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#### Abstract

Pairs of Relativistic Space-Times are classified according to their Segre characteristics. Suitable bases consisting of pseudo-orthonormal tetrads are constructed and the condition that the spaces should have corresponding geodesics is imposed. It is found that the [ 3,1 ] and [ $(3,1)$ ] classes contain spaces with corresponding geodesics. The most general forms of the metrics in these classes are derived. Of these metrics, the vacuum ones are shown to be algebraically special, in the sense of the Petrov classification.


[^0]Let $V_{n}$ and $V_{n}^{\prime}$ be two Riemannian $n-s p a c e s$ with fundamental forms $g_{a b}$ and $h_{a b}$. If the elementary divisors of $g_{a b}$ and $h_{a b}$ are all real and simple, as is the case when $g_{a b}$ is positive definite, then there exist mutually orthogonal non-null eigenvectors. However, when both spaces are indefinite, null eigenvectors may occur and there is the possibility that the elementary divisors are not simple. In such cases the eigenvectors do not span the spaces. Relativistic space times are Riemannian 4 -spaces and may be spanned by eigenvectors and generalized eigenvectors.

Wong ${ }^{1}$ has developed the theory of quasi-orthogonal ennuples, which had previously been introduced by Lense ${ }^{2}$, and applied it to the problem of finding pairs of $V_{3}$ with corresponding geodesics. Bases consisting of eigenvectors and generalized eigenvectors forming quasi-orthonormal tetrad systems ${ }^{3,4,5}$ are here found to be suitable frameworks for the consideration of the problem in four dimensions also.

The correspondence between the geodesics of the Relativistic spaces would mean physically that motions of free particles would be in correspondence. The equations of test particles in the one space would also be the equations of test particles in the second space.

Of special interest are empty relativistic space-times having corresponding geodesics. Two spaces have corresponding geodesics if and only if their projective curvature tensors are identical ${ }^{7}$. In empty space, the projective curvature tensor and the conformal tensor being identical, the Petrov classification ${ }^{6}$ here gives a classification of spaces with corresponding geodesics.

Let $\mathrm{v}^{\mathrm{a}},=1 . .4 *$ be a basis which forms a quasi-orthogonal tetrad in the space $g_{a b}$. The basis constructed in the $[3,1]$ and $[(3,1)]$ classes consists of two null vectors and two unit spacelike vectors. If the null vectors are $\mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{a}}$ and $\mathrm{v}^{\mathrm{a}}$, the spacelike vectors $\mathrm{v}^{\mathrm{a}}$ (3) and $\mathrm{v}^{\mathrm{a}}$ (4), then they satisfy the following quasiorthogonal conditions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{v}^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{a}}=1, \mathrm{v}^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{a}}=0, \mathrm{v}^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{a}}=0, \mathrm{v}^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{a}}=0, \quad \mathrm{v}^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{a}}=0, \\
& \begin{array}{llll}
(1)(2) & (1)(3) & (1)(4) & \text { (1)(1) }
\end{array} \\
& \mathrm{v}^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{a}}=0, \quad \mathrm{v}^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{a}}=0, \quad \mathrm{v}^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{a}}=0, \quad \mathrm{v}^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{a}}=1, \quad \mathrm{v}^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{a}}=1 . \\
& \begin{array}{llll}
(2)(3) & \text { (2) }(4) & \text { (3) (4) } & \text { (3) (3) }
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

The signatures of the spaces are +2 .

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Define invariants } \underset{a \beta}{g}, \quad \underset{a \beta}{h} \text { and } \underset{g}{a \beta} \\
& \underset{a \beta}{g}=g_{a b} v_{(a)(\beta)}^{v^{a} v^{b}}, \quad \underset{a \beta}{h}=h_{a b} v^{a} v^{b}, \quad \underset{(a)(\beta)}{a \beta} \quad \underset{\beta \rho}{g}=\delta_{\rho}^{a} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Any tensor can be expressed in terms of the vectors $\mathrm{v}^{\mathrm{a}}$ and some (a)
invariants. For example, a tensor of the third order $A_{a b c}$ can be expressed

$$
A_{a b c}={ }^{a \beta \rho} A^{(a)} \quad V_{a} \quad V_{b} \quad V_{c}
$$

In particular

$$
g_{a b}=\stackrel{a \beta}{g} \underset{(a)}{v_{a}}{ }_{(\beta)} V_{b}
$$

and $\quad h_{a b}=\stackrel{a \beta}{h} V_{a} V_{b}$
(a) ( $\beta$ )
where $\begin{gathered}a \beta \\ h\end{gathered}$ is defined to be $\begin{gathered}a \rho \\ g \\ \\ \\ g \lambda\end{gathered} \begin{aligned} & h \\ & \rho \lambda\end{aligned}$.

