General Disclaimer

One or more of the Following Statements may affect this Document

- This document has been reproduced from the best copy furnished by the organizational source. It is being released in the interest of making available as much information as possible.
- This document may contain data, which exceeds the sheet parameters. It was furnished in this condition by the organizational source and is the best copy available.
- This document may contain tone-on-tone or color graphs, charts and/or pictures, which have been reproduced in black and white.
- This document is paginated as submitted by the original source.
- Portions of this document are not fully legible due to the historical nature of some of the material. However, it is the best reproduction available from the original submission.

Produced by the NASA Center for Aerospace Information (CASI)

Johnany

GENERAL DYNAMICS General Atomic Division

SPECIAL NUCLEAR EFFECTS LABORATORY

P.O. BOX 608, SAN DIEGO. CALIFORNIA \$2118

GACD-6249 (12/31/66)

Copy No.

RADIATION EFFECTS ON SILICON

Eighth Quarterly Progress Report Covering the Period October 1, 1966, through December 31, 1966

Work done by:

J. B. Bryant

H. Horiye

J. A. Naber

E. G. Wikner

Report written by:

J. A. Naber H. Horiye

This is an informal progress report prepared by the General Atomic Division of General Dynamics Corporation under the contract designated below for submittal to the contracting agency. The results and data may be preliminary or tentative and therefore are subject to 1 evision or correction. This report may contain patentable material on which, patent applications have not yet been filed by General Dynamics Corporation or by the contracting agency, and further distribution of this report should not be made without the prior approval of the contracting agency or General Atomic.

National Aeronautics and Space Administration Contract NAS7-289 General Atomic Project 430

January 10, 1967

. Soe Mi	N 67-25672	· .
פורעג גסי		(THRU)
2	(NABA GR OR TMX OR AD NUMBER)	(COD)

1. INTRODUCTION

This eighth quarterly progress report on Contract NAS7-289, "Radiation Effects in Silicon Solar Cells," covers the period October 1, 1966, through December 31, 1966.

During this period, transient and quiescent electrical conductivity in high-purity n-type silicon were investigated by the microwave technique after irradiation by 30 MeV electrons at room temperature. Dc electrical conductivity transient measurements on silicon samples of carrier concentration about 10^{14} cm⁻³ are being performed.

2. MICROWAVE CONDUCTIVITY

Quiescent and transient electrical conductivity in high-purity n-type silicon were investigated by the microwave technique. As reported in the last quarterly report, GACD-6249 (9/30/66), for the high-resistivity n-type silicon samples irradiated at room temperature, the electron flux was not controlled sufficiently so that the radiation-induced recombination center was not detected. Only a trapping center was detected. During this quarter, a high-resistivity n-type silicon sample was irradiated at room temperature and the flux was controlled so that the introduction of the recombination center was detected.

2.1 LINAC IRRADIATION

The sample used was n-type silicon of 10^4 ohm-cm resistivity and an initial lifetime of 10^3 µsec at room temperature. The sample was vacuum float zone. The pre-irradiation lifetime measurements on this sample were obtained using the General Atomic flash x-ray machine. The pre-irradiation quiescent electrical conductivity results are shown in Fig. 1. Figure 2 shows the pre-irradiation lifetime as analyzed using the Shockley-Read theory.⁽¹⁾ The lifetime of this high-purity n-type silicon at room temperature for a 0.5 rad (Si) injection level was about 1,300 µsec.

The samples and the microwave apparatus were then moved to the General Atomic electron linear accelerator (Linac) where extra precautions were taken so that during Linac tuning the sample was not irradiated with highenergy electrons. At low Linac dose rate (about 0.5 rad (Si)), the lifetime before high-energy electron irradiation was still about 1,300 µsec.





