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## 1. 0 INTRODUCTION AND SCOPE

On day 311 at 07:39:01.075 GMT, the sixth Surveyor spacecraft was launched from pad 36 B at AFETR at a launch azimuth of 82.955 degrees. The launch into parking orbit was near perfect, with the spacecraft being injected into its translunar trajectory after the second Centaur burn at 311:08:04:30 GMT. Subsequent transit operations were nominal, and terminal descent to a soft landing on the moon was accomplished on 10 November 1967. Over 30,000 high quality television pictures were taken, and approximately 59 hours were accumulated by the alpha scattering device. In addition to further experimental information concerning the chemical composition of the lunar surface, the first powered flight translation of a Surveyor spacecraft was accomplished at 10:32:02 GMT on day 321 . Over 10, 000 of the television pictures were taken from the post-translation position, providing valuable stereo views of surface objects.

The basic purpose of this report is to document the actual performance of this spacecraft throughout the mission, compare its performance with that predicted from spacecraft design, summarize preliminary failure investigations, and recommend any changes or modifications that should be made to the spacecraft design. This report is based on both real time and postmission data analysis.

## 2. 0 DESCRIPTION OF SURVEYOR SYSTEM

The Surveyor spacecraft is designed and built by Hughes Aircraft Company for the National Aeronautics and Space Administration under the direction of the California Institute of Technology Jet Propulsion Laboratory. It has been conceived and designed to effect a transit from earth to the moon, perform a soft landing, and transmit to earth basic scientific and engineer ing data relative to the moon's environment and characteristics.

## 2. 1 SURVEYOR VI MISSION OBJECTIVES

The primary objectives of the Surveyor VI spacecraft system were as follows:

1) Accomplish a soft landing near the center of the mon at $0.42^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ and $1.33^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$
2) Demonstrate spacecraft capability to soft land on the moon with an oblique approach angle of 25 degrees
3) Obtain postlanding television pictures
4) Obtain data on radar reflectivity, thermal characteristics, touchdown dynamics, and other measurements of the lunar surface through use of various payload equipment, including the alpha scattering device.

Surveyor VI achieved these objectives. A soft landing occurred near the center of the moon at an approach angle of 24.5 degrees. Television pictures were transmitted from the lunar surface, extensive use was made of the alpha scattering device, and the first lunar powered flight translation was accomplished.

## 2. 2 SURVEYOR VI FLIGHT CONFIGURATION

For a summary description of the major Surveyor functions and design mechanization, consult the "Surveyor I Flight Performance Final Report" or Section 2.3 of the "Surveyor Spacecraft Equipment Specification" (References 1

TABLE 2-1. MAJOR SURVEYOR V DESIGN CHANGES

| Subsystem | Change |
| :---: | :---: |
| RF | Elimination of power dropoff with temperature <br> Elimination of microswitches in transfer and SPDT switches |
| Signal Processing | Data channel reassignment |
| Solar Panel | Use of flat cell mounting Lower voltage output |
| Power | Elimination of auxiliary battery <br> Elimination of OCR in battery charge regulator Higher efficiency and current limiting in boost regulator |
| Flight Control | Separation latch <br> Increased midcourse timing capability <br> Terminal descent staging <br> Nitrogen tank thermal characteristics |
| Radars | Improved AMR noise figure <br> RADVS 3-beam crosscoupled sidelobe logic RADVS crosscoupled sidelobe logic at low altitudes RADVS on/off relay |
| Propulsion | Helium check and relief valve assembly <br> Pressure and temperature transducers on fuel lines |
| A/SPP | High torque motors <br> Strengthened axes locks <br> Strengthened sector gear <br> Solar axis support tube |
| Television | Removable mirror assembly - Strengthened drive ring gear and drive shaft <br> Potentiometers - New dry lube and thicker wiper Vidicons - Survival heater and optical front porch Spherical viewing mirrors |
| Alpha | Instrument sensor |
| Scattering | Deployment mechanism |
| System | Compartment C - Instrument electronics, instrument auxiliary, and thermal control |

and 2). Surveyor VI is a reconfigured spacecraft (as compared to Surveyors I through 4). Major design changes were first made on Surveyor V, and Table 2-1 provides a summary of these (from Reference 3). In Table 2-2, additional changes to the reconfigured design, which occurred first on Surveyor VI, have been given (summarized from Reference 4). To define the spacecraft configuration at launch, a list of Surveyor VI control items, separated by subsystem or function, is given in Table 2-3 (Reference 5).

TABLE 2-2. SIGNIFICANT DESIGN CHANGES FROM SURVEYOR V TO VI

Change flight compartment B thermal blankets, permitting
their installation and use for STV. Test access installed
in compartment.
A third auxiliary TV viewing mirror was added to permit
viewing of the lunar surface where the alpha scattering
experiment is deployed.
A/SPP position potentiometer drive was changed to
eliminate slippage.
Survey camera incorporates survivable vidicon and gain
change.
Change in manufacturer source of subcarrier oscillators.

TABLE 2-3. SPACECRAFT UNIT CONFIGURATION AT LAUNCH

| Subsystem | Part Name, Number, S/N |
| :---: | :---: |
| Electrical Power | Main battery, 237900 , S/N 150 |
|  | Thermal control and heater A, 283724-1, S/N 14 |
|  | Thermal control and heater B, 283724-2, S/N 11 |
|  | Boost regulator, 3024240-1, S/N 15 |
|  | Battery charge regulator, 3024260-1, S/N 13 |
|  | Solar panel, 251167, S/N 2 |
|  | Main power switch, 254112, S/N 14 |
|  | Engineering mechanisms auxiliary, 263500-10, S/N 16 |
| Flight Control | Flight control sensor group, 3023450-2, S/N 3 |
|  | Roll actuator, 235900-3, S/N 10 |
|  | Gas supply, attitude jet, 235600-3, S/N l |
|  | Attitude jets, 235700-2, S/N 12 and 18 |
|  | Attitude jet, 235700-3, S/N 8 |
|  | Secondary solar sensor, 235450-1, S/N 3 |
| Radar | Altitude marking radar, $283810, \mathrm{~S} / \mathrm{N} 13$ |
|  | KPSM (RADVS), 232909, S/N 12 |
|  | SDC (RADVS), 232908-6, S/N 8 |
|  | Altitude velocity sensor antenna (RADVS), 232910, S/N 13 |
|  | Velocity sensor antenna (RADVS), 232911, S/N 13 |
|  | Waveguide assembly (RADVS), 232912, S/N 12 |
|  | RADVS on/off switch, 274153, S/N 14 |

Table 2-3 (continued)

| Subsystem | Part Name, Number, S/N |
| :---: | :---: |
| Telecommunications | Transmitter A, 3024400-1, S/N 17 |
|  | Transmitter B, 3024400-1, S/N 19 |
|  | Command receiver A, 231900-3, S/N 18 |
|  | Command receiver B, 231900-3, S/N 26 |
|  | Omnidirectional antennas A and $\mathrm{B}, 232400$, S/N 13 and 26 |
|  | Telemetry buffer amplifiers A and B, 290780-1, A/N 23 and 22 |
|  | Planar array antenna, 232300, S/N 14 |
|  | Low pass filters A and B, 233466, S/N 28 and 27 |
|  | RF switch, SPDT, 284344, S/N 14 |
|  | RF transfer switch, 284345, S/N 12 |
| Signal Processing | Signal processing auxiliary, 232540-1, S/N 8 |
|  | Central command decoder, 232000-5, S/N 7 |
|  | Low data rate auxiliary, 264875-2, S/N 8 |
|  | Engineering signal processor, 233350-10, S/N 3 |
|  | Auxiliary engineering signal processor, 264900-7, S/N 2 |
|  | Central signal processor, 232200-7, S/N 2 |
|  | TV auxiliary, $232106-7, \mathrm{~S} / \mathrm{N} 14$ |
| Television | Survey camera, 290512-3, S/N 14 |
|  | Photo chart, antenna B, $231051, \mathrm{~S} / \mathrm{N} 17$ |
|  | Photo chart, leg l, 230992, S/N 17 |
|  | Viewing mirror, 3035010 , S/N 3 |
|  | Viewing mirror, 3035000 , S/N 3 |
|  | Viewing mirror, 3035080 , S/N 2 |

Table 2-3 (continued)

| Subsystem | Part Name, Number, S/N |
| :---: | :---: |
| Propulsion | Oxidizer tank, 287119, S/N 5 |
|  | Oxidizer tank, 287121, S/N 4 |
|  | Oxidizer tank, 287120, S/N 5 |
|  | Fuel tank, 287117, S/N 9 |
|  | Fuel tank, 287118, S/N 4 |
|  | Fuel tank, 287117, S/N 10 |
|  | Check and relief valve assembly l, 287290-30, S/N l |
|  | Check and relief valve assembly 2, 287290-50, S/N 18 |
|  | Helium tank and valve assembly, 3026042 , S/N 3 |
|  | Thrust chamber assembly, 285063-4 (Hughes), S/N 564 |
|  | Thrust chamber assembly, 285063-7 (Hughes), S/N 540 |
|  | Thrust chamber assembly, 285063-6 (Hughes), S/N 562 |
|  | Main retro, $238612, \mathrm{~S} / \mathrm{N}$ A21-29 |
| Mechanisms | Spaceframe, 3025093-1, S/N l |
|  | Omnidirectional antenna A mechanism, 3028000-1, S/N 3 |
|  | Omnidirectional antenna B mechanism, 273880-2, S/N 2 |
|  | Antenna/solar panel positioner, 3035092-1, S/N I |
|  | Leg position pots, 988684-1, S/N 337, 338, and 339 |
|  | Retro-rocket release mechanisms, 230069-1, S/N 19, 20, and 21 |

Table 2-3 (continued)

| Subsystem | Part Name, Number, S/N |
| :---: | :---: |
| Mechanisms (continued) | Separation sensing and arming devices, 293400, S/N 22, 23, and 25 |
|  | Shock absorbers, legs 1 through 3, 238927, S/N 13,15 , and 16 |
|  | Magnet assembly, leg 2, 3050836-1, S/N 7 |
|  | Footpad leg l, 263947, S/N 572 |
|  | Footpads legs 2 and 3, 263947-1, S/N 569 and 573 |
|  | Landing gear, 261278, S/N 9 |
|  | Landing gear, 261279, S/N 8 |
|  | Landing gear, 3025100, S/N 2 |
|  | Crushable block, 261281-2, S/N 406 |
|  | Crushable block, 3050736, |
|  | Crushable block, 3050697 |
|  | Strain gauge amplifier, 238930, S/N 9 |
| Alpha Scattering | Auxiliary, 274350-1, S/N 4 |
|  | Electronics, 239305, S/N FU-1 |
|  | Deployment mechanism, $3024801, \mathrm{~S} / \mathrm{N} 2$ |
|  | Sensor head, 239304, S/N FU-l |
|  | Standard sample, 239397, S/N Fl |
|  | Heater, compartment C, 290900, S/N 2 |
|  | Passive simulator, 3024506, S/N 4 |
| Thermal Control | Thermal switch A, 3028200-2, S/N 7 |
|  | Thermal switch A, 3028200-1, S/N 21, 22, 23, 25, 27, 28, 29, and 32 |
|  | Thermal switch B, 3028200-4, S/N 6 |

Table 2-3 (continued)
Subsystem Part Name, Number, S/N
Thermal Control (continued)

Thermal switch B, 3028200-3, S/N 7, 13, 15, 16 , and 18

Thermal shell, compartment A, 3025262, S/N 2
Thermal shell, compartment B, 3025288, S/N 2
Thermal tray, compartment A, 3025094, S/N 3
Thermal tray, compartment B, 3025096, S/N 3
Wiring harness compartment $\mathrm{B}, 3025790$, $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N} 1$
Wiring harness compartment A, 3025637, S/N 2
Wiring harness basic bus l, 3020797, S/N l
Wiring harness TV camera, 285833, S/N 2
Wiring harness basic bus 2, 3020799, S/N l
Wiring harness TV auxiliary, 3025391 , S/N 2
Wiring harness retro motor, 285832, S/N 2
Wiring harness battery cell volt, 3025155 , S/N 8
Wiring harness separation squibs, 285831, S/N 3
Wiring harness A/SPP, 3025420, S/N 3
Cable, retro igniter, 286927, S/N 2
Wiring harness ASI, 3025641, S/N 2
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### 3.0 SYSTEM SUMMARY

### 3.1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT A NOMALIES

There were no anomalies during the transit portion of Mission $F$. Table 3-1 summarizes the three problems that occurred on the lunar surface. For this report, an anomaly is defined as an unexpected occurrence that might be indicative of a spacecraft trouble or failure.

TABLE 3-1. SPACECRAFT ANOMALIES

| GMT, day:hr:min:sec | Anomaly | Effect on Mission |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 321:10:32:04 | During lunar translation, the first of two vernier engine off commands (0735) did not shut down the engines (see subsection 5.3.2.3), probably due to an RF multipath null. | None. Engines were shut down by the second 0735 command. |
| After day 323 | The vernier system developed a leak which eventually resulted in complete loss of helium and oxidizer pressure. There was probably a slow liquid leak on oxidizer tank 1 initially, followed by a rapid gas leak (see Appendix A to subsection 5.6). | None on normal operations. Any attempts at lunar translation should be modified if telemetry indicates a vernier system pressure loss. |
| Day 329 and after | Several thermal switches in compartments A and B stuck closed, or did not actuate at proper temperature (TFR 18271). (See subsection 5.1.2.) | The extent of spacecraft operations into lunar night was shortened, and the probability of lunar night survival was reduced. |

### 3.2 CONCLUSIONS

Performance of the Surveyor VI spacecraft was excellent during flight and on the moon. A selected group of performance parameters which could be directly determined through analysis of spacecraft telemetry for the six Surveyor flights are summarized in Table 3-2. Required or predicted values of these parameters for Surveyor VI are included in this summary.

TABLE 3-2. SUMMARY PERFORMAI


JCE PARAMETERS


SUMMARY

|  | $\begin{aligned} & -264 \\ & +22 \\ & 572 \end{aligned}$ | 5.5.4.3 | 18 minutes maximum | $\begin{aligned} & 224832 \mathrm{~A} \\ & (7.3 .3 .3 .4) \end{aligned}$ | Roll maneuver until activation of acquisition sun sensor and then a yaw maneuver until primary sun sensor illumination |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Automatic +2.98 | 5.5.4.4 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 224832 \mathrm{~A} \\ & (7.3 .3 .3 .5) \end{aligned}$ |  |
| rpii) <br> alis | Deneb <br> Canopus Earth |  |  |  |  |
| Mintaka) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0. 5009 |  | 0.5 |  |  |
|  | 1. 10 |  |  | Design | Normally the gain setting is $1 \times$ Canopus |
|  | Very small |  | Within 0.2 degree | $\begin{aligned} & 224832 \mathrm{~A} \\ & (7.3 .3 .3 .6) \end{aligned}$ | Sensor group roll axis shall be held within 0.2 degree of sun-spacecraft line |

Table 3-2 (continued)



Table 3-2 (continued)

| Spacecraft | Actual |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Parameters Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TERMINAL DESCENT SUMMARY (continued) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Command of first maneuver | GMT |  | NA | 109:23:23:30 | 198:01:24:44.2 | 254:00:12:15.1 |
| First maneuver | degrees | +89.3 roll |  | -158 yaw | +80.8 roll | +73.8 roll |
| Command of second maneuver | GMT |  |  | 109:23:30:17 | 198:01:29:34.2 | 254:00:16:20.5 |
| Second maneuver | degrees | +60.0 yaw |  | -76.8 pitch | +92.7 yaw | +119.6 yaw |
| Cornmand of third maneuver Third maneuver | GMT |  |  | 109:23:34:35 | 198:01:35:04.6 | None |
| Third maneuver | degrees | +94.4 roll |  | -64 roll | -25.4 roll | None |
| AMR enabled (station time) | GMT | 153:06:12:57.684 |  | 109:23:59:35.252 | 198:02:00:16.99 | 254:00:43:00.9 |
| AMR mark (station time) | GMT | 153:06:14:39.708 |  | 110:00:01:12.829 | 198:02:01:56.08 | 254:00:44:39.081 |
| AMR backup mark (station time) | GMT |  |  | 110:00:01:13.439 | 198:02:01:56.35 | 254:00:44:46. 38 |
| Ignition delay time Retro delay time | seconds | 7.85 |  | 5.09 | 2.73 | 12.33 |
| Retro delay time Retro action time ( T 500 ) | seconds seconds | 1.1 38.9 |  | 1.1 ${ }^{11} 0$ | 1.12 | 1.07 |
| Maximum retro thrust | pounds | 9900 |  | 41.02 9550 | \% 92 | 38.56 9950 |
| Thrust to velocity vector | degrees | 0.26 |  | 0.34 | $0.17^{\circ}$ | -. 30 |
| Retro thrust to cg offset | inch | <0. 02 |  | 0.024 | 0.17 | -0.048 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Altitude | feet | 27800 |  | 32900 | 49420 | 4139 |
| $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{z}}$ | fps | 425 |  | 462 | NA | +46 |
| $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{x}}$ | fps | 71.3 |  | 171 | NA | -41 |
| $\stackrel{V}{y}^{\mathrm{y}}$-Total | fps fps | -4.1 430 |  | 483 | NA | $+50$ |
| Flight-path angle | degrees |  |  | 46.8 26.8 | 1092 26.8 | 79 -35.67 |
| Peak attitude transient at retro ignition |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Roll | degree |  |  | -0.22 | $\sim 0$ | -0. 16 |
| Pitch Yaw | degree | -0.41 -1.03 |  | $-0.10$ | -0.09 | +0.5 |
| Yaw | degree | $-1.03$ |  | $\sim 0$ | -0.35 | -0. 5 |
| RODVS acquire condition |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Slant range | feet | 55000 |  | 63900 | 78000 |  |
| Velocity RORA acquire condition | fps | 3280 |  | 3230 | 3414 |  |
| Slant range | feet | 36000 |  | 43700 | NA |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retrocase | NA | No. 3 once |  | No. 4 once | None | Nos.4, 3 once |
| Other | NA | None |  | Nos. 3, 4 once | None | None ${ }^{\text {Nase }}$ |
| Segment acquisition |  |  |  |  | NA |  |
| Slant range | fect | 18000 |  | 22300 |  | 806 |
| Velocity | fps | 442 |  | 495 |  | 97 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Velocity | fps | 103.1 |  | 103.27 |  | 96.03 |
| Attitude | degree | 1.11 |  | 0.51 |  | -7. 5 |
| 10-fps mark conditions |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Slant range | feet | 43 |  |  |  | 46 |
| Time | GMT | 153:06:17:28.719 |  | 110:00:04:10.623 |  | 254:00:46:37.097 |
| Attitude | degree | 0.7 |  | 0.025 |  | -0.53 |
| $\triangle$ Time 1000 foot mark to $10-\mathrm{fps}$ mark | seconds | 18.22 |  | 17.6 |  | 17.4 |
| $\Delta$ Time 10 fps mark to 14 -foot mark | seconds | 6.45 |  | NA |  | 5.6 |
| Vernier engine shutoff |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 foot mark | GMT | 153:06:17:34.169 |  | NA |  | 254:00:46:42.697 |
| Altitude (from foot pads) | feet |  |  | NA |  | 14.5 |
| Vertical velocity | fps | 5 |  | NA |  | 5.2 |
| Lateral velocity | $\mathrm{ffs}^{\text {f }}$ | $\approx 0$ |  | NA |  | $\sim 0$ |
| Angle to local vertical Time to touchdown | degree | $\approx 0.3$ |  | NA |  | -0.11 |
| Time to touchdown | seconds | 1.53 |  | NA |  | 1.7 |
| Touchdown conditiontrajectory |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vertical velocity | fps | 12.2 |  | 6-8 |  | 13.5 |
| Lateral velocity | fps | $\approx 0.6$ |  | $\approx 0$ |  | 0.5 |
| Attitude | degree | $\approx 0$ |  | $\approx 0$ |  | $\approx 0$ |
| Touchdown conditions (strain gages) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vertical velocity | fps | 10 |  | 6 |  | 12 to 13 |
| Lateral velocity | fps | 2 |  | 0.5 |  |  |
| Attitude | degree | 1 |  | $\approx 0$ |  | $\approx 0$ |
| Spacecraft attitude afterlanding |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Roll orientation (+ x-axis to north) | degrees | 179 slockwise |  | 134.2 ciockwise |  | 114.5 clockwise |
| Tiit magnitude | degrees |  |  | 12 k |  | 19.5 |
| Tilt direction | degrees |  |  | 277 clockwise |  | 7.6 clockwise |
| Vernier fuel used | pounds | 139.0 |  | 140.1 | , | 136.62 |
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## 4. 0 SYSTEM PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS

## 4. 1 GENERAL MISSION SUMMARY

Surveyor VI was launched from pad 36B at Cape Kennedy on a parking orbit lunar intercept trajectory at a launch azimuth of 82.995 degrees; this launch culminated in a soft landing on the moon. The flight of Surveyor VI began on 7 November 1967 (311:07:39:01.075GMT) and soft landed on 10 November 1967 (314:01:01:05. 467 GMT ). A total of $30,065 \mathrm{t}$ elevision pictures were received and 59 hours of lunar science data were accumulated by the alpha scattering experiment. At 321:10:32:02 GMT, lunar liftoff and translation were successfully accomplished. Performance of the Atlas and Centaur (AC-14) launch vehicles appeared excellent throughout the flight period as mark events occurred very close to predicted times. No anomalies were noted during transit.

A summary of the mission event history is contained in Table 4-1. Injection of the spacecraft occurred at 311:08:04:30 GMT on a trajectory that with a midcourse correction provided a total miss of 7.2 kilometers from the targeted aiming point of $1.133^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ longitude and $0.417^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ latitude for a landing site estimated to be $0.437^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ latitude and $1.373^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ longitude from final posttouchdown orbit determination. Lunar Orbiter evaluation gave $470^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ latitude and $1.480^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ longitude. During transit, sun acquisition, solar panel deployment, DSIF acquisition, initial commanding and interrogations, star acquisition and verification, and midcourse and terminal descent maneuvers were all successfully executed.

The earth track traced by Surveyor VI is shown in Figure 4-1, and predicted view periods for the tracking stations are given in Table 4-2.

## 4. 1. 1 Spacecraft Transit Phase Command Log

A detailed list of spacecraft commands sent during the transit portion is presented in Table 4-3. This table includes the time the command was sent, bit rate, telemetry mode, and tracking station originating the command.

## 4. 1. 2 Prelaunch Countdown

During countdown, an apparent real time anomaly occurred when the AMR heater on command (0624) sent in step 004, substep 011 was not indicated
by the command printer. Subsequent investigation showed that the command was sent and received at the spacecraft but was missed by the command printer. The countdown proceeded to a successful launch.

## 4. 1. 3 Launch, Injection, and Separation

The parking orbit boost phase was normal, with the Atlas roll and pitch programs and the normal opening and closing of the spacecraft inertia switch being confirmed by spacecraft telemetry. Figure 4-2 shows the major events of the trajectory through separation as seen in profile. Table 4-4 contains Atlas/Centaur mark events, as well as spacecraft telemetry verification of Centaur-initiated commands. Subsequent to injection and just prior to its separation from the spacecraft, the Centaur issued the preprogrammed commands "extend landing gears," "extend omni antennas," and "transmitter high power on," all of which are verified by spacecraft telemetry. Separation of Centaur and Surveyor occurred immediately thereafter.

Following separation, solar panel stepping was initiated, the cold gas jets were enabled, and the roll-yaw sequence to acquire the sun was initiated. The poor quality of data prevented effective monitoring of the solar panel stepping and the spacecraft maneuvers to acquire the sun. Verification of solar-panel-axis stepping to the transit position, A/SPP roll-axis stepping to the transit position, and achievement of sun lock on were finally accomplished.

## 4. 1. 4 DSIF Acquisition

At 311:08: 10 GMT, the spacecraft became visible to DSS 51 (Johannesburg), which achieved one-way lock at this time. At 311:08:13GMT, the acquisition was completed when two-way lock was established between DSS 51 and the spacecraft.

The first ground-controlled sequence (initial spacecraft operations) was initiated at L+40M31S by commanding off the transmitter high voltage and filament power. In addition, commands were sent to the spacecraft to turn off other equipment required only for the launch-to-DSIF-acquisition phase (e.g., solar panel deployment logic off and $A / D$ isolation amplifier off); to seat the solar panel and roll axis locking pins securely (i.e., by rocking the axes back and forth); to switch from the 550 -bps, low modulation index mode to the ll00-bps, normal modulation index mode; and to interrogate telemetry commutator modes so that the overall condition of the spacecraft could be assessed. All spacecraft responses to commands were normal.

## 4. 1. 5 Coast Phase I Including Canopus Acquisition

During star map, while performing a sun-locked roll maneuver with the spacecraft in high power, DSS 61 lost receiver lock at a signal level
about 10 db above threshold. Star mapping was terminated by commanding sun mode on to stop the roll and turning the transponder off. The problem was apparently caused by the transponder dropping phase lock, resulting in the shift to NBVCXO and loss of DSS lock, then reacquiring a command sideband and causing an in and out of lock condition which resulted in an intermittent signal at the DSS. This signal was steady in AFC mode (transponder off). At 311:16:14:24, roll was resumed in one-way lock (transponders off). Earth, Deneb, Canopus, and two other objects were identified until automatic Canopus lockon was achieved. Two-way lock (transponder on) was regained, the spacecraft was returned to low power mode, and the spacecraft systems continued to operate normally.

## 4. 1. 6 Midcourse Correction and Coast Phase II

The midcourse velocity correction was executed at 312:02:20:02. 1 for 10.3 sec onds after successful predmidcourse roll ( +91.8 degrees) and yaw ( +127.4 degrees) maneuvers. The attitude rotations were initiated at limit cycle nulls to further minimize pointing errors. Following successful execution of the midcourse correction, the postmidcourse maneuvers were performed in a nominal manner. Sun lockon was achieved at 312:02:31, Canopus lockon was indicated at 312:02:35, and cruise mode on was commanded at 312:02:37:39.

In addition to normal spacecraft operations in the second coast phase, the alpha scattering instrument was operated successfully for calibration purposes. Fourteen gyro drift checks were performed during transit to provide accurate gyro rates for terminal operations planning. The gyro drift rates provided prior to terminal descent were: roll ( $-0.64 \mathrm{deg} / \mathrm{hr}$ ), pitch ( $0.0 \mathrm{deg} / \mathrm{hr}$ ), and yaw ( $+\mathrm{l} .40 \mathrm{deg} / \mathrm{hr}$ ).

The retro engine temperature at retro ignition was predicted $\left(52.55^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right)$, and a resulting engine burn time of 39.60 seconds (from ignition to the $3500-$ pound thrust level) was provided.

## 4. 1. 7 Terminal Descent Phase

Terminal descent closely followed design and predicted performance. An initial roll of +82.0 degrees, followed by a yaw of +111.8 degrees, and then a final roll of +120.5 degrees, aligned the retro engine thrust axis to the desired direction. The three attitude maneuvers, as well as other preretro ignition spacecraft operations (e.g., loading the proper altitude mark to vernier ignition delay quantity -5.875 seconds, establishing the retro sequence mode for ensuring that the desired automatic flight control sequences would occur in response to the altitude radar mark, establishing the proper vernier engine thrust level for the retro burning phase, turning on flight control thrust phase power, etc.), were executed on schedule. Retro separation was smooth, vernier descent contour acquisition was obtained, and vernier descent control was normal. Event times occurred at the proper time (Table 4-1). The engines were turned off automatically by the 14 -foot mark, and a soft landing was verified from the retention of the communication link and continued nominal spacecraft performance, and later by the touchdown strain gage data.

TABLE 4-1. SURVEYOR VI MISSION MILESTONES

## Event

Launch
Separation - electrical disconnect*
Separation - mechanical*
A/SPP solar panel unlocked*
A/SPP solar panel locked in transit position*
A/SPP roll axis locked in transit position*
Automatic sun acquisition complete*
Initial DSS acquisition (one-way) confirmed*
Initial DSS acquisition (two-way lock) confirmed*
First ground command sent to spacecraft
Canopus verification begins
Canopus lockon (automatic)*
First premidcourse (roll) maneuver executed
Second premidcourse (yaw) maneuver executed
Midcourse correction executed
Sun reacquired
Canopus reacquired
Transmitter high power on
1100 bps
Mode 5 on
Transponder off
Start roll maneuver
Start yaw maneuver
Start roll maneuver
Reset nominal thrust
Retro sequence delay
Retro sequence mode on
AMR power on
FC thrust phase power on
Enable AMR
Emergency AMR signal
AMR on (R1)*
AMR enabled (R11)*
AMR mark (FC-64)*
Vernier ignition (FC-28)*
Retro ignition (FC-29)*
RADVS pyro switch (EP-33)*
RADVS on (R-28)*
RODVS (FC-34)*
Inertial switch signal (FC-63)*
Retro burn out (FC-30)*

## GMT, <br> day:hr:min:sec

311:07:39:01.075
311:08:04:24.626
311:08:04:29.995
311:08:04:31. $4 \pm 2.0$
311:08:10:07. $4 \pm 2.0$
311:08:14:07.4 $\pm 2.0$
311:08:16
311:08:10:16
311:08:13:27
311:08:19:32. 7
311:15:42:33
311:16:28:30
312:02:02:59.5
312:02:09:08.0
312:02:20:02.1
312:02:31:44
312:02:35:53
314:00:07:32.2
314:00:08:20.0
314:00:11:44.2
314:00:16:58.6
314:00:25:19.8
314:00:29:38.1
314:00:34:55.8
314:00:40:59.5
314:00:41:41.5
314:00:52:04.9
314:00:53:16.9
314:00:54:16.9
314:00:56:16.9
314:00:57:56.4
314:00:53:19.604 $\pm 0.6$
314:00:56:19.600 $\pm 0.6$
$314: 00: 57: 57.038 \pm 0.05$
$314: 00: 58: 02.938 \pm 0.05$
314:00:58:04.038 $\pm 0.05$
314:00:58:04. $396 \pm 0.6$
314:00:58:05.798 $\pm 0.6$
314:00:58:34.098 $\pm 0.6$
314:00:58:43. $397 \pm 0.30$
314:00:58:43.637 $\pm 0.05$

Table 4-1 (continued)


TABLE 4-2. PREDICTED VIEW PERIOD SUMMARY

| Station | Event | GMT Time |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | November 1967 | Hour | Minute |
| DSS 51 Johannesburg | $5^{\circ}$ elevation rise | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| DSS 42 Tidbinbilla | $5^{\circ}$ elevation rise | 7 | 8 | 34 |
| DSS 72 Ascension | $0^{\circ}$ elevation rise | 7 | 12 | 38 |
| DSS 42 Tidbinbilla | $5^{\circ}$ elevation set | 7 | 14 | 13 |
| DSS 61 Madrid | $5^{\circ}$ elevation rise | 7 | 14 | 31 |
| DSS 11 Goldstone | $5^{\circ}$ elevation rise | 7 | 21 | 51 |
| DSS 51 Johannesburg | $90^{\circ}$ hour angle set | 7 | 22 | 23 |
| DSS 61 Madrid | $5^{\circ}$ elevation set | 7 | 22 | 43 |
| DSS 72 Ascension | $0^{\circ}$ elevation set | 8 | 1 | 29 |
| DSS 42 Tinbinbilla | $5^{\circ}$ elevation rise | 8 | 2 | 13 |
| DSS 11 Goldstone | $5^{\circ}$ elevation set | 8 | 6 | 51 |
| DSS 51 Johannesburg | $270^{\circ}$ hour angle rise | 8 | 10 | 56 |
| DSS 61 Madrid | $5^{\circ}$ elevation rise | 8 | 14 | 43 |
| DSS 42 Tinbinbilla | $5^{\circ}$ elevation set | 8 | 15 | 8 |
| DSS 11 Goldstone | $5^{\circ}$ elevation rise | 8 | 22 | 1 |
| DSS 51 Johannesburg | $90^{\circ}$ hour angle set | 8 | 22 | 54 |
| DSS 61 Madrid | $5^{\circ}$ elevation set | 8 | 23 | 29 |
| DSS 42 Tinbinbilla | $5^{\circ}$ elevation rise | 9 | 2 | 37 |
| DSS 11 Goldstone | $5^{\circ}$ elevation set | 9 | 7 | 19 |
| DSS 51 Johannesburg | $270^{\circ}$ hour angle rise | 9 | 11 | 8 |
| DSS 61 Madrid | $5^{\circ}$ elevation rise | 9 | 14 | 45 |
| DSS 42 Tinbinbilla | $5^{\circ}$ elevation set | 9 | 15 | 16 |
| DSS 11 Goldstone | $5^{\circ}$ elevation rise | 9 | 22 | 3 |
| DSS 51 Johannesburg | $90^{\circ}$ hour angle set | 9 | 23 | 2 |
| DSS 61 Madrid | $5^{\circ}$ elevation set | 9 | 23 | 42 |

TABLE 4.3 SURVEYOR VI COMMAND SEQUENCE

| Command Number | Description | $\begin{gathered} \text { GMT, } \\ \text { hr:min:sec } \end{gathered}$ | Telemetry Mode | Bit Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Day 311-DSS-51 |  |  |  |  |
| 0107 | Xmtr Hi Volt Off | 08:19.32.7 | 5 |  |
| 0110 | Xmtr Fil Pwr Off | $19: 40.5$ | 5 |  |
| 0130 | Xfer Sw B Lo Pwr | 19:41.0 |  |  |
| 0236 | A/D Iso Amp Off | 22:40.0 |  |  |
| 0316 | SP Deploy Logic Off | 22:40.5 |  |  |
| 0126 | Xfer Sw A Lo Pwr | 22:41.0 |  |  |
| 0402 | Step SP Minus (x10) | 23:20.0 |  |  |
| 0401 | Step SP Plus (x5) | 23:26.0 |  |  |
| 0405 | Step Roll Axis Plus (xl0) | 24:28.0 |  |  |
| 0406 | Step Roll Axis Minus (x5) | 24:34.0 |  |  |
| 0510 | AESP Off | 25:23.5 |  |  |
| 0226 | Mode 1 | 25:31.0 | 1 |  |
| 0237 | Low Mod SCOs Off | 25:32.0 |  |  |
| 0216 | 7.35 kc SCOOn | 25:32.5 |  |  |
| 0205 | 1100 bps | 25:33.0 |  | 1100 |
| 0231 | Mode 4 | 30:27.0 | 4 |  |
| 0227 | Mode 2 | 33:18.0 | 2 |  |
| 0232 | ESP Off | 36:43.5 |  |  |
| 0507 | Mode 6 | 36:51.0 | 6 |  |
| 0506 | Mode 5 | 38:35.3 | 5 |  |
| 0510 | AESP Off | 12:14:39.3 |  |  |
| 0231 | Mode 4 | 14:46.3 | 4 |  |
| 0227 | Mode 2 | 20:29.7 | 2 |  |
| 0226 | Mode 1 | 25:01.7 | 1 |  |
| 0232 | ESP Off | 28:11.7 |  |  |
| 0506 | Mode 5 | 28:12.3 | 5 |  |
| Day 311-DSS-61 |  |  |  |  |
| 0510 | AESP Off | 15:30:00.1 |  |  |
| 0231 | Mode 4 | 30:00.6 | 4 |  |
| 0227 | Mode 2 | 36:07.1 | 2 |  |
| 0226 | Mode 1 | 39:24.5 | 1 |  |
| 0105 | Xmtr B Fil Pwr On | 42:35.6 |  |  |
| 0127 | Xfer Sw B Hi Pwr | 44:20.5 |  |  |
| 0106 | Xmtr Hi Volt On | 44:20.6 |  |  |
| 0220 | 7.35 kc SCO Off | 44:57.8 |  |  |
| 0217 | 33 kc SCO On | 44:57.9 |  |  |
| 0206 | 4400 bps | 44:58.9 |  | 4400 |
| 0704 | Cruise Mode | 45:51.9 |  |  |
| 0715 | Man Delay Mode | 45:52.9 |  |  |
| 0710 | Pos Angle Maneuver | 45:53.9 |  |  |
| 0120 | Select Ormi A | 46:31.3 |  |  |

Table 4.3 (continued)

| Command Number | Description | GMT, hr:min:sec | Telemetry Mode | Bit Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0714 | Sun and Roll | 15:50:21.9 | 1 | 4400 |
| 0121 | Select Omni B | 56:39.7 |  |  |
| 0702 | Sun Acq Mode | 58:44.0 |  |  |
| 0124 | Xponder Pwr Off | 16:04:38.6 |  |  |
| 0231 | Mode 4 | 06:51. 5 | 4 |  |
| 0226 | Mode 1 | 12:12.0 | 1 |  |
| 0704 | Cruise Mode | 13:00.9 |  |  |
| 0715 | Man Delay Mode | 13:01.9 |  |  |
| 0710 | Pos Angle Maneuver | 13:02.9 |  |  |
| 0714 | Sun and Roll | 14:22.3 |  |  |
| 0703 | Sun-Star Acq Mode On | 25:27.6 |  |  |
| 0704 | Cruise Mode | 29:12.0 |  |  |
| 0232 | ESP Off | 30:04.6 |  |  |
| 0506 | Mode 5 | 30:10.6 | 5 |  |
| 0123 | Xponder B Pwr On | 32:07. 4 |  |  |
| 0205 | 1100 bps | 36:16.4 |  | 1100 |
| 0220 | Hi Data Rate SCOs Off | 36:17.4 |  |  |
| 0216 | 7.35 kc SCO On | 36:18.4 |  |  |
| 0107 | Xmtr Hi Volt Off | 37:15.6 |  |  |
| 0110 | Xmtr Fil Pwr Off | 37:27.8 |  |  |
| 0130 | Xfer Sw B Lo Pwr | 37:28.8 |  |  |
| 0700 | Inertial Mode | 43:04.9 |  |  |
| 0306 | SP Sw On, Bypass Off | 52:57. 5 |  |  |
| 0307 | Bypass On, SP Sw Off | 57:42.0 |  |  |
| Day 311 - DSS-51 |  |  |  |  |
| 0704 | Cruise Mode | 18:04:10.6 |  |  |
| 0700 | Inertial Mode | 18:21.5 |  |  |
| 0306 | Sp Sw On, Bypass Off | 19:31.6 |  |  |
| 0306 | Sp Sw On, Bypass Off | 19:20:46.4 |  |  |
| 0704 | Cruise Mode | 22:31.9 |  |  |
| 0700 | Inertial Mode | 24:24.9 |  |  |
| 0306 | SPSw On, Bypass Off | 20:23:32.2 |  |  |
| 0704 | Cruise Mode | 38:57.6 |  |  |
| 0700 | Inertial Mode | 44:52. 5 |  |  |
| 0306 | SPSw On, Bypass Off | 22:00:29.0 |  |  |
| 0704 | Cruise Mode | 03:03.0 |  |  |
| Day 311-DSS-11 |  |  |  |  |
| 0510 | AESP Off | 22:16:17.6 |  |  |
| 0231 | Mode 4 | 16:23.6 | 4 |  |
| 0504 | 137.5 bps | 19:54.8 |  | 137.5 |
| 0204 | Coast Phase Clock Rates | 20:00.9 |  |  |

Table 4.3 (continued)

| Command Number | Description | GMT, <br> hr:min:sec | Telemetry Mode | Bit Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0205 | 1100 bps | 22:23:32.3 | 4 | 1100 |
| 0227 | Mode 2 | 25:33.9 | 2 |  |
| 0226 | Mode 1 | 29:01. 3 | 1 |  |
| 0232 | ESP Off | 32:02.8 |  |  |
| 0506 | Mode 5 | 32:09.0 | 5 |  |
| 0306 | SPSw On, Bypass Off | 48:04.4 |  |  |
| Day 312 - DSS-11 |  |  |  |  |
| 0510 | AESP Off | 00:12:01.0 |  | 110 |
| 0231 | Mode 4 | 12:14.7 | 4 |  |
| 0227 | Mode 2 | 14:26. 2 | 2 |  |
| 0226 | Mode 1 | 16:24.4 | 1 |  |
| 0232 | ESP Off | 18:36.7 |  |  |
| 0506 | Mode 5 | 18:43.7 | 5 |  |
| 0220 | 33, $7.35,3.9 \mathrm{kc} \mathrm{SCOs}$ Off | 24:42.6 |  |  |
| 0221 | Gyro Spd Sig Pro On | 24:46. 1 |  |  |
| 0222 | Next Gyro | 26:40. 2 |  |  |
| 0222 | Next Gyro | 28:10.1 |  |  |
| 0222 | Next Gyro | 29:57.6 |  |  |
| 0223 | Gyro Spd Sig Pro Off | 31:34.7 |  |  |
| 0216 | 7.35 kc SCO On | 31:45.1 |  |  |
| 0510 | AESP Off | 01:36:51.8 |  |  |
| 0231 | Mode 4 | 36:58. 2 | 4 |  |
| 0227 | Mode 2 | 39:20. 7 | 2 |  |
| 0226 | Mode 1 | 42:48.6 | 1 |  |
| 0105 | Xmtr B Fil Pwr On | 49:27. 2 |  |  |
| 0127 | Xfer Sw B Hi Pwr | 51:18.6 |  |  |
| 0106 | Xmtr Hi Volt On | 51:19.1 |  |  |
| 0220 | 37, 7.35, 3.9 kc SCOs Off | 52:04. 2 |  |  |
| 0217 | 33 kc SCO On | 52:04. 7 |  |  |
| 0206 | 4400 bps | 52:05. 2 |  | 4400 |
| 0704 | Cruise Mode | 56:47. 7 |  |  |
| 0710 | Pos Angle Maneuver | 56:51. 7 |  |  |
| 3617 | Interlock | 57:00. 2 |  |  |
| M 1614 | Magnitude ( 460 BCD ) (+91.8 degrees) | 57:00. 7 |  |  |
| 0714 | Sun and Roll | 02:02:59.5 |  |  |
| 3617 | Interlock | 07:03.7 |  |  |
| M 2335 | Magnitude (637 BCD) (+127.4 degrees) | 07:04. 2 |  |  |
| 0713 | Yaw | 09:08.0 |  |  |
| 0521 | Prop S-Gage Pwr On | 14:14.0 |  | 4400 |
| 0700 | Inertial Mode | 14:14.5 |  |  |
| 0720 | Reset - Set IV Outputs | 14:28.0 |  |  |

Table 4.3 (continued)

| Command Number | Description | $\begin{gathered} \text { GMT, } \\ \text { hr:min:sec } \end{gathered}$ | Telemetry Mode | Bit Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0604 | AMR Htr Off | 02:15:56.7 | 1 | 4400 |
| 0613 | VL2 FT2 Ther Pwr Off | 15:57. 2 |  |  |
| 0616 | VLl OT2 Ther Pwr Off | 15:57. 7 |  |  |
| 0621 | VL3 OT3 Ther Pwr Off | 15:57.9 |  |  |
| 3617 | Interlock | 15:58.5 |  |  |
| 0605 | Unlk Roll Act, Press VPS | 15:58.9 |  |  |
| 0727 | FC T- $\varphi$ Pwr On | 17:16.7 |  |  |
| 3617 | Interlock | 17:41.9 |  |  |
| M 0307 | Magnitude ( 103 BCD ) | 17:42.4 |  |  |
| 3617 | Interlock | 20:01.6 |  |  |
| 0721 | Vernier Ignition | 20:02.1 |  |  |
| 0735 | Emer Vernier Eng Off | 20:15.1 |  |  |
| 0735 | Emer Vernier Eng Off | 20:16.6 |  |  |
| 0737 | FC T- $\varphi$ Pwr Off | 20:42.0 |  |  |
| 0737 | FC T- $\varphi$ Pwr Off | 20:44.0 |  |  |
| 0522 | Prop S-Gage Pwr Off | 20:11.1 |  |  |
| 0516 | TD S-Gage Pwr Off | 20:14.6 |  |  |
| 0232 | ESP Off | 22:12.5 |  |  |
| 0506 | Mode 5 | 22:17.9 | 5 |  |
| 0611 | VL2 Ther Pwr On | 23:20.1 |  |  |
| 0614 | VLl Ther Pwr On | 23:20.6 |  |  |
| 0617 | VL3 Ther Pwr On | 23:21.6 |  |  |
| 0624 | AMR Htr On | 23:22.1 |  |  |
| 03617 | Interlock | 24:53.1 |  |  |
| M 2335 | Magnitude ( 637 BCD ) <br> (-127.4 degrees) | 24:53.6 |  |  |
| 0713 | Yaw | 26:06. 5 |  |  |
| 0702 | Sun Acq. Mode On | 31:44.0 |  |  |
| 3617 | Interlock | 32:08.9 |  |  |
| M 1614 | Magnitude ( 460 BCD ) <br> (-91.8 degrees) | 32:09.4 |  |  |
| 0714 | Sun and Roll | 32:56.9 |  |  |
| 0704 | Cruise Mode | 37:38.8 |  |  |
| 0510 | AESP Off | 38:17.0 |  |  |
| 0227 | Mode 2 | 38:23. 5 | 2 |  |
| 0231 | Mode 4 | 42:06.9 | 4 |  |
| 0232 | ESP Off | 45:01. 8 |  |  |
| 0506 | Mode 5 | 45:09.8 | 5 |  |
| 0205 | 1100 bps | 46:07. 9 |  | 1100 |
| 0220 | Hi Data Rate SCOs Off | 46:08. 3 |  |  |
| 0216 | 7.35 kc SCO On | 46:08. 8 |  |  |
| 0107 | Xmtr Hi Volt Off | 47:07. 8 |  |  |
| 0110 | Xmtr Fil Pwr Off | 47:08. 3 |  |  |
| 0130 | Xfer Sw B Lo Pwr | 47:08. 8 |  |  |

Table 4.3 (continued)

| Command Number | Description | $\begin{gathered} \text { GMT, } \\ \text { hr:min: sec } \end{gathered}$ | Telemetry Mode | Bit Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0515 | TD S-Gage Pwr On | 03:12:28.0 | 5 | 1100 |
| 0517 | TD S-Gage Data Chan On | 12: 42.4 |  |  |
| 0520 | TD S-Gage Data Chan Off | 28: 20.0 |  |  |
| 0516 | TD S-Gage Pwr Off | 28: 26.2 |  |  |
| 0522 | Prop S-Gage Pwr Off | 28: 34.6 |  |  |
| Day 312-DSS-42 |  |  |  |  |
| 0510 | AESP Off | 04:22:41.3 |  |  |
| 0231 | Mode 4 | 22:46.3 | 4 |  |
| 0227 | Mode 2 | 28:50.3 | 2 |  |
| 0226 | Mode 1 | 33: 38.8 | 1 |  |
| 0232 | ESP Off | 36:12.8 |  |  |
| 0506 | Mode 5 | 36:18.8 | 5 |  |
| 0700 | Inertial Mode | 37:42.8 |  |  |
| 0704 | Cruise Mode | 05:42: 51.0 |  |  |
| 0702 | Sun Acq Mode On | 08:32: 26.5 |  |  |
| 0510 | AESP Off | 12:25:23.8 |  |  |
| 0231 | Mode 4 | 25:27.8 | 4 |  |
| 0227 | Mode 2 | 29:15.8 | 2 |  |
| 0226 | Mode 1 | 32:00. 5 | 1 |  |
| 0232 | ESP Off | 36:27.5 |  |  |
| 0506 | Mode 5 | 36:32.5 | 5 |  |
| 0704 | Cruise Mode | 46:28.8 |  |  |
| Day 312 - DSS-51 |  |  |  |  |
| 0700 | Inertial Mode | 16:18:39.3 |  |  |
| 0510 | AESP Off | 17:14:55.1 |  |  |
| 0231 | Mode 4 | 15:02.1 | 4 |  |
| 0227 | Mode 2 | 23:32.7 | 2 |  |
| 0226 | Mode 1 | 27: 46.6 | 1 |  |
| 0232 | ESP: Off | 32:14.1 |  |  |
| 0506 | Mode 5 | 32:21.6 | 5 |  |
| 0704 | Cruise Mode | 53:41.0 |  |  |
| 0702 | Sun Acq Mode On | 18:59:38.9 |  |  |
| Day 312 - DSS-61 |  |  |  |  |
| 0510 | AESP Off | 21:16:38.6 |  |  |
| 0231 | Mode 4 | 16:43.6 | 4 |  |
| 0227 | Mode 2 | 19:55.7 | 2 |  |
| 0232 | ESP Off | 24:49.2 |  |  |
| 0506 | Mode 5 | 24:55.2 | 5 |  |
| 0510 | AESP Off | 37:09.1 |  |  |

Table 4.3 (continued)

| Command Number | Description | GMT, hr:min:sec | Telemetry Mode | Bit Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0226 | Mode 1 | 21:37:13.6 | 1 | 1100 |
| 0232 | ESP Off | 41:59.7 |  |  |
| 0506 | Mode 5 | 42:03.7 | 5 |  |
| 0704 | Cruise Mode | 42:27.6 |  |  |
| Day 312 - DSS-11 |  |  |  |  |
| 0700 | Inertial Mode | 22:45:01. 3 |  |  |
| Day 313 - DSS-11 |  |  |  |  |
| 0704 | Cruise Mode | 00:11:17.9 |  |  |
| 0700 | Inertial Mode | 01:11:24.9 |  |  |
| 0510 | AESP Off | 50:32.7 |  |  |
| 0231 | Mode 4 | 50:39.6 | 4 |  |
| 0227 | Mode 2 | 53:03.3 | 2 |  |
| 0226 | Mode 1 | 54:48.4 | 1 |  |
| 0232 | ESP Off | 57:32.4 |  |  |
| 0506 | Mode 5 | 57:39.6 | 5 |  |
| 0704 | Cruise Mode | 02:25:36. 5 |  |  |
| 0700 | Inertial Mode | 03:29:51.6 |  |  |
| 0704 | Cruise Mode | 04:48:09.4 |  |  |
| Day 313 - DSS-42 |  |  |  |  |
| 0510 | AESP Off | 06:13:59.6 |  |  |
| 0231 | Mode 4 | 14:04.6 | 4 |  |
| 0227 | Mode 2 | 19:51. 5 | 2 |  |
| 0226 | Mode 1 | 23:06.3 | 1 |  |
| 0232 | ESP Off | 25:02. 5 |  |  |
| 0506 | Mode 5 | 25:07.5 | 5 |  |
| 0700 | Inertial Mode | 25:57.1 |  |  |
| 0704 | Cruise Mode | 07:38:32.2 |  |  |
| 0700 | Inertial Mode | 09:04:07.7 |  |  |
| 0704 | Cruise Mode | 10:42:28.3 |  |  |
| 0510 | AESP Off | 46:46.3 |  |  |
| 0231 | Mode 4 | 46:51. 8 | 4 |  |
| 0227 | Mode 2 | 50:35.8 | 2 |  |
| 0226 | Mode 1 | 53:25.0 | 1 |  |
| 0232 | ESP Off | 57:47.3 |  |  |
| 0506 | Mode 5 | 57:52.8 | 5 |  |
| 0702 | Sun Acq Mode On | 11:01:22.1 |  |  |
| 0411 | Comp A Htr Pwr On | 03:55.9 |  |  |