* Latin indices denote tensor components and Greek indices tetrad components.

The matrix representation of $h_{a b}$ relative to the quasi-orthogonal basis, in the space $g_{a b}$, is given by ${ }_{a} h^{\beta}$, where ${ }_{a} h^{\beta}=\rho_{g}^{\beta}{ }_{a \rho}{ }^{h}$.

The bases in each case will consist of eigenvectors and generalized eigenvectors of $h_{a b}$ in the space $g_{a b}$. The matrix representations will thus be Jordan canonical forms, a unique representation for each Segre case ${ }^{8}$.

Coefficients of rotation ${ }^{7}$ are a set of invariants $\underset{a \beta \rho}{\gamma}$ defined by

They have the property that $\underset{\left(a B^{\prime}\right) \rho}{\gamma}=0 .^{\dagger}$
The necessary and sufficient conditions for the congruence $\mathrm{v}^{\mathrm{a}}$ to be (a)
hypersurface orthogonal are
$\left.\stackrel{v}{(a)}[a \stackrel{v}{(a)})^{b} \mid c\right]=0$
In terms of rotation coefficients, these become, for a null congruence such as $\mathrm{v}^{\mathrm{a}}$,
(1)

$$
\underset{131}{\gamma}=\underset{141}{\gamma}=0,
$$

and for a spacelike congruence such as $\mathrm{v}^{\mathrm{a}}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{3[\beta \rho]}{\gamma}=0, \quad \beta, \rho \neq 3 . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Sufficient conditions for null congruences such as $\mathrm{v}_{(1)}^{\mathrm{a}}$ to be geodesic are

$$
\underset{131}{\gamma}=\underset{141}{\gamma}=0 .
$$

** The slash is used to denote covariant differentiation and a comma will be used for partial differentiation.
$\dagger$ Round brackets around two or more tensor or tetrad indices denote symmetry on the indices enclosed and square brackets will be used for skew symmetry.

For a space like congruence $\mathrm{v}^{\mathrm{a}}(3)$, necessary and sufficient conditions for a geodesic are $\underset{a_{33}}{\gamma}=0$ for all a.

Expansion $(\stackrel{\theta}{a})$ is defined by $(\underset{(a)}{\mathrm{a}}) \mid a \cdot$
Let $\underset{(a)}{k_{a}}$ b be a projection operator, projecting into the infinitessimal 3-space orthogonal to the non-null vector $\underset{(a)}{v^{a}}$ and $\underset{(a)}{\dot{v}^{a}}=\underset{(a)}{v^{a}} \mid b \underset{(a)}{v^{b}}$, then shear, $(a)=\sigma_{a b}$ is defined by

$$
\underset{(a)}{\sigma_{a b}}=\underset{(a)}{v_{(a \mid b)}}+\underset{(a)}{\dot{v}(a)} \underset{(a)}{v}-1 / 3 \quad \underset{(a)}{ } \quad-\quad k_{a b}{ }^{9}
$$

In the case of $\underset{(a)}{v^{a}}$ being null and geodetic the shear of the congruence is given by

$$
|\sigma|^{2}=1 / 2\left(\mathrm{v}(\mathrm{a} \mid \mathrm{b}) \mathrm{v}^{\mathrm{a} \mid \mathrm{b}}-2 \theta^{2}\right)
$$

## 3. [4] Segre Characteristic

The canonical matrix representation $a^{h}{ }^{\beta}$ of $h_{a b}$ in the space $g_{a b}$ is

$$
\left(\begin{array}{llll}
\mathrm{A} & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \mathrm{~A} & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \mathrm{~A} & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \mathrm{~A}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Here the only eigenvalue is $A$, repeated three times.
Let the base vectors for this representation be $z^{a}, y^{a}, t^{a}$ and $x^{a}$ defined by the following chain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x^{a}=\left(h_{b}^{a}-A \delta_{b}^{a}\right) y^{b} \\
& t^{a}=\left(h_{b}^{a}-A \delta_{b}^{a}\right) x^{b} \\
& z^{a}=\left(h_{b}^{a}-A \delta_{b}^{a}\right) t^{b} \\
& \left(h_{b}^{a}-A \delta_{b}^{a}\right) z^{b}=0 \\
& z^{a} \text { is an eigenvector; } t^{a}, x^{a} \text { and } y^{a} \text { are generalized eigenvectors of }
\end{aligned}
$$

ranks $2,3,4$ respectively.
The relationships between these vectors will now be investigated.

$$
z^{a} z_{a}=\left(h_{b}^{a}-A \delta_{b}^{a}\right) t^{b} z_{a}=0
$$

implying that $z^{a}$ is null.

$$
t^{a} z_{a}=\left(h_{b}^{a}-A \delta_{b}^{a}\right) x_{z_{a}}^{b}=0
$$

implying that $t^{a}$ and $z^{a}$ are orthogonal.

$$
\begin{aligned}
t^{a} t_{a} & =\left(h_{b}^{a}-A \delta_{b}^{a}\right) x^{b} t_{a}=z^{a} z_{a} \\
& =\left(h_{b}^{a}-A \delta_{b}^{a}\right) y^{b} z_{a}=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

implying that $\mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{a}}$ is null.

