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SUMMARY
In this report, three general classes of methods for calculating the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of real symmetric matrices arising in quantum mechanical calculations are described: the Sturm sequence methods, the orthogonal reduction methods, and the step by step methods. The advantages and limitations of each method are pointed out. The report also includes the discussion of various methods of reducing real symmetric matrices to more compact convenient forms. Methods of reduction to tridiagonal form, and deflation of matrices to smaller order are described.

INTRODUCIION

This is the first of a series of reports on the present techniques used to perform matrix calculations on high speed electronic digital computers. In this report the authors confine themselves to a discussion of techniques for calculating the eigenvalue and eigenvectors of real symmetric matrices arising in problems of molecular quantum mechanics. Subsequent reports will deal with other numerical techniques used in solving problems arising in molecular quantum mechanics.

## 1. Statement of the Problem

For a given square $n \times n$ matrix $A=\left(\alpha_{i j}\right)$, find numbers (eigenvalues) $\in$ and non zero column vectors (eigenvectors) $X$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{AX}=\mathrm{EX} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A$ is real (all $\alpha_{i j}$ real) and symmetric ( $\alpha_{i j}=\alpha_{j i}$ for all $i, j$ ). We know

[^0]by the theory of linear equations there exist non-zero X's satisfying (1) only if the determinant of $A^{\prime}\left(\right.$ written DET ( $A^{\prime}$ )) is zero where $A^{\prime}$ has elements $\alpha_{i j}=-\alpha_{i j}$ ifj and $\alpha_{i j}=\epsilon-\alpha_{i j}$. DET (A'), as a function of $\epsilon$ is an $n^{\text {th }}$ degree polynomial in $\epsilon$, and is referred to as the characteristic polynomial of $A$. We denote DET ( $A^{\prime}$ ) by $f(\epsilon)$.

If we let I represent the identity matrix, $A^{-1}, A^{T}$ represent the inverse and transpose of a matrix A respectively, then we may state two eigenvalue problems closely related to the one given by equation (l) namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
A X=\epsilon B X \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
A B X=E X \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A$ and $B$ are symmetric matrices and $B$ is, in addition, positive definite (all eigenvalues of $B$ are positive).

If $B$ is symmetric and positive definite, then there is an invertible matrix $L$ ( $\mathrm{I}^{-1}$ exists) such that $\mathrm{L}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{L}=\mathrm{B}$. By the theory of linear equations the $\epsilon^{\prime}$ s of equation (2) must satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=D E T(A-\epsilon B) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & =\operatorname{DET}\left(\left(I^{T}\right)^{-1}\right) \operatorname{DET}(A-\epsilon B) \operatorname{DET}\left(L^{-1}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{DET}\left(\left(L^{T}\right)^{-1}(A-\epsilon B) L^{-1}\right)  \tag{5}\\
& =\operatorname{DET}\left(\left(L^{T}\right)^{-1} A L^{-1}-\in I\right) \\
& =\operatorname{DET}(C-\in I)
\end{align*}
$$

where $C=\left(L^{T}\right)^{-1} A L^{-1}$ and is also symmetric. Hence the solution of (2) is equivalent to the solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
C Y=\epsilon Y \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $\epsilon$ are the eigenvalues of $C$ and the $Y$ are the corresponding eigenvectors. The vectors $Y$ are related to the vectors $X$ of equation (2) as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=L^{-1} Y \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

This can be verified by substitution in equation (2) and multiplication on the left by $\left(L^{T}\right)^{-1}$.

The $\epsilon$ of equation (3) must satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
D E T(A B-\epsilon I)=0 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & =\operatorname{DEP}(A B-\epsilon I) \operatorname{DEP}\left(B^{-1}\right)  \tag{9}\\
& =\operatorname{DEP}\left(A-\epsilon B^{-1}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

This is essentially equation (4) and the eigenvalue problem can be put in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
C Y=\epsilon Y \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C=L A L^{T}$ and $X=L Y$ in equation (3).
2. Description and Classification of the Methods

There are three general classes of numerical procedures for solving the basic problem stated in equation (I) of section 1.

The first class of methods, referred to as the Sturm sequence methods, determines the numbers $\epsilon$ by means of a Sturm sequence of polynomials associated with the matrix. A. Once the $\epsilon$ have been determined, the associated vectors $X$ can be determined in several straight forward methods which will be described later.

The second class of methods, referred to as the orthogonal reduction methods, determines the numbers $\epsilon$ and vectors $X$ simultaneously. A sequence of orthogonal
matrices $U^{(k)}$ is generated, usually as a product of elementary orthogonal matrices, such that the limit as $k \longrightarrow \infty$ of $U^{(k) T} A U^{(k)}$ is a diagonal matrix (zeros everywhere except possibly on the main diagonal). The numbers on the diagonal will be the eigenvalues $\epsilon$ of A and the corresponding columns of $U=$ limit of $U^{(k)}$ as $K \longrightarrow \infty$ will be the eigenvectors $X$.

The third class of methods, referred to as the step by step methods, determines one eigenvalue $\epsilon$ and a corresponding eigenvector $X$, one pair, $\epsilon$ and $X$ at a time. Once $\epsilon$ and $X$ have been determined, it is easy to construct an orthogonal matrix $V$ such that

$$
\mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{AV}=\left(\begin{array}{rcc}
\epsilon & : & 0  \tag{그}\\
-- & \vdots & \ldots- \\
0 & \vdots & A_{1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $A_{1}$ is a symmetric ( $n-1$ ) $X$ ( $n-1$ ) matrix. Thus, the problem has been essentially reduced by reducing the order of the matrix by one.

### 2.1 Two Special Matrices

We will now define two special orthogonal matrices which will be used in a number of algorithms described in this report.

Let $J_{i j}(i<j)$ be an nxn matrix of the following form
col i col j

$J_{i j}$ is the same as the identity matrix except for the $i^{\text {th }}$ row $i^{\text {th }}$ column, $i^{\text {th }}$ row' $j^{\text {th }}$ colum, $j^{\text {th }}$ row $i^{\text {th }}$ colum, $j^{\text {th }}$ row $j^{\text {th }}$ column where the entries are $c$, $s, s$, and $-c$ respectively. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
c^{2}+s^{2}=1 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $J_{i j}$ is both orthogonal and symmetric. We shall call the matrices $J_{i j}$ Jacobi plane rotations or Jacobi transformations (matrices).

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{i}=I-2 W_{i} W_{i}^{T} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

be a matrix with $W_{i}^{T}$ a row vector having the property that the first $i$ components of $W_{i}^{T}$ are zeros, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{i}^{T}=\left(0,0, \ldots, 0, w_{i+1}, \ldots, w_{n}\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

If

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{i}^{T} W_{i}=1 \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $H_{i}$ is both orthogonal and symmetric. We shall call the matrices $H_{i}$ Householder Transformations Matrices. It is easily seen that $H_{n-2}$ is equal to $J_{n-1, n}$ for some suitably chosen $c$, $s$.

### 2.2 Preliminary Reductions

The solution of the general problem of finding an orthogonal matrix $U$ for a given symmetric matrix $A$ such that $U^{T} A U=D$ where $D$ is a diagonal matrix is often facilitated by making some preliminary reductions by orthogonal similarity transformations on A. We can, for instance, reduce a symmetric matrix to tridiagonal (Jacobi) form (zeros everywhere except on the three main diagonals) by several non-iterative methods, three of which will be described here. If an eigenvalue and a corresponding eigenvector of the nxn matrix is known, we may use this information to transform the matrix into a direct sum of a $n \times n$
matrix and an ( $n-1$ ) $x$ ( $n-1$ ) matrix which essentially reduces the order of the matrix to be solved. This process is referred to as deflation. We will describe here the reduction to tridiagonal form by the methods of Givens, Householder, and Lanczos, and one of the deflation methods.

We will first describe Givens' method. Suppose A is a symmetric matrix of the form

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{c:c}
A_{i} & B_{n-i}^{T}  \tag{17}\\
\hdashline & B_{n-i} \\
& A_{n-i} \\
& \\
& \\
&
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $A_{i}$ and $A_{n-i}$ are $i x i$ and ( $n-i$ ) $x$ ( $n-i$ ) matrices respectively, $A_{i}$ is in tridiagonal form, and $B_{n-i}$ is an ( $n-i$ ) dimensional column vector. We wish to show how to obtain an orthogonal matrix $U$ such that $U^{T} A U=A$ where

and $A_{i}^{i}, A_{n-i}^{r}$ are $i x i$ and ( $n-i$ ) $x(n-i)$ matrices where $A_{i}^{\prime}$ is in tridiagonal form and $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{i}}^{1}$ is an ( $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{i}$ ) dimensional vector having all components except possibly the first equal to zero. Then $A^{\prime}$ will be of the form

where $A_{i+1}^{\prime}$, $A_{n-i-1}^{\prime}$ are $(i+1) x(i+1)$ and ( $\left.n-i-1\right) \times(n-i-1)$ matrices respectively, $A_{i+1}^{i}$ is in tridiagonal form and $B_{n-i-1}^{i}$ is an ( $n-i-1$ ) dimensional vector. We consider a sequence of (n-i-1) Jacobi transformations $J_{n-1, n}^{(1)}, J_{n-2, n-1}^{(2)}$, $J_{n-k-1, n-k}^{(k)}, J_{i+1, i+2}^{(n-i-1)}$. Let

$$
\begin{align*}
& A^{(0)}=A \\
& J_{n-1, n}^{(1)} A^{(0)} J_{n-1, n}^{(1)}=A^{(1)} \\
& J_{n-k-1, n-k}^{(k)} A^{(k-1)} J_{n-k-1, n-k}^{(k)}=A^{(k)} \\
& A^{(n-i-1)}  \tag{20}\\
& B_{n-i}^{(0) T}=B_{n-i}^{B^{T}}=\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{n-i}\right) \\
& B_{n-i}^{(k) T}=\left(\alpha_{1}^{(k)}, \alpha_{2}^{(k)}, \ldots, \alpha_{n-i}^{(k)}\right) \\
& B_{n-i}^{(n-i-1) T}=B_{n-i}^{B_{n}^{T}}=\left(\alpha_{i}^{\prime}, \alpha_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, \alpha_{n-i}^{\prime}\right) \text {. }
\end{align*}
$$