Table 4.3 (continued)

| Command Number | Description | GMT, hr:min:sec | Telemetry Mode | Bit Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Day 313- DSS-51 |  |  |  |  |
| 0510 | AESP Off | 13:52:56:2 |  | 1100 |
| 0231 | Mode 4 | 53:03.7 | 4 |  |
| 0227 | Mode 2 | 56:55.4 | 2 |  |
| 0226 | Mode 1 | 14:00:00.6 | 1 |  |
| 0232 | ESP Off | 02:33.1 |  |  |
| 0506 | Mode 5 | 02:41.6 | 5 |  |
| 0612 | FT2 Ther Pwr On | 53:17.0 |  |  |
| 0615 | OT2 Ther Pwr On | 53:22.0 |  |  |
| 0620 | OT3 Ther Pwr On | 53:28.0 |  |  |
| 0704 | Cruise Mode | 15:26:55.4 |  |  |
| 0510 | AESP Off | 17:58:16.4 |  |  |
| 0231 | Mode 4 | 58:24.4 | 4 |  |
| 0227 | Mode 2 | 18:01:51.9 | 2 |  |
| 0226 | Mode 1 | 04:59.9 | 2 |  |
| 0232 | ESP Off | 07:06.9 |  |  |
| 0506 | Mode 5 | 07:14.9 | 5 |  |
| 0503 | 550 bps | 08:43. 3 |  | 550 |
| 0204 | Coast Phase Clk Rates | 08:52.3 |  |  |
| 0220 | $33,7.35,3.9 \mathrm{kc} \mathrm{SCOs}$ Off | 08:55.9 |  |  |
| 0215 | 3.9 kc SCO On | 09:03.8 |  |  |
| 1136 | Sur Camera ETC On | 19:55:38.5 |  |  |
| 0136 | Comp C Temp Cont On | 20:25:07.4 |  |  |
| 0510 | AESP Off | 37:13.9 |  |  |
| 0231 | Mode 4 | 37:21.9 | 4 |  |
| 0232 | ESP Off | 43:09.9 |  |  |
| 0506 | Mode 5 | 43:17.9 | 5 |  |
| 0510 | AESP Off | 21:35:39.0 |  |  |
| 0231 | Mode 4 | 35:56.0 | 4 |  |
| 0227 | Mode 2 | 50:09.0 | 2 |  |
| 0226 | Mode 1 | 53:04.0 | 1 |  |
| 0232 | ESP Off | 58:28.0 |  |  |
| 0506 | Mode 5 | 58:35.0 | 5 |  |
| Day 313 - DSS-11 |  |  |  |  |
| 3503 | Alpha Scat Htr Pwr On | 22:27:26.7 |  |  |
| 0510 | AESP Off | 32.27:26.7 3 |  |  |
| 0231 | Mode 4 | 39:32.7 | 4 |  |
| 0227 | Mode 2 | 42:10.1 | 2 |  |
| 0226 | Mode 1 | 46:04.4 | 1 |  |
| 0232 | ESP Off | 48:51.6 |  |  |
| 0506 | Mode 5 . | 49:00.1 | 5 |  |
| 0220 | 33, $7.35,3.9 \mathrm{kc} \mathrm{SCOs} \mathrm{Off}$ | 51:23.1 |  |  |

Table 4.3 (continued)

| Command Number | Description | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GMT, } \\ & \text { hr:min:sec } \end{aligned}$ | Telemetry Mode | Bit Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0221 | Gyro Spd Sig Pro On | 22:51:28.6 | 5 | 550 |
| 0222 | Next Gyro | 52:32.1 |  |  |
| 0222 | Next Gyro | 53:15.1 |  |  |
| 0222 | Next Gyro | 53:53.1 |  |  |
| 0223 | Gyro Spd Sig Pro Off | 54:40.1 |  |  |
| 0215 | 3.9 kc SCO On | 54:50.6 |  |  |
| 0124 | Xpdr Pwr Off | 56:43.1 |  |  |
| 0123 | Xpdr B Pwr On | 58:04.1 |  |  |
| 0413 | Comp A Htr Pwr Off | 23:13:13.5 |  |  |
| 0507 | Mode 6 | 52:11.3 | 6 |  |
| 0510 | AESP Off | 54:59.8 |  |  |
| 0231 | Mode 4 | 55:07. 3 | 4 |  |
| Day 314-DSS-11 |  |  |  |  |
| 0105 | Xmtr B Fil Pwr On | 00:05:51.7 |  |  |
| 0127 | Xfer Sw B Hi Pwr | 07:31.7 |  |  |
| 0106 | Xmtr Hi Volt On | 07:32.2 |  |  |
| 0220 | 33, 7.35, 3.9 kc SCOs Off | 08:19.0 |  |  |
| 0216 | 7.35 kc SCO On | 08:19.5 |  |  |
| 0205 | 1100 bps | 08:20.0 |  | 1100 |
| 0214 | Sum Amps Off | 09:03.8 |  |  |
| 0211 | Phase Sum Amp B On | 09:04. 3 |  |  |
| 0227 | Mode 2 | 09:21. 2 | 2 |  |
| 0232 | ESP Off | 11:36. 2 |  |  |
| 0506 | Mode 5 | 11:11.2 | 5 |  |
| 0521 | Prop S-Gage Pwr On | 14:34.2 |  |  |
| 0515 | TD S-Gage Pwr On | 15:00.6 |  |  |
| 0517 | TD S-Gage D-Ch On | 15:01.1 |  |  |
| 1133 | Sur Camera VTC On | 15:41.6 |  |  |
| 0124 | Xpdr Pwr Off | 16:58.6 |  |  |
| 0704 | Cruise Mode | 18:38.1 |  |  |
| 0710 | Pos Angle Maneuver | 18:38.6 |  |  |
| 3617 | Interlock | 18:39.1 |  |  |
| M1431 | Magnitude ( 409 BCD ) (+82.0 degrees) | 18:39.6 |  |  |
| 0714 | Sun and Roll | 25:19.8 |  |  |
| 3617 | Interlock | 28:30.1 |  |  |
| M 2117 | Magnitude ( 559 BCD) (+111.8 degrees) | 28:30.6 |  |  |
| 0713 | Yaw | 29:38.1 |  |  |
| 3617 | Interlock | 33:58.5 |  |  |
| M 2233 | Magnitude ( 603 BCD) ( +120.5 degrees) | 33:59.0 |  |  |
| 0711 | Roll | 34:55.8 |  |  |

Table 4.3 (continued)

| Command Number | Description | $\begin{gathered} \text { GMT, } \\ \text { hr:min:sec } \end{gathered}$ | Telemetry Mode | Bit Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0207 | Pre Sum Amp On | 00:40:02. 5 | 5 | 1100 |
| 0723 | Reset Nom Thr Bias | 40:59.5 |  |  |
| 3617 | Interlock | 41:41.0 |  |  |
| M 0326 | Retro Seq Delay (118 BCD) (5.875 seconds) | 41:41.5 |  |  |
| 0507 | Mode 6 | 48:57. 5 | 6 |  |
| 0720 | Reset Set IV Outputs | 50:30.0 |  |  |
| 0720 | Reset Set IV Outputs | 51:31.9 |  |  |
| 3617 | Interlock | 52:04. 4 |  |  |
| 0724 | Retro Seq Mode On | 52:04.9 |  |  |
| 0613 | VL2 FT2 Ther Pwr Off | 52:27.0 |  |  |
| 0616 | VLl OT2 Ther Pwr Off | 52:27. 5 |  |  |
| 0621 | VL3 OT3 Ther Pwr Off | 52:28.0 |  |  |
| 1134 | Sur Camera VTC Off | 52:28.5 |  |  |
| 1137 | Sur Camera ETC Off | 52:29.0 |  |  |
| 0604 | AMR Htr Off | 52:29.5 |  |  |
| 0135 | Comp C Temp Cont Off | 52:30.0 |  |  |
| 3504 | Aplha Scat Htr Off | 52:30. 5 |  |  |
| 0625 | AMR Pwr On | 53:16.9 |  |  |
| 0727 | FC T- $\varphi$ Pwr On | 54:16.9 |  |  |
| 0626 | Enable AMR | 56:16.9 |  |  |
| 0730 | Emer AMR Signal | 57:56. 4 |  |  |
| 0730 | Emer AMR Signal | 57:56.9 |  |  |
| 0730 | Emer AMR Signal | 57:57.4 |  |  |
| 0737 | FC T-¢ Pwr Off | 01:01:37.9 |  |  |
| 0737 | FC T-¢ Pwr Off | 01:39.4 |  |  |
| 3617 | Interlock | 02:00.6 |  |  |
| 0630 | RADVS Pwr Off | 02:01.1 |  |  |
| 3617 | Interlock | 02:01.6 |  |  |
| 0630 | RADVS Pwr Off | 02:02.1 |  |  |
| 3617 | Interlock | 02:02.6 |  |  |
| 0311 | All FC Pwr Off | 02:03.1 |  |  |
| 3617 | Interlock | 02:03.6 |  |  |
| 0311 | All FC Pwr Off | 02:04.6 |  |  |
| 0506 | Mode 5 | 02:45.0 |  |  |
| 0510 | AESP Off | 04:46. 2 |  |  |
| 0227 | Mode 2 | 05:07.4 | 2 |  |
| 0516 | TD S-Gage Pwr Off | 06:10.4 |  |  |
| 0520 | TD S-Gage Data Chan Off | 06:20. 9 |  |  |
| 0522 | Prop S-Gage Pwr Off | 06:25.4 |  |  |

TABLE4-4. SURVEYOR VI LIFTOFF AND BOOST EVENTS

| Mark Number | Event | Actual Time, GMT, Day 3ll hr:min:sec | Actual Time From Launch, seconds | Nominal Time From Launch, seconds | Nominal Time FromSeparation, seconds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Liftoff (2-inch motion) | 07:39:01.075 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 1 | Atlas boost engine cutoff (BECO) | 07:41:34.475 | 153.42 | 153.5 |  |
| 2 | Atlas boost engine jettison | 07:41:37.805 | 156.73 | 156.6 |  |
| 3 | Centaur insulation panel jettison | 07:42:19.405 | 198.33 | 198.5 |  |
| 4 | Centaur nose fairing jettison | 07:42:49.635 | 228.56 | 228.5 |  |
| 5 | Atlas SECO and VECO | 07:43:06.625 | 245.55 | 248.5 |  |
| 6 | Atlas/Centaur separation | 07:43:09.305 | 248.23 | 250.2 |  |
| 7 | Centaur main engine start (1) | 07:43:19.795 | 258.72 | 259.7 |  |
| 8 | Centaur main engine cutoff (1) | 07:48:42.095 | 581.02 | 579.6 $(319.9)$ |  |
| $\bigcirc$ | Centaur burn duration (1) | 07:48.44 295 | (322.3) | (319.9) |  |
| 9 10 | 100 -pound thrust on 100 -pound thrust off | 07:48:44.295 | 583.22 | 579.6 655.6 |  |
| 11 | 6 -pound thrust on | 07:49:58.895 | 657.82 | 655.6 |  |
| 12 | 100 -pound thrust on | 08:00:56.995 | 1315.92 | 1313.0 | -216.0 |
| 13 | Centaur main engine start <br> (2) C 2 |  |  | 1353.0 | -. 176.0 |
| 14 | Centaur main engine start <br> (2) Cl | 08:01:34.995 | 1353.92 | 1353.0 | -176.0 |
| 15 | Centaur main engine cutoff (2) | 08:03:29.745 | 1468.67 | 1465.9 | - 63.1 |
| 16 | Centaur burn duration (2) |  | (114.75) | (112.9) |  |
| 16 | Extend landing gear command Legs down (telemetry) | 08:03:48.995 | 1487.92 | 1487.0 | - 42.0 |
| 17 | Unlock omnidirectional antenna command | 08:03:59.495 | 1498.42 | 1497.5 | - 31.5 |
| - | Omnidirectional antennas extended (telemetry) | - |  |  |  |
| 18 | Surveyor high power transmitter on | 08:04:19.995 | 1518.92 | 1518.0 | - 11.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 | Centaur/Surveyor electrical disconnect | 08:04:25.095 | 1524.02 | 1523.5 | - 5.5 |
| - | ```Vehicle electrical separation (telemetry)``` | 08:04:24:626 |  |  |  |
| 20 | Spacecraft separation | 08:04:29.995 | 1528.92 | 1529.0 | 0 |
| 21 | Begin Centaur turn around maneuver | 08:04:33.395 | 1532.32 | 1534.0 | 5.0 |
| 22 | Start Centaur lateral thrust | - | - | 1574.0 | 45.0 |
| 23 | End Centaur lateral thrust | - | - | 1594.0 | 65.0 |
| 24 | Start Centaur tank blowdown | 08:08:29.995 | 1768.92 | 1769.0 | 240.0 |
| 25 | End Centaur tank blowdown | 08:12:40.195 | 2019.12 | 2019.0 | 490.0 |
| 26 | Power changeover switch | 08:14:20.095 | 2119.02 | 2119.0 | 590.0 |



Figure 4-2. AC-14 Launch Phase Trajectory Profile

Strain gage data indicated that touchdown occurred at approximately 314:01:01:05. 467 GMT , with leg l touching the surface first, followed by legs 2 and 3, in that order. The peak loads experienced by legs 1,2 , and 3 were approximately 1590 , 1810 , and 1590 pounds, respectively. These levels are indicative of a landing velocity of approximately 11.5 fps on a surface with a $5-\mathrm{psi}$ static bearing strength and a slope of approximately 0.8 degree.

## 4. 1. 8 Initial Lunar Operation

After the initial engineering assessment, a 200 -line television survey was conducted. The first 200-line picture was obtained at 314:01:51. The first command of the initial sun/earth acquisition was sent at 314:02:55:26. Thereafter, the sun was acquired in azimuth at 314:03:18:55, and earth acquisition with the planar array was completed at 314:03:39:42. The spacecraft was then configured for 600 -line television, the first such picture being received at 314:04:04.

The primary method of Surveyor VI attitude determination on the lunar surface was based on sun and earth position data obtained via the A/SPP. Attitude determination before and after the lunar translation at 321:10:01. 741 is presented in Figure 4-3.

## 4. 2 RELIABILITY ANALYSIS

## 4. 2. 1 Surveyor VI Reliability Estimates

4.2.1.1 System and Subsystem Reliability

The final reliability point estimate for Surveyor VI is 0.75 . This estimate is based upon Surveyor VI flight and systems test data and applicable Surveyor I through $V$ system test and flight experience. Final reliability point estimates for each subsystem are given in Table 4-5.

TABLE 4-5. SUBSYSTEM FINAL RELIABILITY POINT ESTIMATES

Subsystem | Reliability |
| ---: |
| Estimates |

Telecommunications 0.991
Vehicle and mechanisms 0.880
Propulsion 0.927
Electrical power 0.988
Flight control 0.940
Spacecraft 0.751
Systems interaction reliability factor 1.0
Spacecraft reliability $(0.751)(1.0)=0.75$


Magnitude of tilt $=0.86$ degree, direction $=335.04$ degrees $X_{\text {tilt }}=0.78$ degree
$Y_{\text {tilt }}=0.36$ degree
Roll orientation (X axis CW from selenographic east)

$$
\beta=121.01 \text { degrees }
$$

a) Pretranslation


Magnitude of tilt $=4.05$ degrees, direction $=41.52$ degrees

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{tilt}}=3.03 \text { degrees } \\
& \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{tilt}}=2.69 \text { degrees }
\end{aligned}
$$

Roll orientation (X axis CW from selenographic east)

$$
\beta=113.64 \text { degrees }
$$

b) Post-translation

Figure 4-3. Spacecraft Attitude
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## 4. 2. 1. 2 Summary of Data Base for Surveyor VI Reliability Estimates

The primary source of data for reliability estimates is the operating time and cycles experienced by Surveyor VI units during systems tests and flight and the accumulated reliability relevant failure data provided by TFRs. Data from Suryeyor I through $V$ test and flight experience are included where there are no significant design differences between the units. A failure is considered relevant if it affects equipment reliability and could occur during a mission. Relevance of failures is based upon a joint reliability-systems engineering decision. In addition, relevant failures are weighted as follows:

1. 0 Critical - Would normally cause a safety hazard, mission abort, or failure of mission objective.
0.6 Major - Would significantly degrade system performance but not cause mission abort or failure.
2. 1 - Would not significantly affect ability of system to function as designed.

A data base for Surveyor VI reliability estimates is summarized in Table 4-6.

### 4.2.1.3 Time/Cycle/Reliability History for Surveyors I Through V

Table 4-7 presents a history of time/cycle/reliability data for each major control item for Surveyors I through V.

## 4. 2. 2 Future Reliability Predictions

Table 4-8 presents results of a special analysis on the trend direction of Surveyor VI reliability. In the development of the data base used in estimating reliability, normal Surveyor practice is to retain applicable data from all prior spacecraft in a pooled data base which is used for estimating reliability of subsequent spacecraft. The advantage of this technique is that it strengthens the statistical inference by increasing the sample (data base) size. However, because a spacecraft begins systems level testing before all prior spacecraft have completed their missions, operating time and failure experience of prior spacecraft has a definite effect upon the trend direction of the latter spacecraft. This is not inaccurate, since only applicable data are pooled; hence, each estimate is a reflection of the total experience of similar units. Nonetheless, it does not provide a ready answer to the question of the extent to which Surveyor VI experience directly affected the trend direction of the reliability estimates for the spacecraft.

In response to this question, a special analysis of Surveyor VI reliability was conducted as follows:

TABLE 4-6. SUMMARY OF DATA BASE FOR SURVEYOR VI RELIABILITY ESTIMATES

| Units | Total <br> Weighted <br> Revelant <br> Failures | Test Time, hours or cycles | Reliability |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Receiver-decoder select | 0 | 9,849.0 | 1.0 |
| Central decoder | 0.6 | 9,848.0 | 0. 995 |
| Subsystem decoder | 0 | 49, 245.0 | 1.0 |
| Engineering signal processor | 0. 3 | 2,980.0 | 0.998 |
| Auxiliary engineering signal processor | 2. 5 | 4,268.6 | 0. 964 |
| Signal processing auxiliary | 0 | 945.0 | 1.0 |
| Central signal processor | 0.7 | 8,908.6 | 0.993 |
| Low data rate auxiliary | 1.0 | 2,629.1 | 0.998 |
| Omnidirectional antenna | 0 | 5,707.2 | 1.0 |
| Omnidirectional mechanisms | 0 | 872 cycles | 1.0 |
| Diplexer | 0 | 17,442.7 | 1.0 |
| Transmitter | 2. 7 | 7,309. 3 | 0.969 |
| Low pass filter | 0 | 18, 374.0 | 1. 0 |
| Telemetry buffer amplifier | 0 | 16,654. 5 | 1. 0 |
| Receiver | 0.2 | 18,849.1 | 0.999 |
| Transponder | 0 | 2,822.5 | 1.0 |
| RF transfer switch | 0 | 7, 309. 3 | 1.0 |
| SPDT switch | 0 | 7, 309. 3 | 1.0 |
| Thermal sensors | 0. 3 | 230,232.8 | 0.994 |
| . Passive controls | 0 | 4, 204.7 | 1.0 |
| Thermal control and heater assembly | 0.6 | 4,813.5 | 0.979 |
| Thermal switch | 0.1 | 22,687.5 | 0.994 |
| Thermal shell | 0 | 8, 409. 4 | 1. 0 |
| Space frame | 0 | 24 mission cycles | 1. 0 |
| Landing gear structure | 0 | 181 mission cycles | 1. 0 |

Table 4-6 (continued)

| Units | Total Weighted Revelant Failures | Test Time, hours or cycles | Reliability |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compartment A thermal tray | 0 | 24 mission cycles | 1.0 |
| Compartment B thermal tray | 0 | 24 mission cycles | 1.0 |
| Wiring harness |  |  |  |
| Wiring harness separation squibs | 0 | 1,596.9 | 1. 0 |
| Wiring harness compartment $A$ | 1. 0 | 3,912.9 | 0.978 |
| Wiring harness compartment B | 0 | 7,579.8 | 1. 0 |
| Wiring harness basic bus 1 | 1. 0 | 10, 117. 0 | 0.992 |
| Wiring harness basic bus 2 | 1. 0 | 10, 117. 0 | 0.992 |
| Wiring harness A/SPP | 1. 4 | 2,220.8 | 0.948 |
| Wiring harness RFcabling | 0 | 9,847. 9 | 1.0 |
| Wiring harness retro motor | 0 | 1,072.4 | 1. 0 |
| Retro-rocket release | 0 | 587 cycles | 1. 0 |
| Engineering mechanism auxiliary | 0.2 | 9, 134. 2 | 0.998 |
| Antenna/solar panel positioner* |  |  |  |
| Roll | 0 | 237,760 cycles | 1.0 |
| Solar | 0.2 | 190,044 cycles | 0. 999 |
| SS and A device* | 0 | 269 actuations | 1. 0 |
| Retro rocket system | 0 | 18 mission cycles | 1.0 |
| Solar panel | 0 | 565.7 | 1.0 |
| - Battery charge regulator | 0 | 2,738.5 | 1.0 |
| Boost regulator | 1. 4 | 10,251.7 | 0. 988 |
| Main power switch | 0 | 9, 130.2 | 1.0 |
| Main battery | 0 | 5,080.1 | 1. 0 |
| Flight control sensor group | 2. 1 | 4, 737. 3 | 0. 963 |
| Altitude marking radar | 0.2 | 166. 2 | 0.999 |

Table 4-6 (continued)

| Units | Total <br> Weighted Revelant Failures | Test Time, hours or cycles | Reliability |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RADVS |  |  |  |
| SDC | 3. 3 | 557.0 | 0.994 |
| KPSM | 2.8 | 554.8 | 0.995 |
| A VSA | 2.6 | 554.8 | 0.995 |
| VSA | 2. 2 | 556.8 | 0.996 |
| Waveguide | 0 | 535.4 | 1.0 |
| Roll actuator | 0 | 222. 4 | 1. 0 |
| Attitude jet system | 0.2 | 611,556 cycles | 0.998 |
| Pin puller* | 0 | 16,131 cycles | 1.0 |
| Pin puller cartridge* | 0 | 16,131 equivalent firings | 1. 0 |
| Helium tank and valves assembly* | 1.1 | 38 mission cycles | 0.971 |
| Propellant tank assembly* |  |  |  |
| Fuel tank | 0 | 70 mission cycles | 1.0 |
| Oxidizer tank | 0.6 | 88 mission cycles | 0.993 |
| Lines and fittings | 0. 1 | 102 mission cycles | 0.999 |
| Thrust chamber assembly <br> (JPL-supplied) |  |  |  |
| Propellant shutoff valve | 0 | 7,971 cycles | 1. 0 |
| Throttle valve | 2. 0 | 704 mission cycles | 0.997 |
| Thrust chamber and injector assembly | 1. 0 | 266 cycles | 0.996 |
| Helium release valves* | 0 | 18 firings | 1.0 |
| Valve cartridge** | 0 | 16,066 equivalent firings | 1. 0 |
| Shock absorber* | 0 | 406 cycles | 1.0 |
| Crushable structure** | 0 | 76 cycles | 1.0 |
| System** | 0 | 1,063.5 | 1.0 |

[^0]TABLE 4-7. TIME/CYCLE/RELIABILITY HISTORY FOR SURVEYORS I THROUGHV

Table 4-7 (continued)

| Unit | Time, hours, or Cycles |  |  |  |  | Failures |  |  |  |  | Reliability |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | III | 4 | v | I | 2 | III | 4 | 『 | 1 | 2 | III | 4 | v |
|  Mitrig harness <br> Nitrogen lines | $\begin{aligned} & 2,601.3 \\ & 1,053.2 \\ & 1,147.0 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 5,6010.8 \\ 2,8,814.8 \\ 2,814 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6,755.4 \\ & 1,070.8 \\ & 3,995.9 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\circ$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | : | $\begin{aligned} & 0.966 \\ & i .0 \\ & 1.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0 \\ & 1 \begin{array}{l} 1.0 \\ 1.0 \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} 1.0 \\ 1.0 \\ 1.0 \end{array}$ | (1.0 | $\xrightarrow{1.0} 1$ |
|  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 540 \text { cycles } \\ & 1,576.3 \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{gathered} 575 \text { cycles } \\ 3,358.6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} 578 \text { cycles } \\ 4,887.3 \end{array}$ | $\underset{\substack{581 . \text { cyclees } \\ 6,066 \cdot 7}}{ }$ | $\frac{584, \text { cyclees }}{7,300.1}$ | $\bigcirc$ | $0$ | $\bigcirc$ | 0.2 | 0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | ${ }_{0}^{1.0} 0$ | ${ }_{\text {1. }}^{1.9888}$ |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 240,504 \\ \text { cycies } \end{gathered}$ | cylles | ${ }_{\substack{488,446 \\ \text { cycles }}}^{\text {ces }}$ |  | 165, 831 cycles | 2.7 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.891 | 0.995 | 0.995 | 0.997 | 0.999 |
| Saparation manting and | 75 cy | 72 cy | 178 cycles | cycl | 230 cycles | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| suing device <br> Solar panel <br> decket system | ${ }^{13}$ checles | ${ }^{14}$ cycles | ${ }^{15}$ cyceles | $\begin{aligned} & 16 \text { cyclee } \\ & 599.3 \end{aligned}$ | ${ }_{64}^{17}$ cyces | : | : | : | : | : | 1.0 | 1.0 | ${ }_{1.0}^{1.0}$ | ${ }_{1.0}^{1.0}$ | ${ }_{1.0}^{1.0}$ |
| Battery charge regulator Auxiliary battery control Auriliary battery control |  | $\begin{aligned} & 3,077.01 \\ & \hline, 4676.1 \\ & \hline, 4988.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,600.7 \\ & 5,5,56.7 \\ & 5,5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5,775.1 \\ & \substack{1,79.2 \\ 6,701.1} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,2030.4 \\ & 8,48,68 \\ & \text { Nox } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.1 \\ & 0.8 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.7 \\ & 1.4 \\ & 0.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.7 \\ & 1.4 \\ & 0.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \begin{array}{l} 1.4 \\ 0.7 \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | $\xrightarrow{0} 1.4$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.999 \\ & 0.968 \\ & 1.96 \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 0.980 \\ & 0.974 \\ & 0.998 \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.987 \\ & 0.988 \\ & 0.998 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0 \\ & 0.94 \\ & 0.998 \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{1.0}{0.968}$ |
| Main porer eitch in bettery Auriliary batter |  | $3,354.6$ 1,553 113.6 | $\begin{aligned} & 4,883.3 \\ & 2,65.5 \\ & \hline 248.5 \\ & \hline 24.5 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 7,297.1 \\ & \substack{2,6751.4 \\ \text { DNA }} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \begin{array}{l} 1.0 \\ 0.6 \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ 1.0 \\ 0.6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0.6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ 0.6 \\ 0.6 \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.082 \\ & 0.880 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.0 \\ 0.944 \\ 0.924 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0 \\ & 1.0 \\ & 0.962 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} 1.0 \\ 1.0 \\ 9.967 \end{array}$ | $\stackrel{1.0}{1.0}$ |
| Bost re | 937.2 | 2,633.9 | 4,128.6 | 5,327.0 | dma | 0 |  | 0 | - |  | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |
| Chaoke Bost regilator unregilated | 937.2 | 2,627.9 | 4,52.6 | 退31.0 | DNA | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | - |
| ${ }_{\substack{\text { filter } \\ \text { f11 ght } \\ \text { control sensor group }}}$ | 1,147.2 | 1,963.2 | 2,815.1 | 3,396 | 4,065.5 | 0 | 2.0 | 0 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 0.917 | 1.0 | 0.956 | 0.971 |
|  |  |  |  | 131.9 | ${ }_{1}^{1620.1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{0}^{1.989}$ | ${ }_{0}^{1.0} 0$ | 0.999 | 0.999 |
| ADVS -signal daca converter Klyatron power supply modulator |  | ${ }_{7}^{985.7}$ | ${ }_{\text {l }}^{1,13121.7}$ | ${ }^{1,504.4} 1,090.5$ | (1,790.0 | 5.5 | 5.9 | ${ }_{5}^{11.1}$ | $\stackrel{13.1}{13.9}$ | ${ }^{17.9}$ | 0.981 | ${ }^{0.999}$ | 0.993 | 0.993 | 0.994 |
| Atetude velocity sensing | 295.9 | 522.7 | 823.9 | 987.8 | 1,188.0 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 4.4 | 4.4 | 5.0 | 0.992 | 0.996 | 0.994 | 0.95 | 0.995 |
|  | ${ }_{\substack{264.6 \\ 194.1}}$ | ${ }_{2}^{424.1}$ | ${ }_{\substack{652.5 \\ 424.2}}$ | 955.2 | 1,142.0. | $\bigcirc$ | ${ }_{0}^{1.6}$ | 2.2 | 2.8 | ${ }_{0}^{2.8}$ | 1.0 | - 0.906 | ${ }^{0.996}$ | ${ }_{1}^{0.966}$ | ${ }^{0.997}$ |
| movs wavegul ide | 194.1 | 278.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Roll actuato <br> Attitude jet system <br> Pin pullers |  |  |  |  |  | 0.1 | $\begin{gathered} 0.1 \\ 0.1 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.995 1.0 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.998 \\ & 0.0 \\ & 1.0 \end{aligned}$ | 1.09 <br> 1.098 <br> 1 | 1.099 1.0 | 0.998 1.0 |

Table 4-7 (continued)

| Unit | Time, hours, or Cycles |  |  |  |  | Failures |  |  |  |  | Reliability |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I | 2 | III | 4 | v | 1 | 2 | III | 4 | v | I | 2 | III | 4 | v |
| Pin puller cartridge | 16,071 | 16,429 | 16,441 | 16,101 | 16,110 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Helium tank and valve | cycres- | ${ }_{33}$ | ${ }^{\text {cyc }}$ | ${ }_{36}$ | cycles 37 | 0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 0.997 | 0.977 | 0.977 | 0.981 |
| assembly | cycles | cycles | cycles | cycles | cycles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fuel tanka | 46 cycles | $\begin{gathered} 49 \\ \text { cycles } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 52 \\ \text { cycles } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 58 \\ \text { cycles } \end{gathered}$ | $64$ cycles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Oxidizer tanks | 64 | 67 | 70 | 76 | 82 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.977 | 0.993 |
|  | cycles | cycles | cycles | cycles | cycles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lines ana fittinga | 54 | 60 | 66. | 78 | 90 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.998 | 0.999 | 0.999 |
|  | cycles | cycles | cycles | cycles | cycles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Propellant shutoff valve | 7,944 cyalles | 7,947 cycles | 7,953 cycles | 7,956 cycles | 7,965 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Throttle valve | 693 | 694 | 697 | 698 | 701 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.997 | 0.997 | 0.997 | 0.997 | 0.997 |
|  | cycles | cycles | cycles | cycles | cycles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Thrust chamber and | 255 | 256 | 259 | 260 | 263 | 0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.995 | 0.996 | 0.996 | 0.996 |
| injection assembly | cycles | cycles | cycles | cycles | cycles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Helium release valve | 13 cycles | 14 cycles | 15 cycles | 16 cycles | 17 cycles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Valve cartridges | 16,061 | 16,062 | 16,063 | 16,064 | 16,065 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
|  | cycles | cycles | cycles | cycles | cycles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shock absorber | $\begin{gathered} 391 \\ \text { cycles } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 391 \\ \text { cycles } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 400 \\ \text { cycles } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 400 \\ \text { cycles } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 403 \\ \text { cycles } \end{gathered}$ | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.999 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Crushable structures | 61 cycles | 61 cycles | 70 cycles | 70 cycles | 73 cycles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |
| Syatem interaction | 1,717.1 | 1,013.2 | 1,528.7 | 1,174.4 | 1,239.4 | 5.0 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.736 | 0.949 | 0.967 | 0.978 | 0.986 |

TABLE 4-8. RELATIVE SUBSYSTEM RELIABILITY FOR SURVEYOR VI INITIAL ESTIMATE VERSUS FINAL ESTIMATE

| Subsystem | Initial | Final | Ratio of Final <br> to Initial |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Telecommunications | 0.990 | 0.991 | 1.001 |
| Vehicle and mechanisms | 0.897 | 0.888 | 0.891 |
| Propulsion | 0.924 | 0.927 | 1.003 |
| Electrical power | 0.988 | 0.988 | 1.0 |
| Flight control 0.935 | 0.940 | 1.005 |  |
| Systems interaction <br> factor <br> Spacecraft | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |

1) All poolable data from Surveyor I through the end of the Surveyor V mission were collected and totaled. This provided a constant data base upon which Surveyor VI experience could be superimposed. Since Surveyor V (and earlier) data are constant throughout, the resulting trend direction is a function of Surveyor VI experience only.
2) The data base used in the initial Surveyor VI estimate was then examined, and only the Surveyor VI operating time and failure experience was extracted. These data were then combined with the constant data developed in item labove to produce a new initial Surveyor VI reliability estimate.
3) The new initial estimate was then compared with the final Surveyor VI reliability estimate to produce the reliability trend of Surveyor VI as a single variable function of the spacecraft's performance. It is to be noted that the final estimate, computed either way, must, of necessity, converge to the same value.

As shown in Table 4-9, the trend of Surveyor VI reliability was slightly downward. This drop is a result of three failures within the vehicle mechanisms subsystem: two failures to the wire harness antenna/solar panel positioner and one failure to the A/SPP itself.

TFR 85664 reported a cut in cable insulation. The cause was traced to excessive epoxy potting in a connector that was in contact with the cable. The epoxy had subsequently damaged the insulation. Repair was effected by removing the excessive epoxy and applying a shrink sleeve patch to the cable

TABLE 4-9. SURVEYOR SPACECRAFT RELIABILITY GROWTH

| Subsystem | I | z | III | 4 | V | VI | SC-7 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Telecommunications | 0.925 | 0.944 | 0.965 | 0.929 | 0.987 | 0.991 | 0.991 |
| Vehicle and mechanism | 0.816 | 0.868 | 0.907 | 0.854 | 0.853 | 0.880 | 0.880 |
| Propulsion | 0.991 | 0.991 | 0.968 | 0.947 | 0.934 | 0.927 | 0.927 |
| Electrical power | 0.870 | 0.958 | 0.935 | 0.954 | 0.985 | 0.988 | 0.975 |
| Flight control | 0.953 | 0.889 | 0.971 | 0.931 | 0.945 | 0.940 | 0.928 |
| Systems interaction | 0.737 | 0.950 | 0.967 | 0.978 | 0.986 | 1.0 | 0.897 |
| factor |  | 0.457 | 0.658 | 0.745 | 0.653 | 0.723 | 0.751 |
| Spacecraft | 0.656 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

insulation. The basic cause of the problem was poor workmanship; corrective action implemented includes closer inspection. No corrective engineering action was necessary.

TFR 85664 reported the failure of a connector pin to pass pin retention testing. Such test failures have been a recurring problem on all harnesses. The requirement for all connectors to pass pin retention test prior to final mating significantly reduces the probability of this failure occurring during the mission.

TFR 85692 reported an intermittent output from the solar drive position potentiometer. The intermittency existed only in the launch position. The tendency of position potentiometers to produce short-term intermittent signals has been a recurring problem. The cause of this situation has been traced to the accumulation of lubrication in a localized area of the pot windings such that the wiper arm rides up on the lubricant, producing the intermittent signal. Past experience has shown that these accumulations are localized, and that they disappear after repeated operation of the pot. As a result, no corrective action is required.

The Surveyor spacecraft realized a steady reliability growth through Surveyor III, dipped for Surveyor 4, resumed the upward direction for Surveyors V and VI, and has dipped significantly again for SC-7. This can readily be seen from Table 4-9 which presents reliability figures for Surveyor I through SC-7. Principal cause of the drop in SC-7 reliability figures is reported in TFR 87191 . During preparation for solar thermal vacuum phase A, application of gyro pre-heat power produced a full-scale reading on the ammeter monitoring gyro pre-heat current. Power was immediately turned off. Extensive troubleshooting and analysis of both the spacecraft and the STEA failed to uncover the source of the problem. All efforts to duplicate the problem failed. The flight controls were subsequently removed for electronic conversion unit repairs and successfully passed all
flight acceptance testing. The TFR was closed as cause unknown, reliability revelant, and with a failure weighting of l. 0. The cause unknown nature of the failure requires that the failure be considered as part of the systems interaction reliability factor which has a very direct impact upon reliability numerics. SC-7 reliability without the systems interaction factor is 0.732 .

### 4.2.2.1 SC-7 Reliability

Estimated reliability for SC-7 at launch for a 66-hour flight and landing mission is 0.66 . This projected estimate is based upon SC-7 systems test data and applicable Surveyor I through VI test and flight experience.

### 4.2.2.2 Reliability Estimate Basis

Reliability estimates are based on equipment failure data and operating time and cycle data generated during spacecraft mission and systems testing, which are combined in accordance with Hughes "Reliability Math Model Surveyor Spacecraft A-21," SSD 64002-3R, 1 May 1967. The model describes the spacecraft system in terms of block diagrams, mission profile, time/cycle data, and probabilistic equations appropriate to the functional interaction of all spacecraft units. For convenience, the spacecraft is referred to at three basic levels: systems, set, and control item or unit. Reliability is defined as follows:

Reliability of the A-21 Surveyor spacecraft for the flight and landing phase is the probability that the spacecraft equipment will operate successfully as required from launch through soft landing. Successful soft landing is assumed if two-way communications is established and there is no apparent damage to spacecraft equipment required to support intended lunar operations.

In the derivation of the model, the following general assumptions were made:

1) No human error will occur during the mission which will cause failure.
2) All equipment inspection and test procedures are perfect and comprehensive, and all equipment will be used only in applications within the boundaries of its design parameters.
3) Every performance characteristic is verified up to the instant of no return in launch operations, and the launch will be aborted if fault exists.
4) All parts and designs are used in applications proven by test.
5) All scheduled changes to improve reliability of performance have been physically incorporated and tested prior to launch.
6) Natural hazards, such as meteorites and deep lunar dust, are nonexistent.
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## 5. 0 PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS

## 5. 1 THERMAL CONTROL SUBSYSTEM

## 5. 1. 1 INTRODUCTION: SURVEYOR THERMAL CONTROL TECHNIQUES

The Surveyor thermal design utilizes a variety of temperature control techniques. Active, passive, and semiactive mechanisms are employed to provide the required temperature control (storage, operational, and/or survival) throughout the transit and lunar phases of the mission. Each spacecraft subsystem is individually controlled, and the thermal coupling between subsystems is minimized by using conduction and radiation isolation wherever advantageous. Subsystem analyses are accomplished by evaluating in detail the thermal environment for each subsystem, with consideration being given to all significant thermal interactions between the subsystems whenever a high degree of isolation is not possible.

The following temperature control techniques are used'on the Surveyor spacecraft:

1) Passive thermal control utilizing combinations of paints and metal processes to provide surfaces with solar absorptance and infrared emittance characteristics to produce the required subsystem temperatures. Solar energy reflections are used to provide energy in cases where insufficient direct solar illumination exists.
2) Active thermal control systems utilizing heaters and radiation shields provide energy in cases where:
a) Sufficient solar illumination is not available
b) The unit's storage temperature is significantly different from its optimum operational temperature
3) Subsystems having large heat capacities are thermally decoupled from the transit and lunar environments by utilizing superinsulation blankets to minimize radiative heat transfer and thermal isolators to minimize conductive heat transfer. Such systems never reach equilibrium conditions and therefore depend on heat capacity and a controlled rate of heat rejection to provide optimum operational temperatures.
4) Bimetallically activated thermal switches control the temperature of the electronics compartments during transit and lunar operations.

Combinations of the above techniques are used on many of the subsystems to optimize the temperature control system.

## 5. 1. 2 THERMAL ANOMALIES - FAILURE OF THERMAL SWITCHES TO OPEN IN LUNAR NIGHT

As the spacecraft entered lunar night, at least eight of the nine thermal switches on compartment $A$ and two of the six switches on compartment $B$ were stuck. At spacecraft shutdown 41 hours after sunset, six thermal switches on compartment $A$ and one on compartment $B$ remained closed. This anomaly was documented in TFR 18271 and is discussed in subsection 5. 1. 6, "Lunar Night Thermal Performance."

## 5. 1. 3 THERMAL SUBSYSTEM SUMMARY

## 5. 1. 3. 1 Transit

The thermal response of the spacecraft during the Mission F transit was excellent. All steady-state temperatures were close to the nominal predictions except one: oxidizer line 1 temperature (sensor P-8) was $51^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ during the coast phase II steady-state condition compared to the prediction range of $21^{\circ}$ to $41^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$, but was well within the required limits of $0^{\circ}$ to $110^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. Of the 74 temperature sensors, 69 were within $10^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ of their predicted nominal steady-state values.

The Surveyor VI television camera differed from its predecessors in that it had the new, larger, square-shaped hood and mirror assembly. The camera warmup during the last 5 hours of transit was slower than on previous missions, resulting in a delay of 23 minutes from nominal in the turn-on of the television vidicon heater. (The electronics temperature, TV-16, is required to be above $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ prior to enabling of the vidicon thermal control.)

## 5. 1. 3. 2 Lunar

Spacecraft temperatures during lunar operations were nominal throughout the first lunar day. Telecommunication electronics operation was restricted by the temperature levels for l-l/2 days in the lunar noon interval. The alpha scattering system and TV camera temperatures were nominal throughout the lunar day. Shadowing of critical spacecraft units with the solar panel and planar array was accomplished to great advantage:

1) Main battery temperature was maintained below $70^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ during the entire lunar morning.
2) Vernier engine and flight control temperatures stayed below their upper limits, satisfying thermal requirements for liftoff and translation.
3) Alpha scattering system operation was facilitated by keeping compartment $C$ electronics and instrument temperatures within operating limits. Lunar night operations had to be terminated only 41 hours after sunset because of stuck thermal switches in compartments A and B (TFR 18271).

## 5. 1. 4 THERMAL PERFORMANCE IN TRANSIT

A summary of equilibrium temperatures for Missions A through $F$, along with Mission $F$ predictions, is presented in Table 5.1-1. All temperature signals were plotted in real time during the mission (see Figures 5. 1-Al through 5. 1-A6).

A table of events for Mission F is presented in Table 5. 1-2. This table primarily includes events that may affect the thermal response of the spacecraft, but does not include spacecraft commutator mode changes.

Only thermal responses which were unique or of special interest are discussed in this report. For those units with temperature histories consistent with previous missions, the equilibrium temperature summary and transit plots are supplied in lieu of further discussion.

## 5. 1. 4. 1 Prelaunch Phase

All spacecraft heaters were properly configured prior to launch, as follows:

| Vernier line heaters | Enabled |
| :--- | :--- |
| AMR heater | Enabled |
| Survey TV electronics and mirror heaters | Not enabled |
| Survey TV vidicon heater | Not enabled |
| Propellant tank heaters | Not enabled |
| Alpha scattering head heater | Not enabled |
| Compartment A heater | Not enabled |
| Compartment B heater | Not enabled |
| Compartment C heater | Not enabled |

Prelaunch air-conditioning was provided as required. Conditioned air was maintained at approximately $70^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ until 2 hours and 17 minutes prior to launch, whereupon the inlet air temperature control was raised to $85^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. During the last hour prior to launch, the payload adapter temperature was maintained at $82.5^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$.

All temperature sensors were within their respective required launch temperature ranges at launch.
TABLE 5, 1-1, SURVEYOR TRANSIT STEADY-STATE TEMPERATURE DATA; FLIGHT

| ilight Sensur Locaton by Subsystom |  | Transit Steady-State Temperature, ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Mission A <br> Actual | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mission } \\ \text { B } \\ \text { Actual } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Mission <br> C <br> Actual | Mission D Actual | Mission <br> E <br> Actual | Mission F |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Predicted | Actual | Operational | Survival |
| ehicle and mechanisms |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Compartment A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Uppertray | V-15 | 70 | 74 | 49 | 58 | 46 | 40 | 41 | 125/0 | 135/-10 |
| Lowertray | V-16 | 93 | 94 | 70 | 76 | 56 | 52 | 51 | 125/0 | 135/-10 |
| Transmitter A | D-13 | 68 | 71 | 49 | 55 | 42 | 37 | 39 | 185/5 | 21010 |
| Transmitter B | D-14 | 68 | 73 | 48 | 57 | 44 | 40 | 41 | 185/5 | 21010 |
| Main batters | EP-8 | 97 | 99 | 69 | 75 | 58 | 54 | 52 | 125/40 | 125/0 |
| Battery charge regulator | EP-34 | 123 | 118 | 94 | 98 | 64 | 60 | 60 | 170/5 | 190/0 |
| Radiators |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No. 5 | V-20 | 42 | 31 | 30 | 25 | -3 | 15 | 21 | 145/-300 | 150/-320 |
| No. 8 | V-25 | 44 | 28 | 36 | 42 | 29 | 26 | 31 | 145/-300 | 150/-320 |
| No. 2 | V-47 | 35 | 34 | 19 | 22 | -31 | 8 | 15 | 145/-300 | 150/-320 |
| No. 3 | $v-49$ | * | \% | * | * | 4 | 5 | 9 | 145/-300 | 150/-320 |
| Thermal shell inside | V-17 | 92 | 92 | 68 | 74 | * | * | * | 125/0 | 130/-10 |
| Thermal shell outside | V-18 | -85 | -82 | -84 | -87 | -93 | -90 | -82 | 160/-210 | DNA |
| Thermal switch No. 5 inside | V-19 | 66 | 69 | 47 | 56 | 43 | 37 | 39 | 130/-5 | 150/-15 |
| Compartment B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Uppertray | V-21 | 93 | 99 | 76 | 77 | 74 | 70 | 78 | 140/0 | 150/-10 |
| Lowertray | V-22 | 98 | 103 | 81 | 81 | 77 | 71 | 81 | 140/0 | 150/-10 |
| Boost regulator | EP-13 | 115 | 128 | 94 | 97 | 82 | 76 | 83 | 175/5 | 190/0 |
| Radiators |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No. 4 | V-24 | 67 | 70 | 55 | 54 | 52 | 46 | 53 | 145/-300 | 150/-320 |
| No. 1 | V-45 | 73 | 84 | 61. | 62 | 58 | 53 | 61 | 145/-300 | 150/-320 |
| No. 5 | V-46 | 66 | 70 | 56 | 53 | 51 | 48 | 55 | 145/-300 | 150/-320 |
| Thermal shell outside | V-23 | -70 | -72 | -64 | -72 | -78 | -82 | -76 | 160/-210 | DNA |
| Thermal switch No. 4 inside | V-26 | 88 | 93 | 74 | 72 | 68 | 62 | 73 | 130/-5 | 150/-15 |
| Wiring | V-29 | 89 | 91 | 72 | 74 | 67 | 72 | 68 | 125/0 | 135/-10 |
| xiliary battery | EP-26 | 35 | 64** | 54 | 60 | * | * | * | 130/40 | 145/15 |
| Auxiliary battery outer compartment |  | 2 | $9^{* *}$ | 12 | * | * | -- | -- | 60/-140 | DNA |
| Auxiliary battery outer compartment canister |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^1]5. 1-4
Table 5.1-1 (continued)

| Flight Scnsor Location by Subsystem |  | Transit Steady-State Temperature, ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\underset{{ }_{\mathrm{F}}^{\text {T }}}{\substack{\text { Temperate }}}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mission } \\ & \text { Actual } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mission } \\ & \text { B } \\ & \text { Actual } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mission } \\ \text { C } \\ \text { Actual } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Mission } \\ D \\ \text { Actual } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mission } \\ \text { E } \\ \text { Actual } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Mission F |  |  |  |
|  |  | Predicted |  |  |  |  | Actual | Operational | Survival |
| Landing gear assembly |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Operama | Survival |
| Leg 2 | V-31 | 83 | 74 | 77 | 65 | * | * | * | 160/-140 | DNA |
| Crushable block | V-44 | -62 | -48 | -63 | -55 | -68 | -76 | -76 | 160/-140 | DNA |
| Shock absorber |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 160/-140 |  |
| No. 1 | v-30 | 84 | 76 | 74 | 77 | 80 | 80 | 79 | 125/20 | 125/-25 |
| No. 2 | V-32 | 72 | 73 | 76 | 76 | 76 | 76 | 84 | 125/20 | 125/-25 |
| Antenna/solar panel positioner mechanism |  | 82 | 82 | 79 | 74 | 70 | 80 | 76 | 125/20 | 125/-25 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 125/-25 |
| Solar panel drive | M-10 | 60 | 45 | 51 | 46 | 45 | 50 | 63 | 260/-225 | 265/-235 |
| Elevation axis drive | M-12 | 1 | -17 | -11 | -20 | -23 | -12 | -8 | 260/-225 | 265/-235 |
| Solar cell array | EP-12 | 109 | 111 | 112 | 110 | 126 | 128 | 128 | 150/-150 | 235/-260 |
| Planar array | M-8 | -50 | -50 | -50 | -52 | -50 | -50 | -43 | 275/-225 | 280/-225 |
| A/SPP mast | V-34 | -84 | -88 | -88 | -90 | * | * | * | 264/-225 | DNA |
| Spaceframe and substructure Upper spaceframe |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | * | 264/-225 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Near leg 1 | v-27 | 60 | 53 | 57 | 52 | 56 | 59 | 59 | 160/-140 | DNA |
| Near leg 2 | v-35 | -79 | -81 | -82 | -84 | -82 | -80 | -80 | 160/-140 | DNA |
| Lower spaceframe |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 160/-140 |  |
| Under compartment B | v-28 | 48 | 42 | 43 | 38 | 39 | 40 | 40 | 160/-140 | DNA |
| Under compartment A | v-36 | -27 | -24 | -32 | -34 | -31 | -30 | -25 | 160/-140 | dNA |
| Retro attach points |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 160/-140 | NA |
| Leg 1 | V-37 | 39 | 44 | 42 | 37 | 38 | 38 | 42 | 120/-95 | 140/-100 |
| Leg 2 | V-38 | -36 | -32 | -52 | -53 | -41 | -40 | -37 | 120/-95 | 140/-100 |
| Leg 3 | v-39 | 44 | 44 | 46 | 37 | 41 | 40 | 42 | 120/-95 |  |
| Flight control |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 120-95 | 140/-100 |
| Flight control electronics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chassis board 1 | FC-44 | 90 | 90 | 71 | 66 | 67 | 65 | 69 | 150/0 | 165/-20 |
| Chassis board 6 | FC-45 | 124 | 137 | 60 | 59 | 61 | 60 | 62 | 127/30 | 132/0 |
| Canopus sensor | FC-47 | 78 | 85 | 74 | 76 | 70 | 68 | 73 | 130/-20 | 145/-20 |

[^2]Table 5.1-1 (continued)

| Flight Sensor Location by Subsystem |  | Transit Steady-State Trmperature, ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | Temperature Limits,${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Mission <br> A <br> Actual | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mission } \\ \text { B } \\ \text { Actual } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Mission C <br> Actual | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Mission } \\ D \\ \text { Actual } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Mission E <br> Actual | Mission F |  |  |  |
|  |  | Predicted |  |  |  |  | Actual | Operational | Survival |
| Roll gyro ${ }^{+}$ | FC-46 |  | 170 | 175 | 173 | 175 | 175 | 175 | 173 | 185/165 | 190/120 |
| Pitch gyro ${ }^{+}$ | FC-54 | 175 | 175 | 172 | 175 | 174 | 174 | 173 | 185/165 | 190/120 |
| Yaw gyro ${ }^{+}$ | FC-55 | 180 | 174 | 172 | 174 | 174 | 174 | 173 | 185/165 | 190/120 |
| Roll actuator | FC-71 | 79 | 82 | 83 | 80 | 88 | 82 | 94 | 190/10 | 200/0 |
| Nitrogen tank | FC-48 | 45 | 40 | 50 | 41 | 45 | 53 | 53 | 130/0 | 135/-10 |
| Attitude control jet No. 2 | FC-70 | 88 | 86 | 105 | 91 | 94 | 96 | 103 | 150/-40 | 160/-50 |
| Radars |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RADVS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| KPSM | R-8 | 12 | 11 | 17 | 18 | 20 | 17 | 23 | 100/-22 | 125/-49 |
| SDC | R-9 | 56 | 63 | 55 | 53 | 60 | 60 | 66 | 105/15 | 125/5 |
| Velocity sensor preamplifier | R-10 | 22 | 14 | 16 | 15 | 16 | 20 | 27 | 109/-50 | 112/-58 |
| Altitude/velocity sensor preamplifier | R-13 | 33 | 20 | 27 | 36 | 25 | 24 | 35 | 107/-50 | 110/-58 |
| Altitude marking radar |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 75/-10 |
| Electronics | R-7 | 15 -12 | 18 -14 | 17 3 | 16 -7 | 22 -6 | 20 -5 | $17-20$ +2 | $60 /-5$ $100 /-25$ | $105 /-30$ |
| Antenna dish | R-6 | -12 -185 | 18 -14 -191 | 3 -202 | 16 -183 | -6 $*$ | -5 $*$ | +2 $*$ | $100 /-25$ 200/-300 | $300 /-300$ |
| Edge of dish | R-27 | -185 | -191 | -202 |  | * | * |  | 200-300 | -3001-300 |
| Propulsion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vernier engine thrust chamber assembly |  |  |  |  |  | 60 | 58 | 65 | 145/0 | DNA/0 |
| No. 1 | P-7 | 59 |  |  |  |  | 74 | 80 | 145/0 | DNA/0 |
| No. 2 | P-10 | 72 | 84 | 81 |  |  |  |  | 145/0 |  |
| No. 3 | P-11 | 59 | 63 | 69 | 66 | 68 | 65 | 74 | 145/0 | DNA/0 |
| Propellant tanks | P-15 | 75/41 | 76/50 | 76/41 | 76/42 | 75/39 | 76/41 | 76/42 | 100/0 | 120/-10 |
| Oxidizer 1 Fuel 1 | P-13 | 76/52 | 77/57 | 76/55 | 76/56 | 75/57 | 75/55 | 75/53 | 100/0 | 120/-10 |
|  | P-16 | 77/24 | 75/35 | 75/18 | 75/19 | 74/14 | 75/21 | 75/21 | 100/0 | 120/-10 |
|  | P-5 | 75/34 | 83/47 | 74/33 | 74/32 | 74/25 | 74/29 | 74/30 | 100/0 | 120/-10 |
| Oxidizer 3 | P-6 | 79/40 | 75/46 | 77/30 | 76/42 | 74/34 | 74/40 | 74/38 | 100/0 | 120/-10 |
| Fuel 3 | P-14 | 76/53 | 75/53 | 76/52 | 76/51 | 75/52 | 75/53 | 75/53 | 100/0 | 120/-10 |