Since the spaces of interest are known not to admit real orthogonal null vectors this case can be excluded.

A similar approach was taken in each of the [2, 2] and [(2,2)] Segre Classes. There it was found, in each case, that a pair of null, mutually orthogonal eigenvectors or generalized eigenvectors had to exist. The spaces of interest, being of signature +2 , are known not to allow such vectors.

Hence these classes contain no Relativistic space-times having corresponding geodesics.

## 4. [3, 1] Segre Characteristic

The Jordan canonical matrix representation is

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
A & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & A & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & A & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & (A+B)
\end{array}\right)
$$

A and $\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{B}$ being distinct eigenvalues.
The base vectors form the chains
$x^{a}=\left(h_{b}^{a}-A \delta_{b}^{a}\right) y^{b}$
(4-1) $\quad z^{a}=\left(h_{b}^{a}-A \delta_{b}^{a}\right) x^{b}$
$\left(h_{b}^{a}-A \delta_{b}^{a}\right) z^{b}=0$.
$\left(h_{b}^{a}-(A+B) \delta_{b}^{a}\right) t^{b}=0$.
$z^{a}$ and $t^{a}$ are eigenvectors, $x^{a}$ is a generalized eigenvector of rank 2 and $\mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{a}}$ is a generalized eigenvector of rank 3.

It will now be shown that a unique quasi-orthogonal ennuple of the type discussed on Page 3 can be constructed satisfying these eigenvector conditions.

$$
z^{a} z_{a}=\left(h_{b}^{a}-A \delta_{b}^{a}\right) x^{b} z_{a}=0
$$

implying that $z^{a}$ is null.

$$
z^{a} x_{a}=z^{a}\left(h_{a}^{b}-A \delta_{a}^{b}\right) y_{b}=0,
$$

implying that $x^{a}$ and $z^{a}$ are orthogonal.
$x^{a}$ must therefore be spacelike. Normalize $x^{a}, x^{a} x_{a}=1$.

$$
y^{a} z_{a}=y^{a}\left(h_{a}^{b}-A \delta_{a}^{b}\right) x_{b}=x^{b} x_{b}=1
$$

Contract the third equation of the set (4-1) with $t_{a}$ and the fourth with $z_{a}$. Subtraction, taking into account the fact that $B \neq 0$, gives $t^{\text {a }}$ as being orthogonal to $z^{a}$.
$t^{a}$ must therefore be spacelike and can be normalized, $t^{a} t_{a}=1$.
Similarly the second and fourth equations of (4-1) lead to $x^{a} t_{a}=0$ and the first and fourth to $y^{a} t_{a}=0$.
$y^{a}$, being a generalized eigenvector of rank 3 , may be used to construct the following general eigenvector of rank 3.

$$
\bar{y}^{a}=\rho y^{a}+\mu x^{a}+\gamma z^{a}
$$

where $\rho, \mu, \gamma$ are scalars.
The remaining vectors in the chain $\bar{x}^{a}$ and $\bar{z}^{a}$ would then be defined by $\bar{x}^{\mathrm{a}}=\rho \mathrm{x}^{\mathrm{a}}+\mu z^{\mathrm{a}}, \bar{z}^{\mathrm{a}}=\rho z^{\mathrm{a}}$.
$\bar{y}^{\mathrm{a}}$ being a generalized eigenvector of rank $3, \bar{x}^{\mathrm{a}}$ a generalized eigenvector of rank 2 and $z^{a}$ an eigenvector, all the identities previously found apart from the normalized results will be satisfied. To satisfy the condition $\bar{x}^{a} \bar{x}_{a}=1, \rho$ has to be unity. $t^{\text {a }}$ as defined in (4-1) can be chosen with in a scalar multiple. However, the condition $t^{a} t_{a}=1$ selects a unique scalar multiple.