Then we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
U^{T}=J_{1+1, i+2}^{(n-i-1)} \cdots J_{n-2, n-1}^{(2)} J_{n-1, n}^{(1)} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

The transformations $J_{n-k-1}^{(k)}, n-k$ will not destroy the tridiagonal form of $A_{i}$, namely $A_{i}^{r}=A_{i}$. The blocks of zeros in the upper right and lower left hand corners of $A$ will be preserved by these transformations. We need only consider
the effects of these transformations on the vectors $B_{n-i}^{(k)}$. Let the $c$, $s$ associated with the $J_{n-k-1}^{(k)}, n-k$ be denoted by $c^{(k)}, s^{(k)}$. If we define

$$
\begin{align*}
& s^{(k)}=\alpha_{n-i-k+1}^{(k-1)} /\left[\left(\alpha_{n-i-k+1}^{(k-1)}\right)^{2}+\left(\alpha_{n-i-k}^{(k-1)}\right)^{2]^{1 / 2}}\right]^{(k)}=\alpha_{n-i-k}^{(k-1)} /\left[\left(\alpha_{n-i-k+1}^{(k-1)}\right)^{2}+\left(\alpha_{n-i-k}^{(k-1)}\right)^{2}\right] \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

then $\left(s^{(k)}\right)^{2}+\left(c^{(k)}\right)^{2}=1$ and $\alpha_{n-i-k+1}^{(k)}=0$.

If $\alpha_{n-i-k+2}^{(k-1)}=\alpha_{n-i-k+3}^{(k-1)}=\cdots \cdot=\alpha_{n-i}^{(k-1)}=0$, then
$\alpha_{n-i-k+2}^{(k)}=\alpha_{n-i-k+3}^{(k)}=\ldots \ldots=\alpha_{n-i}^{(k)}=0$ also. Thus it can be easily seen that $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{n}-1}^{\prime}$ will have all components equal to zero, except possibly the first. The Housebolder reduction to tridiagonal form is as follows. Suppose A has the form of equation (17) and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{x}=H_{i} A H_{i} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

We wish to show that for a suitably chosen $W_{i}$, $A^{\prime}$ will be in the form of equation (19). Let $W_{i}^{T}=\left(0, \ldots, 0, w_{i+1}, \ldots, w_{n}\right)$ be defined as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
w_{i+1} & =\left[1 / 2\left(1+\left|\alpha_{1}\right|\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-i} \alpha_{k}^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2}\right]^{1 / 2}\right.  \tag{24}\\
w_{\ell} & \left.=\operatorname{sgn}\left(\alpha_{1}\right) \alpha_{\ell-i} /\left[\sum_{k=1}^{n-i} \alpha_{k}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} w_{i+1}\right], i+2<\ell<n
\end{align*}
$$

It is a matter of straight forward algebra to verify that $W_{i}^{T} W_{i}=1$ and that the vector $B_{n-i}^{:}$has all components equal to zero except possibly the first. It is also easily seen that $A_{i}^{\prime}=A_{i}$ and that the upper right and lower left hand
blocks of zeros in equation (17) are preserved.
The Lanczos method may be described as follows. Iet $X_{1}$ be any arbitrary non-zero vector. We define the following sequence of vectors $X_{i}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& X_{2}=A X_{1}-\alpha_{1} X_{1} \\
& X_{3}=A X_{2}-\alpha_{2} X_{2}-\beta_{1} X_{1}  \tag{25}\\
& X_{i}=A X_{i-1}-\alpha_{i-1} X_{i-1}-\beta_{i-2} X_{i-2}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha_{i-1}=\left(x_{i-1}^{T} A X_{i-1}\right) /\left(X_{i-1}^{T} X_{i-1}\right) \\
& \beta_{i-2}=\left(x_{i-1}^{T} A x_{i-2}\right) /\left(x_{i-2}^{T} x_{i-2}\right) \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

It can easily be seen that the vectors $X_{i}$ are orthogonal to each other. We distinguish two cases: (1) $X_{j}^{T} X_{j} \neq 01 \leq j \leq i-1$ and $X_{i}^{T} X_{i}=0\left(o r X_{i}=0\right)$ for some $i, 2 \leq i \leq n$ or (2) $X_{j}^{T} X_{j} \neq 0$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$.
Case (1) $X_{j}^{T} X_{j} \neq 0 \quad 1 \leq j \leq i-1 ; X_{i}=0$ for some $i$. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
A x_{i-1}=\alpha_{i-1} x_{i-1}+\beta_{i-2} x_{i-2} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the space spanned by the orthogonal vectors $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{i-1}$ is a reducing subspaoe for $A$. We define an nxn matrix $V$

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, \ldots, x_{i-1}, Y_{i}, \ldots, Y_{n}\right) \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $Y_{j} s$ are column vectors so chosen that $X_{j}^{T} Y_{k}=0$ and $Y_{j}^{T} Y_{k}=\delta_{j k}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
V^{T} V=D \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where D is a diagonal matrix with positive elements on the diagonal. Hence D has a real square root $D^{1 / 2}$ and $D^{-1 / 2}$ exists. Then there is a matrix $A^{\prime}$
where $Z_{i-1}$ and $Z_{n-i+1}$ are ( $\left.i-1\right) x(i-1)$ and $(n-i+1) \times(n-i+1)$ matrices respectively such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A V=V A^{\prime} \tag{3I}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we may write

$$
\begin{equation*}
D^{-1 / 2} V^{T} A V D^{-1 / 2}=D^{-1 / 2} V^{T} V A^{1} D^{-1 / 2}=D^{1 / 2} A^{r} D^{-1 / 2} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now $D^{1 / 2} A^{\prime} D^{-1 / 2}$ is of the form of equation (30) and $V D^{-1 / 2}$ is an orthogonal matrix. Hence we can reduce the problem of calculating eigenvalues and eigenvectors of an nxn matrix to one of calculating eigenvalues and eigenvectors of an (i-l) $x$ ( $i-1$ ) matrix and an ( $n-i+1$ ) $x(n-i+1)$ matrix.
Case (2) $\quad X_{j}^{T} X_{j} \neq 0 \quad I \leq j \leq n$.
If we define $X_{n+1}$ by equations (25) and (26) then $X_{n+1}=0$ because the $X_{j} \quad l \leq j \leq n$ are non-zero vectors spanning the $n$ dimensional space. We define a matrix

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now $V^{T} V=D$ where $D$ is a diagonal matrix with positive elements on the diagonal. Hence $D$ has a real square root $D^{1 / 2}$ and $D^{-1 / 2}$ exists, and $D^{-1 / 2}$ is an orthogonal matrix. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
A V=V A \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
A^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\alpha_{i} & \beta_{i} & &  \tag{35}\\
1 & \alpha_{2} & \beta_{i} & \\
0 & 1 & \alpha_{3} & \beta_{3} \\
0 & & 1 &
\end{array}\right)
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
D^{-1 / 2} V^{T} A V D^{-1 / 2}=D^{-1 / 2} V^{T} V A A^{\prime} D^{-1 / 2}=D^{1 / 2} A^{\prime} D^{-1 / 2} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

The right hand side of equation (36) is in tridiagonal form, and $V^{-1 / 2}$ is an orthogonal matrix which transforms $A$ to tridiagonal form.

The process of deflating a matrix is one essentially of isolating and eliminating from consideration one eigenvalue and a corresponding eigenvector from an nun symmetric matrix $A$ to obtain a matrix $A$ ' of the form

$$
A^{:}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\epsilon & : & 0  \tag{37}\\
\cdots & \vdots & \ldots- \\
0 & : & A_{n-1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $E$ is an eigenvalue of $A$ and $A_{n-1}$ is an ( $n-1$ ) $x$ ( $n-1$ ) matrix. Let $E, X$ be an eigenvalue and corresponding eigenvector, such that $X^{T} X=1$ and let $V$ be an $n x(n-1)$ rectangular matrix such that $X^{T} V=0$. Such matrices $V$ can always be constructed. Consider the matrix $S=(X i V)$. Then $S^{T} S=I$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
& A^{*}=S^{T} A S=\left(\begin{array}{c}
X^{T} \\
-T \\
V^{T}
\end{array}\right) \quad A \quad(x: V) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{c}
\mathrm{X}^{T} \\
\hdashline-- \\
\mathrm{V}^{T}
\end{array}\right) \quad(\mathrm{EX}: \mathrm{AV}) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{c:c}
\epsilon & X^{T} A V \\
-\frac{1}{T_{X}}: & T_{A V}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c:c} 
& 0^{\prime} \\
\hdashline \in V^{T} & 0_{-} \\
0 & A_{n-1}
\end{array}\right), \tag{38}
\end{align*}
$$

which gives us the required reduction.

### 2.3 Sturm Sequence Method

This method is based upon the well known theorem that if $A$ is a symmetric matrix and $A_{i}$ is the $i x$ i matrix formed from the upper left $i^{\text {th }}$ order minor of $A$, then the eigenvalues of $A_{i}$ are distinct from those of $A_{i+1}$ and properly separate those of $A_{i+1}$. If $f_{i}(\epsilon)$ is the characteristic polynomial of $A_{i}$, namely $f_{i}(\epsilon)=\operatorname{DET}\left(\epsilon I-A_{i}\right), f_{0}(\epsilon)$ is defined to be one, and $f_{n}(\epsilon) \neq 0$, then the number of eigenvalues of $A$ greater than $E$ is the number of sign changes in the sequence $f_{0}(\epsilon), f_{1}(\epsilon), f_{2}(\epsilon), \ldots, f_{n}(\epsilon)$.