[^3]5. 1-6
Table 5.1-1 (continued)


[^4]

TABLE 5.1-2. SURVEYOR VI TRANSIT THERMAL EVENT LOG

| Day | GMT, hr:min:sec | Mission Time, hr:min:sec | Event |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 311 | 07:39:01 | 0 | Launch |
|  | 07:43 | 00:04 | Shroud jettison |
|  | 07:43:19 | 00:04:18 | MEIG 1 |
|  | 07:48:42 | 00:09:41 | MECO 1 |
|  | 07:53:22 | 00:14:22 | Out of earth shadow |
|  | 08:04:19 | 00:25:18 | Omni B high power on |
|  | 08:04:30 | 00:2.5:29 | Surveyor-Centaur separation |
|  | 08: 14:24 | 00:37 | Sun acquisition |
|  | 08:19 | 00:40 | Omni B high power off |
|  | 09:29 | 01:50 | Line 2 heater cycling |
|  | 15:01 | 07:22 | AMR heater cycling |
|  | 15:44 | 08:05 | Omni B high power on |
|  | 16:27 | 08:48 | Star acquisition <br> Transmitter $B$ high power off |
|  | 16:37 | 08:58 | Transmitter B high power off Gyro drift check 1 (three-axis) |
|  | 18:04 | 10:25 | End gyro drift check |
|  | 18:18 | 10:39 | Initiate gyro drift check (three-axis) |
|  | 19:22 | 11:43 | End gyro drift check 2 |
|  | 19:24 | 11:45 | Initiate gyro drift check (three-axis) |
|  | 20:38 | 13:00 | End gyro drift check 3 (three-axis) |
|  | 20:45 | 13:06 | Initiate gyro drift check (three-axis) Solar panel switch off |
|  | 21:58 | 14:21 | Solar panel switch on |
|  | 22:03 | 14:24 | End gyro drift check 4 |
|  | 22:20 | 14:41 | 137.5 bps |
|  | 22:24 | 14:45 | 1100 bps |
|  | 22:32 | $14: 53$ $15: 09$ | Solar panel switch off Solar panel switch on |
|  | 22:48 | 15:09 | Solar panel switch on |
| 312 | 01:51 | 18:12 | Omni B high power on |
|  | 01:52 | 18:13 | 4400 bps <br> Initiate midcourse roll (+91.8 degrees) |
|  | 02:03 | 18:24 | Terminate roll |
|  | 02:09 | 18:30 | Initiate yaw ( +127.3 degrees) |
|  | 02:13 | 18:34 | End of yaw |
|  | 02:16 | 18:37 | Disable heaters: AMR, oxidizer lines 2,1 , and 3 |
|  | 02:20 | 18:41 | Midcourse ( 10.25 -second burn) |
|  | 02:23 | 18:44 | Enable heaters on: AMR, oxidizer lines 2,1 , and 3 |
|  | 02:26 | 18:47 | Initiate reverse yaw ( -127.3 degrees) |

Table 5.1-2 (continued)

| Day | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GMT, } \\ & \text { hr:min:sec } \end{aligned}$ | Mission Time, hr:min:sec | Event |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 312 \\ (\text { cont }) \end{array}\right\|$ | 02:30 | 18:51 | Terminate reverse yaw |
|  | 02:33 | 18:54 | Initiate reverse roll (-91.8 degrees) |
|  | 02:36 | 18:57 | Terminate roll |
|  | 02:46 | 19:07 | 1100 bps |
|  | 02:47 | 19:08 | Omni B high power off |
|  | 04:37 | 20:58 | Initiate gyro drift 5 (all axes) |
|  | 05:42 | 22:03 | End gyro drift 5 |
|  | 08:32 | 24:53 | Initiate gyro drift 6 (roll) |
|  | 12:46 | 29:07 | End gyro drift 6 |
|  | 16:18 | $32: 39$ $33: 39$ | Initiate gyro drift 7 (all axes) |
|  | $17: 18$ $17: 53$ | $33: 39$ $34: 14$ | Line 3 heater cycling |
|  | 18:59 | 35:20 | Terminate gyrodrift 7 <br> Initiate gyro drift 8 (roll only) |
|  | 21:42 | 38:03 | Terminate gyro drift 8 |
|  | 22:45 | 39:06 | Initiate gyro drift 9 (all axes) |
| 313 | 00:11 | 40:32 | Terminate gyro drift 9 |
|  | 01:11 | 41:32 | Initiate gyro drift 10 (all axes) |
|  | 02:25 | 42:46 | Terminate gyro drift 10 |
|  | 03:30 | 43:51 | Initiate gyro dift check 11 (all axes) |
|  | 04:48 | 45:09 | Terminate gyrodrift ll |
|  | 06:25 | 46:46 | Initiate gyro drift check 12 (all axes) |
|  | 07:38 | 47:59 | End gyro drift check 12 |
|  | 09:04 | 49:25 | Initiage gyro drift 13 (all axes) |
|  | 10:42 | 51:03 | Terminate gyro drift 13 |
|  | 11:01 | 51:22 | Initiate gyro drift 14 (roll only) |
|  | 11:04 | 51:25 | Compartment A heater on |
|  | 14:53 | 55:14 | Enable heaters on fuel tank 2 and oxidizer tanks 2 and 3 |
|  | 15:27 | 55:48 | Terminate gyro drift check 14 |
|  | 19:55 | 60:16 | TV electronics heater on |
|  | 20:25 | 60:46 |  |
|  | 22:27 | 62:48 | Alpha scattering instrument heater on |
|  | 23:13 | 63:34 | Compartment A heater off |

Table 5.1-2 (continued)

| Day | GMT, hr:min:sec | Mission Time, hr:min:sec | Event |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 314 | 00:07 | 64:28 | Omni B high power on |
|  | 00:15 | 63:37 | TV vidicon heater on |
|  | 00:25 | 63:46 | Initiate roll (+81.7 degrees) |
|  | 00:28 | 64:49 | End roll |
|  | 00:29 | 64:50 | Start yaw (+111.7 degrees) |
|  | 00:33 | 64:54 | End yaw |
|  | 00:35 | 64:56 | Start roll ( +120.5 degrees) |
|  | 00:39 | 65:00 | End roll |
|  | 00:52 | $65: 13$ | Heaters off: vernier lines and tanks, TV, AMR, Compartment $C$, and alpha scattering |
|  | 00:53 | 65:14 | AMR power on |
|  | 00:54 | 65:15 | Thrust phase power on |
|  | 00:56 | 65:17 | AMR enable |
|  | 00:57:57 | 65:18:50 | AMR mark |
|  | 00:58:04 | 65:19:04 | Retro ignition, $\approx$ RADVS on, $\approx$ vernier ignition |
|  | 00:58:41 | 65:19:43 | Retro burnout |
|  | 01:01:05 | 65:22:04 | Touchdown |
|  | 00:01 | 65:22 | RADVS power off |
|  | 00:02 | 65:23 | Flight control power off |
|  | 01:23 | 00:22 | Omni B high power off |

## 5. 1.4.2 Postlaunch Phase

The spacecraft was injected into a parking orbit for 15 minutes and was in an earth shadow for 14.4 minutes from launch. This time interval was small enough that most components were not subjected to any large temperature variations. The solar panel was an exception: the minimum recorded temperature was $36^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ at 11 minutes after launch. No data were available from this time to sun acquisition at 36 minutes after launch. It is estimated that the minimum temperature occurred at the time of earth shadow exit and that the solar panel did not go below $0^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$.

## 5. 1. 4. 3 Midcourse

The spacecraft thermal response during midcourse was nominal. A maximum engine temperature of $368^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ was observed on engine 3 . A summary of propulsion system temperature excursions due to midcourse operations is presented in Table 5. 1-3.

The spacecraft was oriented off-sun for about 21 minutes and 19 seconds during which time the midcourse engine firing was executed. During this period, all spacecraft temperature signals remained within appropriate limits.

TABLE 5. 1-3. SURVEYOR VI MIDCOURSE THERMAL RESPONSE ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ )

| Sensor | Preignition <br> Temperature | Peak <br> Temperature <br> Observed | Temperature <br> Increase |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Engine 1 (P-7) | 65 | 335 | 270 |
| Engine 2 (P-10) | 84 | 265 | 181 |
| Engine 3(P-11) | 77 | 368 | 291 |
| Oxidizer line 1 (P-8) | 60 | 71 | 11 |
| Oxidizer line 2 (P-4) | 37 | 58 | 21 |
| Oxidizer line 3(P-9) | 58 | 88 | 30 |
| Oxidizer tank 1 (P-15) | 60 | 71 | 11 |
| Oxidizer tank 2(P-16) | 37 | 56 | 19 |
| Oxidizer tank 3(P-6) | 55 | 62 | 7 |
| Fuel tank 1 (P-13) | 56 | 65 | 9 |
| Fuel tank 2(P-5) | 43 | 56 | 13 |
| Fuel tank 3(P-14) | 56 | 66 | 10 |

All cyclic heater loads (except the gyro heaters) wer e commanded off for approximately 7 minutes. The heaters are commanded off in order to remove cyclic loads so that critical electrical loads could be observed without ambiguity during the vernier burn.

Propellant tank temperature stratification was observed on this flight as in all previous flights. Temperature changes induced at the temperature sensor locations due to propellant motion within the tanks are also presented in Table 5. l-3.

## 5. 1. 4. 4 Coast Phases

## Heater Performance

All heaters performed as expected and no anomalies occurred. The gyro heaters were on before launch and continued to cycle until after touchdown when flight control power was commanded off. The duty cycles for the gyros at 5 hours after launch are as follows:

Roll: 12.8 percent
Pitch: 28 percent
Yaw: 16.9 percent
The first vernier propellant line to cycle after launch was oxidizer line 2 at $L+1: 50$. It cycled between the values of $18^{\circ}$ and $26^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ with the duty cycles as listed in Table 5. l-4 during the rest of the transit phase. The altitude marking radar heater started cycling at $L+7: 22$ and remained between $17^{\circ}$ and $20^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ thereafter. The duty cycles are listed in Table 5. 1-4.

## TABLE 5. 1-4. HEATER DUTY CYCLES

| Mission Time, <br> hr:min | Oxidizer Line 2, <br> percent | AMR, percent | Oxidizer Line 3, <br> percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $09: 00$ | 26.4 |  |  |
| $11: 00$ | 35.4 | 47.9 |  |
| $28: 00$ | 40.5 |  |  |
| $52: 50$ | 45.0 | 56.1 | 7.4 |
| $62: 00$ |  |  |  |
| $53: 53$ |  |  |  |

Vernier oxidizer line 3 started cycling at 33 hours and 39 minutes into the mission, and the temperature ranged from $18^{\circ}$ to $22^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ after it started cycling. The duty cycle is listed in Table 5. 1-4.

The propellant tank heaters were all enabled at 55: 14 hours mission time, but none of them cycled during the mission as the temperatures were above the thermostat set point. The television electronics and compartment C heater were enabled at 60: 16 and $60: 46$ mission time, respectively, and the alpha head heater was commanded on at $62: 48$. The television vidicon heater was commanded on at 63:37.

## Gyro Drift Check and Effects

The most notable thermal effect during the 14 gyro drift checks was on engine 2 , caused by the +1.2 deg/hr yaw. The increased solar energy raised this engine 18 degrees above its steady-state temperature of $80^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. This engine is known to be sensitive to the gyro drift, and therefore this was considered a nominal condition. The pitch gyro drift rate was very small and had a negligible thermal effect on the spacecraft.

The thermal effect of gyro drift checks on other subsystems may be seen on the temperature histories of the nitrogen tank. (FC-48), the A/V preamplifier ( $\mathrm{R}-13$ ), the compartment $A$ canister (V-18), and the spaceframe at retro attach point 2 (V-38).

Vernier Oxidizer Line 1
The transit steady-state temperature range of $51^{\circ}$ to $57^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ (Figure 5. 1-A 5) on oxidizer line l(P-8), while within limits, was unexpected. Based on solar thermal vacuum test data and the experience of previous flights, the predicted temperature for Mission $F$ was $31^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. The temperature sensor is remotely located from the maximum temperature location at the upper portion of the feed line. The maximum temperature at this localized region was estimated to be $110^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ or at the upper temperature limit.

During the terminal maneuvers when solar energy illuminated the bottom of the spacecraft, the temperature of oxidizer line lat the flight sensor increased steadily, reaching $103^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ at retro ignition.

Several other investigations were made to validate the oxidizer line 1 temperature measurement. Scaling coefficients were checked; the temperature in the prelaunch air-conditioning environment compared exactly with the other two oxidizer lines; and a temperature of $20^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ (the set point of the thermostat) was measured when the line 1 heater cycled starting at 6 hours and 23 minutes after touchdown. The pre-encapsulation photographs and thermal inspection did not indicate any discrepancies in the line thermal finish or to the sunshade. Power considerations indicated the line l heater was not drawing current during the transit.

It must be concluded that no reason for the higher than predicted temperature of oxidizer line 1 could be found.

## Alpha Scattering Instrument

The thermal performance of the alpha scattering units is summarized in Table 5. 1-5 and Figure 5. 1-A5. All temperatures were corrected for bit rate errors of $2^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ at $550 \mathrm{bps}, 5^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ at 1100 bps , and approximately $16^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ at 4400 bps . The Surveyor VI preterminal descent warmup of compartment C was initiated at approximately $L+60: 46$ (less warmup time was required for Surveyor VI than for Surveyor V because compartment C and instrument head equilibrium temperatures were $10^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ higher than Surveyor V). After a 2 -hour warmup of compartment C to above $-4^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$, the alpha scattering instrument heater was enabled. The head temperature increased from an equilibrium of $43^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ to the heater thermostat set point of $50^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$, and the heater began cycling within 0.5 hour after being enabled. One hour before retro ignition, the ASI head temperature was at $52^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$, and the compartment C temperature was $17^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$.

The alpha scattering system temperatures after landing were of interest because of the low sun elevation. It was predicted that the instrument steady-state temperature with the heater on would be above the operational temperature limit after touchdown. Compartment $C$ temperature was predicted to be above the operational limit even with the heater disabled. The sun illuminated the compartment radiator directly in the landed orientation. The alpha scattering temperatures after landing were $50^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ for the sensor head and $15^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ for compartment C .

## Television System

The television camera electronics heater was enabled at the normally scheduled time of $L+60: 16$. On previous missions, the electronics temperature (TV-16) had warmed up to the required $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ for vidicon heater turn-on $1 / 2$ hour in advance of the nominal turn-on time. In Mission $F$, the electronics temperature was $-27^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ at that time, and vidicon heater turn-on was delayed for 23 minutes. No television problems resulted from this delay as the camera had achieved the desired operational temperatures prior to use of the television system.

The new enlarged television hood and mirror assembly was flown for the first time on Mission $F$ and may have caused the slower thermal response.

## Compartment System

The thermal performance of compartments A and B agreed well with predictions fo: Mission $F$ during steady-state operations. Compartment $A$ performed exactly as predicted, whereas compartment $B$ was approximately $9^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ warmer than predicted.

The thermal response of compartments $A$ and $B$ to high power operation was as expected. Table 5.1-5 presents data from the four high power transmitter operation intervals and indicates the temperature responses of all critical sensors in compartments A and B. Transmitter B was utilized for all transit high power operations.

TABLE 5. l-5. COMPARTMENTS A AND B THERMAL RESPONSE TO HIGH POWER OPERATION ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ )

|  | Sun Acquisition |  |  | Canopus Search |  |  | Midcourse |  |  | Terminal Descent |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Peak <br> Temperature | $\begin{gathered} \text { Temperature } \\ \text { Rise } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Predicted | Actual | Peak Temperature | Predicted | Actual | Peak Temperature | Predicted | Actual | Peak <br> Temperature | Predicted | Actual |
| Compartment A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| D-13 | 83 | 4 | 3 | 67 | 15 | 16 | 62 | 38 | 18 | 58 | 28 | 19 |
| D-14 | 122 | 49 | 41 | 110 | 55 | 56 | 106 | 61 | 58 | 115 | 22 | 19 |
| EP-8 | 84 | 1 | 4 | 77 | 4 | 4 | 72 | 8 | 2 | 79 | 39 | 27 |
| EP-34 | 94 | 6 | 6 | 82 | 6 | 6 | 77 | 11 | 6 | 102 | 50 | 42 |
| V-15 | 100 | 18 | 20 | 87 | 32 | 32 | 80 | 37 | 33 | 62 | 37 | 21 |
| V-16 | 86 | 1 | 4 | 73 | 5 | 3 | 69 | 8 | 5 | 87 | 31 | 36 |
| Compartment $B$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EP-13 | 83 | 0 | 0 | 79 | 8 | 0 | 84 | 9 | 4 | 83 | 7 | 0 |
| V-21 | 88 | 0 | 0 | 74 | 4 | 1 | 74 | 4 | 6 | 77 | 3 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{V}-22$ | 85 | 0 | 0 | 79 | 3 | 0 | 77 | 4 | 1 | 81 | 3 | 0 |

During launch, aerodynamic heating on compartments A and B negligibly affected the compartment internal temperatures. Unfortunately, no data were available from 07:50 to 08: 10 GMT on day 3ll, the critical period of aerodynamic heating, to observe the temperature response of the thermal switches.

### 5.1.4.5 Terminal Descent Phase

The thermal response during terminal descent was nominal. The maximum temperatures recorded on the vernier engines were $450^{\circ}, 345^{\circ}$, and $451^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ on engines 1,2 , and 3 , respectively. The klystron power supply modulator temperature increased from $14^{\circ}$ to $92^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ during RADVS operation. At retro ignition, the retro bulk temperature was $53^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$.

Incorporation of the battery warmup procedure resulted in a battery temperature of $90.4^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ at the time of RADVS turn-on. Thus, the battery was at the most desired temperature to support the loads associated with terminal descent.

The spacecraft was in an off-sun attitude for approximately 31.5 minutes prior to touchdown. All temperatures remained within proper temperature limits during this period (see Figures 5. l-Al through 5. l-A6).

Transmitter B high power operation was initiated 53.5 minutes before touchdown and terminated 22 minutes after louchdown (approximately 1 hour and 16 minutes of continuous high power). During this period, transmitter B temperature increased to $115^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ and remained steady at that temperature for the last 44 minutes of the operation.

## 5. 1. 5 THERMAL PERFOR MANCE - FIRST LUNAR DAY

A summary of maximum lunar day temperatures and minimum temperatures during an eclipse is shown in Table 5. 1-6. Plots of thermal parameters during the lunar day are presented in Appendix $B$ to this section.

## 5. 1.5.1 Touchdown and Orientation

Surveyor VI touchdown occurred at 01:01 GMT on day 314. The spacecraft $+X$ axis was pointing nominally downhill at an inclination of 0.9 degree. The sun vector was 59 degrees from - X towards -Y. A comparison of landing orientation for Surveyors I, III, V, and VI is given in Table 5. 1-7.

## 5. 1. 5. 2 Heater Performance

Performances of all heaters during the lunar phase were within tolerances, and no anomalies occurred. Table 5.1-8 gives the times that heaters were enabled and disabled during lunar operations. The use of compartment heaters towards the end of the lunar day served two purposes: 1) to serve as a load for a near fully charged battery to keep the solar panel switch on, 2) to warm the compartment interior prior to sunset for additional operating time into the lunar night from the compartment heat capacity.

## 5. 1. 5. 3 Compartment System

Compartment $A$ behaved better thermally in the lunar morning than any prior spacecraft. For a period of 7 days during the lunar morning, the main battery never exceeded $75^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. These low temperatures were caused by shadowing of compartment $A$ radiators by the solar panel and planar array. During the afternoon, compartment A could not be shadowed without loss of earth lock, and the main battery reached $115^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ twice: at 323:13:00 GMT (solar elevation angle $=62$ degrees), and 324:19:00 GMT (solar elevation angle $=46$ degrees). A standby mode had to be initiated from 323: 10:00 to 324:23:00 to cool down the main battery to ensure future ability to take pictures at each Goldstone rise. Engineering interrogations were made every 2 hours during this period.

Compartment $B$ never exceeded its operational temperature limits. The maximum temperatures observed in compartment $B$ were $115^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ for the lower thermal tray and $111^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ for the upper thermal tray.

## 5. 1. 5. 4 Surveyor Environmental Test Laboratory (SETL) Spacecraft Model

During lunar operations, it became essential to determine the shading on spacecraft components for a variety of solar panel and planar array locations and sun positions. This was necessary because of the special requirements of the lunar hopper experiment. The SETL model of the spacecraft was used, along with a collimated light source to simulate the spacecraft in the lunar environment. Polaroid pictures were taken of the shadow patterns on the model. Actual spacecraft television pictures of shaded areas were used to verify the effectiveness of this method.

TABLE 5.l-6. MAXIMUM QUASI-STEADY STATE TEMPERATURES AND MINIMUM TEMPERATURES OF SPACECRAFT ON LUNAR SURFACE

| Sensor and Location | Maximum Temperature, |  |  |  | Eclipse Minimum Temperature, ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Surveyor I | Surveyor III | Surveyor V | Surveyor VI | Surveyor III | Surveyor V |
| AS-3 Alpha scattering sensor head | - | - | 143/146* | 163 | - | 14 |
| A.S-4 Compartment $C$ electronics | - | - | 132/138 | 147 | - | 23 |
| D-13 Transmitter A | 185 | 118 | 120/112 | 110 | 47 | 64 |
| D-14 Transmitter B | 106 | 110 | 109/111 | 110 | 43 | 64 |
| EP-8 Main battery | 118 | 116 | 114/118 | 115 | 74 | 101 |
| EP-12 Solar panel | 217 | 220 | 248/250 | 241 | -185 | -166 |
| EP-13 Boost regulator | 132 | 132 | 124/127 | 115 | 32 | 66 |
| EP-26 Auxiliary battery | 155 | 166 | - | - | 140 | - |
| EP-34 Battery charge regulator | 142 | 125 | 124/125 | 136 | 72 | 99 |
| FC-44 Flight control electronics | 192 | 202 | 180/184 | 196 | 53 | 25 |
| FC-45 Flight control electronics | 200 | 201 | 185/190 | 197 | -3 | 31 |
| FC-46 Roll gyro | 167 | 198 | 157/290\%* | 160 | 11 | 116 |
| FC-47 Canopus | 180 | 194 | 170/177 | 200 | -20 | 6 |
| FC-48 Nitrogen tank | 173 | 165 | 195/187 | 225 | - | 16 |
| FC-54 Pitch gyro | 188 | - | 157/289\%* | 159 | - | 112 |
| FC-55 Yaw gyro | 170 | - | 157/291** | 161 | - | 114 |
| FC-70 Attitude jet 2 | 205 | 210 | 219/226 | 214 | -52 | -66 |
| FC-71 Roll actuator | 224 | 239 | 230/238 | 244 | -16 | 28 |
| M-8 Planar array | 228 | 230 | 296/296 | 290 | -140 | -133 |
| M-10 Solar motor | 218 | 230 | 242/245 | 222 | -43 | -52 |
| M-12 Elevation motor | 190 | 201 | 210/208 | 223 | 14 | -3 |
| P-4 Oxidizer line 2 | 203 | 203 | 210/207 | 182 | 76 | 81 |
| P-5 Fuel tank 2 | 164 | 197 | 206/201 | 194 | 165 | 136 |
| P-6 Oxidizer tank 3 | 154 | 179 | 173/181 | 179 | 108 | 136 |
| P-7 Vernier engine 1 | 244 | 256 | 250/250 | 246 | -12 | 40 |
| P-8 Oxidizer line 1 | 221 | 202 | 220/226 | 213 | 8 | 52 |
| P-9 Oxidizer line 3 | 184 | 200 | 182/186 | 195 | 62 | -1 |
| P-10 Vernier engine 2 | 229 | 256 | 272/252 | 256 | 36 | -12 |
| P-11 Vernier engine 3 | 227 | 232 | 210/219 | 271 | 22 | 12 |
| P-13 Finel tank 1 | 190 | 208 | 209/204 | 200 | 83 | 174 |
| P-14 Fuel tank 3 | 171 | 188 | 166/160 | 195 | 137 | 124 |
| P-15 Oxidizer tank 1 | 173 | 183 | 192/187 | 180 | 96 | 149 |
| P-16 Oxidizer tank 2 | 166 | 185 | 182/183 | 166 | 153 | 129 |
| P-17 Helium tank | 145 | 178 | 197/213 | 186 | 21 | -19 |
| P-23 Fuel line 1 | - | - | 213/210 | 214 | - | 142 |
| P-24 Fuel line 2 | - | - | 219/208 | 208 | - | 84 |
| P -25 Fuel line 3 | - | - | 210/210 | 245 | - | 33 |
| R-8 Klystron power supply modulator | 225 | 214 | 222/227 | 247 | 23 | 43 |
| R-9 Signal data converter | 149 | 168 | 174/180 | 161 | 8 | 42 |

*First day/second day values.
** IRU radiator damaged during lunar night.

Table 5. 1-6 (continued)

| Sensor and Location | Maximum Temperature, |  |  |  | Eclipse Minimum Temperature, ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Surveyor I | Surveyor III | Surveyor V | Surveyor VI | Surveyor III | Surveyor V |
| R-10 Doppler preamplifier | 235 | 260 | 260/260 | 215 | -33 | 31 |
| R-13 Altitude preamplifier | 214 | 232 | $243 / 226$ | 243 | 2 | -31 |
| SS-12 Surface sampler electronics | - | 144 | - | - | -18 | - |
| TV-16 TV electronics | 127 | 140 | 150/157 | 143 | -10 | 0 |
| TV-17 TV hood | 124 | 148 | 152/155 | 136 | -12 | -1 |
| V-15 Compartment A tray top | 110 | 109 | 109/117 | 110 | 41 | 64 |
| V-16 Compartment A tray bottom | 118 | 117 | 119/124 | 124 | 68 | 89 |
| V-17 Compartment A shell, retainer | - | 120 | - | - | 69 | - |
| V-18 Compartment A shell, canister | - | 108 | 100/110 | 119 | $-170$ | -160 |
| V-19 Compartment A switch 5, base | - | 112 | 108/115 | 110 | 45 | 62 |
| V-20 Compartment A switch 5, radiator | 78 | 101 | 100/104 | 97 | 18 | 24 |
| V-21 Compartment B tray top | 118 | 117 | 117/122 | 112 | 21 | 59 |
| V-22 Compartment B tray bottom | 124 | 122 | 124/127 | 115 | 29 | 70 |
| V-23 Compartment B shell canister | 111 | 152 | 105/114 | 100 | -154 | -153 |
| V-24 Compartment B switch 4, radiator | 99 | 100 | 100/106 | 96 | -16 | 11 |
| V-25 Compartment A switch 8, radiator | 88 | 100 | 100/110 | 98 | 13 | 8 |
| V-26 Compartment B switch 4, base | - | 114 | 114/118 | 109 | 21 | 52 |
| V-27 Upper spaceframe | 138 | 156 | 160/168 | 159 | -75 | -70 |
| V-28 Lower spaceframe | 190 | 186 | 196/201 | 182 | -32 | -8 |
| $\mathrm{V}-29$ Thermal tunnel | - | 115 | 130/134 | 126 | 47 | 73 |
| V-30 Shock absorber 1 | 193 | 190 | 178/187 | 184 | -51 | -65 |
| V-31 Leg 2 upper web | 148 | 158 | - | - | - | - |
| V-32 Shock absorber 2 | 171 | 183 | 195/201 | 183 | -57 | -67 |
| V-33 Shock absorber 3 | 175 | 186 | 189/195 | 184 | -35 | -55 |
| V-34 Antenna/solar panel positioner mast | 130 | 142 | - | - | -102 | - |
| V-35 Upper spaceframe | 125 | 154 | 168/172 | 154 | -70 | -81 |
| V-36 Lower spaceframe | 166 | 179 | 187/188 | 192 | -45 | -46 |
| V-37 Retro bolt 1 | 222 | 202 | 219/222 | 234 | -2 | 14 |
| V-38 Retro bolt 2 | 175 | 227 | 222/206 | 206 | 32 | -29 |
| V-39 Retro bolt 3 | 185 | 200 | 185/188 | 214 | -11 | -7 |
| V-44 Crushable block 3 heat shield | 189 | 193 | 210/211 | 236 | -29 | -15 |
| V-45 Compartment B switch 1 , radiator | 104 | 105 | 108/112 | 102 | -95 | 25 |
| V-46 Compartment B switch 5, radiator | 96 | 100 | 100/105 | 95 | -5 | -30 |
| V-47 Compartment A switch 2, radiator | - | 104 | 105/110 | 100 | 18 | 9 |
| V-49 Compartment A switch 3, radiator | - | - | 105/110 | 102 | - | 18 |

TABLE 5.1-7. COMPARISON OF LANDING ORIENTATIONS FOR SURVEYOR SPACECRAFT

| Spacecraft | Sun Elevation Angle <br> to Spacecraft <br> X-Y Plane, degrees | Sun Direction at Touchdown |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| III | 29 | Sun vector <br> l degree from -Y towards +X <br> Sun vector <br> 44 degrees from +X towards -Y <br> V VI$\quad 12$ |
| Sun vector <br> 24 degrees from +X towards -Y <br> Sun vector <br> 59 degrees from -X towards -Y |  |  |

## 5. 1. 5. 5 Television Camera Operation

The camera was within operational temperature limits throughout the entire lunar day. It was not necessary to go to a standby mode during the lunar noon interval to maintain proper camera thermal control. The solar panel was positioned to partially shade the camera at 09:00 GMT on day 318. The camera had the thermal capability to operate almost continuously at 2 -hour operating periods with engineering interrogations interspersed. This was the best television camera thermal performance experienced on any spacecraft during the lunar noon period.

## 5. 1. 5. 6 Alpha Scattering System

Continuous alpha scattering operation from thermal considerations was possible for nearly 3 days after touchdown. It was necessary to command the alpha scattering system off at 316:23:39 because the temperatures of the instrument (AS-3) and the compartment C electronics (AS-4) were $122^{\circ}$ and $125^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$, respectively. The upper operational limits are $122^{\circ}$ and $131^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$.

At this point, alpha scattering system operation had to be suspended for $3-1 / 2$ days until the sun elevation angle was 79 degrees, at which time, as indicated by the SETL spacecraft model and the shadow plots, solar panel shading was possible. The temperatures of the instrument and the compartment $C$ electronics had both increased to $142^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ in this period. At 320:06:00 the solar panel was repositioned to accomplish the required shading and bring the alpha scattering system temperature within operational limits. Alpha scattering experimentation was resumed at $320: 13: 01$ with instrument

TABLE 5.l-8. HEATER ENABLE/DISABLE TIMES FOR FIRST LUNAR DAY

|  |  |  |  |  | Alpha | TV |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (Gyros) } \\ & \text { Flight } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Day | hr:min | Lines | Tanks | ment C | Head | Electronics | Video | Logic | Power | ment A | ment B |
| 314 | 01:12 | On | On | On | On | On | On |  | Off |  |  |
|  | 01:18 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Off |  |  |  |
|  | 05:47 |  |  | Off |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 06:34 | Off | Off |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 06:35 | Line 1 on |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 315 | 06:16 | Off |  |  | Off | Off |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 20:30 |  |  |  |  |  | Off |  |  | Off | Off |
| 321 | 09:45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | On |  |  |
|  | 10:34 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Off |  |  |
| 322 | 01:55 |  |  |  | On |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 12:06 |  |  |  | Off |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 326 | 00:14 |  |  |  | On |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 08:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | On |  |  |  |
|  | 19:06 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | On |  |
|  | 20:16 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Off |  |  |  |
| 327 | 00:15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Off |  |
|  | 00:16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | On |
|  | 04:55 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | On | Off |
|  | 07:07 |  |  |  |  |  |  | On |  |  |  |
|  | 16:12 |  |  |  |  | On | On | Off |  |  |  |
|  | 18:46 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Off | On |
|  | 20:45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | On | Off |
| 328 | 01:54 |  |  | On |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 02:09 |  |  | Off |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 04:13 |  |  | On |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 14:04 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Off |  |
|  | 16:01 |  |  |  |  | On |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 16:28 |  |  |  |  | On |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 16:43 |  |  |  |  | On |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 17:53 |  |  |  |  | On |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 18:03 |  |  | Off | Off |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 19:48 |  |  |  |  |  | Off |  |  |  |  |
| 329 | 07:08 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | On |  |
|  | 18:25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Off |  |
|  | 21:05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | On |  |
| 330 | 01:09 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Off |  |
| $\left(\begin{array}{l} \text { (S/C } \\ \text { shutdown }) \end{array}\right.$ | 01:10 |  |  |  |  | Off |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

and electronic temperatures at $100^{\circ}$ and $92^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$, respectively. The system was commanded off at 321:03:32 after sufficient data were obtained to allow preparations for the lunar translation.

After the lunar translation, the alpha instrument was found to be upside down. The instrument temperature is hotter in this position than upright as the cavity absorbs most of the incident solar energy and the radiator (primary temperature control surface) is in contact with the hot lunar surface. The instrument temperature rose to $163^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ subsequent to the translation ( $321: 17: 00$ ), an increase of 20 degrees.

The alpha scattering device temperature changes with bit rate. On several occasions, the bit rate was lowered to determine the magnitude of the temperature error associated with the higher bit rate of 1100 bps . Table 5. 1-9 gives readings taken at various times during the mission. The average $1100-\mathrm{bps}$ correction for AS -3 and AS -4, respectively, was $5.6^{\circ}$ and 5. $7^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$.

TABLE 5. 1-9. EFFECT OF BIT RATE ON ALPHA SCATTERING TEMPERATURES

| GMT, day:hr:min | Instrument Temperature$(\mathrm{AS}-3),{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ |  |  | Compartment C Electronics Temperature$(\mathrm{AS}-4),{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 137.5 bps | 1100 bps | Difference | 137.5 bps | 1100 bps | Difference |
| 316:18:21 | 118.7 | 125.9 | +7. 2 | 122.6 | 128.8 | +6. 2 |
| 318:17:00 | 139 | 146 | +7 | 88 | 93 | +5 |
| 319:06:30 | 142 | 147 | +5 | 94 | 100 | +6 |
| 319:18:00 | 141 | 147 | +6 | 97 | 102 | +5 |
| 320: 14:32 | 96.6 | 101.8 | +5. 2 | 93.2 | 98.5 | +5. 3 |
| 322: 13:56 | 48.6 | 53.9 | +5. 3 | 64 | 70.2 | +6. 2 |

## 5. 1. 6 LUNAR NIGHT THERMAL PERFORMANCE

Ananalysis was made to determine the performance of the compartment $A$ and $B$ thermal switches during the Surveyor VI first lunar night. Figure 5. 1-1 shows the power and temperature history of both compartments and an estimate of the number of closed (stuck) switches during this period. It was determined that at last contact with the spacecraft (330:06:41GMT) there were six switches closed in compartment $A$ and one closed in compartment $B$. This problem was documented in TFR 18271.


Figure 5.1-1. Surveyor VI Thermal Switch Closures During Lunar Night

The number of closed switches was determined by performing heat balances on each compartment during specific time periods. The unit power dissipations in the compartments were obtained from Reference l. The battery dissipation was calculated by

$$
P_{\text {Batt }}=(25.77-\text { bus voltage }) \times \text { unregulated current }
$$

The data shown in Figure 5.1-1 represents all the spacecraft power configurations during the time period shown, based on information found in the detailed command log and/or real time records.

The general method of analysis used postulates that any heat input above that required to maintain the compartment at steady state when all switches are open (based on Surveyor V experience) is lost through closed switches. During the Surveyor VI first lunar night, no true steady-state temperature condition was obtained in either compartment. For this reason, it became necessary to account for the heat capacity of the compartments. Since the analysis is based on the bulk temperature of the compartment, the necessity of using the rate of change of temperature introduces considerable uncertainty in the calculations when the compartment internal temperature gradients are significant. Due to temperature gradients in the compartment A and B trays, the bulk temperatures were assumed to be given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{c}}(\mathrm{~A})=0.75 \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{EP}-8}+0.25 \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{V}-15} \\
& \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{c}}(\mathrm{~B})=0.5 \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{V}-21}+0.5 \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{V}}-22
\end{aligned}
$$

The method of calculating the number of stuck switches is outlined in Table 5.1-10.

Results using this analysis are somewhat uncertain. The limiting conditions associated with this method of estimating the number of closed switches are as follows:

1) Analysis indicates that prior to $329: 21: 30 \mathrm{GMT}$, all nine switches on compartment A were closed. However, there is other evidence that indicates that switch 6 would be open in the specified temperature range. This switch definitely opened during Surveyor VI solar thermal vacuum testing; also, TV pictures taken of compartment A soon after touchdown indicate that this switch was open at that time.
2) Results obtained by this analysis are sensitive to the selection of the time interval over which the calculations are made. This is due to the combined effects of using average internal power levels, bulk compartment temperatures, and average temperature slopes.

TABLE 5.1-10. METHOD OF CALCULATING STUCK THERMAL SWITCHES

$$
\begin{aligned}
P & =\frac{\Sigma P_{i} \Delta \theta_{i}}{\Sigma \Delta \theta_{i}} \\
Q_{r} & =\sigma \varepsilon\left(T_{r}^{4}-T_{b}^{4}\right), \text { watts } / \mathrm{ft}^{2} \\
Q_{1} & =\left.\Delta Q\right|_{\text {steady state }} ^{\text {actual }}=P-Q_{s}-G \frac{\Delta T}{\Delta \theta} \\
A_{r} & =\frac{Q_{1}}{Q_{r}} \\
N_{S} & =\frac{A_{r}}{A_{s}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where:
$\Delta \theta=$ time interval
$P=$ average power level during $\Delta \theta$
$\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{r}}=$ average closed switch radiator temperature during $\Delta \theta$
$T_{b}=$ radiator background temperature (assumed equal to average open switch radiator temperature)
$Q_{r}=$ heat flux from closed switch radiators
$Q_{s}=\begin{aligned} & \text { heat loss from compartment at steady state at } T_{c} \text { with all } \\ & \\ & \text { switches open }\end{aligned}$
$G=$ compartment "heat rate" - watts $/{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F} / \mathrm{hr}$ (6.2 for compartment A, 2. 2 for compartment B)
$Q_{1}=$ heat loss in excess of steady-state conditions (watts)
$A_{r}=$ area required to radiate excess heat ( $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ )
 $0.33 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ for compartment B)
$\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{s}}=$ number of closed switches

Due to the existence of the uncertainties mentioned above, the compartment A results (Figure 5.1-1) indicate a range of switches closed rather than a unique number of switches.

Telemetry provided the following information:

1) Compartment A switch 5 opened at approximately 330:03:00 GMT at an out of specification tray temperature of $-26^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$.
2) All three telemetered switches (1, 4, and 5) on compartment B opened.
3) Two switches (l and 5) on compartment B opened out of the specified temperature range ( 1 at $\sim 11^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ and 5 at $\sim 9^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ ).

The following conclusions regarding the thermal switches can be made:

1) Seven switches remained closed at the time of last contact with the spacecraft (six on compartment $A$ and one on compartment B).
2) One switch opened within the specified temperature range (compartment B switch 4).
3) Six switches opened out of the specified temperature range (three on each compartment).
4) One additional switch on compartment B opened but it is not known whether or not it was within the specified temperature range.

### 5.1.7 REFERENCES

1) "Power Management Data Summary," Hughes Aircraft Company, Surveyor System Specification 3023931, 25 August 1967.
2) L. L. Gamer, "SC-VI Spacecraft Shadow Plots For First Lunar Day Using Actual A/SPP Stepping History, "Hughes Aircraft Company, IDC 2292/438, 21 December 1967.
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APPENDIX A
TO SECTION 5.1
TRANSIT TEMPERATURE PLOTS

Appendix A contains Figures 5.1-Al to 5 . 1-A6 which are transit thermal plots.


Figure 5. 1-A1. Compartment A


Figure 5.1-A2. Compartment B



Figure 5.1-A4. Flight Control


Figure 5.1-A5. Propulsion


Figure 5.1-A6. Space Frame
5. 1-A8

## APPENDIX B <br> TO SECTION 5.1 <br> LUNAR DAY TEMPERATURE PLOTS

Appendix B contains Figures 5.l-Bl to 5.1-B69 which are the first lunar day temperature plots. The sun incidence angle is noted on the se plots. Reference 2 contains shadow plots for the first lunar day using the actual $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{SPP}$ stepping history.
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Figure 5.1-B19. Sensor M-10: Solar. Panel Stepping Motor
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Figure 5. - B23. Sensor P-6: Vernier Cxidizer Tank 3
Figure 5. $1-\mathrm{B2} 4 . \quad$ Sensor $P-7$ : Vernier Engine 1
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Figure 5.l-B61. Sensor V-36: Spaceframe Temperature Under Compartment 3
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Figure 5. 1-B69. Sensor V-49: Compartment A Switch 3 in Face Radiator

## 5. 2 ELECTRICAL POWER SUBSYSTEM

### 5.2.1 INTRODUCTION

The electrical power (EP) subsystem generates, stores, and controls electrical energy for distribution to other spacecraft subsystems. There are two sources for this energy: a storage battery, and radiant energy converted directly to electrical energy for system loads or battery charging. During transit, the primary source of power is radiant energy via the solar panels. Figure 5. 2-1 shows associated equipment groupings.

Performance of the EP subsystem during the Surveyor VI flight and first lunar day operation was entirely nominal as compared to test data and simulation analysis predictions. Solar panel output power was approximately 4 percent above nominal, and can be in part attributed to the greater than nominal solar intensity characteristic for a September launch window.

With this increased solar panel output, battery power utilized for the mission was slightly below the prediction of $81 \mathrm{amp}-\mathrm{hr}$. In all, $58 \mathrm{amp}-\mathrm{hr}$ were required from the battery for the transit portion of the mission.

The power subsystem responded properly to all earth commands and performed as anticipated.

In anticipation of the lunar translation experiment, at approximately 08:00 GMT on day 321 flight control power was commanded on to determine if the flight control unit was still operable. After approximately 35 minutes of operation, it was determined that the unit was capable of supporting the translation experiment, and flight control power was then removed. Flight control was again turned on at approximately 09:46 GMT. A/SPP stepping was initiated to stow the solar panel, at which time all solar power was lost. The spacecraft was then configured for the translation which occurred at approximately $10: 32$ GMT of day 321 . Throughout the experiment, the power subsystem once again performed nominally. Minimum battery voltage was 21.01 volts for a maximum load current of 12.84 amperes.

Flight data were used to calculate solar panel power and regulator efficiencies. Analysis of specific loads, comparison to prediction, and an explanation of discrepancies will be made.

5. 2-2

In Table 5. 2-1, major events are presented in GMT. In general, the division of this table corresponds to flight phases of importance to the EP subsystem and may not correspond to flight phases in other subsections. The flight is divided into times corresponding to significant changes in electrical loads. Load changes corresponding to these flight phases are partially illustrated by the regulated current (EP-14) and more completely by the battery discharge current (EP-9).

TABLE 5.2-1. ELECTRICAL POWER EVENTS AND TIMES

| GMT <br> day:hr:min:sec |  | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From | To |  |
| 311:07:39:02 | 311:08:04:20 | Launch and separation |
| 311:08:04:20 | 311:08:19:32 | Transmitter high power |
| 311:08:19:32 | 311:15:44:28 | Coast |
| 311:15:44:28 | 311:16:37:37 | Coast, transmitter high power |
| 311:16:37:37 | 312:01:51:32 | Coast |
| 312:01:51:32 | 312:02:17:15 | Transmitter high power |
| 312:02:17:15 | 312:02:20:00 | Midcourse maneuver, transmitter high power, and flight control thrust phase power on |
| 312:02:20:00 | 312:02:20:10 | Vernier engine burn period, transmitter in high power |
| 312:02:20:10 | 313:11:04:08 | Coast |
| 313:11:04:08 | 313:23:13:13 | Coast, compartment A heater on |
| 313:23:13:13 | 314:00:07:31 | Compartment $A$ heater off, coast |
| 314:00:07:31 | 314:00:53:17 | Transmitter high power, preretro maneuvers |
| 314:00:53:17 | 314:01:06 | Transmitter high power, AMR on, thrust phase power on, RADVS on, terminal descent, and touchdown |

## 5. 2. 2 ANOMALY DESCRIPTION

No anomalies were detected in the electrical power subsystem during the transit or the first lunar day.

## 5. 2. 3 SUMMARY

### 5.2.3.1 Transit

The transit portion of the Surveyor VI mission was entirely nominal. The solar panel switch tripped six times during coast phase I. This is due to the fact that when all three IRU gyros are off, solar panel energy is sufficient to supply all spacecraft loads and also provides approximately 0.5 ampere of charge current. This current is cyclic in nature and, if fed to a battery that is on its upper plateau of charge (approximately 90 to 100 percent charged), then the unregulated lines will vary several volts due to the high internal impedance of the battery. When the battery voltage reaches $27.23 \pm$ 0.07 volts, the solar panel switch will turn off. This phenomenon is normal and was predicted to occur during the early part of the mission. Minimum bus voltage during the mission was 19.16 volts with spacecraft loads at 42.65 amperes. This bus voltage is above the minimum requirement of 17. 75 volts. Table 5. 2-2 presents a summary of flight data for Surveyor VI compared to test data for the electrical power subsystem. Table 5. 2-3 represents typical transit data.

### 5.2.3.2 Lunar

Lunar operation of the power subsystem was nominal and followed the pattern of previously landed spacecraft. With approximately 67 percent charge remaining in the battery at touchdown, the solar panel was not positioned directly on the sun until the last 24 hours of the lunar day. The solar panel was positioned at all times from approximately 15 to 45 degrees ahead of the sun to prevent solar current exceeding the desired battery charge rate.

No problems were encountered during the lunar translation experiment. During the experiment, the battery was the sole source of power and performed as anticipated. From all indications, it did not degrade at all as compared to the transit mission performance. Table 5. 2-4 represents typical first lunar day data.

## 5. 2. 4 ANALYSIS

The analysis considers five areas: mission telemetry plots, lunar translation plots, power loads and sources budget, comparison of flight loads and flight acceptance test loads, and cyclic loads.

TABLE 5.2-2. ELECTRICAL POWER SUMMARY

| Item | Flight Data | Predicted or Specification |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Boost regulator efficiency, transmitter low power, percent | 80.5 | 77 (minimum) |
| Boost regulator efficiency, transmitter high power, percent | 87 | 82 (minimum) |
| Battery charge regulator efficiency | 95.3 | 93. 3 (minimum) |
| Battery charge regulator output energy, w-hr | 5444 | 5171 |
| Solar panel output power, watts | 86.0 | 81 (minimum) |
| Battery energy used, w-hr | 1276 | 1804 |
| Total energy used, w-hr | 6720 | 6975 |
| Selected loads: |  |  |
| Transmitter B high pwer, watts | 58.7 | 58. 0 |
| Transmitter $B$ filament power, watts | 2. 9 | 2. 9 |
| Flight control thrust phase power on |  |  |
| Regulated, watts | 33.7 | 35.09 |
| Unregulated, watts | 7.85 | 10.56 |
| AMR on, watts | 41.36 | 41.53 |
| AMR enable, watts | 31.02 | 31.60 |
| RADVS power on, watts | 532 | 551 |
| Vernier ignition |  |  |
| Midcourse, watts | 34.03 | 39.6 |
| Terminal descent, watts | 32. 34 | 39.6 |
| Lunar translation, watts | 30.88 | 34. 98 |
| Vernier line 2 heater, watts ( 32 percent duty cycle) | 2. 11 | 6.6 (maximum) |
| Altitude marking radar heater, watts (54 percent duty cycle) | 2. 86 | 5. 04 (maximum) |
| Gyro heater, watts ( 30 percent duty cycle) | 10. 45 | 33.0 (maximum) |

TABLE 5.2-3. TYPICAL COAST PHASE II DATA

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Day } 312, \\ \text { GMT } \\ \text { hr:min:sec } \end{gathered}$ | Regulated Bus, volts (EP-1) | Unregulated Bus, volts (EP-2) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Battery } \\ \text { Pressure, } \\ \text { psi } \\ (E P-3) \end{gathered}$ | Unregulated Current, amperes (EP-4) | Discharge Current, amperes (E.P.6/9) | Difference Current, amperes (EP-7) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Solar } \\ \text { Voltage }, \\ \text { volts } \\ (E P-10) \end{gathered}$ | Solar Current, amperes (EP-11) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Solar } \\ \text { Temperature: } \\ 0 \text { F } \\ (E P-12) \end{gathered}$ | Regulated Current, amperes (EP-14) | Preregulated Bus, volts (EP-30) | FC <br> Unregulated Current, amperes (EP-40) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 00:09:00 | 28. 99 | 22. 42 | 14.7 | 0.650 | 1. 130 | 0. 184 | 30.2 | 2. 879 | 126.5 | 1. 805 | 29.97 | 0. 723 |
| 01:03:00 | 28. 99 | 22. 48 | 14.7 | 0. 350 | 0.910 | 0. 184 | 30.2 | 2. 874 | 126. 5 | 1. 805 | 29.97 | 1. 215 |
| 01:29:00 | 28. 99 | 25. 15 | 14.7 | 0. 110 | 0.670 | 0. 208 | 30.2 | 2.879 | 126. 5 | 1. 805 | 29.97 | 0.793 |
| 02: 34:00 | 28. 94 | 21.71 | 14.7 | 0.330 | 2. 55 | 0.776 | 30.6 | 2. 827 | 126. 5 | 3.897 | 29.87 | 0. 155 |
| 03:55:09 | 28. 99 | 22. 51 | 14.7 | 0.110 | -0.028 | 0.184 | 30.2 | 2. 868 | 126. 5 | 1. 805 | 29.97 | 0.793 |
| 04:40:40 | 28.99 | 22.01 | 14. 7 | 0.650 | 0.708 | 0. 185 | 30.2 | 2. 868 | 126. 5 | 1. 772 | 29.97 | 0.601 |
| 05:26:08 | 28.99 | 22. 28 | 14.7 | 0.350 | 0.125 | 0. 184 | 30.2 | 2. 868 | 126.5 | 1.772 | 29.97 | 0.656 |
| 06:03: 11 | 28. 99 | 22. 52 | 14. 7 | 0.110 | -0.080 | 0. 184 | 30.2 | 2. 879 | 126.5 | 1. 805 | 29.97 | 0.711 |
| 06:40:03 | 28.99 | 22. 47 | 14. 7 | 0.110 | -0. 193 | 0. 184 | 30.2 | 2. 879 | 126. 5 | 1. 805 | 29,97 | 0.564 |
| 07: 10:03 | 28.99 | 22. 37 | 14.7 | 0.350 | 0. 198 | 0. 184 | 30.2 | 2. 879 | 126.5 | 1. 805 | 29.97 | 0. 739 |
| 07:40:03 | 28. 99 | 22, 15 | 14.7 | 0. 419 | 0.244 | 0.185 | 30.2 | 2. 874 | 126.5 | 1. 805 | 29.97 | 0.612 |
| 08:18:23 | 28. 94 | 22.27 | 14.7 | 0. 360 | 0. 195 | 0. 185 | 30.2 | 2. 879 | 126.5 | 1. 813 | 29.97 | 0.501 |

TABLE 5.2-4. TYPICAL LUNAR DAY DATA

| Day 319, GMT hr:min:sec | Regulated Bus, volts (EP-1) | Unregulated Bus, volts (EP-2) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Battery } \\ \text { Pressure, } \\ \text { psi } \\ (E P-3) \end{gathered}$ | Unregulated Curent, amperes (EP-4) | Discharge Current, amperes (EP-6/9) | Difference Current, amperes (EP-7) | ```Solar Voltage. volts (EP-10)``` | Solar Current, amperes (EP-11) | Solar <br> Temperature, <br> (EP-12) | Regulated Current. amperes (EP-14) | Preregulated Bus, volts (EP-30) | FC Unregulated Current. amperes (EP-40) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 00:21:12 | 28.94 | 27. 21 | 15. 1 | - | -0. 76 | 0. 325 | 27. 2 | 1. 754 | 212.5 | 0.767 | 29. 97 | - |
| 02:57:13 | 28. 94 | 27.00 | 15. 3 | - | -0.67 | 0. 342 | 27.0 | 1. 766 | 211.6 | 0.825 | 29.97 | - |
| 05: 34:05 | 28. 94 | 26.82 | 15. 1 | - | -0.67 | 0. 348 | 26.8 | 1. 766 | 208, 8 | 0.825 | 29.97 | - |
| 07:07:30 | 28. 94 | 26. 77 | 15. 1 | - | -0.62 | 0. 348 | 26.8 | 1. 760 | 207. 9 | 0.859 | 29.97 | - |
| 08:22:50 | 28,94 | 27.03 | 15. 1 | - | -0. 57 | 0. 348 | 27.1 | 1. 708 | 207.9 | 0.859 | 29. 97 | - |
| 09:25: 15 | 28. 94 | 27. 15 | 15. 3 | - | -0. 57 | 0.336 | 27. 2 | 1.672 | 207. 9 | 0.859 | 29.97 | - |
| 11:04:09 | 28, 94 | 26.79 | 15. 1 | - | -0. 59 | 0. 348 | 26.8 | 1. 725 | 207. 9 | 0. 859 | 29.97 | - |
| 12:21:00 | 28. 94 | 27. 12 | 15. 1 | - | -0. 54 | 0.336 | 27. 2 | 1. 637 | 207.0 | 0.859 | 29.97 | - |
| 13:50:00 | 28. 94 | 27. 06 | 15. 1 | - | -0. 54 | 0. 348 | 27. 1 | 1.637 | 206. 1 | 0.859 | 29.97 | - |
| 16: 15:00 | 28. 94 | 27. 15 | 15. 1 | - | -0. 50 | 0.336 | 27. 2 | 1.649 | 205. 2 | 0.859 | 29.97 | - |
| 18:14:00 | 28. 94 | 27. 15 | 15. 1 | - | -0. 47 | 0.336 | 27. 2 | 1. 614 | 204. 3 | 0.859 | 29.97 | - |
| 19:20:00 | 28. 94 | 27. 18 | 15. 1 | - | -0. 59 | 0.325 | 27. 2 | 1. 590 | 203. 4 | 0.767 | 29.97 | - |

## 5. 2. 4.1 Mission Telemetry Plots

Figures 5. 2-2 through 5. 2-7 are plots for approximately the last 4 hours of the transit mission, which are pertinent to the EP subsystem. They represent line plots of the analog signals averaged at 1 -minute intervals. With the scales used, and the averaging, these plots provide a good indication of spacecraft trends, and allow identification of pertinent spacecraft functions. Many annotations have been made on these plots identifying spacecraft responses to ground and on-board commands. Figure 5. 2-8 gives radar loads during the terminal phase. Identified on the plot is AMR power on and AMR enabled, AMR off prior to RADVS turn-on, and RADVS time in.