The freedom remaining in the selection of the basis is therefore given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{y}^{a}=y^{a}+\mu x^{a}+\gamma z^{a} \\
& \bar{x}^{a}=x^{a}+\mu z^{a} \\
& \bar{z}^{a}=z^{a} \\
& \bar{t}^{a}=t^{a}
\end{aligned}
$$

The scalars $\mu$ and $\gamma$ will now be selected uniquely so that the two remaining requirements of the quasi-orthogonal ennuple, namely $\bar{y}^{a} \bar{x}_{a}=0$ and $\bar{y}^{\mathrm{a}} \overline{\mathrm{y}}_{\mathrm{a}}=0$, are satisfied.

$$
\bar{y}^{\mathrm{a}} \overline{\mathrm{x}}_{\mathrm{a}}=2 \mu+\mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{x}^{\mathrm{a}}
$$

Select the scalar $\mu$ to be equal to $-1 / 2 y^{a} x_{a}$.

$$
\bar{y}^{\mathrm{a}} \overline{\mathrm{y}}_{\mathrm{a}}=\mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{a}}-3 \mu^{2}+2 \gamma
$$

Select the scalar $\gamma$ such that this is identically zero.
Hence a unique quasi-orthogonal ennuple exists, which gives a Jordan matrix representation for the linear operator $h_{a b}$ on the space $g_{a b}$.

The scalars $\underset{a \beta}{g}$ and $\underset{a \beta}{h}$ are found,

$$
\underset{a \beta}{g}=g_{a b} \underset{(a)(\beta)}{v^{a}} \mathrm{v}^{b}=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

and

$$
\underset{a \beta}{h}=\underset{(a)(\beta)}{h_{a b} v^{a} v^{b}}\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & A & 0 & 0 \\
A & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & (A+B) & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & A
\end{array}\right)
$$

These scalars, using the identities discussed in section 2, lead to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.g_{a b}=x_{a} x_{b}+2 z_{(a} y_{b}\right)+t_{a} t_{b} . \tag{4-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
h_{a b}=A g_{a b}+2 z\left(a x_{b}\right)+B t_{a} t_{b}
$$

The condition that the spaces $h_{a b}$ and $g_{a b}$ should have corresponding geodesics is that there exist a scalar $\mu$ such that

$$
2 \mu h_{a b l c}+2 h_{a b} \mu, c+h_{b c} \mu, a+h_{c a} \mu_{b}=0 .^{7}
$$

Here, and throughout the remainder of this work, the covariant derivative is with respect to the metric $\mathrm{gab}_{\mathrm{ab}}$.

The conditions of integrability of these equations are $\bar{R}_{\text {abcd }}+\overline{\mathrm{R}}_{\text {bacd }}=0,{ }^{7}$ where $\bar{R}_{\text {abcd }}$ is the Riemann tensor with respect to $h_{a b}$. These conditions are satisfied.

The components of this equation in the quasi-orthogonal basis are

$$
\begin{gather*}
2 \mu \mathrm{~h}  \tag{4-3}\\
\underset{a \beta \rho}{ }+2 h \mu+h \mu+h \mu=0 . \\
a \beta \rho \beta \rho \alpha \beta
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\underset{a \beta \rho}{h}=h_{\text {ablc }} \underset{(\alpha)(\beta)(\rho)}{v^{a}} \underset{(\rho)}{v^{b}}{ }^{c}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu=\mu,{ }_{a} \underset{(a)}{v^{a}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From the definitions

$$
\begin{gathered}
h= \\
\alpha \beta \\
(\alpha \beta)
\end{gathered} \quad \text { and } \quad \underset{\alpha \beta \rho}{h}=\underset{(a \beta) \rho}{h} .
$$

giving

where

Equations (4-3) lead to certain conditions on the rotation coefficients and on the eigenvalues. These conditions are listed in Appendix 1.

It is found that the congruence of $z^{a}$ is null, geodetic, expansion free, hypersurface orthogonal and shear free. Hence, by the Goldberg-Sachs Theorem ${ }^{10}$, all vacuum metrics in this class are algebraically special. $z^{\text {a }}$ need not be recurrent so that the metrics need not be of Petrov type III or IId.

The conditions on the rotation coefficients also give $t^{a}$ to be hypersurface orthogonal. Using these and other properties of the rotation coefficients the metrics of the spaces are now formulated.

Let $z$ be parameter along the congruence $z^{a}$, defined by $z_{a}=v z, a$ where $v$ is a scalar. Since there is no freedom in the choice of $z^{a}$ the scalar cannot be transformed away. To get rid of the scalar by introducing a degree of freedom in the choice of $z^{a}$, it is necessary to define the original basis with $x^{a}$ being of convenient scalar magnituded, not necessarily unity. This brings in complications which are not worth the simplification obtained in the form of $z_{a}$.

Since $z^{a}$ is null, for a displacement along this congruence, $0=g_{11} \mathrm{dz}^{2}$ implying that $g_{11}$ is zero.

Let $y$ be parameter along the congruence of $y^{a}$. Then $g_{22}$ is zero also.
The congruence $t^{\mathrm{a}}$ is hypersurface orthogonal, spacelike. Let t be the parameter along this congruence. Let $x$ be parameter along the curves of $x^{a}$.