If A is in tridiagonal form, namely

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\alpha_{1} & \beta_{1} &  \tag{39}\\
\beta_{1} & \alpha_{2} & \beta_{2} \\
& \beta_{2} & \alpha_{3}
\end{array}\right)
$$

the $f_{i}(\epsilon)$ may be given by the following formulas

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{0}(\epsilon)=1 \\
& f_{1}(\epsilon)=\epsilon-\alpha_{1} \\
& f_{i}(\epsilon)=\left(\epsilon-\alpha_{i}\right) f_{i-1}(\epsilon)-\beta_{i-1}^{2} f_{i-2}(\epsilon) \quad i>? \tag{40}
\end{align*}
$$

If some $\beta_{i}=0$ the matrix decomposes into a direct sum of matrices, each of which may be considered separately. This must happen if $A$ has multiple eigenvalues. Therefore, we may assume without loss of generality that all $\beta_{i} \neq 0$ and hence all eigenvalues are distinct.

The formulas in equation (4) may be used to determine the number of sign changes in the sequence $f_{0}(\epsilon), f_{1}(\epsilon), \ldots, f_{n}(\epsilon)$ and thereby determine intervals of any desired size in which each of the distinct eigenvalues may be found. It may be noted that the vanishing of an intermediate $f_{j}(\epsilon)(1 \leq j<n)$ does not affect the number of sign changes in the above sequence because if $f_{j}(\epsilon)=0$, then by equations (40) $f_{j-1}(\epsilon) \neq 0, \quad f_{j+1}(\epsilon) \neq 0$ and $f_{j-1}(\epsilon), f_{j+1}(\epsilon)$ must have opposite signs.

Once the eigenvalues $\epsilon$ have been found the corresponding eigenvectors $\mathrm{X}^{T}$ $=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ may be found by one of several straight forward methods. The simplest is that of back substitution. Take $x_{n}=1$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{n-1}=\left(\epsilon-\alpha_{n}\right) / \beta_{n-1} \\
& x_{n-2}=\left(\left(\epsilon-\alpha_{n-1}\right) x_{n-1}-\beta_{n-1}\right) / \beta_{n-2}  \tag{41}\\
& x_{j-1}=\left(\left(\epsilon-\alpha_{j}\right) x_{j}-\beta_{j} x_{j+1}\right) / \beta_{j-1} .
\end{align*}
$$

However, this method leads to numerical instabilities should any of the $\beta_{i}$ be small in absolute value.

Another method, referred to as the method of orthogonal factorization, is * the following. Consider a matrix B

$$
B=A-\epsilon I=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
u_{1} & \beta_{1} &  \tag{42}\\
\beta_{1} & u_{2} & \beta_{2} \\
& \beta_{2} & u_{3}
\end{array}\right)
$$

$\beta$ is singular so DET (B) =. 0 . Consider the following sequence of matrices $B^{(k)}$ $1 \leq k \leq n-1$, defined as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
B^{(k)}=J_{k, k+1}^{(k)} B^{(k-1)}, \quad B^{(0)}=B \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we define the $\mathrm{c}^{(1)}, \mathrm{s}^{(1)}$ of $J_{12}^{(1)}$ as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
& c^{(1)}=u_{1} /\left(u_{1}^{2}+\beta_{1}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& s^{(1)}=\beta_{1} /\left(u_{1}^{2}+\beta_{1}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{44}
\end{align*}
$$

then $B^{(1)}$ takes the form

$$
B^{(1)}=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
a_{1} & e_{1} & f_{1} & 0  \tag{45}\\
0 & g_{2} & h_{2} & 0 \\
0 & \beta_{2} & u_{3} & \beta_{3} \\
0 & & \beta_{3} &
\end{array}\right)
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{a}_{1}=\left(u_{1}^{2}+\beta_{1}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& e_{1}=\beta_{1} c^{(1)}+u_{2} s^{(1)} \\
& f_{1}=\beta_{2} s^{(1)}  \tag{46}\\
& g_{2}=\beta_{1} s^{(1)}-u_{2} c^{(1)} \\
& h_{2}=-\beta_{2} c^{(1)}
\end{align*}
$$

We define by induction

$$
\begin{align*}
& e^{(k)}=g_{k} /\left(g_{k}^{2}+\beta_{k}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}  \tag{47}\\
& s^{(k)}=\beta_{k} /\left(g_{k}^{2}+\beta_{k}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}
\end{align*}
$$

Then the matrix $\mathrm{B}^{(\mathrm{k})}$ appears thus:

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& d_{k}=\left(g_{k}^{2}+\beta_{k}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& e_{k}=h_{k} c^{(k)}+u_{k+1} s^{(k)} \\
& g_{k+1}=h_{k} s^{(k)}-u_{k+1} c^{(k)}  \tag{49}\\
& h_{k+1}=-\beta_{k+1} c^{(k)} \\
& g_{n}=d_{n} .
\end{align*}
$$

Now let $U=\int_{n-1, n}^{(n-1)} J_{n-2, n-1}^{(n-2)} \cdots J_{2,3}^{(2)} J_{1,2}^{(1)}$. Then UB is upper triangular with only the first three upper diagonals having elements different from zero. If $D E T(B)=0$ then $\operatorname{DET}(U B)=0$ and hence $d_{k}=0$ for some $k$. If $d_{k}=0$ for $\mathrm{k} \neq \mathrm{n}$ then by equations (49) $\beta_{k}=0$, contrary to assumption. So $d_{n}=0$. If $\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{T}}$ is the vector $(0,0, \ldots, 0,1)$ then $E^{T} U B=0$ or $B U^{T} E=0$ or ( $\left.A-\in I\right) U^{T} E=0$. Hence $A U^{T} E=\epsilon U^{T} E$ and $U^{T} E$ is an eigenvector of $A$ corresponding to the eigenvalue $\epsilon$.

A third method, referred to as the method of inverse iterations, is the following. Let $\epsilon_{j}$ and $U_{j}$ be the corresponding eigenvalues and eigenvectors of A and let $\bar{\epsilon}_{i}$ be the calculated approximation to $\epsilon_{i}$. Let $X^{(o)}$ be an arbitrary vector which we expand as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{(0)}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} c_{k} U_{k} \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

We assume that $c_{i} \neq 0$ and $X^{(0) T} X^{(0)}=1$. Consider a sequence of vectors $X^{(j)}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
X^{(j-1)}=\left(A-\bar{\epsilon}_{i}\right) X^{(j)} \quad j \geq 1 \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we set $j=1$ in equation (51) we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
X^{(1)}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{c_{k} U_{k}}{\left(\epsilon_{k} \bar{\epsilon}_{i}\right)}=\frac{c_{i} U_{i}}{\left(\epsilon_{i}-\bar{\epsilon}_{i}\right)}+\sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq i}}^{n} \frac{c_{k} U_{k}}{\left(\epsilon_{k}-\bar{\epsilon}_{i}\right)} \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfies equation (51). More genera.11y

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{(j)}=\frac{c_{i} U_{i}}{\left(\epsilon_{i} \bar{\epsilon}_{i}\right)^{j}}+\sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq i}}^{n} \frac{c_{k} U_{k}}{\left(\epsilon_{k}-\bar{\epsilon}_{i}\right)^{j}} \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\left|\epsilon_{i}-\bar{\epsilon}_{i}\right|$ is small compared to $\left|\epsilon_{k}-\bar{\epsilon}_{i}\right| k \neq i$, (which would be expected) then the $X^{(j)}$, if normalized, would converge to $U_{i}$ as $j \longrightarrow \infty$.

### 2.4 Orthogonal Reduction Methods

This is a class of methods which constructs an orghogonal matrix $U$ for a given real symmetric nxn matrix A such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
U^{T} A U=D \quad \text { (a diagonal matrix) } \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

by obtaining $U$ as a limit

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\mathrm{k} \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{U}^{(\mathrm{k})}=\mathrm{U} \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $U^{(k)}$ is usually a product of elementary orthogonal matrices $U_{j}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
U^{(k)}=U_{1} U_{2} U_{3} \cdots U_{k} \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

The columns of $U$ will be the column eigenvectors with the corresponding diagonal elenent of $D$ being the corresponding eigenvalue.

### 2.4.1 Jacobi Methods

In these methods the elementary orthogonal matrices are the Jacobi matrices $J_{i j} \cdot \operatorname{Let} A^{(0)}=A$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{(k)}=J_{i_{k}{ }_{k}}^{(k)} A^{(k-1)} J_{i_{k} j_{k}}^{(k)} \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

