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# GROUND-BASED PHOTOMETRIC SURVEILLANCE OF THE PASSIVE GEODETIC SATELLITE 

By R. L. Hostetler, R. H. Emmons, R. J. Preski, C.L. Rogers, and D.C. Romick Goodyear Aerospace Corporation

## SUMMARY

The PAGEOS I satellite was observed during the first 60 days of its orbital life, and data on its characteristics obtained through photometric observation was reduced and analyzed. To obtain measurements, a truck-mounted mobile observatory was utilized. Observing equipment consisted of a 24 -inch telescope and photometric instrumentation capable of measurements in the $U, B$, and $V$ bands.

Palomar Mountain was selected for optimum viewing conditions. The fourth orbit (the first available from Palomar) was successfully observed on 24 June 1966 and at every orbital pass thereafter through 22 August 1966, except for a few nights when the sky was obscured by clouds or when instrumentation difficulties prevented operations. A total of 52 PAGEOS I passes were observed, 45 in three-color photometry and seven in single-color photometry. Over 5000 data points were recorded, with 50 percent in the $U$ band, 25 percent in the $B$ band, and 25 percent in the $V$ band.

The raw data was reduced to obtain the PAGEOS I stellar magnitude, solar reflectance (assuming 50 -foot radius of curvature), specular component of the reflected light, radius of curvature, and local variations of the radius of curvature.

The data on all these characteristics except the last has been reduced to mean and/or average values for each observed pass and for selected time periods (table I). Specularity, which is defined as the specular component of reflected light, is expressed as a percentage of the total reflected light. Table I also shows the standard deviation ( $\sigma$ ) of magnitudes, the standard deviation of local radii of curvature, and a figure of merit for specularity values. The standard deviations for the period 17 July to 27 July increase as compared with other periods. On the raw data analog charts, this is exhibited as an increase in satellite scintillation. The start of this period correlates with the first PAGEOS I shadow entry.

For the pre-shadow period ( 24 June to 12 July) and the post-shadow period ( 17 July to 22 August), error analysis indicates the following maximum measurement error levels at a confidence level of 96 percent:

|  | Pre-Shadow |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Radius of curvature |  |  |
| Specularity | $1.30 \%$ | $0.92 \%$ |
| Reflectance | $0.55 \%$ | $0.17 \%$ |
| Stellar magnitude | $2.81 \%$ | $1.99 \%$ |
|  | $0.027 \%$ | $0.02 \%$ |

The indicated weighted average diameter of PAGEOS I in the V band (most dependable) is 100.1 feet, and the indicated weighted average specularity, considering all bands, is 98.4 percent.

It appears that the combined environmental factors at PAGEOS I's orbital height caused no measurable degradation in the first two months following deployment.

TABLE I. - PAGEOS I CHARACTERISTICS FOR COMBINED OBSERVATION PERIODS

| Parameter | Total Pre-Shadow Run <br> 24 Jun to 12 Jul 66 |  |  | Post-Shadow Run 17 Jul to 27 Jul 66 |  |  | Post-ShadowRun5 Aug to 14 Aug 66 |  |  | Post-ShadowRun15 Aug to 22 Aug 66 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total Post-Shadow } \\ & \text { Run } \\ & 17 \text { Jul to } 22 \text { Aug } 66 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | U | B | V | U | B | V | U | B | V | U | B | v | U | B | V |
| Number of data points | 534 | 269 | 268 | 708 | 354 | 354 | 684 | 342 | 342 | 639 | 320 | 320 | 2031 | 1016 | 1016 |
| Mean normalized magnitude | 3.00 | 2.84 | 2.18 | 3.01 | 2.83 | 2.19 | 2.91 | 2.77 | 2.11 | 2.94 | 2.77 | 2.11 | 2.95 | 2.79 | 2.14 |
| Standard deviation ( $\sigma$ ) of magnitudes | 0.21 | 0.22 | 0.21 | 0.33 | 0.37 | 0.34 | 0.23 | 0.22 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.20 | 0.28 | 0.28 | 0.27 |
| Specularity, \% | 103.40 | 99.33 | 98.53 | 91.28 | 89.66 | 100.45 | 98.38 | 99.82 | 97.58 | 101.50 | 100.12 | 98.54 | 98.16 | 95.85 | 98.98 |
| Figure of merit for specularity | 43.48 | 29.50 | 28.76 | 33.96 | 21.04 | 26.19 | 52.78 | 38.76 | 37. 39 | 47.86 | 34.02 | 37.45 | 71.92 | 48.35 | 53.67 |
| Average radius of curvature, ft | 47.15 | 49.05 | 49.52 | 45.92 | 48.04 | 49.51 | 48.78 | 50.45 | 50.45 | 48.48 | 50.34 | 50.70 | 47.64 | 49.54 | 50.21 |
| Standard deviation ( $\sigma$ ) radii of curvature, ft | 4.55 | 4.94 | 5.11 | 8.47 | 10.13 | 8.37 | 5.20 | 5.18 | 5.37 | 4.98 | 5.13 | 4.71 | 6.47 | 7.08 | 6.46 |
| Reflectance for 50 -foot radius of curvature | 0.779 | 0.854 | 0.870 | 0.720 | 0.794 | 0.856 | 0.832 | 0.903 | 0.902 | 0.822 | 0.899 | 0.914 | 0.789 | 0.863 | 0.890 |
| Phase range, deg | 86 | 86 | 86 | 108 | 108 | 108 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 87 | 87 | 87 | 108 | 108 | 108 |
| B-V magnitude increment |  | 0.66 |  |  | 0.64 |  |  | 0.66 |  |  | 0.66 |  |  | 0.65 |  |
| U-V magnitude increment |  | 0.82 |  |  | 0.82 |  |  | 0.80 |  |  | 0.83 |  |  | 0.81 |  |

## INTRODUCTION

During the first 60 days after launch of the PAGEOS I satellite, a ground-based photometric surveillance was performed on the satellite using a 24 -inch telescope mounted in a mobile observatory. The surveillance was conducted on Palomar Mountain, California.

The PAGEOS I surveillance was conducted to obtain satellite stellar magnitude, solar reflectance of the sphere's surface, specular component of the reflected light, satellite diameter, and local variations (if any) in the sphere's radius of curvature. Measurements of the satellite intensity were made using a time-shared, three-color filtering system, which conforms to the UBV Photometric System. Palomar Mountain was selected as an observation site to maximize the number of possible measurements within a 60 -day observation period, and to permit measurements to be made during the satellite's first few orbits.

The theory behind satellite photometric surveillance includes calibration of sky and instrumentation by observation of standard stars, and equations to determine the varinus optical and physical characteristics of the satellite being observed. The method of calibration is based on a series of equations presented by Dr. R. H. Hardie (ref. 1) to (1) convert galvanometer deflections to the natural system's stellar magnitude and color indices; (2) correct these magnitudes and color indices for atmospheric extinction; and (3) transform them to their standard system values. Data reduction includes digitizing the analog data of satellite or star intensity and time that result from an observation; and use of computerized equations to obtain the satellite characteristics.

From 15 June to 22 August 1966, the mobile observatory and computer facilities were used to perform on-site observatory shakedown and attain operating proficiency, to conduct the actual observations or measurements, and to perform data reduction, data processing, and data analysis of the observed passes of the PAGEOS I satellite.

This report discusses first the theory of photometric observations of a satellite. A brief description of the observatory is included, and pre-operation preparations are described. Operating procedures from pass acquisition through data analysis, determinations of the analysis, and conclusions are then presented.

## SYMBOLS

| $\AA$ | angstrom unit, $10^{-10}$ meters | antilogantilogarithm operator: the num <br> ber corresponding to the base <br> 10 logarithm term which follow |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A $_{\text {sp }}$ | weighting coefficient for specular <br> reflection | B | blue band-pass filter stellar <br> magnitude, standard system* |
| a | albedo of earth |  |  |
| $a_{b}$ | albedo of earth in a given band | B $_{d}$ | weighting coefficient for <br> diffuse reflection |

[^1]| B-V | B-V standard system color index, stellar magnitudes* | $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{n}}$ | term relating incident illuminance to observed brightness (includes distance variations) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| b | blue band-pass filter stellar magnitude, natural system | k' | primary atmospheric extinction coefficients |
| b-v | b-v natural system color index, stellar magnitudes | k' | second-order atmospheric extinction coefficients |
| C | confidence level |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathbf{s}}$ | solar constant, $\mathrm{Btu} / \mathrm{hr}-\mathrm{ft}{ }^{2}$ | M | mean value |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{v}}$ | coefficient of variation | m | stellar magnitude |
| c | correction factor for albedo in a given band | $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{K}}$ | constant in stellar magnitude equation |
| c' | correction factor for albedo for terrain | N | sample size |
| D | slant range, distance from satellite to observer, ft | $\mathrm{P}_{\mathbf{r}}$ | probability |
| d | galvanometer deflection, inches (target + sky + dark) - (sky + dark) | $\mathrm{R}_{\mathbf{c}}$ | radius of curvature, feet |
| $E_{0}$ $E_{d}$ | illuminance value at zero stellar magnitude <br> diffuse component of illuminance | S | photometer gain, stellar magnitude units $S=2.5 \log _{10}$ (photometer gain factor); also, specularity |
| $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{e}}$ | earth albedo illuminance | U | ultraviolet band-pass filter stellar magnitude, standard system* |
| $\mathrm{E}_{\mathbf{S}}$ | solar illuminance on the satellite | U-B |  |
| $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{sp}}$ | specular component of illuminance |  | stellar magnitudes* |
| F | function; also, generalized albedo term | u | ultraviolet band-pass filter stellar magnitude, natural system |
| $\mathrm{f}(\psi)$ | Russell phase function | u-b | u-b natural system color index, stellar magnitudes |
| $\mathrm{G}_{\mathbf{x}}$ | the function ( $1-\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{u}-\mathrm{b}}^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{X}$ ) | V |  |
| h | orbital altitude, statute miles | V | magnitude, standard system* |
| $J_{\mathbf{x}}$ | the function ( $1-\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{b}}^{\prime \prime}-\mathrm{v}$ X) | v | visual band-pass filter stellar magnitude, natural system |
| K | constant; also, factor of standard deviation |  |  |

[^2]| X | atmospheric thickness, ( $\cong$ secant z) | Subscripts |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| x | sample estimator; <br> also, random variable | B | denotes blue band data, standard system |
| y | variable | b | denotes blue band data, natural system |
| z | angular distance from zenith | b-v | denotes $\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{v}$ color index data |
| $\alpha$ | geocentric angle between earth-sun and earth-satellite vectors, radians | d | denotes diffuse values |
| $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ | reflectance, the ratio of the rate of reflection of radiant energy to its rate of incidence | m | denotes a function denotes stellar magnitudes |
| $\Delta$ | increment, second minus first value | 0 | denotes extra-atmosphere value (i.e., extrapolated to $\mathrm{X}=0$ ) |
| $\Delta \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{a}}$ | value of $\Delta \mathrm{m}$ computed using a for albedo | © | denotes solar magnitude |
| $\Delta m_{b}$ | value of $\Delta m$ computed using $a_{b}$ for albedo | $\mathbf{R}_{\text {c }}$ | denotes radius of curvature |
| $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ | system transformation scale factor, V determination | S | denotes specularity value denotes solar value |
| $\zeta$ | calibration zero-point term, stellar magnitude units | sp | denotes specular value |
|  |  | t | denotes true value |
| $\mu$ | system transformation scale factor, <br> $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{V}$ determination; also, true parameter; also, micron unit ( $10^{-6}$ meters) | U | denotes ultraviolet band data, standard system |
| $\rho$ | proportion of true parameter | u | denotes ultraviolet band data, natural system |
| $\sigma$ | standard deviation | u-b | denotes u-b color index data |
| $\pi$ | the constant 3.14159 | V | denotes visual band data, standard system |
| $\psi$ | system transformation scale factor, U-B determination; also, phase angle between satellite-sun vector and satellite-observer vector, radians | v | denotes visual band data, natural system |

[^3]
## THEORY

The theory behind satellite photometric surveillance includes: (1) calibration by standard stars to obtain the various second-order and primary extinction coefficients and transformation scale factors, and (2) equations to determine the various optical and physical characterístics of the satellite being observed.

## Calibration

Considerations. - The method of calibration is based on equations and descriptions of procedures which were presented in their literal form by Dr. R. H. Hardie (ref. 1). These procedures were modified slightly to make them applicable to photometry of artificial satellites. The basic working equations are as follows (refer to list of symbols):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{v}=\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{v}}-2.5 \log _{10}\left(\mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{v}}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S_{V}=2.5 \log _{10}$ (photometer gain factor);

$$
\begin{align*}
& b-v=\left(S_{b}-S_{v}\right)-2.5 \log _{10}\left(d_{b} / d_{v}\right) ; \text { and }  \tag{2}\\
& u-b=\left(S_{u}-S_{b}\right)-2.5 \log _{10}\left(d_{u} / d_{b}\right) .  \tag{3}\\
& v=v-k_{v}^{\prime} x+\epsilon(B-v)+\zeta_{v} ;  \tag{4}\\
& B-v=\mu J_{x}(b-v)-\mu k_{b-v}^{\prime} x+\zeta_{b-v} \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

where $J_{x}=1-k_{b-v}^{\prime \prime} x$; and

$$
\begin{equation*}
U-B=\psi G_{x}(u-b)-\psi k_{u-b}^{\prime} X+\zeta_{u-b} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G_{x}=1-k_{u-b}^{\prime \prime} X$.
By substituting equations (1), (2), and (3) into equations (4), (5), and (6) we obtain:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{V}=\left[\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{v}}-2.5 \log _{10}\left(\mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{v}}\right)\right]-\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{v}} \cdot \mathrm{X}+\epsilon(\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{v})+\zeta_{\mathrm{v}}  \tag{7}\\
& \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{V}=\mu \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{x}}\left[\left(\mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{b}}-\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{v}}\right)-2.5 \log _{10}\left(\mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{b}} / \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{v}}\right)\right]-\mu \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{v}}^{\prime} \mathrm{X}+\zeta_{\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{v}}  \tag{8}\\
& \mathrm{U}-\mathrm{B}=\psi \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{x}}\left[\left(\mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{u}}-\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{b}}\right)-2.5 \log _{10}\left(\mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{u}} / \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{b}}\right)\right]-\psi \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{u}-\mathrm{b}}^{\prime} \mathrm{X}+\zeta_{\mathrm{u}-\mathrm{b}} \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that equations (1) through (3) convert galvanometer deflections to the natural system's visual-band stellar magnitude and color indices, while equations (4) through (6) correct these magnitudes and color indices for atmospheric extinction (i.e., for $X=0$ ) and also transform them to their standard system values. Equations (4) through (6) contain 11 "constants" (of various rigidities), the determination of which is the principal subject of the calibration procedure. The method of determination of the 11 calibration constants will be described in some detail in the following subsections.

Light suffers loss as it travels through the earth's atmosphere due to absorption, scattering, etc. In magnitude form, we may write the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{o}}=\mathrm{m}-\mathrm{kX}, \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where X is the path length in units of air mass at the zenith of the observer and k is the extinction coefficient, which is the measure of light loss (expressed in magnitudes) for a celestial body at the zenith. The relative air mass, $X$, in units of the thickness at the zenith is given to a high degree of accuracy by the secant of the zenith distance, z. A more accurate equation for $\mathbf{X}$ is

$$
\begin{array}{r}
X=\sec z-0.0018167(\sec z-1)-0.002875(\sec z-1)^{2} \\
-0.0008083(\sec z-1)^{3} . \tag{11}
\end{array}
$$

Determination of second-order extinction coefficients. - To determine the second-order extinction coefficients $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{b}}^{\prime \prime}-\mathrm{v}$ and $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{u}-\mathrm{b}}^{\prime \prime}$ in equations (5) and (6), the following procedure is observed. The second-order terms are best determined separately by a differential technique by following close pairs of stars of widely differing colors through a wide range of X . The best results are obtained when the stars are at a zenith angle of $45^{\circ}$ or greater. Following reference 1 , the slopes of $\Delta(b-v)$ versus $X \Delta(b-v)$ and of $\Delta(u-b)$ versus $X \Delta(u-b)$, found either by graphical or least-squares methods, determine $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{v}}$ and $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{u}-\mathrm{b}}^{\prime \prime}$ respectively. The average of the slopes for the various pairs of stars determine the final results of the second-order coefficients. The second-order coefficient is negligibly small or indeterminate for bands located in the yellow or red. In the blue region, it commonly has a value of $\mathbf{- 0 . 0 2}$ to -0.04 , depending on the bandwidth, while in the ultraviolet it is commonly defined as being zero.

Determination of scale factors for system transformation. - The quite rigid scale factors $\epsilon, \mu$, and $\psi$ (eqs. 4, 5, and 6) can next be determined, making use of the previously determined second-order extinction coefficients. For this purpose it is desirable to observe a number of standard stars, ranging widely in color, but through substantially the same air mass - preferably all near the zenith. These differential observations should be accomplished in a short period of time to minimize the possibility of significant changes in the atmospheric extinction. The Pleiades, Hyades, and Praesepe star clusters are commonly observed for the purpose of scale factor determinations, but there are times, as on summer evenings, when none of these clusters is at a suitably small zenith distance. For these times, a sufficient number of suitable stars near zenith can generally be found by consulting the ArizonaTonantzintla Catalog (ref. 3).

Since values of $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{v}}{ }^{\prime}, \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{v}}^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{u}-\mathrm{b}}$ are required for calculations of the scale factors, it introduces very little error into the results to assume approximate values for the three principal extinction coefficients (ref. 1, p. 201). For this calculation we assumed $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{v}}{ }^{\prime}=0.15$, $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{v}}^{\prime}=0.15$, and $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{u}}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{b}=0.30$.

We proceed to determine the extra-atmosphere magnitudes and color indices in the natural system by making use of the following equations (ref. 1, p. 198):

$$
\begin{align*}
& v_{O}=S_{v}-2.5 \log _{10} d_{v}-k_{v}^{\prime} X  \tag{12}\\
& (b-v)_{0}=\left[\left(S_{b}-S_{v}\right)-2.5 \log _{10}\left(d_{b} / d_{v}\right)\right] J_{x}-k_{b-v}^{\prime} X  \tag{13}\\
& (u-b)_{o}=\left[\left(S_{u}-S_{b}\right)-2.5 \log _{10}\left(d_{u} / d_{b}\right)\right] G_{x}-k_{u-b}^{\prime} X \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

The next step is to collect the needed parameters and compute the following for each star:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(v-v_{0}\right) \\
& {\left[(B-v)-(b-v)_{0}\right]} \\
& {\left[(U-B)-(u-b)_{0}\right]}
\end{aligned}
$$

The linear regression of the first two parameters versus B-V provides, either by leastsquares solution or by faired plots, slopes which are $\epsilon$ and ( $1-1 / \mu$ ), respectively. Similarly, the linear regression of $(\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{B})-(\mathrm{u}-\mathrm{b})_{\mathrm{o}}$ versus $\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{B}$ provides a slope which is $(1-1 / \psi)$.

The scale factor $\epsilon$ will be close to zero, while $\mu$ and $\psi$ will be near unity if the response of the natural system closely matches that of the standard system. This is desirable for the most reliable remaining determinations (for each satellite pass) of the three changeable primary extinction coefficients, $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{v}}{ }^{\prime}$, $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{y}}^{\prime}$, and $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{u}-\mathrm{b}}$, and finally of the three somewhat flexible zero-point terms $\zeta_{\mathrm{v}}, \zeta_{\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{v}}$, and $\zeta_{\mathrm{u}-\mathrm{b}}$, as required for the most accurate photometric data reduction. (See ref. 1, pp. 199-202.)

Primary extinction coefficients and zero-point terms. - For determination of the three primary extinction coefficients ( $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{v}}^{\prime}, \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{v}}^{\prime}, \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{u}-\mathrm{b}}^{\prime}$ ) and the three zero-point terms ( $\zeta_{\mathrm{v}}, \zeta_{\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{v}}$, and $\zeta_{\mathrm{u}-\mathrm{b}}$ ), several standard stars (five or more) are observed along the satellite path both before and after the observation. From equations (4), (5), and (6), one performs a linear regression on each of the quantities $[v+\epsilon(B-V)-V],\left[u(b-v) J_{x}-(B-V)\right]$, and $\left[\psi(u-b) G_{X}-(U-B)\right]$ versus $X$, which then provides slopes which are respectively $k_{V}{ }^{\prime}$ and the modified coefficients $\mu \mathrm{k}^{\prime} \mathrm{b}-\mathrm{v}$ and $\psi \mathrm{k}^{\prime}{ }_{\mathrm{u}-\mathrm{b}}$. Having determined $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{b}^{\prime}-\mathrm{v}}, \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{u}}^{\prime \prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}, \epsilon, \mu, \psi, \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{v}}{ }^{\prime}$, $k_{b-v}^{\prime}$, and $k_{u-b}^{\prime}$, we may use any standard star observations (including those made for the purpose of determining the primary extinction coefficients) to determine the semi-rigid zeropoint terms.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \zeta_{v}=v-v-\epsilon(B-v)+k_{v}^{\prime} X \\
& \zeta_{\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{v}}=(\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{v})-\mu \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{v})+\mu \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{v}}^{\prime} \mathrm{X} \\
& \zeta_{\mathrm{u}-\mathrm{b}}=(\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{B})-\psi \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{u}-\mathrm{b})+\psi \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{u}-\mathrm{b}}^{\prime} \mathrm{X}
\end{aligned}
$$

From reference 1, we learn that good average values obtained at observatories such as Mount Wilson, which has an altitude and climate similar to that of Palomar Mountain, are $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{v}}{ }^{\prime}=0.15, \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{v}}=0.15$, and $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{u}-\mathrm{b}}=0.30$. These are average values only for one observatory and values of the extinction coefficients for a single observation may vary considerably from these numbers.

## Satellite Observation


#### Abstract

General. - From careful observations of the intensity of sunlight reflected from a spherical artificial satellite, various inferences can be drawn concerning the present condition of its surface. For instance, the extent to which the initially specular reflecting surface has been degraded can be determined, as well as values of the mean and local effective radii of curvature of the satellite. In general, the following parameters may be obtained through the use of a ground-based photometric system: (1) stellar magnitude (normalized); (2) specularity and diffusivity of the surface of a satellite; (3) mean and local radii of curvature; and (4) reflectance, if radius of curvature is assumed. In this report the specular component of reflected light is called specularity. It is expressed as a percentage of the total reflected light.


Stellar magnitude. - Having previously determined the 11 extinction-calibration-transformation constants from the various stars used in the previously described programs, we turn our attention to the determination of the extra-atmospheric stellar magnitude of the PAGEOS I satellite. The equations used to determine the stellar magnitude of the satellite at any position along its orbit are identical to those of the standard stars. These equations ( 7,8 , and 9 ) reduce the satellite photometric observations from their raw data galvanometer deflections and the separately determined zenith distances to the standard system U-B-V magnitudes and color indices. The computer program ( $\mathrm{E}-1213$ ) that reduces the satellite stellar magnitude is described in a later subsection. This particular program computes not only the stellar magnitude and phase angle of the satellite (see fig. 1), but also prints out such coordinates as slant range, height, altitude, azimuth, right ascension, declination, etc. for each time. In addition, the computer incorporates such correction factors as normalization of each observed intensity to a uniform slant range, e.g., 2640 statute miles for PAGEOS I; and allowance for the contribution of earth albedo.

Normalized slant range: Normalization of the photometric data to a uniform range is accomplished by applying the inverse square law of illumination to the illuminances, first having determined the satellite's slant range at observation time. Figure 2 (from ref. 4) shows slant range and elevation angles as functions of height and subsatellite distance. Satellite photometric data must be normalized for the instantaneous slant range from the observer before a meaningful analysis can be made. The instantaneous slant range is computed for the times at which photometric data was taken from an accurate ephemeris of the satellite. It should be noted that a one percent error in slant range will propagate an error of 0.02 in the normalized stellar magnitude.

Contribution of earth albedo: The correction for the contribution of the earth's albedo (earthshine) for a specular spherical satellite is a function of the satellite's orbital height and geocentric angle $\alpha$ (elongation) from the sun. Figure 3 presents the nominal stellar magnitude increments based on a 0.36 earth-atmosphere albedo and a specular spherical satellite. The adjusted increment (see appendix A) must then be applied as a correction to the reduced stellar magnitude of a near-specular spherical satellite before proceeding to determinations of effective radii of curvature and reflectance, and to the degree of specularity of the surface.

Satellite stellar magnitude at various effective wave lengths: The satellite stellar magnitudes may be determined in the $U$ or near-ultraviolet band ( $3600 \AA$ ), B or blue band ( $4200 \AA$ ), and $V$ or visual band ( 5500 A ). The specular illuminance ( $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{sp}}$ ) of the radiation received in the $\mathrm{U}, \mathrm{B}$, or V spectral regions (depending on which spectral region is switched in at a given time) is given by:


Figure 1. - Satellite phase angles ( $\psi$ ).


Figure 2. - Slant range and elevation angle as functions of height and subsatellite distance.


Figure 3. - Nominal stellar magnitude increments due to earth albedo for a specular spherical satellite.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{E_{s p}}{E_{0}}=\operatorname{antilog}\left(-0.4 m_{s p}\right)=\frac{1}{4} \frac{E_{s}}{E_{0}}\left(\frac{R}{D}\right)^{2} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using this equation, the extra-atmospheric stellar magnitude, $m_{s p}$, for the specular component of a satellite can be determined. The stellar magnitudes for the diffuse spherical satellite are given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{E_{d}}{E_{0}}=\operatorname{antilog}\left(-0.4 \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{d}}\right)=\frac{2}{3} \frac{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{s}}}{\mathrm{E}_{0}}\left(\frac{\mathrm{R}}{\mathrm{D}}\right)^{2} \mathrm{f}(\psi) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f(\psi)=\frac{1}{\pi}[\sin \psi+(\pi-\psi) \cos \psi]$.

Determination of specular component of light reflected from a sphere's surface. - The specular spherical satellite has a convex mirror surface that provides a small image reflection of the sun, equal in brightness regardless of the viewing angle. Conversely, a diffuse
sphere in sunlight exhibits phases like the moon or Venus. The integrated light from the diffuse sphere is a function of the phase angle $\psi$, which is the angle formed at the satellite between lines to the sun and the observer. As indicated in figure 1, the phase angle is zero when the phase is full. The illuminance of the diffuse sphere increases as the phase angle decreases to the limit at the eclipse.