The vectors $z_{a}, y_{a}, t_{a}$ and $x_{a}$, in this coordinate system, can be written

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
z_{a}=(v, 0,0,0) ; & y_{a}=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}, y_{4}\right) ; \\
t_{a}=(0,0, c, 0) ; & x_{a}=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right)
\end{array}
$$

where $y_{1} . . y_{4}, x_{1} . . x_{4}$, and Care as yet unknown scalars.
The line element of the space gab, in this coordinate system is
$\mathrm{ds}^{2}=\mathrm{c}^{2} \mathrm{dt}^{2}+\mathrm{D}^{2} \mathrm{dx}^{2}+2 E d z d y+2 F d z d x+2 G d y d x$,
where $D, E, F$ and $G$ are scalars.
The vector components and the metric coefficients may be related using expression (4-2) for $g_{a b}$, to give

$$
G=0,
$$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
z_{a}=(V, 0,0,0), & y_{a}=\frac{1}{V}\left(-\frac{1}{2} Q^{2}, E, 0, F-D Q\right),  \tag{4-4}\\
t_{a}=(0,0, C, 0), & x_{a}=(Q, 0,0, D) .
\end{array}
$$

Here $Q$ is an unknown scalar. Both $Q$ and $V$, if they exist, are unique.
The rotation coefficients may now be calculated. Knowledge of some of the rotation coefficients has already been used, of course, in the construction of the line element. Comparison will be made with the table of rotation
coefficients to give the remaining information concerning the spaces. The table of rotation coefficients based on the above vectors is given in Appendix 2.

An identity that can be used for simplifying some of the rotation coefficients is obtained from the knowledge that $z^{a}$ is geodesic. $z_{a l b} z^{b}$ being proportional to $\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{a}}$ implies, in this coordinate system, that $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\mathrm{c} \\ \mathrm{l}\end{array}\right\}=0, \mathrm{c} \neq 1$, giving
$\mathrm{FE}_{1_{1}}-\mathrm{EF}_{1}=0$ or $\mathrm{F}=0$.
It is found that the spaces can be represented by the following metrics:
Space $g_{a b}, \quad d s^{2}=C^{2} d t^{2}+D^{2} d x^{2}+2 E d z d y+2 F d z d x$
Space $h_{a b}, \quad d s^{2}=2 V Q d z^{2}+C^{2}(A+B) d t^{2}+A D^{2} d x^{2}+2 A E d z d y+2(A F+V D) d z d x$
The conditions on the coefficients are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F=0 \text { or } \mathrm{FE}_{1}-\mathrm{EF},_{1}=0 . \\
& \mathrm{V}, 2=\mathrm{V},{ }_{3}=\mathrm{C}, 2_{2}=\mathrm{C}, 4=\mathrm{D}, 2=\mathrm{D},{ }_{3}=\mathrm{E}, \mathrm{r}_{3}=\mathrm{F},_{3}=\mathrm{Q},{ }_{3}=0 . \\
& \mathrm{EQ},_{1}-\mathrm{QE},_{1}=\frac{3 \mathrm{VQQ},_{2}}{2 \mathrm{~A}} \text {. } \\
& \frac{A_{1}}{2 V}=\frac{V,_{4}}{V D}=\frac{2 Q,_{2}}{E}=\frac{2\left(E,_{4}-F_{2}\right)}{E D}=\frac{-4 A B C_{1}}{3(A+B) C V} . \\
& \mathrm{B}_{1}=\frac{(3 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{A}) \mathrm{V}_{4}}{2 \mathrm{AD}} \\
& \frac{\mathrm{Q}, 2}{\mathrm{E}}[3 \mathrm{VD}-2 \mathrm{~A}(\mathrm{QD}-\mathrm{F})]=2 \mathrm{~A}\left(\mathrm{Q}, 4_{4}-\mathrm{D},{ }_{1}\right) . \\
& \frac{\mathrm{Q}, 2}{\mathrm{E}}[9 \mathrm{~V}-2 \mathrm{AQ}]=2 \mathrm{~A}\left[\frac{\mathrm{~V}, 1}{\mathrm{~V}}-\frac{\mathrm{QV},_{4}}{\mathrm{VD}}-\frac{\mathrm{E}, 1_{1}}{\mathrm{E}}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$A$ and $B$ are functions of $z$ only.
The following functions cannot take the value zero, $A, B, A+B, E, D$, V, C. z, y, t and $x$ are labelled $1,2,3$ and 4 coordinates respectively.

In order that the signature of both the spaces be +2 , it is necessary and sufficient that all the functions appearing in the metric coefficients be real, that $\mathrm{A}>0, \mathrm{~A}+\mathrm{B}>0$.