## 5. 2. 4. 2 Power Loads and Sources Budget

## Energy Used

Table 5. 2-2 contains a summary of energy expended as calculated from flight telemetry data. Solar panel output power was approximately 2. 4 percent greater than for Surveyor $V$ due to an increase in solar intensity ( 142 instead of $138 \mathrm{mv} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ ). Energy supplied by the battery was therefore below the $8 \mathrm{lamp}-\mathrm{hr}$ predicted.

## Power Data

Figures 5. 2-9 through 5. 2-12 represent various power parameter plots for approximately the last 4 hours prior to and including touchdown. Changes in power can be identified with changes in current level in the telemetry plots.

Efficiency calculations were made using the following formulas (Table 5. 2-2):

1) BCR Efficiency
$E_{B f f_{B C R}}=\frac{(E P-30)(E P-11-0.125)}{(E P-10)(E P-11)} \times 100$
where (EP-11-0.125) is the output of the BCR. EP-11 represents solar panel output current, with 0.125 amp assumed loss in the BCR. No shunt is provided to measure actual BCR output current.
2) BR Efficiency
a) For EP-14 less than the BCR output:

$$
E_{\mathrm{Eff}}^{\mathrm{BR}} \text { }=\frac{(E P-1)(E P-14)+(E P-2)(E P-11-0.125-E P-14 / 0.92)}{(E P-30)(E P-11-0.125)+(E P-2)(E P-7)} \times 100
$$

where EP-14/0. 92 represents input to the postregulator (postregulator efficiency is estimated at 92 percent).
b) For EP-14 greater than the BCR output:

$$
E_{\text {ff }_{B R}}=\frac{(E P-1)(E P-14)}{(E P-30)(E P-11-0.125)+(E P-2)[E P-7+E P-14 / 0.92-(E P-11-0.125)]}
$$

## 5. 2. 4. 3 Comparison of Flight Loads and Flight Acceptance Test Loads

Comparison of telemetry-measured and flight acceptance test measured loads (Reference l) are listed in Table 5. 2-5. It can be seen that flight data compare quite closely with FAT data. For unregulated loads, FAT data represents currents obtained when the bus voltage was set at 22 volts, but in flight the unregulated bus voltage depends on mission phase and is usually slightly less than 22 volts.

## 5. 2. 4. 4 Cyclic Loads

## Gyro Heater

The periodic changes that occur in EP-40 are due to gyro heater cycling. The gyro heaters have a short on-off cycle compared to the altitude marking radar (AMR) and vernier line heaters (EP-4). All three gyro heater loads were determined to be 0.48 ampere, comparing favorably with the flight acceptance test data.

AMR, Vernier Lines, TV, and Compartment C Heaters
Figure 5.2-13 is a plot of unregulated current. The cyclic load effects of the vernier line 2 heater are apparent. Also, cycling in the 50 -minute plot are AMR heater, TV mirror assembly, and compartment C heaters. Approximate current levels for each heater are noted on the plot. Although not a cyclic load, compartment $A$ heater turn-off has also been noted on the plot.

## 5. 2. 4. 5 Lunar Translation. Plots

Figures 5. 2-14 through 5.2-16 represent plots for the burn period of the translation experiment. These plots show all data points obtained and, in the case of battery discharge current (EP-9), provide a rough approximation of cyclic loads with the solenoid current clearly identified.

TABLE 5.2-5. SELECTED EQUIPMENT LOADS

$*_{R}=$ regulated; $U=$ unregulated.


Figure 5.2-2. Sensor EP-2: Unregulated Bus Voltage


Figure 5. 2-3. Sensor EP-4: Unregulated Output Current


Figure 5.2-4. Sensor EP-9: Battery Discharge Current


Figure 5. 2-5. Sensor EP-11: Solar Cell Array Current


Figure 5.2-6. Sensor EP-14: Regulated Output Current


Figure 5.2-7. Sensor EP-40: Flight Control Unregulated Current


Figure 5.2-8. Radar and Squib Current On (RADVS Power On)


Figure 5. 2-9. Solar Panel Power


Figure 5.2-10. Regulated Power


Figure 5.2-11. Unregulated Power


Figure 5. 2-12. Total Power


Figure 5. 2-13. Unregulated Output Current - Coast Phase II


Figure 5. 2-14. Unregulated Bus Voltage (EP-2) at Lunar Translation


Figure 5.2-15. Unregulated Current (EP-4) at Lunar Translation


Figure 5.2-16. Battery Discharge Current (EP-9) at Lunar Translation
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## 5. 3 RF DATA LINK SUBS YSTEM

### 5.3.1 INTRODUCTION

This section contains a summary and analysis of the performance of the data link subsystem during Surveyor Mission F.

The data link subsystem consists of the transmitters, transponders, receivers, command decoders, and antennas. It is the function of this subsystem to: 1) provide engineering data transmission from the spacecraft at bit rates compatible with specific mission phases, 2) provide analog data, such as that from television and strain gages, at signal levels high enough for proper discrimination, 3) provide phase coherent two-way doppler for tracking and orbit determination, and 4) provide command reception capability throughout the mission to allow for complete control of the space craft from the ground. A simplified block diagram of the communications subsystem is shown in Figure 5.3-1.

The pertinent subsystem units on the spacecraft during the mission are as follows:

| Unit | Part <br> Number | Serial <br> Number |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Receiver A | $231900-3$ |  |
| Receiver B | $231900-3$ | 18 |
| Transmitter A | $3024400-1$ | 26 |
| Transmitter B | $3024400-1$ | 17 |
| Command decoder unit | $232000-5$ | 19 |
|  |  |  |

Unlike most subsystems, individual data link subsystem parameters, such as losses, threshold sensitivity, modulation index, etc., are not measured or individually determined from mission data. The composite effect of these parameters on the performance is measured as received signal power at the spacecraft and the tracking station (DSS) and as telemetry and command error rates. Consequently, it is impossible to compare individual link parameters to specified performance criteria. The best that can be done is to compare measured signal levels to predicted leveis, and telemetry quality and command capability to predicted capabilities. To fur ther cloud the analysis, omnidirectional antenna gain is a major contributor to the uncertainty in received signal levels. Accurate omnidirectional antenna gain measurements are difficult to achieve and, in most cases, deviations


Figure 5.3-1. Communications Subsystem Block Diagram
from predictions can most likely be attributed to antenna gain uncertainty. Because of the problems outlined above, analysis of the data link subsystem performance will, in general, be a qualitative analysis of the performance of the entire subsystem rather than a quantitative assessment of the performance of the individual subsystem parameters. Equally as important as subsystem performance evaluation is the qualitative assessment of the premission and real-time prediction techniques used during the mission, since future missions must rely on these techniques as guidelines during the real-time operation.

In general, the RF data link subsystem performed as expected with two exceptions. Two-way lock was lost during Canopus acquisition, and a transmitted command was not accepted at the spacecraft during the lunar operations liftoff and translation experiment. All subsystem units, however, performed close to nominal predictions.

The data contained in this report consist of spacecraft telemetered, DSS, and mission event time data. Where meaningful, the data are correlated to and compared with equipment specifications, previous test data, preflight predictions, and in-flight analysis predictions. Specifically, this section contains the following discussions which are shown with the appropriate subsection notation:

Anomaly Discussion (subsection 5.3.2) - This subsection contains a discussion of three topics:

1) Loss of two-way lock during Canopus acquisition
2) Ground receiver signal levels being, in general, stronger than predicted nominal
3) Spacecraft not accepting a ground transmitted command during liftoff and translation experiment

Summary and Conclusions (subsection 5.3.3) - This subsection contains a summary of subsystem performance with conclusions relative to performance and postflight analysis.

Subsystem Performance Analysis (subsection 5.3.4) - This subsection contains the following items:

1) General discussion of data, equations used, and path of the earth vector relative to omnidirectional antenna gain contours
2) Discussion of subsystem performance during specific mission phases
3) Discussion of pertinent subsystem telemetry signals plotted as a function of time from launch

The major mission event times relative to the RF data link subsystem are tabulated in Tables 5.3-1 and 5.3-2. Table 5.3-1 contains telemetry mode and bit rate, primary tracking station number, and station automatic gain control values as a function of time. Table 5.3-2 contains a tabulation of the subsystem configuration as a function of time. Both tables cover the mission from launch to the time of loss of signal during retro engine firing at terminal descent. Also, in some cases, the times in these tables are accurate only to the nearest minute.

### 5.3.2 ANOMALY DISCUSSION

The three events discussed in this section are not considered to have resulted from spacecraft data subsystem anomalies, but rather as deviations from the expected or predicted performance. No known spacecraft RF subsystem anomaly existed.

## 5. 3. 2. 1 Loss of Two-Way Lock During Canopus Acquisition

The premaneuver analysis associated with the roll maneuver required for the Canopus acquisition phase of the mission indicated that the earth vector would pass through the deep null region of both the up and down links of omnidirectional antennas $A$ and $B$. Investigation of the up link patterns of omnidirectional antenna $B$ in the vicinity of the earth vector trace showed that the minimum expected antenna gain would be -36 db . Applying the $\pm 10 \mathrm{db}$ tolerance in the worst case to this gain yielded a 0.0 db two-way carrier tracking margin (signal-to-noise ratio $=12 \mathrm{db}$ ). The two-way tracking configuration (transponder $B$ ) was recommended; however, receiver B phase lock was lost during the maneuver. The frequency shift associated with the spacecraft reverting to the NBVCXO resulted in loss of the ground receiver lock. A delay in the sequence resulted since it was necessary to reconfigure the spacecraft in the one-way mode. The sequence was then continued and Canopus lock subsequently established.

The reaction of the spacecraft and ground system to this situation was normal. Comparisons of the actual antenna gain seen during the maneuver to the predicted gains (Figure 5.6-6d) shows that the receiver lost lock while the earth vector was in the null region of omnidirectional antenna $B$. The null apparently was greater than -50 db , which is much deeper than the measured antenna pattern data indicates. Omnidirectional antenna patterns, however, are difficult to measure accurately, and low gains are especially difficult to define.

Because of the experience discussed in the preceding paragraphs, it is recommended that two-way tracking not be attempted if the antenna null region will be encountered, regardless of gain values indicated in the antenna pattern data.

## 5. 3. 2. 2 Down Link Signal Levels Larger Than Expected

Figure 5.3-4 shows that the ground received signal levels for the coast phases fall within the predicted tolerance region but are, in general,

TABLE 5.3-1. TELEMETRY MODE SUMMARY

| Time, hr:min:sec | Mode | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bit } \\ & \text { Rate } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { DSIF } \\ \text { Station } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{DSIF} \\ \mathrm{AGC}, \mathrm{dbm} \end{gathered}$ | Telemetry Margin, db | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Day 311 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:39:01.075 | 5 | 550 |  |  |  | Liftoff (low mod index |
| 08:08:50 |  |  | 51 |  |  | SCO) |
|  |  |  | 51 |  |  | Receivers 1 and 2 in lock |
| 08:09:00 |  |  | 51 |  |  | Decom in lock |
| 08:10:16 |  |  |  |  |  | Auto track on SAA |
| 08:10:41 |  |  |  | -115.0 |  | Auto track on SCM |
| 08:12:00 |  |  |  |  |  | Ground transmitter on |
| 08:12:06 |  |  |  |  |  | Signal in passband |
| 08:13:04 |  |  |  |  |  | spacecraft receiver A Ground receivers out |
| 08:13 |  |  |  |  |  | of lock |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Ground receivers 1 and 2 in lock |
| 08:13:27 |  |  |  |  |  | Two-way verified auto SCM |
| 08:13:30 |  |  |  |  |  | Decom in lock |
| 08:13:40 |  |  |  |  |  | Confirm receiver B phase lock at SFOF |
| 08:14:30 |  |  |  |  |  | Command mod on |
| 08:15:00 |  |  | 51 | -83.0 |  |  |
| 08:18:30 |  |  |  | -84.0 |  |  |
| 08:19:33 |  |  |  |  |  | Spacecraft transmitter |
| 08:20:35 |  |  |  | -102.0 |  | high voltage off |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | power |
| 08:25:31 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 08:25:33 |  | 1100 |  | -107.0 | +31.6 |  |
| 08:27:00 |  |  |  | -107.0 | +31.6 |  |
| 08:30:27 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 08:32:00 |  |  |  | -109.0 | +29.6 |  |
| 08:32:00 |  |  | 42 | -146.0 |  |  |
| 08:33:18 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 08:38:25 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 08:40:00 |  |  | 51 | -110. 5 |  |  |
| 08:40:18 |  |  | 51 |  |  | Command mod off |
| 08:42:05 |  |  | 51 |  |  | DSS tuned to track syn frequency |
| 08:44:00 |  |  | 51 |  |  | Command mod on |
| 08:50:00 |  |  | 51 | -114.0 |  |  |
| 08:50:00 |  |  | 42 | -111.0 |  |  |
| 09:11:58 |  |  | 51 | -115.0 |  |  |
| 09:17:43 |  |  | 51 | -116.2 |  |  |
| 09:30:00 |  |  | 51 | -117.6 |  |  |
| 09:44:00 |  |  | 51 | -118.6 | $+20.0$ |  |

Table 5.3-1 (continued)

5.3-6

Table 5.3-1 (continued)

| Time, hr:min:sec | Mode | Bit <br> Rate | DSIF Station | $\begin{gathered} \text { DSIF } \\ \mathrm{AGC}, \mathrm{dbm} \end{gathered}$ | Telemetry Margin, db | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15:44:21 |  |  | 61 | -112.7 |  | Transmitter B high |
| 15:45:00 |  | 4400 | 61 | -117.8 |  |  |
| 15:46:31 |  |  | 61 | -127.4 |  | Omniantenna A |
| 15:50:22 |  |  |  |  |  | Start roll-star map |
| 15:55:09 |  |  |  |  |  | Loss of ground |
| 15:56:40 |  |  |  |  |  | Selectomniantenna B |
| 15:58:44 |  |  |  |  |  | Sun mode on |
| $16: 00: 23$ $16: 04: 39$ |  |  |  |  |  | Resume data |
| 16:04:39 |  |  | 61 | -119.6 |  | Transponder power off |
| 16:06:52 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16:09:21 |  |  | 61 | -119.6 |  |  |
| 16:12:12 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16:14:23 |  |  |  |  |  | Sun and roll |
| 16:25:28 |  |  |  |  |  | Sun and star mode on |
| $16: 27: 55$ $16: 28: 40$ |  |  |  |  |  | Canopus lock |
| 16:29:12 |  |  | 61 | -119.0 |  |  |
| 16:30:11 | 5 |  |  |  |  | Cruise mode on |
| 16:32:08 |  |  |  |  |  | Transponder $B$ power |
| 16:33:44 |  |  |  |  |  | Two-way lock confirmed |
| 16:35:45 |  |  |  | -119.4 |  |  |
| 16:36:19 |  | 1100 |  |  |  |  |
| 16:36:58 |  |  |  | -114.4 |  |  |
| 16:37:29 |  |  |  | -130.8 |  | Low power; $\Delta P=16.4 \mathrm{db}$ |
| 16:43:05 |  |  |  |  |  | Inertial mode on |
| 17:01:40 |  |  | 61 | -131.0 |  |  |
| 17:03:40 |  |  | 51 | -129.0 |  |  |
| 17:24:05 |  |  | 61 |  |  | Command mod off for |
| 17:30:00 |  |  | 51 |  |  | Transmitter on |
| 17:32:02 |  |  | 51 |  |  | Command mod on |
| 17:35:00 |  |  | 51 | -129.2 |  |  |
| 18:00:00 |  |  | 51 | -129.3 |  |  |
| 18:04:11 |  |  |  |  |  | Cruise mode on |
| 18:18:22 |  |  |  |  |  | Inertial mode on |
| 18:21:00 |  |  | 51 | -129.3 |  |  |
| 18:30:00 |  |  | 51 | -129.5 |  |  |
| 19:00:00 |  |  | 51 | -129.8 |  |  |
| 19:22:32 |  |  |  |  |  | Cruise mode on |
| 19:24:25 |  |  |  |  |  | Inertial mode on |
| 19:30:00 |  |  | 51 | -129.7 |  |  |
| 19:45:48 |  |  | 51 | -129.8 |  |  |

Table 5.3-1 (continued)

| Time, hr:min:sec | Mode | $\begin{gathered} \text { Bit } \\ \text { Rate } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DSIF } \\ & \text { Station } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { DSIF } \\ \text { AGC, } \mathrm{dbm} \end{gathered}$ | Telemetry <br> Margin, db | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20:00:00 |  |  | 51 | -129.9 |  |  |
| 20:30:00 |  |  | 51 | -130.0 |  |  |
| 20:38:58 |  |  |  |  |  | Cruise mode on |
| 20:44:53 |  |  |  |  |  | Inertial mode on |
| 21:00:00 |  |  | 51 | -130.1 |  |  |
| 21:30:00 |  |  | 51 | -130.7 |  |  |
| 22:00:00 |  |  | 51 | -131.2 |  |  |
| 22:03:03 |  |  |  |  |  | Cruise mode on |
| 22:03:22 |  |  | 11 | -132.3 |  |  |
| 22:05:00 |  |  | 51 |  |  | Command mod off transfer to 11 |
| 22:10:00 |  |  | 11 |  |  | Transmitter on |
| 22:10:25 |  |  | 11 |  |  | Two-way lock confirmed |
| 22:11:43 |  |  | 11 |  |  | Command mod on |
| 22:16:24 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22:17:28 |  |  | 11 | -132.6 |  |  |
| 22:20:01 |  | 137.5 |  |  |  |  |
| 22:21:56 |  |  |  | -132.6 |  |  |
| 22:23:33 |  | 1100 |  |  |  |  |
| 22:25:34 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22:29:02 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22:32:09 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22:02:15 |  |  | 11 | -132.5 |  |  |
| Day 312 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 00:01:40 |  |  | 11 | -132.6 |  |  |
| 00:03:29 |  |  | 14 | -122.0 |  |  |
| 00:12:15 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 00:14:26 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 00:16:25 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 00:18:44 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $00: 19: 15$ $00: 24: 43$ |  |  | 11 | -132.7 |  |  |
| 00:24:43 | None None | - |  |  |  | Gyro speed SCOs on |
| 00:31:35 | None | - |  |  |  | Gyro speed SCOs off |
| 00:31:45 | 5 | 1100 |  |  |  |  |
| 00:32:50 |  |  | 11 | -132.7 |  |  |
| 01:21:40 |  |  | 11 | -132.9 |  |  |
| 01:36:58 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01:39:21 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01:42:49 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01:49:27 |  |  |  |  |  | Transmitter B filament on |
| 01:51:19 |  |  |  |  |  | Transmitter B high power |
| 01:51:30 |  |  |  | -117.0 |  |  |

Table 5.3-1 (continued)

| Time, hr:min:sec | Mode | Bit <br> Rate | $\underset{\text { Station }}{\text { DSIF }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { DSIF } \\ \text { AGC, } \mathrm{dbm} \end{gathered}$ | Telemetry Margin, db | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01:52:05 | 5 | 4400 |  |  |  |  |
| 01:52:44 |  |  |  | -122.1 |  |  |
| 02:03:00 |  |  |  |  |  | Start positive roll 183.8 |
| 02:06:04 |  |  |  | -126.0 |  | seconds, 91.9 degrees End roll (-126 to -127 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 'dbm) |
| 02:09:08 |  |  |  |  |  | Start positive yaw 254.6 |
| 02:13:23 |  |  |  | -124.8 |  | seconds, 127.3 seconds <br> End yaw |
| 02:20:02 |  |  |  | -124.8 |  | End yaw <br> Midcourse thrust |
| 02:22:18 |  |  |  |  |  | ( 10.25 seconds) |
| 02:26:07 |  |  |  |  |  | Start postmidc |
| 02:30:21 |  |  |  | -124.9 |  | yaw |
| 02:32:57 |  |  |  | -124.9 |  | End yaw |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | roll ${ }_{\text {dest }}$ |
| 02:36:01 |  |  |  | -122.6 |  | End roll |
| 02:37:39 |  |  |  |  |  | Cruise mode on |
| 02:38:24 | 245 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 02:42:07 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 02:45:10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 02:46:09 |  | 1100 |  |  |  |  |
| 02:46:31 |  |  | 11 | -117.3 |  |  |
| 02:47:09 |  |  |  |  |  | Transmitter B low |
| 02.47.20 |  |  |  |  |  | power |
| 03.47:20 |  |  |  | -133.8 |  | $\Delta \mathrm{P}=16.5 \mathrm{db}$ |
| 03:11:00 |  |  | 14 | -133.9 |  |  |
| 03:12:43 |  |  |  |  |  | Start special test 1100 bits/sec + touch |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | down strain gages |
| 03:13:00 |  |  | 11 | -136.4 |  |  |
| 03:13:00 |  |  | 14 | -126.8 |  |  |
| 03:28:35 |  |  |  |  |  | Touchdown strain gage off |
| 03:28:50 |  |  | 11 | -133.9 |  |  |
| 03:28:50 |  |  | 14 | -124.1 |  | End special test |
| 03:32:24 |  |  | 11 |  |  | Command mod off transfer to 42 |
| 03:40:00 |  |  | 42 | -134.5 |  | Two-way |
| 03:43:05 |  |  | 42 | -134.3 |  | Command mod on |
| 04:22:47 | 4 |  | 42 | -134.0 |  |  |
| 04:28:50 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:33:39 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:36:19 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 5.3-1 (continued)

| Time, hr:min:sec | Mode | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bit } \\ & \text { Rate } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DSIF } \\ & \text { Station } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { DSIF } \\ \text { AGC, } \mathrm{dbm} \end{gathered}$ | Telemetry <br> Margin, db | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04:37:43 |  |  |  |  |  | Inertial mode on |
| 04:40:00 |  |  | 42 | -134.0 |  |  |
| 05:01:37 |  |  | 42 | -133.9 |  |  |
| 05:35:14 |  |  | 42 | -133.8 |  |  |
| 05:42:51 |  |  |  |  |  | Cruise mode on |
| 05:43:36 |  |  | 42 | -134.1 |  |  |
| 06:00:00 |  |  | 42 | -134.2 |  |  |
| 06:31:00 |  |  | 42 | -134.3 |  |  |
| 07:01:00 |  |  | 42 | -134.3 |  |  |
| 07:30:00 |  |  | 42 | -134.5 |  |  |
| 08:00:00 |  |  | 42 | -134.6 |  |  |
| 08:32:00 |  |  | 42 | -134.6 |  |  |
| 08:32:27 |  |  |  |  |  | Sun mode on |
| 09:00:00 |  |  | 42 | -135.0 |  |  |
| 09:30:00 |  |  | 42 | -134.7 |  |  |
| 10:00:00 |  |  | 42 | -135.0 |  |  |
| 11:00:00 |  |  | 42 | -135.4 |  |  |
| 11:30:00 |  |  | 42 | -135.4 |  |  |
| 12:00:00 |  |  | 42 | -135.6 |  |  |
| 12:17:20 |  |  | 42 | -135.6 |  |  |
| 12:25:28 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12:29:16 | 2 |  | 42 | -135.8 |  |  |
| 12:32:01 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $12: 36: 33$ $12.37: 00$ | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $12: 37: 00$ $12: 46: 29$ |  |  | 42 | -136.0 |  | Cruise mode on |
| 12:47:07 |  |  |  | -136.1 |  |  |
| 13:00:00 |  |  | 42 | -136.1 | +2.7 |  |
| 13:00:00 |  |  | 51 | -134.8 | +4.0 |  |
| 13:24:34 |  | - | 42 |  |  | Command mod off transfer to 51 |
| 13:30:00 |  |  | 51 |  |  | Transmitter on |
| 13:33:00 |  |  | 51 |  |  | Command mod on |
| 13:35:00 |  |  | 51 | -135.0 |  |  |
| 14:00:00 |  |  | 51 | -134.9 |  |  |
| 14:30:00 |  |  | 51 | -135.1 |  |  |
| 14:30:00 |  |  | 42 | -136.3 |  |  |
| 15:00:00 |  |  | 51 | -135.1 |  |  |
| 15:00:00 |  |  | 42 | -136.7 |  |  |
| 15:00:00 |  |  | 61 | -134.9 |  |  |
| 15:30:00 |  |  | 51 | -135.1 |  |  |
| 16:00:00 |  |  | 51 | -135.2 |  |  |
| 16:00:00 |  |  | 61 | -134.9 |  |  |
| 16:18:40 |  |  |  |  |  | Inertial mode on |

Table 5.3-1 (continued)

| Time, hr:min:sec | Mode | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bit } \\ & \text { Rate } \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\text { Station }}{\text { DSIF }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { DSIF } \\ \text { AGC, dbm } \end{gathered}$ | Telemetry Margin, db | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17:00:00 |  |  | 51 | -135.4 |  |  |
| 17:15:02 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17:23:33 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17:27:47 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17:32:22 | 5 |  | 51 | -135.5 |  |  |
| 17:35:00 |  |  | 61 | -135.8 |  |  |
| 17:53:41 |  |  |  |  |  | Cruise mode on |
| 18:00:00 |  |  | 51 | -135.5 |  |  |
| 18:10:00 |  |  | 61 | -134.9 |  |  |
| 18:30:00 |  |  | 51 | -135.6 |  |  |
| 18:59:39 |  |  |  |  |  | Sun mode on |
| 19:00:00 |  |  | 51 | -135.6 |  |  |
| 19:30:00 |  |  | 51 | -135. 5 |  |  |
| 19:33:00 |  |  | 61 | -135.4 |  |  |
| 19:50:00 |  |  | 51 |  |  | Command mod off nonstandard transfer |
| 19:54:00 |  |  | 51 |  |  | Exciter on 1955 Z XA |
| 19:54:30 |  |  | 51 |  |  | Reducing transmitter power |
| 19:55:10 |  |  | 51 |  |  | Transmitter 1 kw |
| 20:00:00 |  |  | 51 | -135.6 |  |  |
| 20:12:15 |  |  |  |  |  | Increasing transmitter power |
| 20:12:35 |  |  |  |  |  | Transmitter power 10 kw |
| 20:12:35 |  |  |  |  |  | No high-speed data |
| 20:14:50 |  |  | 51 |  |  | Command mod on |
| 20:30:00 |  |  | 51 | -135.5 |  |  |
| 20:35:00 |  |  | 51 |  |  | Command mod off nonstandard transfer |
| 20:37:30 |  |  | 51 |  |  | Exciter on XA |
| 20:38:30 |  |  | 51 |  |  | Transmitter at 1 kw |
| 20:43:30 |  |  | 51 |  |  | Transmitter off |
| 20:43:30 |  |  | 61 |  |  | Transmitter on |
| 20:53:00 |  |  | 61 | -135.7 |  |  |
| 21:00:14 |  |  |  |  |  | Resume high speed data |
| 21:16:44 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21:19:56 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21:24:55 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21:27:13 |  |  | 61 | -135.4 |  |  |
| 21:31:55 |  |  | 61 | -136.3 |  |  |
| 21:37:14 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21:42:04 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21:42:26 |  |  |  |  |  | Cruise mode on |
| 21:45:30 |  |  | 61 | -134.5 |  | Signal level varied from -138.0 to -134.5 dbm in few seconds |

Table 5.3-1 (continued)

| Time, hr:min:sec | Mode | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bit } \\ & \text { Rate } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { DSIF } \\ \text { Station } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { DSIF } \\ \text { AGC, } \mathrm{dbm} \end{gathered}$ | Telemetry <br> Margin, db | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22:09:07 |  |  | 61 |  |  | Command mod off transfer to 11 |
| 22:19:49 |  |  | 11 | -136.8 |  | Command mod on |
| 22:21:50 |  |  | 61 | -135.7 |  |  |
| 22:30:00 |  |  | 11 | -137.0 |  |  |
| 22:45:02 |  |  |  |  |  | Inertial mode on |
| 22:50:00 |  |  | 11 | -136.6 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bit error rate (BER) } \\ & =1.4 \times 10^{-3} \end{aligned}$ |
| 23:05:00 |  |  | 11 | -136.4 |  |  |
| 23:37:00 |  |  | 11 | -136.7 |  |  |
| Day 313 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 00:00:00 |  |  | 11 | -137.0 |  |  |
| 00:11:18 |  |  | 11 | -136.7 |  | Cruise mode on |
| 00:30:00 |  |  | 11 | -136.7 |  |  |
| 01:00:00 |  |  | 11 | -136.7 |  |  |
| 01:11:25 |  |  |  |  |  | Inertial mode on |
| 01:30:00 |  |  | 11 | -136.7 |  |  |
| 01:50:40 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01:53:03 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01:54:48 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01:57:40 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 02:00:00 |  |  | 11 | -137.0 |  |  |
| 02:25:37 |  |  | 11 | -136.8 |  | Cruise mode on |
| 03:00:00 |  |  | 11 | -137.0 |  |  |
| 03:29:52 |  |  |  |  |  | Inertial mode on |
| 03:30:00 |  |  | 11 | -137.0 |  |  |
| 04:00:00 |  |  | 11 | -137.4 |  |  |
| 04:00:00 |  |  | 42 | -137.2 |  |  |
| 04:30:00 |  |  | 11 | -137.5 |  |  |
| 04:49:00 |  |  | 11 | -137.8 |  |  |
| 04:49:10 |  |  | 11 | -137.3 |  | Cruise mode on |
| 05:00:00 |  |  | 11 | -137.4 |  |  |
| 05:30:00 |  |  | 11 | -137.5 |  |  |
| 05:30:00 |  |  | 42 | -137.3 |  |  |
| 05:30:59 |  |  | 11 |  |  | Command mod off transfer to 42 |
| 05:41:26 |  |  | 42 |  |  | Command mod on |
| 06:00:00 |  |  | 42 | -137.5 |  |  |
| 06:14:05 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 06:19:52 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 06:23:06 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 06:25:08 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 06:25:57 |  |  |  |  |  | Inertial mode on |

Table 5.3-1 (continued)

| Time, hr:min:sec | Mode | Bit <br> Rate | $\underset{\text { Station }}{\text { DSIF }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { DSIF } \\ \text { AGC, } \mathrm{dbm} \end{gathered}$ | Telemetry <br> Margin, db | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 06:28:00 |  |  | 42 | -137.4 | +1.2 |  |
| 06:35:37 |  |  | 42 |  |  | Ground transmitter |
| 06:35:40 |  |  |  |  |  | failure |
| 06:35.40 |  |  | 42 |  |  | Ground receivers out of lock |
| 06:36:57 |  |  | 42 |  |  | Ground receivers in |
| 06:40:00 |  |  | 42 |  |  | lock-data |
| 06:40:05 |  |  | 42 |  |  | Ground transmitter on Signal in passband both |
| 06:41:47 |  |  |  |  |  | spacecraft receivers Receiver B phase |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Receiver B phase locked |
| 06:43:43 |  |  | 42 |  |  | On track syn frequency |
| 06:44:47 |  |  | 42 |  |  | Command mod on |
| 06:56:14 |  |  | 42 | -137. 5 | +1.1 |  |
| 07:30:00 |  |  | 42 | -137.4 | +1.2 |  |
| 07:38:33 |  |  | 42 | -137.4 | +1.2 | Cruise mode on |
| 08:00:00 |  |  | 42 | -137.5 | +1.1 |  |
| 08:30:00 |  |  | 42 | -137.5 |  |  |
| 09:00:00 |  |  | 42 | -137. 5 |  |  |
| 09:04:08 |  |  |  |  |  | Inertial mode on |
| 09:30:00 |  |  | 42 | -137.5 |  |  |
| 10:30:00 |  |  | 42 | -137.5 |  |  |
| 10:42:29 |  |  |  |  |  | Cruise mode on |
| 10:46:52 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10:50:36 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10:53:25 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10:57:53 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11:01:22 |  |  |  |  |  | Sun mode on |
| 11:30:00 |  |  | 42 | -138.0 | +0.6 |  |
| 11:49:00 |  |  | 42 | -138.0 | +0.6 | $B E R=2.94 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| 12:00:00 |  |  | 42 | -138.0 | +0.6 |  |
| 12:30:00 |  |  | 42 | -138.0 | $+0.6$ |  |
| 13:00:00 |  |  | 42 | -137.9 | +0.7 |  |
| 13:24:08 |  |  | 42 |  |  | Command mod off transfer to 51 |
| 13:30:00 |  |  | 51 |  |  | Transmitter on |
| 13:30:20 |  |  | 51 |  |  | Two-way confirmed |
| 13:31:30 |  |  | 51 |  |  | Command mod on |
| 13:42:40 |  |  | 51 | -138.0 | +0.6 |  |
| 13:53:04 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13:56:55 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14:00:01 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14:02:42 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 5.3-1 (continued)

| Time, hr:min:sec | Mode | Bit Rate | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { DSIF } \\ \text { Station } \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { DSIF } \\ \text { AGC, } \mathrm{dbm} \end{gathered}$ | Telemetry <br> Margin, db | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14:05:00 |  |  | 51 | -138.1 | $+0.5$ |  |
| 14:30:00 |  |  | 51 | -138.4 | +0.2 |  |
| 14:40:00 |  |  | 51 | -138.5 | +0.1 | $B E R=2.42 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| 15:00:00 |  |  | 51 | -138.5 |  |  |
| 15:19:00 |  |  | 51 | -138.4 | $+0.2$ | $\mathrm{BER}=3.02 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| 15:26:56 |  |  |  |  |  | Cruise mode on |
| 15:31:00 |  |  | 51 | -139.0 | -0.4 | $\mathrm{BER}=1.31 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| 15:52:00 |  |  | 61 | -138.5 | +0.1 | $B E R=5.2 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| 16:05:00 |  |  | 51 | -138.0 | +0.6 |  |
| 16:30:00 |  |  | 51 | -137.6 | $+1.0$ |  |
| 16:40:00 |  |  | 61 | -138.6 | +0.0 |  |
| 17:00:00 |  |  | 51 | -138.0 | +0.6 |  |
| 17:30:00 |  |  | 51 | -138.0 | +0.6 |  |
| 17:30:00 |  |  | 61 | -138.6 |  |  |
| 17:58:24 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18:01:52 | 2 |  | 51 | -138.0 |  |  |
| 18:05:00 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18:07:15 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18:09:04 |  | 550 |  |  |  |  |
| 18:10:00 |  |  | 51 | -139.5 | +4.2 |  |
| 18:30:00 |  |  | 51 | -139.4 | +4.3 |  |
| 19:00:00 |  |  | 51 | -139.4 |  |  |
| 19:00:00 |  |  | 61 | -139.7 |  |  |
| 19:30:00 |  |  | 51 | -139.4 |  |  |
| 20:00:00 |  |  | 51 | -139.5 |  |  |
| 20:00:00 |  |  | 61 | -140.1 |  |  |
| 20:30:00 |  |  | 51 | -139.6 |  |  |
| 20:37:22 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20:43:18 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21:00:00 |  |  | 51 51 | $\begin{aligned} & -139.6 \\ & -139.7 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| 21:35:56 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21:50:09 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21:53:04 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21:58:35 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22:00:00 |  |  | 51 | -139.7 |  |  |
| 22:09:00 |  |  | 51 |  |  | Command mod off transfer to 11 |
| 22:15:00 |  |  | 11 |  |  | Transmitter on |
| 22:17:43 |  |  | 11 |  |  | Command mod on |
| 22:28:20 |  |  | 11 | -141.4 |  |  |
| 22:29:00 |  |  | 14 | -131.8 |  |  |
| 22:39:33 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22:42:10 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22:46:04 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22:49:00 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |
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Table 5.3-1 (continued)


Table 5.3-1 (continued)

| Time, hr:min:sec | Mode | Bit <br> Rate | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DSIF } \\ & \text { Station } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { DSIF } \\ \text { AGC, } \mathrm{dbm} \end{gathered}$ | Telemetry <br> Margin, db | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 00:34:56 |  |  |  |  |  | Start roll 241 seconds, 120.5 degrees |
| 00:38:57 |  |  | 11 | -122.0 |  | End roll |
| 00:39:30 |  |  | 14 | -114.1 |  |  |
| 00:40:03 |  |  |  |  |  | Pré-sum amplifier on (strain gages on) |
| 00:40:30 |  |  | 11 | -124.8 |  |  |
| 00:41:42 |  |  |  |  |  | Retro delay quantity 5.9 seconds |
| 00:48:58 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01:01:25 |  |  | 11 | -126.9 |  | Touchdown |
| 01:02:45 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01:05:07 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01:06:10 |  |  |  |  |  | Touchdown strain gage power off |
| 01:08:04 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01:13:49 |  |  |  |  |  | A/D converter 2 |
| 01:17:00 |  |  | 11 | -125.5 |  |  |
| 01:19:40 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01:21:22 |  | 137.5 | 11 | -129.4 |  |  |
| 01:22:21 |  |  |  | -146.2 |  | Transmitter B low power |
| 01:24:57 |  |  |  |  |  | Transmitter A low power/omni B |
| 01:25:40 |  |  | 11 | -146.0 |  | Signal level increasing |
| 01:26:00 |  |  | 11 | -144.7 |  | Transmitter A low power steady |
| 01:26:39 |  |  | 11 | -128.0 |  | Transmitter A high power; $\Delta=16.7 \mathrm{db}$ |
| 01:27:18 |  | 1100 | 11 | -124.5 |  |  |
| 01:32:00 |  |  | 11 | -124.8 |  |  |
| 01:32:24 |  |  | 11 | -127.5 |  | Select omni A |
| 01:32:58 |  |  | 11 | -125.7 |  | Select omni B |
| 01:35:24 |  |  |  |  |  | Eng comm off/camera power on |
| 01:44:27 |  |  |  |  |  | Survey camera power off |
| 01:44:40 |  |  | 11 | -124.7 |  |  |
| 01:44:44 |  |  |  | 122.9 |  | Sum amps off |
| 01:45:01 |  |  | 11 | -122.9 |  | Start 200 lin TV |
| 01:49:00 |  |  |  |  |  | Start 200-line TV |

TABLE 5.3-2. SPACECRAFT CONFIGURATION SHEET

Table 5.3-2 (continued)

| Time, hr:min:sec | Major Sequence Title | Transmitter |  | Omni directional Antenna A/B | Analog to Digital Converter $1 / 2$ | Receiver A |  | Receiver B |  | Command Decoder A/B | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A/B | Power <br> H/L* |  |  | $\varphi \mathrm{L} /$ AFC** | Transponder A | $\varphi \mathrm{L} / \mathrm{AFC} \% *$ | Transponder B |  |  |
| $17: 24: 05$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | DSS-61-command mod off - transfer to 51 |
| 17:32:02 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | DSS-5l-command mod on |
| 22:05:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | B | DSS-51 - command mod off - transfer to 11 |
| 22:11:43 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | DSS-11-command mod on |
| DAY 312 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01:51:19 | Midcourse |  | H |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 02:47:09 |  |  | L |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 03:32:24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | DSS-11 - command mod off - transfer to 42 |
| 03:43:05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | DSS-42 command mod on |
| 13:24:34 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | A | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DSS }-42 \text { - command } \\ & \text { mod off-transfer } \\ & \text { to } 51 \end{aligned}$ |
| 13:33:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DSS- } 51 \text { - command } \\ & \text { mod on } \end{aligned}$ |
| 19:50:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DSS-51-command } \\ & \text { mod off } \end{aligned}$ |
| 20:12:35 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Data outage |
| 20:14:50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | DSS-5I - command mod on |
| 20:35:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DSS }-51 \text { - command } \\ & \text { mod off - transfer } \\ & \text { to } 61 \end{aligned}$ |
| 21:00:14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | B | Resume data |
| 22:09:07 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | DSS-61-command mod off - transfer to 11 |
| 22:19:49 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | DSS-11-command mod on |

Table 5.3-2 (continued)

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Time, } \\ \text { hr:min:sec } \end{gathered}$ | Major Sequence "itle | Transmitter |  | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { Omni- } \\ \text { directional } \\ \text { Antenna } \\ \text { A/B } \end{array}$ | Analog to Digital Converter $1 / 2$ | Receiver A |  | Receiver B |  | Command Decoder A/B | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A/B | Power <br> H/L ${ }^{*}$ |  |  | 世L/ AFC*** | $\underset{\text { A }}{\text { Transponder }}$ | $\varphi \mathrm{L} / \mathrm{AFC} * *$ | $\underset{\mathrm{B}}{\text { Transponder }}$ |  |  |
| DAY 31亏 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05:30:59 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | A | DSS-11 - command mod off - transfer to 42 |
| 05:41:26 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | DSS-42 - command <br> $\bmod$ on |
| 06:35:37 |  |  |  |  |  | Out of lock |  | Out of lock |  |  | DSS-42 transmitter failure |
| 06:41:47 |  |  |  |  |  | AFC |  | $\varphi L$ |  |  | Command mod off |
| 06:44:47 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | DSS-42 - command <br> mod on |
| 13:24:08 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | B | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DSS-42-command } \\ & \text { mod off-transfer } \\ & \text { to } 51 \end{aligned}$ |
| 13:31:41 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | DSS-51 - command mod on |
| 22:09:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | DSS- 51 - command mod off - transfer to 11 |
| 22:17:43 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | DSS-11 - command mod on |
| 22:56:43 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | AFC | Off |  |  |
| 22:58:04 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | On |  |  |
| 22:58:27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | DSS-11-command mod off to reacquire receiver $B$ |
| 22:59:34 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\varphi \mathrm{L}$ |  |  |  |
| 23:01:46 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | DSS-11 - command mod on |
| 23:44:56 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | DSS-11 - command mod off touchdown frequency offset |
| 23:48:46 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | DSS-11-command mod on |

Table 5.3-2 (continued)

| Time, hr:min:sec | Major Sequence Title | Iransmitter |  | Omnidirectional Antenna A/B | Analog to Digital Converter $1 / 2$ | Receiver A |  | Receiver B |  | Command Decoder A/B | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A/B | Power H/L* |  |  | ¢L/AFC** | Transponder A | OL/AFC** | Transponder |  |  |
| DAY 314 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 00:07:33 | Terminal descent | A | H |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 00:16:59 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | AFC | Off |  |  |
| 01:13:49 |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01:22:21 |  |  | L |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01:24:57 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01:26:39 |  |  | H |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01:32:24 |  |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01:32:58 |  |  |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01:49:00 |  |  |  |  |  | AFC | Off |  |  | B | Start 200-line TV |

\%High/low.
$\%$ Phase lock/automatic frequency control.
Table 5.3-2 (continued)

| Time, hr:min:sec | Major Sequence Title | Transmitter |  | Onnidirectional Antenna A/B | Analog to Digital Converter $1 / 2$ | Receive: A |  | Receiver B |  | Command Decoder A/B | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A/B | Power H/L |  |  | - /AFC** | $\underset{\text { A }}{\text { Transponder }}$ | $\varphi L / A F C * *$ | Transponder |  |  |
| DAY 31.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05:30:59 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | A | DSS-11 - command mod off - transfer to 42 |
| 05:41:26 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | DSS-42-command mod on |
| 06:35:37 |  |  |  |  |  | Cut of lock |  | Out of lock |  |  | DSS-42 transmitter failure |
| 06:41:47 |  |  |  |  |  | $\triangle \mathrm{FC}$ |  | $\varphi \mathrm{L}$ |  |  | Command mod off |
| 06:44:47 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | DSS-42 - command mod on |
| 13:24:08 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | B | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DSS }-42 \text { - command } \\ & \text { mod off-transfer } \\ & \text { to } 51 \end{aligned}$ |
| 13:31:41 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | DSS-51 - command mod on |
| 22:09:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | DSS-51-command mod off - transfer to 11 |
| 22:17:43 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | DSS-11 - command mod on |
| 22:56:43 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | AFC | Off |  |  |
| 22:58:04 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22:58:27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | DSS-11-command mod off to reacquire receiver $B$ |
| 22:59:34 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\varphi \mathrm{L}$ |  |  |  |
| 23:01:46 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | DSS-11 - command mod on |
| 23:44:56 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | DSS-11 - command mod off touchdown frequency offset |
| 23:48:46 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | DSS-11 - command mod on |

Table 5.3-2 (continued)

| Time, hr:min:sec | Major Sequence Title | Transmitter |  | ```Omni- directional Antenna A/B``` | Analog to Digital Converter $1 / 2$ | Receiver A |  | Receiver B |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Command } \\ & \text { Decoder } \\ & \text { A/B } \end{aligned}$ | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A/B | Power <br> $\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{L}^{\text {* }}$ |  |  | $\varphi \mathrm{L} / \mathrm{AFC}$ ** | $\underset{\text { A }}{\text { Transponder }}$ | QL/AFC** | $\underset{\mathrm{B}}{\text { Transponder }}$ |  |  |
| DAY 314 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 00:07:33 | Terminal descent | A | H |  | 2 |  |  | AFC | Off |  |  |
| 01:13:49 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01:22:21 |  |  | L |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01:24:57 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01:26:39 |  |  | H |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01:32:24 |  |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01:32:58 |  |  |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11:49:00 |  |  |  |  |  | AFC | Off |  |  | B | Start 200-line TV |
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approximately $2-1 / 2 \mathrm{db}$ above the nominal predicted values. Also, ground received signal levels obtained during the Canopus acquisition sequence were normalized to antenna gain and compared to predicted antenna gains for both omnidirectional antennas A and B (Figure 5. 3-6a and b). These comparisons both show that the measured gains lie below the predicted gains by approximately 2 db . It is unlikely that both antenna patterns are biased by the same amount. Omnidirectional antenna $B$ down link gains have been very predict able on previous spacecraft.

The above conditions imply that either the transmitter B output power was larger than nominal, or the circuit losses common to both antennas were less than nominal, or both. The high power output of the transmitter remains relatively constant for variations in the low power driver output since the TWT is driven in high saturation. The observed signal level increases when going to high power indicate that the low power output was higher than nominal. In general, the signal increased by 16.0 db where 16.9 db was nominally expected. This, however, does not explain the bias during the Canopus phase, since the spacecraft operates in high power during this sequence. Compartment A temperatures were running lower than that where nominal transmitter power output was defined and can partially explain the increased low power output. However, the high power output is not so sensitive to temperature variations. Also, if the cable common to both antennas was the only cause for the observed high signal levels, it must have a positive gain associated with it.

No single cause can be established for the situation discussed in the preceding paragraph. It could be the case that several parameter tolerances added to give the deviations seen; however, the signal levels in all cases were within the predicted tolerance region.

## 5. 3. 2. 3 Spacecraft Not Reacting to Transmitted Command

Two back-to-back commands were transmitted to the spacecraft to terminate vernier engine thrusting during the liftoff and translation experiment (day 32l). Spacecraft telemetry indicated that only one command was accepted, and the timing of the hop also indicated that the command that terminated thrusting was the second transmitted command. Telemetry data (ll00 bits/sec) also indicated that the signal level in both receivers was above the command threshold value and changed very slightly during the sequence.

The performance of the ground system was investigated, and it was found that both commands were transmitted correctly. A receiver at the ground station samples the radiated RF and processes the command information for comparison with the command SCO output. A positive comparison was made for both commands. A record of the command SCO output showed that both commands were properly modulated on the subcarrier.

The most probable cause for the rejection is an RF multipath null that existed for so short a time that the AGC time constant did not allow the associated low signal level to be indicated in spacecraft telemetry. Another
possibility is an extremely rare statistical event. However, it would be quite a coincidence if the first known rejected command occurred during a highly dynamic period such as this experiment.

Based on the performance during the experiment, it is recommended that time-critical commands be sent a minimum of three times for future liftoff and translation experiments. Two adjacent commands could conceivably be rejected if the multipath null extended over part of the time period of either command. Since it is felt that a null would be of relatively short duration and that nulls would not occur frequently, sending three commands would minimize the possibility of command reject. Also, in order to evaluate the sensitivity of the antenna patterns, it is recommended that the antenna solar panel positioner be stepped $\pm 5$ degrees in polar, elevation, and roll after final positioning.

## 5. 3. 3 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

Table 5. 3-3 contains a summary of the measurable performance parameters compared with applicable requirements and premission predictions. Most subsystem parameters are not directly measurable, and those that are measurable are difficult to summarize due to time variability.

Received signal level, for example, is a function of time and spacecraft attitude. The summary for these parameters reflects wide tolerances, with corresponding wide variations in actual performance in cases when the earth vector was in the omnidirectional antenna null. Performance and predictions outside the null are much more closely bounded. More detailed information is found in the subsections dealing with each mission phase.

The following conclusions can be drawn as a result of the foregoing analysis:

1) The RF subsystem performed within the predicted tolerance region for both the up and down links.
2) RF subsystem premission and real-time analysis techniques used during Mission $F$ were relatively accurate with the exception of that associated with two-way tracking during Canopus acquisition.
3) The loss of two-way lock during Canopus acquisition was due to a deep null in the omnidirectional antenna B up link gain pattern and was not associated with the ground system or spacecraft hardware.
4) Mission $F$ data again verified the bias in the omnidirectional antenna B up link antenna gain pattern. This measured gain pattern had been adjusted by 2 db for all predictions and analysis as a result of postmission analysis on Mission E.