To determine the specularity of a satellite, photometric measurements are made over a wide range of phase angles. For moderate orbit inclinations these phase angles can be measured during single passes, when the satellite orbit has reached approximately the coplanar condition shown in figure 1. For a polar-orbiting satellite, the range in phase angle during a single pass is limited, requiring the combination of carefully calibrated data from various passes to achieve the desired span of phase range.

The essential background and theory for the specularity determination is shown in equations (15) and (16) (from R. Tousey, refs. 5 and 6). These equations make possible the prediction of the extra-atmospheric stellar magnitudes, m, of specular and diffuse spherical satellites. The Russell phase function, $f(\psi)$, (ref. 7 ) gives the dependence of illuminance upon phase angle for a perfectly diffuse sphere that obeys Lambert's cosine law of reflection. (This law states that the reflection from a small area is proportional to the product of the cosine of the angle of incidence and the cosine of the angle between the normal and the direction to the observer.) These equations provide for photometric discrimination between specular and diffuse spherical reflecting surfaces. How closely the photometric data conforms to one or the other must be determined.

Equation (17) (from ref. 8) is the regression equation that permits this determination, where $A_{s p}$ and $B_{d}$ are the weighting factors for the specular and diffuse components, respectively, of the reflected light. They are determined by a least-squares best fit to the normalized photometric data. Finally, equation (18) (also from ref. 8) provides the fractional specularity.

The regression equation for determining specularity is:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Antilog }(-0.4 \mathrm{~m})=1 / 4 \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{Sp}}+2 / 3 \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{f}(\psi)  \tag{17}\\
& \text { Specularity, } \mathrm{S}=\frac{\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{Sp}}}{\mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{Sp}}+\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{d}}} \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathrm{f}(\psi)=\frac{1}{\pi}[\sin \psi+(\pi-\psi) \cos \psi]$.
Before the analysis can proceed, the photometric data must be carefully calibrated and normalized. Observations of nonvariable stars of known illuminance are generally performed both before and after the satellite pass. The photometric data is then processed and the atmospheric extinction coefficients are determined. Simultaneously with the tracking of the satellite, the following information is time-correlated with the photometric data:
(1) Elevation (atmospheric thickness)
(2) Slant range
(3) Earthshine (effect of earth albedo)
(4) Phase angle

The calibrated photometric data is then processed for extra-atmospheric illuminance, normalized to zero earthshine and to a uniform slant range ( 2640 statute miles) by the inverse square law. A least-squares solution of the linear regression equation (17) yields best-fit values for the intercept $A_{s p}$ and the slope $B_{d}$, which are then employed in equation (18) to determine specularity.

Mean and local radii of curvature. - While the satellite's specularity is a microtexture characteristic, the mean and local radii of curvature of a nearly spherical satellite describe its size and macrotexture.

Having previously determined the diffuse-reflecting weighting coefficient, $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{d}}$, it is possible to remove from the normalized magnitudes the contribution of diffuse reflection in each, -2. $5 \log 2 / 3 \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{f}(\psi)$, to obtain purely specular magnitudes, $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{Sp}}$. If a reasonable or previously measured value for the reflectance, $\gamma$, is adopted, the radius of curvature can next be determined in any optical band from the relation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{c}}(\mathrm{ft})=\mathrm{s}^{-1 / 2} \text { antilog }\left[\frac{\mathrm{m}_{\odot}-\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{Sp}}}{5}-0.5 \log \gamma+\log \mathrm{D}+0.30103\right] \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{m}_{\odot}$ is the $\mathrm{U}, \mathrm{B}$, or V magnitude of the sun for the date; D is the slant range in feet; $R_{c}$ is the radius of curvature in feet; $m_{s p}$ is the extra-atmospheric stellar magnitude contributed solely by the specular components of sunlight reflected from the satellite; and $\gamma$ is reflectance. Since the observed illuminances depend on both $\gamma$ and $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{c}}$ in equation (19), one may be obtained only if the other is known.

From equation (19) the mean radius of curvature may be obtained from a large number of observations of the local radii of curvature. The range and variability of the local radius of curvature may be examined in light of the original design and available material for gross implications for a possible new mean radius of curvature.

Determination of reflectance if a radius of curvature is assumed. - By solving equation (19) for $\gamma$, one may obtain the reflectance for an assumed radius of curvature. The equation for this value is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma=\mathrm{s}^{-1} \text { antilog }\left[\frac{\mathrm{m}_{\odot}-\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{sp}}}{2.5}-2 \log \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{c}}+2 \log \mathrm{D}+0.60206\right] \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

As before, this refers to all three colors ( $\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{V}$ ) when the values of $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{sp}}$ are known.
Correction of solar distances: The sun's visible radiation is constant in output to within one percent, but owing to the eccentricity of the earth's orbit, the sun's illuminance upon an earth satellite varies. For example, the sun's visual and stellar magnitude varies from -26. 70 in early July to -26.78 in January. The corresponding distances are approximately $94.5 \times 10^{6}$ miles in July and $91.5 \times 10^{6}$ in January. These minor variations in magnitudes with the distance of the sun will be reflected in corresponding variations in the magnitudes for sunlit satellites, and for subsequent determinations of the satellite's effective radius of curvature, or $\mathrm{U}, \mathrm{B}$, or V reflectance, the instantaneous $\mathrm{U}, \mathrm{B}$, or V solar magnitude is required.

Reflectance: Previously measured absolute monochromatic reflectance values for a specimen of PAGEOS I surface material (see fig. 4) were processed to obtain nominal U-B-V band reflectances, as follows:


Figure 4. - Absolute reflectance values of PAGEOS I aluminized Mylar material (ground measurements).

| U band | 88.4 percent |
| :--- | :--- |
| B band | 89.6 percent |
| V band | 89.6 percent |

These reflectance values were subsequently adopted as $\gamma$ for the radius of curvature determinations (eq. 19).

Laboratory spectrophotometer values for the diffuse component of reflectance for PAGEOS I material in various wave length regions are as follows:

| $3600 \AA$ | 3.7 percent |
| :--- | :--- |
| $4200 \AA$ | 3.4 percent |
| $5500 \AA$ | 3.0 percent |

This shows that the expected value for specularity is in the 96-97 percent region for these bands.

## Statistical Evaluation

General. - In this program, an equation is used to provide an indirect, derived value of a system's parameter, which cannot be directly measured. Into this equation are substituted mumbers, usually obtained by direct or indirect observation. These numbers often possess a difficult property - they are unpredictable, random variables. Therefore, the derived value is also a random variable. To alleviate this random variability of single measurements, the average of several observations is used. The question then arises as to the precision of estimation by such averages in the equations. The derivations below provide the statistical basis of the criterion equations for satisfaction of the specified precisions. The basic question answered in each case is this: is the sample size of observations large enough so that the averages computed from the equations are within the required tolerance precisions of the actual parameters? Obviously, the results are only as good as the quality of the original measurements; if some systematic bias has been introduced by the equipment or by some constants in the equations, then the statistical methods herein cannot and do not discover nor eliminate this unknown factor. The statistical analysis is given in appendix B. A discussion of the method of assessment of each parameter follows.

Specularity. - In this report, the specular component of the reflected light is called specularity, and is expressed as a percentage of the total reflected light. Specularity, S , is estimated by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{S}=\frac{\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{sp}}}{\mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{sp}}+\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{d}}} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{s p}$ and $B_{d}$ are regression coefficients of a line fitted to illuminance and $f(\psi)$, using a sample size of N observed data points.

To judge if this estimate, S , is within two percent of the 'true value'* with 96 percent confidence, a measure of the random variability of $S$ is first needed. This measure is the standard deviation of $S, \sigma_{S}$, which can be derived by using Gaussian propagation of error (see ref. 9 ).

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sigma_{\mathrm{S}}=\left[\left(\frac{\partial \mathrm{S}}{\partial \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{sp}}}\right)^{2} \sigma_{\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{Sp}}}^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial \mathrm{S}}{\partial \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{d}}}\right)^{2} \sigma_{\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{d}}}^{2}\right]^{1 / 2} \\
& \sigma_{\mathrm{S}}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{sp}}^{2} \sigma_{\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{d}}}^{2}+\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{d}}^{2} \sigma_{\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{sp}}}^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}}{\left(\mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{Sp}}+\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{d}}\right)^{2}} \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

[^4]The coefficient of variation $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{v}}(\mathrm{S})$ of S will be needed in the rationale of the next step, so it is repeated from reference 9:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{v}}(\mathrm{~S})=\frac{\sigma_{\mathrm{S}}}{\mathrm{~S}}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{sp}}^{2} \sigma_{\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{d}}}^{2}+\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{d}}^{2} \sigma_{\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{Sp}}}^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}}{\mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{sp}}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{sp}}+\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{d}}\right)} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

The regression coefficients $A_{s p}$ and $B_{d}$ are normally distributed if the original variables entering the regression fit are also normally distributed. However, the ratio of normal variables such as $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{sp}} /\left(\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{sp}}+\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{d}}\right)$ is not, in general, normally distributed. Therefore, to devise a test to show whether the specularity estimate, $S$, meets the required precision, a method was used that does not depend on any assumption about the distribution. This method which is based upon the Tchebycheff inequality, is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{r}}[|\overline{\mathrm{x}}-\mu|<\rho \mu]>1-\frac{\sigma 2}{\mathrm{~N}(\rho \mu)^{2}} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where x is a sample estimator of the true parameter, $\mu ; \mathrm{N}$ is sample size; $\rho$ is percent error of $\mu$, which is specified (two percent for specularity and reflectance); $\sigma$ is the standard deviation of the distribution from which the sample of measurements was drawn; and $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{f}}[2$,$] signifies the probability of the statement within the brackets. But since$ ${ }_{\sigma}{ }^{2} / \mu 2$ is the coefficient of variation squared, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Pr}[|\overline{\mathrm{x}}-\mu|<\rho \mu]>1-\frac{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{v}}^{2}(\mathrm{x})}{\mathrm{N} \rho^{2}}=0.96 \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this inequality, in terms of specularity, x is the estimate $\mathrm{S}=\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{sp}} /\left(\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{sp}}+\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{d}}\right) ; \mu$ is the true value of $S, S_{t}$; and $C_{V}(S)$, which is computed from equation (23) and the right hand side of equation (25), must either exceed 0.96 (the desired confidence level), or if the righthand side is solved for $\rho$ it must not exceed 0.02 (from $\pm 2$ percent error). That is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{v}}(\mathrm{~S})}{\sqrt{\mathrm{N}(1-0.96)}} \leqq 0.02 \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

The meaning of the expression on the left of equation (26) is that the maximum percent error (with a confidence probability of 96 percent) is less than

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{V}}(\mathrm{~S})}{\sqrt{\mathrm{N}(1-0.96)}} \times 100 . \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is worthy of mention that the Tchebycheff inequality is a very stringent criterion for judging the satisfaction of the required precisions. That is, a system must be much better in this case than if some distribution, such as the normal, were used.

Reflectance. - The reflectance, $\gamma$, is estimated by the following equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
10^{-.4 \mathrm{~m}}=\mathrm{K} \gamma \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{C}}^{2} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m$ is stellar inagnitude, $K$ is some constant, and $R_{c}$ is radius of curvature. Taking logarithms, solving the equation for $\log \gamma$, and using Gaussian propagation of error results in a coefficient of variation expression for reflectance, $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{v}}(\gamma)$, as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{v}}(\gamma)^{2}=0.16 \sigma_{\mathrm{m}}^{2}+4 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{v}}\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{c}}\right)^{2} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma_{\mathrm{m}}$ is the standard deviation of stellar magnitude, $m$, for many repeated observations.
Now, since the estimating equation for $\gamma$ is not a ratio and $m$ and $R_{c}$ are assumed to be normally distributed, we may justifiably use normal distribution properties. Therefore, a 2.06 standard deviation is commensurate with a 96 percent confidence level. Then, to meet a $\pm 2$ percent error requirement, it follows that computed values of $C_{v}(\gamma)$ from equation (29) for samples of size N must satisfy:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2.06 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{v}}(\gamma)}{\sqrt{\mathrm{N}}} \leq 0.02 \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Radius of curvature. - The machine computations of the radius of curvature, $\mathrm{R}_{\mathbf{c}}$, provide the average, $\overline{\mathrm{R}}_{\mathrm{c}}$, for N sample size and also the standard deviation, $\sigma_{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{c}}}$. The coefficient of variation, $\mathrm{C}_{\mathbf{v}}\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{c}}\right)$, used in equation (28) for reflectance is simply: $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{*}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{v}}\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{c}}\right)=\frac{{ }^{\sigma_{\mathrm{R}}} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{c}}}{\overline{\mathrm{R}}_{\mathrm{c}}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, since the required precision is in absolute values, $\pm 0.7$ feet, then the following inequality must be satisfied at the $\mathbf{9 6}$ percent confidence level:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2.06 \sigma_{R_{c}}}{\sqrt{\mathrm{~N}}}<0.7 \text { feet. } \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

If this inequality is satisfied and no systematic non-random biases occur in the data, then it may be concluded that $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{c}}$ is within 0.7 feet of the true radius of curvature.

Stellar magnitude. - The computer program provides values of $m$, the average for $N$ measurements, and $\sigma_{\mathrm{m}}$. Then the following must be satisfied:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2.06 \sigma_{\mathrm{m}}}{\sqrt{\mathrm{~N}}}<0.2 . \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Summary. - Equations (26), (30), (32), and (33) interpret the desired accuracies in statistical terms.

## DESCRIPTION OF EQUIPMENT

The NASA Satellite Photometric Observatory used in this study consists of an instrument room and observation deck mounted on a truck bed and component parts for data reduction and transmission.

## Truck

The truck chassis (see fig. 5) provides the observatory the mobility to go anywhere accessible by standard automobile The truck is equipped with a support system that maximizes its operational stability. This support system consists of six jacks and pads that enable the entire observatory to be raised off its road suspension and, with the use of the mounted leveling devices, provide a method for precise leveling. Sway braces that interlock the supporting pads increase the observatory's stability. The truck is equipped with a power generator, mounted on the outside of the front section of the van.

## Instrumentation Room

The front section of the van contains a general-purpose $28-\mathrm{Vdc}$ power supply, a heater, an air conditioner, a hydraulic power unit for positioning of the observation deck, and the control system and instrumentation system equipment for operation of the observatory. The equipment is shown in figures 6 and 7.

Control system equipment includes a control assembly, which provides the control functions for the polar and declination axes of the telescope: power and operational amplifiers; and the power supplies required to drive the telescope control motors.

Instrumentation equipment includes a photometer, a recording oscillograph, a receiver for reception of standard time signals, a tape recorder for voice recording of each mission, a monitor assembly, and a signal-operated tone generator. The photometer provides both high-voltage power to the photomultiplier and selectable gain amplification of the photomultiplier output. The oscillograph permits analog recording of up to 18 data channels, with frequency response up to 3000 cps . Five channels have active galvanometers, and two others are used for reference lines. Each observation requires at least the recording of satellite intensity, time, filter number, gain number, and a reference line. A fifth galvanometer is used to record polarization or cross-track positions. The monitor assembly permits operator or automatic control of the sequencing of the filters, shutters, and the polarization analyzer located in the telescope instrumentation head. The signal-modulated tone generator bridges the output of the photometer to drive a speaker located on the outside of the instrumentation room wall. The audio frequency of the tone generator changes when the light intensity is large enough to be recorded by the photometer. Thus, all members of the observationcrew can monitor the photometer output. In addition to indicating that the telescope is properly pointed, the tone modulation permits observers to judge whether the proper gain scale is being used and whether filter sequencing is progressing correctly.


Figure 5. - NASA Mobile Photometric Observatory.

## Observation Deck

The aft 12 -foot section of the truck's van, as shown in figure 8, encloses the telescope complex.

Four hydraulic cylinders, two for each wall, are used to deploy the side walls of this section outward and downward to a horizontal position, forming an observation deck 25 feet wide by 16 feet long. The equipment on the observation deck includes the telescope complex (fig. 9), an associated control box, a folding table, a six-foot ladder, and an 11 -foot ladder. The complex consists of a main telescope and three auxiliary telescopes mounted on a fouraxis pedestal. The main telescope is a 24 -inch Cassegrain, which can be converted to a 24 inch Newtonian telescope.


Figure 6. - Interior street-side wall of forward compartment.


Figure 7. - Interior curb-side wall of forward compartment.


Figure 8. - Mobile Photometric Observatory in deployed configuration.

For photometry work, an instrument head is mounted on the main telescope in the Cassegrain mode. The instrument head incorporates a photomultiplier tube, a Fabry lens, selectable field stops ranging from 30 seconds of arc to 4 minutes of arc, sky background shutters, a depolarizer, a controllable color filter wheel with six filters, and a $360^{\circ}$ rotational polarizer. The auxiliary telescopes are a 3 -inch refractor, an 8 -inch Newtonian, and an 8 -inch Cassegrain.


Figure 9. - Telescope complex.

## Data Reduction and Transmission Equipment

The equipment used for field data reduction and transmission involved adaptation and installation of available standard equipment. The equipment consisted of a card punching machine, a transceiver unit coupled with a telephone signal unit, and a dataphone. This equipment permitted digital data transmission between the remote observation site and the computation center where corresponding equipment was maintained.

## Site Selection

This program required that photometric measurements be made at a suitable observation site, somewhere in the southwestern part of continental United States, which would maximize the number of possible measurements of satellite size and surface characteristics throughout the 60 -day period of field operations. Theoretical atmospheric extinction as a function of altitude above mean sea level was investigated for each of the three color bands ( $\mathrm{U}, \mathrm{B}$, and V), as shown in figure 10, which is based on data in references 10 and 11.

After consideration of the foregoing factors, the optimum observing site was determined to be on Palomar Mountain, California (latitude N, 33 degrees, 18 minutes, 37 seconds; longitude W, 116 degrees, 50 minutes, 55 seconds; elevation 5640 feet above mean sea level). Time reception was obtained by use of a three-element, dipole array, designed for 10megacycle signal reception from WWVH, Hawaii.


Figure 10. - Theoretical extinction versus altitude above mean sea level.

Preliminary Orbit Geometry Analysis

Preliminary orbital parameters for the PAGEOS I satellite, together with a projected right ascension of the ascending node of $331.5^{\circ}$ were used to generate preliminary 60 -day look-angle predictions for the chosen Palomar Mountain site. These computer predictions were compared with an earlier manual orbital analysis, which served to confirm the accuracy of both. It also determined the apparent orbital behavior of PAGEOS I as would be observed from Palomar, with respect to the earliest acquisition of the satellite, the adequate number of available passes, and the pass geometry (culmination times, elevations, angular velocities, and phase angles).

## OPERATIONAL DESCRIPTION

Operation of the Mobile Observatory at a remote, temporary site includes functions that are peculiar to a remote operation and functions that are normal to satellite observations. The following sections describe, as sequentially as possible, the setup, preparation, observation procedures, data reduction, and data analysis required for a successful observation of the PAGEOS I satellite.

## Orbital Analysis and Satellite Predictions

The actual lift-off time of the PAGEOS I satellite was received at Palomar from an official NASA observer present at the Western Test Range launch complex. This information, together with first-actual orbital parameters obtained from NASA-Goddard, permitted manual up-dating of the preliminary ephemeris in time to photometrically acquire the satellite as it first rose above Palomar's southern horizon on its fourth revolution.

For the first few days the PAGEOS I acquisitions were necessarily dependent upon manual corrections to the prelaunch ephemeris. Thereafter, as new orbital elements were received from the Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory (SAO) in Cambridge, Massachusetts, highly accurate four-axis predictions for each pass were generated and transmitted to the field.

## Observation Procedures

The procedure involved in tracking a satellite can be divided into four parts:
(1) Preparing the truck for observation
(2) Selecting and identifying the stars used for calibration
(3) Preparing for and observing the satellite
(4) Setting up for a positional point determination (required to correct the ephemeris for phase-angle determinations)

Preparing the truck for observation involved deploying the sides, positioning the telescope in azimuth and latitude, and turning on the instrumentation.

The stars used in correcting for atmospheric extinction were selected from the ArizonaTonantzintla catalogue (ref. 3) according to the requirements proposed in Hardie's article, "Photoelectric Reductions" (ref. 1). These requirements were fulfilled in three groups for the determination of primary and secondary extinction coefficients and scale factors. The primary extinction coefficients were determined from five to seven stars which were selected from the satellite's predicted path with a B-V value equal to or less than $\pm 0.4$ of the sun's value. These stars were measured before and after each satellite pass (unless two satellite passes occurred one after the other). The second-order extinction coefficients were determined from several pairs of stars. The pairs were selected with a wide range in color and within three degrees of each other. The scale factors were determined from stars located near the zenith having a wide range in color. For stars in these last two groups, second order coefficients and scale factors were measured once a week. After the stars were selected, they were identified on the Skalnate-Pleso charts and listed according to right ascension. To aid in operational accuracy, a copy of this list was prepared for the instrumentation room with each title of the star calibration followed by the bright star catalogue number.

The procedure for observing the satellite required identifying the acquisition field, verifying that the four-axis predictions agreed with the right ascension and declination coordinates, and making a "dry-run" pass of the predicted orbit. The purpose of the dry-run pass was to guide personnel in setting up chairs, ladders, and tables for observer convenience in guiding on the satellite. In addition, it also provided a rapid identification of the sky background that would be photographed for positional determination.

After the dry-run was completed, the telescope was returned to the acquisition field a few minutes before acquisition time. Two observers watched for the satellite, one using the 3 -inch refractor telescope and the other using a pair of $7 \times 50$ binoculars. After acquiring the satellite in the 3 -inch refractor, the telescope's tracking rate was adjusted to correspond with the satellite's rate. An operator completed the observation of the satellite pass, observing the "on target" condition through the 8-inch Newtonian telescope and correcting the telescope position with the stick servo control.

The best procedure for making a positional point determination proved to be as follows. The camera was loaded and mounted, the tape recorder was started, and a stopwatch and a set of binoculars were ready for use. The first picture was a title sheet containing the following statements: (1) begin: (2) the name and acquisition time of the satellite; and (3) the universal date. The camera was then mounted with a cable release and a strobe light, which could be switched off and on, attached to its "electric eye." During an observation, pictures were taken of the satellite. The camera shutter was opened with the cable release and closed with a flash from the strobe light. The times of opening and closing the shutter were recorded on the tape, along with a description of the sky background. At the conclusion of an observation an edit picture was taken, recording the frame, a number indicating the sky background, and the time of opening and closing the shutter. A final edit picture was then taken showing the following: (1) end; (2) the name and time of acquisition of the satellite; and (3) the universal date. In addition, during the observation the $7 \times 50$ binoculars and stopwatch were also used to make a visual positional point determination when the satellite made a near-coincidence with a star.

## Data Conversion

The recorded data for each UBV measurement was converted to a digital code and transmitted from the Palomar Mountain observation site to the computational center in Akron, Ohio. Appendix C shows samples of the control and data point information cards, and explains in detail the methods used to record the data. The data was preceded with a header card, which contained the date and title of the observation. The data consisted of filter number, time, intensity, and gain number. The coding for the data was as follows:
(1) The filters were numbered 1, 2, and 3 for the respective VUB sequence.
(2) The time was recorded in universal time to the nearest tenth of a second.
(3) The intensity was recorded in inches by using the level of the sky background as a baseline for each measurement. This eliminated measuring the sky background and subtracting it out later.
(4) The seventeen gain settings were numbered sequentially.

Key Punching and Closed Loop Check

The card punch machine was programmed for automatic duplication to reproduce any desired numbers, such as the hour, on the following card. The automatic skip was used to release the card at the end of the punching of the data code. This eliminated some of the typing and automatically fed the next card in at the conclusion of each data code. The typed data cards were then checked against the oscillograph sheets before transmission. This formed a closed loop check, since the cards were typed from worksheets that were made from the oscillograph sheets.

## Computational Center Data Reduction

As soon as the data cards were received from the field, they were examined for possible errors by making complete listings of the data from all the cards. The lists were checked to determine that there were three points ( $\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{V}$ ) for each of the calibration stars and complete U-B-V-U color sets for the observation of the PAGEOS I satellite.

After values of the various extinction coefficients had been obtained, computer program E-1213, 'Satellite Photometer Program: U-B-V Stellar Magnitudes," was run, (see appendix D) using orbital elements corrected to the positional data taken during the pass. This program printed out universal time, altitude, phase angle, U-B-V normalized magnitudes, U-B-V extra-atmospheric magnitudes, etc. The sheets were examined for possible 'bad" points (altitudes less than $20^{\circ}$ ) and these were removed. Computer program E-1214, 'Specularity and Diffusivity Determinations: Radius of Curvature and Reflectance, " was then run. This program printed out the following information:
(1) Mean normalized magnitudes
(2) Number of points
(3) Sigma of magnitudes
(4) Best-fit specular magnitude
(5) Specularity
(6) The individual points all with normalized magnitude, phase angle, best-fit diffuse magnitude, specular magnitude, and radius of curvature ( $R_{c}$ ).
(7) Minimum and maximum radius of curvature.
(8) Mean radius of curvature.
(9) True mean specular magnitude.
(10) Average radius of curvature and sigma of $R_{c}$.
(11) Parametric solution of indicated reflectance, using an assumed radius of curvature.
(12) Figure of merit for specularity.

The various calculations of these parameters were handled in several ways:
(1) 3-color (UBV) determinations.
(2) Combined runs were made, i. e., all points were combined for a certain number of runs (approximately 10 runs were combined for a combination run).
(3) Single-color determinations were performed on various days.

## Data Analysis

Data analysis began with the computer runs of the extinction coefficients (computer programs E-1960, E-1970, and E-1980) and the satellite observation ( $\mathrm{E}-1213$ and $\mathrm{E}-1214$ ). It is obviously very important to obtain good values of the extinction coefficients before the computer runs of the satellite are begun; thus careful scrutiny of these coefficients was performed. As mentioned in the discussion of theory, there are ways to determine whether or not the values that have been calculated are valid.

Using orbital elements corrected to the pass by real-time tracking data, the computer program E-1213 was then performed and examined for altitude, phase angle, magnitudes, etc. The phase angles and magnitudes were examined to determine whether a particular observation would greatly bias the overall picture because of too small a range of phase angles or if magnitude bias existed because of too many or too few peaks in the reduced data.