## 5. $\quad[(3,1)]$ Segre Characteristic

The Jordan canonical form is now

$$
\left(\begin{array}{llll}
\mathrm{A} & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \mathrm{~A} & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \mathrm{~A} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \mathrm{~A}
\end{array}\right)
$$

A being the single repeated eigenvalue. The base vectors are defined by the chains

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x^{a}=\left(h_{b}^{a}-A \delta_{b}^{a}\right) y^{b} \\
& z^{a}=\left(h_{b}^{a}-A \delta_{b}^{a}\right) x^{b} \\
& \left(h_{b}^{a}-A \delta_{b}^{a}\right) z^{b}=0 \\
& \left(h_{b}^{a}-A \delta_{b}^{a}\right) t^{b}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

The following identities are found in exactly the same manner as in section 4 ,

$$
z^{a} z_{a}=0, z^{a} t_{a}=0, z^{a} y_{a}=1, z^{a} x_{a}=0, t^{a} t_{a}=1, x^{a} x_{a}=1, x^{a} t_{a}=0
$$

To complete the quasi-orthogonal ennuple basis, the identities
$y^{a} y_{a}=0, y^{a} t_{a}=0$, and $y^{a} x_{a}=0$ are still required.
Starting with an arbitrary generalized eigenvector of rank $3, y^{a}$, the most general transformation preserving this property is

$$
\bar{y}^{a}=\rho y^{a}+\mu x^{a}+\gamma z^{a}+\eta t^{a}
$$

where $\rho, \mu, \gamma, \eta$ are arbitrary scalers.

The remaining vectors in the chain, $\bar{x}^{\mathrm{a}}$ and $\overline{\mathrm{z}}^{\mathrm{a}}$ are given by
$\bar{x}^{a}=\rho x^{a}+\mu z^{a}$
$\bar{z}^{a}=\rho z^{a}$.
The two dimensional eigenspace allows $\overline{\mathrm{t}}^{\mathrm{a}}$ defined by $\overline{\mathrm{t}}^{\mathrm{a}}=\lambda \mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{a}}+\delta \mathrm{z}^{\mathrm{a}}$ to be an eigenvector. However, the restriction that $\overline{\mathrm{t}}^{\mathrm{a}}$ should have magnitude 1 causes $\lambda=1$ and $\delta=0$.

The condition $\bar{y}^{\mathrm{a}} \overline{\mathrm{y}}_{\mathrm{a}}=1$ makes $\rho=1$.
All the other identities are of course satisfied since $\bar{y}^{\mathrm{a}}$ is an eigenvector of rank $3, \overline{\mathbf{x}}^{\text {a }}$ an eigenvector of rank 2 and $\overline{\mathbf{z}}^{\text {a }}$ an eigenvector. Three degrees of freedom remain to construct an ennuple that satisfies the three remaining conditions.

$$
\bar{y}^{\mathrm{a}} \overline{\mathrm{x}}_{\mathrm{a}}=\mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{a}}+2 \mu .
$$

Select the appropriate scalar $\mu$ to make $\overline{\mathrm{y}}^{\mathrm{a}} \overline{\mathrm{x}}_{\mathrm{a}}=0$.
$\bar{y}^{a} \bar{t}_{a}=y^{a} t_{a}+\eta$.
Here select the appropriate $\eta$ to make $\overline{\mathrm{y}} \overline{\mathrm{t}}_{\mathrm{a}}=0$.
$\bar{y}^{\mathrm{a}} \overline{\mathrm{y}}_{\mathrm{a}}=\mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{a}}-3 \mu^{2}-\eta^{2}+\gamma$.
Here again by selecting the appropriate $\gamma, \overline{\mathrm{y}}^{\mathrm{a}}$ is null.
Hence the quasi-orthogonal ennuple can be selected as the basis in this case. Here again it is unique. The representation of $g_{a b}$ and $h_{a b}$ are as in $(4-2)$ with $B=0$.

The geodesic condition leads to the same identities as in the $[3,1]$
case. These now have to be simplified under the condition $B=0$.
$A=0, B=0$ need not be considered, as here the space $h_{a b}$ becomes two dimensional.
$A \neq 0, B=0$ in the identities give $\underset{1}{\mu}=\underset{2}{\mu}=\underset{3}{\mu}=\underset{4}{\mu}=0$, implying that $\mu$ is constant. This in turn implies that $A$ is constant.

The only possible non-identically zero rotation coefficients are $\gamma$ $23 a$ and $\underset{32 a}{\gamma}$, these being independent apart from the skew symmetry relationship. Hence the $z^{a}$ congruence is again null, geodetic, expension free, hypersurface orthogonal and skew free. By the Goldberg-Sachs Theorem and the discussion in the introduction all vacuum metrics in this class are algebraically special.