$c^{(k)}, s^{(k)}$ be the numbers associated with the $J_{i_{k}{ }^{j}{ }_{k}}^{(k)}$, and $\alpha_{p q}^{(k)}$ be the elements of $A^{(k)}$. The elements of $A^{(k)}$ are related to those of $A^{(k-1)}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha_{i_{k}{ }^{i} k}^{(k)}=c^{(k)}\left(c^{(k)} \alpha_{i_{k}{ }_{k}}^{(k-1)}+s^{(k)} \alpha_{i_{k}{ }^{j}{ }_{k}}^{(k-1)}\right) \\
& +s^{(k)}\left(c^{(k)} \alpha_{i_{k} j_{k}}^{(k-1)}+s^{(k)} \alpha_{j_{k} j_{k}}^{(k-1)}\right) \\
& \alpha_{j_{k} j_{k}}^{(k)}=s^{(k)}\left(s^{(k)} \alpha_{i_{k} i_{k}}^{(k-1)}-c^{(k)} \alpha_{i_{k} j_{k}}^{(k-1)}\right) \\
& -c^{(k)}\left(s^{(k)} \alpha_{i_{k} j_{k}}^{(k-1)}-c^{(k)} \alpha_{j_{k} j_{k}}^{(k-1)}\right) \\
& \alpha_{i_{k} j_{k}}^{(k)}=s^{(k)}\left(c^{(k)} \alpha_{i_{k} i_{k}}^{(k-1)}+s^{(k)} \alpha_{i_{k} j_{k}}^{(k-1)}\right) \\
& -c^{(k)}\left(c^{(k)} \alpha_{i_{k} j_{k}}^{(k-1)}+s^{(k)} \alpha_{j_{k} j_{k}}^{(k-1)}\right)  \tag{58}\\
& \left.\alpha_{i_{k} q}^{(k)}=c^{(k)} \alpha_{i_{k} q}^{(k-1)}+s^{(k)} \alpha_{j_{k} q}^{(k-1)}\right] \\
& \left.\alpha_{j_{k} q}^{(k)}=s^{(k)} \alpha_{i_{k} q}^{(k-1)}-c^{(k)} \alpha_{j_{k} q}^{(k-1)}\right\} q \neq i_{k}, j_{k} \\
& \left.\begin{array}{l}
\alpha_{p i_{k}}^{(k)}=c^{(k)} \alpha_{p i_{k}}^{(k-1)}+s^{(k)} \\
\alpha_{p j_{k}}^{(k-1)} \\
\alpha_{p j_{k}}^{(k)}=s^{(k)} \alpha_{p i_{k}}^{(k-1)}-c^{(k)} \\
\alpha_{p j_{k}}
\end{array}\right\} p \neq i_{k}, j_{k} \\
& \alpha_{p q}^{(k)}=\underset{p q}{(k-1)} \quad p, q \neq i_{k}, j_{k} .
\end{align*}
$$

From equation (58) we can easily verify

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\alpha_{i_{k} i_{k}}^{(k)}\right)^{2}+2\left(\alpha_{i_{k} j_{k}}^{(k)}\right)^{2}+\left(\alpha_{j_{k} j_{k}}^{(k)}\right)^{2} \\
= & \left(\alpha_{i_{k} i_{k}}^{(k-1)}\right)^{2}+2\left(\alpha_{i_{k} j_{k}}^{(k-1)}\right)^{2}+\left(\alpha_{j_{k} j_{k}}^{(k-1)}\right)^{2} \\
& \left(\alpha_{i_{k} q}^{(k)}\right)^{2}+\left(\alpha_{j_{k} q}^{(k)}\right)^{2}=\left(\alpha_{j_{k} q}^{(k-1)}\right)^{2}+\left(\alpha_{j_{k} q}^{(k-1)}\right)^{2} q \neq i_{k}, j_{k} \\
& \left(\alpha_{p i_{k}}^{(k)}\right)^{2}+\left(\alpha_{p j_{k}}^{(k)}\right)^{2}=\left(\alpha_{p i_{k}}^{(k-1)}\right)^{2}+\left(\alpha_{p j_{k}}^{(k-1)}\right)^{2} \quad p \neq i_{k}, j_{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

If we sect
then it is easily seen that $\alpha_{i_{k}}^{(k)} j_{k}=0$.
Now we define

$$
\begin{align*}
& d^{(k)}=\sum_{p=1}^{n}\left(\alpha_{p p}^{(k)}\right)^{2}  \tag{61}\\
& u^{(k)}=\sum_{p=1}^{n} \sum_{\substack{q=1 \\
q \neq p}}^{n}\left(\alpha_{p q}^{(k)}\right)^{2} \\
& f^{(k)}=\sum_{p=1}^{n} \sum_{q=1}^{n}\left(\alpha_{p q}^{(k)}\right)^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

then $f^{(k)}=f^{(k-1)}=\ldots=f^{(0)}=f, f=d^{(k)}+u^{(k)} \cdot$ If $c^{(k)} / s^{(k)}$ is chosen by equation (60), then

$$
\begin{align*}
& d^{(k)}=d^{(k-1)}+2\left(\alpha_{i_{k} j_{k}}^{(k-1)}\right)^{2}  \tag{62}\\
& u^{(k)}=u^{(k-1)}-2\left(\alpha_{i_{k} j_{k}}^{(k-1)}\right)^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

by the fact that $\alpha_{i_{k}}^{(k)} j_{k}=0$ and equations (59). If $i_{k}, j_{k}$ are chosen so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\alpha_{i_{k} j_{k}}^{(k-1)}\right)^{2} \geq \frac{u^{(k-1)}}{n(n-1)} \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{(k)} \leq\left[1-\frac{2}{n(n-1)}\right] u^{(k-1)} \leq\left[1-\frac{2}{n(n-1)}\right]^{k} u^{(0)} \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} u^{(k)}=0  \tag{65}\\
& \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} A^{(k)}=\text { diagonal matrix } \\
& \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} U^{(k)}=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} J_{i, j}^{(1)} J_{i_{2} j_{2}}^{(2)} \cdots J_{i_{k} j_{k}}^{(k)}=U,
\end{align*}
$$

an orthogonal matrix of eigenvectors of $A$.
There is a considerable amount of freedom in selecting $i_{k}, j_{k}$ at each step. We may, for example, choose $i_{k}$, $j_{k}$ so that $\alpha_{i_{k} j_{k}}^{(k-1)}$ has the largest absolute value of all off-diagonal elements. We may also choose $i_{k}$, $j_{k}$ sequentially as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& i_{k}=i_{k-1} ; j_{k}=j_{k-1}+1 \text { for } i_{k-1}<n-1 \text { and } j_{k-1}<n, \\
& i_{k}=i_{k-1}+1 ; j_{k}=i_{k}+1 \text { for } i_{k-1}<n-1 \text { and } j_{k-1}=n,  \tag{66}\\
& i_{k}=1, j_{k}=2 \text { for } i_{k-1}=n-1 \text { and } j_{k-1}=n ;
\end{align*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{align*}
& j_{k}=j_{k-1}, i_{k}=i_{k-1}+1 \text { for } j_{k-1}<n \text { and } 1_{k-1}<j_{k-1}-1 \\
& j_{k}=j_{k-1}+1, i_{k}=1 \text { for } j_{k-1}<n \text { and } i_{k-1}=j_{k-1}-1  \tag{67}\\
& i_{k}=1, j_{k}=2 \text { for } j_{k-1}=n \text { and } i_{k-1}=j_{k-1}-1 .
\end{align*}
$$

We obtain convergence for selection schemes equations (66) and (67) provided, if we set $c^{(k)}=\cos a^{(k)}, s^{(k)}=\sin a^{(k)}$, the angles $a^{(k)}$ all lie in some closed interval contained in the open interval ( $-\Pi / 2, \Pi / 2$ ).

### 2.4.2 La Budde-Kaiser Methods

In these methods Householder matrices will be used as elementary orthogonal matrices to construct the matrix $U$ which diagonalizes $A$.

In the La Budde methods the iteration consists of two parts: one

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}_{1}^{(\mathrm{k})} \mathrm{A}^{(\mathrm{k}-1)} \mathrm{H}_{1}^{(\mathrm{k})}=\mathrm{B}^{(\mathrm{k})} \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, two

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{12}^{(\mathrm{k})} B^{(\mathrm{k})} J_{12}^{(\mathrm{k})}=A^{(\mathrm{k})} \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is convenient to partition the matrices $A^{(k)}$ and $B^{(k)}$ as follows.

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
A^{(k)}=\left(\begin{array}{c:c}
\alpha_{11}^{(k)} & S^{(k) T} \\
\hdashline S^{(k)} & A_{1}^{(k)}
\end{array}\right)  \tag{70}\\
S^{(k) T}=\left(\alpha_{12}^{(k)} \quad \vdots\right. \\
\left.R^{(k) T}\right) \\
A_{1}^{(k)}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c:c}
\alpha_{22}^{(k)} & \mathbb{T}^{(k) T} \\
\hdashline T^{(k)} & A_{2}^{(k)}
\end{array}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B^{(k)}=\left(\begin{array}{c:c}
\beta_{11}^{(k)} & X^{(k) T} \\
\hdashline X^{(k)} & B_{1}^{(k)}
\end{array}\right) \\
& X^{(k) T}=\quad\left(B_{12}^{(k)} \quad \vdots \quad Z^{(k)}\right. \\
& B_{1}^{(k)}=\left(\begin{array}{c:c}
B_{22}^{(k)} & Y^{(k) T} \\
\hdashline & Y^{(k)} \\
\hdashline & B_{2}^{(k)}
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $A_{1}^{(k)}, B_{1}^{(k)}$ are (n-1) x (n-1) matrices, $A_{2}^{(k)} B_{2}^{(k)}$ are (n-2) $x(n-2)$ matrices, $S^{(k)}, X^{(k)}$ are ( $n-1$ ) dimensional vectors, and $\mathrm{R}^{(k),} \mathrm{T}^{(\mathrm{k})}, \mathrm{Z}^{(\mathrm{k})}, \mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{k})$ are ( $n-2$ ) dimensional vectors.