When the examination of the $\mathrm{E}-1213$ program was complete, the $\mathrm{E}-1214$ program was performed to obtain mean normalized magnitudes, specularity, radius of curvature, etc. These values were tabulated, graphed, and examined to determine trends and various other characteristics of the day-to-day runs. Since the observations were performed in single color as well as U-B-V colors for the individual runs, these observations were analyzed for such parameters as periodicity and intensity variation. These phenomena are discussed under Results.

The next step in the data analysis was to perform combined runs of the U-B-V observations for the pre-shadow and post-shadow time periods. Five separate computer runs were performed for the various time periods.

The accuracy of results is always a most important factor in any experimental work. A so-called "goodness-of-fit" program was performed on a number of standard stars to gain
an insight as to the accuracy of the equipment. A thorough statistical analysis was also performed.

## RESULTS

## Calibration

Second-order extinction coefficients. - The observations for the second-order calibration constarits were performed on the following days: June 23 and 30; July 1, 6, 8, and 18; and August 5, 12, and 18, 1966. As noted in Table II, different second-order coefficients were used in the time periods 24 June to 6 July, 9 to $31 \mathrm{July}, 5$ to 16 August, and 17 to 22 August. The values used during the time period of 24 June to 6 July were average values from the first four sets of observations, i.e., 23 June, 30 June, 1 July, and 6 July. The observations of 18 July and 12 August were not considered to be of sufficient accuracy to use for the further calculations of calibration constants.

Scale factors. - Scale factor observations were performed on the following days: June 23 and 30: July 9 and 15: and August 6 and 13. Again, as was the case in obtaining satisfactory numbers for the second-order extinction coefficients early in the program, the scale factors provided numbers that were not considered to be of sufficient accuracy to use in the determination of primary extinction coefficients and satellite stellar magnitudes. For this reason the nominal values (see ref. 1) of $\epsilon=0, \mu=1$, and $\psi=1$ were used until the 9 July 1966 observations. Starting with the observations on 9 July, excellent values of scale factors were obtained for the remainder of the time period in the field.

Primary extinction coefficients and zero-point terms. - Calibration procedures for primary extinction coefficients and zero-point terms were performed before and after each observation of the PAGEOS I satellite. This procedure is, beyond doubt, the most demanding of the three calibration programs, because it is performed each day; the values of the coefficients are highly significant in the calculation of the stellar magnitudes for the satellite; and the values of the primary extinction coefficients may vary by as much as a factor of two from pass-to-pass, as compared to the second-order coefficients and scale factors, which are comparatively constant.

Obtaining proper values for the coefficients is often a time-consuming job, requiring several reruns before a final set of constants is accepted. The selection of the final values come about through the use of several aids:
(1) The "transparency" and "seeing" was reported on a basis of a 1 to 5 rating for each observing day. ( 1 equals the poorest and 5 the clearest type of photometric observation conditions.)
(2) The best-fit straight line must have a standard error of residuals of less than 0.1 .
(3) The variance of the individual $k_{v}^{\prime}, k_{b-v}^{\prime}$, and $k^{\prime}{ }_{u-b}$ values must be within a factor of 2 from values of $k_{v}^{\prime}=0.15, \mathrm{k}^{\prime} \mathrm{b}-\mathrm{v}=0.15$, and $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{u}-\mathrm{b}}^{\prime}=0.30$, respectively. As can be noted from an examination of the values, there is some variance from this general rule, but the overall agreement is good.

TABLE II. - CALIBRATION CONSTANTS FOR PAGEOS I

| Date <br> (a) | 2nd Order extinction coefficients |  | Transformation scale factor |  |  | Primary extinction coefficients |  |  | Zero-point terms |  |  | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{b}}^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{v}$ | $k_{u-b}^{\prime \prime}$ | $\epsilon$ | $\mu$ | $\psi$ | $\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{v}}^{\prime}$ | $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{v}}$ | $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{u}-\mathrm{b}}^{\prime}$ | $\zeta_{\mathrm{v}}$ | $\zeta_{b-v}$ | $\zeta \mathrm{L}-\mathrm{b}$ |  |
| 23 Jun 66 | -0.0376 | -0.0071 | 0.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |  |
| 24 Jun 66 | -0.0376 | -0.0071 | 0.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.220 | 0.072 | 0.503 | -7.585 | 1.026 | -0.192 |  |
| 25 Jun 66 | -0.0376 | -0.0071 | 0.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.239 | 0.107 | 0.309 | -7.603 | 1.059 | -0.523 |  |
| 26 Jun 66 | -0.0376 | -0.0071 | 0.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.311 | 0.026 | 0.269 | -7.443 | 1.084 | -0.575 |  |
| 27 Jun 66 | -0.0376 | -0.0071 | 0.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.113 | 0.254 | 0.334 | -7.782 | 1.275 | -0.407 |  |
| 28 Jun 66 | -0.0376 | -0.0071 | 0.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.338 | 0.216 | 0.224 | -7.407 | 1.223 | -0.725 |  |
| 30 Jun 66 | -0.0376 | -0.0071 | 0.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.183 | 0.150 | 0.416 | -7.399 | 1.138 | -0.400 |  |
| 1 Jul 66 | -0.0376 | -0.0071 | 0.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.136 | 0.108 | 0.271 | -7.432 | 1.087 | -0.568 |  |
| 5 Jul 66 | -0.0376 | -0.0071 | 0.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.116 | 0.100 | 0.280 | -6.852 | 1.074 | -0.626 |  |
| 6 Jul 66 | -0.0376 | -0.0071 | 0.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.119 | 0.102 | 0.321 | -7.117 | 1.060 | -0.531 |  |
| 9 Jul 66 | -0.0042 | -0.0008 | 0.045 | 1.055 | 1.062 | 0.328 | 0.206 | 0.372 | -6.816 | 1.154 | -0.549 |  |
| 10 Jul 66 | -0.0042 | -0.0008 | 0.045 | 1.055 | 1.062 | 0.154 | 0.275 | 0.308 | -6. 525 | 1.256 | -0.653 |  |
| 12 Jul 66 | -0.0042 | -0.0008 | 0.045 | 1.055 | 1.062 | 0.083 | 0.074 | 0.387 | -6.850 | 1.054 | -0.390 |  |
| 13 Jul 66 | -0.0042 | -0.0008 | 0.045 | 1.055 | 1.062 | 0.142 | -- | -- | -6.561 | -- | -- | Continuous V observation |
| 14 Jul 66 | -0.0042 | -0.0008 | 0.045 | 1.055 | 1.062 | -- | $k_{0}^{\prime}{ }_{0}^{\prime}=$ | -- | -- | $\begin{aligned} & \zeta_{\mathrm{b}}= \\ & -5.746 \end{aligned}$ | -- | Continuous B observation |
| 15 Jul 66 | -0.0042 | -0.0008 | 0.045 | 1.055 | 1.062 | -- | -- | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{u}}{ }^{\prime}= \\ & 0.485 \end{aligned}$ | -- | -- | $\begin{aligned} & \zeta u= \\ & -6.291 \end{aligned}$ | Continuous U observation |
| 17 Jul 66 | -0.0042 | -0.0008 | 0.049 | 1.021 | 1.020 | 0.167 | 0.083 | 0.273 | -6.913 | 1.059 | -0.496 |  |
| 18 Jul 66 | -0.0042 | -0.0008 | 0.049 | . .021 | 1.020 | 0.178 | 0.043 | 0.303 | -6.919 | 0.959 | -0.474 |  |
| 19 Jul 66 | -0.0042 | -0.0008 | 0.049 | 1.021 | 1.020 | 0.173 | 0.040 | 0.265 | -6.819 | 0.974 | -0.460 |  |
| 20 Jul 66 | -0.0042 | -0.0008 | 0.049 | 1.021 | 1.020 | 0.132 | 0.114 | 0.321 | -6.930 | 1.073 | -0.424 |  |
| 21 Jul 66 | -0.0042 | -0.0008 | 0.049 | 1.021 | 1.020 | 0.158 | 0.142 | 0.277 | -6.892 | 1.091 | -0.459 |  |
| 22 Jul 66 | -0.0042 | -0.0008 | 0.049 | 1.021 | 1.020 | 0.221 | 0.118 | 0.307 | -6.892 | 1.076 | -0.508 |  |
| 24 Jul 66 | -0.0042 | -0.0008 | 0.049 | 1.021 | 1.020 | 0.149 | 0.100 | 0.330 | -6.937 | 1.017 | -0.406 | First pass |
| 24 Jul 66 | -0.0042 | -0.0008 | 0.049 | 1.021 | 1.020 | 0.175 | 0.124 | 0.223 | -6.966 | 1.077 | -0.553 | Second pass |
| 25 Jul 66 | -0.0042 | -0.0008 | 0.049 | 1.021 | 1.020 | 0.109 | 0.220 | 0.192 | -6. 576 | 1.197 | -0.636 |  |
| 26 Jul 66 | -0.0042 | -0.0008 | 0.049 | 1.021 | 1.020 | 0.293 | 0.088 | 0.302 | -6.142 | 0.983 | -0.471 |  |
| 27 Jul 66 | -0.0042 | -0.0008 | 0.049 | 1.021 | 1. 020 | 0.363 | 0.053 | 0.283 | -7.246 | 0.991 | -0.475 |  |
| 31 Jul 66 | -0.0042 | -0.0008 | 0.049 | 1.021 | 1.020 | 0.144 | 0.123 | 0.308 | -6.625 | 1.116 | -0.508 |  |
| 5 Aug 66 | -0.0469 | -0.0143 | 0.054 | 0.960 | 1.043 | 0.215 | 0.143 | 0.333 | -6.674 | 1.089 | -0.464 | First pass |
| 5 Aug 66 | -0.0469 | -0.0143 | 0.054 | 0.960 | 1.043 | 0.215 | 0.096 | 0.259 | -6.688 | 1.023 | -0.608 | Second pass |
| 6 Aug 66 | -0.0469 | -0.0143 | 0.054 | 0.960 | 1.043 | 0.235 | 0.136 | 0.286 | -6.744 | 1.053 | -0.592 |  |
| 7 Aug 66 | -0.0469 | -0.0143 | 0.054 | 0.960 | 1.043 | 0.240 | 0.166 | 0.386 | -6.650 | 1.111 | -0.442 | First pass |
| 7 Aug 66 | -0.0469 | -0.0143 | 0.054 | 0.960 | 1.043 | 0.217 | 0.087 | 0.336 | -6.664 | 1.009 | -0.491 | Second pass |
| 8 Aug 66 | -0.0469 | -0.0143 | 0.054 | 0.960 | 1.043 | 0.112 | 0.057 | 0.339 | -6.594 | 0.970 | -0.462 | First pass |
| 8 Aug 66 | -0.0469 | -0.0143 | 0.054 | 0.960 | 1.043 | 0.204 | 0.129 | 0.342 | -6.519 | 1.067 | -0.490 | Second pass |
| 9 Aug 66 | -0.0469 | -0.0143 | 0.054 | 0.960 | 1.043 | 0.084 | 0.169 | 0.489 | -6.768 | 1.079 | -0.292 | First pass |
| 9 Aug 66 | -0.0469 | -0.0143 | 0.054 | 0.960 | 1.043 | 0.199 | 0.067 | 0.394 | -6. 617 | 1.014 | -0.391 | Second pass |
| 10 Aug 66 | -0.0469 | -0.0143 | 0.054 | 0.960 | 1.043 | 0.185 | 0.146 | 0.368 | -6.860 | 1.097 | -0.437 |  |
| 11 Aug 66 | -0.0469 | -0.0143 | 0.054 | 0.960 | 1.043 | 0.213 | 0.107 | 0.417 | -6.662 | 1.039 | -0.362 |  |
| 12 Aug 66 | -0.0469 | -0.0143 | 0.054 | 0.960 | 1.043 | 0.094 | 0.131 | 0.278 | -6.680 | 1.129 | -0.551 |  |
| 13 Aug 66 | -0.0469 | -0.0143 | 0.054 | 0.960 | 1.043 | 0.167 | 0.179 | 0.270 | -6.653 | 1.154 | -0.548 |  |
| 15 Aug 66 | -0.0469 | -0.0143 | 0.054 | 0.960 | 1.043 | 0.181 | 0.137 | 0.514 | -6.425 | 1.085 | -0.311 |  |
| 16 Aug 66 | -0.0469 | -0.0143 | 0.054 | 0.960 | 1.043 | 0.239 | 0.175 | 0.434 | -6.857 | 1.038 | -0.458 | First pass |
| 16 Aug 66 | -0.0469 | -0.0143 | 0.054 | 0.960 | 1.043 | 0.337 | 0.074 | 0.394 | -6.630 | 0.986 | -0.474 | Second pass |
| 17 Aug 66 | -0.0276 | -0.0405 | 0.046 | 0.982 | 1.002 | 0.256 | 0.255 | 0.343 | -6.829 | 1.187 | -0.537 | First pass |
| 17 Aug 66 | -0.0276 | -0.0405 | 0.046 | 0.982 | 1.002 | 0.219 | 0.167 | 0.339 | -6.771 | 1.135 | -0.550 | Second pass |
| 18 Aug 66 | -0.0276 | -0.0405 | 0.046 | 0.982 | 1.002 | 0.113 | 0.197 | 0.331 | -6.877 | 1.129 | -0.586 | First pass |
| 18 Aug 66 | -0.0276 | -0.0405 | 0.046 | 0.982 | 1.002 | 0.310 | 0.164 | 0.485 | -6.799 | 1.038 | -0.353 | Second pass |
| 20 Aug 66 | -0.0276 | -0.0405 | 0.046 | 0.982 | 1.002 | 0.140 | 0.144 | 0.385 | -7.019 | 1. 124 | -0.392 | First pass |
| 20 Aug 66 | -0.0276 | -0.0405 | 0.046 | 0.982 | 1.002 | 0.140 | 0.144 | 0.385 | -7.019 | 1.124 | -0.392 | Second pass |
| 21 Aug 66 | -0.0276 | -0.0405 | 0.046 | 0.982 | 1.002 | 0.200 | 0.085 | 0.362 | -6.698 | 1.037 | -0.447 | First pass |
| 21 Aug 66 | -0.0276 | -0.0405 | 0.046 | 0.982 | 1.002 | 0.200 | 0.085 | 0.362 | -6.698 | 1.037 | -0.447 | Second pass |
| 22 Aug 66 | -0.0276 | -0.0405 | 0.046 | 0.982 | 1.002 | 0.065 | 0.106 | 0.216 | -6.811 | 1.075 | -0.596 |  |

[^5]
## Statistical Error Analysis

As stated in the discussion of statistical evaluation in the theory section of this report, equations (26), (30), (32), and (33) must be satisfied to meet accuracy and confidence level requirements. The values on the left in these equations are reported in tables III and IV.

TABLE III. - PRE-SHADOW ERROR ANALYSIS (24 JUNE TO 12 JULY)

| Band | Radius of <br> curvature, <br> $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{c}}, \%$ | Specularity, <br> $\mathrm{S}, \%$ | Reflectance, <br> $\gamma, \%$ | Stellar <br> magnitude, <br> m |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| V | 1.30 | 0.55 | 2.81 | .027 |
| U | .86 | .26 | 1.88 | .019 |
| B | 1.26 | .52 | 2.76 | .027 |

TABLE IV. - POST-SHADOW ERROR ANALYSIS

| Period | Band | Radius of curvature, $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{c}}$, \% | $\begin{gathered} \text { Specularity, } \\ \text { S, } \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Reflectance, } \\ \gamma, \% \end{gathered}$ | Stellar magnitude, m |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & 17 \text { July } \\ & \text { to } \\ & 22 \text { Aug } \\ & \text { (summary) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{V} \\ & \mathrm{U} \\ & \mathrm{~B} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.83 \\ .62 \\ .92 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.16 \\ .08 \\ .17 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.80 \\ & 1.34 \\ & 1.99 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.02 \\ .01 \\ .02 \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 17 \text { July } \\ & \text { to } \\ & 27 \text { July } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{V} \\ & \mathrm{U} \\ & \mathrm{~B} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.85 \\ & 1.43 \\ & 2.31 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.59 \\ .29 \\ .64 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.00 \\ & 3.04 \\ & 4.89 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.04 \\ .03 \\ .04 \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 5 \mathrm{Aug} \\ & \text { to } \\ & 14 \mathrm{Aug} \end{aligned}$ | v | $\begin{array}{r} 1.19 \\ .85 \\ 1.14 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.35 \\ .18 \\ .35 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.57 \\ & 1.83 \\ & 2.50 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.03 \\ .02 \\ .03 \end{array}$ |
| 15 Aug to 22 Aug | $\mathrm{v}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.07 \\ .84 \\ 1.17 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.37 \\ .21 \\ .41 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.33 \\ & 1.83 \\ & 2.57 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.02 \\ .02 \\ .03 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |

## Satellite Characteristics

Table I, which appears in the summary, presents the computer analysis of accumulated 1966 PAGEOS I UBV photometric data, based on various time periods: 24 June through 12 July 1966, (before the first earth-shadow contact, which occurred on 14 July), 17 through 27 July, 5 through 14 August, and 15 through 22 August 1966. The last three columns present the cumulative analysis of all the post-shadow UBV data (17 July through 22 August, 1966). For each period, a figure of merit for the specularity determinations is shown, computed as:


This expression, which increases with the number of data points and the range of phase angles observed and decreases with increased target scintillation, has been used as a weighting factor in combining computer determinations of specularity, as shown in table V. It follows from this specularity determination that the combined environment factors at the orbital height of PAGEOS I caused no measurable degradation (erosion) in the first two months following deploy ment.

TABLE V. - WEIGHTED AVERAGE PAGEOS I SPECULARITY DETERMINATIONS

|  | Optical band | N | Weighted average <br> specularity |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pre-shadow | $\mathrm{U}+\mathrm{B}+\mathrm{V}$ | 1071 | 100.8 |
| Post-shadow | $\mathrm{U}+\mathrm{B}+\mathrm{V}$ | 4063 | 97.0 |
| Total mission | U | 2565 | 98.9 |
| Total mission | B | 1285 | 97.2 |
| Total mission | V | 1284 | 98.8 |
| Total mission | $\mathrm{U}+\mathrm{B}+\mathrm{V}$ | 5134 | 98.4 |

With consideration given to prelaunch laboratory data on absolute reflectance versus wave length for "fresh and flat" PAGEOS I surface material, the following U, B, and V band reflectances were adopted for use in the local effective radius of curvature determinations:

| Optical band | Adopted reflectance |
| :---: | :---: |
| U | 0.884 |
| B | 0.896 |
| V | 0.896 |

The mean effective radii of curvature thus determined in each color and for each period are shown in table I. With N as a weighting factor, the total-mission weighted average
effective radius of curvature was determined for the visual band (most dependable) reflectance to be 50.066 feet, indicating for the PAGEOS I satellite a mean diameter of 100.1 feet, to an accuracy within the required $\pm 1.4$ feet at a 96 percent confidence level. Indicated mean diameters from the blue and ultraviolet prelaunch reflectances are 98.9 and 95.1 feet, respectively. These are believed to differ from that obtained in the visual band because the actual U and B reflectances are somewhat lower than assumed. The B and U reflectances which reconcile the total mission photometric data to the $V$-band indicated diameter of 100 feet are 0.861 and 0.787 , respectively. These indicated lower reflectances in the $B$ and $U$ bands are supported by the mean color indices $(B-V=+0.66 ; \mathrm{U}-\mathrm{V}=+0.82$ ) obtained for the sunlight reflected from PAGEOS I, which are in yellow excess over the true solar indices by two and 12 percent of the intensity, respectively.

## Observation of the PAGEOS I Satellite

During the time period from 24 June to 22 August 1966, photometric observations were performed on 52 passes of the PAGEOS I satellite. A general survey of the reduced data is shown in tables VI, VII, and VIII and figures 11, 12, and 13. The tables also state why observations were not performed on certain days; these reasons include cloudy days and days when repairs and various other maintenance functions were being performed on the telescope and photometer facility. The distribution of PAGEOS I pass and observations according to data obtained is as follows:
(1) $45 \mathrm{U}-\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{V}$ pass observations.
(2) Continuous V-band passes on 13 and 31 July 1966; first pass on 5 August, 11 August, and 18 August 1966.
(3) Continuous B-band on 14 July 1966.
(4) Continuous U-band pass on 15 July.

Continuous single-color observations were performed to show any periodicity in the maximum brightness of the satellite. This phenomena will be further discussed later in this section. In addition to the individual daily observation data, several combined runs were performed. Because the first shadow entry of the PAGEOS I satellite occurred on 14 July, this date or period is selected as the break-point for the combined runs. The pre-shadow combined computer run included observations from 24 June to 12 July 1966-12 passes in all. Values for this combined run are included in tables I, VI, VII, and VIII. During the first shadow entry period, continuous one-color observations were performed in $U, B$, and $V$ colors. Beginning with the observation on 17 July, the first post-shadow U-B-V observations were performed.

After all data subsequent to 17 July 1966 had been received from the field, checked for accuracy, and reduced for individual passes, four separate combined runs were performed. Results are included in tables I, VI, VII, and VIII. These combined runs do not include continuous U-B-V band data. The four periodic combined runs included the following observation periods:
(1) 17 to 27 July - 11 passes
(2) 5 to 14 August - 11 passes
(3) 15 to 22 August - 11 passes
(4) 17 July to 22 August - 33 passes

TABLE VI. - PAGEOS I OBSERVATION DATA - ULTRAVIOLET (U) BAND

| $\underset{\substack{\text { Date } \\(1966)}}{ }$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { Mean } \\ \text { normalized } \\ \text { magnitude } \end{array}$ | $\underset{\text { magnitude }}{\text { Sigma }}$ | Maximum magnitude | Minimum magnitude | Best-fitspecular magnitude | True mean specularmagnitude magnitud | Regresslon |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { specularity. } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Maximum } \\ \text { radius of } \\ \text { curvature. ft } \end{gathered}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Mininimum } \\ \text { radius of } \\ \text { curvature. it } \end{gathered}\right.$ | Average <br> radius of <br> curvature. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | A | в |  |  |  |  |
| 24 Jun | 3.04 | 0.25 | 3.68 | 2.65 | 3.01 | 3.69 | 0.144 | 0.121 | 54.37 | 58.90 | 13.16 | 47.31 |
| 25 Jun | 2. 84 | . 11 | 3.10 | 2.60 | 2.84 | 3.02 | . 250 | . 046 | 84.33 | 55.86 | 44.98 | 50.69 |
| 26 Jun | 3.10 | . 16 | 3. 56 | 2.71 | 3.09 | 3.14 | . 225 | . 006 | 97.31 | 53.82 | 36.28 | 44.80 |
| 27 Jun | 2.88 | . 23 | 3.31 | 2.15 | 2.85 | 3.32 | . 195 | . 078 | 71.52 | 75.34 | 37.45 | 48.24 |
| 28 Jun | 3.11 | . 19 | 3.43 | 2.40 | 3.09 | 3.15 | . 223 | . 007 | 96.99 | 61.99 | 37.91 | 44. 59 |
| 29 Jun | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 30 Jun | 2. 82 | . 10 | 2.94 | 2.66 | 2.81 | 2.67 | . 342 | -. 136 | 166.13 | 45.22 | 39.53 | 42. 33 |
| 1 Jul | 2.99 | 21 | 3.39 | 2.47 | 2.97 | -- | . 283 | - . 046 | 119.61 | 55.34 | 38.00 | 45.23 |
| 2 Jul | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 3 Jul | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 4 Jul | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 5 Jul | 2.94 | . 15 | 3.26 | 2.47 | 2.93 | 2. 90 | . 280 | - . 016 | 105.90 | 58.66 | 42.46 | 47.92 |
| 6 Jul | 3.07 | . 20 | 3. 44 | 2. 58 | 3.06 | 2.89 | . 281 | - . 043 | 118.17 | 53.32 | 39.27 | 45.42 |
| 7 Jul | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 8 Jul | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 9 Jul | 3.03 | . 19 | 3. 35 | 2.64 | 3.01 | 3.08 | . 237 | . 009 | 96.23 | 55.82 | 39.01 | 46.18 |
| 10 Jul | 2.94 | . 23 | 3. 69 | 2. 19 | 2.91 | 2.79 | . 312 | - . 029 | 110.32 | 65.30 | 38.78 | 49.42 |
| 11 Jul | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 12 Jul | 3.02 | . 22 | 3.55 | 2.58 | 3.00 | 2.96 | . 267 | -. 022 | 108.85 | 55.14 | 36.50 | 46.02 |
| 13 Jul | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 14 Jul | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| $15 \mathrm{Jul}{ }^{1}$ | 2.94 | . 34 | 3.64 | 2.07 | 2.88 | 3. 26 | . 214 | . 070 | 75.38 | 74.83 | 29.93 | 48.82 |
| $15 \mathrm{Jul}{ }^{1 \prime}$ | 3.09 | . 25 | 3.64 | 2.49 | 3.07 | 3.41 | . 180 | . 060 | 74.82 | 61.01 | 32.07 | 45.36 |
| 16 Jul | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 17 Jul | 3.05 | . 42 | 3. 64 | 1.27 | 2.94 | 3.45 | . 202 | . 052 | 79.40 | 112.26 | 25.06 | 44.73 |
| 18 Jul | 2.98 | . 31 | 3.60 | 2. 30 | 2.93 | 2.97 | . 271 | -. 002 | 100.74 | 64.86 | 35.57 | 47.91 |
| 19 Jul | 3.03 | . 37 | 3. 79 | 1.69 | 2.95 | 3.22 | . 225 | . 026 | 89.63 | 87.83 | 26.52 | 45.47 |
| 20 Jul | 3.11 | . 36 | 3.67 | 1.40 | 3.03 | 3.60 | . 170 | . 052 | 76.57 | 105.67 | 20.63 | 42.04 |
| 21 Jul | 3.02 | . 31 | 3.89 | 2.17 | 2.97 | 3.18 | . 225 | . 019 | 92.19 | 68.39 | 25.74 | 45.32 |
| 22 Jul | 3.02 | . 33 | 3.76 | 2.40 | 2.97 | 3.07 | . 249 | . 006 | 97.68 | 61.18 | 32.83 | 46.41 |
| 23 Jul | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| $24 \mathrm{Jul}^{1}$ | 3.00 | . 28 | 3.59 | 2.11 | 2.96 | 3.32 | . 198 | . 060 | 76.67 | 73.57 | 28.28 | 46.63 |
| $24 \mathrm{Jul}^{2}$ | 2.99 | . 45 | 5.08 | 1.92 | 2.91 | 3.23 | . 223 | . 030 | 88.11 | 77.65 | 13.11 | 46.06 |
| $25 . \mathrm{Tul}$ | 2. 97 | 22 | 3.66 | 2.40 | 2.95 | 3.04 | . 250 | . 015 | 94.26 | 61.84 | 34.72 | 47.57 |
| 26 Jul | 3.01 | . 27 | 3.63 | 2.15 | 2.98 | 3.27 | . 206 | . 031 | 87.07 | 68.77 | 28.88 | 44.65 |
| 27 Jul | 2.97 | . 24 | 3.58 | 2. 36 | 2.94 | 3.46 | . 173 | . 049 | 77.81 | 63.24 | 29.75 | 43.40 |
| 28 Jul | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 29 Jul | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |

Note: The superscript 1 and 2 following the date (first column) indicate first pass and second pass.