The conditions on the rotation coefficients also imply that the congruence $X^{\text {a }}$ is hypersurface orthogonal. The metrics are now constructed in a manner similar to those of the $[3,1]$ case. The analogy between the two cases is used in the construction.

It is found that the spaces can be represented by the following metrics having signatures +2 :

$$
d s^{2}=d x^{2}+D^{2} d^{2}+2 E d z d y+2 F d z d t
$$

and

$$
d s^{2}=A d x^{2}+A D^{2} d t^{2}+2 A E d z d y+2 A F d z d t+2 V d z d x
$$

The conditions on the coefficients are:
$\mathrm{D},{ }_{2}=\mathrm{D},{ }_{4}=\mathrm{E}, 4_{4}=\mathrm{F}, 4=\mathrm{E},{ }_{3}-\mathrm{F}, 2=0$
$\frac{E,_{1}}{E}=\frac{V,_{1}}{V}$.
$D, V, E$ do not vanish.
$D, E, F, V$ are real valued.
A is a real positive constant.
$V$ is a function of $z$ only.
Here, $z, y, t$ and $x$ are labelled $1,2,3$ and 4 coordinates respectively.
The two spaces then have corresponding geodesics.

## 6. Discussion

The Segre Class having simple elementary divisors and simple eigenvalues has been discussed by Eisenhart ${ }^{7}$. Levi-Civita ${ }^{11}$ has discussed the Segre Class having simple elementary divisors with repeated eigenvalues, when the fundamental forms are positive definite. An extension of this work to relativistic metrics and also the investigation of the $[2,1,1]$ class and its sub classes still needs to be carried out. It is expected that spaces allowing corresponding geodesics exist in these categories. Since any physically realistic gravitational wave would have a certain amount of shear it would be of interest to find metrics other than algebraically special ones having corresponding geodesics. These may exist in the classes still to be considered.

The author wishes to express his thanks to Dr. Alan H. Thompson for valuable discussions and to Dr. F. A. E. Pirani for criticism of the manuscript.

## Appendix 1

Conditions on the rotation coefficients

| $\begin{gathered} \gamma= \\ a \beta_{1} \end{gathered}$ | $\left(\begin{array}{l} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \frac{A}{2 B}{ }_{123}^{\gamma} \\ & \frac{A}{3} \underset{122}{\gamma} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \frac{-A}{2 B}{ }_{123}^{Y} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 0 & \\ \frac{-A}{3} & \left.\begin{array}{rl} \gamma \\ 122 \\ 0 & \\ 0 & \end{array}\right) . \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{r} \gamma= \\ a \beta_{2} \end{array}$ | $\left(\begin{array}{l} 0 \\ -\underset{122}{\gamma} \\ \frac{A}{2 B}{ }_{123}^{\gamma} \\ \frac{A}{9}{ }_{122}^{\gamma} \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{c} \gamma \\ 122 \end{array} \\ & 0 \\ & \frac{A+B}{2 B^{3}} \underset{123}{\gamma} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -\frac{A}{2 B}{ }_{123}^{\gamma} \\ & -\frac{A+B}{2 B^{3}} \underset{123}{\gamma} \\ & 0 \\ & -\frac{A+B}{2 B^{2}} \underset{123}{\gamma} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -\frac{A}{9} \begin{array}{r} 122 \\ 0 \\ \frac{A+B}{2 B^{2}} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \quad \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \gamma= \\ a \beta_{3} \end{gathered}$ | $\left(\begin{array}{l} 0 \\ -\underset{123}{ } \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \gamma \\ 123 \\ 0 \\ \frac{A+B}{3 B} \\ 122 \\ 0 \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | 0 $\begin{aligned} & -\frac{A+B}{3 B} \underset{122}{\gamma} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{l} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}\right)$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \gamma= \\ \alpha \beta_{4} \end{gathered}$ | $\left(\begin{array}{l} 0 \\ -\frac{A}{3} \underset{122}{\gamma} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}\right.$ |  | 0 $-\frac{A+B}{2 B^{2}}$ 0 $-\frac{A}{2 B}{ }_{123}^{\gamma}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{cc} 0 & \\ & \\ -\frac{1}{3} & \gamma \\ 122 \\ \frac{A}{2 B} & \\ 123 \end{array}\right)$ |