If $F$ is a matrix or vector, we define $||F||$ to be the square root of the sum of the squares of all of the elements of $F$. We now define the matrices $H_{1}^{(k)}$, $J_{12}^{(k)}$ used in the iteration. Let $H_{1}^{(k)}=I-2 W_{i}^{(k)} W_{i}^{(k) T}$ where $W_{I}^{(k) T}=\left(0, W_{e}^{(k)}, \ldots, W_{n}^{(k)}\right)$. If we set

$$
\begin{align*}
& w_{2}^{(k)}=\left[1 / 2\left(1+\left|\alpha_{12}^{(k-1)}\right| /\left\|S^{(k)}\right\|\right]^{1 / 2}\right.  \tag{71}\\
& w_{j}^{(k)}=\operatorname{sgn}\left(\alpha_{12}^{(k-1)}\right) \alpha_{1 j}^{(k-1)} /\left(2 w_{2}^{(k)}\left\|s^{(k)}\right\|\right) 3 \leq j \leq n
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \beta_{11}^{(k)}=\alpha_{11}^{(k-1)} \\
& \left|\beta_{12}^{(k)}\right|^{2}=\left\|S^{(k-1)}\right\|^{2}=\left(\alpha_{12}^{(k-1)}\right)^{2}+\left\|R^{(k-1)}\right\|^{2} \\
& Z^{(k)}=0  \tag{72}\\
& \beta_{22}^{(k)}=V^{(k-1) T} A_{1}^{(k-1)} V^{(k-1)} \\
& \left\|Y^{(k)}\right\|^{2}=V^{(k-1) T} A_{1}^{(k-1)}\left(I-V^{(k-1)} V^{(k-1) T)}\right) A_{1}^{(k-1)} V^{(k-1)} \\
& \left\|B_{1}^{(k)}\right\|=\left\|A_{1}^{(k-1)}\right\|
\end{align*}
$$

where $V^{(k)}=S^{(k)} /\left\|S^{(k)}\right\|$. The effect of $J_{12}^{(k)}$ on $B^{(k)}$ is as follows. Let $c^{(k)}, s^{(k)}$ be the quantities associated with $J_{12}^{(k)}$. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{11}^{(\mathrm{k})}=\beta_{11}^{(\mathrm{k})}+\Delta \alpha_{11}^{(\mathrm{k})} \Rightarrow \alpha_{11}^{(\mathrm{k}-1)}+\Delta \alpha_{11}^{(\mathrm{k})} \tag{73}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \alpha_{11}^{(k)}=\left(s^{(k)}\right)^{2}\left(\beta_{22}^{(k)}-\alpha_{11}^{(k-1)}+2 \frac{c^{(k)}}{s^{(k)}} \beta_{12}^{(k)}\right) \tag{74}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{12}^{(k)}=\beta_{12}^{(k)}+\Delta \beta_{12}^{(k)} \tag{75}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

Finally

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \beta_{12}^{(k)}=\left(s^{(k)}\right)^{2}\left(-2\left(\frac{c^{(k)}}{s^{(k)}}\right)^{2} \beta_{12}^{(k)}+\frac{c^{(k)}}{s^{(k)}}\left(\alpha_{11}^{(k-1)}-\beta_{22}^{(k)}\right)\right) . \tag{76}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\mathrm{R}^{(k)}\right\| & =\left|\mathrm{s}^{(k)}\right|\left\|\mathrm{Y}^{(k)}\right\| \\
\left\|\mathrm{T}^{(k)}\right\| & =\left|\mathrm{e}^{(\mathrm{k})}\right|\left\|\mathrm{Y}^{(k)}\right\|  \tag{77}\\
A_{2}^{(k)} & =B_{2}^{(k)} .
\end{align*}
$$

It can easily be shown from equations ( 72 to 77 ) that if $d^{(k)}$ is any sequence of numbers satisfying the following: (1) $d^{(k)}>0$, (2) $\|^{(k)} \mid$ are bounded away from zero, (3) $\left|\mathrm{d}^{(k)}\right|$ are bounded away from $\infty$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{e^{(k)}}{s^{(k)}}=\frac{\alpha_{11}^{(k-1)}-\beta_{22}^{(k)}+d^{(k)}}{2 \beta_{12}^{(k)}} \tag{78}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left|\beta_{12}^{(k)}\right|=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\|s^{(k)}\right\|=0 \\
& \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} A^{(k)}=\left(\begin{array}{c:c}
\epsilon_{1} & 0 \\
\hdashline 0 & A_{1}
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $A_{1}$ is an ( $n-1$ ) $x$ ( $n-1$ ) matrix and $\epsilon_{1}$ is an eigenvalue of $A$. Equation (79) is true if any one, two, or all of the conditions (1) - (3) are replaced by the corresponding conditions (1)' - (3)' : (1)' $d^{(k)}<0$, (2)' $\left|d^{(k)}\right|$ approaches zero no faster than $\left|\beta_{12}^{(k)}\right|^{2}$, (3), $\left|d^{(k)}\right|^{-1}$ approaches zero no faster than $\left|\beta_{12}^{(k)}\right|^{2}$.

It can also be shown by equations ( 72 to 77 ) that if

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0 \leq\left|\frac{c^{(k)}}{s^{(k)}}\right| \leq\left|\frac{\alpha_{11}^{(k-1)}-\beta_{22}^{(k)}}{2 \beta_{12}^{(k)}}\right|  \tag{80}\\
& \operatorname{sgn} \frac{c^{(k)}}{s^{(k)}}=\operatorname{sgn} \frac{\alpha_{11}^{(k-1)}-\beta_{22}^{(k)}}{2 \beta_{12}^{(k)}}
\end{align*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\|R^{(k)}\right\|=0, \underset{k \rightarrow \infty}{\lim _{\infty}\left\|T^{(k)}\right\|=0} \\
& \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \Lambda^{(k)}=\left(\begin{array}{c:c}
E_{2} & 0 \\
\hdashline & \hdashline \\
0 & A_{2}
\end{array}\right) \tag{81}
\end{align*}
$$

where $E_{2}$ is a $2 \times 2$ matrix and $A_{2}$ is an ( $n-2$ ) $x$ ( $n-2$ ) matrix.
Two special cases of interest will be noted here. One is the case where we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{(k)}= \pm \sqrt{\left(\alpha_{11}^{(k-1)}-\beta_{22}^{(k)}\right)^{2}+4\left(\beta_{12}^{(k)}\right)^{2}} \tag{82}
\end{equation*}
$$

These choices of $d^{(k)}$ cause $\alpha_{12}^{(k)}=0$ and maximize $\left|\Delta \alpha_{11}^{(k)}\right|$. The other case is the one in which we set $c^{(k)} / s^{(k)}=0$. In this case the Jacobi transformation $J_{12}^{(k)}{ }_{B}^{(k)} J_{12}^{(k)}$ reduces to a simple row and column permutation which is exact and requires no computation.

The Kaiser iteration may be defined as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{0}^{(k)} A^{(k-1)} H_{0}^{(k)}=A^{(k)} \tag{83}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& H_{0}^{(k)}=I-2 W_{0}^{(k)} W_{0}^{(k) T} \\
& W_{0}^{(k) T}=\left(w_{1}^{(k)}, W_{2}^{(k)}, \ldots, W_{n}^{(k)}\right) \tag{84}
\end{align*}
$$

Before proceeding with the $k^{\text {th }}$ step we make sure that all elements $\alpha_{i j}^{(k-1)}$ $2 \leq j \leq n$ are non-negative. This can easily be done by appropriate row and column multiplications. We define

$$
\begin{align*}
& t^{(k-1)}=\left(\sum_{j=2}^{n} \alpha_{1 j}^{(k-1)}\right) / \sqrt{n-1} \\
& u^{(k-1)}=\left(\sum_{j=2}^{n} \sum_{p=2}^{n} \alpha_{j p}^{(k-1)}\right) /(n-1) \tag{85}
\end{align*}
$$

and we take

$$
\begin{align*}
& w_{1}^{(k)}=c^{(k)}  \tag{86}\\
& w_{2}^{(k)}=w_{3}^{(k)}=\cdots=w_{n}^{(k)}=s^{(k)} .
\end{align*}
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(c^{(k)}\right)^{2}+(n-1)\left(s^{(k)}\right)^{2}=1 \\
& \alpha_{11}^{(k)}=\alpha_{11}^{(k-1)}\left(1-2\left(c^{(k)}\right)^{2}\right)^{2}  \tag{87}\\
& -4 \sqrt{n-1} c^{(k)} s^{(k)}\left(1-2\left(c^{(k)}\right)^{2} t^{(k-1)}\right. \\
& +4(n-1)\left(c^{(k)} s^{(k)}\right)^{2} u^{(k-1)} .
\end{align*}
$$

If we set

$$
\begin{align*}
& \cos a^{(k)}=1-2\left(c^{(k)}\right)^{2} \\
& \sin a^{(k)}=2 \sqrt{n-1} c^{(k)} s^{(k)} \tag{88}
\end{align*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\cos a^{(k)}\right)^{2}+\left(\sin a^{(k)}\right)^{2}=1 \tag{89}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{11}^{(k)} & =\left(\cos a^{(k)}\right)^{2} \alpha_{11}^{(k-1)}-2 \cos a^{(k)} \sin a^{(k)} t^{(k-1)} \\
& +\left(\sin a^{(k)}\right)^{2}(k-1) \\
= & \left.1 / 2\left(\alpha_{11}^{(k-1}\right)+(k-1)\right)+1 / 2 \cos 2 a^{(k)}\left(\alpha_{11}^{(k-1)}-(k-1)\right. \\
& \quad-\sin 2 a^{(k)} t^{(k-1)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If we choose

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tan 2^{(k)}=-2 t^{(k-1)} /\left(\alpha_{11}^{(k-1)}-u^{(k-1)}\right) \tag{91}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $\alpha_{11}^{(k)}$ assumes its maximum value as a function of $a^{(k)}$ and is

$$
\begin{align*}
\alpha_{11}^{(k)} & =1 / 2\left(\alpha_{11}^{(k-1)}+u^{(k-1)}\right) \\
& +1 / 2\left[\alpha_{11}^{(k-1)}-u^{(k-1)}\right)+4\left(t^{\left.(k-1)^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}}\right. \tag{92}
\end{align*}
$$

This shows that $\alpha_{11}^{(k)} \geq \alpha_{11}^{(-1)}$ with equality holding only if $t^{(k-1)}=0$. If the $a^{(k)}$ are chosen by equation (91) then equations (79) hold true and convergence is obtained.

### 2.4.3 The L-R Method

In this section we will assume that the non symmetric matrix $A$ is positive definite and is in tridiagonal form, ie.