TABLE VI, - Continued.

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Date } \\ (1966) \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { Madan } \\ \text { radius of } \\ \text { curvature, } \mathrm{ft}}}{ }$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Slgma } \\ \text { radlus of } \\ \text { curvature, } \mathrm{ft} \end{gathered}$ | Reflectance | $\begin{gathered} \text { Figure } \\ \text { or } \\ \text { merit } \end{gathered}$ | Phase angle range, deg |  | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text { No. of } \\ \text { points } \end{array}\right.$ | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | From | To |  |  |
| 24 Jun | 46.22 | 8.12 | . 755 | 3.77 | 75.28 | 118.11 | 34 |  |
| 25 Jun | 50.61 | 2.88 | . 906 | 6.06 | 70.32 | 103.18 | 16 |  |
| 26 Jun | 44.66 | 3.47 | . 705 | 9.59 | 66.75 | 111.75 | 49 |  |
| 27 Jun | 47.80 | 6.83 | . 808 | 6.16 | 61.97 | 108.86 | 37 |  |
| 28 Jun | 44.41 | 4.25 | . 697 | 11.14 | 57.54 | 108.80 | 50 |  |
| 29 Jun | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | No observation; clouds |
| 30 Jun | 42.30 | 1.56 | . 633 | 3.12 | 110.05 | 127.88 | 18 | Small change in phase angle range |
| 1 Jul | 45.05 | 4.03 | . 718 | 7.07 | 88.59 | 130.12 | 56 |  |
| 2 Jul | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | No observation; telescope under repair |
| 3 Jul | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | No observation; telescope under repair |
| 4 Jul | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | No observation; telescope under repair |
| 5 Jul | 47.82 | 3.11 | . 809 | 15.97 | 71.87 | 125.37 | 62 |  |
| 6 Jul | 45.30 | 3. 28 | . 726 | 16.71 | 61.25 | 123.70 | 52 |  |
| 7 Jul | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | No observation; clouds |
| 8 Jul | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | No observation; low pass only |
| 9 Jul | 45.98 | 4.31 | . 748 | 10.63 | 48.46 | 98.94 | 44 |  |
| 10 Jul | 49.22 | 4.52 | . 857 | 21.16 | 44.08 | 119.68 | 70 |  |
| 11 Jul | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | No observation; clouds |
| 12 Jul | 45.81 | 4.36 | . 742 | 7.22 | 78.14 | 122.60 | 46 |  |
| 13 Jul | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | Continuous V band observation only |
| 14 JuI | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | Continuous B band observation only |
| $15 \mathrm{Jul}^{1 \times}$ | 47.87 | 9.63 | . 811 | 10.75 | 59.67 | 123.30 | 174 | Continuous U band observation only; peaks in |
| $15 \mathrm{Jul}^{\mathrm{l}^{\prime \prime}}$ | 44.95 | 5.96 | . 714 | 13.24 | 59.67 | 123.30 | 117 | Continuous U band observation only; peaks removed |
| 16 Jul | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | No observation; telescope under repair |
| 17 Jul | 42.85 | 14.84 | . 649 | 4.37 | 51.57 | 115.78 | 60 |  |
| 18 Jul | 47.42 | 6.92 | . 795 | 8.62 | 47.80 | 107. 72 | 46 |  |
| 19 Jul | 44. 51 | 10.10 | . 701 | 7.31 | 43.76 | 107. 21 | 64 |  |
| 20 Jul | 40.51 | 12.41 | . 580 | 4.94 | 39.24 | 101.45 | 54 |  |
| 21 Jul | 44.70 | 7.50 | . 706 | 9.49 | 34.80 | 94.08 | 68 |  |
| 22 Jul | 45.84 | 7.19 | . 743 | 15.56 | 30.16 | 118.24 | 58 |  |
| 23 Jul | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | No observation; clouds |
| $24 \mathrm{Jul}^{1}$ | 45.99 | 7.80 | . 748 | 6.29 | 59.72 | 109.58 | 58 |  |
| $24 \mathrm{Jul}^{2}$ | 44.84 | 9.96 | . 711 | 10.63 | 20.96 | 105.50 | 74 |  |
| 25 Jul | 47.29 | 5.09 | . 791 | 14.06 | 59.36 | 118.82 | 84 |  |
| 26 Jul | 44.09 | 7.07 | . 687 | 11.51 | 22.18 | 92.46 | 80 |  |
| 27 Jul | 42.91 | 6.45 | . 651 | 11.01 | 10.42 | 87.13 | 62 |  |
| 28 Jul | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | No observation; clouds |
| 29 Jul | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | - | -- | No observation; clouds |

TABLE VI. - Continued.

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Date } \\ (1966) \end{gathered}$ | Mean normalized mapnitude | $\underset{\text { Sirma }}{\text { marnitude }}$ | Meximum magnitude | Minimum magnitude | Best-fit specular magnitude | True mean specular magnitude | Regression |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sperularity. } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Mavinum radius of rurvalure. f | Minimum radius of curv:ilure. fl | $\begin{gathered} \text { Averape } \\ \text { radius ut } \\ \text { cursalure. ft } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | A | B |  |  |  |  |
| 30 Jul | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 31 Jul | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 1 Aug | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 2 Aug | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 3 Aug | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 4 Aug | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 5 Aug ${ }^{1}$ | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 5 Aug ${ }^{2}$ | 2.85 | 0.22 | 3. 50 | 2.02 | 2.83 | 2.82 | 0.305 | -0.006 | 101.94 | 73.22 | 38. 28 | 50.55 |
| 6 Aug | 2.86 | . 14 | 3.35 | 2.53 | 2. 85 | 2.92 | . 273 | . 009 | 96.87 | 57.38 | 38.10 | 49.27 |
| $7 \mathrm{Aug}^{7}$ | 2.92 | . 24 | 3. 53 | 2.43 | 2.89 | 2.82 | . 305 | -. 019 | 106.65 | 59.39 | 38.65 | 49.44 |
| 7 Aug $^{2}$ | 2.93 | . 19 | 3. 45 | 2. 52 | 2.92 | 3.02 | . 252 | . 012 | 95.36 | 57.25 | 36.35 | 47.61 |
| 8 Aug ${ }^{7}$ | 3.02 | . 19 | 3.48 | 2.53 | 3.00 | 3.09 | . 236 | . 011 | 95.40 | 58.60 | 36.72 | 46.06 |
| 8 Aug $^{2}$ | 2.91 | . 23 | 3.41 | 2.34 | 2.88 | 2.89 | . 286 | - . 003 | 101.01 | 63.08 | 38.84 | 49.18 |
| 9 Aug ${ }^{1}$ | 2.88 | . 19 | 3.42 | 2.41 | 2.86 | 2.90 | . 282 | . 003 | 99.06 | 61, 31 | 38.04 | 49.41 |
| 9 Aug $^{2}$ | 2.94 | . 19 | 3.41 | 2. 51 | 2.92 | 2.94 | . 271 | . 000 | 99.97 | 58.48 | 38.67 | 48. 25 |
| 10 Aug | 2.93 | . 30 | 3. 54 | 2. 31 | 2.89 | 3.03 | . 256 | . 016 | 94.12 | 64.39 | 33.51 | 47.93 |
| 11 Aug | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 12 Aug | 2.81 | . 18 | 3.15 | 2.43 | 2.80 | 2.57 | . 378 | -. 056 | 117.40 | 60.35 | 46.80 | 52. 59 |
| 13 Aug | 2.90 | . 30 | 3. 55 | 2.36 | 2.86 | 3.08 | . 246 | . 042 | 85.44 | 63.66 | 34.09 | 49.27 |
| 14 Aug | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 15 Aug | 2.88 | . 21 | 3.47 | 2.30 | 2. 86 | 2.84 | . 297 | -. . 007 | 102. 53 | 64.13 | 38.10 | 49.80 |
| 16 Aug ${ }^{1}$ | 2.94 | . 29 | 4.23 | 2.38 | 2.90 | 2.56 | . 383 | -. 080 | 126. 51 | 61.38 | 34.69 | 51.00 |
| $16 \mathrm{Aug}^{2}$ | 2.97 | . 26 | 3. 53 | 2.28 | 2.94 | 2.91 | . 280 | -. 012 | 104.61 | 63.84 | 37.29 | 47.78 |
| 17 Aug ${ }^{7}$ | 3.01 | . 20 | 3.67 | 2. 66 | 2.99 | 3.14 | . 226 | . 023 | 90.76 | 54.46 | 34.18 | 46.13 |
| 17 Aug ${ }^{2}$ | 2.94 | . 23 | 3.51 | 2.43 | 2.91 | 2.97 | . 266 | . 005 | 98.01 | 60.72 | 36.90 | 48.14 |
| $18 \mathrm{Aug}^{7}$ | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 18 Aug 2 | 2.96 | . 24 | 3.62 | 2.43 | 2.93 | 2.82 | . 303 | -. . 024 | 108.46 | 59.40 | 37.28 | 48.88 |
| 19 Aug | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 20 Aug ${ }^{1}$ | 2.93 | . 21 | 3. 45 | 2.47 | 2.91 | 2.96 | . 267 | . 004 | 98.38 | 59.50 | 37.40 | 48.17 |
| 20 Aug ${ }^{2}$ | 2.91 | .19 | 3. 37 | 2.42 | 2.89 | 2.92 | .277 | . 001 | 99.49 | 61.07 | 39.26 | 48.83 |
| 21 Aug ${ }^{1}$ | 2.91 | . 21 | 3.45 | 2. 34 | 2.89 | 3.02 | . 253 | . 017 | 93.80 | 64.09 | 35.85 | 48.02 |
| 21 Aug ${ }^{2}$ | 2.92 | . 17 | 3.22 | 2.63 | 2.91 | 2.88 | . 286 | -. 006 | 102. 24 | 55.79 | 42.81 | 49.01 |
| 22 Aug | 2.94 | . 25 | 3.43 | 2.43 | 2. 91 | 3.13 | . 233 | . 029 | 89.07 | 60.91 | 34.87 | 46.88 |
| $\left.\begin{array}{l}24 \text { Jun- } \\ 12 \text { Jul }\end{array}\right\}$ | 3.00 | . 21 | 3.69 | 2.15 | 2.98 | 2.96 | . 266 | -. 009 | 103.40 | 68.91 | 34.00 | 47.15 |
| $\left.\begin{array}{l}17 \mathrm{Jul}- \\ 37 \\ \text { Jul }\end{array}\right\}$ | 3.01 | . 33 | 5.08 | 1. 27 | 2.96 | 3.17 | . 231 | . 022 | 91.28 | 106.67 | 2.23 | 45.92 |
| 5 Aug- 14 Aug | 2.91 | . 23 | 3.55 | 2.02 | 2.88 | 2.93 | . 274 | . 005 | 98.38 | 73.56 | 35.83 | 48.78 |
| $\left.\begin{array}{l}15 \\ 22 \\ \text { Aug } \\ \text { Aug }\end{array}\right\}$ | 2. 94 | . 23 | 4.23 | 2. 28 | 2.91 | 2.92 | . 278 | -. 003 | 101.05 | 64.70 | 26.92 | 48.48 |
| $\left.\begin{array}{l}17 \text { Jul- } \\ 22 \text { Aug }\end{array}\right\}$ | 2.95 | . 28 | 5.08 | 1.27 | 2. 92 | 3.02 | . 257 | . 010 | 96.18 | 104.83 | 13.44 | 47.64 |
| $\left.\begin{array}{r}5 \text { Aug- } \\ 22 \text { Aug }\end{array}\right\}$ | 2.92 | . 23 | 4.23 | 2.02 | 2. 90 | 2.93 | . 275 | . 002 | 99.32 | 73.46 | 26.24 | 48.61 |

TABLE VI. - Concluded.

| $\underset{\text { Date }}{\text { (1966) }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { radus } \\ \text { curvature, } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sisma } \\ \text { radius of } \\ \text { curvature. } \end{gathered}$ | Reflectance | $\begin{gathered} \text { Figure } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { merit } \end{gathered}$ | Phase angle range. def |  | No. of | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | From | To |  |  |
| 30 Jul | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | No observation; clouds |
| 31 Jul | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | Continuous V band observation only |
| 1 Aug | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | No observation; telescope under repair |
| 2 Aug | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | No observation; telescope under repair |
| 3 Aug | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | No observation; telescope under repair |
| 4 Aug | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | No observation; telescope under repair |
| 5 Aug ${ }^{1}$ | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | Continuous V band observation only |
| 5 Aug ${ }^{2}$ | 50.31 | 5.03 | 0.895 | 20.18 | 20.48 | 94.92 | 98 |  |
| 6 Aug | 49.16 | 3.30 | . 854 | 25.28 | 15.38 | 91.98 | 62 |  |
| 7 Aug ${ }^{1}$ | 49.22 | 4.64 | . 857 | 14.16 | 40.86 | 109.91 | 40 |  |
| 7 Aug ${ }^{2}$ | 47.40 | 4.32 | . 794 | 15.89 | 13.51 | 94.77 | 40 |  |
| 8 Aug ${ }^{1}$ | 45.86 | 4.22 | . 744 | 18.21 | 40.87 | 107.41 | 74 |  |
| 8 Aug ${ }^{2}$ | 48.91 | 5.19 | . 846 | 16.31 | 17.31 | 88.70 | 78 |  |
| 9 Aug ${ }^{1}$ | 49.21 | 4.44 | . 856 | 19.54 | 34.91 | 102.83 | 78 |  |
| 9 Aug ${ }^{2}$ | 48.06 | 4.19 | . 817 | 16.27 | 19.95 | 82.81 | 66 |  |
| 10 Aug | 47. 39 | 7.20 | . 794 | 9.58 | 31.48 | 99.47 | 50 |  |
| 11 Aug | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | Continuous V band observation only |
| 12 Aug | 52.49 | 3.36 | . 974 | 8.17 | 55.50 | 88.11 | 30 |  |
| 13 Aug | 48.64 | 7.80 | . 836 | 8.54 | 52.71 | 114.98 | 68 |  |
| 14 Aug | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | No observation; clouds |
| 15 Aug | 49.60 | 4.57 | . 870 | 22.94 | 26.36 | 109.09 | 82 |  |
| 16 Aug' | 50.84 | 3.96 | . 914 | 16.90 | 44.66 | 100.88 | 64 |  |
| 16 Aug ${ }^{2}$ | 47.48 | 5.41 | . 797 | 10.92 | 50.08 | 112.54 | 58 |  |
| 17 Aug ${ }^{1}$ | 45.90 | 4.57 | . 745 | 13.12 | 44.87 | 107.47 | 56 |  |
| 17 Aug ${ }^{2}$ | 47.85 | 5.31 | . 810 | 18.91 | 24.65 | 108.85 | 78 |  |
| 18 Aug ${ }^{1}$ | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | Continuous V band observation only |
| 18 Aug ${ }^{2}$ | 48.67 | 4.53 | . 838 | 14.39 | 38.71 | 98.88 | 64 |  |
| 19 Aug | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | No observation; clouds |
| 20 Aug ${ }^{1}$ | 47.93 | 4.84 | . 812 | 10.64 | 41.44 | 93.28 | 48 |  |
| 20 Aug ${ }^{2}$ | 48.64 | 4.37 | . 837 | 12.22 | 28.94 | 81.52 | 51 |  |
| 21 Aug ${ }^{1}$ | 47.77 | 4.99 | . 807 | 10.17 | 36.82 | 89.50 | 48 |  |
| 21 Aug ${ }^{2}$ | 48.88 | 3.62 | . 845 | 13.04 | 32.16 | 78.78 | 48 |  |
| 22 Aug | 46.46 | 6.27 | . 763 | 6.26 | 45.86 | 89.62 | 42 |  |
| ${ }_{12}^{24}$ Jul ${ }^{\text {Jul }}$ \} | 46.94 | 4.55 | . 779 | 43.48 | 44.08 | 130.12 | 534 | Combined run; pre-shadow |
| ${ }_{27}^{17}$ Jul- $\}$ | 45.11 | 8.47 | . 720 | 33.96 | 10.42 | 118.82 | 708 | Combined run; post-shadow |
| ${ }_{14}^{5} \mathrm{Aug}$ Aug ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 48.51 | 5.20 | . 832 | 52.78 | 13.51 | 114.98 | 684 | Combined run; post-shadow |
|  | 48.22 | 4.98 | . 822 | 47.86 | 24.65 | 112.54 | 639 | Combined run; post-shadow |
| $17 \mathrm{Jul-}$ 22 | 47.24 | 6.47 | . 789 | 71.92 | 13.51 | 118.82 | 2031 | Combined run(s); total post-shadow |
| $\begin{array}{r} 5 \text { Aug- } \\ 22 \text { Aug }\} \end{array}$ | 48.34 | 5.11 | . 826 | 71.35 | 13.51 | 114.98 | 1323 | Combined run(s); post-shadow |

TABLE VII. - PAGEOS I OBSERVATION DATA - BLUE (B) BAND

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Date } \\ (1966) \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { Mean } \\ \text { normalized } \\ \text { maqnitude }}}{ }$ | $\underset{\text { magnitude }}{\text { Sigma }}$ | Maximum magnitudo | Minimum magnitude | Best-fit specular magnitud | True mean specular magnitude | Regression |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Specularity. }^{\%} \end{aligned}$ | Maximum <br> radius of <br> urvature. | $\underset{\substack{\text { Minimum m } \\ \text { radius of } \\ \text { curvature, ft }}}{ }$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Averaye } \\ & \text { radius of } \\ & \text { curvature. ft } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | A | ${ }^{\text {B }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 24 Jun | 2.82 | 0.11 | 3.06 | 2.61 | 2.82 | 2.99 | 0.257 | 0.047 | 84.58 | 54.94 | 41.93 | 49.68 |
| 25 Jun | 2.68 | . 09 | 2.85 | 2.53 | 2.67 | 2.97 | . 260 | . 085 | 75.29 | 55.60 | 49.23 | 52.99 |
| 26 Jun | 2.97 | . 22 | 3.60 | 2.49 | 2.95 | 2.99 | . 261 | . 003 | 98.83 | 57.48 | 34.07 | 46.08 |
| 27 Jun | 2.71 | . 24 | 3.24 | 2.22 | 2.69 | 3.16 | . 229 | . 088 | 72.14 | 65.82 | 28.31 | 50.17 |
| 28 Jun | 2.93 | . 22 | 3.24 | 2.42 | 2.91 | 3.15 | . 226 | . 043 | 83.94 | 59.01 | 38.29 | 46.42 |
| 29 Jun | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 30 Jun | 2.83 | . 10 | 3.02 | 2.69 | 2.83 | 2.97 | . 260 | . 120 | 68.32 | 59.41 | 51.37 | 55.53 |
| 1 Jul | 2. 81 | . 30 | 3. 84 | 2.28 | 2. 77 | 2.90 | 289 | . 048 | 85.65 | 65.44 | 28.94 | 51.85 |
| 2 Jul | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 3 Jul | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 4 Jul | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 5 Jul | 2.83 | . 15 | 3.28 | 2.55 | 2.82 | 2.98 | . 261 | . 050 | 83.94 | 56.79 | 41.85 | 50.14 |
| 6 Jul | 2.78 | . 20 | 3.21 | 2. 37 | 2.76 | -- | . 357 | -. 045 | 114.58 | 60.70 | 42.12 | 50.20 |
| 7 Jul | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 8 Jul | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 9 Jul | 2.86 | . 18 | 3.15 | 2.45 | 2.84 | 2.84 | . 297 | -. 004 | 101.26 | 58.39 | 42.62 | 48.71 |
| 10 Jul | 2.83 | . 15 | 3.14 | 2. 56 | 2.82 | 2.79 | . 309 | -. 008 | 102.62 | 55.08 | 42.86 | 49.42 |
| 11 Jul | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 12 Jul | 2.89 | . 27 | 3.27 | 2. 29 | 2.85 | 2.78 | 318 | -. 042 | 115.27 | 60.83 | 40.78 | 47.07 |
| 13 Jul | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| $14 \mathrm{Jul}^{1 \prime}$ | 2.87 | . 32 | 3.51 | 2.08 | 2.82 | 3.10 | . 245 | . 066 | 78.83 | 72.56 | 32.07 | 49.54 |
| 14 Jul ${ }^{1 \prime}$ | 3.03 | . 20 | 3.51 | 2.58 | 3.01 | 3.20 | . 214 | . 045 | 82.57 | 55.27 | 35.61 | 45.73 |
| 15 Jul | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 16 Jul | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 17 Jul | 2.81 | . 45 | 3.37 | 1.18 | 2.69 | 3.21 | . 255 | . 065 | 79.74 | 112.38 | 26.03 | 48.31 |
| 18 Jul | 2.85 | . 30 | 3.52 | 2.08 | 2.80 | 3.20 | . 226 | . 061 | 78.69 | 70.70 | 30.97 | 47.47 |
| 19 Jul | 2.81 | . 38 | 3.41 | 1.68 | 2.73 | 3.03 | . 270 | . 035 | 88.40 | 84.70 | 32.26 | 48.46 |
| 20 Jul | 3.00 | . 28 | 3.52 | 2.04 | 2.96 | 3.24 | . 214 | . 034 | 86.37 | 70.76 | 33.14 | 44.06 |
| 21 Jul | 2.91 | . 26 | 3.60 | 2.42 | 2.88 | 3.12 | . 236 | . 026 | 90.13 | 57.69 | 33.50 | 45.52 |
| 22 Jul | 2.89 | . 40 | 3.59 | 2.15 | 2.82 | 2.99 | . 274 | . 016 | 94.52 | 68.27 | 33.41 | 47.48 |
| 23 Jul | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| $24 \mathrm{Jul}^{1}$ | 2.85 | . 32 | 3. 56 | 2.22 | 2.81 | 2.76 | . 327 | -. 024 | 107.78 | 62.99 | 35.51 | 48.99 |
| $24 \mathrm{Jul}^{2}$ | 2.76 | . 44 | 3.68 | 1.48 | 2.66 | 2.71 | . 358 | -. 008 | 102.19 | 90.03 | 33.55 | 51.98 |
| 25 Jul | 2.80 | . 38 | 4.49 | 2.00 | 2.74 | 3.14 | . 230 | . 088 | 72.26 | 73.94 | 19.99 | 50.58 |
| 26 Jul | 2.80 | . 32 | 3. 32 | 1. 88 | 2.74 | 3.05 | . 259 | . 035 | 87.96 | 75.55 | 33.95 | 47.86 |
| 27 Jul | 2.76 | . 40 | 3.66 | 1.66 | 2.68 | 4.08 | . 117 | . 118 | 49.63 | 96.11 | 11.24 | 42.67 |
| 28 Jul | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |

TABLE VII. - Continued.

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Date } \\ (1966) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Men } \\ \text { radus of } \\ \text { curvature, ft } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sigma } \\ \text { suradus of } \\ \text { curvature, ft } \end{gathered}$ | Reflectance | $\begin{gathered} \text { Figure } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { merlt } \end{gathered}$ | Phase angle range, deg |  | No. orpoints | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | From | To |  |  |
| 24 Jun | 49.61 | 2.68 | 0.882 | 8.33 | 75.59 | 117.83 | 17 |  |
| 25 Jun | 52.94 | 2.16 | 1.005 | 6.00 | 70.45 | 103.94 | 8 | Small number of points |
| 26 Jun | 45.83 | 4.75 | . 753 | 5.11 | 66.86 | 111.55 | 26 |  |
| 27 Jun | 49.48 | 7.86 | . 877 | 3.96 | 62.04 | 108. 76 | 19 |  |
| 28 Jun | 46.09 | 5.67 | . 761 | 6.12 | 58.10 | 108.65 | 25 |  |
| 29 Jun | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | No observation; clouds |
| 30 Jun | 55.47 | 2.63 | 1.102 | 1.62 | 111.60 | 126.90 | 9 | Small number of points and phase angle change |
| 1 Jul | 51.28 | 7.29 | . 942 | 3.16 | 88.82 | 130.03 | 28 |  |
| 2 Jul | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | No observation; telescope under repair |
| 3 Jul | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | No observation; telescope under repair |
| 4 Jul | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | No observation; telescope under repair |
| 5 Jul | 50.00 | 3.67 | . 896 | 9.97 | 72.52 | 125. 26 | 31 |  |
| 6 Jul | 50.06 | 3.84 | . 898 | 11.21 | 61.40 | 123.19 | 26 |  |
| 7 Jul | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | No observation; clouds |
| 8 Jul | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | No observation; low pass only |
| 9 Jul | 48.54 | 4.11 | . 845 | 8.30 | 48.69 | 98.71 | 22 |  |
| 10 Jul | 49.32 | 3.19 | . 872 | 21.21 | 44.08 | 119.35 | 35 |  |
| 11 Jul | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | No observation; clouds |
| 12 Jul | 46.72 | 5.52 | . 784 | 4.12 | 78.75 | 122.09 | 23 |  |
| 13 Jul | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | Continuous V band observation only |
| $14 \mathrm{Jul}^{1}$ | 48.80 | 8.73 | . 854 | 8.05 | 65.70 | 127.63 | 74 | Continuous B band observation only; peaks in |
| 14 Jul ${ }^{1 \prime}$ | 45.51 | 4.44 | . 742 | 11.91 | 65. 70 | 127.63 | 50 | Continuous B band observation only; peaks removed |
| 15 Jul | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | Continuous U band observation only |
| 16 Jul | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | No observation; telescope under repair |
| 17 Jul | 46.11 | 16.38 | . 762 | 3.03 | 51.61 | 115.62 | 30 |  |
| 18 Jul | 46.67 | 8.98 | . 781 | 4.66 | 47.91 | 107.61 | 23 |  |
| 19 Jul | 47.36 | 10.83 | . 804 | 5.14 | 43.78 | 106.70 | 32 |  |
| 20 Jul | 43.49 | 7.43 | . 678 | 6.19 | 39.25 | 102.64 | 27 |  |
| 21 Jul | 45.04 | 6.50 | . 727 | 7.82 | 34.82 | 93.81 | 34 |  |
| 22 Jul | 46.57 | 9.33 | . 777 | 8.67 | 30.17 | 118.07 | 29 |  |
| 23 Jul | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | No observation; clouds |
| $24 \mathrm{Jul}^{1}$ | 48.53 | 6.78 | . 844 | 5.38 | 60.02 | 109.39 | 29 |  |
| $24 \mathrm{Jul}^{2}$ | 50.94 | 11.06 | . 930 | 7.65 | 20.96 | 104.97 | 37 |  |
| 25 Jul | 49.65 | 8.91 | . 884 | 6.05 | 59.58 | 118.61 | 42 |  |
| 26 Jul | 47.05 | 9.23 | . 793 | 6.69 | 22.59 | 92.13 | 40 |  |
| 27 Jul | 38.93 | 18.39 | . 543 | 2.68 | 11.01 | 86. 79 | 31 |  |
| 28 Jul | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | No observation; clouds |

TABLE VII. - Continued.