Table 5.3-3 (continued)

| Parameter | Predicted Value | Requirement | Actual Performance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Receiver B signal levels during terminal maneuver | Time variable predictions. Predictions are some nominal value $\pm 5.7 \mathrm{db}$. | $>-114 \mathrm{dbm} * *$ | Level variations of 11.6 db and $>-106.1$ dbm (predicted variations of 11.0 db ) |
| DSS signal levels during coast phases* | Time variable predictions. <br> Predictions are some nominal value $\pm 5 \mathrm{db}$. | $>-157.4 \mathrm{dbm}$ (carrier power) (17.2 bits/sec threshold) | Level between +3.5 and -1.5 db of nominal and >-141 dbm at 550 bits/sec |
| DSS signal levels during star maneuver | Time variable predictions. Predictions are some nominal value $\pm 10 \mathrm{db}$. | None | Level between +2.0 and -7 db of expected and $\geq-153.4 \mathrm{dbm}$ (carrier power at 4400 bits $/ \mathrm{sec}$ ) |
| DSS signal levels during midcourse maneuvers | Time variable predictions. Predictions are some nominal value $\pm 2.9 \mathrm{db}$. | $>-136.1 \mathrm{dbm}$ (carrier power at 4400 bits/sec/ high power) | Level variations of 5.6 db and $\geq-126.9$ dbm carrier power at 4400 bits/sec (predicted variations of 5.8 db ) |
| DSS signal levels during terminal maneuver | Time variable predictions. Predictions are some nominal value $\pm 2.9 \mathrm{db}$. | $\begin{aligned} & >-130.4 \mathrm{dbm} \\ & \text { (carrier power } \\ & \text { at } 1100 \text { bits/sec/ } \\ & \text { high power) } \end{aligned}$ | Level variations of 5.9 db and $\geq-127.2$ dbm carrier power at 1100 bits/sec (predicted variations of 5.6 db ) |
| DSS signal levels during descent and touchdown | Time variable predictions. Predictions are some nominal value $\pm 3.0 \mathrm{db}$. | $>-129.8 \mathrm{dbm}$ (carrier power at $1100 \mathrm{bits} / \mathrm{sec}$ and strain gages) | Level variations of 2. 7 db and $\geq-127$. 5 dbm carrier power at $1100 \mathrm{bits} / \mathrm{sec}$ and strain gages on 85foot antenna (predicted variations of 2.0 db ) |

Table 5. 3-3 (continued)

| Parameter | Predicted Value | Requirement | Actual Performance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Transmitter A high power output | $41.2 \mathrm{dbm} \pm 0.2 \mathrm{db}$ | $>39.6 \mathrm{dbm}$ | Output between 40.9 and 41.1 dbm |
| Transmitter A low power output | $23: 25 \mathrm{dbm}_{-0.3}^{+0.5} \mathrm{db}$ | $>20.0 \mathrm{dbm}$ | Output between 23.7 and 24.7 dbm |
| Transmitter B high power output | $40.86 \mathrm{dbm} \pm 0.2 \mathrm{db}$ | >39.6 dbm | Output between 40.5 and 40.7 dbm |
| Transmitter B low power output | $24.05 \mathrm{dbm}_{-1,1}^{+0.0} \mathrm{db}$ | $>20.0 \mathrm{dbm}$ | Output between 23.7 and 25.0 dbm |
| Command reject rate | $<1 / 2000$ | s 1/2000 at signal. level >-114 dbm | One command not processed (liftoff and translation experiment - lunar) at signal levels greater than -100 dbm . |
| Telemetry bit error rate | $<3 / 1000$ | $\leq 3 / 1000$ at input SNR $\geq 10 \mathrm{db}$ | $\mathrm{BER}=3 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{at}$ input $S N R=8.89 \pm$ 1. 5 db . (Maximum error rate noted of $\left.5.2 \times 10^{-3}\right)$ |

*Gyro drift checks during coast phases caused antenna gain variations not taken into account in the predicted signal levels.
**Threshold value applies to command threshold and, as such, only requires one of the two receivers to be above -114 dbm at any one time.
5) Only one of the two commands to terminate vernier engine thrusting during the liftoff and translation experiment was accepted by the spacecraft. The most probable cause for the rejection is an RF multipath null that existed for too short a time to be indicated in spacecraft telemetry.

## 5. 3. 4 SUBSYSTEM PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS

### 5.3.4.1 General Discussion

Before specific phases are discussed, a general treatment of the mission will be undertaken. Information applicable to all mission phases is included in this subsection.

## Subsystem Parameters

Most quantitative estimates of performance are based on received signal levels which, in turn, are determined from individual link parameters. Those parameters used in the performance predictions and the subsystem analyses are tabulated in Table 5.3-4. Equations using these data are derived here; parameters discussed in later portions can be evaluated from these data. Tables 5. 3-4 and 5. 3-5 consist of measured data taken from flight acceptance (FAT), solar thermal vacuum (STV), and command and data handling console (CDC) tests or specification values where measurements were not available.

## Computations Used

In this subsection, reference is made to received signal levels and quantities computed from these levels. The equations used are listed below and will not be derived again:

1) Spacecraft transmitter high power output is

$$
P_{\mathrm{xmtr}}(\mathrm{dbm})=10 \log \left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{tm}} \times 10^{3}\right)+\mathrm{L}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{x m t r}= & \text { transmitter power }(d b m)=P_{\text {high }} \\
P_{t m}= & \text { telemetered power output (watts) } \\
L= & \text { loss from transmitter to power monitor. (Value for trans- } \\
& \text { mitter B/omnidirectional antenna } B \approx \text { as determined from } \\
& \text { STV calibration data.) }
\end{aligned}
$$

TABLE 5. 3-4. UPLINK PARAMETERS FROM FAT, STV, AND CDC TESTS
Description
Value
Transmitting system (DDS)

| RF power | 70.0+0.5 <br> -0.0 dbm <br>  <br> Antenna gain <br> SAA |
| :--- | :---: |
| SCM | $20.0 \pm 2.0 \mathrm{db}$ |

Circuit loss
SAA
$-0.5 \pm 0.0 \mathrm{db}$
SCM

$$
-0.4 \pm 0.1 \mathrm{db}
$$

Receiving system (Surveyor VI)
Circuit loss
Receiver A
$-3.7 \pm 0.5 \mathrm{db}$
Receiver B

$$
-4.3 \pm 0.5 \mathrm{db}
$$

Up link carrier tracking loop Equivalent noise Bandwidth $240 \pm 24 \mathrm{~Hz}$
Threshold SNR 12 db
Up link channel
Threshold SNR ..... 9 db
System noise
Temperature ..... $2700^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$
Equivalent noise
Bandwidth (predetection) ..... 13430 Hz
Data/subcarrier modulation ..... 7.2index
Subcarrier/carrier modulation ..... $1.6 \pm 0.16$ index

TABLE 5.3-5. DOWN LINK PARAMETERS FROM FAT, STV, AND CDC TESTS

## Description

Value

Transmitting system (Surveyor VI)
RF power
Transmitter A $23.25(+0.5,-0.3) \mathrm{dbm}$ (low power)

Transmitter B $\quad 24.05(+0.0,-1.1) \mathrm{dbm}$ (low power)

Transmitter A
(high power)
Transmitter B
$40.86( \pm 0.2) \mathrm{dbm}$
(high power)
Planar array gain
$27.0 \pm 0.5 \mathrm{db}$
Circuit loss

Transmitter A
Omnidirectional antenna A
Transmitter B
Omnidirectional antenna A
Transmitter A
Omnidirectional antenna B
Transmitter B
Omnidirectional antenna $B$
Planar array
Carrier frequency
Receiving system (DSS)
Antenna gain
SAA (acquisition aid antenna)
$21.0 \pm 1.0 \mathrm{db}$
SCM (85-foot antenna)
$53.0(+1.0,-0.5) \mathrm{db}$

Table 5.3-5 (continued)

Description
Value
Circuit loss
SAA
SCM
Effective noise temperature
Maser
Parametric amplifier (SAA antenna)

All DSS except Johannesburg
Johannesburg
Lunar temperature
Carrier channel
Equivalent noise bandwidth for
152 Hz
maneuvers (at threshold)
Equivalent noise bandwidth for coast mode (at threshold)

Threshold SNR
Acquisition
Maneuvers
Coast mode
9.0 db
$14.0 \pm 1.0 \mathrm{db}$
11.4 db

Subcarrier oscillator
Equivalent predetection noise bandwidth, $\mathrm{Hz} \pm 10$ percent
4400 bits/sec ..... 5160
1100 bits/sec ..... 1290
$550 \mathrm{bits} / \mathrm{sec}$ ..... 685
137.5 bits/sec ..... 169
$17.2 \mathrm{bits} / \mathrm{sec}$ ..... 26. 7
Strain gauge 1 ..... 169
Strain gauge 2 ..... 169
Strain gauge 3 ..... 169
Reject/enable ..... 405
Gyro speed ..... 948
Alpha counts ..... 11400
Proton counts ..... 948

Table 5. 3-5 (continued)

## Description

Subcarrier oscillator center
frequencies, kHz

| $4400 \mathrm{bits} / \mathrm{sec}$ | 33.0 |
| :--- | ---: |
| $1100 \mathrm{bits} / \mathrm{sec}$ | 7.35 |
| $550 \mathrm{bits} / \mathrm{sec}$ | 3.90 |
| $137.5 \mathrm{bits} / \mathrm{sec}$ | 0.96 |
| 17.2 bits $/ \mathrm{sec}$ | 0.56 |
| Straingauge 1 | 0.96 |
| Strain gauge 2 | 1.30 |
| Straingauge 3 | 1.70 |
| Reject/enable | 2.3 |
| Gyro speed | 5.4 |
| Alpha counts | 70.0 |
| Proton counts | 5.4 |

Threshold signal-to-noise ratio
for telemetry data, $\pm 1.0 \mathrm{db}$
$4400 \mathrm{bits} / \mathrm{sec} \quad 9.0$

1100 bits/sec
9.0
$550 \mathrm{bits} / \mathrm{sec}$
9.0
$137.5 \mathrm{bits} / \mathrm{sec}$
17.2 bits/sec
9.0
17.2 bits/sec
9.0

Strain gauge 1
7.0

Strain gauge 2
7.0

Strain gauge 3
Reject/enable
7.0

Gyro speed
10.0

Alpha counts
10.0

Proton counts
11.0
10.0

Subcarrier oscillator modulation indices, $\pm 10$ percent

| $4400 \mathrm{bits} / \mathrm{sec}$ | 1.6 |
| :--- | :--- |
| $1100 \mathrm{bits} / \mathrm{sec}$ | 0.935 |
| $550 \mathrm{bits} / \mathrm{sec}$ (acquisition) | 0.3 |
| $550 \mathrm{bits} / \mathrm{sec}$ | 1.15 |
| $137.5 \mathrm{bits} / \mathrm{sec}$ | 1.45 |
| $17.2 \mathrm{bits} / \mathrm{sec}$ | 1.45 |
| Strain gauge l | 0.65 |
| Strain gauge 2 | 0.65 |
| Strain gauge 3 | 0.65 |
| Reject/enable | 0.655 |
| Gyro speed | 1.600 |
| Alpha counts | 1.40 |
| Proton counts | 0.60 |

2) Spacecraft transmitter low power output is

$$
P_{\text {low }}=P_{h i g h}-P_{D S S_{H}}+P_{D S S_{L}}(d b m)
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{\text {low }} & =\text { transmitter low power output } \\
P_{\text {high }} & =\text { telemetered transmitter high power output } \\
P_{D_{S S}} & =\text { DSS received signal level at high power } \\
P_{D_{H S}} & =\text { DSS received signal level at low power }
\end{aligned}
$$

3) Spacecraft omnidirectional antenna gain (up-link) is

$$
G_{R}=\frac{P_{R}}{P_{T} G_{T}\left(\frac{\lambda}{4 \pi R}\right)^{2} L}
$$

where
$G_{R}=$ received omnidirectional antenna gain (up-link gain)
$P_{R}=$ received signal level (determined from spacecraft AGC)
$P_{T}=$ DSS nominal transmitter power
$G_{T}=$ DSS nominal antenna gain
$\lambda=$ wavelength of up link signal
$R=$ slant range at time of computation
$L=$ nominal spacecraft and DSS losses
(Note: For down link gain, appropriate down link parameters are inserted in a similar equation.)
4) Signal-to -noise ratio (SNR) for any subcarrier is

$$
S N R=\frac{P_{S}}{P_{N}}=\frac{M P_{R}}{K T_{e f f}{ }^{B W}}
$$

where
$P_{S}=$ signal power in predetection noise bandwidth
$P_{N}=$ total noise power in predetection noise bandwidth
$M=$ carrier to subcarrier modulation loss adjustment constant based on subcarrier oscillator modulation index on the carrier
$P_{R}=$ received carrier power reported by the DSS
$\mathrm{K}=$ Boltzmann's constant
$T_{\text {eff }}=$ DSS system temperature reported by the DSS
$B W_{S C}=$ subcarrier equivalent predetection noise bandwidth

When using these equations, attention must be given to the desired accuracy of the answer. Since several parameters not measurable in flight, spacecraft telemetry, and DSS station reports are used, computed parameters have potentially large errors. Their validity is thus weighed against similar test data and/or is judged quite subjectively based on past experience. These equations are not used so much for their numerical results as for the total picture of subsystem performance generated. Any gross subsystem problems or computation errors will tend to be uncovered in this analysis, but subtle errors will not.

## Bit Error Rate Calculations

One subsystem parameter of interest is the telemetry bit error rate (BER). This parameter serves as an example of the problems encountered when attempting to evaluate postmission data. BER is required to be less than $3 \times 10^{-3}$ at input SNR ratios of $9 \pm 1 \mathrm{db}$. BER cannot be measured in flight, but word error rate can. On day 313 at approximately ll-1/2 hours GMT, DSS - 42 began counting parity errors. Based on the assumption that a bad parity word represented a single bit error, a BER of $2.94 \times 10^{-3}$ was observed at a reported -138.0 dbm ground station received carrier power (ll hours 49 minutes).

The SNR at this time of the observed $2.94 \times 10^{-3}$ BER was computed as shown below:

DSS AGC/1100 bits $/ \mathrm{sec}=-138.0 \mathrm{dbm}$
System noise temperature $=64.0^{\circ} \mathrm{K}=18.06 \mathrm{db}$ (DSS-42 post-track)

```
Boltzmann's constant = -198.6 dbm/deg/cps
Bandwidth = 1290 Hz \pm 10 percent = 31.1 (+0.41, -0.46) db
Noise power = -149.44(+0.41, -0.46) dbm
Modulation loss
    Carrier -2.01 (+0.40, -0.46) db
    Subcarrier -4.56(+0.62, -0.73) db
\Deltamodulation loss = -2.55(+ 1.08, -1.13) db
Subcarrier power = - 140.55 (+1.08, -1. 13) dbm
SNR = subcarrier power - noise power = +8.89(+1.54, - 1. 54)
```

The tolerance in this computation is only approximate and is probably greater. Based on the SNR requirement of $9 \pm 1.0 \mathrm{db}$, the measured parameter (BER) meets the specification.

## Omnidirectional Antenna Gain iviaps

In order to better visualize and interpret the significance of the signal level data, traces of the earth vector on the omnidirectional antenna gain contour maps are presented. Figures 5. 3-2 and 5. 3-3 show the antenna up and down links. Since signal level variations are, for the most part, the result of increasing range (i.e., more space loss) and changing omnidirectional gain, these plots allow visualization of the expected signal level changes for comparison with plots of up link and down link signal levels versus time.

### 5.3.4.2 Mission Phase 1: Prelaunch to Spacecraft Acquisition

Subsystem performance is assessed during the launch pad systems readiness test and prelaunch countdown test. Next to assuring normal system performance prior to launch, the most important subsystem data taken during this phase are transmitter and receiver frequency data. Frequency data are used to predict the frequencies at initial acquisition and are transmitted from the Cape prior to launch. The DSS, in turn, uses the se data to tune the DSS receiver for one-way lock and the DSS transmitter for eventual twoway lock.

a) Antenna A Gain Contours
Figure 5. 3-2. Up Link ( 2113 MHz ) Omnidirectional Antenna Gain Map

b) Antenna B Gain Contours

Figure 5. 3-2 (continued). Up Lirk (2113 MLHz) Omnidirectional Antenna Gain Map

a) Antenna A Gain Contours
Figure 5. 3-3. Down Link (2295 MHz) Omnidirectional Antenna Gain Map

b) Antenna B Gain Contours

The measured transmitter and receiver frequency data are tabulated in Table 5.3-6. Compartment temperature during the prelaunch period was increasing, thus causing a transmitter frequency decrease and a receiver frequency increase, as expected. The temperature directly affecting the frequency is not actually measured since the telemetered sensor is in the thermal tray and not at the voltage controlled crystal oscillator. Relative temperature versus frequency information is thus considered to be most reliable. Based on this judgment, the measured frequency data were consistent with previous Surveyor VI test data.

Acquisition frequencies are determined by extrapolating the measured values by essentially predicting the compartment temperature increase due to the high-power operation from just prior to Centaur/Surveyor separation to the time of initial spacecraft acquisition. The measured frequencies were biased by -0.5 kHz as determined from data obtained from Reference 5 and assuming 10 minutes of high power operation from injection to initial DSS-51 acquisition.

The actual frequencies at initial DSS -51 acquisition were:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Transmitter (one-way) }=2294.987892 \mathrm{MHz} \\
& \text { Receiver (two-way) }=2113.320576 \mathrm{MHz}
\end{aligned}
$$

The difference between final predicted (T-306 report) and actual frequencies were:

$$
\text { Transmitter }=1489 \mathrm{~Hz}
$$

$$
\text { Receiver }=2012 \mathrm{~Hz}
$$

Table 5. 3-7 is a summary of the significant events during initial RF acquisition at DSS - 51 (Johannesburg). One-way acquisition was accomplished 73 seconds before the predicted first visibility, and good two-way lock was accomplished 5 minutes and 24 seconds later. The spacecraft received signal levels for both receivers $A$ and $B$ were greater than -80 dbm during the initial acquisition phase. Telemetry data also indicated a signal in the passband of both spacecraft receivers at DSS transmitter turn on.

No problems were encountered during initial spacecraft acquisition. The spacecraft high power transmitter was turned off 15 minutes and 13 seconds after being commanded to high power by the Centaur. The maximum allowable time to accomplish turn off is 1 hour.

### 5.3.4.3 Mission Phase 2: Coast

The coast phases consist of the following:

1) Pre-Canopus acquisition - Period from initial spacecraft acquisition until Canopus acquisition. During this time, the spacecraft attitude is uncertain in roll, and the spacecraft $-Z$ axis is pointed toward the sun.
TABLE 5-3.6. PRELAUNCH FREQUENCY SUMMARY*

| Frequency Message Time, minutes | Measured Frequen sies, MHz |  | Predicted Acquisition Frequencies, MHz |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | One-Way NBVCXO | Best Lock | One-Way NBVCXO | Best Lock | Lower Tray Temperature, ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { T-669 } \\ & \text { (Transmitter A) } \end{aligned}$ | 2295.000430 | 2113.318496 | - | - | 72 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { T-565 } \\ & \text { (Transmitter B) } \end{aligned}$ | 2294.997929 | 2113.317480 | 2294.997439 | 2113.316980 | 73 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{T}-326 \\ & \text { (Transmitter A) } \end{aligned}$ | 2294. 997337 | 211 3.316360 | - | - | 78 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { T-50 } \\ & \text { (Transmitter B) } \end{aligned}$ | 2294,998430 | 2113.317344 | 2294.997930 | 2113.316844 | 73 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { T-30a } \\ & \text { (Transmitter B) } \end{aligned}$ | 2294.990617 | 2113.318512 | 2294.990117 | 2113.318012 | 77 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{T}-30 \mathrm{~b} \\ & \text { (Transmitter B) } \end{aligned}$ | 2294.989881 | 2113. 319064 | 2294.989381 | 2113.318564 | 80 |

[^14]TABLE 5.3-7. ACQUISITION EVENTS

| Events | GMT (Day 311), hr:min:sec | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Transmitter B high power on | 08:04:20 | Spacecraft commanded to high power by Centaur |
| i)SS 5l acquires spacecraft one-way on SAA (acquisition aid antenna) | 08:08:51 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Predicted rise was } \\ & 08: 10: 04 \text {. } \end{aligned}$ |
| DSS 51 auto tracking on SAA/paramplifier | 08:10:16 |  |
| DSS 51 switch from SAA to SCM/maser ( 85 foot antenna) | 08:10:45 |  |
| DSS 51 transmitter turn on | 08:12:00 |  |
| Signal in passband of spacecraft receivers | 08:12:06 | (From telemetry.) <br> Receiver A in AFC capture. <br> Receiver $B$ pulling in, not phase locked |
| Phase lock receiver B | 08:13:25 | DSS receiver dropped phase lock, indicating phase lock on receiver B |
| DSS 51 reports good two-way data | 08:14:15 | Good two-way acquisition 35 minutes and 14 seconds after launch |
| DSS 51 command modulation on | 08:14:30 |  |
| Transmitter B high power off | 08:19:33 | Spacecraft was in high power for 15 minutes and 13 seconds for initial acquisition phase (a maximum time of 1 hour is allowed) |

2) Premidcourse - Period from Canopus acquisition until midcourse maneuvers.
3) Postmidcourse - Period from completion of midcourse maneuvers until terminal maneuvers.

Figures 5. 3-4 and 5. 3-5 are plots of DSS, receiver A, and receiver B signal levels from launch to touchdown. The premission predicted signal level after Canopus acquisition is shown in each figure. Since the spacecraft attitude in roll is uncertain to $\pm 60$ degrees about an estimated reference point prior to Canopus acquisition, no premission predictions are made for this period.

Referring to Figures 5.3-3 and 5.3-4, which show traces of the earth vector relative to omnidirectional antenna $B$ down link and omnidirectional antennas A and B up-link gain contours, it can be noted that changes in signal levels during the pre-Canopus acquisition phase and right at Canopus acquisition are in complete agreement with the antenna gain contour maps. The approximate antenna gains during the pre-Canopus phase are noted in Table 5.3-8.

Figures 5.3-4 and 5.3-5 indicate that, during the premidcourse and postmidcourse coast periods, received signal levels deviated from the predicted values in both the up and down links. Gyro drift checks performed during these two periods account for earth vector variations not taken into consideration when generating the predictions. As pointed out in Reference 7, the se minor look angle variations can cause the observed signal level variations. However, the data indicate that the tolerances on the nominal predicted signal level, which also includes antenna gain variations, bound those values seen in the mission data.

During Mission E, severe degradation of the 1100 bits/second data occurred at DSS-14 (210-foot antenna) when the touchdown strain gage SCOs were multiplied with the 7.35 kHz PCM SCO. Data at DSS 11 indicated nominal spacecraft performance. Prior to Mission F the DSS 14 receivers were modified from the MSFN to the standard DSN configuration. The MSFN configuration has wider tracking loop bandwidths than the standard configuration and had been used previously to enhance the tracking capability at touchdown. Details of tests performed at DSS 14 subsequent to this modification are contained in Reference 8.

After completion of the midcourse correction, a special test was performed with DSS 11 and DSS 14. The purpose of the test was to verify analytical and premission test results of the ground station data handling performance with the spacecraft data system in a lunar landing configuration. The touchdown strain gage SCO were multiplexed with the 7.35 kHz SCO ( $1100 \mathrm{bits} / \mathrm{sec}$ data), resulting in a received carrier power at DSS 11 of -136.4 dbm with a corresponding bit error rate of $1.1 \times 10^{-3}$. The received signal level at DSS 14 was reduced by moving the 210 -foot antenna off the spacecraft/station vector. When the carrier power at DSS 14 reached -135. 0 dbm it was determined by visual comparison of the two data streams

TABLE 5.3-8. PRE-CANOPUS ANTENNA GAIN VARIATIONS

| Omnidirectional Antennas | Gain Variations (Coast), db |  | Pre-Canopus Gain, db |  | Gain at Canopus, db |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Predicted | Actual | Predicted | Actual | Predicted | Actual |
| B down link | $\begin{aligned} & -1.0 \text { to } \\ & -2.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0 \text { to } \\ -3.4 \end{gathered}$ | -2.] | -3. 2 | -1.6 | -3.4 |
| A up link | $\begin{aligned} & -3.0 \text { to } \\ & -9.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -3.0 \text { to } \\ & -6.0 \end{aligned}$ | -8. 9 | $-6.0$ | -12.7 | -9. 7 |
| B up link | $\begin{aligned} & +0.0 \text { to } \\ & -3.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +2.0 \text { to } \\ & -1.2 \end{aligned}$ | -1.8 | -1. 2 | $+0.6$ | +1.2 |

that the PCM data at both DSS 14 and DSS 11 were equivalent. As a result of this check, it was concluded that nominal theoretical PCM threshold performance at DSS 14 could be expected with the spacecraft in the 1100 bits/second and touchdown strain gage data multiplier mode during terminal descent and touchdown.

At approximately $L+58-1 / 2$ hours, the spacecraft data rate was reduced to $550 \mathrm{bits} / \mathrm{sec}$. At $1100 \mathrm{bits} / \mathrm{sec}$ data, the received carrier power at DSS 61 had been running approximately -138.5 dbm with a nominal telemetry margin of +0.1 db . Excessive errors in the data were not noted; however, the ground system was experiencing some data processing difficulties. An error rate count at the station indicated a bit error rate of approximately $5.2 \times 10^{-3}$ at this time. The 550 bits/sec data rate continued to be the data mode for the remainder of the coast phase.

## 5. 3. 4. 4 Mission Phase 3: Canopus Acquisition Maneuver

At approximately $L+8$ hours, the star acquisition maneuver was initiated. A total roll of 657.5 degrees about the $Z$ axis was required to make a star map, to adequately identify Canopus, and to finally acquire the star.

Real-time analysis indicated that the roll maneuver would take the earth vector through deep antenna nulls on both the up and down links of both omnidirectional antennas A and B. However, predicted signal level values during the maneuver, even considering worst-case tolerances, would not exceed the two -way tracking threshold. The transponder mode was therefore recommended for the acquisition sequence. Also, the analysis indicated that, in order to map all expected stars with only one roll at a data rate of $4400 \mathrm{bits} / \mathrm{sec}$, it would be necessary to switch antennas during the roll. The sequence was designed to start the roll with data transmission via omni $A$ and switch to omni $B$ when either the moon was seen in the Canopus sensor or


Figure 5.3-4. DSS-Received Carrier Power (dbm)


Figure 5.3-5. Spacecraft Receiver A Signal Level Profile
when the spacecraft had rolled 180 degrees, whichever occurred first. Omni $B$ would be the transmitting antenna for the remainder of the first roll and for that portion of a second roll that would be necessary to lock on to Canopus.

At 15:44:2l GMT, transmitter B was commanded to high power with the ground received signal indicating an increase of 15.9 db . Omnidirectional antenna A was selected at 15:46:31 GMT, and star mapping was initiated at 15:50:22 GMT from DSS 61 with the spacecraft operating in the transponder B mode and transmitting data at 4400 bits/sec in mode 1 .

At 15:55:25 GMT, after approximately 151 degrees of roll, the ground receiver lost phase lock. Since a strong signal was being received at DSS 61 just prior to the loss of lock, the cause was attributed to the spacecraft. Omnidirectional antenna B was selected at 15:56:39 GMT after the spacecraft had rolled approximately 188 degrees, which was in accordance with the original plan. DSS 61 was then instructed to tune their receiver in an attempt to recognize the spacecraft signal. The roll maneuver was stopped at 15:58:44 GMT after the spacecraft had rolled approximately 251 degrees, of which 100 degrees were after the loss of ground receiver lock. A signal in the DSS 61 receiver indicated that the spacecraft may have been trying to phase lock to a sideband resulting from the presence of command modulation on the up link carrier. Transponder B was commanded off and solid ground station receiver lock was established. The roll maneuver was continued at 16:14:22 GMT after an assessment indicated that the spacecraft was operating normally. The spacecraft was then operating in the one-way mode and transmitting data via omni $B$. Down link signal variations of approximately 36 db were noted which agreed with the predictions for a 360 -degree roll in omnidirectional antenna B. Intermittent decommutator lock occurred within the null region; however, down link carrier lock was maintained. Canopus lockon was verified at 16:28:30 GMT.

Transmitter B high power was commanded off at 16:37:16 GMT which resulted in 52 minutes and 55 seconds of high-power operation for star acquisition. DSS 61 receiver carrier power for low-power operation was -130.8 dbm which was a 16.4 db decrease from high power and resulted in a 7.8 db nominal telemetry margin for $1100 \mathrm{bits} / \mathrm{sec}$ data.

Variations in antenna gain seen in the data are compared to predicted variations for both the up and down links and are illustrated in Figure 5.3-6. Both omnidirectional antennas $A$ and $B$ up link variations agree well with the predicted variations except in the null regions of omnidirectional antenna $B$. The data show that a portion of this null is much deeper than expected. The low signal level that resulted caused receiver $B$ to drop phase lock during the maneuver sequence. The spacecraft transmitter then reverted to the NBVCXO for its frequency control, and thus a shift in the down-link frequency resulted which subsequently also caused the ground receiver to lose phase lock.

The comparison of both down links shows the predicted gains to be higher than the gains determined from the mission data. The deviation from


## a) Omnidirectional Antenna A Down Link ( 2295 MHz )

Figure 5. 3-6. Signal Level Versus Predictions During Star Acquisition



predicted seems to be, in general, the same for both omnidirectional antennas $A$ and B. It is unlikely that both antennas would be biased by the same amount. Therefore, these data indicate that the transmitter power may be higher than expected or that the transmitting circuit losses common to both antennas may be lower than expected.

### 5.3.4.5 Mission Phase 4: Midcourse Maneuvers

The $L+18$ hours optional roll-yaw was selected from 16 possibilities as the midcourse maneuver. Real-time analysis predicted the following variations in nominal omnidirectional antenna gain during the maneuver:

1) Omnidirectional antenna $B$ down link: $-4.6<G<+1.2 \mathrm{db}$
2) Omnidirectional antenna $A$ up link: $-20.2<G<+0.1 \mathrm{db}$
3) Omnidirectional antenna $B$ up link: $-12.6<G<+1.6 \mathrm{db}$

Predicted minimum margins were 12.9 db for 4400 bits/sec telemetry, 5.8 db on receiver A , and 13.4 db on receiver B command links. Two-way (transponder) mode was recommended.

At 312:01:51:19 GMT, the spacecraft was commanded to high power and, at $312: 01: 52: 05 \mathrm{GMT}$, the $4400 \mathrm{bit} / \mathrm{sec}$ data rate was selected. The ground received signal increased by 15.9 db when the spacecraft was commanded from lower power to high power, with DSS 11 reporting a received carrier power of -122.0 dbm prior to maneuvering. Maneuver initiation times were 312:02:02:59 GMT for the roll and 312:02:09:08 GMT for the yaw. The DSS 11 received carrier power at the end of the premidcourse maneuver was readin during the maneuver, as opposed to predicted variations of 5.8 db . Spacecraft receiver signal level variations were approximately 17.1 db for receiver $A$ and 12 . 1 db for receiver $B$, as opposed to predicted variations of 22 db and 14.2 db for receivers $A$ and $B$, respectively.

At 312:02:20:02 GMT, midcourse thrust was executed. DSS 11 received carrier power was steady with reported 0.4 db variations during the thrusting period.

At 312:02:22:18 GMT, mode 5 data were selected in preparation for the postmidcourse maneuver. Maneuver initiation times were 312:02:26:07 GMT for the yaw and 312:02:32:57 GMT for the roll. The postmidcourse maneuver ended at approximately 312:02:36:05 GMT with both the up-link and down-link signal levels essentially retracing those seen in the premidcourse maneuver.

Canopus lockon was indicated at 312:02:35:53 GMT, and preparations were made to return the spacecraft to its cruise configuration. At the end of the midcourse sequence, the DSS 11 received carrier power ( -122.3 dbm ) indicated that a nominal positive telemetry margin should exist with the spacecraft at 1100 bits/sec in low power. At 312:02:46:09 GMT, the
$1100 \mathrm{bits} / \mathrm{sec}$ data rate was selected and, at $312: 02: 46: 08$, the spacecraft was returned to low power. The spacecraft operated in high power for 54 minutes and 49 seconds during the midcourse maneuver sequence. Approximately a 16.5 db decrease from high to low power was noted. The resulting -133.8 dbm received carrier level produced a 4.8 db telemetry margin for 1100 bits/sec data.

### 5.3.4.6 Mission Phase 5: Terminal Maneuver

The roll-yaw-roll standard maneuver was selected from eight possibilities as the terminal maneuver, and was optimum for the communications link. Real-time analysis predicted the following variations in nominal omnidirectional antenna gains during the maneuver:

1) Omnidirectional antenna $B$ down link: $-3.7<G<+1.9 \mathrm{db}$
2) Omnidirectional antenna $A$ up link: -14.l $<G<+0.5 \mathrm{db}$
3) Omnidirectional antenna $B$ up link: $-10.1<G<+0.9 \mathrm{db}$

Predicted minimum margins were 4.8 db for $1100 \mathrm{bits} / \mathrm{sec}$ telemetry, 5.0 db on receiver $A$, and 9.0 db on receiver B command links. One-way mode was recommended even though adequate margins were available for the transponder operation. This recommendation was made since one-way configuration was desired for the terminal descent sequence and, operationally, it was safer to establish before the terminal maneuver.

The spacecraft was commanded to high power at 00:07:32 GMT of day 314 , and $1100 \mathrm{bits} / \mathrm{sec}$ data was selected at 00:08:20 GMT. The resulting -124.0 dbm carrier level indicated an increase of 16.4 db over low power operation. Transponder B was turned off at 00:16:59 GMT, establishing the spacecraft configuration for the terminal sequence. Maneuver initiation times were 00:25:20 for the first roll, 00:29:38 for the yaw, and 00:34:56 GMT for the second roll. The received carrier power at DSS 11 of -122.0 dbm at the end of the terminal maneuvers was in complete agreement with the predicted nominal expected value. Signal level variations during the maneuvers are shown in Table 5.3-9.

TABLE 5.3-9. SIGNAL LEVEL DURING TERMINAL MANEUVERS

| Attitude Rotation, degrees | Signal Level Variations, db |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Spacecraft to Earth (Omnidirectional Antenna B) |  | Earth to Spacecraft |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Receiver A |  | Receiver B |  |
|  | Predicted | Observed | Predicted | Observed | Predicted | Observed |
| Roll + 82.0 | 3.3 | 3.1 | 12 | 10.2 | 5.9 | 8. 3 |
| Yaw + 111.8 | 2. 8 | 4. 7 | 2.6 | 4. 4 | 11.0 | 10.1 |
| Roll + 120.5 | 5.6 | 5.8 | 9. 5 | 9. 9 | 7.9 | 7.2 |

### 5.3.4.7 Mission Phase 6: Descent and Touchdown

A preterminal maneuver analysis was performed to evaluate the expected data link performance during the descent and touchdown phase with the touchdown strain gages on prior to retro ignition. Best estimates of spacecraft worst-case performance during this phase indicated that, with strain gages on, $1100 \mathrm{bits} / \mathrm{sec}$ data would be obtained at a bit error rate less than $3 \times 10^{-3}$ at both DSS 14 (210-foot antenna) and DSS 11 ( 85 -foot antenna) with the spacecraft transmitting on omnidirectional antenna $B$.

The touchdown strain gages were turned on at 00:40:03 GMT. The DSS 11 received carrier power was -124.8 dbm prior to retro ignition which was in agreement with the predicted nominal value used for the preterminal strain gage feasibility analysis.

Retro burn was initiated at approximately 00:58:04 GMT, and the signal level at DSS 11 remained steady with approximately a 0.7 -db variation during the burn period. Burnout occurred at approximately 00:58:44 GMT, and the spacecraft began the steering phase of descent. Signal level variations of $2.7-\mathrm{db}$ were noted during descent with the minimum signal level being -127. 5 dbm which agreed with nominal predictions.

Touchdown occurred at 314:01:01:05. 5 GMT with the carrier power at DSS 11 reported as -126.9 dbm . Good PCM data and touchdown strain gage data were obtained, and ground receiver luck was retaincd through touchdown.

### 5.3.4.8 Mission Phase 7: Lunar

The data relative to the lunar phase consist of severdi disjuinted topics. The topics applying to the RF subsystem will be summarized in the following text.

Post-Touchdown Spacecraft Assessment (Day 314)
Transmitter B high voltage was commanded off at 01:22:14 GMT after having been in high power for l hour 14 minutes and 42 seconds during the terminal phase of the mission. A 16.8 -db decrease from high to low power was observed. Transmitter A was turned on for the first time since the prelaunch countdown approximately l/2 hour after touchdown. DSS $1 \underline{l}$ receiver carrier power was reported to be -124.5 dbm with the spacecraft at $1100 \mathrm{bits} /$ sec data with transmitter $A$ in high power on omnidirectional antenna $B$. Omnidirectional antenna $A$ was selected with a resulting l. 2 -db decrease in the ground received signal. Omnidirectional antenna B was then reselected since it was obviously the favorable transmitting omnidirectional antenna.

## TV Performance

The first 200-line television picture was transmitted approximately 1 hour after touchdown. Based on reported DSS 11 signal levels, the computed nominal SNR for the first picture was $13.0 \pm 1.0 \mathrm{db}$.

The first 600 -line television picture was transmitted approximately 3 hours after touchdown, shortly after the planar array was roughly aligned with the earth. Based on reported DSS signal levels, the computed nominal SNR for the first picture was $16.2 \pm 1.0 \mathrm{db}$.

In both cases, the SNR was high enough to provide good quality detected video data which is apparent in the quality and resolution of the pictures.

## Alpha Scattering Performance

The alpha scattering experiment was performed during much of the first lunar day. The total power at the ground station, with the planar array pointed toward the earth, was reported to be, in general, -111.6 dbm (spacecraft transmitter A). This signal level for the $1100 \mathrm{bits} / \mathrm{sec} / \mathrm{alpha}$ scattering multiplex mode resulted in nominal SNRs of 23.3 db for the 1100 bits/sec data, and 20.2 and 19.1 db for the two alpha scattering channels. Good quality data, therefore, was expected and received for this experiment.

## RF Assessment

Two spacecraft RF performance assessments were made during the first lunar day. DSS 42 provided ground support on day 318 with DSS 61 handling the assessment on day 325 . This assessment essentially exercises the subsystem in all possible transmitting and command receiving configurations. It was clearly evident from this assessment that all aspects of the subsystem were performing in a nominal manner.

## Liftoff and Translation Experiment

A liftoff and translation experiment was performed on day 321 . The spacecraft configuration and initial conditions were as follows:

1) Receiver A AGC $=-96 \mathrm{dbm}$ (command margin of +18 db ) Receiver $\mathrm{BAGC}=-107.4 \mathrm{dbm}$ (after A/SPP positioning for the experiment)
2) Spacecraft earth vector in MTGS coordinates was $\theta=177.1$ degrees, $\varphi=-23.8$ degrees.
3) Data transmission on omni antenna $A$ with transmitter $A$ in high power.
4) Receiver A was selected.

The telecommunications performance during the experiment was as follows:

1) No plume effects were evident during the experiment.
2) The spacecraft earth vector was such that there was no line of sight interference from the solar panel and planar array.
3) Vernier engine thrusting during the hop was terminated by two turn-off commands, with the second command immediately following the first. The first turn-off command was rejected by the receiver for unknown reasons. However, the following items have been determined to not have been the cause:
a) Improper command
b) Fault in ground equipment
c) Faulty spacecraft receivers

The most probable cause for the command rejection is an RF multipath null or an extremely rare statistical event.

Based on the performance during the experiment, it is recommended that time critical commands be sent a minimum of three times for future lift off and translation experiments. Two adjacent commands could conceivably be rejected if the multipath null extended over part of the time period of either command. Since it is felt that a null would be of relatively short duration and that nulls would not occur frequently, sending three commands would minimize the possibility of a command reject.

In order to evaluate the sensitivity of the antenna patterns it is also recommended that the A/SPP be stepped $\pm 5$ degrees in polar, elevation, and roll after final positioning.

### 5.3.4.9 Mission Data Plots

Transmitter B Traveling-Wave Tube Temperature (D-14) (Figure 5.1-A1) These data represent the temperature of the traveling-wave tube used for high-power transmitter operation during transit.
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## 5. 4 SIGNAL PROCESSING

### 5.4.1 INTRODUCTION

The signal processing subsystem is composed of the following units:

1) Engineering signal processor (ESP)
2) Auxiliary engineering signal processor (AESP)
3) Central signal processor (CSP)
4) Signal processing auxiliary (SPA)
5) Low data rate auxiliáry (LDRA)

These units, containing two electronic commutators with 6 operational modes, 2 analog-to-digital converters that have available 5 digital bit rates, 17 subcarrier oscillators for transmission of pulse coded modulation data and continuous real-time data, $y$ summing ampiníiers, and à signai cunditioniñ subsystem, performed normally throughout the mission.

A summary of test and flight values for signal processing telemetry can be found in Table 5.4-1. Values for the Surveyor I, 2, III, 4, and V flights have been included for comparison.

### 5.4.2 ANOMALIES

There were no anomalies in the signal processing subsystem through out the transit flight.

## 5. 4. 3 SUMMARY

The signal processing subsystem performed properly throughout the transit flight. A thorough analysis of the touchdown strain gages was per formed in which computerized signal processing techniques were used, such as diversity combining and digital filtering. An alpha scattering bit error rate of $10^{-6}$ was determined from the received data.

TABLE 5.4-1. COMPARISON OF SIGNAL PROCESSING VALUES FROM TEST AND FLIGHT

| Telemetry Signal | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Surveyor VI } \\ & \text { STV Al } \\ & \text { Retest Values } \end{aligned}$ | Surveyor VI Flight Values | Surveyor V Flight Values. Day 251 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Surveyor } 4 \\ \text { STV } \\ \text { Retest Values } \end{gathered}$ | Surveyor 4 Flight Values, Day 197 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Surveyor III } \\ \text { S'TV-C4 } \\ \text { Retest Values } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Surveyor III Flight Values, Day 107 | Surveyor 2 <br> Flight <br> Values | $\begin{gathered} \text { Surveyor I } \\ \text { Flight } \\ \text { Values } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S-1 reference voltage, volts* | 4.895 | 4.89 | 4. 90 | 4.88 | 4.875 | 4.86 to -4.9 | 4. 86 | 4. 9 | 4.88 |
| S-2 reference return, volt ${ }^{\text {B/ }}$ | 0. 00244 | 0.0024 | 0.0024 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.003 | 0. 0024 to <br> 0.0072 |
| S-5 ESP commutator unbalance current, microamperes* | 0.32 | 0.32 | -0. 7649 | -1. 7 | -1. 714 | -2.2 to -2.6 | -2.1 | -1. 4 | -3. 1 |
| S-7 AESP commutator unbalance current, microamperes** | -0.9669 | -0.7618 | -2. 062 | -3. 0 | -2.813 | -1.0 to -1.2 | -1. 3 | -1. 7 | -2.8 |
| S-8 AESP reference voltage, voltsi*** | 4. 958 | 4. 948 | 4.95 |  | 4. 938 |  | 4. 94 | 4. 94 | 4.938 |

*Mode 4
**Mode 5 .
***Mode 5; values before Surveyor V are computed.

### 5.4.4 SIGNAL PROCESSING ANALYSIS

### 5.4.4.1 Unbalance Current Corrections

In each telemetry commutator, transistor switches connect each analog output voltage (representing a spacecraft voltage, current, or temperature) with a common commutator line connected to the input of one of two analog-to-digital converters. A bootstrap unloader circuit is connected to this common line to reduce the stray capacitance, equalize the load impedance, and provide bias currents for the commutator and master switches. Since these bias currents are not exactly equal, a difference or unbalance current exists. The telemetry circuit being sampled must supply this current, causing an error in the measured voltage proportional to the output impedance of the circuit.

The unbalance current for a specific telemetry channel in each commutator (S-5 for ESP and S-7 for AESP) is measured in telemetry modes 2, 4, and 5, with typical values given in Table 5.4-1.

### 5.4.4.2 Potentiometer Reference Voltage Corrections

The nominally 4.85 reference voltage is supplied by either the ESP or AESP units to the landing gear and solar panel position potentiometers, to the propulsion pressure transducers, and to the secondary sun sensors. This reference voltage, derived from the 29 -volt nonessential bus, varies due to load and input supply voltage changes. The ESP voltage is telemetered in modes 2 and 4 , and can be used to correct the affected signals, whose calibrations are based on a reference voltage of exactly 4.85 volts. The AESP voltage was not telemetered before Surveyor V; therefore, the values given in Table 5.4-1 for earlier spacecraft were obtained by computation.

### 5.4.4.3 Current Calibration Signals

Current measurements are accomplished by measuring the voltage drop across a low resistance shunt which is in series with the power line being monitored. This measurement is in the range of 0 to 100 millivolts. Since this voltage is not referenced to ground and is not scaled to the 0 - to 5 -volt telemetry input level range, it is necessary to amplify it with a differential amplifier. The nominal gain of this amplifier is 50 , but its actual gain linearity and stability are not specified to a tight tolerance. To determine the current amplifier parameters and thereby increase the accuracy of current measurements, three calibration signals (with 0.2 -percent stability) are amplified and telemetered in each commutator. These signals can thus be used by postmission processing for a continual in-flight calibration of the current amplifier.

Only the AESP current calibration signals were investigated. Table 5.4-2 shows that these signals have changed by no more than 0.5 percent since being initially set at the unit flight acceptance test. It is also seen that the gain of the AESP current amplifier was reasonably constant over the mission.

TABLE 5.4-2. SUMMARY OF CURRENT CALIBRATION SIGNAL DATA IN AESP

| Signal | Nominal Percent <br> of Full Scale | Flight Data, <br> percent change | Remarks |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| EP-27 | 90 | 0.1745 | Bcforemidcourse |
| EP-28 | 50 | 0.2 | After midcourse |
|  |  | 0.182 | Before midcourse |
| EP-29 | 10 | 0.0 | After midcourse |
|  |  | 0.445 | Before midcourse |
|  |  | After midcourse |  |

### 5.4.4.4 Touchdown Strain Gage Data

Magnetic tape data were obtained from two DSIF stations via the 85foot diameter antenna at the Pioneer site and the 210 -foot diameter antenna at the Mars site. These two independent signal paths allow the technique of space diversity combining (References land 2) to be used on the two sets of touchdown strain gage (TSG) data, resulting in a signal trace with improved
signal-to-noise ratio. A set of waveforms from the 85 -foot diameter antenna was also obtained on the Surveyor hop.

Footpad impact times were as follows:
Leg l: $\quad 314: 01: 01: 05.467 \pm 0.003$
Leg 2: $\quad 314: 01: 01: 05.490 \pm 0.003$
Leg 3: 314:01:01:05.506 $\pm 0.003$
The original data were recorded with a cutoff frequency of 100 Hz . They were then low -pass filtered (by means of digital filtering on a computer) at $50-, 30-$, and $15-\mathrm{Hz}(-3 \mathrm{db})$ bandwidths before being diversity combined. This method provided better signal-to-noise ratios on the waveforms that were filtered by the $30-$ and $15-\mathrm{Hz}$ bandwidths, because of intermodulation distortion (IMD) components at approximately 45 to 50 Hz . This can be seen by comparing Figure $5.4-1(50-\mathrm{Hz}$ bandwidth $)$ with Figure $5.4-2(30-\mathrm{Hz}$ bandwidth $)$.

Figure 5.4-1 shows strain gages 1,2 , and 3 filtered by a sixth order Butterworth filter with a $50-\mathrm{Hz}$ bandwidth. Figures 5.4-2 and 5. 4-3 are similar with filter bandwidths of 30 and 15 Hz , respectively.

The signal-to-noise ratio listed on each plot is a mean power ratio of the signal from an assumed initial zero deflection point to an assumed final zero deflection point with respect to the noise defined over some interval prior to touchdown. This analysis assumes that the noise variance is unchanged prior to and during the signal duration.
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a) Strain Gage 1
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Figure 5.4-3. Diversity Combined
$15-\mathrm{Hz}$ Filter Bandwidth

### 5.5 FLIGHT CONTROL

### 5.5.1 INTRODUCTION

The principal requirements of the Surveyor flight control system are attitude control, accurate angular maneuvers, precision velocity corrections, and a soft lunar landing. In order to accomplish these functions, the control system utilizes such hardware as gyros, gas jets, a solid fuel engine, liquid fuel engines, optical sensors, timing devices, radars, and acceleration sensing mechanisms.

### 5.5.1.1 Attitude Control

Attitude control is accomplished by two basic types of active control systerns. During coast phase, a bang-bang type of attitude gas jet system is employed which utilizes artificial rate feedback for loop stabilization. During periods of potentially large moment disturbances, such as the main retro phase, the throttle-controlled vernier engine system is used. The error signals required for controlling the propulsion systems are derived from optical sensors or rate integrating gyros which are mounted on the spacecraft in such a way as to provide a three-axis control system. During coast phase, when the gas jet system is used, two modes of operation are available. One is the celestial referenced mode using the sun and Canopus, and the second is self-contained inertial referencing (gyros). The first mode is used to establish accurate spatial attitude, and the second mode is generally used when momentary inertial reference is desired; such an instance occurs during an attitude maneuver.

### 5.5.1.2 Angular Maneuvers

The rate integrating gyros are also used for accurate angular maneuvers, accomplished by precessing the gyros at precise rates for given time intervals and slaving the spacecraft to the gyros through the gas jet system.

### 5.5.1.3 Velocity Correction

A midcourse velocity correction capability is provided by a system consisting of three vernier engines, a precision timer, and an accurate acceleration sensing device. The difference between the commanded acceleration level and the output from an accelerometer provides the error signal that commands the vernier engines to the required thrust levels. The constant
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acceleration and variable time concept used by the Surveyor flight control system provides the flexibility of choosing velocity corrections from 2.0 to $100 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{sec}$.

### 5.5.1.4 Soft Landing

Surveyor's soft landing capability is provided by a sophisticated technique utilizing radars to compute velocities and range. The range information is then used by an on-board computer to provide vertical velocity commands to the vernier engine system according to an approximate, constant acceleration, $V^{2} / R$ function. The velocity information is used by the vernier engine attitude control loop to produce a near-gravity turn descent by aligning the spacecraft thrust axis to the true velocity vector. The velocity information is also used, along with velocity commands, to generate error signals for the velocity control loop.

To provide the required condition of low velocity for the soft landing phase, a large amount of approach velocity is removed by a solid fuel rocket engine during the initial portion of the terminal descent phase. Spacecraft attitude during this phase is inertially stabilized by the gyro vernier engine control system.

### 5.5.1.5 Mission Performance

During the Surveyor VI mission, each of the above mentioned tasks was performed satisfactorily.

### 5.5.1.6 Analysis

Subsection 5.5 .4 contains the analysis effort. The analysis items are categorized under major mission phases for easier identification and performance evaluation. A log of time and events is presented in Table 5.5-1, and a table of results (Table 5.5-2) is given in subsection 5.5.3.

### 5.5.2 ANOMALY DESCRIPTION

No flight control anomalies occurred during the mission.

### 5.5.3 SUMMARY

A summary of flight control performance is presented in Table 5.5-2.

