General Disclaimer

One or more of the Following Statements may affect this Document

- This document has been reproduced from the best copy furnished by the organizational source. It is being released in the interest of making available as much information as possible.
- This document may contain data, which exceeds the sheet parameters. It was furnished in this condition by the organizational source and is the best copy available.
- This document may contain tone-on-tone or color graphs, charts and/or pictures, which have been reproduced in black and white.
- This document is paginated as submitted by the original source.
- Portions of this document are not fully legible due to the historical nature of some of the material. However, it is the best reproduction available from the original submission.

Produced by the NASA Center for Aerospace Information (CASI)

CTUM FENGES

H.C. \$ 1.00; MN-50

INSTRUMENTATION

SC-RR-66-385

EVALUATION OF THE EFFICIENCY OF A CLASS 100 LAMINAR-FLOW CLEAN ROOM FOR VIABLE CONTAMINATION CLEANUP

(Part 1 of Microbiological Studies Relating to Clean Environments)

John Wm. Beakley W. J. Whitfield, 2572 J. C. Mashburn, 2564 Sandia Laboratory, Albuquerque

RELEASED FOR ANNOUNCEMENT

IN NUCLEAR SCIENCE ABSTRACTS

1

September 1966

This work was performed for the Bioscience Division. Office of Space Science and Applications, NASA Headquarters, under NASA Contract No. R-09-019-040.

ABSTRACT

A laminar-flow wall-to-floor clean room was challenged with Bacillus subtilis spores and then tested for efficiency of cleanup using both electronic and viable particle detection systems. The results confirmed the extreme efficiency of laminar-flow systems in reducing airborne viable particles to an absolute minimum.

LEGAL NOTICE

This report was prepared as an account of Government sponsored work. Neither the United States, nor the Commission, nor any person acting on behalf of the Commission: A. Makes any warranty or representation, expressed or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained in this report, or that the use

of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report may not infringe privately owned rights; or

B. Assumes any liabilities with respect to the use of, or for damages resulting from the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report. As used in the above, "person acting on behalf of the Commission" includes any em-ployee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor, to the extent that such employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor prepares, disseminates, or provides access to, any information pursuant to his employment or contract with the Commission, or his employment with such contractor.

> ! $\frac{1}{2}$

Microbiologist, Department of Biology, University of New Mexico, and Sandia Laboratory Consultant.

"Page missing from available version"

EVALUATION OF THE EFFICIENCY OF A CLASS 100 LAMINAR-FLOW CLEAN ROOM FOR VIABLE CONTAMINATION CLEANUP

Introduction

Previous studies have shown that the laminar-flow principle is highly efficient in removing nonviable particulate contamination from clean room systems. Indeed, the efficiency of these systems may be challenged with dioctyl phthalate smoke, and rapid clearance of the system may be observed, photographed, and monitored by various electronic particle counters.¹

For many applications of clean rooms it is desirable also to achieve an environment free of viable particles, so studies were initiated to evaluate the efficiency of these systems in reducing or eliminating bacterial and fungal contamination. Initial studies² indicated that the laminar-flow clean room was indeed very free from viable contamination when compared with contemporary techniques and standards of cleanliness. A confirmation study³ on the presence of viable particles in such a clean room indicated not only that the room was remarkably free of indigenous contamination but that, when challenged with concentrated aerosols of <u>Serratia marcescens</u>, the room attained absolute cleanup within 1 minute. <u>Serratia marcescens</u>, however, does not form spores and it is certain that many of the bacteria were by nature no longer viable at the close of the sampling period. In addition, in order that electronic particle monitors such as the Royco PC 200A particle counter can be used, cultures must be washed and suspended in distilled water, since even an 0.85 percent saline will cause droplet nuclei to register as particles. Consequently, the preliminary evaluations², ³ have been extended, utilizing <u>Bacillus</u> <u>subtilis</u> spores to avoid the pitfalls associated with the viability of bacterial suspensions when treated in the necessary manner.

The present investigation was made to determine the efficiency of laminar-flow devices in reducing viable particulate contamination.

Materials and Methods

<u>Bacillus subtilis var. niger (B. globigii)</u> was seeded heavily onto the surface of Bacto-TAM sporulation agar and incubated at 42°C for 72 hours. The growth was suspended in normal saline, and heat shocked at 80°C for 10 minutes. This spore suspension was then used to inoculate very heavily the surface of TAM sporulation agar plates; the plates were incubated at 42°C for 48 hours, after which spore stains showed the culture to consist of more than 98 percent spores. A bent stainless steel rod was used to harvest the growth from the surface, and the growth was then suspended in sterile distilled water. The suspension (cooled in an ice bath) was sonicated for 45 minutes with a Branson Sonifier.