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Date } \\ (1066) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { normalized } \\ \text { mazuiltude } \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\text { magnitude }}{\text { Sigma }}$ | Maximum magnitude | Minimum magnitude | $\begin{gathered} \text { Best-fit } \\ \text { specular } \\ \text { magnitude } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { True mean } \\ \text { specular } \\ \text { magnitude } \end{gathered}$ | Regression |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { specularty. } \\ \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Maximum radius of curvature. I | $\underset{\substack{\text { Minimum } \\ \text { ruvius of } \\ \text { rurvaure, }}}{\text { it }}$ | Averase radlus of curvature, ft |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | A | B |  |  |  |  |
| 29 Jul | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 30 Jul | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 31 Jul | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 1 Aug | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 2 Aug | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 3 Aug | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 4 Aug | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 5 Aug ${ }^{1}$ | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 5 Aug ${ }^{2}$ | 2.77 | 0.20 | 3.24 | 2.25 | 2.75 | 2.68 | 0.345 | -0.016 | 104.87 | 64.34 | 42.76 | 51.31 |
| 6 Aug | 2. 74 | . 19 | 3.08 | 2.34 | 2.73 | 2.76 | . 321 | . 002 | 99.31 | 61.16 | 43.21 | 50.82 |
| 7 Aug ${ }^{1}$ | 2.78 | . 17 | 3.07 | 2.46 | 2.77 | 2.81 | . 305 | . 006 | 98.09 | 57.99 | 43.23 | 49.93 |
| $7 \mathrm{Aug}^{2}$ | 2.76 | . 21 | 3.29 | 2.43 | 2.75 | 2.81 | . 305 | . 008 | 97.37 | 58.63 | 38.55 | 50.07 |
| 8 Aug ${ }^{1}$ | 2.83 | . 16 | 3.19 | 2. 35 | 2.82 | 2.87 | . 288 | . 007 | 97.53 | 60.97 | 40.79 | 48.67 |
| 8 Aug $^{2}$ | 2. 76 | . 27 | 3.22 | 2. 20 | 2.73 | 2.80 | . 314 | . 006 | 98.12 | 65.34 | 39.93 | 50.40 |
| 9 Aug ${ }^{1}$ | 2. 75 | . 20 | 3.21 | 2.31 | 2.74 | 2. 90 | . 282 | . 028 | 90.86 | 61.73 | 37.51 | 49.74 |
| 9 Aug ${ }^{2}$ | 2.82 | . 20 | 3.42 | 2.46 | 2.81 | 2.79 | . 312 | -. 006 | 101.89 | 58.16 | 37.94 | 49.52 |
| 10 Aug | 2.75 | . 26 | 3. 20 | 2.11 | 2.71 | 2.76 | . 324 | . 003 | 98.99 | 67.99 | 41.09 | 50.95 |
| 11 Aug | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 12 Aug | 2.67 | . 18 | 3.00 | 2.34 | 2.65 | 2.41 | . 438 | -. 071 | 119.21 | 60.92 | 48.63 | 54.34 |
| 13 Aug | 2. 74 | . 29 | 3.22 | 2. 22 | 2.70 | 2.80 | . 316 | . 018 | 94.56 | 64.80 | 40.27 | 51.37 |
| 14 Aug | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 15 Aug | 2.75 | . 20 | 3.27 | 2.26 | 2.73 | 2.80 | . 308 | . 012 | 96.19 | 63.66 | 39.12 | 50.56 |
| 16 Aug ${ }^{1}$ | 2.83 | . 20 | 3.43 | 2.44 | 2.82 | 2.68 | . 342 | -. 032 | 110.33 | 58.92 | 39.43 | 49.84 |
| 16 Aug ${ }^{2}$ | 2.81 | . 24 | 3.37 | 2.36 | 2. 79 | 2.67 | . 348 | -. 040 | 112.91 | 58.08 | 40.04 | 49.62 |
| 17 Aug ${ }^{1}$ | 2.76 | . 19 | 3.11 | 2.31 | 2.74 | 2. 74 | . 326 | -. 004 | 101.22 | 61.82 | 42.79 | 50.75 |
| 17 Aug ${ }^{2}$ | 2.76 | . 27 | 3.40 | 2.23 | 2.73 | 2.81 | . 310 | . 010 | 96.89 | 64.90 | 37.04 | 50.40 |
| 18 Aug ${ }^{1}$ | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 18 Aug ${ }^{2}$ | 2. 76 | . 27 | 3.34 | 2.28 | 2.73 | 2.69 | . 345 | -. 014 | 104.34 | 62.30 | 39.01 | 51.32 |
| 19 Aug | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 20 Aug ${ }^{1}$ | 2.81 | . 19 | 3.32 | 2. 55 | 2. 79 | 2.67 | . 344 | -. 027 | 108.54 | 55.74 | 41.12 | 50.44 |
| $20 \mathrm{Aug}^{2}$ | 2.75 | . 21 | 3.19 | 2.26 | 2.73 | 2.74 | . 326 | -. 000 | 100.15 | 63.46 | 41.46 | 50.96 |
| 21 Aug ${ }^{1}$ | 2. 77 | 23 | 3.49 | 2.46 | 2. 75 | 2.83 | . 302 | . 010 | 96.65 | 57.51 | 34.97 | 49.93 |
| 21 Aug $^{2}$ | 2. 75 | . 17 | 3.09 | 2.24 | 2. 73 | 2.55 | . 385 | -. 035 | 110.07 | 63.97 | 45.25 | 52.99 |
| 22 Aug | 2.79 | . 24 | 3.41 | 2. 30 | 2. 76 | 2.99 | . 263 | . 036 | 87.88 | 62.65 | 32.72 | 48.50 |
| ${ }_{12}^{24}$ Jun- ${ }^{\text {Jul }}$ \} | 2. 84 | . 22 | 3.84 | 2.22 | 2.82 | 2.85 | . 297 | . 002 | 99.33 | 65.03 | 30.78 | 49.05 |
| ${ }_{27}^{17}$ Jul- | 2.83 | . 37 | 4.49 | 1.18 | 2.77 | 3.03 | . 268 | . 031 | 89.66 | 108.04 | 18.75 | 48.04 |
| $\underset{14 \text { Aug }}{\substack{5 \\ \text { Aug- }}}$ | 2.77 | . 22 | 3.42 | 2.11 | 2. 74 | 2.77 | . 318 | . 001 | 99.82 | 67.95 | 37.00 | 50.45 |
| $\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { 15 Aug- } \\ 22 \text { Aug }\end{array}\right\}$ | 2.77 | . 23 | 3. 49 | 2.23 | 2.75 | 2.77 | . 318 | -. 000 | 100.12 | 64.23 | 36.03 | 50.34 |
| ${ }_{22}^{17}$ Aul- $\}$ | 2. 79 | . 28 | 4.49 | 1.18 | 2.75 | 2.86 | . 298 | . 013 | 95.85 | 105.79 | 21.26 | 49.54 |
| $\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { 5 Aug- } \\ 22 \text { Aug }\end{array}\right\}$ | 2.77 | . 22 | 3.49 | 2.11 | 2.75 | 2.77 | . 318 | . 000 | 99.91 | 67.94 | 35.96 | 50.39 |

TABLE VII. - Concluded.

| $\underset{\substack{\text { Date } \\(1966)}}{-\quad}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Meen } \\ \text { radlus of } \\ \text { curvature, } \mathrm{ft} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sigma } \\ \text { radius of } \\ \text { curvature, } f t \end{gathered}$ | Rellectance | $\begin{gathered} \text { Figure } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { merit } \end{gathered}$ | Phase angle range, deg |  | ${ }_{\text {No. or }}^{\substack{\text { No. } \\ \text { points }}}$ | Femarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | From | To |  |  |
| 29 Jul | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | No observation; clouds |
| 30 Jul | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | No observation; clouds |
| 31 Jul | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | Continuous V band observation only |
| 1 Aug | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | No observation; telescope under repair |
| 2 Aug | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | No observation; telescope under repair |
| 3 Aug | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | No observation; telescope under repair |
| 4 Aug | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | No observation; telescope under repair |
| 5 Aug ${ }^{1}$ | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | Continuous V band observation only |
| 5 Aug $^{2}$ | 51.14 | 4.16 | 0.937 | 17.51 | 20.98 | 94.64 | 49 |  |
| 6 Aug | 50.63 | 4.48 | . 919 | 13.59 | 16.30 | 91.73 | 31 |  |
| 7 Aug' | 49.77 | 3.98 | . 888 | 11.79 | 41.43 | 109.70 | 20 |  |
| 7 Aug ${ }^{2}$ | 49.84 | 4.74 | . 890 | 10.78 | 14.27 | 94.54 | 20 |  |
| 8 Aug ${ }^{1}$ | 48.52 | 3.80 | . 844 | 15.09 | 41.15 | 107. 10 | 37 |  |
| 8 Aug ${ }^{2}$ | 50.00 | 6.42 | . 896 | 9.56 | 17.92 | 88.51 | 39 |  |
| 9 Aug ${ }^{1}$ | 49.48 | 5.05 | . 877 | 12.24 | 35.21 | 102.63 | 39 |  |
| 9 Aug ${ }^{2}$ | 49.33 | 4.25 | . 872 | 11.67 | 20.49 | 82.57 | 33 |  |
| 10 Aug | 50.58 | 6.32 | . 917 | 8.21 | 31.74 | 99.21 | 25 |  |
| 11 Aug | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | Continuous V band observation only |
| 12 Aug | 54.23 | 3.52 | 1.054 | 5.66 | 56.37 | 87.84 | 15 |  |
| 13 Aug | 50.83 | 7.41 | . 926 | 6.61 | 53.47 | 114.57 | 34 |  |
| 14 Aug | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | No observation; clouds |
| 15 Aug | 50.33 | 4.84 | . 908 | 15.48 | 26.92 | 108.84 | 41 |  |
| 16 Aug ${ }^{1}$ | 49.69 | 3.76 | . 885 | 12.29 | 45.08 | 100.68 | 32 |  |
| 16 Aug ${ }^{2}$ | 49.40 | 4.71 | . 875 | 9.19 | 51.11 | 112.22 | 29 |  |
| 17 Aug ${ }^{1}$ | 50.56 | 4.44 | . 916 | 10.48 | 45.52 | 107.31 | 28 |  |
| 17 Aug ${ }^{2}$ | 50.01 | 6.21 | . 896 | 11.97 | 25.24 | 108.52 | 39 |  |
| 18 Aug' | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | Continuous V band observation only |
| $18 \mathrm{Aug}^{2}$ | 50.98 | 5.86 | . 931 | 8.25 | 39.34 | 96.55 | 32 |  |
| 19 Aug | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | No observation; clouds |
| 20 Aug ${ }^{1}$ | 50.31 | 3.58 | . 907 | 10.67 | 42.18 | 93.04 | 24 |  |
| 20 Aug ${ }^{2}$ | 50.73 | 4.94 | . 922 | 8.17 | 29.39 | 82.01 | 26 |  |
| 21 Aug ${ }^{1}$ | 49.63 | 5.25 | . 883 | 6.83 | 49.07 | 89.13 | 24 |  |
| 21 Aug ${ }^{2}$ | 52.88 | 3.47 | 1. 002 | 10.38 | 32.67 | 78.59 | 24 |  |
| 22 Aug | 48.08 | 6.29 | . 828 | 4.55 | 46.73 | 89.17 | 21 |  |
| ${ }_{12}^{24}$ Jun- $\}$ | 48.81 | 4.94 | . 854 | 29.50 | 44.08 | 130.03 | 269 | Combined run - pre-shadow |
| ${ }^{17}$ Jul- ${ }^{2}$ Jul $\}$ | 47.08 | 10.13 | . 794 | 21.04 | 11.01 | 118.61 | 354 | Combined run - post-shadow |
| ${ }_{14}^{5} \mathrm{Aug}-\mathrm{Aug}$ | 50.19 | 5.18 | . 903 | 38.76 | 14.27 | 114.57 | 342 | Combined run - post-shadow |
| ${ }_{22}^{15}$ Aug- $\}$ | 50.08 | 5.13 | . 899 | 34.02 | 25.24 | 112.22 | 320 | Combined run - post-shadow |
| ${ }_{22}^{17}$ Jul- $\}$ | 49.06 | 7.08 | . 863 | 48.35 | 11.01 | 118.61 | 1016 | Combined run(s) - total post-shadow |
| ${ }_{22}^{5}$ Aug ${ }^{17}$ - $\}$ | 50.13 | 5.14 | . 901 | 51.92 | 14.77 | 114.57 | 662 | Combined run(s) - post-shadow |

TABLE VIII. - PAGEOS I OBSERVATION DATA - VISUAL (V) BAND

| $\underset{\substack{\text { Date } \\(1966)}}{ }$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { Mean } \\ \text { normalized } \\ \text { maknitude }}}{ }$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sigma } \\ \text { magntude } \end{gathered}$ | Maximum marnitude | Minimum magnitude | Best-fit specular magnitud | True mean specular magnitude | Regression |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Specularity. } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Maximum } \\ & \text { radius of } \\ & \text { curvaiure. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Minimum } \\ \text { curvius of } \\ \text { curvalure, } \mathrm{ft} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Averaze } \\ \text { radius of } \\ \text { curvature. it } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | A | в |  |  |  |  |
| 24 Jun | 2.20 | 0.11 | 2.37 | 2.00 | 2.19 | 2.44 | 0.424 | 0.121 | 77.79 | 54.23 | 43.81 | 49.55 |
| 25 Jun | 1.95 | . 29 | 2.31 | 1.25 | 1.90 | 1.94 | . 700 | - . 007 | 101.08 | 75.47 | 46.33 | 55.33 |
| 26 Jun | 2.18 | . 15 | 2.42 | 1.80 | 2.17 | 2.45 | . 426 | . 104 | 80.34 | 59.75 | 41.45 | 48.73 |
| 27 Jun | 2.03 | . 19 | 2. 39 | 1.55 | 2.01 | 2.36 | . 464 | . 135 | 77.49 | 66.15 | 45.11 | 51.70 |
| 28 Jun | 2.26 | . 17 | 2.61 | 1.84 | 2.24 | 2.37 | . 457 | . 042 | 91.55 | 57.74 | 38.83 | 47.29 |
| 29 Jun | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 30 Jun | 2.13 | . 11 | 2. 29 | 1.96 | 2.12 | 1.91 | . 689 | - . 392 | 232.45 | 39.52 | 34.35 | 36.54 |
| 1 Jul | 2.13 | . 21 | 2. 53 | 1.75 | 2.12 | 2.20 | . 538 | . 065 | 89.22 | 61.42 | 42.73 | 51.90 |
| 2 Jul | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 3 Jul | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 4 Jul | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 5 Jul | 2.14 | . 17 | 2. 38 | 1.64 | 2.13 | 2.12 | . 573 | -. 010 | 101.85 | 62.58 | 44.87 | 50.20 |
| 6 Jul | 2. 24 | . 23 | 2.71 | 1.85 | 2.22 | 2.12 | . 577 | -. 060 | 111.52 | 56.30 | 39.49 | 48.12 |
| 7 Jul | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 8 Jul | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 9 Jul | 2. 18 | . 16 | 2. 55 | 1.91 | 2.17 | 2.35 | . 464 | . 058 | 88.85 | 54.71 | 38.51 | 48.40 |
| 10 Jul | 2.24 | . 26 | 2.69 | 1. 34 | 2.21 | 2.30 | . 496 | . 022 | 95.79 | 72.78 | 39.37 | 47.90 |
| 11 Jul | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 12 Jul | 2.24 | . 25 | 2.63 | 1.69 | 2.21 | 2.11 | . 586 | -. 094 | 119.15 | 59.20 | 38.73 | 46.86 |
| $13 \mathrm{Jul}^{1}$ | 2.04 | . 36 | 2.64 | 1.30 | 1.98 | 2.02 | . 657 | -. 014 | 102.16 | 73.25 | 39.50 | 53.13 |
| 13 Jul ${ }^{1 \prime}$ | 2.23 | . 21 | 2.64 | 1.67 | 2.21 | 2.32 | . 481 | . 062 | 88.52 | 64.81 | 41.44 | 49.27 |
| 14 Jul | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 15 JuI | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 16 Jul | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 17 Jul | 2.21 | . 48 | 2.92 | . 33 | 2.06 | 2.91 | . 397 | . 163 | 70.93 | 129.79 | 17.77 | 45.73 |
| 18 Jul | 2.28 | . 30 | 2.82 | 1.67 | 2.24 | 2. 36 | . 477 | . 027 | 94.73 | 62.86 | 35.44 | 47.15 |
| 19 Jul | 2.24 | . 33 | 2.77 | 1. 30 | 2.19 | 2.45 | . 451 | . 055 | 89.10 | 74.48 | 32.75 | 46.69 |
| 20 Jul | 2. 29 | . 27 | 2. 74 | 1.82 | 2.25 | 2.17 | . 557 | -. 038 | 107.34 | 57.18 | 39.52 | 47.87 |
| 21 Jul | 2.22 | . 39 | 2.83 | . 87 | 2.14 | 2.57 | . 428 | . 074 | 85.17 | 92.52 | 26.82 | 45.82 |
| 22 Jul | 2.11 | . 38 | 2.83 | 1. 23 | 2.04 | 2.00 | . 668 | - . 039 | 106.26 | 74.30 | 36.42 | 52.35 |
| 23 Jul | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| $24 \mathrm{Jul}^{1}$ | 2.01 | . 31 | 2.58 | 1.24 | 1.97 | 1.95 | . 688 | -. 033 | 105.11 | 74.12 | 40.58 | 53.61 |
| $24 \mathrm{Jul}^{2}$ | 2.18 | . 37 | 2. 89 | 1.28 | 2.12 | 1.95 | . 689 | -. 069 | 111.10 | 71.55 | 39.53 | 52.14 |
| 25 Jul | 2.19 | . 26 | 2.98 | 1.73 | 2.16 | 2.12 | . 583 | -. 036 | 106.65 | 60.14 | 35.82 | 49.18 |
| 26 Jul | 2.20 | . 30 | 2. 83 | 1.67 | 2.16 | 2.17 | . 563 | -. 011 | 101.93 | 62.08 | 36.70 | 49.30 |
| 27 Jul | 2.15 | . 25 | 2.61 | 1.66 | 2.12 | 2.55 | . 401 | . 087 | 82.09 | 61.77 | 31.53 | 46.17 |
| 28 Jul | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 29 Jul | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 30 Jul | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |

TABLE VIII. - Continued.

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Date } \\ \text { (1966) } \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { Matan } \\ \text { ratlus of } \\ \text { curvature, }}}{\text { it }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { sigma } \\ \text { radtus of } \\ \text { curvature, it } \end{gathered}$ | Reflectance | $\begin{gathered} \text { Flgure } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { merit } \end{gathered}$ | Phase angle range, deb |  | No. of points | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | From | то |  |  |
| 24 Jun | 49.50 | 2.27 | 0.878 | 10.07 | 75.47 | 118.28 | 17 |  |
| 25 Jun | 54.81 | 8.14 | 1.077 | 1.70 | 70.54 | 104.75 | 8 | Small number of points |
| 26 Jun | 48.55 | 4.18 | . 845 | 6.12 | 66.80 | 111.16 | 25 |  |
| 27 Jun | 51.42 | 5.54 | . 948 | 5.78 | 62.01 | 108.59 | 19 |  |
| 28 Jun | 47.13 | 4.01 | . 796 | 8.87 | 57.73 | 108.46 | 25 |  |
| 29 Jun | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | No observation; clouds |
| 30 Jun | 36.51 | 1.34 | . 478 | 2.20 | 111.06 | 127.48 | 9 | Small no. of points and phase angle change |
| 1 Jul | 51.64 | 5.14 | . 956 | 4.51 | 88.70 | 129.88 | 28 |  |
| 2 Jul | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | No observation; telescope under repair |
| 3 Jul | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | No observation; telescope under repair |
| 4 JuI | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | No observation; telescope under repair |
| 5 Jul | 50.04 | 4.12 | . 897 | 8.92 | 72.32 | 125.12 | 31 |  |
| 6 Jul | 47.92 | 4.36 | . 823 | 9. 44 | 61.30 | 122.51 | 26 |  |
| 7 Jul | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | No observation; clouds |
| 8 Jul | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | No observation; low pass only |
| 9 Jul | 48.23 | 3.97 | . 834 | 8.51 | 48.64 | 98.45 | 22 |  |
| 10 Jul | 47.52 | 6.41 | 809 | 10.20 | 44.08 | 118.87 | 35 |  |
| 11 Jul | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | No observation; clouds |
| 12 Jul | 46.62 | 4.86 | . 779 | 4.67 | 78.59 | 121.73 | 23 |  |
| $13 \mathrm{Jul}^{1}$ | 52.42 | 8.90 | . 985 | 6.52 | 70.78 | 126.26 | 47 | Continuous V band obs only; peaks in |
| $13 \mathrm{Jul}{ }^{10}$ | 49.01 | 5.26 | . 861 | 8.61 | 70.78 | 126. 26 | 33 | Continuous V band obs only; peaks removed |
| 14 Jul | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | Continuous $B$ band observation only |
| 15 Jul | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | Continuous U band observation only |
| 16 Jul | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | No observation; telescope under repair |
| 17 Jul | 41.80 | 20.82 | . 626 | 2.25 | 51.59 | 115.41 | 30 |  |
| 18 Jul | 46.65 | 6.95 | . 780 | 5.98 | 47.89 | 107.47 | 23 |  |
| 19 Jul | 45.88 | 9.00 | . 755 | 5.92 | 43.77 | 106.46 | 32 |  |
| 20 Jul | 47.57 | 5.33 | . 811 | 9.26 | 39.25 | 102.11 | 27 |  |
| 21 Jul | 44.42 | 12.07 | . 707 | 4.21 | 34.82 | 93.60 | 34 |  |
| 22 Jul | 51.66 | 8.49 | . 956 | 10.49 | 30.17 | 117.89 | 29 |  |
| 23 Jul | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | No observation; clouds |
| $24 \mathrm{Jul}^{1}$ | 53.12 | 7.39 | 1.011 | 5.41 | 59.91 | 109.16 | 29 |  |
| $24 \mathrm{Jul}^{2}$ | 51.62 | 7. 39 | . 955 | 11.45 | 20.96 | 104.71 | 37 |  |
| 25 Jul | 48.89 | 5.15 | . 857 | 10.15 | 59.44 | 118.23 | 42 | . |
| 26 Jul | 48.86 | 6.46 | . 856 | 9.82 | 22.37 | 91.94 | 40 |  |
| 27 Jul | 45. 58 | 7.13 | . 745 | 7.48 | 10.52 | 86.47 | 31 |  |
| 28 Jul | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | No observation; clouds |
| 29 Jul | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | No observation; clouds |
| 30 Jul | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | No observation; clouds |

TABLE VIII. - Continued.