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\alpha_{1} & \beta_{1} & \square  \tag{93}\\
\beta_{1} & \alpha_{2} & \beta_{2} \\
& \beta_{2} & \alpha_{3}
\end{array}\right)
$$

We may also assume, without loss of generality as before that all $\beta_{i} \neq 0$.
The basic iteration is as follows.
We factor $A^{(k-1)}$ into

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{(k-1)}=L^{(k-1) T} L^{(k-1)} \tag{94}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
L^{(k-1)}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
a_{1}^{(k-1)} & e_{1}^{(k-1)} & 0  \tag{95}\\
& a_{2}^{(k-1)} & e_{2}^{(k-1)} \\
0 & &
\end{array}\right)
$$

$L^{(k-1)}$ is upper triangular with non-zero elements only on the main and first upper diagonals. We now form

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{(k)}=L^{(k-1)} L^{(k-1) T} \tag{96}
\end{equation*}
$$

$A^{(k)}$ is similar to $A^{(k-1)}$ i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{(k)}=\left(L^{(k-1) T}\right)^{-1} \quad \Lambda^{(k-1)} L^{(k-1) T} \tag{96}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, $A^{(k)}$ is in tridiagonal form.
The relations between the elements of $A^{(k-1)}, L^{(k-1)}$, and $A^{(k)}$ are as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
& d_{1}^{(k-1)}=\sqrt{\alpha_{1}^{(k-1}} \\
& e_{1}^{(k-1)}=\beta_{1}^{(k-1)} / \alpha_{1}^{(k-1)} \\
& d_{j}^{(k-1)}=\left(\alpha_{j}^{(k-1)}-\left(e_{j-1}^{(k-1)}\right)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \cdot 2 \leq j \leq n \\
& e_{j}^{(k-1)}=\beta_{j}^{(k-1)} / a_{j}^{(k-1)} \quad 2 \leq j \leq n-1 \\
& \alpha_{1}^{(k)}=\left(d_{1}^{(k-1)}\right)^{2}+\left(e_{1}^{(k-1)}\right)^{2} \\
& =\alpha_{1}^{(\mathrm{k}-1)}+\left(\beta_{1}^{(\mathrm{k}-1)}\right)^{2} / \alpha_{1}^{(\mathrm{k}-1)}  \tag{97}\\
& \beta_{1}^{(k)}=d_{2}^{(k-1)} e_{1}^{(k-1)} \\
& =\frac{\beta_{1}^{(k-1)}}{\sqrt{\alpha_{1}^{(k-1)}}} \sqrt{\alpha_{2}^{(k-1)}-\left(\beta_{1}^{(k-1)}\right)^{2} / \alpha_{1}^{(k-1)}} \\
& \alpha_{j}^{(k)}=\left(\alpha_{j}^{(k-1)}\right)^{2}+\left(e_{j}^{(k-1)}\right)^{2} \\
& =\alpha_{j}^{(k-1)}-\left(\beta_{j-1}^{(k-1)} / d_{j-1}^{(k-1)}\right)^{2}+\left(\beta_{j}^{(k-1)} / d_{j}^{(k-1)}\right)^{2} \quad 2 \leq j \leq n-1
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{n}^{(k)} & =\left(\alpha_{n}^{(k-1)}\right)^{2}=\alpha_{n}^{(k-1)}-\left(\beta_{n-1}^{(k-1)} / \alpha_{n-1}^{(k-1)}\right)^{2} \\
\beta_{j}^{(k)} & =d_{j+1}^{(k-1)} e_{j}^{(k-1)} \\
& =\left(\beta_{j}^{(k-1)} / d_{j}^{(k-1)}\right)\left(\alpha_{j+1}^{(k-1)}-\left(\beta_{j}^{(k-1)} / d_{j}^{(k-1)}\right)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} 2 \leq j \leq n-1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

It can be shown from equations (97) that all $\beta_{j}^{(k)} \longrightarrow 0$ as $k \longrightarrow \infty$ and hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} A^{(k)} & =\text { a diagonal matrix } \\
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} U^{(k)} & =\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} L^{(I) T} L^{(2) T} \ldots L^{(k) T}=U
\end{aligned}
$$

where $U$ is a matrix, the columns of which are the eigenvectors of $A$. $U$ may be multiplied on the right by a suitable diagonal matrix $D$ so that UD is orthogonal. In theory one may obtain an orthogonal matrix as a limit of a product of non-orthogonal matrices $L^{(j) T}$, but in practice it may be better to obtain the vectors by one of the methods of section 2.3.

We may speed up the iterations of equations (97) by eliminating the square roots from the process as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(d_{1}^{(k-1)}\right)^{2}=\alpha_{1}^{(k-1)} \\
& \left(e_{1}^{(k-1)}\right)^{2}=\left(\beta_{1}^{(k-1)} / \alpha_{1}^{(k-1)}\right)^{2} \\
& \left(d_{j}^{(k-1)}\right)^{2}=\alpha_{j}^{(k-1)}-\left(e_{j-1}^{(k-1)}\right)^{2}  \tag{99}\\
& \left(e_{j}^{(k-1)}\right)^{2}=\left(\beta_{j}^{(k-1)} / \alpha_{j}^{(k-1)}\right)^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{1}^{(k)}=\left(d_{1}^{(k-1)}\right)^{2}+\left(e_{1}^{(k-1)}\right)^{2} \\
& \left(\beta_{1}^{(k)}\right)^{2}=\left(d_{2}^{(k-1)} e_{1}^{(k-1)}\right)^{2} \\
& \alpha_{j}^{(k)}=\left(d_{j}^{(k-1)}\right)^{2}+\left(e_{j}^{(k-1)}\right)^{2} \\
& \left(\beta_{j}^{(k)}\right)^{2}=\left(d_{j+1}^{(k-1)} e_{j}^{(k-1)}\right)^{2} \\
& \alpha_{n}^{(k)}=\left(\alpha_{n}^{(k-1)}\right)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here we ignore the signs of $\beta_{i}^{(k)}$ and store only $\left(\beta_{i}^{(k)}\right)^{2}$. The limit of $A^{(k)}$ will be a diagonal matrix of eigenvalues of A and we may obtain the eigenvectors by one of the methods of section 2.3.

Convergence may also be accelerated by a series of origin shifts. Instead of the sequence $A^{(k)}$, we consider the modified sequence $\bar{A}^{(k)}=A^{(k)}-u_{k} I$ where the $u_{k}$ are chosen to be close to the smallest eigenvalue of $A$ or the smallest. diagonal element of $A$. More complicated choices of $u_{k}$ will insure cubic convergence to diagonal form.

### 2.4.4 The Q-R Method

The Q-R method is based upon the fact that any matrix A may be factored in a non-iterative fashion into a product $A=U T$ where $U$ is orthogonal and $T$ is upper triangular. If $A$ is symmetric and in tridiagonal form

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\alpha_{1} & \beta_{1} & \square  \tag{100}\\
\beta_{1} & \alpha_{2} & \beta_{2} \\
& \beta_{2} & \alpha_{3} \\
\beta_{3} \\
& & \beta_{3}
\end{array}\right)
$$

then $T$ is upper triangular with non-zero elements only on the main diagonal and the first two upper diagonals. If we form $A^{\prime}=T U$, then $A$ and $A^{\prime}$ are similar $\left(A^{\prime}=U^{T} A U\right)$ and $A^{\prime}$ is also in tridiagonal form. We can assume as before, without loss of generality that all $\beta_{j} \neq 0$.

We will formulate the basic iteration as follows: $A^{(k)}=V^{(k) T} A^{(k-l)} V^{(k)}$ where $\mathrm{V}^{(\mathrm{k})}$ is an orthogonal matrix defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
V^{(k)}=J_{1,2}^{(k)(1)} J_{2,3}^{(k)(2)} \ldots \ldots J_{n-2, n-1}^{(k)(n-2)} J_{n-1, n}^{(k)(n-1)} \ldots \tag{101}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $c^{(k)(j)}, s^{(k)(j)}$ be the quantities associated with the $J_{j, j+1}^{(k)}(j)$. It will be convenient to use the following notation:

$$
\begin{align*}
& A^{(k-1)(0)}=A^{(k-1)} \\
& A^{(k-1)(j)}=J_{j, j+1}^{(k)(j)} A^{(k-1)(j-1)} \\
& A^{(k-1)(n-1)}=B^{(k)(0)}  \tag{102}\\
& B^{(k)(j)}=B^{(k)(j-1)} J_{j, j+1}^{(k)(j)} \\
& B^{(k)(n-1)}=A^{(k)(0)}=A^{(k)}
\end{align*}
$$

The general forms of $A^{(k-1)(j)}$ and $B^{(k)(j)}$ are as follows

$\left.\begin{array}{cccc}\beta_{j-1}^{(k)} & \alpha_{j}^{(k)} & t_{j}^{(k)} & \epsilon_{j}^{(k)} \\ 0 . & \beta_{j}^{(k)} & v_{j+1}^{(k)} & \delta_{j+1}^{(k)} \\ & 0 & 0 & h_{j+1}^{(k)}\end{array}\right\}$

We will now define the quantities $c^{(k)(j)}$ and $s^{(k)(j)}$ and the elements of $A^{(k-1)(j)}$ and $B^{(k)(j)}$.

$$
\begin{align*}
& s^{(k)(1)}=\beta_{1}^{(k-1)} /\left(\left(\alpha_{1}^{(k-1)}\right)^{2}+\left(\beta_{1}^{(k-1)}\right)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}  \tag{105}\\
& c^{(k)(1)}=\alpha_{1}^{(k-1)} /\left(\left(\alpha_{1}^{(k-1)} 0^{2}+\left(\beta_{1}^{(k-1)}\right)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} .\right.
\end{align*}
$$

From this it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
& h_{1}^{(k)}=\left(\left(\alpha_{1}^{(k-1)}\right)^{2}+\left(\beta_{1}^{(k-1)}\right)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \delta_{1}^{(k)}=\beta_{1}^{(k-1)}\left(\alpha_{1}^{(k-1)}+\alpha_{2}^{(k-1)}\right) / h_{1}^{(k)} \\
& \epsilon_{1}^{(k)}=s^{(k)(1)} \beta_{2}^{(k-1)}  \tag{106}\\
& g_{2}^{(k)}=\left(\left(\beta_{1}^{(k-1)}\right)^{2}-\alpha_{1}^{(k-1)} \alpha_{2}^{(k-1)}\right) / h_{1}^{(k)} \\
& u_{2}^{(k)}=-c^{(k)(1)} \beta_{2}^{(k-1)} .
\end{align*}
$$