TABLE 5.5-1. SURVEYOR VI TIME AND EVENTS LOG

| Event | Date, GMT | Mission Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { GMT, } \\ \text { hr:min:sec } \end{gathered}$ | From Launch |
| Launch | 7 November 1967 | 07:39:01 | 0 |
| Injection |  | 08:03:30 | 24 M 29 S |
| Separation |  |  |  |
| Electrical |  | 08:04:24 | 25M23S |
| Mechanical |  | 08:04:30 | 25M29S |
| Automatic sun acquisition |  |  |  |
| Start |  | 08:05:18 | $26 \mathrm{Ml} \mathrm{7S}$ |
| Completed |  | 08:14:50 | 39M49S |
| Canopus verification, started |  | 15:50:23 | $8 \mathrm{H11M22S}$ |
| Canopus acquisition, completed |  | 16:27:49 | 8H48M48S |
| Gyro drift check No. 1 |  |  |  |
| Start |  | 16:43:04 | $9 \mathrm{H} 04 \mathrm{M03S}$ |
| Stop |  | 18:04:10 | $10 \mathrm{H} 25 \mathrm{M0} 9 \mathrm{~S}$ |
| Gyro drift check No. L |  |  |  |
| Start |  | 18:18:21 | 10H39M20S |
| Stop |  | 19:22:31 | 11 H 43 M 30 S |
| Gyro drift check No. 3, roll only |  |  |  |
| Start |  | 19:24:24 | 11 H 45 M 23 S |
| Stop |  | 20:38:56 | 12H59M55S |
| Gyro drift check No. 4 |  |  |  |
| Start |  | 20:44:50 | 13H05M49S |
| Stop |  | 22:03:03 | 14 H 24 M 02 S |
| Premidcourse (+) roll, 91.9 degrees | 8 November 1967 |  |  |
| Start |  | 02:03:00 | 18 H 23 M 59 S |
| Stop |  | 02:06:04 | 18 H 27 M 03 S |

Table 5.5-1 (continued)

| Event | Date, GMT | Mission Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { GMT, } \\ \text { hr:min:sec } \end{gathered}$ | From Launch |
| Premidcourse (+) yaw, 127.3 degrees |  |  |  |
| Start |  | 02:09:09 | 18H30M08S |
| Stop |  | 02:13:24 | 18 H 34 M 23 S |
| Midcourse thrust executed |  | 02:20:03 | 18H41 M02S |
| Sun reacquired |  | 02:31:44 | 18H52M43S |
| Canopus reacquired |  | 02:35:53 | 18 H 56 M 52 S |
| Gyro drift check No. 5 |  |  |  |
| Start |  | 04:37:49 | 20H58M48S |
| Stop |  | 05:42:50 | 22H03 M49S |
| Gyro drift check No. 6 (roll only) |  |  |  |
| Start |  | 08:32:26 | 24H53 M25S |
| Stop |  | 12:46:28 | 28H59M27S |
| Gyro drift check No. 7 |  |  |  |
| Start |  | 16:18:38 | 32 H 39 M 37 S |
| Stop |  | 17:53:41 | 34 Hl 4 M 40 S |
| Gyro drift check No. 8, (roll only) |  |  |  |
| Start |  | 18:59:38 | 35 H 20 M 37 S |
| Stop |  | 21:42:26 | $38 \mathrm{H03} \mathrm{M25S}$ |
| Gyro drift check No. 9 |  |  |  |
| Start |  | 22:44:59 | 39 H 05 M 58 S |
| Stop | 9 November 1967 | 00:11:16 | 40 H 32 Ml 5 S |
| Gyro drift check No. 10 |  |  |  |
| Start |  | 01:11:23 | 41 H 32 M 22 S |
| Stop |  | 02:25:34 | 42 H 46 M 33 S |
| Gyro drift check No. 11 |  |  |  |
| Start |  | 03:29:50 | 43 H 50 M 49 S |
| Stop |  | 04:49:08 | $45 \mathrm{HI} 0 \mathrm{M07S}$ |

Table 5.5-1 (continued)

| Event | Date, GMT | Mission Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | GMT, hr:min:sec | From Launch |
| Gyro drift check No. 12 |  |  |  |
| Start |  | 06:25:57 | 46H46M56S |
| Stop |  | 07:38:32 | 47H59M31S |
| Gyro drift check No. 13 |  |  |  |
| Start |  | 09:04:07 | 49H25M06S |
| Stop |  | 10:42:27 | 51 H 03 M 26 S |
| Gyro drift check No. 14, (roll only) |  |  |  |
| Start |  | 11:01:22 | 51 H 22 M 21 S |
| Stop |  | 15:26:55 | 55 H 47 M 54 S |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Preretro (+) roll, } 81.7 \\ & \text { degrees } \end{aligned}$ | 10 November 1967 |  |  |
| Start |  | 00:25:20 | 64 H 46 Ml 95 |
| Stop |  | 00:28:04 | 64 H 49 M 03 S |
| Preretro (+) yaw, 111.7 degrees |  |  |  |
| Start |  | 00:29:38 | 64 H 50 M 37 S |
| Stop |  | 00:33:22 | 64 H 54 M 21 S |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Preretro (+) roll, } 120.5 \\ & \text { degrees } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| Start |  | 00:34:56 | 64H55M55S |
| Stop |  | 00:38:57 | 64 H 59 M 56 S |
| AMR mark |  | 00:57:57 | 65 Hl 8 M 56 S |
| Vernier ignition |  | 00:58:03 | $65 \mathrm{Hl} 9 \mathrm{M0} 2 \mathrm{~S}$ |
| Retro eject |  | 00:58:56 | $65 \mathrm{H1} 9 \mathrm{M} 55 \mathrm{~S}$ |
| 1000-foot mark |  | 01:00:41 | 65 H 2 lM 40 S |
| 14-foot mark |  | 01:01:04 | 65 H 22 M 03 S |
| Touchdown |  | 01:01:05 | 65 H 22 M 0.4 S |

TABLE 5.5-2. FLIGHT CONTROL RESULTS

|  | Controlling Specification | Specification Value | Results' | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Prelaunch |  |  |  |  |
| Proper gyro temperature control |  |  | Roll $168.6^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ Pitch $163.0^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ Yaw $162.5^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Time was } 311: 07: 39 \\ & \text { GMT } \end{aligned}$ |
| Verification of $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ loading | 224832 A | 4.57 pounds | 4.56 pounds | $\begin{aligned} & (F C-4)=4686 \mathrm{psi} \\ & (\mathrm{FC}-48)=80.4^{\circ} \mathrm{F} \end{aligned}$ |
| Centaur separation | (3.5.2.1) |  |  |  |
| Time required to null rates to less than $0.1 \mathrm{deg} / \mathrm{sec}$ |  | $<0.1 \mathrm{deg} / \mathrm{sec}$ within 50 seconds | $<17$ seconds |  |
| Magnitude of angular rate at separation |  | $53.0 \mathrm{deg} / \mathrm{sec}$ | $\approx 0.0 \mathrm{deg} / \mathrm{sec}$ |  |
| Sun acquisition |  |  |  |  |
| Proper sun acquisition <br> Roll <br> Yaw <br> Total time | (7.3.3.3.4) | Minus roll maneuver until activation of acquisition sun sensor and then a plus yaw maneuver until primary sun sensor illumination | 264 degrees of roll <br> 22 degrees of yaw <br> 572 seconds |  |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ gas used | Design | 0.054 pound (average) | <0.1 pound |  |
| Star acquisition | (7.3.3.3.5) | Positive roll maneuver |  |  |
| Proper acquisition and verification of Canopus |  | sufficient to produce an adequate star map for Canopus verification. Pro- | Automatic lockon |  |
| Roll angle from beginning of maneuver to Canopus |  | vide a lockon signal when Canopus appears in the sensor field of view | $298 \text { degrees }$ |  |
| Objects identified |  |  | Deneb, Canopus, earth |  |
| Mean roll rate during star map phase |  | $0.5 \mathrm{deg} / \mathrm{sec}$ | 0. $5009 \mathrm{deg} / \mathrm{sec}$ |  |
| Effective gain (relative to nominal Canopus) of Canopus sensor |  |  | 1. $10 \times$ Canopus |  |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ gas used | Design | 0.048 pound (average) | $<0.1$ pound |  |
| Coast mode | (7.3.3.3.6) | Roll axis shall be held to within 0.20 degree of sunspacecraft line, plus a $\pm 0.30$ degree limit cycle <br> Same magnitude as above for Canopus-spacecraft line |  | Sun and star error signal noise level were low enough to have no effect on the limit cycle performance |
| Limit cycle (gas jet system) | (7.3.3.3.3) |  |  |  |
| Optical mode/inertial mode | (7.3.3.3.5) | $\pm 0.30 \mathrm{degree}$ |  | Values are that of the total deadband. Predicted values were: |
| Average amplitude - roll |  |  | $0.41 / 0.48$ degree | 0.44/0.44 degree |
| Average amplitude-pitch |  |  | $0.52 / 0.37$ degree | $0.44 / 0.44$ degree |
| Average amplitude-yaw |  |  | $0.52 / 0.30 \mathrm{degree}$ | $0.44 / 0.44$ degree |
| Gyro drift | (7.3.3.3.3C) | $<1 \mathrm{deg} / \mathrm{hr}$ |  |  |
| Roll |  |  | Roll $-0.64 \mathrm{deg} / \mathrm{hr}$ |  |
| Pitch |  |  | Pitch $\approx 0 \mathrm{deg} / \mathrm{hr}$ |  |
| Yaw |  |  | Yaw $+1.4 \mathrm{deg} / \mathrm{hr}$ |  |
| Gas jet thrust level |  | $>0.052$ pound | 0.064 pound (roll) | Design value is 0.057 pound |

Table 5.5-2 (continued)

|  | Controlling Specification | Specification Value | Results | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Premidcourse maneuvers <br> Maneuver angles <br> Roll +91.9 degrees <br> Yaw +127.3 degrees | (7.3.3.3.7) | Rates shall be controlled to be $0.5 \pm 0.0011 \mathrm{deg} / \mathrm{sec}$ | +91.815 degrees <br> +127.35 degrees | Assuming a precession level of 0.5000 deg/sec |
| Precession command times <br> Roll 183.8 seconds <br> Yaw 254.6 seconds <br> Attitude maneuver accuracy (includes drift, initial attitude errors, and limit cycle) |  | 0.2 second plus 0.02 percent of command interval magnitude | 183.63 sec onds <br> 254.7 seconds <br> 0.16 degree with <br> 0.24 degree <br> $3 \sigma$ uncertainty | These times were obtained from the gyro error signal response profile <br> Calculated using actual data of drift, attitude errors, and execution errors |
| Maximum midcourse acceleration error <br> Expected $\Delta V /$ tracking $\Delta V$ | 224832A | $\Delta V$ error $< \pm 1.3 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.132 \mathrm{fps} \\ & \\ & 10.064 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{sec} \\ & 10.122 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{sec} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Shutdown impulse (No. I burn) <br> Engine 1 <br> Engine 2 <br> Engine 3 | (8.3.1.3.2.4.1) | $<5 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{scc} / \mathrm{cngine}$ $\Delta$ impulse $<0.66 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{sec}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +0.085 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{sec} \\ & -0.09 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{sec} \\ & +0.015 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{sec} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Preretro maneuvers <br> Manuever angles | (7.3.3.3.7) | Rates shall be controlled to be $0.5 \pm 0.0011 \mathrm{deg} / \mathrm{sec}$ |  | Values oniy include execution error. The desired values were: |
| Roll |  |  | +81.82 degrees | Koll (+)ジi. 7 degrees |
| Yaw |  |  | +111.71 degrees | Yaw (+)111.7 degrees |
| Roll |  |  | +120.55 degrees | Roll (+)120.5 degrees |
| Precession command times |  | 0.2 second plus 0.02 percent of the command interval magnitude |  | The command values were: |
| Roll |  |  | 163 h4 ceronds | 163.4 seconds |
| Yaw |  |  | 223.42 seconds | 223.4 seconds |
| Roll |  |  | 241.09 seconds | 241.0 seconds |
| Pointing accuracy (includes drift, initial attitude errors, and limit cycle) |  | Within $\pm 1$ degree | 0.26 degree with $0.213 \sigma$ uncertainty |  |
| Gyro drift compensation values | , |  |  |  |
| Roll |  |  | -0.64 deg/hr |  |
| Pitch |  |  | 0 deg $/ \mathrm{hr}$ |  |
| Yaw |  |  | $+1.4 \mathrm{deg} / \mathrm{hr}$ |  |
| Terminal descent |  |  |  |  |
| Main retro |  |  |  |  |
| Burn time (fromignition to 3.5 g switch) | (7.3.3.3.9) | Approximately 39 seconds | 39.56 seconds |  |
| Maximum retro thrust |  | $<10,000$ pounds | 9550 pounds | Computed using retro accelerometer data |
| Peak attitude transient at vernier ignite - retro ignite | (7.3.3.3.10) |  |  |  |
| Roll |  |  | -0.77 degree |  |
| Pitch |  |  | -0.17 degree |  |
| Yaw |  |  | -0.08 degree |  |

Table 5. 5-2 (continued)

|  | Controlling Specification | Specification Value | Results | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Main retro thrust vector to spacecraft center of gravity | (8.3.5.3.2.8) | $<0.18$ inch | 0. 04 inch |  |
| Thrust vector pointing accuracy during retro burn | (8.3.5.3.2.9) | Within $\pm 1$ degree | 0. 8 degree | Based on estimated versus actual burnout conditions |
| Main attitude error during burn |  |  |  |  |
| Roll |  |  | -0.15 degree |  |
| Pitch |  |  | +0.08 degree |  |
| Yaw |  |  | -0.03 degree |  |
| Roll actuator position <br> Peak at retro ignition <br> Mean value during burn |  |  | +1.08 degrees <br> +0. 125 degree |  |
| Time between major events | (7.3.3.3.9) |  |  |  |
| AMR mark and vernier ignition |  | 5.875 seconds expected | 5.9 seconds |  |
| Vernier and retro ignition |  | $1.1 \pm 0.1$ seconds | 1.1 seconds |  |
| Retro ignition and RADVS pyro switch on |  | $0.55 \pm 0.1$ second | $0.36_{-0.36}^{+0.6}$ second |  |
| Retro ignition and retro burnout (inertia switch closes) |  | 39.6 seconds expected | 39.56 seconds |  |
| Retro burnout and high thrust |  | 10.0 seconds expected | 9.65 seconds |  |
| High thrust and retro eject |  | 2.0 seconds expected | 2.3 seconds |  |
| Retro eject and start of RADVS-controlled descent |  | 2.15 seconds expected | 2. 10 sec onds |  |
| Retro burnout conditions |  |  |  |  |
| Attitude |  |  | 36,625 feet |  |
| Total velocity |  |  | 515 fps |  |
| Angle between thrust vector and velocity vector |  |  | 26 degrees | $\begin{aligned} & v_{x} \approx+0.0 \mathrm{fps} \\ & \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{y}}=+225 \mathrm{fps} \end{aligned}$ |
| Time to align $Z$-axis to velocity vector |  | 9 seconds maximum | $<6$ seconds |  |
| Descent segment intercept conditions |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 24,730 \text { feet } \\ & 522 \mathrm{fps} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Touchdown conditions |  |  |  |  |
| Vertical velocity |  | $<15 \mathrm{fps}$ | $\approx 12 \mathrm{fps}$ |  |
| Lateral velocity |  | $<5.0 \mathrm{fps}$ | $\approx 0 \mathrm{fps}$ |  |
| Additional information |  |  |  |  |
| Total nitrogen gas used | Design | $0.64 \pm 0.22$ pound | 0.44 pound | See coast mode gas consumption |
| Gyro speeds | 235159 | Telemetry value $=50 \mathrm{cps}$ for all three gyros |  |  |
| Roll gyro |  |  | Roll $=50 \mathrm{~Hz}$ (average) |  |
| Pitch gyro |  |  | Pitch $=50 \mathrm{~Hz}$ (average) |  |
| Yaw gyro |  |  | Yaw $=50 \mathrm{~Hz}$ (average) |  |
| Gyro heater duty cycle |  |  |  |  |
| Roll |  |  | Roll $=20$ percent (on) |  |
| Pitch |  |  | Pitch $=43$ percent (on) |  |
| Yaw |  |  | Yaw $=27$ percent (on) |  |
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### 5.5.4. SUBSYSTEM PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS

### 5.5.4.1 Prelaunch

## Gyro Temperatures

The gyro temperatures at 06:39 GMT just prior to launch were as follows:

| Roll | $=168.6^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| Pitch | $=163.0^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ |
| Yaw | $=162.5^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ |

## Nitrogen Weight

The estimated on-board nitrogen weight at launch was 4.56 pounds based on a telemetered tank pressure of 4686 psi at a tank temperature of $80.4^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. This agreed closely with the best estimate of 4.6 pounds of nitrogen loaded.

### 5.5.4.2 Launch Through Separation From Centaur

After extending its landing legs, Surveyor is separated from the Centaur booster. When the three legs-down signals and the separation signal have been generated, the programmer removes the logic signal which has been inhibiting operation of the gas jet amplifiers. At this same instant, the magnitude register begins to count down 1024 counts for a 51 -second interval; the start of sun acquisition is inhibited for this interval to give the cold gas attitude control system opportunity to rate stabilize the spacecraft. Table 5.5-1 presents these events in time reference.

Rate stabilization is accomplished by using the three-axis attitude control system to torque the spacecraft and drive the caged integrating rate gyros error signals to within the deadband of each gas jet amplifier. Thus, at the end of a nominal rate stabilization maneuver, the spacecraft has achieved a low angular velocity at a random orientation in inertial space. The system response is dependent upon the magnitude and direction of the initial velocity vector and the gas jet thrust levels, and is essentially deadbeat in nature.

Flight control system performance just after Centaur separation was evaluated for proper nulling of the separation rates, the time required to null rates to less than $0.1 \mathrm{deg} / \mathrm{sec}$, the total angular excursion, and magnitude of angular rates due to separation.

Separationtransients are plotted in Figure 5.5-1. The transients about all three axes appear normal and indicate that any separation-induced rates were essentially zero.
5. 5-10

All three body rates were reduced to $50.1 \mathrm{deg} / \mathrm{sec}$ in less than 20 seconds. The total attitude change of the spacecraft from the time of mechanical separation until each body rate was less than $0.1 \mathrm{deg} / \mathrm{sec}$ is simply the time integral of the plots in Figure 5.5-1 over the applicable time range. Graphical integration provided the following results:

| Roll: | 0 degree |
| :--- | :---: |
| Pitch: | +2.0 degrees |
| Yaw: | +0.36 degree |

The expected nitrogen usage for rate dissipation is small. A typical rate dissipation transient will require the use of 0.040 pound of nitrogen. Because the measurement uncertainties are large compared to the usage, no quantitative measurement of nitrogen gas consumption during rate dissipation was attempted.

### 5.5.4.3 Sun Acquisition

Fifty-one seconds after electrical separation, sun acquisition is initiated by a command from the flight control programmer which causes a vehicle roll maneuver of $-0.5 \mathrm{deg} / \mathrm{sec}$ and continues until the sun comes into the acquisition sun sensor field of view which is aligned approximately to the spacecraft roll=pitch plane. When this occurs, the roll command is removed and a plus yaw maneuver is initiated to point the primary sun sensor line of sight toward the sun. When the sun falls into the primary sun sensor field of view, a lockon signal is generated. This signal switches vehicle attitude control to the primary sun sensor and also serves to indicate (via telemetry) the compietion of sun acquísition.

The automatic sun acquisition mode was initiated at 08:05:18.228 GMT as indicated by the countdown of the programmer clock. The estimated magnitude of the roll maneuver based on a constant gyro precession rate of 0.5 deg/sec was 264 degrees, while the yaw maneuver was estimated to be 10.0 degrees based on real time flight data. The sun acquisition phase is depicted in Figure 5.5-2.

## Nitrogen Utilization

Following sun acquisition, the remaining nitrogen was estimated at 4.52 pounds, indicating that 0.04 pound was consumed during the separation rate dissipation and sun acqusition maneuvers. The expected nominal value is 0.094 pound.

a) Roll Precession Command

b) Yaw Gyro Error

Figure 5. 5-2. Sun Acquisition Phase

c) Primary Sun Sensor Pitch Error

d) Primary Sun Sensor Yaw Error

Figure 5. 5-2 (continued). Sun Acquisition Phase

### 5.5.4.4 Canopus (Star Acquisition)

As defined in Reference 1 (Model A-2l Equipment Specification 224832), paragraphs 7.1.2.7 and 7.1.2.7.1:
'... a star map shall... be obtained by rotating the spacecraft about the $Z$-axis [Ed. note: initiated by receipt of a sun and roll command 0714] and recording the Canopus sensor output intensity signal as a function of time. Canopus shall be identified by position and magnitude relative to other stars [Ed. note: and celestial objects] passing in the field of view of the sensor..... The star acquisition mode shall be initiated when the flight control subsystem receives the Sun and Star... command 0703.... The spacecraft shall rotate about the Zaxis in the positive direction until the star Canopus appears within the. . sensor field of view. Illumination of the ... sensor [Ed. note: by the star Canopus] shall effect star acquisition, star lock and star track about the spacecraft Z -axis. "

During Mission $F$, the spacecraft was commanded to roll at +0.5 deg/sec at 311:15:50:21. 9 GMT. Telemetered confirmation occurred at the received time of $311: 15: 50: 22.645 \mathrm{GMT}$, corresponding to $L+8: 11: 20$. 8 . During the ensuing roll, a star map was generated by recording the telemetered analog signals star intensity (FC-14) (i.e., output intensity signal) and star angle or roll error ( $\mathrm{FC}-12$ ) on a strip chart recorder. From this map, Canopus was positively identified during the first 360 degrees of roll by comparing the angular spacing and star intensity signal magnitudes of three celestial objects passing through the field of view. Since the Canopus lockon signal occurred when Canopus was in the field of view during the first roll resolution, it was decided to continue rolling and acquire Canopus by employing sun and star command 0703. The spacecraft was commanded to the sun and star mode at 311:16:25:27.6, and telemetered confirmation occurred at the received time of $311: 16: 25: 28$. 151. Canopus lockon telemetry was received at $311: 16: 27: 47.238$, after which it required approximately 50 seconds for the roll error signal to stabilize to the deadband limit.

## Star Map

At this point in time, the spacecraft, moon, sun, and earth relationships in the ecliptic plane are as shown in Figure 5.5-3a. The center of the moon would pass approximately 26 degrees outside the field of view in a plus yaw direction, and the center of the earth would pass approximately 2 degrees outside the field of view in a minus yaw direction. As shown in Figure 5. 5-3a, the spacecraft is behind the moon and would therefore "see" less than a half moon. However, the dark side of the earth would appear in the minus yaw half of the field of view, and the large bright side of the earth would be just outside the field of view in a minus yaw direction. Figure 5.5-3b depicts the relationship of the sensor field of view and the earth as the spacecraft's -X axis points toward the earth during spacecraft roll.

Since large area bright objects within approximately 35 degrees of the sensor's line of sight will reflect light into the sensor from baffles in the sensor's light shield, it was expected that a sizeable star intensity signal would result when the sensor was rolling past the earth and very little, if any, signal would result when rolling past the moon. In addition 22 stars with intensities greater than $0.37 \times 10^{-14} \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ come within the field of view during a complete roll revolution. Based on laboratory measurements of star intensity telemetry signals versus star intensity on this particular sensor (S/N 7) it was predicted that three stars might be observed. Figure 5. 5-3c depicts the preflight calculated angular (roll angle) spacing of the moon, earth, Canopus, and the other expected stars.

The telecommunications subsystem was in mode lat $4400 \mathrm{bits} / \mathrm{sec}$, resulting in transmission of a 100 -word commutator word frame each 0.25 second. Star angle and star intensity signals, plus digital word 3, are read out each 0.05 second during each frame (equivalent to each 0.025 degree of spacecraft roll at the commanded roll rate of $0.5 \mathrm{deg} / \mathrm{sec}$ ) and digital words 1 and 9 are read out once per frame (equivalent to each 0.125 degree of spacecraft roll).

During the mission, spacecraft telemetry was transmitted to the SFOF from Madrid (DSS -61) at 1100 bits/sec, resulting in the transmission of a 100 word commutator word frame each second. Thus, during the star mapping portion of the mission in real time at the SFOF, only one -fourth of the total data was available for star identification. From the real-time SFOF analog recorder traces of star angle and star intensity, it was possible to distinguish two stars plus a 43-degree-wide high star intensity signal. The angular spacing and magnitude of these signals was compared with preflight calculated star and eariu angles and intensities, thus permitting positive identification of Canopus and Deneb, plus the earth. Postmission analysis of the total data, containing all commutator frames versus every fourth frame received in real time, revealed no other celestial bodies.

Figure 5. 5-4 depicts analog traces of primary sun sensor pitch angular error (FC-5), primary sun sensor yaw angular error (FC-6), roll gyro error (FC-49), star angle, star intensity, digital word 1 , and digital word 9 from the start of roll through Canopus acquisition.

Table 5. 5-3 indicates the responses received versus predicted responses. The roll angles listed are calculated from the times when the star intensity signals reach their peak values. Although star intensity readings are commutated every 0.025 degree, the ability of the analyst to actually determine where the peak value of intensity occurs is probably limited to at least $\pm 0.3$ degree. This is due to the unknown effect of circuit time constants on the lag at peak intensities and the lack of any well defined peak on stars of low intensity. As noted in Table 5.5-3, the correlation between the postand preflight calculated angle from Canopus for Deneb is +0.2 degree and for the earth, -2.7 degrees, which is within the accuracy of determining the center of a widely varying high intensity signal.


Figure 5. 5-3. Canopus Sensor Relationships to Observed Objects


Figure 5. 5-4. Analog Plot of Canopus Acquisition


TABLE 5.5-3. SURVEYOR VI STAR MAP - RECEIVED VERSUS

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { n } \\ & \vec{a} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0.0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | $\stackrel{\circ}{2}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | Z | z | 1 | 1 | 1 | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $\begin{array}{ll} m & 0 \\ \dot{4} & \dot{1} \end{array}$ |
|  | 1 | I | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
|  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { T- } \\ & \dot{\sim} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \infty \\ & \dot{\sim} \end{aligned}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
|  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{\sigma} \\ & \dot{m} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{n}{m}$ | - | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{0} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
|  | 1 | 0 $\dot{0}$ $\cdots$ $\cdots$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $\bigcirc$ | $\cdots$ | 1 | । | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \sim \\ \dot{\sim} \\ \underset{\sim}{\sim} \\ \end{gathered}$ | $\sim$ $\sim$ $\sim$ | $\begin{aligned} & \sigma \\ & \dot{U} \\ & \underset{i}{i} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\bigcirc}{\stackrel{-}{7}}$ | $\stackrel{O}{\underset{\sim}{i}}$ | $\stackrel{N}{N}$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\stackrel{\text { m }}{\substack{\text { c } \\++}}$ | N $\sim$ $\sim$ + | m $\stackrel{8}{\text { a }}$ + | $\sim$ $\sim$ $\sim$ + | $\stackrel{+}{\infty}$ | 7 <br>  <br> $\sim$ <br> + | $\infty$ $\cdots$ $\cdots$ + + |  |
|  |  | a $\stackrel{Q}{0}$ 0 0 |  |  |  |  | - | $\begin{gathered} \text { 5 } \\ \text { N J } \\ \text { N } \end{gathered}$ | H | $\begin{aligned} & N \\ & u \\ & \vdots \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\dot{m}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \bullet \\ & \dot{\sim} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{n}{\sim}$ | $\begin{aligned} & n \\ & \stackrel{n}{i} \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { m } \\ \underset{N}{N} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{n}{\infty} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\infty} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \dot{\infty} \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n} \\ & \text { n } \\ & \text { it } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{1} \\ & \dot{\circ} \\ & \underset{\sigma}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \dot{\circ} \\ & \dot{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \dot{\infty} \\ & \dot{\infty} \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \tilde{\sim} \\ & \underset{\sim}{U} \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{n}{\sim} \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \\ & \ddot{4} \\ & \stackrel{n}{n} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \dot{H} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\ddot{0}} \\ & \ddot{0} \\ & \ddot{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \exists \\ & \dot{N} \\ & \ddot{H} \\ & \ddot{H} \\ & \ddot{\ddot{ }} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \ddot{0} \\ & \infty \\ & \dot{\infty} \\ & \ddot{n} \\ & \ddot{7} \\ & \ddot{-} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{N}{m} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\ddot{~}} \\ & \ddot{\infty} \\ & \ddot{\sim} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{\sim} \\ & \ddot{\sim} \\ & \underset{\sim}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\text { n }}{7} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \\ & \underset{\sim}{0} \\ & \ddot{\sim} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{H} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\sim} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\dddot{H}} \\ & \ddot{0} \end{aligned}$ |  |

Spacecraft rolling during generation of the star map was interrupted because of a loss of data due to rolling into an antenna null. Rolling was resumed after regaining data in a new telecommunications mode. A second short-term loss of data occurred later which caused the loss of all but the last third of the data when Deneb was in the field of view for the second time. Two objects appeared in the field of view during the second revolution (at 464.8 and 468.0 degrees) which were not seen during the first revolution. The shapes of the responses of these objects could be caused by area type (rather than point type) bright objects moving across the field of view one and a half to two times faster than the image of a stationary celestial body. Therefore, it is concluded that the se were not celestial bodies but rather objects of unknown size, shape, or distance.

The mean roll rate, as determined from the incremental time between the first and second Canopus lockon signals, is $360 /(16: 27: 47.238-16: 15: 48.502)$ $=360 / 718.736=0.5009 \mathrm{deg} / \mathrm{sec}$. The error due to sampling timing is $\pm 0.25$ second or $\pm 0.00003 \mathrm{deg} / \mathrm{sec}$, and the error due to roll gyro limit cycling is $\pm 0.2$ degree or $\pm 0.0003 \mathrm{deg} / \mathrm{sec}$.

## Star Sensor Performance

The star sensor provides three telemetry outputs: star angle or roll error, Canopus lockon, and star intensity. A comparison of inflight and preflight measurements is used to determine how well the sensor performed in flight.

The star angle telemetry signal is designed to increase from a quiescent level, close to 512 BCD when no star is in the field of view, to a maximum of close to 1023 BCD , when Canopus is approximately +2 degrees from the $X-Z$ plane. It returns to its quiescent level when Canopus is in the $X-Z$ plane, then to a minimum, close to $0 B C D$ when Canopus is approximately -2 degrees from the $\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{Z}$ plane, and finally increases to its quiescent level as Canopus leaves the field of view.

The star intensity telemetry signal is designed to increase from a quiescent level when no star is in the field of view to a maximum when Canopus is in the $\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{Z}$ plane. It then decreases to its quiescent level as Canopus leaves the field of view. No star and maximum intensity telemetry values are listed in Table 5.5-3.

Figure 5.5-4 depicts the star angle and star intensity signals for all objects observed during the star map. From this figure, it can be seen that the star angle and star intensity telemetry signals perform as designed.

Inflight star intensity telemetry values are compared with preflight star intensity values to calculate the effective gain of the sensor. The gain of a sensor is a function of the photomultiplier tube scale factor which is controlled by the intensity of the sunlight actually reaching the tube through a sun filter in the sun channel optics. All preflight star sensor measurements are made with a unit sun intensity illuminating the sun channel. For flight, a flight filter is installed with a transmission factor that will admit more, equal, or less than a unit sun into the sensor.

Following are the sun filter transmission factors and calculated effective gains for Missions A through $E$ :

|  | $\frac{A}{c}$ | B | C | D | $\frac{E}{-}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Filter factor | 1.5 | 1.17 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.80 |
| Effective gain | $1.5+$ | $1.5+$ | 1.17 | 1.24 | 1.32 |

It was decided to install a $0.8 \times$ Canopus sun filter for Mission $F$ which was expected to result in an effective gain in the range of 1.17 to 1.32 .

The actual observed peak star intensity telemetry value of Canopus is 3.81 volts, and the average preflight $1.0 \times$ Canopus measurement is 3.46 based on 19 intensity measurements. Thus, the calculated effective gain for Mission $F$ is $3.81 / 3.46$ or $1.10 \times$ Canopus. The differences in calculated effective gains of Missions $C$ through $F$, all will nominally the same filter factor, are attributed to inaccuracies inherent in preflight sensor intensity measurements and in sun filter transmission measurements.

The third sensor output, Canopus lockon, is shown in Figure 5.5-4 as part of digital word 1 and appears not only when Canopus is in the field of view but also during the time reflected light from the earth is entering the sensor. Since this earth light was of sufficient magnitude to result in a Canopus lockon signal, it was decided to send the sun and star command, and to initiate automatic star acquisition after the spacecraft had rolled past the earth the second time.

## Canopus Acquisition

Figurc 5. 5-1 depicts the response of the star intensity signal after receipt of the Canopus lockon signal has put the spacecraft in a closed-loop roll error controlled mode. When lockon occurs, the spacecraft is rolling at $+0.5 \mathrm{deg} / \mathrm{sec}$, and the roll error signal is increasing to a maximum which commands the spacecraft to roll positive to obtain a nulled roll error signal. Thus, the positive command causes the plus roll rate to increase, which results in a steeper slope of the star intensity signal and a lower amplitude peak due to the effect of filtering on the faster changing signal. As the star intensity signal crosses its peak, the roll error signal is going negative, causing the plus roll rate to decrease to zero and become negative to swing the -X axis back negative to alignment with Canopus. As the star intensity signal crosses its valley, the roll rate is zero and, as the star intensity signal crosses the next peak, the roll error signal is going positive, causing the negative roll rate to increase to zero and become positive to swing the - X axis back positive to alignment with Canopus. After several such cycles, the spacecraft settles down to a slow roll oscillation which causes the star intensity signal to oscillate on either side of its peak amplitude while the roll error signal oscillates above and below its null position. This oscillation is bounded, and the bounds are referred to as the roll optical limit cycle.

As noted in Figure 5.5-4, the star intensity peak amplitudes increase as the limit cycle is approached since there is less attenuation due to filtering as roll rate decreases to almost zero.


## Conclusions

The Canopus sensor performedas designed without malfunction. The star intensity signal, with Canopus in the field of view, was lower than predicted, but within the accuracy of the preflight measurements. The automatic star acquisition capability was successfully utilized.

### 5.5.4.5 Coast Phase Attitude Control

## Gas Jet Thrust Level

Reference 2 developed the following expressionfor the gas jet thrust level:

$$
\text { thrust }=T=\frac{I_{z} \dot{\varphi}_{c}}{R t_{p}}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{z}}= & \text { roll inertia }-213 \text { slug }-\mathrm{ft}^{2} \\
\dot{\varphi}_{\mathrm{c}}= & \text { commanded precession rate }=0.5 \mathrm{deg} / \mathrm{sec} \\
\mathrm{R}= & \text { gas jet movement arm }=6.47 \text { feet } \\
\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{p}}= & \text { thrusting time of the gas jet from initiation of precession com- } \\
& \text { mand to point at which } \dot{\varphi}_{\text {gyro }}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

This equation was used to determine the thrust level.
Using the premidcourse roll attitude maneuver data (Figure 5.5-5) the time from command initiation until $\dot{\varphi}_{\text {gyrg }}=0$ was 5.25 seconds. Since the No. 1 gas jet amplifier is off 1.32 seconds of this time (Reference 3 ), $t_{p}=5.85$ seconds -1.32 seconds $=4.52$ seconds.

$$
\text { thrust }=\frac{I_{z} \dot{\varphi}_{c}}{R t_{p}}=\frac{\left(213 \mathrm{slug}-\mathrm{ft}^{2}\right)(0.5 \mathrm{deg} / \mathrm{sec})}{(6.47 \text { feet })(4.52 \operatorname{seconds})}=0.064 \text { pound }
$$

## Nitrogen Consumption

Nitrogen consumption for the period from launch to preretro maneuvers was 0.44 pound. This number compares favorably with predicted usage when measurement uncertainties and postgyro drift lockon transients are taken into account. Mission nitrogen usage was obtained from pressure and temperature information telemetered on flight control signals FC-4 and FC-48.

The predicted nitrogen usage for each maneuver was determined from the simulation defined in Reference 4; a detailed breakdown of the predicted impulse and weight expenditures is documented in Reference 5.

For the number and sequence of Mission $F$ maneuvers, Attachment 1 of Reference 5 yields the following nominal impulse consumption budget:

|  | lb-sec |
| :--- | ---: |
| Vernier phase of midcourse maneuver | 2.00 |
| Limit cycle operation | 4.50 |
| Sun acquisition | 3.25 |
| Inertial roll maneuvers (3) | 4.50 |
| Star verification | 1.50 |
| Star acquisition | 1.40 |
| Inertial yaw maneuvers (2) | 2.50 |
| Rate dissipation | 2.75 |
| Postmidcourse rate dissipation | 1.00 |
|  | Total $=$ |

Assuming an average $I_{s p}$ of 60 seconds yields a nominal nitrogen usage prior to the preretro maneuvers of approximately 0.45 pound. Refer ence 5 also predicts a $3 \sigma$ usage uncertainty of 0.22 pound for this particular mission profile.

The fuel consumption due to postgyro drift check lockon transients was determined using the final angular attitude positions of each drift check as initial conditions to the simulation documented in Reference 4 with the following results:

1) The average impulse expenditure for one of the post-three-axis drift transients was $0.70 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{sec}$.
2) The average impulse expenditure for one of the post-roll-axisonly drift transients was $0.55 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{sec}$.

So there is an increase in the nitrogen consumption prediction of

$$
\frac{3(0.55) \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{sec}+11(0.90) \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{sec}}{60 \mathrm{~second} \mathrm{~s}}=0.19 \text { pound }
$$

The net prediction would be

$$
(0.45+0.19) \pm 0.22=0.64 \text { pound } \pm 0.22 \text { pound }
$$

It was concluded that the measured nitrogen usage of 0.44 pound was within anticipated limits.

## 5. 5. 4.6 Premidcourse Attitude Maneuvers

In order to orient the spacecraft thrust axis properly prior to vernier engine ignition, a positive roll maneuver of 91.9 degrees and a positive yaw maneuver of 127. 3 degrees were commanded. Although the se were the values entered into the magnitude register, the desired maneuvers per the midcourse and terminal guidance system calculations were 91. 7054 degrees of roll and 127.4787 degrees of yaw. These magnitudes were corrected for gyro drift by adding 0.1 degree to the roll magnitude and
subtracting 0.17 degree from the yaw magnitude. It was estimated that the roll and yaw attitude control loops would be in the inertial (drift) mode for 0.17 and 0.14 hour, respectively. The drift values used were as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Pitch }=0 \mathrm{deg} / \mathrm{hr} \\
& \text { Yaw }=+1.2 \\
& \text { Roll }=-0.55 \mathrm{deg} / \mathrm{hr}
\end{aligned}
$$

Several variables affect the accuracy of an angular maneuver: precession rate accuracy, precession command time, gyro drift, and initial attitude errors due to biases and limit cycle. When several maneuvers are performed with large time intervals between them, attitude errors due to gyro drift must be included. A list of all parameters affecting the midcourse attitude maneuver accuracy is presented in Table 5.5-4 along with their allowable $3 \sigma$ values and actual performance values wherever possible.

As in Missions D and E, an attempt was made to initiate the maneuvers at the optical mode limit cycle null point. The roll maneuver was started within -0.048 degree of null, while the pitch and yaw optical errors at the start of the yaw maneuver were -0.03 and 0 degree, respectively.

## Determination of Precession Times

The register was loaded with 460 bits for roll and 637 bits for yaw. For a clock rate of 2.5 cps , the respective times are 183.8 and 254.6 seconds with a maximum error of 0.20 second $\pm 0.02$ percent.

The telemetered gyrn error signal data were used in determining the actual precession time. The sampling rate during the maneuvers was 20 times/sec, giving a resolution of 0.05 second. The results are as follows (Figure 5.5-6):
$T=183.631$ seconds, or 91.815 degrees of roll
$T=254.705$ seconds, or 127.352 degrees of yaw

Precession Rates. The precession rate obtained during the star mapping phase indicated that the positive precession rate was $0.5009 \mathrm{deg} / \mathrm{sec}$.

## Attitude Maneuver Error

Reference 6 develops two orthogonal equations that specify the spacecraft thrust axis pointing error during midcour se thrusting. The equations were derived for the roll-yaw rotation sequence which applies here.

Neglecting error sources that are present only after engine ignition results in the following equations:

TABLE 5.5-4. PREMIDCOURSE ATTITUDE ERROR SUMMARY

| Parameter | $3 \sigma$ Requirement | Reference Number | Measured Value | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Primary sun sensor null with respect to FCSG roll axis | 0.2 degree | 2 (paragraph <br> 4.3.1.1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pitch }=-0.04 \text { degree } \\ & \text { Yaw }=-0.05 \text { degree } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Canopus sensor null with respect to FCSC roll/pitch plane | 0.2 degree | 2 (paragraph 4.3.1.2) | +0.09 degree |  |
| Pitch/yaw limit cycle | 0.3 degree | 2 (paragraph <br> 4.3.1.1) | -0.03/0 degree | Based on sun sensor error signals at start of yaw |
| Roll limit cycle | 0.3 degree | 2 (paragraph <br> 4.3.1.2) | -0.048 degree | Based on Canopus error signal at start of roll |
| Gyro torquer scale factor | 0.15 percent | 12 (paragraph 3.2.5.1.3) | $1$ |  |
| Precession current source accuracy | 0.13 percent |  | 0.2 percent |  |
| Precession current source drift | 0.1 percent |  | $1$ |  |
| Timing source accuracy | 0.2 second $\pm 0.02$ percent |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Roll }=+0.01 \text { degree } \\ & \text { Pitch }=+0.044 \text { degree } \end{aligned}$ | Based on timing errors determined in subsection 5. 5. 4.6 |
| Gyro alignment to FCSC roll axis | 0.14 degree | 12 (paragraph $3.2 .5 .1 .4)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pitch }=+0.12 \text { degree } \\ & \text { Yaw }=+0.065 \text { degree } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| ```FCSG/spacecraft roll axis alignment``` | 0.1 degree | 2 (paragraph <br> 4.1.3.7.1) |  |  |
| Gyro non-g sensitive drift | 1.0 deg/hr | 2 (paragraph <br> 4. 3. 1. 5) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Roll }=+0.023 \text { degree } \\ & \text { Yaw }=+0.05 \text { degree } \\ & \text { Pitch }=+0 \text { degree } \end{aligned}$ | Based on measured $-0.55 \mathrm{deg} / \mathrm{hr}$ in roll for 0.03 hour less than estimated, $+1.2 \mathrm{deg} / \mathrm{hr}$ in yaw for 0.04 hour more than estimated. |
| Total attitude error prior to ignition |  |  | 0.16 degree with 0.24 -degree $3 \sigma$ uncertainty |  |
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$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Error about yaw axis }= & -\psi_{R_{E}}-\psi_{A_{E}} \cos \varphi-\theta_{A_{E}} \sin \varphi \\
\text { Error about pitch axis }= & \left(\varphi_{A_{E}}+\varphi_{R_{E}}\right) \sin \varphi+\theta_{A_{E}} \cos \psi \cos \varphi \\
& -\psi_{A_{E}} \sin \varphi \cos \psi
\end{aligned}
$$

where
$(\varphi, \theta, \psi)_{A_{E}}=$ spacecraft inertial reference alignment errors $(\psi, \varphi) R_{E}=$ rotation errors

Use of $\varphi=91.9$ degrees, $\psi=127.3$ degrees, and the errors listed in the summary chart results in an 0.16-degree attitude error. The resultant pointing error has a 99 -percent circular probable uncertainty of 0.24 degree.

## 5. 5. 4.7 Postmidcourse Attitude Maneuvers

The postmidcourse attitude maneuvers are used to realign the spacecraft to the celestial reference after performing a midcourse velocity correction. To accomplish this, two reacquisition schemes are available. One method is to perform the premidcourse attitude maneuvers in reverse, and the other is to perform another automatic sun acquisition sequence. The first method is more desirable since real-time monitoring of optical sensor signals provides a good indication of premidcourse maneuver accuracy and attitude control during the thrust period. If reacquisition of the sun and Canopus is not achieved to within a fair degree of accuracy, one or more of the following conditions must have existed:

1) Nonsymmetrical precession commands
2) Spacecraft attitude change occurred between maneuver periods
3) Premidcourse maneuvers were not accurate
4) Postmidcourse maneuvers were not accurate
5) Vernier engine shutoff transients excessive

The first method was chosen for the Surveyor VI mission, and the celestial reference was successfully reacquired.


## Determination of Precession Times

For the postmidcourse attitude maneuvers, the magnitude register was loaded with 637 bits for yaw and 460 bits for roll. This corresponds to 254.6 and 183.8 seconds, respectively.

The precession times, using gyro error signal data (Figure 5.5-7) were found to be as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{T}=254.745 \text { seconds (yaw) } \\
& \mathrm{T}=183.936 \text { seconds (roll) }
\end{aligned}
$$

The postmidcourse maneuvers were performed using the coast mode commutator at $4400 \mathrm{bits} / \mathrm{sec}$, thereby increasing the data granularity to 0.3 second from the 0.05 second obtained for the premidcourse attitude maneuvers which were performed using the mode 1 commutator at $4400 \mathrm{bit} / \mathrm{sec}$.

### 5.5.4.8 Midcourse Velocity Correction

The midcourse velocity correction was successfully executed starting at 02:20:03. 263 GMT on 8 November. From orbit determination, the actual magnitude of the velocity change was estimated to be 10.1217 $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{sec}$ compared to the commanded value of $10.06 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{sec}$. This constitutes a $\Delta V$ execution error of $0.0579 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{sec}$. Using prelaunch alignment information and inflight data, the preignition pointing error was calculated to be 0.16 degree in subsection 5.5.4.6.
ividcourse Engine ignition Characterisiics
The midcourse velocity correction was characterized by a smooth vernier ignition followed by a nominal, uneventful thrusting phase (Figure 5.5-8). Peak pitch and yaw gyro errors were 0.17 degree or less during the ignition transient and less than 0.13 degree thereafter until engine cutoff.

Prior to vernier ignition, pitch and yaw gyro errors were maintained within the inertial deadband of $\pm 0.22$ degree by the gas jet system. The transient at ignition was reduced to zero in approximately 2 seconds. The yaw error transient overshoot was 0.04 degree, while the pitch error overshoot was essentially zero. The transient behavior was dominated by the 1.0-second time constant of the attitude control loops.

Based on the acceleration error telemetry signal (FC-15) (Figure 5. 5-9), it was concluded that all three engines were producing controlled thrust within about 0.150 sec ond of the ignition command signal. Therefore, acceleration signal amplifier saturation, which requires a startup delay of 0.26 second, did not occur, and no $\Delta V$ error information was lost.

## Midcourse Engine Shutdown Dispersions

A summary of the peak spacecraft angles and angular rates and computed vernier engine shutdown impulse dispersions are given in Table 5.5-5.

It should be noted that peak gyro angles were less than 1.0 degree and well within the required travel range of $\pm 10$ degrees. Inertial reference was therefore retained, and reacquisition of the sun and Canopus was accomplished via the reverse maneuver sequence.

Vernier engine shutdown impulse dispersions (relative to mean impulse of the three engines), calculated from pitch and yaw angular rate data as per the procedure outlined in Reference 10, were well within the specification limit of $\pm 0.63 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{sec}$ (Reference 7).

## Midcourse Velocity Determination

The general concept of midcourse correction capability employed by Surveyor is to apply a constant acceleration for a finite period of time. Thus, in theory, once the magnitude of the velocity correction is known, the exact duration of the constant acceleration phase can be determined. In practice, this approach is slightly altered to account for such error sources as engine ignition transients, shutdown impulse, and hysteresis. Thus, the actual command time $\Delta T$ is slightly higher.

The desired values used during flight were as follows:

1) Desired $\Delta V=10.064 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{sec}(33.02 \mathrm{fps})$
2) Desired $\Delta T=10.281$ seconds

Duration of Burn Time. The acceleration error signal data were used in an attempt to determine the actual burn time. The results (Figure 5.5-10) indicated that the burn time was 10.242 seconds for a timing error of 0.04 sec ond (the magnitude register was loaded with 103 counts or $\Delta \mathrm{T}=10.25$ seconds).

Estimate of $\Delta \mathrm{V}$. Assuming that acceleration command remained at the design value of $3.225 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec}^{2}$, the actual acceleration level was determined by subtracting the acceleration error value ( $\varepsilon_{A}=0.014 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec}^{2}$ ) from the design value. The acceleration error signal remained essentially constant during the burn period. Therefore, the actual acceleration level was $3.211 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec}^{2}$, and the midcourse $\Delta V$ was $3.211 \times 10.242=32.887 \mathrm{fps}$ for an error of -0.132 fps . From orbit determination, it was concluded that the actual midcourse $\Delta V$ was $10.1217 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{sec}(33.2092 \mathrm{fps})$.

A list of parameters affecting the accuracy of the velocity correction is presented in Table 5.5-6 along with the values of maximum allowable errors. Actual performance values were used wherever possible.
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| Peak angular errors, degrees: |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Pitch | $=-0.33$ |
| Yaw | $=-0.47$ |
| Roll | $=+0.77$ |
| Roll act | $=-0.77$ |
| Peak angular rates, deg/sec: |  |
| Pitch | $=-0.08$ |
| Yaw | $=-0.1$ |
| Vernier shutdown impulse dispersions, lb-sec: |  |
| Leg |  |
| 1 | +0.085 |
| 2 | -0.09 |
| 3 | +0.005 |

## Telemetered Thrust Levels

The approximate steady-state vernier engine thrust levels during the midcourse velocity correction were as follows:

| $\frac{\text { Engine }}{1}$ | $=\frac{\text { Pounds }}{}$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| 2 | $=73.0$ |
| 3 | $=72.0$ |

Based on a spacecraft weight at injection of 2220.79 pounds and an estimated constant acceleration of $3.211 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec}^{2}$, the expected total thrust is 222 which compares favorably with the total thrust of 225 pounds obtained from the telemetered vernier engine thrust commands.

### 5.5.4.9 Preretro Maneuvers

Before retro ignition, it is required that the spacecraft thrust axis (roll axis) be aligned to the translational velocity vector of the spacecraft as

## TABLE 5.5-6. SC-6 MIDCOURSE VELOCITY CORRECTION ACCURACY

| Item | Parameter | Requirement 30 or Limit | Limit, fps | Specification | Performance Value, fps | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Errors proportional to maneuvers magnitude |  |  |  |  | Much of the error was anticipated and was |
|  | Accelerometer accuracy | 1.1 percent | 0.15 | 234632 C | $1$ | lation of the desired burn time |
|  | Reference signal | 0.5 percent | 0.068 | 234600 E | $1$ |  |
|  | Flight control electronics null | 0.15 percent | 0.02 | 234600 E |  |  |
|  | Thrust bias variation | 0.09 percent | 0.01 | 287105 | 0.17 |  |
|  | Control channel gain variation | 0.07 percent | 0.009 | 234600E |  |  |
|  | Accelerometer misalignment | 0.06 percent | 0.008 | 234600 E |  |  |
|  | Total proportional errors (RSS) | 1.22 percent | 0.17 |  | ) |  |
| 2 | Errors independent of maneuver magnitude |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Shutdown impulse dispersion | $\pm 0.631 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{sec}$ | 0.016 | 287015 | -0.002 |  |
|  | Hysteresis limit cycle | 3 milliamperes | 0.035 | 287105 | 0 |  |
|  | Ignition transient | - | 0.47 | - | 0 |  |
|  | Timing granularity | $\pm 0.1$ second | 0.32 | $\begin{aligned} & 224832 \\ & 7.2 .1 .9 \end{aligned}$ | -0.13 |  |
|  | Total independent errors (RSS) |  | 0.568 |  | 0.13 |  |
| 3 | Total magnitude errors (RSS) |  | 0.782 |  | 0.21 |  |

part of the gravity turn terminal descent phase guidance. The alignment is performed by means of two sequential rotations about the spacecraft body (gyro) axes. A third roll rotation may be required to satisfy a RADVS sidelobe constraint (Reference 8).

These maneuvers are accomplished by using the cold gas attitude control system, with the body-fixed integrating rate gyros as inertial references. To accomplish a rotation, the appropriate gyro torquer winding is driven by a constant current source for a precise length of time; the spacecraft is slaved to this changing reference at a constant rate of $0.5 \mathrm{deg} / \mathrm{sec}$.

The major events and times associated with the positive roll, yaw, roll preretro maneuver combinations selected for Surveyor VI are given in Table 5.5-7.

TABLE 5.5-7. MAJOR EVENTS AND TIMES (DAY 314) FOR PRERETRO MANEUVERS

| Event | Command | GMT, min:sec (00 hr) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Begin roll | 0714 | $25: 22.472$ |
| End roll |  | $28: 06.107$ |
| Begin yaw | 0713 | $29: 40.785$ |
| End yaw |  | $33: 24.200$ |
| Begin roili |  | $34: 58.498$ |
| End roll |  | $38: 59.592$ |
| Retro ignition |  | $58: 04.087$ |

The preretro maneuvers were analyzed in terms of the following:

1) The gyro precession times were determined from gyro error signals and precession logic signals and compared to commanded times.
2) Using these attitude errors and the initial sun and Canopus error signals, the preignitiō̃ teminal pointing accuracy was determined.

The first attitude maneuver (roll) was initiated 32 minutes and 41.6 seconds before retro ignition. The time constraint on break of optical lock is 33 minutes based on an allowable $1 \mathrm{deg} / \mathrm{hr}$ gyro drift contribution to the pointing error (Reference 8). An attempt was made to reduce the optical mode limit cycle contribution to the pointing error by initiating the roll and yaw attitude maneuvers at the limit cycle null point. The degree of success is illustrated by the following data which indicates the limit cycle errors which existed at the start of each maneuver.

| Maneuver | Limit Cycle Error, degree |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | -0.06 |
| Yaw | +0.2 (yaw) |
|  | 0 |

## Gyro Precession Times

The attitude maneuvers entered into the flight control programmer magnitude register are as follows:

| Maneuvers | Degrees | Bits |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| + Roll | 81.7 | 409 |
| + Yaw | 111.7 | 559 |
| + Roll | 120.5 | 603 |

Table 5.5-8 presents the estimated gyro precession times.

