \Lambda_{12}=\frac{1}{2}\left(1+\frac{\delta Y}{2} \frac{1}{(1-Y)^{3}}\right), \\
\Lambda_{13}=\frac{1}{2}\left(2-\frac{1}{(1+Y)^{2}}\right), \Pi_{21}=\left(1-\frac{\delta Y}{2} \frac{1}{(1+Y)}\right), \\
\Lambda_{21}=\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\frac{\delta Y}{2} \frac{1}{(1+Y)^{3}}\right), \Pi_{22}=\frac{1}{1-Y}, \\
\Lambda_{22}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\delta+\frac{1}{(1-Y)^{3}}\right), \Lambda_{23}=\frac{1}{2}\left(2-\frac{1}{(1-Y)^{2}}\right), \\
\Lambda_{31}=\left(1-\frac{\delta Y}{2} \frac{1}{(1+Y)^{2}}\right), \Lambda_{32}=\left(1+\frac{\delta Y}{2} \frac{1}{(1-Y)^{2}}\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

Substituting Eqs. B. 38 into Eqs. B. 37 yields:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Phi_{0}=\frac{1}{\xi}\left(1+\delta^{2} Y^{2}\right), \\
& \Phi_{1}=\frac{1}{\xi^{3}}\left(1+Y^{2}-\delta Y^{4}-\delta^{2} Y^{6}\right), \\
& \Phi_{2}=\frac{1}{4 \xi^{5}}\left(1-2 Y^{2}+Y^{4}-5 \delta Y^{6}+\delta^{3} Y^{8}\right), \\
& \Pi_{0}=\frac{2}{\xi}, \\
& \Pi_{1}=\frac{1}{\xi^{3}}\left(1-2 \delta Y+3 Y^{2}+2 \delta Y^{3}+2 \delta Y^{4}-\delta^{2} Y^{5}-\delta Y^{6}\right), \\
& \Psi_{0}=\frac{1}{\xi}\left(1+\delta^{2} Y^{2}\right), \\
& \Psi_{1}=\frac{1}{\xi^{3}}\left(1+Y^{2}+\delta Y^{4}+\delta^{2} Y^{6}\right), \\
& \Psi_{2}=\frac{1}{4 \xi^{3}}\left(1+6 \delta Y^{2}-\delta^{3} Y^{4}\right), \\
& \Lambda_{0}=\frac{2}{\xi}, \\
& \Lambda_{1}=\frac{1}{\xi^{3}}\left(1+3 Y^{2}+3 \delta Y^{4}-\delta Y^{6}\right) \tag{B.39}
\end{align*}
$$

In view of the fact that in the present discussion $\gamma^{2} \ll$ I is assumed [ie., condition (32)], it can be easily shown that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{0}+\gamma_{2}^{2} \simeq \Phi_{0} \text { and } \Psi_{0}+\gamma_{2}^{2} \Psi_{2} \simeq \Psi_{0} \tag{B.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

and using the fact that $\left(2 \delta \gamma \mathrm{Y}^{4} / \xi^{3}\right) \ll(1 / \xi)$, since $\gamma^{2} \ll 1$ and $\delta \ll 1$, the terms involving $\delta Y^{4}$ in the expressions $\Phi_{1}, \Psi_{1}, \Pi_{1}$ and $\Lambda_{I}$ can be neglected so that Eqs. B. 39 become Eqs. 44 .
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