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Date } \\ (1966) \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { Mean } \\ \text { normalized } \\ \text { magnitude }}}{ }$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { Sigma } \\ \text { magnitude }}}{ }$ | Maximum magnitude | Minimum magnitude | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Best-flt } \\ & \text { specular } \\ & \text { magnitude } \end{aligned}$ | True mean specularmagnitude | Regression |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Specularity. } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Maximum } \\ \text { radius of } \\ \text { curvature. ft } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Minimum on } \\ & \text { radius of } \\ & \text { curvature. ft } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { A. Areaye } \\ \text { crarius of } \\ \text { curvature. : } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | A. | B |  |  |  |  |
| $31 \mathrm{Jul}^{1 \times}$ | 2.06 | 0.36 | 2.77 | 1.24 | 2.00 | 1. 93. | 0.704 | -0.049 | 107.52 | 75.43 | 41.51 | 53.54 |
| $31 \mathrm{Jul}^{1 \prime}$ | 2.27 | . 23 | 2. 77 | 1.83 | 2. 24 | 2.13 | . 569 | -. 043 | 108.16 | 57.20 | 40.73 | 48.33 |
| 1 Aug | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 2 Aug | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 3 Aug | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 4 Aug | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 5 Aug ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ | 2.05 | . 23 | 2.71 | 1.26 | 2.02 | 2.05 | . 621 | -. 000 | 100.08 | 74.93 | 38.37 | 52.34 |
| 5 Aug ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ | 2.17 | . 16 | 2. 71 | 1.87 | 2. 16 | 2.13 | . 569 | - . 016 | 102.95 | 56.72 | 39.23 | 49.61 |
| 5 Aug $^{2}$ | 2.12 | . 21 | 2.64 | 1.63 | 2.10 | 2.07 | . 607 | - . 018 | 103.03 | 63.74 | 40.10 | 51.11 |
| 6 Aug | 2.09 | . 14 | 2. 51 | 1.88 | 2.08 | 2.08 | . 592 | -. 002 | 100.35 | 56.35 | 42.27 | 51.25 |
| 7 Aug ${ }^{1}$ | 2.14 | . 27 | 2.96 | 1.80 | 2.11 | 2.25 | . 522 | . 039 | 93.12 | 58.01 | 33.06 | 49.71 |
| 7 Aug $^{2}$ | 2.08 | . 16 | 2. 50 | 1.87 | 2.07 | 2. 14 | . 562 | . 020 | 96.60 | 55.50 | 41.51 | 50.86 |
| 8 Aug ${ }^{1}$ | 2.16 | . 20 | 2.69 | 1.70 | 2.14 | 2.26 | . 509 | . 036 | 93.41 | 61.88 | 37.01 | 49.14 |
| 8 Aug ${ }^{2}$ | 2.10 | . 23 | 2.56 | 1.65 | 2.07 | 2.10 | . 591 | . 001 | 99.81 | 62.65 | 41.01 | 51.18 |
| 9 Aug ${ }^{1}$ | 2.14 | . 18 | 2. 53 | 1.68 | 2.13 | 2.33 | . 477 | . 061 | 88.67 | 62.10 | 38.39 | 48.87 |
| 9 Aug ${ }^{2}$ | 2.14 | . 21 | 2.65 | 1.78 | 2.12 | 2.15 | . 564 | . 003 | 99.47 | 58.89 | 39.31 | 50.13 |
| 10 Aug | 2.13 | . 30 | 2.66 | 1.45 | 2.08 | 2.26 | . 525 | . 044 | 92.32 | 69.09 | 37.13 | 49.81 |
| 11 Aug | 2.07 | . 20 | 2.44 | 1.47 | 2.05 | 2.40 | . 452 | . 092 | 83.11 | 67.43 | 37.12 | 48.98 |
| 12 Aug | 2.00 | . 18 | 2. 30 | 1.64 | 1.99 | 1.75 | . 803 | -. 124 | 118.30 | 62.45 | 50.16 | 54.98 |
| 13 Aug | 2.09 | . 31 | 2.73 | 1.52 | 2.05 | 2.27 | . 522 | . 086 | 85.80 | 67.35 | 36.70 | 51.44 |
| 14 Aug | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 15 Aug | 2.08 | . 17 | 2.64 | 1.80 | 2.07 | 2.12 | . 575 | . 016 | 97.24 | 58.46 | 39.17 | 51.26 |
| 16 Aug ${ }^{1}$ | 2.16 | . 20 | 2.67 | 1.73 | 2. 14 | 1.95 | . 670 | -. 085 | 114.61 | 59.89 | 42.16 | 51.02 |
| 16 Aug ${ }^{2}$ | 2.14 | . 25 | 2.60 | 1.46 | 2.11 | 1.96 | . 672 | -. 097 | 116.92 | 64.32 | 42.70 | 50.45 |
| 17 Aug ${ }^{1}$ | 2.09 | . 21 | 2.59 | 1.70 | 2.07 | 2.12 | . 581 | . 010 | 98.28 | 61.31 | 40.47 | 51.16 |
| 17 Aug ${ }^{2}$ | 2.09 | . 19 | 2. 57 | 1.76 | 2.07 | 2.16 | . 554 | . 028 | 95.16 | 58.75 | 39.06 | 50.83 |
| 18 Aug ${ }^{1}$ | 2.23 | . 22 | 3.00 | 1.74 | 2.21 | 2.40 | . 450 | . 055 | 89.05 | 59.12 | 32.03 | 47.25 |
| 18 Aug ${ }^{2}$ | 2.05 | . 18 | 2.46 | 1.62 | 2.03 | 2.06 | . 609 | . 005 | 99.18 | 63.50 | 42.79 | 52.23 |
| 19 Aug | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 20 Aug ${ }^{\prime}$ | 2.12 | . 17 | 2. 47 | 1.83 | 2.11 | 2.22 | . 525 | . 034 | 93.92 | 57.47 | 40.65 | 49.81 |
| 20 Aug ${ }^{2}$ | 2.12 | . 16 | 2. 55 | 1.86 | 2.11 | 2.13 | . 568 | . 004 | 99.25 | 56.73 | 40.94 | 50.43 |
| 21 Aug ${ }^{1}$ | 2.10 | . 18 | 2. 55 | 1.75 | 2.09 | 2.28 | . 498 | . 056 | 89.84 | 60.42 | 39.53 | 49.56 |
| 21 Aug ${ }^{2}$ | 2.10 | . 20 | 2. 39 | 1.67 | 2.09 | 2.41 | . 447 | . 079 | 85.06 | 61.98 | 38.75 | 48.18 |
| 22 Aug | 2.18 | . 21 | 2. 58 | 1.72 | 2. 16 | 2.59 | . 382 | . 116 | 76.73 | 61.96 | 33.58 | 46.58 |
| ${ }_{12}^{24}$ Jun- $\}$ | 2.18 | . 21 | 2.71 | 1.25 | 2.16 | 2.19 | . 541 | . 008 | 98.53 | 76.03 | 38.46 | 49.52 |
| ${ }_{27}^{17}$ Jul- | 2.19 | . 34 | 2.98 | . 33 | 2.13 | 2.18 | . 566 | -. 003 | 100.45 | 114.47 | 34.12 | 49.51 |
| 5 Aug 14 Aug | 2.11 | . 23 | 2.96 | 1.45 | 2.09 | 2.16 | . 562 | . 014 | 97.58 | 68.69 | 33.78 | 50.45 |
| ${ }_{22}^{15}$ Augg ${ }^{\text {ang }}$, | 2.11 | . 20 | 2.67 | 1.46 | 2.09 | 2.13 | . 571 | . 008 | 98.54 | 68.64 | 38.69 | 50.70 |
| $\left.\begin{array}{l}17 \text { Jul- } \\ 22 \text { Aug }\end{array}\right\}$ | 2.14 | . 27 | 2.98 | . 33 | 2.10 | 2.16 | . 567 | . 006 | 98.98 | 115.02 | 33.64 | 50.21 |
|  | 2.11 | . 21 | 2.96 | 1.45 | 2.09 | 2.14 | . 566 | . 012 | 98.01 | 68.79 | 33.85 | 50.57 |

TABLE VIII. - Concluded.

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Date } \\ (1968) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { Mean } \\ \text { radlus of } \\ \text { curvature, tit } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { sigma } \\ \text { survicus of } \\ \text { curvature, } \end{gathered}$ | Reflectance | $\begin{gathered} \text { Figure } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { merit } \end{gathered}$ | Phase angle range, deg |  | No. of points | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | From | To |  |  |
| $31 \mathrm{Jul}^{\mathrm{I}^{\prime}}$ | 52.97 | 7.95 | 1.005 | 10.48 | 35.57 | 94.00 | 78 | Continuous V band obs only; peaks in |
| $31 \mathrm{Jul}^{1 \times 1}$ | 48.13 | 4.32 | . 830 | 14.19 | 35.57 | 94.00 | 52 | Continuous V band obs only; peaks removed |
| 1 Aug | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | No observation; telescope under repair |
| 2 Aug | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | No observation; telescope under repair |
| 3 Aug | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | No observation; telescope under repair |
| 4 Aug | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | No observation; telescope under repair |
| 5 Aug ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ | 52.03 | 5.80 | . 970 | 11.45 | 48.72 | 110.49 | 60 | Continuous V band obs only; peaks in |
| 5 Aug ${ }^{19}$ | 49.50 | 3.28 | . 878 | 15.66 | 48.72 | 110.49 | 40 | Continuous V band obs only; peaks removed |
| $5 \operatorname{Aug}^{2}$ | 50.89 | 4.73 | . 928 | 15.31 | 20.63 | 94.38 | 49 |  |
| 6 Aug | 51.14 | 3.23 | . 937 | 19.02 | 15.87 | 91.45 | 31 |  |
| 7 Aug ${ }^{\prime}$ | 49.28 | 6.14 | . 870 | 7.60 | 41.30 | 109.43 | 20 |  |
| $7 \mathrm{Aug}{ }^{2}$ | 50.71 | 3. 76 | . 922 | 13.79 | 14.03 | 94.26 | 20 |  |
| 8 Aug' | 48.91 | 4.69 | . 857 | 12.33 | 40.99 | 106.85 | 37 |  |
| $8 \mathrm{Aug}^{2}$ | 50.90 | 5.32 | . 929 | 11.71 | 17.65 | 88.26 | 39 |  |
| 9 Aug ${ }^{1}$ | 48.67 | 4.39 | . 849 | 13.85 | 35.02 | 102. 39 | 39 |  |
| 9 Aug $^{2}$ | 49.90 | 4.65 | . 893 | 10.75 | 20.26 | 82.26 | 33 |  |
| 10 Aug | 49.24 | 7.75 | . 869 | 6.54 | 31.56 | 98.94 | 25 |  |
| 11 Aug | 48.65 | 5.91 | . 848 | 14.93 | 27.72 | 88.97 | 103 | Continuous V band observation only |
| 12 Aug | 54.87 | 3.59 | 1. 079 | 5.64 | 56.71 | 87.50 | 15 |  |
| 13 Aug | 50.71 | 8.58 | . 921 | 5.75 | 53.00 | 114.37 | 34 |  |
| 14 Aug | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | No observation; clouds |
| 15 Aug | 51.09 | 4.09 | . 936 | 18.69 | 26.67 | 108.62 | 41 |  |
| 16 Aug ${ }^{1}$ | 50.88 | 3.66 | . 928 | 12.93 | 44.90 | 100.34 | 32 |  |
| $16 \mathrm{Aug}^{2}$ | 50.22 | 4.90 | . 904 | 9.03 | 50.44 | 111.97 | 29 |  |
| $17 \mathrm{Aug}^{1}$ | 50.91 | 5.07 | . 929 | 9.24 | 45.30 | 107.08 | 28 |  |
| 17 Aug ${ }^{2}$ | 50.61 | 4.67 | . 918 | 16.06 | 24.93 | 108.28 | 39 |  |
| 18 Aug ${ }^{1}$ | 46.93 | 5.41 | . 789 | 18.65 | 36.94 | 104.16 | 127 | Continuous V band observation only |
| 18 Aug ${ }^{2}$ | 52.04 | 4.41 | . 971 | 11.17 | 38.99 | 96.26 | 32 |  |
| 19 Aug | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | No observation; clouds |
| 20 Aug ${ }^{1}$ | 49.61 | 4.33 | . 882 | 8.70 | 41.72 | 92.78 | 24 |  |
| 20 Aug ${ }^{2}$ | 50.29 | 3. 74 | . 906 | 10.64 | 29.13 | 81.75 | 26 |  |
| 21 Aug' | 49.35 | 4.52 | . 873 | 8.17 | 37.68 | 88.85 | 24 |  |
| 21 Aug ${ }^{2}$ | 47.86 | 5.57 | . 821 | 5.88 | 32.28 | 78.32 | 24 |  |
| 22 Aug | 46.16 | 6.21 | . 764 | 4.43 | 46.20 | 88.96 | 21 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 24 \text { Jun- } \\ & 12 \text { Jul } \end{aligned}$ | 49.27 | 5.11 | . 870 | 28.76 | 44.08 | 129.88 | 268 | Combined run - pre-shadow |
| ${ }^{17}$ Jul- | 48.88 | 8.37 | . 856 | 26.19 | 10.52 | 118.23 | 354 | Combined run - post-shadow |
| $\begin{array}{r} 5 \text { Aug- } \\ 14 \text { Aug } \end{array}$ | 50.17 | 5.37 | . 902 | 37.39 | 14.03 | 114.37 | 342 | Combined run - post-shadow |
| ${ }_{22} 15$ Aug- | 50.49 | 4.71 | . 914 | 37.45 | 24.93 | 111.97 | 320 | Combined run - post-shadow |
| ${ }^{17}$ Jul- | 49.82 | 6.46 | . 890 | 53.67 | 10.52 | 118.23 | 1016 | Combined run(s) - total post-shadow |
| $\begin{array}{r} 5 \text { Aug- } \\ 22 \mathrm{Aug} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 50.32 | 5.04 | . 908 | 53.17 | 14.03 | 114.37 | 662 | Combined run(s) - post-shadow |



Note: Tables VI, VII, and VIII list days on which no observations were made.
Figure 11. - PAGEOS I UBV stellar magnitudes, sigma magnitudes and radii of curvature, and reflectances.


Figure 11. - Continued.


Figure 11. - Concluded.


Figure 12. - PAGEOS I UBV radii of curvature.


Figure 12. - Continued.


Figure 12. - Concluded.


Note: Tables VI, VII, and VIII list days on which no observations were made.

Figure 13. - PAGEOS I UBV specularity.


Figure 13. - Continued.


Figure 13. - Concluded.

These passes are summarized in table I. In comparing individual results, one may notice quite a wide variation between pre-shadow and post-shadow results, as well as in post-shadow results before and after 5 August. For instance, there are a large number of daily specularity values in excess of 100 percent for the $U$ band in the pre-shadow time period, and thus a combined run value of greater than 100 percent is obtained. There is obviously some type of bias in this result, since the specularity cannot exceed 100 percent. However, if one recalls the method of calculating the specularity, i. e., plotting the illuminance ( $4 \mathrm{E} / \mathrm{E}_{0}$ ) as the ordinate against $8 / 3$ Russell phase function as the abscissa and using the bestfit straight line to obtain the specularity (regression $A_{s p}=$ intercept, regression $B_{d}=$ slope), then one may see that values of greater than 100 percent may be obtained for individual passes. A combined run was performed for the pre-shadow period with the data for 25 June and 30 June removed, because it was thought that the extreme values obtained for these observations would create an unwarranted bias in the combined runs. Upon viewing this combined run for 10 passes instead of 12 , it was discovered that the two additional runs changed the combined specularity values in all three colors by only one percent or less. If one examines the data for these two observations, it is possible to see the reasons for the extreme values:
(1) June 25 data - Too few points,combined with magnitude values which are far below the average, which indicates a bias in the number of peaks.
(2) June 30 data - Too few points, combined with a small change in phase angle for the observations. It has become quite apparent through examination of the various individual computer runs that these two parameters bias the data far more than any others. They are part of the "figure-of-merit" discussed elsewhere.

The continuous single-color observations were performed, as has been previously mentioned, to show periodicity and intensity variation in the PAGEOS I satellite. The method of reducing the raw single-color data was as follows:
(1) Major peaks were identified (1.2 times trough level or greater, and with reasonable periodicity).
(2) Points of peaks were marked and straight lines drawn through rapidly rising or falling curve of data before and after peaks.
(3) Straight lines were drawn through trough data between the above peak lines.
(4) Data points were recorded at each end of trough and peaks added.
(5) Computer runs were made both with and without peaks.

The computer runs with and without peaks were performed for the $13,14,15$, and 31 July runs and the $V$ band run of 5 August. The $V$ band observations of 11 and 18 August were reduced at 10 -second intervals only because of lack of major peaks (the data is quite smooth), and thus the data is semi-random in nature. The single-color values of the various parameters, which are shown in tables VI, VII, and VIII, are also shown, in summary, in table IX. The first four V band observations ( 13 July, 31 July, 5 August, and 11 August) give magnitudes that vary by only 0.02 magnitude for the "peaks-in" computer runs. The $V$ band run of 18 August gives a value of stellar magnitude which appears like that of the "peaks removed." This is certainly indicative of a smoothing effect on the satellite. This latter phenomenon was calculated by removing the peak intensity points from the previous computer runs.

There is a marked improvement in both sigma magnitude and sigma radius of curvature, which is definitely a sign of smoothing. The sigma radius of curvature for the "peaks-in" run for 13 and 31 July 1966 are in excess of 8 feet, while those of 5, 11, and 18 August 1966 are in the 5 to 6 foot range.

## Trends

The photometric data taken of PAGEOS I during the initial 60-day surveillance mission has defined the optical characteristics of the satellite, and will henceforth serve as a bench mark for any future PAGEOS I trend studies - whether for engineering or environmental science purposes. In this initial period, the only clearly apparent trend pertains to target scintillation and consists of a growth and later partial subsidence in the standard deviations of the normalized magnitudes and local effective radii of curvature (see figs. 12 and 13 and tables I and VI through VIII). An increase in the values of these surface anomaly parameters occurring in mid-July is time-correlated with the satellite's initial encounter with the earth's shadow, and therefore is very likely the consequence of thermal shocks upon the still gasfilled balloon at eclipse entry and exit. The subsequent decrease in these same parameters in early August, after PAGEOS I had been in orbit about six weeks, is probably due to the loss of most of the satellite's inflation gas - or particularly, the more volatile of the two sublimating powders. (A dynamic analysis of the orbital behavior of PAGEOS I, similar to the analysis of Echo I in reference 12, would provide for comparison an indicated timehistory of the satellite's mass.)

## Computer Printouts

A complete computer printout is shown in appendix D. Included are printouts for the scale factors ( $\mathrm{E}-1970$ ) of 13 August 1966, the primary extinction coefficients for 17 August 1966 (E-1980), and the second pass of the PAGEOS I satellite on 17 August 1966 (E-1213 and E-1214).

## Satellite Scintillation

Low-frequency satellite scintillation is easily differentiated from atmospheric scintillation in the case of the PAGEOS I satellite. Satellite scintillation, as distinct from atmospheric scintillation, pertains to intensity variations contributed solely by the satellite.

The atmospheric scintillation is constant over the range of dc to 10 cps (as established by the recording galvanometer). In most cases, $3 \sigma$ deviation of the peaks is contained in an envelope of $\pm 10$ percent of the stellar calibration readings. There is then a high degree of confidence that variation in signal greater than 20 percent of value at frequencies less than 0.5 cps is contributed by the satellite.

Substantial signal variation in the frequency band of 0.05 to 0.5 cps did occur in some of the data runs. All raw data reduction for U-B-V band measurements was made by taking the average signal level during the sample interval. This interval ranged from 1 to 10 seconds. Since this reduction technique masked out signal variation in the frequency range of 0.05 to 0.5 cps , some of the target scintillation was masked out in the $\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{V}$ measurements.

Table IX presents the average and standard deviations of the reduced PAGEOS I normalized magnitudes and radii of curvature obtained during seven single-color observations (five passes observed in the continuous $V$ mode and one pass each in continuous $U$ and $B$ ), in which the raw data selected for reduction included extremes. The single-color data (except for the observations on 11 and 18 August 1966) was taken with one-third of the data being extremes.

TABLE IX. - SUMMARY OF DATA FOR CONTINUOUS-COLOR OBSERVATION

| Color | Date | Magnitude | Sigma Magnitude | Specularity, \% | Rad of curvature, ft | Sigma radius, ft | Reflectance ${ }^{(a)}$ | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| v | 13 Jul 66 | 2.04 | 0.36 | 102.16 | 53.13 | 8.90 | 0.985 | Peaks in |
|  | 13 Jul 66 | 2.23 | 0.21 | 88.52 | 49.27 | 5. 26 | 0.861 | Peaks removed |
|  | 31 Jul 66 | 2.06 | 0.36 | 107.52 | 53.54 | 7.95 | 1.005 | Peaks in |
|  | 31 Jul 66 | 2.27 | 0.23 | 108.16 | 48.33 | 4.32 | 0.830 | Peaks removed |
|  | 5 Aug 66 | 2.05 | 0.23 | 100.08 | 52.34 | 5. 80 | 0.970 | Peaks in |
|  | 5 Aug 66 | 2.17 | 0.16 | 102.95 | 49.61 | 3.28 | 0.878 | Peaks removed |
|  | 11 Aug 66 ${ }^{(\mathrm{b})}$ | 2.07 | 0.20 | 83.11 | 48.98 | 5.91 | 0.848 | Peaks in |
|  | 18 Aug 66 ${ }^{\text {(c) }}$ | 2.23 | 0.22 | 89.05 | 47.25 | 5.41 | 0.789 | Peaks in |
| B | 14 Jul 66 | 2.87 | 0.32 | 78.83 | 49.54 | 8. 73 | 0.854 | Peaks in |
|  | 14 Jul 66 | 3.03 | 0.20 | 82.57 | 45.73 | 4.44 | 0.742 | Peaks removed |
| U | 15 Jul 66 | 2.94 | 0.34 | 75.38 | 48.82 | 9.63 | 0.811 | Peaks in |
|  | 15 Jul 66 | 3.09 | 0.25 | 74.82 | 45.36 | 5.96 | 0.714 | Peaks removed |

${ }^{a}$ When radius of curvature $=50$ feet.
$b_{\text {Only }}$ one major peak in data; $85 \%$ tracking data taken every 10 seconds.
cData very smooth; data taken every 10 seconds.

On 11 and 18 August 1966, so few peaks occurred that sufficient extreme data was not present to characterize a pass. For these two passes, data points were taken with a constant time period separating observation points. However, since this raw data selection philosophy for the three-color observations was consistently applied throughout the program, the values obtained for these parameters can be directly compared, as in figure 11 and table I. The consistency of the independent standard deviations of the radii of curvature in the three colors for each of the time periods shown in table I is an indication of their validity for comparison purposes.

Figures 14 and 15 present selected segments of the raw PAGEOS I data from two of the continuous V-band observations ( 13 July and 11 August) that are representative for these passes and illustrate the observed reduction in target scintillation in the latter part of the surveillance period.

The presence of some PAGEOS I intensity fluctuations prior to the initial encounter with the earth's shadow is not too surprising, since its twin and predecessor, Echo I, was visually observed to undergo several non-cyclic $\pm 0.5$ stellar magnitude variations during a pass on 18 August 1960, after only five days and 17 hours in orbit, and approximately six days before its first eclipse.


Figure 14. - Photometric data measurement in continuous V band - 13 July 1966. Satellite shows regular periodic peaks of intensity of reflected light.


Figure 15. - Photometric data measurement in continuous V band - 11 August 1966.

## Goodness-of-Fit Program

Computer program E-1981, 'Test of Goodness of Fit, " was developed to assist in the determination of the accuracy and repeatability of results. This program uses previously determined second-order extinction coefficients, scale factors, primary extinction coefficients, and zero-point terms to determine the accuracy and repeatability of the U-B-V photometric facility when observing standard stars. Five standard stars were chosen from the ArizonaTonantzintla catalogue (ref. 3) to fulfill this test. The test required that measurements be performed at least twice: the first to determine the accuracy, and the second to determine the repeatability in each spectral region. Table $X$ shows the individual values of tabular and calculated magnitudes and color indices for the stars. The calculated stellar accuracy and repeatability values in magnitudes for these stars are as follows:

| Parameter | Spectral region |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | V | B-V | U-V |
| Absolute arithmetic <br> average (accuracy) | 0.036 | 0.014 | 0.028 |
| Standard deviation ( ) | .044 | .017 | .032 |
| Absolute arithmetic <br> average (repeatability) | .024 | .022 | .040 |

Since the tables are based on a very small sample size, in which the "accuracy" could change widely for different stars, a much larger sample of stars were chosen from several (six) primary extinction coefficient observations for the present contract and the identical type of tests were performed. The values of the tabular and measured values are depicted in table XI. The results shown, while not necessarily representative of the absolute accuracy of the facility, do show that the facility operates well within previously established requirements for magnitude accuracy and repeatability of standard stars. The calculated stellar magnitude accuracy and repeatability values for these stars are as follows:

| Parameter | Spectral region |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | V | B-V | U-V |
| Accuracy (arithmetic <br> average) | 0.030 | 0.030 | 0.034 |
| Accuracy (standard <br> deviation) | .039 | .039 | .043 |
| Repeatability (arithmetic <br> average) | .049 | .048 | .051 |

TABLE X. - COMPARISON OF TABULAR AND MEASURED VALUES OF STANDARD STARS

| Star | Test No. | Spectral region |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | V |  | B-V |  | U-B |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tabular } \\ & \text { value } \end{aligned}$ | Measured value | Tabular value | Measured value | Tabular value | Measured value |
| B. S. 7847 <br> (44 Cygni) | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.21 \\ & 6.21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.24 \\ & 6.23 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.00 \\ & 1.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.00 \\ & 0.99 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.74 \\ & 1.74 \end{aligned}$ | 1.76 1.71 |
| B.S. 7770 <br> (35 Cygni) | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 5.16 \\ & 5.16 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.15 \\ & 5.14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.65 \\ & 0.65 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.66 \\ & 0.68 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{1.13} \\ & 1.13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.16 \\ & 1.16 \end{aligned}$ |
| B. S. 7806 <br> (39 Cygni) | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.45 \\ & 4.45 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.46 \\ & 4.48 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.34 \\ & 1.34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.33 \\ & 1.31 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.87 \\ & 2.87 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.84 \\ & 2.86 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { B. S. } 7796 \\ & (\gamma \text { Cygni) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2.23 \\ & 2.23 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.16 \\ & 2.19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.67 \\ & 0.67 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.67 \\ & 0.69 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.21 \\ & 1.21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.24 \\ & 1.21 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { B. S. } 7924 \\ & (\alpha \text { Cygni) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.25 \\ & 1.25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.16 \\ & 1.21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.09 \\ & 0.09 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.11 \\ & 0.08 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.12 \\ & -0.12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.06 \\ & -0.16 \end{aligned}$ |

TABLE XI. - COMPARISON OF TABULAR AND MEASURED VALUES OF STANDARD STARS USED IN PAGEOS I CALIBRATION

| Date | Star | Test No. | Spectral region |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | V |  | B-V |  | U-V |  |
|  |  |  | Tabular value | Measured value | Tabular value | Measured value | Tabular value | Measured value |
| 20 Jul 66 | B. S. 8115 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.19 \\ & 3.19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.15 \\ & 3.25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.00 \\ & 1.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.96 \\ & 0.99 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.76 \\ & 1.76 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.75 \\ & 1.78 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | B. S. 21 | 1 2 | 2.28 2.28 | 2.21 2.30 | 0.34 0.34 | 0.37 0.33 | 0.45 0.45 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.43 \\ & 0.42 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | B. S. 163 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.39 \\ & 4.39 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.33 \\ & 4.39 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.87 \\ & 0.87 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.87 \\ & 0.85 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1. } 34 \\ & \text { 1. } 34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.32 \\ & 1.33 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | B. S. 8684 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | 3.48 3.48 | 3.45 3.56 | 0.84 0.94 | 0.96 0.96 | 1.61 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.65 \\ & 1.60 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | B. S. 8665 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.19 \\ & 4.19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.15 \\ & 4.24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.51 \\ & 0.51 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.50 \\ & 0.49 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.47 \\ & 0.47 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.46 \\ & 0.51 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | B. S. 8905 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | 4.44 4.44 | 4.35 4.49 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.61 \\ & 0.61 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.65 \\ & 0.60 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.79 \\ & 0.79 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.82 \\ & 0.74 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | B. S. 188 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.00 \\ & 2.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.98 \\ & 2.10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.00 \\ & 1.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.98 \\ & 1.04 \end{aligned}$ | 1.88 1.88 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.87 \\ & 1.91 \end{aligned}$ |