The remaining quantities are defined as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& s^{(k)(j)}=\beta_{j}^{(k-1)} /\left(\left(g_{j}^{(k)}\right)^{2}+\left(\beta_{j}^{(k-1)}\right)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}  \tag{107}\\
& c^{(k)(j)}=g_{j}^{(k)} /\left(\left(g_{j}^{(k)}\right)^{2}+\left(\beta_{j}^{(k-1)}\right)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} .
\end{align*}
$$

Then it can be seen that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
h_{j}^{(k)}=\left(\left(g_{j}^{(k)}\right)^{2}+\left(\beta_{j}^{(k-1)}\right)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}, 2 \leq j \leq n-1 \\
\delta_{j}^{(k)}=\beta_{j}^{(k-1)}\left(-c^{(k)(j-1)} g_{j}^{(k)}+\alpha_{j+1}^{(k-1)}\right) / h_{j}^{(k)}, & 2 \leq j \leq n-1 \\
\epsilon_{j}^{(k)}=s^{(k)(j)} \beta_{j+1}^{(k-1)} & 2 \leq j \leq n-2 \\
g_{j+1}^{(k)}=s^{(k)(j)} u_{j}^{(k)}-c^{(k)(j)} \alpha_{j+1}^{(k-1)} & 2 \leq j \leq n-1  \tag{108}\\
u_{j+1}^{(k)}=-c^{(k)(j)} \beta_{j+1}^{(k-1} & 2 \leq j \leq n-2 \\
g_{n}^{(k)}=n_{n}^{(k)} . &
\end{array}
$$

For the elements of $\mathrm{B}^{(\mathrm{k})(\mathrm{j})}$ we have the following relations

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\alpha_{1}^{(k)}=\alpha_{1}^{(k-1)}+\left(\alpha_{1}^{(k-1)}+\alpha_{2}^{(k-1)}\right)\left(\beta_{1}^{(k-1)}\right)^{2} /\left(h_{1}^{(k)}\right)^{2} \\
\beta_{1}^{(k)}=s^{(k)(1)} h_{2}^{(k)} & \\
t_{1}^{(k)}=s^{(k)(1)} h_{1}^{(k)}-c^{(k)(1)} \delta_{1}^{(k)} \\
v_{2}^{(k)}=-c^{(k)(1)} h_{1}^{(k)} & \\
\alpha_{j}^{(k)}=c^{(k)(j)} v_{j}^{(k)}+s^{(k)(j)} \delta_{j}^{(k)} & 2 \leq j \leq n-1  \tag{109}\\
\beta_{j}^{(k)}=s^{(k)(j)} h_{j+1}^{(k)} & 2 \leq j \leq n-1 \\
t_{j}^{(k)}=s^{(k)(j)} v_{j}^{(k)}-c^{(k)(j)} \delta_{j}^{(k)} & 2 \leq j \leq n-1 \\
v_{j+1}^{(k)}=-c^{(k)(j) h_{j+1}^{(k)}} & 2 \leq j \leq n-1 \\
v_{n}^{(k)}=\alpha_{n}^{(k)} \cdot
\end{array}
$$

It can be shown from equations (105 and 106) that if A is positive definite then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} A^{(k)}=\text { (diagonal matrix) } \tag{110}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} U^{(k)}=\lim _{k \rightarrow} \infty V^{(1)} V^{(2)} \ldots V^{(k)}=U \tag{111}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $U$ is an orthogonal matrix of column eigenvectors of A.
Equations (110 and 111) can be shown to hold true under the more general requirement that $A$ need only have eigenvalues with distinct absolute values. Convergence can be accelerated by origin shifts, i.e. employing the modified. sequence of matrices $\bar{A}^{(k)}=A^{(k)}-u_{k} I$ where $u_{k}$ is taken to be close to the smallest eigenvalue (in absolute value) of $A$ or the smallest, in absolute value, diagonal element of $A$.

### 2.5 Step by Step Methods

In these methods, an eigenvalue $\epsilon$ and a corresponding eigenvector $X$ are obtained, one pair $\epsilon$ and $X$ at a time. When an $\epsilon$ and $X$ have been obtained, the matrix may be transformed by the method of deflation to a matrix of essentially one lower order. We will describe two such methods: the power method and the conjugate gradient method.

### 2.5. The Power Method

Let $A$ be a real synmetric nxn matrix with eigenvalues $\epsilon_{i}$ so ordered that $\left|\epsilon_{1}\right| \geq\left|\epsilon_{2}\right| \geq \ldots \geq\left|\epsilon_{n}\right|$ and let $U_{i}$ be the corresponding eigenvectors of A. Let $X_{o}$ be a vector which we may write in terms of $U_{i}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{0}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} v_{i} U_{i} \tag{112}
\end{equation*}
$$

We further define

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{k}=A^{k} X_{0}=A X_{k-1} \tag{113}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
X_{k}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} v_{i} \epsilon_{i}^{K} U_{i}
$$

We wish to study the behavior of $X_{k}$ as $k \longrightarrow \infty$. We consider three cases. Case 1 (all eigenvalues having distinct absolute values).

Here we have $\left|\epsilon_{1}\right|>\left|\epsilon_{2}\right|>\ldots . .>\left|\epsilon_{n}\right|$.
We now assume that $v_{1} \neq 0$. Then $X_{k}$ can be written:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{k}=\epsilon_{1}^{k}\left(v_{1} U_{1}+\sum_{i=2}^{n} v_{i}\left(\frac{\epsilon_{i}}{\epsilon_{1}}\right)^{k} U_{i}\right) \tag{115}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case $\left(\epsilon_{i} / \epsilon_{1}\right)^{k}$ approaches zero as $k \rightarrow \infty$ for $i \neq 1$, so $X_{k} / \| X_{k}| |$ approaches the eigenvector $U_{1}$. When $U_{1}$ has been computed to sufficient accuracy, we may set $\epsilon_{1}=U_{I}^{T} A U_{1}$, deflate the matrix $A$, and continue. Case 2 (multiple eigenvalues, distinct eigenvalues having distinct absolute values). Here it is sufficiont to consider the case where $\epsilon_{1}=\epsilon_{2}=\ldots \epsilon_{p}$; $\left|\epsilon_{p}\right|>\left|\epsilon_{p+1}\right|>\ldots .>\left|\epsilon_{n}\right|$. We now assume that at least one $v_{i} \neq 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq p$. Now any linear combination of the eigenvectors $U_{1}, \ldots, U_{p}$ will also be an eigenvector of $A$ corresponding to the eigenvalue $\epsilon_{1}$. We may write $X_{k}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{k}=\epsilon_{I}^{k}\left(U+\sum_{i=p+1}^{n} v_{i}\left(\frac{\epsilon_{i}}{\epsilon_{1}}\right)^{k} U_{i}\right) \tag{116}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
U=\sum_{i=1}^{k} v_{i} U_{i} \tag{117}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $U$ is an eigenvector of $A$ corresponding to the eigenvalue $\epsilon_{i}$. In this case $\left(\epsilon_{i} / \epsilon_{1}\right)^{k}$ approaches zero as $k \rightarrow \infty$ for $i>p$, so $X_{k} /\left\|X_{k}\right\|$ approaches the
eigenvector $U$. When $U$ has been computed to sufficient accuracy, we may proceed as in Case 1.
Case 3 (unequal eigenvalues with equal absolute values).
Here it is sufficient to consider the case where $\epsilon_{1}=-\epsilon_{2}$, $\left|\epsilon_{1}\right|=\left|\epsilon_{2}\right|>\left|\epsilon_{3}\right|>\ldots>\left|\left|\epsilon_{n}\right|\right.$. We assume that $v_{1} \neq 0$ and $v_{2} \neq 0$. Then $X_{k}$ can be written

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{k}=\epsilon_{1}^{k}\left(v_{1} U_{1}+(-1)^{k} v_{2} U_{2}+\sum_{i=3}^{n} v_{i}\left(\frac{\epsilon_{i}}{\epsilon_{1}}\right)^{k} U_{i}\right) \tag{118}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{align*}
& X_{2 \ell}=\epsilon_{1}^{2 \ell}\left(v_{1} U_{1}+v_{2} U_{2}+\sum_{i=3}^{n} v_{i}\left(\frac{\epsilon_{i}}{\epsilon_{1}}\right)^{2 \ell} U_{i}\right)  \tag{119}\\
& x_{2 \ell+1}=\epsilon_{1}^{2 \ell+1}\left(v_{1} U_{1}-v_{2} U_{2}=\sum_{i=3}^{n} v_{i}\left(\frac{\epsilon_{i}}{\epsilon_{1}}\right)^{2 \ell+1} U_{i}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

The first of equations (119), for example, may be used to determine $\epsilon_{1}^{2}$ by the methods of cases 1 and 2. To determine the eigenvectors $U_{1}$ and $U_{2}$, we take two sequences

$$
\begin{align*}
& Y_{2 \ell}=X_{2 \ell}+\epsilon_{1} X_{2 \ell-1}  \tag{120}\\
& Z_{2 \ell}=Z_{2 \ell}-\epsilon_{1} X_{2 \ell-1}
\end{align*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& Y_{2 \ell}=\epsilon_{1}^{2 \ell}\left(2 v_{2} U_{2}+\sum_{i=3}^{n} v_{i}\left(\frac{\epsilon_{i}}{\epsilon_{1}}+\epsilon_{1}\right)\left(\frac{\epsilon_{i}}{\epsilon_{1}}\right)\right.  \tag{121}\\
& Z_{2 \ell-1}=\epsilon_{1}^{2 \ell}\left(2 v_{2} U_{2}=\sum_{i=3}^{n} v_{i}\left(\frac{\epsilon_{i}}{\epsilon_{1}}-\epsilon_{1}\right)\left(\frac{\epsilon_{i}}{\epsilon_{1}}\right)\right.
\end{align*}
$$

and as $\ell \rightarrow \infty Y_{2 \ell} /\left\|Y_{2 \ell}\right\|$ approaches $U_{1}$ and $Z_{2 \ell} /\left\|Z_{2 \ell}\right\|$ approaches $U_{2}$. We
may then deflate the matrix twice using $\epsilon_{1}, U_{1},-\epsilon_{1}, U_{2}$ and continue as before.