Conditions on the eigenvalues
$\mathrm{A},{ }_{2}=\mathrm{A},{ }_{4}=\mathrm{B},{ }_{2}=\mathrm{B}, 4=0$
$\mathrm{A}_{1}-\mathrm{A},{ }_{3} \frac{\mathrm{Q}}{\mathrm{D}}=\frac{8 \mathrm{~A}}{9}\left[\frac{\mathrm{~V}, 1}{\mathrm{~V}}-\frac{\mathrm{E}, 1_{1}}{\mathrm{E}}-\frac{\mathrm{QV},_{4}}{2 \mathrm{DV}}\right]$
$\mathrm{A},{ }_{3}=\mathrm{A}\left[\frac{\mathrm{V},{ }_{3}}{\mathrm{~V}}-\frac{\mathrm{E}, 3_{3}}{2 \mathrm{E}}\right]$
$\mathrm{B}, 1-\frac{\mathrm{B},{ }_{3} \mathrm{Q}}{\mathrm{D}}=\frac{2}{9}(3 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{A})\left[\frac{\mathrm{V}, 1_{1}}{\mathrm{~V}}-\frac{\mathrm{E},,_{1}}{\mathrm{E}}-\frac{\mathrm{QV},_{4}}{2 \mathrm{DV}}\right]$
$B,_{3}=(A+2 B)\left[\frac{V,_{3}}{V}-\frac{E,_{3}}{E}\right]$

## Appendix 2

The following rotation coefficients are identically zero:
$\gamma$, for all $a, \beta$, by skew symmetric property of rotation coefficients.
aa $\beta$
$\underset{131}{\gamma}, \underset{141}{\gamma}, \underset{341}{\gamma}, \underset{133}{\gamma}, \underset{143}{\gamma}, \underset{134}{\gamma}$ and rotation coefficients obtained from these using the skew symmetric property.

The non-zero rotation coefficients are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \underset{121}{\gamma}=\frac{\mathrm{V}_{2}}{\mathrm{E}} \\
& \underset{231}{\gamma}=\frac{-E,_{3}}{2 C E} \\
& \underset{241}{\gamma}=\frac{-\mathrm{Q}, 2}{\mathrm{E}}+\frac{\left(\mathrm{F}, 2-\mathrm{E},{ }_{4}\right)}{2 \mathrm{DE}} \\
& \underset{122}{\gamma}=\frac{V,{ }_{1}}{V^{2}}-\frac{\mathrm{QV},_{4}}{\mathrm{~V}^{2} \mathrm{D}}-\frac{\mathrm{E},_{1}}{\mathrm{EV}}+\frac{\left(\mathrm{E},_{4}-\mathrm{F},{ }_{2}\right) \mathrm{Q}}{\mathrm{EVD}} \\
& \underset{132}{Y}=\frac{-E_{2}}{2 \mathrm{EC}} \\
& \underset{142}{Y}=\frac{F,{ }_{2}-E,_{4}}{2 E D} \\
& \underset{232}{Y}=\frac{-D,{ }_{3} Q^{2}}{C D V^{2}}-\frac{E,{ }_{3} Q(2 F-Q D)}{2 C E D V^{2}}+\frac{F,{ }_{3} Q}{C^{2} V^{2}} \\
& \underset{242}{Y}=\frac{Q E,{ }_{1}}{E}+\frac{Q^{2}\left(F,,_{2}-E,{ }_{4}\right)}{2 E D}-\frac{Q D,_{1}}{D}-Q,_{1}-\frac{Q,_{2}\left(2 Q F-Q^{2}\right)}{2 E D}+\frac{Q,_{4} Q}{D} \\
& \underset{342}{\gamma}=\frac{\mathrm{E}_{3}(\mathrm{QD}-\mathrm{F})}{2 \mathrm{CDEV}}+\frac{\mathrm{F}_{3}}{2 \mathrm{CDV}}-\frac{\mathrm{QD},_{3}}{\mathrm{CDV}} \\
& \underset{123}{\mathrm{Y}}=\frac{\mathrm{V}, 3}{\mathrm{VC}}-\frac{\mathrm{E}, 3}{2 \mathrm{EC}} \\
& \underset{233}{\gamma}=\frac{\mathrm{C}, 1}{\mathrm{CV}} \\
& \underset{343}{Y}=\frac{-C, 4}{C D}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \underset{124}{Y}=\frac{V,_{4}}{V D}-\frac{\left(E,_{4}-F,,_{2}\right)}{2 E D} \\
& \underset{144}{Y}=\frac{V D,_{2}}{E D} \\
& \underset{234}{Y}=\frac{E,_{3}(F-Q D)}{2 C D E V}-\frac{F,_{3}}{2 D C V}+\frac{D,_{3} Q}{C D V} \\
& \underset{244}{Y}=\frac{Q,_{2}(F-Q D)}{D E V}-\frac{Q,_{4}}{V D}+\frac{D,_{1}}{V D} \\
& \underset{344}{Y}=\frac{D,_{3}}{C D}
\end{aligned}
$$
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