TABLE XI. - Continued.

| Date | Star | Test No. | Spectral Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | V |  | B-V |  | $\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{V}$ |  |
|  |  |  | Tabular value | Measured value | Tabular value | Measured value | Tabular value | Measured value |
| 10 Aug 66 | B.S. 8115 | 1 | 3.19 | 3.24 | 1.00 | 0.98 | 1.76 | 1.69 |
|  |  | 2 | 3.19 | 3.20 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.76 | 1.80 |
|  | B. S. 21 | 1 | 2.28 | 2.23 | 0.34 | 0.37 | 0.45 | 0.41 |
|  |  | 2 | 2.28 | 2.25 | 0.34 | 0.31 | 0.45 | 0.45 |
|  | B. S. 8684 | 1 | 3.48 | 3.52 | 0.94 | 0.93 | 1.61 | 1.65 |
|  |  | 2 | 3.48 | 3.48 | 0.94 | 0.92 | 1.61 | 1.66 |
|  | B. S. 8665 | 1 | 4.19 | 4.22 | 0.51 | 0.51 | 0.47 | 0.46 |
|  |  | 2 | 4.19 | 4.25 | 0.51 | 0.47 | 0.47 | 0.45 |
|  | B. S. 163 | 1 | 4.39 | 4. 36 | 0.87 | 0.90 | 1. 34 | 1. 30 |
|  |  | 2 | 4.39 | 4.36 | 0.87 | 0.85 | 1.34 | 1.34 |
|  | B. S. 8905 | 1 | 4.44 | 4.42 | 0.61 | 0.68 | 0.79 | 0.77 |
|  |  | 2 | 4.44 | 4.41 | 0.61 | 0.62 | 0.79 | 0.79 |
|  | B. S. 188 | 1 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 1.00 | 0.96 | 1.88 | 1.80 |
|  |  | 2 | 2.00 | 2.02 | 1.00 | 1.04 | 1.55 | 2.02 |
| 13 Aug 66 | B. S. 8115 | 1 | 3.19 | 3.20 | 1.00 | 0.99 | 1. 76 | 1.74 |
|  |  | 2 | 3.19 | 3.24 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.76 | 1.80 |
|  | B. S. 21 | 1 | 2.28 | 2.21 | 0.34 | 0.33 | 0.45 | 0.41 |
|  |  | 2 | 2.28 | 2.31 | 0.34 | 0.30 | 0.45 | 0.46 |
|  | B. S. 163 | 1 | 4.39 | 4.33 | 0.87 | 0.87 | 1. 34 | 1.32 |
|  |  | 2 | 4.39 | 4.37 | 0.87 | 0.95 | 1. 34 | 1.43 |
|  | B. S. 544 | 1 | 3.44 | 3.38 | 0.49 | 0.53 | 0.57 | 0.50 |
|  |  | 2 | 3.44 | 3.44 | 0.49 | 0.44 | 0.57 | 0.53 |
|  | B. S. 8684 | 1 | 3.48 | 3.45 | 0.94 | 0.96 | 1.61 | 1.63 |
|  |  | 2 | 3.48 | 3.52 | 0.94 | 0.92 | 1.61 | 1.59 |
|  | B. S. 8665 | 1 | 4.19 | 4.18 | 0.51 | 0.51 | 0.47 | 0.42 |
|  |  | 2 | 4.19 | 4.26 | 0.51 | 0.53 | 0.47 | 0.50 |
| 17 Aug 66 | B. S. 21 | 1 | 2.28 | 2.28 | 0.34 | 0.30 | 0.45 | 0.37 |
|  |  | 2 | 2.28 | 2.24 | 0.34 | 0.40 | 0.45 | 0.42 |
|  | B. S. 8232 | 1 | 2.85 | 2. 85 | 0. 84 | 0.77 | 1. 42 | 1.44 |
|  |  | 2 | 2.85 | 2.84 | 0.84 | 0.84 | 1.42 | 1.46 |
|  | B. S. 7377 | 1 | 3.36 | 3.36 | 0.33 | 0.29 . | 0.38 | 0.34 |
|  |  | 2 | 3.36 | 3.37 | 0.33 | 0.34 | 0.38 | 0.38 |
|  | B. S. 7602 | 1 | 3.72 | 3.71 | 0.86 | 0.90 | 1.34 | 1.35 |
|  |  | 2 | 3.72 | 3.72 | 0.86 | 0.88 | 1.34 | 1.35 |

TABLE XI. - Concluded.

| Date | Star | Test No. | Spectral region |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | v |  | B-V |  | U-V |  |
|  |  |  | Tabular value | Measured value | Tabular value | Measured value | Tabular value | Measured value |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} 17 \text { Aug } 66 \\ \text { (Cont) } \end{array}$ | B. S. 8684 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.48 \\ & 3.48 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.50 \\ & 3.53 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.94 \\ & 0.94 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.90 \\ & 1.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.61 \\ & 1.61 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.57 \\ & 1.66 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | B. S. 8115 | 1 | 3.19 | 3.15 | 1.00 | 0.96 | 1.76 | 1.82 |
|  | B. S. 8665 | 1 | 4.19 | 4.21 | 4.51 | 0.54 | 0.47 | 0.48 |
| 21 Aug 66 | B. S. 21 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.28 \\ & 2.28 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2. } 20 \\ & 2.31 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.34 \\ & 0.34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.37 \\ & 0.30 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.45 \\ & 0.45 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.42 \\ & 0.49 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | B. S. 8684 | 1 | 3.48 3.48 | 3.47 3.53 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.94 \\ & 0.94 \end{aligned}$ | 0.94 0.98 | 1.61 1.61 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.60 \\ & 1.60 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | B. S. 7602 | 1 | 3.72 3.72 | $\begin{aligned} & 3.69 \\ & 3.71 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.86 \\ & 0.86 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.89 \\ & 0.84 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.34 \\ & 1.34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.35 \\ & 1.40 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | B. S. 7377 | 1 | 3.36 3.36 | 3.30 3.37 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.33 \\ & 0.33 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.29 \\ & 0.36 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.38 \\ & 0.38 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.39 \\ & 0.35 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | B. S. 8232 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.85 \\ & 2.85 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.86 \\ & 2.88 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.84 \\ & 0.84 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.79 \\ & 0.83 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.42 \\ & 1.02 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.43 \\ & 1.44 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | B. S. 163 | 1 | 4.39 | 4.33 | 0.87 | 0.82 | 1.34 | 1.30 |
|  | B. S. 8115 | 1 | 3.19 | 3.22 | 1.00 | 0.93 | 1.76 | 1. 80 |
|  | B.S. 8665 | 1 | 4.19 | 4.22 | 0.51 | 0.65 | 0.47 | 0.41 |
|  | B. S. 188 | 1 | 2.00 | 2.06 | 1.00 | 1.02 | 1.88 | 1.85 |
| 22 Aug 66 | B. S. 21 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.28 \\ & 2.28 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.26 \\ & 2.28 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.34 \\ & 0.34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.40 \\ & 0.44 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.45 \\ & 0.45 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.47 \\ & 0.51 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | B. S. 8684 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 3.48 \\ & 3.48 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.51 \\ & 3.49 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.94 \\ & 0.94 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.86 \\ & 0.97 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.61 \\ & 1.61 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.50 \\ & 1.68 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | B. S. 8665 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.19 \\ & 4.19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.19 \\ & 4.18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.51 \\ & 0.51 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.49 \\ & 0.54 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.47 \\ & 0.47 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.51 \\ & 0.49 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | B. S. 8905 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.44 \\ & 4.44 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.43 \\ & 4.42 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.61 \\ & 0.61 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.56 \\ & 0.57 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.79 \\ & 0.79 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.75 \\ & 0.79 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | B. S. 544 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.44 \\ & 3.44 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.44 \\ & 3.45 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.49 \\ & 0.49 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.50 \\ & 0.46 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.57 \\ & 0.57 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.61 \\ & 0.53 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | B. S. 163 | 1 | 4.39 4.39 | 4.33 4.35 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.87 \\ & 0.87 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.89 \\ & 0.87 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.34 \\ & 1.34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.37 \\ & 1.36 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | B. S. 8115 | 1 | 3.19 | 3.20 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.76 | 1. 70 |
|  | B. S. 27 | 1 | 5.04 | 5.03 | 0.40 | 0.35 | 0.66 | 0.59 |

## CONCLUSIONS

## General

The telescope-filter-photomultiplier system has given results which are in most cases in agreement with theory and previous observatory or laboratory measurements. The individual determinations of stellar magnitudes, as shown in the "Test of Goodness-of-Fit" computer program, have proved that the extinction coefficients, zero-point terms, and scale factors used in the various daily satellite observation programs are of high accuracy, even though the length of time between precalibration and postcalibration is in excess of good astronomical practice. There was no alternative to this practice in view of the long observation period of each pass of the PAGEOS I satellite.

The data for various parameters - mean normalized magnitude, specularity, radius of curvature, reflectance, etc - are at times controversial in the individual observations, but are seemingly of excellent quality when many data points are averaged in combined computer runs. The parameter that caused the most difficulty in the data reduction and analysis was the specularity, which exceeded the theoretical limit of 100 percent for several passes. This occurrence may be attributed to one or more of the following reasons:
(1) Too few data points
(2) Low variation of phase angle
(3) Biasing of the data by too many or too few peaks for a pass
(4) Satellite scintillation

When one views the combined post-shadow computer run, a different perspective is obtained:
(1) The B-V color index closely resembles that of the sun.
(2) The specularity is approximately 97 percent, in excellent agreement with expectations.
(3) The radius of curvature is approximately 50 feet in accordance with the design specifications.

Figures 11, 12, and 13 and the raw analog data on the oscillograph charts definitely show a smoothing effect on the data somewhere in the vicinity of 5 August, an effect which is most pronounced in the standard deviations of both mean normalized magnitude and radius of curvature. As far as the continuous V-band data is concerned, it was observed that the raw data was quite smooth for the 5, 11, and 18 August runs; whereas the observations of 13 and 31 July had definite periodicities (although random in time) between successive intensity maxima. The continuous B and U band data on 14 and 15 July respectively also showed definite periodicities.

## Evaluation of Photometric Technique

When sophisticated photometric instrumentation is brought to bear on an orbiting spherical target, rather steady indications of the level of intensity of the light it reflects might
be expected. Experience indicates, however, that this is never the case. The output signal representing the instantaneous light intensity is far more complex - constantly varying in various ways in response to the multitude of detail characteristics that seem to be always present to modify and affect the message received photometrically. With proper analysis and study the message received can be used to interpret the characteristics of the target satellite. Echo I, PAGEOS I, and Echo II satellites have all displayed this complex response. PAGEOS I, particularly, because it has had the most extensive and intensive examination, reveals in its photometric signal a variety and a wealth of information. The information content includes color characteristics and scintillation data. The color characteristics give some indication of the surface condition. Scintillation information is not completely separable with present techniques, but the content includes the following:
(1) Atmospheric scintillation, indicating the angular diameter of the area on the target object that is reflecting the light.
(2) Rapid target scintillation, indicating fine structure characteristics of the surface.
(3) Various slower target scintillations, indicating gross shape and surface characteristics, and indicating rotational or other movement of the entire satellite.

Furthermore, the above characteristics have both a static and a dynamic significance. Not only can the successive measured values be combined statistically to reinforce the precision and confidence level of the measured characteristics, but they can also be utilized in the context of their measured time sequence to study changes that are taking place and trends that are developing, thus giving a history as well as a static picture of the target satellite characteristics. This is particularly evident in the PAGEOS I photometric surveillance, where constant change was evident as a consequence of the immediate postlaunch era, the shadow entry period, and the post-shadow entry region, and the information was available with respect to phase angle, in three colors, and time-correlated. This information is of sufficient quantity that additional time and study would be required to completely consider all of the data collected and to obtain proper interpretation and definition regarding all the matters of interest.

## Value of Continuous V Band

The intial concept for standard operations with multicolor photometry was to make use of $U-B-V$ sequence measurements exclusively. However, early in the operations of the program it became evident that continuous V-band (or other single color) data was capable of conveying significant information that could be entirely missed in the exclusive $\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{V}$ mode. As a result of this experience, it was ultimately decided that the schedule would include regular incorporation of single color band continuous measurements.

It appears from this experience that continuous single color band data is necessary to convey the full story regarding macrotexture, as well as dynamic motion, characteristics of satellites, and this data should be taken at regularly interspersed intervals to keep track of any changes occurring in these characteristics. In the case of PAGEOS I, this appeared in the very first runs in short records taken in continuous V band before going into the $\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{V}$ mode. The changes that became apparent drew attention to the importance of making some measurements regularly in a continuous single color. This is well illustrated by the data records shown in figures 14 and 15 . Figure 14 shows the satellite at a time when variations
due to macrotexture effects, etc, are quite low (with a relatively steady rise due to phase and slant range predominating). Figure 15 shows the strong characteristic periodic signal rise encountered later, after shadow entry.

In general, it can be concluded that both multicolor and continuous single color photometric measurements are important. The former is necessary to record the color characteristics and microtexture of the satellite being observed, while the latter is needed for the macrotexture and dynamic characteristics. Therefore, both modes should normally be used, interspersed on a regular schedule devised to be appropriate for the particular satellite being observed and the measurement objectives.

## Evaluation of Mobile Photometric Observatory

The observatory has successfully demonstrated its mobility without degradation of photometric capability. This demonstration encompassed not only self-transport of the observatory from Akron, Ohio to Palomar Mountain, California, but also included testing the unit over secondary roads at speeds up to 40 mph .

The observatory has demonstrated its ability to make photometric measurements of stars and of nominally spherical satellites. These measurements included satellites from first to seventh visual magnitudes (a light intensity ratio of more than 100) and stars from 0 to tenth visual magnitude (a light intensity ratio of 10000 ).

The use of a two-minute field permits consistent tracking of satellites when the rate and position controls are used. For passes as long as 40 minutes, there was no indication that physical fatigue of the operator was contributing to errors in tracking continuity. The principal contributor to tracking outage time is operator difficulties when following the tracking telescope eyepiece. With the two-minute field stop, sky background contribution to the signal was negligible except during twilight conditions. The favorable sky at Palomar Mountain thus made data reduction an easier task, as measurements in the three colors could be made from the same base line.

# ALBEDO CORRECTIONS OF GROUND-BASED PHOTOMETRIC OBSERVATIONS of SATELLITES 

Introduction

Accurate reduction of photometric measurement data from satellites requires determination of the stellar magnitude increment due to the earth's albedo in various observation bands. The satellite is assumed to be spherical and a specular reflector of solar energy.

## Technical Discussion

The illuminance an observer on the earth sees from a satellite is due to two sources: the reflection of direct sun energy and the reflection of earth-reflected sun energy. The illuminance an observer sees is proportional to the illuminance that is incident on the satellite, because the spherical and specular satellite surface reflects incident energy equally in all directions. Consequently, the apparent stellar magnitude of the satellite can be written:

$$
\begin{equation*}
m=-2.5 \log K_{n}\left(E_{e}+E_{S}\right)+m_{K} \tag{A1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E_{e}$ and $E_{s}$ are the incident illuminances due to the earth's albedo and the sun respectively, and $K_{n}$ is a normalization factor relating the incident illuminance to that which an observer sees. The stellar magnitude of the satellite due to direct sun illumination alone can thus be written:

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{S}=-2.5 \log K_{n} E_{S}+m_{K} \tag{A2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The difference between these two expressions for stellar magnitude is the earth contribution and can be written as:

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta m & =m-m_{s}  \tag{A3}\\
& =-2.5 \log \left[1+\left(E_{e} / E_{s}\right)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

Thus only the quantity $E_{e} / E_{s}$ needs to be determined. The incident energy due to earth reflection is given by $C_{S}$ a $F$. Values of $F$ for various altitudes and $\alpha$ angles have been developed. The incident energy on a sphere due to direct solar illumination is $0.25 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{S}}$. Consequently, the value of $E_{e} / E_{s}$ is the ratio of these terms:

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{e} / E_{S}=\frac{C_{S} a F}{0.25 C_{S}}=4 a F \tag{A4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The stellar magnitude increments due to earthshine have been calculated for various $\alpha^{\prime} s$ and altitudes using the developed values of $F$ and an assumed average earth reflectivity

## APPENDIX A

of 0.36 . The results are shown in figure A1. The low angle cut-off points represent the points where the satellites appear on the horizon to an observer at local twilight.

In addition to the calculation of data, it was desirable to fit an equation to this data in order to integrate a correction term in the computerized data reduction process. To this end, the following equation was derived.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Delta \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{a}}=\left(\frac{403}{\mathrm{~h}}+0.140-0.0272 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~h}\right) \\
&+\left(-\frac{411}{\mathrm{~h}}-0.105+0.025 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~h}\right) \alpha \\
&+\left(\frac{107}{\mathrm{~h}}+0.015-0.0049 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~h}\right) \alpha^{2} \tag{A5}
\end{align*}
$$

This equation is accurate to within 0.015 of a stellar magnitude at all altitudes and $\alpha$ 's shown in figure A1. Figure A2 compares calculated and fitted data at the altitude extremes.

Equation (A5) presumes an earth albedo of 0.36 , which is an average value over the earth and over the entire spectrum of solar energy. Local values vary drastically, (i.e., between 7 and 55 percent) depending on terrain and amount of cloud cover visible to the


Figure A1. - Earth-reflected stellar magnitude increments.
satellite, as reported in reference 13 and confirmed by reference 14 . This effect has been neglected, since it would be a difficult correction to make (weather conditions at time of observation would be required) and a large cap of the earth is viewed by PAGEOS I, damping out local variations.

Spectral variations are to be considered by use of a correction factor c :

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{b}=0.36 c \text { and } m_{b} \cong c \Delta m_{a} \tag{A6}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{e}} / \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{S}}$ is considerably less than unity for the range considered. Figure A3 shows the system responses in the three bands considered, i.e., U, B, and V, located primarily in the near UV, blue, and the center of the visible portions of the spectrum, respectively (refs. 15 and 16 were used for information on the solar spectrum). Since the spectral albedo of the earth is not defined, estimates must be made from available data.

A number of references, including $13,14,17,18$, and 19 , indicate the visual albedo ( 0.38 to 0.76 micron) to be 0.41 versus the 0.36 for the complete solar spectrum. Reference 20 presents the spectral absorptance of the atmosphere (no clouds), which shows that the ozone layer in the upper atmosphere absorbs essentially 100 percent of radiation below 0.33 micron and is transparent to longer wave lengths. The lower atmosphere, which has a number of absorption bands due to $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in the atmosphere, forms a fairly continuous


Figure A2. - Curve fit.

## APPENDIX A



Figure A3. - UBV response functions.
curve for the three bands, with the longer wave lengths having a much larger absorptance. Reference 21 gives the following breakdown of the albedo and absorptance of the solar energy striking the earth: 24 percent reflected from clouds ( 51 percent cloudiness). 7 percent scattered back to space by air molecules and dust, and 4 percent reflected from ground to space (albedo 35 percent); and 65 percent reradiation occurring at longer wave lengths, made up of 47 percent absorbed by ground (including oceans), 13 percent by water vapor and dust, 3 percent by the ozone layer, and 2 percent by clouds. Rayleigh scattering accounts for the blue appearance of the sky.

Reference 22 reports on the spectral albedo of clouds, showing a constant albedo to wave lengths of 0.8 micron and decreasing values thereafter, with the visible and infrared solar values being 8 percent greater and less than the average value, respectively.

Photographs taken by astronauts, as well as Rayleigh scattering theory, show that the earth has a definite bluish color, except for ground fcatures with high albedos such as desert areas (red), polar areas (white), and clouds (white).

Based upon the above information. Table AI was constructed to estimate albedo values for the three bands and the correction factor $c$ for equation (A6). Recommended values of $c$ are 1.2, 1.2, and 1.1 for the $\mathrm{U}, \mathrm{B}$, and V bands, respectivcly.
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TABLE AI. - SPECTRAL ALBEDOS AND CORRECTION FACTORS

| Band | Albedo |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Clouds | Ground | Atm scattering | Total | c |
|  | 0.25 | 0.04 | 0.07 | 0.36 | 1.0 |
|  | .28 | .04 | .09 | .41 | 1.1 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | .28 | .03 | .14 | .45 | 1.2 |
|  | .24 | .03 | .12 | $.39(\mathrm{~b})$ | $1.1(\mathrm{~b})$ |
|  | .28 | .03 | .12 | .43 | 1.2 |
| V | .28 | .04 | .09 | .41 | 1.1 |

(a) 14 percent of energy below $0.33 \mu$ and 86 percent above $0.33 \mu$.
(b) Valid for incident energy on satellite. Due to atmospheric attenuation of observations, value of total albedo of $0.43(c=1.2)$ should be used for photometric work.

Albedo varies not only with weather conditions but also with terrain, as discussed in references $13,14,17,18$, and 19.

Strong gradients in the value of albedo occur at coast lines, with oceans being poor reflectors except at low grazing angles and land areas being better reflectors but with large variations in values. Based upon the above references, a second correction factor ( $c^{\prime}$ ) based on terrain may be included if desired. Table AП presents such data for observations from southern California.

A possible significant source of error in albedo corrections is the albedo variation with elongation angle $\alpha$ and altitude, as shown in figure A1 and approximated by equation (A5). The elongation angle dependence presumes a diffusely reflecting earth. Reflections from the earth are much more complex, involving such items as Rayleigh scattering, backscattering from ground surfaces, and some specular reflections from icecaps and oceans. An albedo model including these effects has not been developed, but the probable effect would be a flattening of the figure A1 curves so that albedo variation with elongation angle is not quite so pronounced.

## TABLE AII. - ALBEDOS AND CORRECTION FACTORS FOR TERRAIN BENEATH SATELLITE

| Terrain | Albedo | $c^{\prime}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Earth | 0.36 | 1.0 |
| Oceans | 0.34 | 0.95 |
| Arctic | 0.44 | 1.20 |
| North America |  |  |

(a) Excluding arctic regions, but including some desert regions.
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## Conclusions

An equation was developed that can be used to calculate the effect of earth albedo on the stellar magnitude increase of a specular and spherical satellite. The equation is valid over the range of 1000 to 5000 statute miles altitude and values of $\alpha$ from the point where the satellite appears on the horizon to an observer at twilight to where the satellite enters the umbra. Correction factors were developed to account for spectral albedo variations in the three bands as shown in table AI. Large variations ( 7 to 55 percent) in albedo occur for a given measurement due to weather conditions and type of terrain viewed by the satellite, with 36 percent being an average value for the entire solar spectrum. Possible sources of error in albedo corrections include the variation of the stellar magnitude increment with elongation angle $\alpha$ from predicted values due to non-diffuse reflections from the earth, and neglecting of diffuse reflections from the satellite in the albedo correction.
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## SAMPLE SIZE REQUIREMENTS FOR PAGEOS I SURVEILLANCE

## Summary

The desired accuracies for the photometric determinations are as follows:
(1) Satellite stellar magnitude, m , to $\pm 0.2$ magnitudes.
(2) Solar reflectance, $\gamma$, to $\pm 2$ percent.
(3) Specularity, $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{sp}} /\left(\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{Sp}}+\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{d}}\right)$, to $\pm 2$ percent.
(4) Satellite diameter to $\pm 1.4$ percent.

The following analysis derives the sample sizes necessary so that the averages for these determinations will be within the desired precision, when the individual measurements are not in cach of the three bands.

The required number of observations per pass (that is, the statistical sample sizes, N , necessary to meet these desired accuracies) without calibration bias and with a confidence probability of 96 percent, are as follows: V-band, $N_{V}-15$; U-band, $N_{u}-41$; and B-band, $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{b}}$ - 21. These are pessimistic overestimates of sample size based on assumptions made by the derivations.

The nightly calibrations introduce a bias into all the measurements of stellar magnitude in each of the three bands. However, from night to night this bias is not constant, but is a randomly varying quantity. Although these random biases may not average out to zero for a small sample of nights, tabulations of students' $t$ distribution reveal that for a sample size of 30 nights the average of the sample is practically invariant and equal to the population mean. Since more than fifty nightly observations were made, it was assured that their pooled results completely canceled this source of error.

The minimum PAGEOS I visibility time required to obtain a successful observation (a significant number of data points in $\mathrm{U}, \mathrm{B}$, and V ) is 5 minutes while the satellite remains above 20 degrees elevation. This permits the required number of data points.

## Derivations

Specularity. - Sample sizes for specularity are estimated first. They are then verified to provide the required precision in the other parameters.