TABLE 5.5-8. ESTIMATED GYRO PRECESSION TIMES

| Attitude <br> Maneuver | Commanded <br> Time, <br> seconds | Observed <br> Time, <br> seconds | $\Delta T$, seconds | Rotation Error, <br> degrees |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Roll | 163.4 | 163.635 | +0.235 | $\Delta \varphi=+0.12$ |
| Yaw | 223.4 | 223.415 | +0.015 | $\Delta \psi=+0.007$ |
| Roll | 241 | 241.094 | +0.094 | $\Delta \varphi=+0.05$ |

Since the gyro error signals are only sampled once every 1.2 seconds (coast mode at $1100 \mathrm{bits} / \mathrm{sec}$ ) during the preretro maneuvers, it was assumed that the shapes of roll and pitch gyro transients were the same as those observed during the premidcourse attitude maneuvers when the gyro error signals were sampled once every 0.05 second. The precession times were then estimated graphically based upon the intersection points of the start and stop transients with the steady-state gyro error values (Figure 5.5-11).

## Gyro Drift Compensation

Eleven three-axis gyro drift checks were made during the mission, four of them prior to the midcourse velocity correction. Three roll-axisonly drift checks were also made. A summary of gyro drift measurements is presented in Table 5.5-9. Two techniques were used to measure the drift rates. The first was based on average slopes of the optical error signals obtained from analog Brush recorder and Milgo plots. In the second technique, iterated calculations were made as described in Reference 9.
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TABLE 5.5-9. GYRO DRIFT SUMMARY

| Number | Type | Start Time, hr:min:sec | Stop Time, hr:min:sec | $\begin{gathered} \Delta \text { Time } \\ \text { hr:min:sec } \end{gathered}$ | Drift Rate, deg/hr |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Roll | Pitch | Yaw |
| 1 | 3 axis | 16:43:04 | 18:04:10 | 1:21:06 | -0.57 | 0.0 | $+1.22$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | (-0.56) |  | (+1.34) |
|  |  |  |  |  | -0. 52 | +0.18 | +1.16 (bulk) |
| 2 | 3 axis | 18:18:21 | 19:22:31 | 1:04:10 | -0.59 | +0.13 | + 1.2 |
|  |  |  |  |  | (-0.606) | - | $(+1.18)$ |
| 3 | 3 axis | 19:24:24 | 20:38:56 | 1:14:32 | -0.53 | +0.10 | $+1.22$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | (-0.55) | - | $(+1.13)$ |
| 4 | 3 axis | 20:44:50 | 22:03:00 | 1:18:10 | -0. 59 | +0.03 | + 1.28 |
|  |  |  |  |  | (-0.52) | - | (+1.08) |
| 5 | 3 axis | 04:37:41 | 05:42:50 | 1:05:09 | -0. 58 | +0.21 | +1.21 |
| 6 | Roll | 08:32:26 | 12:46:28 | 4:14:02 | -0.71 | - | - |
| 7 | 3 axis | 16:18:39 | 17:53:31 | 1:34:52 | -0. 58 | 0 | +1.22 Analog |
|  |  |  |  |  | -0.543 | +0.2 | + 1.49 Bulk |
|  |  |  |  |  | -0.49 | -0.02 | + 1.64 Milgo |
| 8 | Roll | 18:59:38 | 21:42:27.6 | 2:42:50 | -0.64 | - | - Milgo |
|  |  |  |  |  | -0.667 | - | - Analog |
| 9 | 3 axis | 22:44:59 | 00:11:16 | 1:26:17 | -0.644 | 0 | +1.42 Analog |
|  |  |  |  |  | -0.67 | 0 | +1.21 Milgo |
| 10 | 3 axis | 01:11:23 | 02:25:34 | 1:14:11 | -0.613 | 0 | +1.37 Analog |
| 11 | 3 axis | 03:29:50 | 04:49:08 | 1:19:18 | -0.617 | 0 | +1.40 Analog |
|  |  |  |  |  | -0.61 | 0 | +1.35 Milgo |
|  |  |  |  |  | -0.605 | 0 | +1.38 SCCF |
| 12 | 3 axis | 06:25:57 | 07:38:32 | 1:12:35 | -0.68 | 0 | 1.29 Analog |
| 13 | 3 axis | 09:04:07 | 10:42:27 | 1:38:20 | -0.672 | 0 | 1.41 Analog |
| 14 | Roll | 11:01:22 | 15:26:55 | 4:25:33 | -0.68 | - | - Analog |

Note: TFAG estimates indicated in ().

The preterminal attitude maneuver magnitudes were compensated for the following gyro drift rates:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Roll }=-0.64 \mathrm{deg} / \mathrm{hr} \\
& \text { Pitch }=0 \mathrm{deg} / \mathrm{hr} \\
& \text { Yaw }=+1.4 \mathrm{deg} / \mathrm{hr}
\end{aligned}
$$

The drift values selected for preterminal maneuver compensation were based essentially upon an average of all measurements made during the mission.

## Preretro Pointing Error

The technique described in subsection 5.5 .4 .6 was used to determine the preretro attitude pointing error of 0.26 degree with a 30 uncertainty of 0.21 degree.

### 5.5.4.10 Main Retro Phase

Main retro phase began at 314:00:57:57.038 GMT with the indication of aititude marking radar mark and successfully ended at 00:58:55.637 with verification of retro eject. At the start of the RADVS-controlled descent phase, the longitudinal velocity was reduced to approximately 463 fps at an altitude of 36,625 feet. The predicted values for burnout conditions were 482 fps at an altitude of 37,005 feet.

During this phase, the function of the flight control system is to maintain the attitude of the spacecraft inertially fixed and to provide and execute a fixed sequence of commands to establish the necessary initial conditions for the vernier descent phase. The following analysis reveals that these functions were performed satisfactorily.

A list of retro phase events and their corresponding time of occurrence is given in Table 5.5-10 along with expected time intervals. These results confirm the performance of the magnitude register and programmer.

Ignition of the vernier engines during the main retro phase was executed smoothly.

## Retro Phase Attitude Control

During the main retro phase, extending from vernier ignition through case separation, spacecraft attitude motion was small in all three axes (Figure 5.5-12). Peak pitch and yaw inertial attitude motion, as read directly from gyro error telemetry data (FC-16 and FC-17), occurred at vernier ignition and amounted to -0.17 degree in pitch and -0.08 degree in

TABLE 5.5-10. TIME AND EVENTS LOG, RETRO PHASE

| Main Retro <br> Phase Event | Time of Occurrence, <br> Day 314 <br> GMT, hr:min:sec | Time Between <br> Events, <br> seconds | Expected Time <br> Intervals, <br> seconds |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Altitude marking <br> radar signal <br> (FC-64) | $00: 57: 57.038$ |  |  |
| Vernier ignition <br> (FC-28) | $00: 58: 02.938$ | 5.90 | 5.875 |
| Retro ignition <br> (FC-29) | $00: 58: 04.038$ | 1.100 | 1.1 |
| RADVS on <br> (R-28) | $00: 58: 05.798$ | 1.760 | 0.55 |
| Retro burnout <br> (FC-30) 3.5 g switch | $00: 58: 43.647$ | 39.560 | 39.6 <br> High thrust <br> (FC-78) <br> Retro eject <br> (FC-31) <br> Start RADVS- <br> (ontrolled <br> descent (FC-42)(retro burn) |

yaw. Following ignition, static attitude error was virtually zero in both pitch and yaw axes. Roll inertial attitude error was less than 0.2 degree throughout the main retro phase (less than 1.0 degree is required).

Since all gyro error signals were maintained to within $\pm 1.0$ degree (during retro burn), each gyro was exercised less than 10 percent of the available travel range of more than $\pm 10$ degrees. A summary of pitch and yaw inertial attitude angles produced at various points in the retro phase is given in Table 5.5-11. No attitude disturbance was noted at retro eject, indicating a clean case separation.


TABLE 5.5-11. RETRO PHASE ATTITUDE CONTROL SUMMARY Peak attitude motion, degrees

| Event | Pitch | Yaw |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Vernier ignition | +0.08 | -0.08 |
| Retro ignition | -0.17 | 0 |
| Retro burnout | +0.18 | +0.06 |
| Start RADVS-controlled descent | -0.26 | -0.14 |

Pitch and yaw control moments generated by the vernier engines were estimated by means of the following equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{x}}=-2.969 \mathrm{~T}_{1}+0.5723 \mathrm{~T}_{2}+2.397 \mathrm{~T}_{3} \\
& \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{y}}=-1.053 \mathrm{~T}_{1}+3.098 \mathrm{~T}_{2}-2.045 \mathrm{~T}_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $L_{x}$ and $L_{y}$ are pitch and yaw control torques ( $\mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{lb}$ ), respectively, and $\mathrm{T}_{1}, \mathrm{~T}_{2}$, and $\mathrm{T}_{3}$ are thrusts (pounds) generated by engines 1,2 , and 3 , respectively. Values for $T_{1}, T_{2}$ and $T_{3}$ were estimated from the thrust command telemetry signals (FC-25, FC-26, and FC-27) (Figure 5.5-12). As indicated by the telemetry data, very little throttling of the engines occurred during the retro period. Shortly after retro ignition, differential throttling equivalent to approximately $38.0 \mathrm{ft}-1 \mathrm{~b}$ of control torque were produced. At all other times during the retro burn period, there was essentially no differential engine throttling.

The maximum thrust vector to center of gravity offset can be estimated using this maximum control torque magnitude of $38 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{lb}$. Assuming a 9550 -pound retro thrust, the offset was estimated as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Maximum center of gravity offset } & =\frac{38.0 \mathrm{ft}-1 \mathrm{~b}}{9550 \text { pounds }} \times \frac{12 \mathrm{inch}}{\text { feet }} \\
& =0.04 \mathrm{inch}
\end{aligned}
$$

This compares to the required value of 0.18 inch.
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The maximum attitude error produced by the retro disturbance torques was also determined from the maximum torque magnitude of $38 \mathrm{ft}-1 \mathrm{l}$. Since the static gain (stiffness) of the pitch and yaw attitude control loops is

$$
\text { static gain }=1200 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{deg}
$$

the maximum static attitude error is estimated to be

$$
\text { maximum static error }=\frac{38}{1200}=0.032 \text { degree }
$$

which is less than the allowable value of 0.12 degree.

### 5.5.4.11 Terminal Descent Phase

The RADVS-controlled terminal descent phase began at 314:00:58:57.737 GMT with initiation of the minimum acceleration ( $4.85 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec}^{2}$ ) mode and a spacecraft attitude maneuver to null lateral velocities and align the thrust axis with the total velocity vector. The initial conditions at this time included a vertical velocity of 463 fps and an altitude of 36,625 feet. The lateral velocities $\left(V_{x} \approx+0.0 \mathrm{fps}, \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{y}}=+225 \mathrm{fps}\right)$ were nulled within 6 seconds ( 9 seconds allowed) and remained essentially at zero to touchdown. It was estimated that the spacecraft roll axis was maneuvered through a total angle of 26 degrees.

Intercept of the descent line segments occurred at approximately a vertical velocity of 522 fps and a slant range of 24,730 feet. The descent segment tracking performance of the flight control system (Figure 5.5-13) was normal.

A list of pertinent terminal descent events and times of occurrence are presented in Table 5.5-12.

## Vernier Descent Attitude Control

Spacecraft attitude motions determined from gyro error telemetry signals ( $\mathrm{FC}-16,-17$, and -49 ) were maintained to less than $\pm 1.0$ degree in each axis during the vernier descent phase.

Following generation of the "RODVS" signal and the "Start RADVSControlled Descent" signal, the spacecraft initiated an attitude maneuver to align the thrust axis with the total velocity vector. Initial velocity conditions preceding the maneuver were as follows (taken from RADVS telemetry data, FC-39, FC-40, and FC-41) (see Figure 5.5-12).

TABLE 5.5-12. TERMINAL DESCENT PHASE LOG OF EVENTS, DAY 314

## Event

RODVS
Start of RADVS-controlled descent (minimum acceleration)
RORA on
GMT, hr:min: sec
00: 58: 34.098

Segment intercept
00: 58: 59. 297

1000-foot mark
00:59:21. 276
01:00:40. 534
10-fps mark
01:00:57. 634
14-foot mark
01:01:04. 133
Touchdown (first "glitch" on
01:01:05. 832
retro accelerometer)
Thrust phase power off
01:01:41. 191
Flight control power off
01:02:05. 580

Premaneuver velocity conditions were as follows:
$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{x}}=+0.0 \mathrm{fps}$
$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{y}}=+225 \mathrm{fps}$
$V_{z}=463 \mathrm{fps}$
The alignment maneuver was completed in less than 6 seconds ( 9 seconds allowed), after which time $V_{x}$ and $V_{y}$ were held essentially at zero and $V_{z}$ became equal to the total velocity of 515 fps . The attitude maneuver magnitudes were computed as follows:

Pitch maneuver: $\Delta \theta_{x}=\tan ^{-1} \frac{\mathrm{~V}}{\mathrm{~V}} \mathrm{y}, 26.0$ degrees

Yaw maneuver: $\Delta \theta_{y}=\tan ^{-1} \frac{V_{x}}{V_{z}}=0$ degree
The spacecraft $Z$-axis was the refore maneuvered through approximately 26. 0 degrees.

At touchdown, changes in gyro gimbal errors of -2.3 degrees in pitch, -0.21 in yaw and +0.31 in roll were observed.
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### 5.6 VERNIER PROPULSION

### 5.6.1 INTRODUCTION

### 5.6.1.1 Description

The Surveyor vernier propulsion system (VPS) (Figure 5.6-1) is a bipropellant, variable thrust, liquid rocket system utilizing an oxidizer composed of 90 percent nitrogen tetroxide and 10 percent nitric oxide (Mon 10) and a fuel composed of 72 percent monomethyl hydrazine and 28 percent water. The VPS consists of three regeneratively-cooled thrust chambers (TCAs) with radiation-cooled expansion cones. Each TCA has a variable thrust range from 30 to 104 pounds vacuum thrust.

Propellant is supplied to the TCAs from six tanks employing positive expulsion bladders. One fuel tank and one oxidizer tank supply each TCA and are located adjacent to the TCA near each of the three spacecraft landing legs.

Propellant expulsion is accomplished by pressurizing the propellant tanks on the gas side of the bladders with helium gas. The helium is stored under high pressure in a spherical pressure vessel. The helium tank, together with the pressure regulator and servicing connections, is mounted outboard of the spaceframe between landing legs 2 and 3 . The dual check and relief valves are mounted on the spaceframe between landing legs 2 and 3.

Thermal control of the VPS is both active and passive. Electric heaters are installed on two oxidizer tanks, one fuel tank, and all propellant feedlines to the TCAs. Passive thermal control consists of polished aluminum or of the application of black and white paint and vapor-deposited aluminum to selected portions of the VPS, together with super insulation applied to the propellant tanks.

The feedlines are wrapped with aluminum foil to deter heat loss.

### 5.6.1.2 Purpose

The VPS has three main functions during the mission:

1) Midcourse velocity correction and attitude control
2) Attitude control during retro phase


Figure 5.6-1. Vernier Propulsion System Schematic
3) Attitude control and velocity correction during the final descent maneuver

The midcourse velocity correction may be required to correct initial launching and injection errors. The VPS provides velocity corrections up to 50 $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{sec}$ with sufficient propellant remaining to successfully land the spacecraft on the moon. The required correction is transmitted to the spacecraft in the form of a desired burn time at a constant acceleration of 0.1 g , which results in a thrust level of approximately 75 pounds for each TCA. In addition to providing the required velocity change, the VPS also provides spacecraft attitude control during the maneuver.

Attitude control during firing of the spacecraft retro motor is provided by the VPS. The VPS is ignited approximately 1.1 seconds prior to retro ignition. Attitude control by the VPS is biased around a total vernier thrust level of either 150 or 200 pounds, depending on predictions of spacecraft attitude and velocity at retro burnout. The desired vernier thrust level is transmitted to the spacecraft several minutes prior to initiation of the retro maneuver sequence. Following retro burnout, the vernier thrust level is increased to 280 pounds total thrust to further slow the spacecraft to allow the ejected retro motor case to fall clear.

Following retro motor ejection, the VPS is throttled to approximately 110 pounds total thrust under radar control. When the spacecraft intersects the first descent segment, the VPS, operating in the closed-loop mode with the radar system, acquires the predetermined altitude-velocity profile and keeps the spacecraft on the profile. Each succeeding segment of the profile is acquired in a similar manner. At an altitude of 14 feet, the VPS is shut down, and the spacecraft free falls to the lunar surface.

### 5.6.2 ANOMALIES

No anomalies were observed during the earth/lunar transit.

### 5.6.3 SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The Surveyor VI vernier propulsion system performed in a nominal manner throughout launch, midcourse, and terminal descent. No modifications to procedures or components are recommended.

Table 5.6-1 lists the time of occurrence of the major events concerning or influencing the vernier engine system.

### 5.6.4 SUBSYSTEM PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS

### 5.6.4.1 Prelaunch

Final propulsion preparations for the Surveyor VI launch were begun on 16 October when propellant loading of the vernier system was initiated.

TABLE 5.6-1. SURVEYOR VI PROPULSION EVENTS

| Event | GMT, <br> day:hr:min:sec | Mission Time, <br> hr:min:sec | Engine Burn <br> Time, <br> seconds |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pressurize <br> propellant tanks <br> Midcourse | $312: 02: 16: 00$ | $18: 36: 59$ |  |
| Engine burn and <br> terminal descent | $314: 00: 58: 03$ | $18: 41: 02$ | 10.3 |
| l4-foot mark, <br> (engines off) | $314: 01: 01: 04$ | $65: 19: 02$ | 183 |
| Touchdown <br> Liftoff and <br> translation | $314: 01: 01: 05$ | $65: 22: 03$ | $177: 30: 56$ |

The desired and actual loadings are given in Table 5.6-2 and show that the spacecraft was loaded within the specified tolerance in Reference l. The helium tank was then charged with 2.41 pounds of helium.

Prelaunch telemetry readings of the tank temperature and pressure were taken over a 60 -hour period and indicated a helium leakage rate of $4.45 \mathrm{psi} /$ day which was within the $20 \mathrm{psi} /$ day of Reference 2.

### 5.6.4.2 Launch (L-1 Hour to L+ 36 Minutes)

Prelaunch monitoring of the propulsion system was initiated at 06:42 GMT on 7 November when the helium tank pressure and temperature were 5200 psia and $80^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$, respectively. At launch (311:07:39:01 GMT), the pressure had increased to 5219 psia, and the temperature was $81^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. All other propulsion data were also within the range specified for launch conditions. The prelaunch conditions of the propulsion system are given in Table 5.6-3.

### 5.6.4.3 Coast Phase I (L+36 Minutes to L+17 Hours)

Following launch, an assessment of the propulsion functions was made and all conditions were normal. At L+l hour and 51 minutes, the line 2 temperature was down to $20.3^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ and the heater started cycling between that temperature and $27.4^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. Fifteen hours after launch, the helium tank pressure had stabilized at 5182 psia, and the tank temperature

TABLE 5.6-2. PROPELLANT LOADING SURVEYOR VI (POUNDS)

|  | Predicted at <br> $105^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ |  | Predicted at <br> Ambient |  | Actual at <br> Ambient |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Oxidizer |  | Fuel | Oxidizer | Fuel | Oxidizer |
|  | 110.08 | 75.18 | 113.60 | 76.67 | 116.13 | 76.75 |
| $3 \sigma$ loading tolerance | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 |
| Offload | 0 | 0 | 3.52 | 1.49 | 5.47 | 1.17 |
| Total loaded net | 109.33 | 74.43 | 109.33 | 74.43 | 109.91 | 74.83 |
| Unusable at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ | 1.29 | 0.86 | 1.29 | 0.86 | 1.29 | 0.86 |
| Total usable | 108.04 | 73.57 | 108.04 | 73.57 | 108.62 | 73.97 |

was $83^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. During gyro drift checks, the altered shadow patterns on the leg 2 thrust chamber assembly, resulting from a positive yaw error, increased the assembly's temperature from a stabilized value of $82^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ to a maximum of $99^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$.

### 5.6.4.4 Midcourse (L+17 Hours to L+ 19 Hours)

Midcourse preparation of the propulsion system consisted of pressurizing the feed system and verification of system readiness for firing at that time. Pressurization was accomplished at 312:02:16 GMT. Propellant tank pressures rose and locked up at 764 psia, well below the relief valve cracking pressures of about 825 psia. The helium tank pressure decreased 179 psi during pressurization, a drop comparable to that seen on previous spacecraft. The commanded midcourse correction of 10.2 seconds duration was successfully completed at 312:02:20:13 GMT. Attitude transients at ignition and cutoff were less than 1 degree, indicating nominal thrust chamber assembly start and shutdown performance. The average corrected, commanded thrust levels for thrust chamber assembly's l, 2 , and 3 as determined from telemetry were $79.6,72.0$, and 70.4 pounds, respectively. All three values were within 3.4 pounds of the predicted values. For a summary of midcourse thrust command and strain gage data, see Table 5.6-4. A helium pressure decrease of 208 psi was noted during the midcourse correction. This compares to 205 psi predicted for Figure 5.6-2. A summary of premidcourse propulsion data is given in Table 5.6-3. Helium tank, fuel tank, and oxidizer tank pressure histories are shown in Figure 5.6-3.

TABLE 5.6-3. CRITICAL TIME VERNIER PROPULSION SYSTEM PARAMETERS
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Table 5.6-3 (continued)

|  | Parameter | Prelaunch Status | Midcourse Status | Terminal Status |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P15 | Leg 1 oxidizer tank temperature, ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ | 74 | 60 | 50 |
| P16 | Leg 2 oxidizer tank temperature, ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ | 74 | 37 | 28 |
| P17 | Helium tank temperature, ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ | 80 | 83 | 73 |
| P18 | Leg 1 strain gage, pounds | $-147 *$ | $-147 *$ | 16** |
| P19 | Leg 2 strain gage, pounds | $-56 *$ | -56* | 2** |
| P20 | Leg 3 strain gage, pounds | $-45^{*}$ | -45* | 3** |
| P22 | Retro nozzle temperature, ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ | 77 | -188 | -39 |
| P23 | Leg 1 fuel line temperature, ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ | 80 | 57 | 55 |
| P24 | Leg 2 fuel line temperature, ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ | 79 | 33 | 28 |
| P25 | Leg 3 fuel line temperature, ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ | 80 | 58 | 60 |
| P26 | Fuel leg 2 pressure, psia | 266 | 256 | 770 |

*With strain gage power off.
${ }^{* *}$ With strain gage power on.

### 5.6.4.5 Coast Phase II (L+19 Hours to L+64 Hours)

During this coast phase, the helium tank pressure and temperature remained at a constant 4866 psia and $85^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$, respectively, to the terminal descent maneuvers. The line 3 heater started cycling at $L+33$ hours and 39 minutes. At L+55 hours, the heaters for the leg 2 and 3 oxidizer tanks and the leg 2 fuel tank were enabled. All temperatures and pressure remained within specified limits throughout the entire phase. A final estimate for retro $T_{3500}$ of 39.60 seconds was made at $L+62$ hours.

TABLE 5.6-4. MIDCOURSE THRUST DATA

| GMT | Engine 1, pounds |  |  | Engine 2, pounds |  |  | Engine 3, pounds |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Predict | Thrust Command* | Strain Gage* | Predict | Thrust Command* | Strain Gage* | Predict | Thrust Command* | Strain Gage* |
| 02 hr 20 min | 0 | 65.0 | 0 | 0 | 66.0 | 0 | 0 | 65.5 | 0 |
| 3.16 seconds | 0 | 65.0 | 0 | 0 | 66.0 | 0 | 0 | 65.5 | 0 |
| 3.88 seconds | 76.3 | 82.0 | 85.4 | 74.6 | 75.5 | 68.0 | 71.1 | 71.8 | 72.2 |
| 4.58 seconds | 76.3 | 80.2 | 84.8 | 74.6 | 72.2 | 66.5 | 71.1 | 70.5 | 72.1 |
| 5.28 seconds | 76.3 | 79.6 | 85.1 | 74.6 | 72.0 | 67.1 | 71.1 | 70.3 | 71.6 |
| 5.99 seconds | 76.2 | 79.5 | 85.8 | 74.5 | 72.0 | 67.6 | 71.0 | 70.3 | 72.1 |
| 6.69 seconds | 76.2 | 79.6 | 85.7 | 74.5 | 71.9 | 68.7 | 71.0 | 70.1 | 72.7 |
| 7.39 seconds | 76.2 | 79.6 | 85.6 | 74.5 | 71.9 | 69.5 | 71.0 | 70.4 | 72.2 |
| 8. 10 seconds | 76.2 | 78.6 | 86.3 | 74.5 | 71.9 | 69.8 | 71.0 | 70.3 | 72.1 |
| 8.80 second s | 76.1 | 79.1 | 86.5 | 74.4 | 71.5 | 70.4 | 70.9 | 70.3 | 73.0 |
| 9.50 seconds | 76.1 | 79.4 | 86.4 | 74.4 | 71.5 | 69.4 | 70.9 | 70.5 | 72.0 |
| 10.23 seconds | 76.1 | 78.6 | 86.7 | 74.4 | 71.5 | 69.0 | 70.9 | 70.4 | 71.2 |
| 10.92 seconds | 76.1 | 78.6 | 86.5 | 74.4 | 71.5 | 69.0 | 70.9 | 70.3 | 71.0 |
| 11.63 seconds | 76.0 | 78.6 | 86.4 | 74.3 | 71.5 | 68.9 | 70.8 | 70.3 | 70.7 |
| 12.34 seconds | 76.0 | 78.3 | 87.1 | 74.3 | 71.5 | 68.4 | 70.8 | 70.3 | 70.4 |
| 13.03 seconds | 76.0 | 78.2 | 86.1 | 74.3 | 71.4 | 69.0 | 70.8 | 70.4 | 70.3 |
| 13.74 seconds | 0 | 65.0 | 0 | 0 | 67. 5 | 0 | 0 | 65.5 | 0 |
| Average during firing | 76.2 | 79.6 | 86.1 | 74.5 | 72.0 | 68.7 | 71.0 | 70.4 | 71.7 |
| Difference (predicted versus actual), percent | - | +3.4 | +9.9 | - | -2.5 | $-5.8$ | - | -0.6 | +0.7 |

* Thrust commands and strain gage data corrected for offsets and zero shifts before and after.


Figure 5.6-2. Predicted Helium Pressure at Midcourse
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a) Helium Pressure ( $\mathrm{P}-1$ )


Figure 5.6-3. Midcourse

### 5.6.4.6 Terminal Descent (L+64 Hours to L+ 66 Hours)

Terminal descent operations were initiated at 314:00:07:31 GMT when the transmitter filament was turned on. At the initiation of terminal descent, all propulsion system parameters were normal. A summary of data at that time is contained in Table 5.6-3. Vernier ignition occurred at 314:00:58:03 GMT, followed by retro ignition at 58:04. Touchdown occurred at 314:01:01:06. All propulsion parameters were normal during the descent.

The observed total vernier thrust level of 196 pounds (corrected) from thrust command data agrees well with the 200 -pound thrust predicted during retro burn.

Helium and oxidizer pressure histories during terminal descent are shown in Figure 5.6-4. Fuel pressure is not recorded in the descent mode.

Propellant usage is shown in Table 5.6-5.

TABLE 5.6-5. PROPELLANT USAGE (POUNDS)

| Event | Propellant Used | Propellant Remaining |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Launch | 0 | 182.6 |
| Midcourse | $8.4^{*}$ | 174.2 |
| Terminal descent | $145^{* *}$ | 29.2 |
| Lunar hop | $1.4^{*}$ | 27.8 |

*From engine performance data.
**
From helium tank pressure decay data.

### 5.6.4.7 First Lunar Day

At touchdown, the helium pressure was 2314 psia ; the oxidizer and fuel tank pressure was 728 psia. Subsequently, it was recommended that helium not be dumped since static fire operations were under consideration.

Both the propellant tank and helium tank pressures began to increase after touchdown as a result of lunar heating. At 315:01:30 GMT, the oxidizer pressure had reached 804 psia. Shortly thereafter, the oxidizer relief valve actuated, reseating at a pressure of 729 psia. The oxidizer relief then cycled five times at about 6 - to 8 -hour intervals. At 318:03:30 GMT, the solar panel was repositioned to shade both the oxidizer and fuel relief valves. The fuel relief valve relieved initially at 317:00 GMT
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a) Helium Pressure (P-1)

b) Oxidizer Pressure (P-2)

Figure 5.6-4. Lerminal Descent
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between 800 and 788 psia. It cycled three times prior to the repositioning of the solar panel at 318:03:30 GMT.

On day 321 , it was decided to perform a spacecraft liftoff and translation. By selective positioning of the A/SPP, it was possible to bring all thr ee thrust chamber assemblies within the $220^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ maximum lunar operating limit. The maneuver was initiated at 321:10:32 GMT. The thrust chamber assembly preignition temperatures were as follows: leg $1,204^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$; leg $2,191^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$; and $\operatorname{leg} 3,189^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. The commanded thrust level and duration was 150 pounds for 2 seconds. Post-translation data evaluation indicated an average thrust of 146 pounds for 2.5 seconds as the backup thrust cutoff command terminated thrust. Approximate individual thrusts were: leg 1, 50 pounds; leg 2, 50 pounds; and leg 3, 46 pounds. Maximum post-burn temperatures exhibited by TCAs 1, 2, and 3 were $335^{\circ}, 323^{\circ}$, and $233^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$, respectively. The TCA 3 temperature does not represent the maximum soak-back temperature but rather the temperature prior to the mode change and attendant temperature data loss. The sensor temperature rise rate of this assembly was also slower than the other two TCAs; either a delayed engine shutdown or a loose thermal sensor could have produced this behavior. A delayed fuel shutdown could result in a significant unbalanced thrust of 1 to 2 pounds due to fuel vaporization, whereas the flight control system sensed no perturbation within its sensitivity of about 0.05pound thrust. Therefore, it may be concluded that a loose thermal sensor is the most probable cause of the observed behavior.

Due to the short burn duration, the oxidizer and fuel pressures (Figure 5.6-5) did not fall below the in-flight regulated pressure of 730 psia. Consequently, no helium was expelled from the helium tank, and the apparent rise in helium tank pressure is a hysteresis effect.

At approximately 323:10 GMT, a pressure decrease was noted in the oxidizer system. The oxidizer pressure decayed 115 psi in 25 hours to 740 psia, causing the helium regulator to open and allow helium to pass from the helium tank into the oxidizer system and then overboard. When the helium tank pressure decreased to the regulated pressure at about 325: 16 GMT, the oxidizer pressure again began to decrease. By $329: 16$, both pressures had decayed to essentially zero.

Thermal data on the leg 1 oxidizer tank, taken at the time the leak was noted and also after lunar sunset, indicate that some, but not all, oxidizer was lost out of the leg l oxidizer tank. Additionally, gas leakage occurred as a partial loss of liquid could not account for the total noted pressure loss.

The most probable source of leakage is the standpipe-to-propellant tank seal O-rings which were degraded due to exposure to lunar temperatures.

The leakage signature was sufficiently different from that noted on Surveyor V, raising doubt, until post-sunset thermal data were evaluated as to the type of leak that had occurred. Consequently, for the purpose of future liftoff and translation planning, any pressure losses similar to those noted on Surveyor V and VI must be assumed to be partly liquid leakage.


Figure 5.6-5. Lunar Translation
5. $6-16$

Final first lunar day data on fuel pressure were taken at 328:09 GMT when the pressure was 710 psia, indicating an integral fuel subsystem.

A detailed discussion of the oxidizer pressure loss is presented in Appendix A.
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## APPENDIX A TO SECTION 5.6

FIRST LUNAR DAY VERNIER SYSTEM PRESSURE LOSS STUDY

## IN TRODUCTION

From lunar landing at 314:01:01 until 323:13:30 GMT, the vernier propulsion system exhibited normal thermal response to the changing radiation heat input (Figures 5.6-Al and 5.6-A2). Helium tank, oxidizer, and fuel pressures had stabilized at 2761,858 , and 797 psia , respectively. At the next interrogation (323:16:22), the oxidizer pressure had dropped slightly to 857 , and this rate of drop ( $0.8 \mathrm{psi} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) continued until 324:00:30 when the loss rate increased to about $3 \mathrm{psi} / \mathrm{hr}$. This pressure decay was attributed, at the time, to effects of component cooling occurring in the lunar afternoon. At 324:19:50, the helium tank, oxidizer tank, and fuel tank pressures were 2676, 805, and 791, respectively, but, at the next interrogation 2 hours later, the oxidizer pressure had dropped to 739 psia, the normal regulated pressure, and the helium tank pressure was dropping at about $2 \mathrm{psi} / \mathrm{min}$. These data indicated that the oxidizer side of the vernier propulsion was leaking. Whether the leak was gas or liquid or a combination was not apparent from the data. The leak continued throughout the lunar day until the oxidizer and helium tank pressures reached zero on about 329:14:00. The length and uniformity of the pressure decay rate observed during this time interval indicated the rapid pressure loss commecing at about $324: 22$ was definitely a gas leak. However, the question of a prior liquid leak was still open to discussion. Propulsion system temperatures were subsequently monitored into the lunar night and, in conjunction with similar data from Surveyors I and V, are used to establish the leakage history of Surveyor VI.

## CONCLUSIONS

The data available indicate that oxidizer tank l lost a significant amount of propellant in addition to the observed gas leak.

## DISCUSSION

A review of the lunar day tank thermal histories (Figures 5.1-B23, 31, and 32) showed unexplained temperature fluctuations on oxidizer tank 1 starting at about the time the oxidizer pressure began to fall (323:13:30 GMT) and ending about the time the gas leak began (324:20). Similar fluctuations
earlier in the lunar day on oxidizer tank 2 are the result of transient shadowing by the camera and A/SPP. Since similar, but larger, fluctuations seen on Surveyor V leg l oxidizer tank had been diagnosed as a liquid leak, this possibility was investigated.

An analysis of the expected pressure loss prior to the gas leak indicated that the thermal history of the propellant tanks (which changes ullage pressure and volume) could account for only 17 of the 54 -psi pressure decay observed. This calculation confirmed that there was either a slow gas or liquid leak (or combination) prior to the rapid gas leak. The propellant quantities in each tank at sunset may be estimated from the tank cooling rates during lunar night. As seen in Figure 5.6-A2, oxidizer tank 1 is cooling much more rapidly than oxidizer tanks 2 and 3 during this period, indicating a lower propellant load. The relative amounts of propellant in tanks 1 and 2 were computed from the cooling rates prior to the "knee" in the curves caused by propellant freezing. Then, since inflight propellant consumption calculations predicted a propellant residual of 5.6 pounds in leg 2 oxidizer tank, the corresponding propellant in leg l was found to be 2.5 pounds from the above relationship. An alternative method of finding the residual propellant in leg 1 did not require knowledge of landed propellant but used the data from the freezing or 'knee" portion of the curves to estimate propellant residual. This calculation gave an oxidizer tank 1 residual of 0.8 pound. Since there were inaccuracies in each of the above calculations (e.g., the landed propellant was not known to within $\pm 1$ pound), the conclusion drawn was that $1.5 \pm 1$ pound of propellant remained in oxidizer tank $l$ at the end of the first lunar day.

The oxidizer tank 1 temperature profile produced by the Surveyor V liquid leak at 261:11:00 GMT is shown in Figure 5.6-A3 and, although it is more extreme, it is very similar to the erratic profile seen on the Surveyor VI oxidizer tank 1 in Figure 5.6-Al. A comparison of Surveyor V and VI lunar night oxidizer tank temperature profiles is shown in Figures 5.6-A2 and 5.6-A4. The striking similarity of the thermal histories indicates that the respective oxidizer tank had very similar propellant loadings. The knee in the first day temperature history of Surveyor V oxidizer tank lindicates that it also had some propellant remaining after the leak which occurred 7 days earlier. When the above analyses are applied to the Surveyor V data, the results agree closely with Surveyor VI residuals. The second lunar day profile on Surveyor $V$ shows that most of the propellant remaining in oxidizer tank l leaked out during the second lunar day.

Further evidence of the type of leakage(liquid versus gas) is seen in data from Surveyor ( $F$ igure 5.6-A5) where the gas leak is reflected in a gradual drop in oxidizer tank temperatures as the gas in all three tanks cools during expansion. This smooth type of temperature change is in contrast to the rapid fluctuations seen during propellant leakage on Surveyor V and VI.

Figure 5.6-A1. Propellant and Helium Tank Pressure - First Lunar Day

a) Vernier Oxidizer Tankl ( $\mathrm{P}-15$ )

b) Vernier Oxidizer Tanks $2(P-16)$ and 3 (P-6)

Figure 5.6-A2. Surveyor VI First Lunar Night Temperatures
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Figure 5.6-A4. Surveyor V Lunar Night Temperatures
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An estimate of leakage hole sizes, based on a sharp edge orifice approximation and observed pressure decay rates, indicates that the Surveyor VI oxidizer hole size was increasing with time and was of the order of 0.001 inch in diameter.

Surveyor III data are incomplete due to the telemetry malfunction at touchdown and the early spacecraft shutdown time. Consequently, no meaningful comparisons can be made with its data.

In summary, all indications are that there was a slow liquid leak on the Surveyor VI oxidizer tank l prior to the rapid gas leak. Consequently, if on future spacecraft an oxidizer pressure decay in conjunction with a rapid tank temperature decay is observed, translation should be delayed until data can be thoroughly analyzed. To do otherwise could result in altitude loss during lunar translation and corresponding spacecraft destruction.

## 5. 7 PROPULSION - MAIN RETRO

### 5.7.1 INTRODUCTION

The main retro-rocket, which performs the major portion of the deceleration of the spacecraft during terminal descent, is a spherical solid propellant unit with a partially submerged nozzle.

The unit is attached at three points to the spacecraft near the landing leg hinges, with explosive nut disconnects for postfiring ejection. Friction clips around the main retro-rocket engine nozzle flange provide attachment points for the altitude marking radar. The igniter gas pressure ejects the altitude marking radar when the retro firing sequence is initiated. The main retro-rocket engine ignition squibs and retro release explosive nuts operate from a pulsed, 19-ampere, constant-current source. Commands are initiated by the flight control system.

The nozzle is partially submerged to minimize overall length. The nozzle has a graphite throat insert backed up by laminates of carbon cloth phenolic with a fiberglass exit cone lined with bulk carbon phenolic. The case is of high strength steel and insulated with asbestos and inorganic fiber filled buna-N rubber to maintain the case at a low temperature level during burning.

The main retro-rocket engine with propellant weighs approximately 1394 pounds. The engine utilizes an aluminum, ammonium perchlorate, polyhydrocarbon, case-bonded, composite-type propellant, and conventional grain geometry. The engine thrust may vary between 8000 to 10,000 pounds over the temperature range of $50^{\circ}$ to $70^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$.

Two thermal sensors are installed on the main retro-rocket engine case for telemetering engine temperature during transit. The thermal sensor for monitoring nozzle temperature during transit is no longer used.

The main retro-rocket engine employs a safe and arm device that has dual firing and single bridgewire squibs for the engine igniter. In addition, provisions for local and remote safe and actuation and remote indication of inadvertent firing of the squibs are included. Both mechanical and electrical isolation exists between squib initiator and pyrogen igniter in the safe condition.

### 5.7.2 ANOMALY DESCRIPTION

No anomalies were noted in the main retro subsystem.

### 5.7.3 SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The Surveyor VI main retro-rocket engine operated within all required tolerances. No changes to the SC-7 retro-rocket engine or to the engine performance prediction models are recommended. Table 5.7-1 presents a summary of main retro performance parameters.

TABLE 5.7-1. SUMMARY OF MAIN RETRO PERFORMANCE PARAMETERS

| Parameter | Predicted Main Retro Value | Required Main Retro Value or Tolerance | Actual Value | Uncertainty |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bulk temperature, ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ | 55 | $\pm 15$ | 52.5 | $\pm 5$ |
| T3500, seconds | 39.60 | $\pm 0.4$ | 39.37 | $\pm 0.1$ |
| Maximum thrust, pounds | 9650 | $<10,000$ | 9700 | $\pm 100$ |
| Total impulse, lb-sec | 362,322 | $\pm 3600$ | 362,067 | $\pm 1800$ |
| Specific impulse, seconds | 289.5 | $\pm 0.3$ | 289.3 | $\pm 1.5$ |
| Center of gravity excursion, inch | - | <0.030 |  |  |
| Thrust vector excursion |  |  | 0.060 * | $\pm 0.005$ |
| Displacement, inch | - | $<0.040$ |  |  |
| Angular, degree | - | <0. 2 |  |  |
| Roll torque, in-lb | - | <80 | 12* | $\pm 6$ |

### 5.7.4 SUBSYSTEM PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS

Table 5.7-2 gives the major events and times associated with the firing of the retro engine.

TABLE 5.7-2. MAJOR EVENTS AND TIMES FOR RETRO OPERATION

| Event | Day 314:00, GMT, <br> minutes:seconds | Maximum Error, second |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Vernier ignition | $58: 02.938$ | $\pm 0.05$ |
| Retro ignition | $58: 04.038$ | $\pm 0.05$ |
| 3500-pound thrust <br> level | $58: 43.408$ | $\pm 0.05$ |
| 3.5 g switch | $58: 43.397$ | $\pm 0.3$ |
| "Actual" 3.5 g | $58: 44.088$ | $\pm 0.05$ |
| Retro ejection signal | $58: 55.637$ | $\pm 0.05$ |

Items constituting the analysis effort are as follows:

1) Reconstruction of thrust versus time curve from accelerometer and doppler data (Figure 5.7-1)
2) Calculation of engine specific impulse
3) Determination of thrust vector excursions and roll moments generated by the retro engine
4) Determination of T3500

### 5.7.4.1 Thrust Versus Time

The technique used in reconstruction of the thrust versus time trace from both accelerometer and doppler data is discussed in subsection 5.15.6.2 of Reference 1. This reconstructed trace varies from the predicted trace as shown in Figure 5.7-1. The maximum difference is 7 percent, and it occurs 8 seconds after ignition. This, however, is in an area of higher error for the accelerometer data since the spacecraft passes through a period of rapid change in acceleration to a fairly steady acceleration.

### 5.7.4.2 Specific Impulse

The main retro-rocket engine specific impulse was obtained by correcting the predicted nominal specific impulse used in the preflight descent trajectory computer program by the change in velocity measured during retro burning on Surveyor V. The difference between the actual and predicted change in velocities, 8411 and 8414 fps, respectively, amounts to 0.03 percent low versus the 1 percent allowed. This approach is conservative from the retro-rocket engine point of view since the velocity difference is actually due to a number of sources in addition to the main retro-rocket engine. Some of these other sources are as follows:

1) Uncertainty in vernier engine specific impulse
2) Uncertainty in vernier engine thrust level
3) Uncertainty in vernier engine weight versus time
4) Uncertainty in retro-rocket engine specific impulse versus time
5) Uncertainty in retro-rocket engine weight versus time
6) Uncertainty in doppler data

### 5.7.4.3 Retro Disturbance Torques

The following retro disturbances were noted:

1) Retro ignition produced a short duration disturbance torque of approximately $60 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{lb}$.
2) Following retro ignition, all three vernier engines settled near their mid-thrust condition and remained steady throughout retro burning, except for one disturbance of $15 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{lb}$ at 30 seconds into burning. This disturbance was quickly corrected.
3) The maximum required corrective roll torque produced by the vernier engines after accounting for bracket bending was $3 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{lb}$ at ignition and $1 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{lb}$ during burning. Assuming all this torque was required due to the retro engine, the engine roll to rque was still well below the $7 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{lb}$ maximum moment allocated to the retro engine.
4) Retro engine ejection from the spacecraft was smooth and required no apparent corrective torque; however, a spike of 0.6 g was noted in the retro accelerometer. The spike could not be correlated to other data.

### 5.7.4.4 $\quad \mathrm{T}_{3500}$

The T3500 (time from ignition to the time when thrust decays to 3500 pounds) prediction was acceptable. The total error of 0.5 percent is within the l percent tolerance for the prediction. This total error is the result of the actual engine temperature gradient uncertainty, the error in calculating the bulk temperature corresponding to that gradient, telemetry error, and prediction error.
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## 5. 8 ALTITUDE MARKING RADAR

### 5.8.1 INTRODUCTION

The Surveyor altitude marking radar (AMR) is a small, conventional, pulsed, X-band, fixed dual range gate, marking radar designed and supplied by Hughes Aircraft Company. The purpose of the AMR is to provide, with high accuracy and reliability, a positive indication that slant range from the Surveyor spacecraft to the lunar surface has decreased through a preset value, nominally 60 statute miles for the A-2l series of engineering models. This signal starts an on-board timer whose run-out time is set by ground command earlier in flight to initiate vernier and main retro engine ignition. Since the AMR is installed in the exhaust cone of the main retro engine, it is forcibly jettisoned from the spacecraft when that engine is ignited, having served its purpose in providing ignition timing.

The AMR is a conventional, noncoherent radar employing a pulsed magnetron; single antenna; duplexed mixer; crystal-controlled, solid-state local oscillator; wideband IF amplifier; noncoherent detector; and video processing circuitry. Dynamic range is extended by IF amplifier AGC; AGC voltage is telemetered, and provides an indication of received signal power. The video circuitry is of special design to mark at a preset range with high accuracy and reliability. Two fixed, adjacent range gates continuously examine the video signal; their outputs are continuously summed and differenced. When the sum exceeds a fixed threshold and the difference simultaneously crosses zero with positive slope, the mark signal is generated. Sum threshold is set for an extremely low probability of marking on noise (false mark) throughout the operating time, while video integration, plus a very substantial radar gain margin, ensures a high probability of successful marking.

Two separate ground commands, whose timing is controlled, are required to fully activate the AMR. The first signal, called AMR on, commands on the primary power to the AMR, which includes all internal power except high voltage to the transmitter. The video signal is inhibited from reaching the marking circuits until the second command, thus eliminating any residual probability of false marking on noise during this warm-up interval. The second signal, called AMR enable, commands on the transmitter high voltage and also removes the video inhibit. This enabling function is timed, not only for favorable thermal conditions at the expected marking time, but also for the purpose of precluding premature marking on secondround echoes at much longer ranges. In a lunar mission, FPAC supplies a
marking time prediction based upon trajectory data. The prescribed times for SPAC transmission for these two commands are: "on" at $280 \pm 10 \mathrm{sec}-$ onds, and "enable" at $100 \pm 10$ seconds before predicted marks.

For proper analysis, complete trajectory information is required. While either known or assumed for preflight predictions, it must be known or derived for postflight evaluation. Spacecraft attitude and velocity data are supplied by FPAC from tracking and trajectory computations. Residual range uncertainty, however, exceeds that of the AMR itself, which is assumed to have marked with mean value and dispersion predicted by radar analysis prior to each mission. In conjunction with approach velocity and attitude conditions from FPAC, the trajectory can then be extrapolated backward with high accuracy by a special two -body program. This program derives all the significant AMR parameters throughout the nominally $100-$ second interval from enable to mark, and calculates correction factors to be applied to observed telemetry data before comparison with predicted received signal power.

AMR telemetry includes three digital and three analog signals, plus analog temperature data. The digital signals confirm on-board discrete events: prime power application (R-1, AMR on), high voltage and video enabling ( $\mathrm{R}-11$, AMR enable), and slant range trigger ( $F C-64$, AMR mark). It should be noted that FC-64 is telemetered only when the on-board mark is generated, and not in response to the backup command from earth. The three analog signals (besides temperature) are magnetron current ( $R-12$ ), AGC voltage level ( $\mathrm{R}-14$ ), and late gate detected video voltage level ( $\mathrm{R}-29$ ). The AGC not only confirms receiver response to RF return, but is also useful in evaluating terrain reflectivity. The magnetron current confirms pulsing of the magnetron after enable, and is useful primarily as a transmitter failure mode indication. The late gate signal, primarily a receiver failure mode indication, normally confirms the presence of a gated video signal rising quickly to a peak at the time of mark and decaying quickly thereafter. All but a few of its values are normally at the quiescent noise level, and in no way constitute repeated events.

### 5.8.2 ANOMALIES

There were no anomalies in AMR operation during the Surveyor VI mission.

### 5.8.3 SUMMARY

The Surveyor VI AMR functioned normally. The true altitude mark was generated at the expected time and initiated the automatic terminal descent sequence as planned. The routine emergency mark backup command was received by the spacecraft after the on-board mark had been generated.

The AMR was turned on $277.44 \pm 0.65$ seconds before mark, acceptable within the $280 \pm 10$ seconds specified. It was enabled $97.44 \pm 0.65$ seconds
before mark, acceptable within the $100 \pm 10$ seconds specified. EP-17 showed normal current drain characteristics throughout AMR operation and jettison, and AMR magnetron current (R-12) was normal before, during, and after enable operation. The late gate signal ( $\mathrm{R}-29$ ) was normal, confirming the presence of RF return signal and detected video within the gate at the time of the mark.

AGC-indicated signal strength, after proper evaluation, showed good correlation with the nominal predicted value (within 1 to 2 db ). The initial telemetry data were considerably below the predicted value because of the response time of the circuit.

## 5. 8. 4 SUBS YSTEM PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS

### 5.8.4.1 Event Times

From the table of Surveyor VI events as sociated with radar operation (subsection 5.9.4), the following AMR events are repeated below. The times listed are GMT when recorded at DSIF-11.

| Channel | Name | GMT at DSIF-14, <br> day:hr:min:sec |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| R-1 | AMR on | $314: 00: 53: 19.604 \pm 0.6$ |
| R-11 | AMR enable | $314: 00: 56: 19.600 \pm 0.6$ |
| FC-64 | AMR mark | $314: 00: 57: 57.038 \pm 0.05$ |
| FC-28 | Vernier ignition | $314: 00: 58: 02.938 \pm 0.05$ |
| FC-29 | Retro ignition | $314: 00: 58: 04.038 \pm 0.05$ |
| FC-64 | AMR mark off | $314: 00: 58: 04.138 \pm 0.05$ |

The warmup time (on to enable) was $180.0 \pm 1.2$ seconds, well within the nominal $180 \pm 20$ seconds. The time from on to mark was $277.44 \pm 0.65$ seconds, acceptably within the $280 \pm 10$ seconds specified. The enabled time (enable to mark) was $97.44 \pm 0.65$, acceptably within the $100 \pm 10$ seconds specified.

From readings of the magnitude register ( $\mathrm{FC}-18$ ), actual mark time can be refined to 314:00:57:57.043 $\pm 0.045$, and actual vernier ignition time can be refined further to $314: 00: 58: 02.923 \pm 0.025$, still referred to GMT at DSIF-14.

### 5.8.4.2 Load Current Signals

Radar and squib current (EP-17) was normal. It was zero until AMR on when it rose to normal AMR warmup load, which continued until AMR
enable. During the enabled interval, it properly cycled in the manner characteristic of magnetron pulsing, which is not synchronized with the telemetry data sampling. This continued until engine ignition, when the AMR load was removed (by jettison of the AMR) and replaced by the RADVS warmup load.

Magnetron current (R-12) (Figure 5.8-1) also was normal. It was zero until AMR enable, when it rose to normal high voltage load during magnetron pulsing. This continued until engine ignition, when the signal went to full scale as the AMR was forcibly jettisoned by the retro engine.

### 5.8.4.3 Late Gate Signal

The late gate video detected analog voltage signal (R-29) (Figure 5. 8-2) was normal, confirming the presence of RF signal and detected video at the time of the mark.

From the trajectory reconstruction for AGC evaluation, the total stretched pulse length, as received, was about 16.7 microseconds and the effective closing rate was 8459.2 fps , both at the time of the mark. The corresponding video pulse closing rate was therefore about 17.19 microseconds per second. The video late gate has a nominal duration of 20 microseconds ( $20.0 \pm 1.0$ required). It should therefore have produced output within 3 db of peak for $(16.7+20) / 17.19=2.08$ seconds.

With R-29 sampled at 1.2-second intervals, there should be at least one high level sample, and perhaps two, if the sampling time phase were right. In Surveyor VI, it happened that there was one high level sample that occurred at the proper time relative to the time of the mark.