In the course of the actual computation we may determine that we have cases 1 or 2 by noting that the iterates $X_{k} /\left|\left|\mathrm{X}_{k}\right|\right|$ converge smoothly toward a limit vector $U$. Case 3 may be detected by noting that the successive iterates $X_{k} /\left\|x_{k}\right\|$ and $X_{k+1} /\left\|x_{k+1}\right\|$ oscillate between two limiting vectors and the special formulas of that case may be applied.

Convergence may be accelerated by means of origin shifts, i.e., employing a modified sequence of vectors $\bar{X}_{k}=\left(A-u_{k} I\right) X_{k-1}$. We may choose $u_{k}$, for example, to be near $(1 / 2)\left(\left|\epsilon_{2}\right|+\left|\epsilon_{n}\right|\right)$ or near the average of the absolute values of the two diagonal elements having the smallest absolute value and the second largest absolute value.

### 2.5.2 The Conjugate Gradient Method

We know that the largest and smallest eigenvalues of a real symmetric matrix $A$ is given by the maximum and minimum respectively of the expression $\left(X^{T} A X\right) /\left(X^{T} X\right)$ as a function of $X$ where $X$ is a vector. The conjugate gradient method is a method for obtaining the maximum or minimum of that expression and may be described as follows.

Let $X_{0}$ be an arbitrary vector such that $X_{o}^{T} X_{0}=1$. Then we define $a$ sequence of vectors $X_{k}$ as follows. We assume that $X_{k-1}^{T} X_{k-1}=1$ and define

$$
\begin{align*}
& t_{k-1}=X_{k-1}^{T} A X_{k-1} \\
& Z_{k}=A X_{k-1}-t_{k-1} X_{k-1}=A X_{k-1}-X_{k-1} X_{k-1}^{T} A X_{k-1}  \tag{122}\\
& g_{k}=Z_{k}^{T} A X_{k-1} .
\end{align*}
$$

We note that $\left\|Z_{k}\right\| \leq 2\|A\|$ and $X_{k-1}^{T} Z_{k}=0$ for all $k$. We will show that if either $z_{k}=0$ or $g_{k}=0$ then $X_{k-1}$ is an eigenvector of $A$. If $z_{k}=0$, then $X_{k-1}$ is an eigenvector of $A$ by the second of equations (122). If $g_{k}=0$ then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & =g_{k}=Z_{k}^{T} A X_{k-1}=\left(X_{k-1}^{T} A-X_{k-1}^{T} A X_{k-1} X_{k-1}^{T}\right) A X_{k-1} \\
& =X_{k-1}^{T} A\left(I-X_{k-1} X_{k-1}^{T}\right) A X_{k-1} \\
& =X_{k-1}^{T} A\left(I-X_{k-1} X_{k-1}^{T}\right)\left(I-X_{k-1} X_{k-1}^{T}\right) A X_{k-1}  \tag{123}\\
& =\left[\left(I-X_{k-1} X_{k-1}^{T}\right) A X_{k-1}\right]^{T}\left[\left(I-X_{k-1} X_{k-1}^{T}\right) A X_{k-1}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

since $I-X_{k-1} X_{k-1}^{T}$ is a projection onto the subspace of all vectors orthogonal to $X_{k-1}$. Equation (123) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(I-X_{k-1} X_{k-1}^{T}\right) A X_{k-1}=0 \tag{124}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can only happen if $X_{k-1}$ is an eigenvector of $A$. Thus we may only consider the case where $\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{k}} \neq 0$ and $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{k}} \neq 0$. We define

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{k}} /\left\|\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{k}}\right\| \\
& h_{k}=g_{k} /\left\|Z_{k}\right\|=Y_{k}^{T} A X_{k-1} \\
& u_{k}=Y_{k}^{T} A Y_{k}  \tag{125}\\
& \bar{X}_{k}=X_{k-1}+\alpha_{k} Y_{k} \\
& \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{k}}=\overline{\mathrm{X}}_{\mathrm{k}} /| | \overline{\mathrm{X}}_{\mathrm{k}} \|
\end{align*}
$$

where $\alpha_{k}$ remains to be chosen. We note that $\bar{X}_{k} \neq 0$ for all choices of $\alpha_{k}$. We now form $t_{k}$

$$
\begin{align*}
t_{k} & =x_{k}^{T} A X_{k}=\left(\bar{x}_{k}^{T} A \bar{x}_{k}\right) /\left(\bar{x}_{k}^{T} \bar{x}_{k}\right) \\
& =\frac{t_{k-1}+2 h_{k} \alpha_{k}+u_{k} \alpha_{k}^{2}}{1+\alpha_{k}^{2}}  \tag{126}\\
& =t_{k-1}+\frac{2 h_{k} \alpha_{k}+\left(u_{k}-t_{k-1}\right) \alpha_{k}^{2}}{1+\alpha_{i}^{2}} \\
& =t_{k-1}+\frac{1}{1+\left(1 / \alpha_{k}\right)^{2}}\left(2 h_{k} / \alpha_{k}+\left(u_{k}-t_{k-1}\right)\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Iet $\delta_{k}$ be any sequence of numbers and set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{k}=\frac{2 h_{k}}{t_{k-1}-u_{k}+\delta_{k}} \tag{127}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then equation (126) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{k}=t_{k-1}+\frac{4 h_{k}^{2} \delta_{k}}{4 h_{k}^{2}+\left(t_{k-1}-u_{k}+\delta_{k}\right)^{2}} \tag{128}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the $\delta_{k}$ are now subjected to the following restrictions: (1) $\delta_{k}>0$, (2) $\left|\delta_{k}\right|$ is bounded away from zero, (3) $\left|\delta_{k}\right|$ is bounded away from $\infty$, then the $t_{k}$ form a monotonically increasing sequence bounded from above. Hence the $t_{k}$ must converge to a limit, namely the maximum of ( $\left.X^{T} A X\right) / X^{T} X$, and $t_{k}-t_{k-1}$ must approach zero as $k \longrightarrow \infty$. But by the conditions imposed on the $\delta_{k}$ and equation (128) $h_{k}$ and hence $g_{k}$ must approach zero as $k \longrightarrow \infty$. Hence the $X_{k}$ approach an eigenvector of $A$ and the $t_{k}$ approach a corresponding eigenvalue. Once the eigenvalue and eigenvector pair have been computed to sufficient accuracy, the process of deflation may be used on $A$ and the procedure may be continued.

We also obtain convergence if one, two, or all of the conditions (1) - (3) are replaced by the corresponding conditions (1)' $\delta_{k}<0$, (2)' $\left|\delta_{k}\right| \longrightarrow 0$ no faster than $h_{k}^{2}$, (3)' $\left|1 / \delta_{k}\right| \rightarrow 0$ no faster than $h_{k}^{2}$. Condition (1)' will lead.
to the computation of the minimum of $\left.\left(X^{T} A X\right) / X^{T}\right)$. The choices of $\delta_{k}$ which maximize $\left|t_{k}-t_{k-1}\right|$ are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{k}= \pm \sqrt{\left(t_{k-1}-u_{k}\right)^{2}+4 h_{k}^{2}} \tag{129}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{k}=\frac{u_{k}-t_{k-1} \pm \sqrt{\left(t_{k+1}-u_{k}\right)^{2}+4 h_{k}^{2}}}{2 h_{k}} \tag{130}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. Conclusions

Of the three classes of methods described in this report, the step-by-step methods appear to be the poorest for the following two reasons: (1) they are generally slow in convergence, relative to the other methods, even with judicious choices of origin shifts; (2) there is a cumulative loss of accuracy as one proceeds by deflation to calculate the eigenvalues and eigenvectors occurring later in the computation.

The orthogonal reduction methods (with the exception of the $L-L^{T}$ method) have the advantage that the eigenvectors are built up as a product of elementary orthogonal matrices, a process which is numerically stable and guarantees a set of eigenvector approximations which is reasonably orthogonal. In the $L-L^{T}$ method we obtain the orthogonal eigenvector matrix as a product of elementary non-orthogonal matrices, a procedure which is not recommended from the point of view of numerical stability or accuracy. However, the orthogonal reduction methods (with the exception of the $L-L^{T}$ method) are slow as compared with the Sturm sequence method and the square root free modification of the $L-L^{T} \operatorname{method}$, although they are faster than the step-by-step methods.

The fastest methods for obtaining the eigenvalues of a real symmetric matrix are the Sturm sequence method, applicable to general real symmetric matrices and the square root free modification of the $I-L^{T}$ method, applicable to positive
definite real symmetric matrices. Of the two methods, the latter is faster when applicable. Of course, a real symmetric matrix can always be made positive definite by a suitable origin shift, but this can result in a loss of accuracy, particularly if the required origin shif't is large.

Hence, for the calculation of the eigenvalues of real symmetric matrices the Sturm sequence method is recommended in the general case and the square root free modification of the $I^{-} I^{T}$ method is recommended in the positive definite case. Once the eigenvalues have been obtained, the method of inverse iterations appears to be an effective and stable method for obtaining the eigenvectors. The reduction to tridiagonal form, prior to the application of the Sturm sequence or square root free modification of the $L-I^{T}$ method, may be most quickly and stably carried out by the Householder method.
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