3

Following sonication, spore stains revealed almost total spores along with some cellular debris. The suspension was centrifuged in the cold at 12,000 x g for 10 minutes, then the supernatant was discarded and the sediment spores were re-suspended in sterile distilled water and centrifuged at 2,000 x g for 5 minutes. The spores were re-suspended in sterile distilled water, thoroughly shaken, aliquoted in 2-ml quantities in sterile serum bottles, frozen at -20° C, and stored at -20° C until used. This stock slurry of spores gave an approximate plate count of 4.7 x 10^{9} per ml throughout the test period.

The original Whitfield clean room⁴ was used for all experiments. This room is a laminar-flow type of clean room with wall-to-floor airflow. All operations were accomplished, from a remote location outside the room, through large-diameter (3/4"-1" tygon tubing. All manipulations were performed in a clean environment made possible by positioning a curtained laminar-downflow unit immediately adjacent to the sampling ports.

The appropriate dilution (in distilled water) of spore suspension was sonicated for 30 seconds immediately prior to use, and then aerosolized for 1 minute in a De Vilbiss No. 40 nebulizer, with the room circulation turned off. Nitrogen at 5 pounds pressure delivered a volume of approximately 0.5 ml of aerosol through a tube to a mixing fan inside the room. The fan ran for 5 minutes following aerosolization so that the aerosol concentrations in the room might become stabilized.

Two Andersen samplers functioning at 1 cfm were used for each experiment; their flow rate was monitored continuously. The first Andersen sampler was used to sample the aerosol concentration in the room while the air circulation was turned off, immediately following the stabilization of the aerosol. The second sampler was used to sample "clean" air after the room circulation system had run for 1 minute. Each sampler had separate tubing, and precautions were taken to avoid sampling a surface residual of spores because of contamination of the sample tubes. The sampling protocol was as follows:

- 1. Room circulation turned off.
- Spore suspension aerosolized for 1 minute by a De Vilbiss No. 40 nebulizer.
- 3. Mixing fan operated for 5 minutes.
- 4. Mixing fan stopped; first Andersen sampler functioned for 1 minute.
- Room circulation turned on; protective coverings removed from clean sampler tubes.
- After 1 minute of room circulation, second Andersen sampler functioned for 5 minutes.

All Andersen samplers were sterilized at 121°C for 15 minutes in an autoclave. Trypticase soy agar was used as a sampling medium. All plates were prepared in a laminar-downflow bench, incubated for sterility at 28°C for 48 to 72 hours, and stored at 4°C until used. All Andersen samplers were loaded and unloaded in a laminar-downflow clean bench. All plates were incubated at 28°C for 48 to 72 hours for the store of the

A Royco PC 200A particle counter, modified to give a rapid cleanup, was run continuously throughout each experiment. It monitored the total number of particles 0.3 μ and larger at 1-minute intervals.

Following aerosolization, the slurry and dilutions used were refrigerated until plate counts could be made. Plate counts were also incubated at 28° C for 48 to 72 hours prior to counting.

Results and Discussion

The results of the aerosolization of <u>Bacillus subtilis</u> spores into a room and subsequent clearance of the room by laminar-flow air circulation are shown in Tables I and II.

Table I shows the results as measured by the Royco PC 200A, which counted all particles 0.3 μ and larger. It is apparent that the Royco counter sensed the same magnitude of particles which were estimated to have been aerosolized, based on slurry count and the approximate 0.5 ml aerosolized.

It is readily apparent that as determined by the electronic particle counter, laminar-flow air circulation is remarkably efficient in clearing an environment of particulate contamination. For experiments 4, 5, and 6 the Royco counter which had been modified for rapid cleanup of particles within the system was unavailable and an unmodified Royco PC 200 was used. This may be responsible for some of the particles counted during these experiments following room clearance. There is also always the possibility that particles may be dislodged from surfaces of the room by the laminar airflow and that such particles may occasionally be monitored by the particle counters. This, however, is a condition which can easily be minimized by appropriate housekeeping procedures in ordinary use of such laminar-flow environments, a condition not possible under the experimental protocol used in these tests.

Table II shows the results of the effect of laminar airflow upon room clearance of viable particles as measured by Andersen samplers. Again it is evident that laminar-flow air circulation is exceedingly efficient in removing particulate contamination from the atmosphere. Only three viable particles in nine experiments were detected following room clearance for 1 minute, even though the samplers were functioned for 5 minutes following the room clearance. These results point up the efficiency of such systems in removing viable particulate contamination even more dramatically than do the results obtained from using the electronic particle counter.

One need not rely solely upon imagination to envision the utility of air conditioning systems with such efficiency in removing particulate contamination, both viable and non-viable. Such laminar-flow systems have already been widely utilized by the electronics industry for the assembly of minute components, ⁵ by the pharmaceutical industry in the preparation and packaging of drug products, ⁶ by the National Aeronautics and Space Authority for assembly of proposed interplanetary devices, ⁷ and by the medical profession in at least one surgical room installation. ⁸

5

This counter functions at an air sampling rate of only 300 ml per minute; consequently, a multiplication factor of approximately 100 must be applied to convert the counts to cubic feet per minute (cfm).