Because of the complex formulas used to estimate specularity, a general statistical approach will be used. A useful statistical tool is Tchebycheff's Inequality. This law states that for any random variable, $x$, of any arbitrary probability distribution, $f(x)$, the sample mean, $\bar{x}$, will deviate from its true value, $\mu$, by an amount exceeding a factor, $K$, of the
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standard deviation, $\sigma$, with a probability less than $1 / K^{2} \mathrm{~N}$. In probability notation, where $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{r}}$ [ ] means probability of occurrence of the statement in the brackets, the above description is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{r}}[|\overline{\mathrm{x}}-\mu|>\mathrm{K} \quad \sigma]<\frac{1}{\mathrm{~K}^{2} \mathrm{~N}} \tag{B1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the specified accuracy of $\pm 2$ percent must occur with a confidence probability, C , of 0.96 , the specularity requirement may be symbolized similarly to equation (B1):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Pr}[|\overline{\mathrm{x}}-\mu|>\rho \mu]<1-\mathrm{C}=1-0.96=0.04 \tag{B2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\rho=$ proportion of $\mu$, which is the true value estimated by x .
Therefore, from (B1) and (B2), $\rho \mu=\mathrm{K} \sigma$; thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{K}=\frac{\rho \mu}{\sigma} \tag{B3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, from (B1) and (B2):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\mathrm{~K}^{2} \mathrm{~N}}=1-\mathrm{C} \tag{B4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, substituting from (B3) into (B4) for K and solving for the sample size, N , we have:

$$
\mathrm{N}=\frac{(\sigma / \mu)^{2}}{\rho^{2}(1-\mathrm{C})}
$$

However, the coefficient of variation $\mathrm{C}_{v}=\sigma / \mu$ and is a statistical measure of relative error. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
N=\frac{\left(C_{V} / \rho\right)^{2}}{1-C} \tag{B5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (B5) is a parametric solution into which we may insert various relative coefficients of variation for given required accuracies. At a confidence level of 96 percent, and with $\rho$ equal to 2 percent and $1-\mathrm{C}$ equal to 0.04 , sample sizes can be calculated as follows:

| $\underline{\mathrm{C}}_{\mathrm{v}}$ | $\underline{\mathrm{N}}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $1 \%$ | $6.25(7)$ |
| $3 \%$ | $56.25(57)$ |
| $5 \%$ | $156.25(157)$ |
| $8 \%$ | 400 |
| $10 \%$ | 625 |

In reference 8, page 10, two regression coefficients, $A_{\text {sp }}$ and $B_{d}$, are computed from repeated data points. The specularity, S , is then estimated by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{S}=\frac{\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{sp}}}{\mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{sp}}+\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{d}}} \tag{B6}
\end{equation*}
$$
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To estimate what sample size we need in this regression to satisfy the required accuracy, we need the $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{V}}(\mathrm{S})$, the coefficient of variation of S . Thus, we first seek the standard deviation, $\sigma_{S}$, of S . To derive this we use Gaussian propagation of error (ref. 9 p .118 ) to develop $\sigma_{\mathrm{S}}$ for specularity:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{S} & =\left[\left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial A_{S p}}\right)^{2} \sigma_{A_{S p}}^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{d}}}\right)^{2} \sigma_{\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{d}}}^{2}\right]{ }^{1 / 2} \\
& =\left(\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{sp}}+\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{d}}\right)^{-2}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{d}}^{2} \sigma_{\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{sp}}}^{2}+\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{sp}}^{2} \sigma_{\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{d}}}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then the coefficient of variation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{v}}(\mathrm{~S})=\frac{\sigma_{\mathrm{S}}}{\mathrm{~S}}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{sp}}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{sp}}+\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{d}}\right)}\left(\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{d}}^{2} \sigma_{\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{sp}}}^{2}+\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{sp}}^{2} \sigma_{\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{d}}}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{B7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Given typical values of A and B , we need expressions for $\sigma_{\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{Sp}}}$ and $\sigma_{\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{d}}}$. These are available
from regression analyses (ref. 9, p. 535 ) as:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sigma_{\mathrm{A}}^{2}=\frac{\sigma^{2}}{\mathrm{~N}}  \tag{B8}\\
& \sigma_{\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{d}}}^{2}=\frac{\sigma^{2}}{\Sigma \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{i}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}-\overline{\mathrm{x}}\right)^{2}} \tag{B9}
\end{align*}
$$

Although values of the denominator of ${\sigma_{B_{d}}}^{2}$ are not available, an apparently safe assumption is to assume

$$
\sigma_{\mathrm{B}}^{2}=\sigma_{\mathrm{A}}^{2}{ }_{\mathrm{sp}}^{2}=\sigma^{2} / \mathrm{N} .
$$

In this, $\sigma^{2}$, which is the standard error of residuals, is not known, but a pessimistic overestimate is possible from the dependent variable, $10^{-0.4 m}$ (ref. 8, p. 10). To accomplish this we again use Gaussian propagation of error.

Let $f(m)=10^{-0.4 m}$, where $m$ is stellar magnitude. Then:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{~m})}{ }^{2}=\left[\frac{\partial \mathrm{f}}{\partial \mathrm{~m}}\right]^{2} \sigma_{\mathrm{m}}^{2}=\left[(-0.4)(2.30259) 10^{-0.4 \mathrm{~m}}\right]^{2} \sigma_{\mathrm{m}}{ }^{2} \tag{B10}
\end{equation*}
$$

By assuming that the bracketed term equals unity, another pessimistic overestimate of $\sigma$ is introduced.

Given typical values for all parameters, we are now in a position to estimate $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{v}}(\mathrm{S})$ and thus the required sample size, $N$, using the $U$ band $\sigma$ since it is highest:
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$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{Sp}} \cong 3.8 \\
& \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{d}} \cong 0.12
\end{aligned}
$$

$2.5 \leq \mathrm{m} \leq 3.0$

$$
\sigma=0.10
$$

In equation ( B 10 ), $\sigma$ is for individual values as is $\sigma_{S}$; thus in ( B 8 ) and ( B 9 ), $\mathrm{N}=1$; the . final $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{v}}(\mathrm{S})$ equation into which we can substitute the above values is:

$$
C_{v}(S) \cong \frac{\sigma_{m}}{A_{s p}\left(A_{s p}+B_{d}\right)}\left(B_{d}^{2}+A_{s p}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

or

$$
C_{V}(S)=0.0255
$$

Substituting this value into that for sample size N in equation (B5),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{N}=\frac{\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{v}} / \rho\right)^{2}}{1-\mathrm{C}}=\frac{(0.0255 / 0.02)^{2}}{1-0.96} \\
& \mathrm{~N}=41
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, in the $U$ band a sample of 41 data points in the regression fit for $A_{s p}$ and $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{d}}$ (ref. 8, p. 10) will give a specularity estimate that will be within $\pm 2$ percent of the true value with a confidence probability of 96 percent. This is the maximum that will be needed. Calculations for the other two bands, which have lower $\sigma$ values, will result in smaller sample sizes. In the B band, by the same formulas, a sample size of $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{b}}=21$ is required for $\pm 2$ percent accuracy on specularity. In the $V$ band, $N_{v}=15$.

Radius of curvature. - The sample sizes derived for specularity arc now examined for satisfaction of the precision on radius of curvature, $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{c}}$. From the calculations in reference 8, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
10^{-0.4 \mathrm{~m}}=\mathrm{K} \gamma \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2}, \tag{B11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where K is some constant.
The coefficient of variation of a variable $y, C_{v}(y)$, is defined to be the standard deviation, $\sigma$, divided by the mean, M :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{v}}(\mathrm{y})=\sigma / \mathrm{M} \tag{B12}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is well known (see ref. 9, p. 248) that for an equation such as (B11):

$$
\begin{equation*}
0.16 \sigma_{\mathrm{m}}^{2}=\overline{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{v}}(\gamma)^{2}}+\overline{4 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{v}}\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{c}}\right)^{2}} \tag{B13}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to arrive at the sample sizes that will be necessary to meet the desired precision of estimates, the following assumptions are made.

First, in equation (B13) it is assumed that $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{v}}(\gamma)=0$, which will give an overestimate of $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{y}}\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{c}}\right)$ and thus safely exaggerate the sample size. Also, from page 318 of reference 23 the following standard deviations are derivable. Reference 23 gives probable errors, p.e., for stellar photometry for $z=60$ degrees (i.e., $\sec z=2$ ).

$$
\text { p.e. }(V)= \pm 0.04
$$

p.e. $(B-V)= \pm 0.02$
p.e. $(U-B)= \pm 0.04$

Therefore (for $\sec z=2$ ):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma_{\mathrm{v}}=\frac{0.04}{0.6745}=0.06 \\
& \sigma_{\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{v}}=\frac{0.02}{0.6745^{-}}=0.03 \\
& \sigma_{\mathrm{u}-\mathrm{b}}=\frac{0.04}{0.6745}=0.06
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the standard deviation of sums of random variables,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma_{\mathrm{b}}=\sqrt{\sigma_{\mathrm{v}}^{2}+\sigma_{\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{v}}^{2}}=\sqrt{(0.06)^{2}+(0.03)^{2}}=0.07 \\
& \sigma_{\mathrm{u}}=\sqrt{\sigma_{\mathrm{u}-\mathrm{b}}^{2}+\sigma_{\mathrm{b}}^{2}}=0.09
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, the three bands' standard deviations of stellar photometry for $\mathbf{z}=60$ degrees are:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\sigma_{\mathrm{v}}=0.06 \\
\sigma_{\mathrm{u}}=0.09 \\
\sigma_{\mathrm{b}}=0.07 \tag{B14}
\end{array}\right\} \quad \text { stellar magnttude }
$$

Now, from the definition for the coefficient of variation, it follows for an average $R_{c}$ of $M_{R_{c}}=50 \mathrm{ft}$ and assuming that in equation (B13) $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{v}}(\gamma)=0$ :

$$
0.4 \sigma_{\mathrm{m}}=2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{v}}\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{c}}\right)=2\left(\sigma_{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{c}}} / \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{c}}}\right)
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{c}}}=0.2 \sigma_{\mathrm{m}} \cdot \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{c}}} \tag{B15}
\end{equation*}
$$
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The nominal radius of curvature is $M_{R_{c}}=50$ feet; therefore, in each of the three bands we have a standard deviation, $\sigma_{\bar{R}_{c}}$, of the estimator $\bar{R}_{c}$ of $R_{c}$ :

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\sigma_{\mathrm{v}}\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{c}}\right)=0.2(0.06)(50 \mathrm{ft})=0.60  \tag{B16}\\
\sigma_{\mathrm{u}}\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{c}}\right)=0.2(0.09)(50 \mathrm{ft})=1.0 \\
\sigma_{\mathrm{b}}\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{c}}\right)=0.2(0.07)(50 \mathrm{ft})=0.70
\end{array}\right\}
$$

An estimate of $R_{c}$ can now be made by a pooled sample average, $\bar{R}_{c}$, of the three averages from each of the three bands. These three averages, $\bar{R}_{c}(V), \bar{R}_{c}(U)$, and $\bar{R}_{c}(B)$, may be combined into an overall estimate of the true $R_{c}$ with sample sizes $N_{v}, N_{u}$, and $N_{b}$ in each:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{R}_{c}=\frac{\bar{R}_{c}(V) N_{v}+\bar{R}_{c}(U) N_{u}+\bar{R}_{c}(B) N_{b}}{N_{v}+N_{u}+N_{b}} \tag{B17}
\end{equation*}
$$

This $\bar{R}_{c}$ will have a standard deviation, $\sigma_{\bar{R}_{c}}$, given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{\bar{R}_{c}}=\left[\frac{\sigma_{\bar{R}_{c(V)}}^{2} \cdot \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{v}}^{2}+\sigma_{\bar{R}_{c(U)}}^{2} \cdot \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{u}}^{2}+\sigma_{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{c}(\mathrm{~B})}}^{2} \cdot \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{b}}^{2}}{\left(\mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{v}}+\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{u}}+\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{b}}\right)^{2}}\right] 1 / 2 \tag{B18}
\end{equation*}
$$

But the standard error of any average $\overline{\mathrm{x}}$ is, for sample size of N , related to the standard deviation, $\sigma_{R_{c}}$, of the individuals by:

$$
\sigma_{\overline{\mathrm{X}}}{ }^{\mathrm{C}}=\frac{\sigma_{\mathrm{X}}^{2}}{\mathrm{~N}}
$$

Therefore, (B18) becomes:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{\bar{R}_{\mathrm{c}}}=\left[\frac{\sigma_{\mathrm{v}}^{2} \cdot \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{v}}+\sigma_{\mathrm{u}}^{2} \cdot \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{u}}+\sigma_{\mathrm{b}}^{2} \cdot \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{b}}}{\left(\mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{v}}+\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{u}}+\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{b}}\right)^{2}}\right]^{1 / 2} \tag{B19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, using the sample sizes $N_{v}=15, N_{u}=41$, and $N_{b}=21$ for the specularity estimates, we must show that with a confidence probability of 96 percent the error in measuring $R_{c}$ will be less than $\pm 0.7$ foot ( 2.06 standard deviation corresponds to 96 percent probability):

$$
\begin{align*}
\sigma \bar{R}_{c} & =\left[\frac{(0.60)^{2}(15)+(1.0)^{2}(41)+(0.70)^{2}(21)}{(15+41+21)^{2}}\right]^{1 / 2}  \tag{B20}\\
& =0.010 \text { foot } \tag{B20a}
\end{align*}
$$

and $2.06 \sigma_{\bar{R}_{c}}=0.021$ foot, which is less than the target 0.7 foot. The requirements will easily be met by the sample sizes.
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Stellar magnitude. - The requirement is $\pm 0.2$ with a confidence probability of 96 percent. This is equivalent to 2.06 standard deviations on the normal curve of error, or:

$$
\begin{equation*}
2.06 \frac{\sigma_{\mathrm{m}}}{\sqrt{\mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{m}}}} \leq 0.2 \tag{B21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the established sample sizes and the $\sigma_{\mathrm{m}}$ from equation (B14), the above inequality must be satisfied. For the three bands the left-hand side of equation (B21) is as follows:

V band: $2.06(0.06 / \sqrt{15})=0.932$
U band: $2.06(0.09 / \sqrt{41})=0.030$
B band: $2.06(0.07 / \sqrt{21})=0.031$
Therefore, stellar magnitude will be measured to the required precision by the established sample sizes.

Solar reflectance. - Using equation (B13), the coefficient of variation of $\gamma$ may be expressed as a function of the variance of stellar magnitude, $\sigma_{\mathrm{m}}{ }^{2}$, and the coefficient of variation of radius of curvature estimates, $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{c}}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[C_{v}(\gamma)\right]^{2}=0.16 \sigma_{m}^{2}+4\left[C_{v}\left(R_{c}\right)\right]^{2} \tag{B22}
\end{equation*}
$$

We must now show that the sample average $\bar{\gamma}$ in all three bands satisfies $\pm 2$ percent precision with 96 percent probability; that is,

$$
2.06 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{v}}(\bar{\gamma}) \leq 0.02
$$

or that all sample sizes meet

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{v}}(\bar{\gamma}) \quad 0.02 / 2.06=9.71 \times 10^{-3} \tag{B23}
\end{equation*}
$$

To do this we note that for sample averages, equation (B22) becomes:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{v}}(\bar{\gamma})\right]^{2}=0.16\left(\sigma_{\mathrm{m}}^{2} / \mathrm{N}\right)+4\left[\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{v}}\left(\overline{\mathrm{R}}_{\mathrm{c}}\right)\right]^{2} \tag{B24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where N is sample size in each of the three bands.
From equations (B20) and (B20a) we have

$$
\mathrm{C}\left(\overline{\mathrm{R}}_{\mathrm{c}}\right)=\frac{{ }^{\sigma} \overline{\mathrm{R}}_{\mathrm{c}}}{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{c}}}=\frac{0.010 \text { foot }}{50 \mathrm{feet}}=2 \times 10^{-4}
$$

Then equation (B24) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{C}(\bar{\gamma})^{2}=0.16\left(\sigma_{\mathrm{m}}^{2} / \mathrm{N}\right)+16 \times 10^{-8} \tag{B25}
\end{equation*}
$$
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Substituting the three bands $\sigma_{\mathrm{m}}$ from equation (B14) and the established sample sizes in equation (B25) gives:

V band: $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{v}}(\bar{\gamma})_{\mathrm{v}}=5.0 \times 10^{-3}$
U band: $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{V}}(\bar{\gamma})_{\mathrm{u}}=6.0 \times 10^{-3}$
$B$ band: $C_{V}(\bar{\gamma})_{b}=6.1 \times 10^{-3}$
All three above satisfy the requirement of equation (B23). Therefore, the established sample sizes in each of the bands meet the required precisions.

Trend Analysis

The possibility exists that the satellite's parameters may change with time. This trend may be slight enough that the random variations existing in the experiment will tend to obscure it. Statistical methods that exist for detecting trend in the presence of "noise" (i.e., random variations) can be continuously applied to the data on each of the parameters to monitor and estimate the rate of change.
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## FILLING OUT CONTROL CARD I AND THE DATA POINT INFORMATION CARD

## Control Card I

Columns 1, 2, 3, and 4. - The first four columns of control card I (fig. C1) are used to identify the data as part of one of the three calibration constant determinations or as part of the determination of satellite stellar magnitudes. The codes are as follows:

CAL6 - Determination of second-order extinction coefficients. These calibration constants are determined by repeatedly observing close pairs of stars having widely differing color indices through a wide range of altitudes.
CAL7 - Determination of scale factors for system transformation. Using the data from CAL6 determinations, these calibration constants are determined by observing a number of standard stars, ranging widely in color, through substantially the same air mass, preferably all near the zenith.

CAL8 - Determination of primary extinction coefficients and zero-point terms. Using determinations from both CAL6 and CAL7, these constants are determined by observing a number of high-z stars and low-z stars of spectral classes F-G-K, both before and after the satellite pass.

OBS3 - Determination of satellite stellar magnitude. This is computer program E-1213.

Columns 5 through 23. - The rest of the control card I columns are used as follows (terms are defined at the end of this appendix):

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Columns } 5 \text { and } 6 & - \\
\text { Month (I2, right adjusted) } \\
\text { Columns } 7 \text { and } 8 & - \\
\text { Day (I2, right adjusted) } \\
\text { Columns } 9 \text { and } 10- & \text { Year (I2, right adjusted) } \\
\text { Columns } 11 \text { thru } 28-\text { Not used } \\
\text { Columns } 29 \text { thru } 48-\text { Header } \\
\text { (Remainder of columns not used.) }
\end{array}
$$

Data Point Information Card

The data point information card (fig. C2) is filled out for computer programs E-1960, 1970, and 1980 (calibration constant determinations). Columns are used as follows (terms are defined at the end of this appendix):

Column 1 - Filter number: $\mathrm{V}=1, \mathrm{U}=2, \mathrm{~B}=3$ (11)
Columns 2 and 3 - Hour UT (I2, right adjusted)
Columns 4 and 5 - Minute UT (I2, right adjusted)


Figure C1. - Control card I.
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## Additional Forms

The Operations Data Sheet form (fig. C3) is used as an aid in setting circle determinations as well as a check on the stars which were used in the 3 calibration programs. The Maintenance Data Sheet (fig. C4) is a sheet for recording maintenance functions performed on the observatory.

## Definition of Terms

Computer card format. -

Right adjusted
Iw (w is an interger)

- Number must be in the right-most positions of the field allowed.
- This specifies that an interger is to be righiadjusted in a field of size "w." Example: For I2, any number would have to be rightadjusted in a field of size 2. Given the number 3 to put in this field, one would get: 0

Fw. d (w and d are intergers - This specifies that a decimal number is to be put in a field of size " $w$ " with "d" decimal places. Examples: For F4.0, given the number 23.8, one would get \begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|l|}
\hline 0 \& 0 \& 2 \& 4 <br>
\hline

 F3.3, given the number 0.5678 , one would get 

\hline 5 \& 6 \& 8 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}
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| STARS USED Calibration | operatio FHOTOMETR or $\square$ $\square$ $\square$ |  | ta | SHEE | OBSERVAT <br> xtinction for System tion Coeff | ON <br> Coefficient <br> Transforme <br> icients and | DATE $\qquad$ <br> SIGNATURE $\qquad$ <br> tion <br> Zero Point Terms |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Observing <br> Estimated <br> Time (U.T.) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Star } \\ \text { Identity } \\ \text { (B.S.) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r}\text { S } \\ \text { Ma } \\ \hline \text { U } \\ \hline\end{array}$ | tel | lir | Sidereal | Right Ascension | Local Hour <br> Angle | Declination | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Star } \\ \text { Chart } \\ \text { Number } \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | . |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Figure C3. - Operations data sheet.

Names of the Fields. -

Header

Intensity - The reading which corresponds to a galvanometer deflection in inches.
Gain number - A gain setting used to compute a gain factor used in determining the gain. (See table C1.)
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$\qquad$

MAINTENANCE DATA SHEET

Information To Be Entered:
(1) Maintenance Performed
(2) Parts Replaced
(3) Fueling, Servicing, Adjustments
(4) Supplies And Spare Parts Purchased
(5) Equipment Malfunctions
(6) Damage To Equipment
(7) Other

Figure C4. - Maintenance data sheet.

TABLE C1. - GAIN INFORMATION

| Gain No. | Gain range | Gain factor | S |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $10 \mathrm{~mA} \mathrm{( } 10^{-2} \mathrm{~A}$ ) | 1 | 0 |
| 2 | 3 | 3.3 | 1. 3072 |
| 3 | $1 \quad\left(10^{-2} \mathrm{~A}\right)$ | 10 | 2.5 |
| 4 | 0.3 | 33.3 | 3.8072 |
| 5 | 0.1 ( $10^{-4} \mathrm{~A}$ ) | 100 | 5.0 |
| 6 | 0.03 | 333 | 6. 3072 |
| 7 | $10 \mu \mathrm{~A} \quad\left(10^{-5} \mathrm{~A}\right)$ | 1000 | 7.5 |
| 8 | 3 (10 ${ }^{-6}$ | 3333 | 8.8072 |
| 9 | 1 ( $\left.10^{-6} \mathrm{~A}\right)$ | 10000 | 10.0 |
| 10 | 0.3 | 33333 | 11. 3072 |
| 11 | 0.1 ( $10^{-7} \mathrm{~A}$ ) | 100000 | 12.5 |
| 12 | 0.03 | 333333 | 13.8072 |
| 13 | $10 \mathrm{~m} \mu \mathrm{~A}\left(10^{-8} \mathrm{~A}\right)$ | 1000000 | 15.0 |
| 14 | 3 (10-9 | 3333333 | 16.3072 |
| 15 | $1 \quad\left(10^{-9} \mathrm{~A}\right)$ | 10000000 | 17.5 |
| 16 | 0.3 (10-10 | 33333333 | 18.8072 |
| 17 | 0.1 ( $\left.10^{-10} \mathrm{~A}\right)$ | 100000000 | 20.0 |
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## DESCRIPTION OF COMPUTER PROGRAM PRINTOUT FOR PAGEOS I GROUND-BASED PHOTOMETRIC SURVEILLANCE

This appendix presents a computer printout for the second pass of the PAGEOS I satellite on 17 August 1966. The printout demonstrates the method of calculation of the various extinction coefficient and satellite parameters.

The five computer programs are as follows:
(1) Computer program E-1960, Second-Order Extinction Coefficients. The printout of the E-1960 program is not included. The last observation was performed on 17 August 1966. Values of $k_{b-v}^{\prime \prime}=-0.02760$ and $k_{u-b}^{\prime \prime}=-0.04053$ were obtained.
(2) Computer program E-1970, Transformation Scale Factors (table D1). The observation was performed on 13 August 1966. The values of the second-order coefficients performed on 17 August were used to calculate the new set of values for the scale factors, as may be verified in the table of calibration constants (table II of this report). The values in columns 4, 5, and $6\left(\mathrm{DV} / \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{v}}, \mathrm{DU} / \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{u}}\right.$, and $\mathrm{DB} / \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{b}}$ ) refer to galvanometer deflection in inches/photometer gain position.
(3) Computer program E-1980, Primary Extinction Coefficients (table D2). This printout shows the standard stars, and the calculated values used to obtain the primary extinction coefficients ( $k_{v}^{\prime}, k_{b-v}^{\prime}, k_{u-b}^{\prime}$ ) and the zero-point terms ( $\zeta_{\mathrm{v}}$, $\zeta_{b-v}$, and $\zeta_{\mu-b}$ ). The "difference in dependent variable" denotes the amount of variation of the individual points from the best-fit straight line for the three spectral regions. The standard error of residuals for these differences is also shown.
(4) Computer program E-1213, Satellite Photometer Program: U-B-V Stellar Magnitude (table D3). The information on this run is for the most part self-explanatory; however, a few items require an explanation. The orbital elements shown at the start of the run are used to calculate the various satellite positions listed. UT refers to universal time (UT $=E S T+5$ hours). The last two columns (EA MAG and MAGO) are the stellar magnitudes. The column on the far right is the extraatmospheric magnitude for the given slant range. The column second from the right (MAGO) refers to the extra-atmospheric magnitude, which is normalized for slant range to 2640 statute miles, with a correction factor for earth albedo included. This is the magnitude value which is used in the E-1214 program. The second line for each color band contains three terms. These refer to the correction factors - albedo (magnitudes), slant range (magnitudes), and the angle $\alpha$ (see appendix A).
(5) Computer program E-1214, Specularity and Diffusivity Determinations: Radius of Curvature and Reflectance (table D4). A very large number of parameters are printed out on this series, most of which are intermediate steps in the calculation of the parameters of interest. These include mean normalized magnitude, specularity, radius of curvature, and indicated reflectivity.
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TABLE D1. - COMPUTER PROGRAM E-1970 PRINTOUT


SYSTEM TKANSFDKMATICIN STALE FACTI:RS

| EPS | $=0.04535$ |
| ---: | :--- |
|  | $=0.98140$ |



## APPENDIX D

TABLE D2. - COMPUTER PROGRAM E-1980 PRINTOUT
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TABLE D3. - COMPUTER PROGRAM E-1213 PRINTOUT


STATION COORDIMATES _ 33.31029116 .84860

CALIBRAITIN COMSTANTS
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TABLE D3. - CONTDNUED
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TABLE D3. - CONTINUED
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## TABLE D3. - CONCLUDED



## APPENDIX D

TABLE D4. - COMPUTER PROGRAM E-1214 PRINTOUT

| E1214 | pageds $V$ bamd | 8/17 | 2HD PASS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 39 POINTS |  |  |  |
| MEAH MGRMALI2ED MAGNITUDE = | 2.08686 |  |  |
| SIGMA DF The magitudes = | 0.19343 |  |  |
| BEST FIT SPECULAR MAGNITUDE ASSUMING B=0 | . 2.07026 |  |  |
| ASSUMED COEF OF REFLECTIVITY | 0.89600 |  | (far radius of curvature determinatiuns) |
| REGRESSION A = 0.55445 |  |  |  |
| REGRESSION B = 0.02821 |  |  |  |
| SPECULARITY FROM REGRESSION | 95.16 | Percent |  |



TABLE D4. - CONTINUED
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## TABLE D4. - CONTINUED



CORRELATION COEFFICIENT $=0.05777$

STLOENTS T = 0.51019

TABLE D4. - CONCLUDED
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[^0]:    For sale by the Clearinghouse for Federal Scientific and Technical Information Springfield，Virginia 22151 －CFSTI price $\$ 3.00$

[^1]:    *Always extra-atmospheric; values for standard stars are obtainable from references 2 and 3.

[^2]:    *Always extra-atmospheric; values for standard stars are obtainable from references 2 and 3.

[^3]:    Note: a bar above a symbol denotes mean value

[^4]:    *'True value" is that parameter number obtained by an infinite number of unbiased, randomly varying quantities.

[^5]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ See tables VI, VII, and VIII for reasons observations were not taken on certain dates.