Fig. 2--Pre-irradiation carrier lifetime as a function of inverse temperature

Therefore, during tuning the lifetime was not degraded. The sample was then irradiated with 30 MeV, 0.1 μ sec, 5 rads (Si) pulses of electrons. The lifetime degradation was plotted according to the relationship

$$\frac{1}{\tau} = \frac{1}{\tau_0} + K\varphi$$

where τ_0 is the initial lifetime before irradiation, φ is the integrated flux, K is the damage constant, and τ is the lifetime after an integrated flux φ . Figure 3 shows the degradation of the lifetime of the highresistivity n-type silicon sample where $1/\tau$ is plotted as function of φ . The slope of the curve is the damage constant (K) equal to 2.2×10^{-8} cm²/sec at room temperature. During this irradiation the lifetime was degraded at room temperature by a factor of 4. At this point the irradiation was halted and the sample and microwave equipment were moved to the flash x-ray facility where the temperature dependence of the lifetime was checked. Figure 4 shows the results of this experiment. This plot shows presence of both a recombination and a trapping center. Figure 5 shows the quiescent conductivity after the initial high-energy electron irradiation.

The sample was then taken back to Linac and irradiated again with 30 MeV electrons. This time the lifetime at room temperature was degraded to about 70 μ sec. The temperature characteristics of the lifetime of these additional centers were then investigated at the flash x-ray facility. The data for the experiment described in this paragraph has not been completely analyzed. The analysis will be completed in the near future.

2.2 COMMENTS

The pre-irradiation lifetime of the high-resistivity silicon sample was analyzed using the Shockley-Read theory. Figure 1 shows the inverse temperature dependence of τ_{ℓ} and $\tau_{\rm h}$ as defined in GACD-6249 (9/30/66). Comparison of Figures 1 and 5 shows that during the Linac irradiation there was no detectable change in electrical conductivity. However, a comparison of Figures 2 and 4 shows a definite change in the inverse temperature characteristics of the lifetime. The post-irradiation figure indicates two centers present in the irradiated sample, one a recombination center and the other a trapping center. The value of





Fig. 4--Carrier lifetime as a function of inverse temperature after Linac irradiation



Fig. 5--Electrical conductivity after Linac irradiation as a function of inverse temperature

2.2 x 10^{-8} cm²/sec for the damage constant is about the same as has been reported previously for n-type silicon.⁽²⁾

It should be noted that the trapping center introduced after the room-temperature Linac irradiation is similar to the trapping center introduced in high-resistivity n-type silicon irradiated by high-energy electrons at 4.2° K.⁽³⁾

Further information about the recombination and trapping center no doubt will be available after the remainder of the experiment is analyzed.

2.3 FUTURE PLANS

Once the remainder of the Linac irradiation data on this highresistivity n-type silicon is analyzed, the recovery of the excesscarrier lifetime as a function of annealing temperature above room temperature will be investigated. In this manner, the recombination and trapping center may be associated with one of the known centers as identified by ESR work.⁽⁴⁾ Future plans call for the investigation of the type of defects introduced in high-resistivity p-type silicon irradiated with 30 MeV electrons at room temperature.

3. DC CONDUCTIVITY

Carrier lifetime experiments are being extended to include dc measurements. The circuitry being used has been described previously.⁽⁴⁾ The center responsible for the degradation of the lifetime had been considered⁽²⁾ to be deeper than 0.4 eV for n-type silicon samples of carrier concentrations of 10¹⁵ cm⁻³. Presently we are using n-type silicon samples of carrier concentrations of the order of 10¹⁴ cm⁻³. In this way we hope to probe deeper into the energy gap and possibly get into a region where the temperature dependence of the carrier lifetime is exponential and thus obtain the defect energy level directly. Experiments on p-type silicon samples will be similarly extended.

REFERENCES

- 1. V. A. J. van Lint, et al., "Radiation Effects on Silicon Solar Cells," General Atomic Report GA-3872 (2/15/63) p. 61.
- 2. V. A. J. van Lint and D. P. Snowden, "Radiation Effects on Silicon Solar Cells," General Atomic Report G-6556 (7/21/65), p. 27.
- 3. H. Yoriye, et al., "Radiation Effects on Silicon," General Atomic Report GACD-6249 (6/30/66).
- 4. G. D. Watkins, <u>Radiation Damage in Semiconductors</u>, Academic Press, p. 98, 1964.