These results confirm previous work and demonstrate with viable particles that properly operated laminar-flow air systems possess an almost absolute efficiency with respect to the rapid removal of such particles from the atmosphere. Such systems should be considered wherever it is desirable or necessary to reduce particulate contamination to an absolute minimum.

\$

9

ţ

TABLE I

Experiment No.		1	2	3	4**	5**	6**	7	8	9
Slurry Dilution		1:10	1:10	1:5	1,100	1:100	1:100	1:1000	1:1000	1:1000
Royco counts before room air on	1st minute	4, 879	6,967	11,050	696	268	298	59		34
	2nd minute	4, 420	7,203	10, 552	754	294	319	41		24
	3rd minute	4, 130	7,117	10, 874	773	293	285	39		23
	4th minute	4, 107	6,988	10, 433	658	286	305	52	124	24
	5th minute	4,103	6,548	10, 143	648	279	274	43	122	29
		Room circu	ulation turn	ed on for 1 n	ninute befor	e count of fo	llowing sam	ples		
Royco counts after room air on	1st minute	000	000	000	000	016	004	000	000	000
	2nd minute	000	000	000	000	000	004	001	000	000
	3rd minute	000	000	000	000	004	001	000	000	000
	4th minute	001	000	000	000	000	001	000	016	000
	5th minute	001	000	000	000	003	000	000	000	000
Estimated concentration of particles/ft ³ of room air		5.5 x 10 ⁵	5. 5 x 10 ⁵	1.1 x 10 ⁶	5. 5 x 10 ⁴	5. 5 x 10 ⁴	5. 5 x 10 ⁴	5. 5 x 10 ³	5. 5 x 10 ³	5. 5 x 10 ³

Royco Counts^{*} Following <u>Bacillus</u> <u>subtilis</u> <u>var.</u> <u>niger</u> (globigii) Aerosolization Before and After Room Clearance by Laminar-Flow Air Circulation

*Total particles 0.3 μ and larger; 1-minute count; 300 ml/min sampling rate

** Royco Model PC 200 (unmodified)

-1

TABLE II

Experiment No.		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Slurry Dilution		1:10	1:10	1:5	1:100	1:100	1:100	1:1000	1:1000	1:1000
Andersen sampler functioned 1 minute (1 ft ³)	Stage 1	91	174	420	15	9	12	2	0	1
	Stage 2	132	208	426	21	27	24	3	1	1
	Stage 3	364	333	>2427	27	38	56	1	2	1
	Stage 4	>2427	>2427	>2427	172	214	289	25	11	9
	Stage 5	>2427	>2427	>2427	>2427	>2427	>2427	931	1403	974
	Stage 6	>2427	>2427	>2427	411	357	485	5	7	22
	Total	>7868	>7996	>10554	3063	3072	3293	967	1424	1008
		Room circu	lation turne	d on for 1 m	inute before	count of fol	lowing sam	ples		1
Andersen sampler functioned 5 minutes (5 ft ³)	Stage 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Stage 2	0	0	Broken	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Stage 3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Stage 4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Stage 5	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Stage 6	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0

Andersen Sampler Counts^{*} Following <u>Bacillus</u> <u>subtilis</u> <u>var.</u> <u>niger</u> (globigii) Aerosolization Before and After Room Clearance by Laminar-Flow Air Circulation

*All colony counts corrected for "positive hole" value 9

æ

· (~).

LIST OF REFERENCES

- 1. Marsh, R. C.; Whitfield, W. J.; Neitzel, W. E.; Mashburn, J. C.; and Trujillo, L. C., Standard Tests for Laminar-Flow Devices, SC-TM-64-637, Sandia Corporation, 1964.
- Arnold, V. E.; Jack, A. J.; King, J. G.; Marsh, R. C.; and Whitfield, W. J., <u>Preliminary Report on Microbiological Studies in a Laminar Down-Flow Clean Room</u>, <u>SC-RR-65-67</u>, Sandia Corporation, 1965.
- 3. Beakley, J. W., <u>Preliminary Microbiological Studies of the Laminar Down-Flow</u> <u>Clean Room</u>, Sandia Corporation, Supplement to SC-RR-65-67, Sandia Corporation, 1965.
- 4. Whitfield, W. J., <u>A New Approach to Cleanroom Design</u>, SC-4673(RR), Sandia Corporation, 1962.
- 5. Graetz, G. M., A Re-evaluation of "White-Room" Concepts and Practices, Contam. Control 5:46, 1966.
- Slade, J. W. Jr., "How a Pharmaceutical Manufacturer Tests Products for Sterility," Contam. Control 5:11, 1966.
- 7. Anon., Interim Requirements of Bioclean Facilities for Planetary Spacecraft, NASA Headquarters, 1965.
- 8. Whitcomb, J. G., and Clapper, W. E., "The Ultra-Clean Operating Room," <u>Amer. J. Surg.</u> 112 (November 1966) In Press.
- 9. Andersen, A. A., "New Sampler for the Collection, Sizing, and Enumeration of Viable Airborne Particles," J. Bacteriol. 76:471-484, 1958.