### 5.8.4.4 DB Budget

The Surveyor VI AMR db budget, revised for the postflight parameters in the trajectory reconstruction for AGC evaluation, shows a $31.5-\mathrm{db}$ margin above that required for a 0.999 cumulative probability of successful marking, as follows:

| $P_{t}$ (average) | +32.55 dbm |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{G}^{2}$ | +69.0 db |
| $\beta_{1}$ | -13.57 db |
| $\sigma(0$ degree $)=0.065$ | -1.17 db |
| $\mathrm{R}^{-3}$ | -53.34 db |
| $\mathrm{f}^{-2}$ | -199.37 db |
| $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{r}}^{-1}$ | -25.44 db |



Figure 5.8-1. Magnetron Current (AMR)


Figure 5.8-2. Late Gate Signal (AMR)

| $\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\frac{c}{4 \pi}\right)^{3}$ | $+122.12 \mathrm{db}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| cotan 25.5 degrees | $+3.27 \mathrm{db}$ |
| F (25.5 degrees) | - 9.66 db |
|  | $+226.88 \mathrm{dbm}$ |
|  | -302. 52 db |
| $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{r}}$ | - 75.64 dbm |
| $P_{\min }(10 \text { microseconds })$ | - 97.3 dbm (worst case) |
| $P_{\text {min }}$ ( 10 microseconds) | -105 dbm (measured) |
| $\begin{aligned} & P_{\min }(10 \text { microseconds - } \\ & \text { worstcase })-P_{\min }(10 \text { micro- } \\ & \text { seconds-measured) } \end{aligned}$ | $+7.7 \mathrm{db}$ |
| $P_{\text {min }}(30$ microseconds) | -101. 5 dbm (worst case) |
| $\mathrm{P}_{\text {min }}$ (30 microseconds) | -109 dbm (measured) |
| ```P min (30 microseconds - worst case)-P (min (30 micro- seconds-measured)``` | $+7.5 \mathrm{db}$ |
| $P_{\min }(16.7 \text { microseconds })$ | - 99.5 dbm (worst case) |
| ```P worst case) - - }\mp@subsup{\mp@code{min}}{\mathrm{ min}}{}(16.7\mathrm{ micro- seconds-predicted)``` | $+7.6 \mathrm{db}$ |
| $\mathrm{P}_{\text {min }}(16.7$ microseconds) | -107.1 dbm (predicted) |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & -75.6 \mathrm{dbm} \\ & +107.1 \mathrm{dbm} \end{aligned}$ |
| Total margin above threshold for 0.999 cumulative probability: | $+31.5 \mathrm{db}$ |
| 5 Expected Marking Range |  |

### 5.8.4.5 Expected Marking Range

The expected value of the slant range along the AMR antenna electrical axis when the mark is produced will vary slightly from the nominal value of 60 statute miles. This expected value is supplied before each mission to FPAC, which inserts this value and both mechanical alignment and electrical
boresight data into its trajectory programs. These determine the time delay value to be commanded into the on-board magnitude register so that automatic engine ignition will occur at the altitude desired for that mission.

Previous study and experience, confirmed by Surveyor VI itself, have demonstrated that the expected marking range is affected significantly by only two parameters, according to the well-documented equation:

$$
R_{m}=R_{o}+\left(2.02 \times 10^{-4}\right) \varphi-\left(1.01 \times 10^{-4}\right) \varphi^{2}
$$

The operational parameter is the angle $\varphi$, the incidence angle in degrees off the local lunar vertical of the AMR beam at its intersection with the lunar surface. The equipment parameter is the value $R_{0}$, which is the expected marking range at vertical incidence characteristic of the specific AMR hardware aboard each spacecraft. The result $R_{m}$ is the expected marking range in statute miles.

The value $R_{0}$ is determined for each AMR as the measured gate setting (leading edge of late gate) minus the nominal analytical value of range bias at vertical incidence; the latter is equal to 12.20 microseconds. The former is measured in test.

The Surveyor VI AMR (283810, S/N 13) gate setting was 655.2 microseconds. The $R_{o}$ value was therefore:

| Gate (measured) | 655.2 microseconds |
| :--- | ---: |
| Bias (analytical) | -12.2 microseconds |
| $\mathrm{R}_{0}$ | $=643.0$ microseconds |
|  | $=59.89$ statute miles |

(at 10.737 microseconds per round-trip statute mile)

The trajectory reconstruction for AGC evaluation (Figure 5.8-3) showed an incidence angle of 25.5 degrees at the time of the mark. The expected marking range for Surveyor VI was therefore 59.82 statute miles.

## 5. 8. 4. 6 Marking Range Dispersion

The standard budget of allowances for in-flight drifts of AMR parameters that affect marking range at any incidence angle has been documented as a rss total of $\pm 893$ feet, $3 \sigma$.

From the calculations of AMR cumulative probability (Reference l) of successful marking, the spread from 0.001 to 0.999 probability is $\pm 525$ feet at 25 degrees. The allowable pointing error ( $3 \sigma$ ) contribution is $\pm 1526$ feet at 25 degrees.


The total (rss) marking range dispersion at 25 degrees is therefore $\pm 1844$ feet $(3 \sigma)$. (For perfect pointing error compensation in the guidance and trajectory programs, the actual dispersion would be only $\pm 1040$ feet ( $3 \sigma$ ) at 25 degrees, well within the specified maximum of 0.345 mile or 1820 feet ( $3 \sigma$ ).

At the Surveyor VI velocity of 8459.2 fps at the time of the mark, the total dispersion of $\pm 1844$ feet ( $3 \sigma$ ) would be a time error of $\pm 0.218$ second ( $3 \sigma$ ). By coast phase orbit determination from earth tracking, the a priori mark time uncertainty has been reported as 0.62 second ( $1 \sigma$ ), or almost five times larger. Hence, while orbit determination provides excellent velocity data, integration into position is less accurate, and the predicted value of expected marking range remains the best available estimate of actual conditions.

## 5. 8. 4. 7 AMR Parameter Reconstruction

Because of the significant distance traveled during the nominal 100 seconds of enabled operation, the AMR parameters during this interval are evaluated accurately as functions of time and of the mission variables. This is done by a separate computer program developed for this purpose.

Trajectory constants are found from conditions at mark and/or at engine ignition. Velocity, velocity angle, and attitude angle are supplied by FPAC; slant range at mark is the predicted expected marking range. All quantities are then evaluated analytically without approximation at each of a number of two-body trajectory points determined by stepping speed in arbitrary increments. Negative increments of speed produce a backward extrapolation from mark, or from ignition to enable. The only approximation used is for the time interval between trajectory points, which assumes linearized distance and velocity between points. Adequate time accuracy results with the -20 fps increments normally used.

Of particular interest are the AMR slant range, the beam incidence angle at the surface, and the accompanying received pulse stretching effect (Figure 5.8-4). The latter is seen to vary quite significantly because of slant range variation over a dynamic range of about two octaves. Despite variation of both flight path and attitude angle relative to instantaneous local lunar vertical, however, the beam incidence angle remains remarkably constant as a result of the constant inertial attitude of the spacecraft in this phase.

The Surveyor VI trajectory conditions supplied by FPAC were as follows:

|  | $\frac{\text { At Mark }}{}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Velocity angle, degrees | 24.18 |
| Attitude angle, degrees | 24.20 |
| Speed, fps | 8459.2 |



Figure 5.8-4. Received Pulse Length Reconstruction (AMR)

From these, it was determined that the AMR beam incidence angle was 25.50 degrees at mark, and varied less than 0 . l degree throughout the enabled time.

### 5.8.4.8 AMR AGC Evaluation

The original standard test conditions of 3,10 , and 30 microseconds for preflight AGC calibration (Figure 5.8-5) encompass approximately the region of stretched pulse lengths as received over the required range of A-2l approach angle ( 0 to 45 degrees) at the nominal marking range. The AGC response curves are nonlinear, however, and intermediate values are helpful for proper interpolation of a given approach angle even at the marking range. In addition, because of the appreciable variation in received pulse length during enabled operation prior to the mark, particularly at angles of more than several degrees, proper AGC interpretation at times other than the mark requires extended AGC calibration. The analysis was fully documented for Surveyor III (Reference 2).

Unfortunately, the extended AGC calibration was not carried out for Surveyor VI. From data available (maximum pulse length $=30$ microseconds), the correction relative to 10 -microsecond calibration was estimated (Figure 5. 8-6). This correction was applied in the interpretation of AGC telemetry data (Figure 5. 8-7). It should be noted that after the AMR was enabled, the telemetry data were initially low due to the response time of the circuit. After the initial period, the AGC data were in good agreement with the nominal predicted value.
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### 5.9 RADVS PERFORMANCE

### 5.9.1 INTRODUCTION

The radar altimeter and doppler velocity sensor (RADVS) is a coherent CW microwave radar designed and supplied by Ryan Electronics, San Diego. Its primary function is to measure velocity and slant range relative to the lunar surface during the terminal descent of the Surveyor spacecraft. These quantities are measured directly in spacecraft coordinates, allowing direct utilization by the spacecraft flight control system for both attitude steering and deceleration thrust control.

The doppler velocity sensor (DVS) portion of the system is essentially a three-beam coherent CW autodyne doppler radar. A single klystron (twocavity type) provides undeviated output at a nominal frequency of $13,300 \mathrm{MHz}$. Its output is divided equally among the transmitting horns for beams 1,2 , and 3. Each beam has a separate receiving horn, with adequate $R F$ isolation against direct leakage, and a separate and independent receiver utilizing a small sample of the transmitted signal as a local oscillator (bias). Associated with each receiver is a separate and independent frequency tracker capable of acquiring and tracking the doppler signal corresponding to that component of velocity associated with the spacecraft orientation of that particular beam. The spacecraft beam orientations are such that the nominal velocity components $V_{i}{ }^{\prime}(i=1,2,3)$ along the axes of the se three beams are determined by the spacecraft coordinate components of velocity according to the matrix multiplication:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c}
v_{1} \\
v_{2} \\
v_{3}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
+A+A & +B \\
-A+A & +B \\
-A & -A & +B
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
v_{x} \\
v_{y} \\
v_{z}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
A=\sin 45 \text { degrees } \sin 25 \text { degrees } & =0.29884 \\
B=\cos 25 \text { degrees } & =0.90631
\end{aligned}
$$

and the spacecraft coordinates are a Cartesian right-handed triad with +z along the roll axis in the normally descending direction.

The frequency outputs of these three frequency trackers are properly scaled and summed in three converters whose outputs are analog voltages representing the spacecraft velocity components:

$$
V_{x}=\frac{V_{1}-V_{2}}{2 A} ; \quad V_{y}=\frac{V_{2}-V_{3}}{2 A} ; V_{z}=\frac{V_{1}+V_{3}}{2 B}
$$

The radar altimeter (RA) portion of the system is basically a single-beam coherent $F M-C W$ microwave radar altimeter. Beam 4, fixed along the spacecraft $+Z$ axis, also contains separate transmitand receive horns, a fourth receiver, and a fourth frequency tracker. The same kind of transmitter-derived local oscillator (bias) signal configuration is used, but the RA uses a reflex klystron whose frequency is sawtooth deviatedin standard FM-altimeter fashion. The operating portion of the sawtooth has negative slope (with time) to avoid any range-velocity ambiguities. The beam 4 receiver and frequency tracker therefore operate at a frequency which is the sum of scaled slant range and scaled doppler velocity inevitably appearing along that beam. The RA converter corrects the frequency output of the beam 4 tracker by a properly scaled term ( $V_{z}$ compensation), obtained from the DVS $V_{z}$ converter, to provide an analog output voltage proportional to $R_{z}$, the slant range along the spacecraft +Z axis. (The nominal RA operating frequency is $12,900 \mathrm{MHz}$. Deviation is nominally 40 MHz at $8000 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{sec}$ below 1000 feet, and 4 MHz at $800 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{sec}$ above 1000 feet.)

Each receiver is actually two parallel receiving channels, each with separate microwave mixers and audio preamplifiers. Microwave mixer sig nal and bias inputs are phased so that the parallel audio channels are essentially in phase quadrature, and with equal amplitudes, for all normal doppler signals. Each frequency tracker uses these quadrature audio signals to single-sideband modulate an internal reference signal held at 600 kHz , thus reproducing doppler frequencies unambiguously. In Surveyor, this serves primarily to reject negative velocity at tracker IF, thereby preserving the sense of the velocities. (In a more general application, this would permit measuring negative and positive beam velocities including the unwanted radar return from the main retro engine after separation from the spacecraft.) Each frequency tracking loop is closed by a voltage controlled oscillator whose frequency is controlled by a discriminator-integrator combination, whose output is a direct measure of the frequency being tracked.

To preserve the high degree of both amplitude and phase balance between the parallel quadrature channels of each receiver over the full dynamic range of signals and over the region of operating temperatures, the preamplifier gains are switched in discrete steps by wideband (at audio) gain-switching threshold circuits. Automatic gain control is not used. A set of discrete outputs is provided and telemetered to indicate the gain state of each receiver, as follows:

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gain Switch } \\ & 1 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gain Switch } \\ & 2 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| High gain <br> (DVS, 90 db ; RA, 80 db ) | Off | Off |
| Mid gain <br> (DVS, 65 db ; RA, 60 db ) | Off | On |
| Low gain <br> (DVS and RA, 40 db ) | On | On |

Other discrete outputs are also provided and telemetered. One is a confirmation of application of prime power. This initiates a warmup interval ended by an internal timer which applies high voltage to both klystrons. A set of tracker -lock signals indicates the search or track status of each of the four frequency trackers. A reliable operate doppler velocity sensor (RODVS) discrete indicates, both prior to $3.5 \mathrm{~g}+3.7$ seconds and subsequent to the 1000-foot mark, that all three DVS beams are locked; between these two times (in Surveyor IV and subsequent spacecraft), it indicates that any one or more of the DVS beams is locked. RODVS causes the flight control to switch attitude steering inputs from gyros to lateral velocities. A RORA (reliable operate radar altimeter) discrete is on when and only when beams 1 , 3, and 4 are locked, thus providing reliable $V_{z}$ and $R_{z}$ for the flight control acceleration control loop. From the analog range output, the RADVS itself derives and supplies two discrete range mark signals, one at 1000 feet (used to change flight control loop parameters), and the other at 12 feet (used to ćut off vernier engines).

The latter is termed the 14 -foot mark for RADVS purposes, since it is measured from the RADVS antenna boresight reference, which is 24 inches above the legs-extended position of the landing pads on the spacecraft structure (whose position at vernier engine cut off, in turn, has been used in landing stability analyses).

The RADVS hardware is packaged in five units, each of which is a control item in Hughes Spacecraft Configuration Control. Since temperature is measured separately for most of these units, their basic composition is indicated below:

A/VS antenna

DVS antenna

Klystron power supply modulator (KPSM)

Signal data converter - all frequency trackers and data converters Waveguide assembly

### 5.9.2 ANOMALIES

There were no radar anomalies in the Surveyor VI mission.

## 5. 9. 3 SUMMARY

Data at Station 14 was continuous during terminal descent. Therefore, the times for all mark events are within the accuracy determined by the bit rate.

All DVS beams locked during retro operation. This is normal and has occurred in all previous terminal descents.

RODVS was on from initial lock to touchdown.
Beam 4 was acquired after retro case separation. An explanation for this late acquisition is contained in subsection 5.9.4.3.

RORA was on from initial lock of beam 4 to touchdown.
There is good agreement between the processed telemetry data and the 6DOF terminal descent reconstruction for all RADVS parameters.

### 5.9.4 SUBS YSTEM PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS

### 5.9.4.1 RADVS Turn-on

RADVS power on occurred properly, within a second of retro ignition, as confirmed by EP-33, R-28, EP-17, and the altimeter search sweep pattern in FC-35. Subsequent time-in of the high voltage occurred approximately 21 seconds later, as indicated by EP-17 (Figure 5.2-8), a normal internal delay.

### 5.9.4.2 Velocity Acquisition Conditions

All three beams of the doppler velocity sensor (DVS) acquired and commenced tracking lunar reflected signals as soon as they came within each tracker's acquisition sweep frequency limits. From the 6DOF computer program reconstruction, conditions at initial acquisition for each beam are shown in Table 5.9-1 (assuming conditions at the most probable tracker lock times, whose telemetry time accuracies are $\pm 0.6$ second, or about $\pm 140 \mathrm{fps}$ in beam velocities).

Spacecraft conditions at the time of RODVS (all DVS beams locked, and converters reporting reliable $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{x}}, \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{y}}$, and $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{z}}$ ), again from the 6DOF program reconstruction, were as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{x} & =-3.3 \mathrm{fps} \\
V_{y} & =+135.8 \mathrm{fps} \\
V_{z} & =+3200 \mathrm{fps} \\
\text { Range } & =68,023 \mathrm{feet} \\
\text { Attitude } & =25.34 \text { degrees } \\
\text { Altitude } & =64,402 \text { feet }
\end{aligned}
$$

again assuming conditions at the most probable time of RODVS, whose telemetry time accuracy is also $\pm 0.6$ second.

## TABLE 5.9-1. CONDITIONS AT RODVS

| Beam | Slant <br> Range <br> Along <br> Beam, <br> 1000 feet | Velocity <br> Along <br> Beam, <br> fps | Beam <br> Incidence <br> Angle, <br> degrees | Doppler <br> Frequency, <br> kHz | Upper <br> Search <br> Limit, kHz |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 66.0 | 3168 | 10.89 | 86.08 | $\approx 85$ |
| 2 | 72.2 | 3169 | 26.12 | 86.12 | $\approx 85$ |
| 3 | 99.4 | 3091 | 48.97 | 84.03 | $\approx 85$ |

### 5.9.4.3 Range Acquisition Conditions

From telemetry and terminal descent 6DOF program reconstruction, conditions at the time of range tracker lockon and RORA were:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{x}} & =+1.3 \mathrm{fps} \\
\mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{y}} & =+118.7 \mathrm{fps} \\
\mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{z}} & =+501.8 \mathrm{fps} \\
\text { Range } & =36,622 \mathrm{feet} \\
\text { Attitude } & =13.9 \mathrm{degrees} \\
\text { Altitude } & =35,548 \mathrm{feet} \\
\text { Altimeter frequency } & =72.7 \mathrm{kHz} \\
\text { Upper sweep limit } & \approx 91 \mathrm{kHz}
\end{aligned}
$$

again assuming conditions at the most probable time, whose telemetry accuracy is also $\pm 0.6$ second.

It should be noted that acquisition occurred much lower than the upper sweep limit and after retro eject. It is believed that beam 4 locked up late for the following reasons:

1) Before retro eject and after RODVS, beam 4 switched to gain state 2. Acquisition could not occur in this gain state because the gain is too low. This change in gain state can be attributed to reflections from the retro engine gases.
2) Beam 4 returned briefly to gain state 3 prior to retro eject but after retro eject went back to gain state 2 due to reflections from the retro case. When the tracker returned to gain state 3 on the next telemetry frame, beam 4 locked up and RORA occurred.

### 5.9.4.4 Revised Nominal db Budget

The db budget for the revised Surveyor VI conditions is shown in Table 5.9-2.

### 5.9.4.5 Surveyor VI Event Times

The GMT at DSIF - 14 at the time of data recording is shown. Table 5.9-3 gives a number of significant spacecraft and related radar events defining the major items in the terminal descent sequence. Table 5.9-4 shows the use of the magnitude register (FC-18) to refine the times of vernier ignition and AMR mark. In these tables, a signal is shown as going on at a time interpolated between its last absence and its first presence, plus or minus one-half the data sampling interval. Table 5.9-5 shows the RADVS gain states and tracker lock conditions from vernier ignition.

### 5.9.4.6 Descent Reconstruction

The set of graphs of $R, V_{x}, V_{y}$, and $V_{z}$ (Figures 5. 9-1 and 5. 9-2) compare PREPRO processed telemetry data with the 6DOF program values for the revised nominal conditions. These graphs show a good correlation between the computed values and processed telemetry values.

### 5.9.4.7 Radar Reflectivity Analysis

RADVS gain-switching events and reflectivity signal amplitudes for the Muhleman reflectivity model were derived, described, and presented in a succession of radar description and Surveyor I prediction packages, and were presented again in the Surveyor I postmission report. The unusual difference in frequency responses seen by the signal circuits and by the gain-switching threshold circuits was treated in detail, with predictions of higher than necessary gain states at very low altitude, starting about 10 seconds before touchdown. This response was confirmed in the Surveyor I mission performance and again in Surveyor VI.

TABLE 5. 9-2. RADVS INDIVIDUAL BEAM db BUDGETS BEFORE STEERING
Using measured Pt and G values and nominal reflectivity model For $\varphi=25.4$ degrees $\rho=+109.2$ degrees; and $R_{z}=40,574$ feet

| Values | Beam 1 | Beam 2 | Beam 3 | Beam 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pt, dbm | +34.70 | $+33.60$ | $+33.05$ | +25.05 |
| G, db | +28.2 | +28.1 | $+27.7$ | +28. 5 |
| (1/2), db | -3.01 | - 3.01 | - 3.01 | - 3.01 |
| $\lambda^{2}, \mathrm{db}$ | -22.64 | -22.64 | -22.64 | -22.36 |
| $(4 \pi)^{-2}, \mathrm{db}$ | -21.98 | -21.98 | -21.98 | -21.98 |
| $(36.65 \text { kilofeet })^{-2}$, db | -91.28 | -91.28 | -91.28 | -91.28 |
| $\cos ^{2} \theta i, d b$ | - 0.16 | - 0.94 | - 3.68 | - 0.88 |
| $F(\theta i), d b$ | -4.99 | - 9.22 | -12.41 | - 9.05 |
| $\eta\left(\mathrm{K} / \alpha^{3}\right), \mathrm{db}$ | - 1.72 | - 1.72 | - 1.72 | - 1.72 |
| Sum of + values | 62.90 | 61.70 | 60.75 | 53.55 |
| Sum of - values | -145. 78 | -150.79 | -156.72 | -150.98 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{r}}, \mathrm{dbm}$ | -82.88 | -89.09 | -95.97 | -97.43 |
| $\theta i$, degrees | 10.9 | 26.2 | 49.1 | 25.4 |
| $\sigma(\theta), \mathrm{db}$ | - 6.72 | -10.94 | -14.13 | $-10.77$ |
| R, kilofeet | 37.31 | 40.85 | 56.13 | 40.57 |

TABLE 5.9-3. SURVEYOR VI EVENTS

| Sensor | Event | GMT, Day 314, hr:min:sec |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| R-1 | AMR on | 00:53:19.604 $\pm 0.6$ |
| R-11 | AMR enable | 00:56:19.600 $\pm 0.6$ |
| FC-64 | AMR mark | 00:57:57.038 $\pm 0.05$ |
| FC-28 | Vernier ignition | 00:58:02.938 $\pm 0.05$ |
| FC-29 | Retroignition | 00:58:04.038 $\pm 0.05$ |
| EP-33 | RADVS pyro switch | 00:58:04. $396 \pm 0.6$ |
| R-28 | RADVS on | 00:58:05.798 $\pm 0.6$ |
| FC-34 | RODVS | 00:58:34. $098 \pm 0.6$ |
| FC-63 | Inertial switch | 00:58:43.397 $\pm 0.3$ |
| FC-30 | Retro burnout | 00:58:43.637 $\pm 0.05$ |
| FC-78 | Start maximum thrust | 00:58:53.297 $\pm 0.6$ |
| FC-31 | Retro eject signal | 00:58:55.637 $\pm 0.05$ |
| V-4 | Retro ejected | 00:58:55.942 $\pm 0.255$ |
| FC-42 | Start RADVS descent | 00:58:57.737 $\pm 0.05$ |
| FC-33 | RORA | 00:58:59.297 $\pm 0.6$ |
|  | Segment acquisition | 00:59:21. $276 \pm 0.14$ |
| FC-37 | 1000-foot mark | 01:00:40.534 $\pm 0.05$ |
| FC-36 | 10 fps | 01:00:57.634 $\pm 0.05$ |
| FC-38 | 14-foot mark | 01:01:04.133 $\pm 0.05$ |
| (Leg 1) | Touchdown | 01:01:05.467 $\pm 0.003$ |

TABLE 5.9-4. TIMING REFINEMENT

GMT, Day 314,
hr:min:sec
Initially
00:57:57. 198
00:58:01. 998

Magnitude
Register, BCD

118
115
19

Vernier ignition at 58:01. 998
$0.925 \pm 0.025$
$\overline{58: 02.923 \pm 0.025}$
Actual delay $=118 \mathrm{BCD}$
$=5.875 \pm 0.025 \mathrm{sec}$ ond s
Clock started at 57:57.073 $\pm 0.025$
FC-64 at 57:57.038 $\pm 0.050$
Actual mark at 57:57.088 maximum
57:57.048 minimum
$=57: 57: 068 \pm 0.025$

This page intentionally left blank.

TABLE 5.9-5. SURVEYOR VI RADVS GAIN STATES AND TRACKER LOCK CONDITION FROM VERNIER IGNITION

| Reference Vernier Ignition | Gain States |  |  |  | Beam Lock (Lock is 1) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| seconds | B1 | B2 | B3 | B4 | T 1 | T2 | T 3 | T4 |  |
| -0. 270 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |
| 0.930 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 2. 130 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| 23.730 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29.730 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | RODVS occurred. |
| 40.529 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 48.929 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 52.529 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 53.729 |  |  | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  | Retro case has been ejected. |
| 54.929 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 56.129 |  | 3 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 57. 329 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | RORA occurred. |
| 59. 729 |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60.929 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 63.329 |  | 2 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 64.528 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 69.329 |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 70.529 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 114.927 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 116. 127 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 124. 527 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 129.327 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 130.527 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 131.727 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 134.127 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 135.327 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 138.927 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 140.127 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
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Table 5.9-5 (continued)

| Reference Vernier Ignition | Gain States |  |  |  | Beam Lock (Lock is 1) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| seconds | B1 | B2 | B3 | B4 | T 1 | T2 | T3 | T 4 |  |
| 141.327 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| 142.527 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 143.726 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 144.926 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 146.126 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 149.726 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 150.926 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 152.126 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 153.326 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 154.526 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 155.726 | 1 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 156.926 | 2 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 158.126 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 159.326 |  | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 160.526 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 162.926 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 164.126 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 165.326 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 168.926 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 171.326 |  | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 172.526 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 173.726 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 174.926 | 2 |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  | 10-fps mark occurred. |
| 176.126 |  | 3 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 177.326 | 3 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 178.526 | 2 |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 179.726 |  |  | 2 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 180.926 | 1 |  | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 182. 125 | 2 |  | 2 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 183.325 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | Touchdown occurred. |
| 184.525 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |



Figure 5.9-1. Slant Range - Reconstructed

a) $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{x}}$

Figure 5.9-2. Spacecraft Velocities - Reconstructed

b) $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{y}}$

c) $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{z}}$

Figure 5.9-2 (continued). Spacecraft Velocities - Reconstructed

Not just for radar purposes, but for the larger analyses of the entire terminal descent of each mission, an appreciable effort is devoted to a complete and accurate nine-dimensional trajectory versus real time reconstruction. While this process is hampered by lack of direct data on spacecraft attitude once steering has started, it is possible to converge on an accurate and unique solution in which attitude is implicit by iteration of a precise spacecraft simulation against every significant telemetry channel, as described in the terminal descent discussion. Radar data aid in this reconstruction and, in return, the simulation provides expected or predicted reflectivity signal strengths throughout the descent. This process was almost trivial in the almost exactly nominal Surveyor $I$, but has proved its utility in matching Surveyors III, V, and VI.

The results were presented in subsection 5.9.4.6, and the received signal strength versus time plots are represented here (Figure 5.9.4) along with the processed telemetered received signal strength data for comparison. Figure 5.9-3 shows beam incidence angles and reflectivity factors from 6DOF versus time.

It should be noted that the reflectivity signal voltage is not always a true indicator of received signal strength when the preamplifier is switching between two gain states. The rapid sawtooth motion of the processed telemetry data during RADVS control is due to gain switching.

## 5. 9.4.8 Reflectivity Model

The lunar radar reflectivity model used by Hughes and approved by JPL for design and evaluation of both Surveyor radars was developed by D. O. Muhleman. This model has been completely described in previous documentation. (See, for example, the "Surveyor III Flight Performance Final Report.")

### 5.9.5 RADVS DOCUMENTATION

R.A. Dibos, RADVS Design Review Material, 22 July 1965.
R. A. Dibos, "Behavior of Telemetered Range Near 1000-ft Scale Factor Charge," Hughes IDC 2253. 1/523, 27 December 1965.
R. A. Dibos, "Post-Mission Analyses Involving Radar Data," 25 March 1966.
R. A. Dibos, "A-2l RADVS-Predicted Minimum Margin Performance," 30 May 1966.
"Surveyor III Flight Performance," Hughes Aircraft Company, SSD 68189-3, July 1967.
M. R. Weiner, "Least Square Polynominal Fit of the DVS Tracker NonLinearity for SC-6," Hughes IDC 2292/398, 25 September 1967.
"Surveyor IV Flight Performance Final Report," Hughes Aircraft Company, SSD 68189-4, September 1967.
R.A. Dibos, "Radar Performance Evaluation," SC-l Symposium (NASA at JPL), September 1966.
"Surveyor I Flight Performance Final Report," Hughes Aircraft Company, SSD 68223R, October 1966.
"Decibel Allocation and Margin Summary," Hughes Aircraft Company, SSD 4021 R-2, 28 November 1966.
R. A. Dibos, "RADVS Lateral Velocity Saturation," Hughes IDC 2253.4/67, 9 March 1967.
R. A. Dibos, "Extended RADVS Equations for Six-Degree Digital Program," Hughes IDC 2253.4/69, 15 March 1967.
E. White, "Timetic Method for Determining Surveyor System Errors," Hughes IDC 2293/105, l4 April 1967.
R. A. Dibos, "TM Mode 6 Data Relating to Radar Performance," Hughes IDC 2253.4/84, 15 June 1967.
D. W. Demaree, "SC-6 Radar Calibration Data," Hughes IDC 2294.5/146, 23 October 1967.
"Surveyor V Flight Performance. Final Report," Hughes Aircraft Company, SSD 68189-5, November 1967.
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Figure 5.9-3. Beam Incidence Angles $(\theta)$ and Reflectivity Factors ( $\sigma$ ) From 6DOF Program
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Figure 5.9-3 (continued). Beam Incidence Angles ( $\theta$ ) and Reflectivity Factors ( $\sigma$ ) From 6DOF Program


Figure 5.9-4. RADVS Reflectivity

d) Beam 4

c) Beam 3

Figure 5. 9-4 (continued). RADVS Reflectivity

## 5. 10 STRUCTURES PERFORMANCE

### 5.10.1 INTRODUCTION

Structures postmission analysis is normally confined to launch and touchdown phases of the mission and the resulting structural loads, landing gear performance, and landing dynamics. Structures support is also required if vernier engine static firing or a hopping maneuver is performed.

During the launch phase, vehicle separation and extension of the landing gear were verified. During touchdown, shock absorber strain gages indicated the landing gear load time histories and enabled a prompt, but approximate, assessment of landing conditions, such as impact velocity and vehicle incidence relative to the lunar surface. Before and after a landing, leg deflections were monitored to establish whether or not the operating characteristics of the shock absorbers had been impaired during the mission.

Postmission analysis consists of analyzing l) leg deflection potentiometer data, and 2) shock absorber strain gage data during landing. A mathematical model was used to simulate Surveyor VI landing conditions. The analytical results obtained from the mathematical model, combined with other data, can facilitate evaluation of lunar surface mechanical properties.

## 5. 10.2 ANOMALY DESCRIPTION

There were no anomalies in the structures subsystem.

## 5. 10. 3 SUMMARY

Surveyor VI landing legs deployed in a normal fashion during the launch phase and operated normally during the landing and through the lunar day.

Analysis indicates that the spacecraft initially landed with an incidence relative to the surface of between 2 and 3 degrees at approximate impact velocities of 11.5 fps vertical and less than 1 fps lateral. In the analysis, these conditions resulted in footpad penetration of between 2 and 3 inches on a surface of 5 psi static bearing strength.

The structural loads experienced by Surveyor VI during the initial landing were low relative to design levels. This was also essentially true during the translation, but with the solar and roll axes unlocked it is considered that a tip-off rate at engine shutdown, which reduced the spacecraft incidence to approximately zero, was the basic reason for maintaining A/SPP loads at acceptable levels.

## 5. 10.4 PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS

### 5.10.4.1 Launch Phase

## Leg Extension and Vehicle Separation

Landing gear extension was confirmed by Structures at 08:03:54 GMT on day 311. Because of a data outage, vehicle separation was not confirmed by Structures until 08:10:25 GMT on day 311.

## Leg Deflections

The landing gear position potentiometers were first monitored at 08:35:07 GMT on day 311 and were as follows:

Leg l: $\quad V-5=0.1$ degree
Leg 2: $\quad V-6=0.1$ degree
Leg 3: $\quad V-7=0.0$ degree
With the landing gear extended, the nominal value for these signals is 0.0 degree, with an allowable variation of $\pm 5$ percent, or $\pm 1.2$ degrees.

### 5.10.4.2 Touchdown

The actual landing process of the spacecraft can be reconstructed quite accurately from a variety of telemetry signals in connection with available dynamic landing simulations. Pertinent telemetry data are as follows:

1) Commutated indications of spacecraft altitude
2) Continuous analog signals monitoring three strain gage bridges, one being mounted on each leg shock absorber, indicating its axial loading
3) Postlanding television coverage of footpads, crushable blocks, and areas on the lunar surface in which these spacecraft elements contacted the surface and came to rest
4) Postlanding attitude determinations based on the high gain directional antenna position, horizon sightings, etc.

The above telemetry data and the dynamic landing simulations led to the conclusion that the vertical velocity of Surveyor VI at initial impact was approximately 11.5 fps , the horizontal velocity was less than 1 fps , the surface slope was approximately 0.8 degree, and the spacecraft incidence at landing was between 1 and 2 degrees relative to the lunar horizontal plane.

Figure 5. 10-1 shows the time histories of the axial forces in the landing gear shock absorbers from prior to initial surface contact until after the spacecraft came to rest. Footpad l contacted first, followed by pad 2 at 25 milliseconds and pad 3 at 40 milliseconds after pad limpact. Initially, each shock absorber experienced a force for approximately 0.35 second and then zero force for approximately l. l seconds. This was followed by a low amplitude oscillatory force which rapidly damped out to a low constant value consistent with forces resulting from the static lunar weight of the spacecraft. These force-trace characteristics are consistent with those expected from an initial vehicle impact, during which maximum pressures are exerted on the lunar surface, followed by a relief of the load as the spacecraft rebounds under the action of landing gear spring forces, followed by a final low energy impact and oscillatory forces related to the elasticity of the spacecraft and the lunar surface. The frequency of the observed oscillation is approximately 6 cps which corresponds to the oscillation frequencies observed during Surveyor I and III touchdowns (approximately 6.5 cps$)$.

Table 5. 10-1 gives the maximum force levels experienced by each shock absorber, and also the footpad impact times for the initial landing.

TABLE 5.10-1. MAXIMUM SHOCK ABSORBER FORCES AND FOOTPAD IMPACT TIMES

| Leg Assembly <br> Number | Maximum Shock <br> Absorber Force, <br> pounds | Footpad Impact Time, <br> seconds after <br> $314: 01: 01: 00$ GMT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $1590 \pm 80$ | $05.467 \pm 0.003$ |
| 2 | $1810 \pm 80$ | $05.490 \pm 0.003$ |
| 3 | $1590 \pm 80$ | $05.506 \pm 0.003$ |

Computer simulation studies of landings have been performed to estimate the landing conditions and mechanical properties of a surface material that will yield surface penetration and shock absorber axial loads similar to those obtained during the Surveyor VI landings. Using the compressible soil model described in Reference l, the best strain gage time history correlation achieved to date for the initial landing has been for a 5 psi static bearing strength soil and is shown in Figure 5.10-2. The impact velocities are 11.5 fps vertical and 0 fps lateral, with a 3 -degree


Figure 5. 10-1. Initial Landing Strain Gage Histories


Figure 5.10-2. Analytical and Measured Shock Absorber Force Histories for Initial Landing
incidence relative to the surface. Legl is oriented 12 degrees clockwise from the line of greatest surface slope. The penetration of footpads 1,2 , and 3 obtained in this simulation are 2.6,2.7, and 2.7 inches, respectively. The penetrations of crushable blocks 1,2 , and 3 are $1.6,2.0$, and 2.3 inches, respectively. The initial and final densities used in the compressible soil analytical model were 2.36 and $3.04 \mathrm{slug} / \mathrm{ft}^{3}$, respectively. It is significant that using the compressible surface model the be st analytical reproduction of strain gage time histories for Surveyor I and III were obtained with the same values of psi and density (References 2 and 3), whereas for Surveyor V lower values were used for the best agreement (Reference l).

## Structural Loads

The impact velocities, spacecraft attitude, and surface conditions for Surveyor VI were very similar to those for Surveyor I. It is therefore considered that, as on Surveyor I, the structural loads experienced by Surveyor VI at initial touchdown were less than 20 percent of the design load levels.

## Leg Deflections

Shortly after touchdown (01:01:05:48 GMT on day 314), the landing gear leg deflections were monitored and found to be as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Leg 1: } & V-5=0.9 \text { degree } \\
\text { Leg 2: } & V-6=0.9 \text { degree } \\
\text { Leg 3: } & V-7=0.5 \text { degree }
\end{array}
$$

Since these angles were not excessive, it was considered there was no necessity to lock the landing gear at that time. The decision not to lock the gear is made to facilitate gear actuation during any executed lunar hopping maneuver or during inadvertent hopping that could occur during a static firing experiment. Apart from the translation maneuver, no variations in leg deflections were observed. The legs were locked prior to lunar night, and no anomalous deflections such as occurred on Surveyor $V$ (Reference l) were observed upon entering lunar night.

## 5. 10. 5 REFERENCES

1) "Surveyor V Flight Performance Final Report," Hughes Aircraft Company, SSD 68189-5, November 1967.
2) "Surveyor I Flight Performance Final Report," Hughes Aircraft Company, SSD 68189R, October 1966.
3) "Surveyor III Flight Performance Final Report," Hughes Aircraft Company, SSD 68189-3, July 1967.
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## 5. 11 MECHANISMS SUBSYSTEM

### 5.11.1 INTRODUCTION

This section deals with the mechanical performance of the spacecraft landing legs, omnidirectional antennas, and antenna/solar panel positioner (A/SPP). For purposes of this report, these mechanisms are collectively defined as the mechanisms subsystem.

1) Landing gear deployment - When each landing gear is fully deployed, it opens an electrical switch on the telescoping strut. The actuation of these switches indicates that the landing gear is deployed, and is required for initiation of automatic sun acquisition at separation from Centaur. The telemetry designations for the se functions are V-1, V-2, and V-3 for each landing leg, respectively.
2) Omnidirectional antenna deployment - When each omnidirectional antenna is fully deployed, it opens an electrical switch to produce a change of state for telemetry purposes only. The telemetry designation for omnidirectional antenna $A$ is $M-1$, for omnidirectional antenna $B, M-2$.
3) A/SPP automatic solar panel deployment - The A/SPP function after separation is to deploy the solar panel surface perpendicular to the roll axis to achieve maximum receipt of solar energy during transit.

The A/SPP has four rotation axes which are moved in steps upon command from earth. The axes are polar, solar, elevation, and roll. The polar axis rotates $1 / 16$ degree per command; the other axes rotate $1 / 8$ degree per command. Figure 5. 11-1 illustrates the A/SPP with the polarity of rotation for each axis. The telemetry designation for the A/SPP axis positions are as follows:
Solar panel M-3

Polar axis M-4
Elevation axis M-6
Roll axis $\quad \mathrm{M}-7$


Figure 5.11-1. Antenna and Solar Panel Positioner

### 5.11.2 ANOMALY DESCRIPTION

No anomalies were detected in the mechanisms subsystem during separation. Telemetry data during transit indicated no anomalous conditions.

## 5. 11.3 SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS

All mechanism functions performed properly and at the correct times.

### 5.11. 4 SUBSYSTEM PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS

Table 5.11-1 shows the occurrence of major events for the mechanisms subsystem. Table 5. 11-2 presents a summary of the subsystem parameters reduced from telemetry data. The expected values were obtained from flight acceptance, type approval, and solar thermal vacuum testing, and from specified design performance values.

### 5.11.4.1 Landing Gear Deployment

Table 5.11-2 shows the nominal expected deployment time for the landing gear to be about 2.3 seconds. Flight data show the deployment time to be $1.833 \pm 1.2$ seconds, which indicates nominal deployment time. The leg deflection signals (V5-7) also indicated normal and complete extension of the landing gear.

## 5. 11.4.2 Omnidirectional Antenna Deployment

The nominal expected omnidirectional antenna deployment time is 2.4 seconds. The mission deployment time was $2.733 \pm 1.2$ seconds, which indicates nominal deployment time. Data show that both omnidirectional antennas were deployed at the same time.

### 5.11.4.3 A/SPP Performance

## Automatic Solar Panel Deployment

Automatic solar panel deployment begins upon closure of the 22 -volt switch in the separation sensing and arming device at vehicle separation. The solar panel launch lock is unlocked and the solar panel is stepped from 355 to 270 degrees where it is relocked. At this point, the roll axis is stepped from -60 to 0 degrees and relocked. Both positions are locked until after touchdown.

The Surveyor VI mission solar panel deployment time was 576 seconds. Comparing this mission deployment time to that in solar thermal vacuum phase A (579 seconds), the agreement is excellent.

TABLE 5．11－1．MECHANICAL EVENTS AT SEPARATION

| Event | Mission Time，GMT， Day 311 ， hr：min：sec |
| :---: | :---: |
| Launch | 07：39：01．075 |
| Extend landing gear （Centaur command）＊ | 08：03：48．995 |
| Landing gears extended （V－1，V－2，V－3 on） | 08：03：50．828 $\pm 1.2$ |
| Extend omnidirectional antennas （Centaur command）＊ | 08：03：59．495 |
| Omnidirectional antennas extended （M－1，M－2 on） | 08：04：02．228土1．2 |
| Spacecraft electrical separation （Centaur command）＊ | 08：04：25．095 |
| Spacecraft electrical separation （M－9 on） | 08：04：24．426 $\pm 1.2$ |
| Spacecraft mechanical separation＊ | 08：04：29．995 |
| A／SPP solar panel unlocked （M－14 on） | 08：04：31．4土2．0． |
| A／SPP solar panel locked in transit position （M－11 on） | 08：10；07．4土2．0 |
| A／SPP roll axis locked in transit position （ $\mathrm{M}-13$ on） | 08：14：07．4£2．0 |

TABLE 5.11-2. PERFORMANCE PARAMETERS SUMMARY

| Parameter | Expected Value, Nominal | Measured Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time from Centaur extend landing gear command to legs extended indications (V-1, V-2, and V-3 on) | $<2.3$ seconds | $1.833 \pm 1.2$ |
| Time from Centaur extend omnidirectional antenna command to omnidirectional antennas extended (M-1 and M-2 on) | $<2.4$ seconds | $2.733 \pm 1.2$ |
| Solar axis deployment time (A/SPP solar panel autodeployment) | 337 seconds* | 336 seconds |
| Roll axis deployment time (A/SPP solar panel autodeployment) | 242 seconds* | 240 seconds |
| Total A/SPP solar panel autodeployment time | 579 seconds* | 576 seconds |
| Solar axis launch position (355 degrees) (M-3) | 356.2 degrees* | 355.4 degrees |
| Polar axis launch position (0 degree) (M-4) | 0.12 degree* | 0.07 degree |
| Elevation axis launch position (0 degree) (M-6) | 0.01 degree | -0.63 degree |
| Roll axis launch position (-60 degrees) (M-7) | -60.4 degrees* | -60.9 degrees |
| Solar axis transit position (M-3) | 270 degrees | 270.5 degrees |
| Roll axis transit position (M-7) | 0 degree | -1.15 degrees |
| Leg deflection signals, prelaunch: Leg 1 (V-5) | $\int$ | 25.4 degrees 2 BCD |
| Leg 2 (V-6) | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}24 \text { degrees } \\ 0 \mathrm{BCD}\end{array}\right.$ | 23.6 degrees $1 \mathrm{BCD}$ |
| Leg 3 (V-7) | ( | 23.4 degrees $2 \mathrm{BCD}$ |
| Leg deflection signals, postlaunch: |  |  |
| Leg l(V-5) | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \text { degree } \\ & 950 \mathrm{BCD} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.00 \text { degree } \\ & 950 \mathrm{BCD} \end{aligned}$ |
| Leg 3 (V-6) | 0 degree 953 BCD | 0.17 degree 946 BCD |
| Leg 3 (V-7) | 0 degree 953 BCD | -0.15 degree 959 BCD |

*Solar thermal vacuum test phase 1 A .

Table 5.11-3 shows the positions of the A/SPP axis before and after the automatic solar panel deployment. These all fall within the required limits when corrections are applied to the telemetry data.

TABLE 5.11-3. A/SPP AXIS POSITIONS FOR PRELAUNCH AND POSTAUTODEPLOYMENT CONDITIONS

|  | Prelaunch** |  |  |  | Post-autodeployment, Transit** |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Raw Data |  | Corrected Data |  | Raw Data |  | Corrected Data |  |
|  | BCD | Indicated Angle, degrees | BCD | Angle, degrees | BCD | Indicated Angle, degrees | BCD | Angle, degrees |
| M-3 solar axis | 937 | 356.8 | 934.7 | 355.4 | 721 | 271.1 | 720.1 | 270.5 |
| M-4 polar axis | 259 | 0.21 | 258.7 | 0.07 | 259 | 0.21 | 259.0 | 0.12 |
| M-6 elevation axis | 493 | -0.01 | 492.1 | -0.63 | 493 | -0.01 | 492.5 | -0.42 |
| M-7 roll axis | 345 | -60.49 | 344.5 | -60.90 | 495 | -0.77 | 494.5 | -1.15 |
| S-1 reference voltage | 1003 | - | - | - | 1002 | - | - | - |
| S-2 reference return | 0 | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - |
| S-5 commutator <br> unbalance current | 99 | - | - | - | 99 | - | - | - |

Note: Nominal reference voltage, 1000 (BCD)

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { *Prelaunch data time } & 311: 07: 19: 41.556 \\
* * \text { Post-autodeployment data time } & 314: 00: 09: 20.505
\end{array}
$$

## Postlanding Performance

Table 5.11-4 presents the complete record of stepping commands during lunar ope rations. It also includes a statement of the functions being performed during stepping. Figure 5.11-2 presents this in graphical form.

Table 5.11-5 provides the number and direction of step commands sent for each A/SPP gimbal axis.

## Drive Stepping Response

An evaluation of stepping count tallies for the first lunar day, as compared with telemetry readings, indicates that, as far as can be determined, the gimbal drives responded successfully to all commands.

TABLE 5.11-4. A/SPP STEPPING COMMAND LOG

| Execution Time, day:hr:min | Command | Quantity | Function |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 314:02:55 | 403 | 876 | 7 |
| 03:04 | 402 | 867 |  |
| 03:13 | 405 | 470 |  |
| 03:19 | 406 | 22 | Sun-earth acquisition |
| 03:21 | 402 | 231 |  |
| 03:36 | 406 | 332 |  |
| 03:40 | 403 | 387 | J |
| 12:04 | 405 | 343 | 7 |
| 12:07 | 402 | 72 |  |
| 12:13 | 406 | 25 |  |
| 12:19 | 402 | 60 |  |
| 12:20 | 405 | 10 |  |
| 12:22 | 401 | 10 |  |
| 12:28 | 406 | 45 |  |
| 12:30 | 405 | 15 |  |
| 12:30 | 402 | 15 |  |
| 12:31 | 401 | 30 |  |
| 12:35 | 405 | 25 |  |
| 12:36 | 402 | 15 | Fine positioning |
| 12:39 | 405 | 25 |  |
| 12:42 | 401 | 22 |  |
| 12:48 | 402 | 11 |  |
| 12:49 | 405 | 2 |  |
| 12:51 | 406 | 10 |  |
| 13:07 | 406 | 345 |  |
| 13:12 | 405 | 128 |  |
| 13:14 | 406 | 32 |  |
| 13:18 | 403 | 15 |  |
| 13:24 | 404 | 40 |  |
| 13:25 | 403 | 12 | $\bigcirc$ |

Table 5.11-4 (continued)

| Execution Time, day:hr:min | Command | Quantity | Function |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 314:19:35 | 405 | 91 | Increase solar panel output |
| 315:16:30 | 406 | 40 |  |
| 17:08 | 406 | 40 | Decrease solar panel output |
| 19:22 | 401 | 469 |  |
| 316:03:49 | 403 | 79 |  |
| 23:20 | 401 | 108 |  |
| 23:20 | 410 | 36 | Reposition planar array |
| 23:40 | 407 | 36 |  |
| 317:14:16 | 401 | 36 |  |
| 318:03:47 | 406 | 1016 |  |
| 04:13 | 403 | 90 |  |
| 04:21 | 401 | 361 | Shade oxidizer relief (valve |
| 20:34 | 404 | 60 |  |
| 319:02:57 | 403 | 20 |  |
| 320:02:56 | 402 | 448 |  |
| 09:46 | 406 | 144 | Shade ASI and oxidizer tank 3 |
| 18:11 | 402 | 210 | Shade oxidizer tank 3 and |
| 18:15 | 410 | 8 | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text { lower temperature on } \\ \text { solar panel } \end{array}\right.$ |
| 321:01:07 | 401 | 401 | Shade engines 1 and 3; |
| 01:30 | 405 | 1515 | $\int_{\text {TV, relief valves, and }}$ |
| 03:37 | 405 | 651 |  |
| 03:44 | 402 | 370 |  |
| 05:53 | 405 | 58 |  |
| 08:47 | 406 | 376 |  |
| 08:53 | 401 | 289 |  |

5.11-8

Table 5.11-4 (continued)

| Execution Time, day:hr:min | Command | Quantity | Function |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 321:10:04 | 405 | 292 |  |
| 10:12 | 404 | 358 | Step to fire safe position |
| 10:17 | 401 | 853 |  |
| 10:46 | 402 | 571 | \} Step to earth position |
| 10:51 | 403 | 358 | Step to earth position |
| 12:59 | 405 | 70 |  |
| 20:41 | 406 | 680 |  |
| 322:06:25 | 406 | 482 |  |
| 07:02 | 406 | 37 |  |
| 07:03 | 405 | 519 |  |
| 14:40 | 405 | 36 |  |
| 15:30 | 404 | 45 |  |
| 15:31 | 403 | 15 |  |
| 18:21 | 405 | 416 | j |
| 19:02 | 402 | 609 |  |
| 19:32 | 405 | 48 |  |
| 19:42 | 406 | 48 |  |
| 19:44 | 404 | 40 |  |
| 19:50 | 406 | 100 |  |
| 323:07:44 | 405 | 58 |  |
| 324:02:30 | 401 | 253 |  |
| 02:30 | 406 | 145 |  |
| 12:46 | 403 | 59 |  |
| 12:51 | 405 | 37 |  |
| 12:59 | 404 | 39 |  |

Table 5.11-4 (continued)

| Execution Time, day:hr:min | Command | Quantity | Function |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 324:13:24 | 401 | 37 |  |
| 13:38 | 402 | 184 |  |
| 13:43 | 406 | 20 |  |
| 13:54 | 405 | 90 |  |
| 18:49 | 401 | 432 |  |
| 18:49 | 406 | 565 | Fine sun positioning |
| 18:49 | 403 | 30 | \} |
| 18:49 | 405 | 80 |  |
| 19:15 | 403 | 24 |  |
| 19:15 | 404 | 75 | \}Fine earth positioning |
| 19:15 | 405 | 759 |  |
| 19:15 | 406 | 48 |  |
| 325:09:46 | 406 | 56 |  |
| 13:37 | 402 | 120 |  |
| 20:35 | 406 | 400 |  |
| 20:35 | 403 | 73 |  |
| 20:35 | 405 | 400 |  |
| 20:35 | 404 | 73 |  |
| 326:04:12 | 406 | 171 |  |
| 04:15 | 403 | 40 |  |
| 04:18 | 406 | 397 |  |
| 04:25 | 410 | 10 |  |
| 04:30 | 406 | 600 |  |
| 04:38 | 406 | 400 |  |
| 04:43 | 406 | 400 |  |
| 04:48 | 403 | 33 |  |
| 04:49 | 410 | 44 |  |

Table 5.11-4 (continued)

| Execution Time, <br> day:hr:min | Command | Quantity | Function |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $326: 05: 01$ | 402 | 480 |  |
| $06: 04$ | 401 | 40 |  |
| $06: 24$ | 401 | 40 |  |
| $20: 23$ | 402 | 30 |  |
| $20: 26$ | 402 | 90 |  |
|  | 402 | 120 |  |
| $327: 07: 01$ | 402 | 40 |  |
| $09: 35$ | 402 | 120 |  |
| $328: 10: 09$ | 405 | 650 | 17 |
| $20: 23$ | 404 | 62 |  |
| $20: 29$ | 407 | 650 |  |
| $20: 30$ | 401 | 663 |  |
| $20: 31$ | 405 | 308 |  |
| $20: 38$ | 401 | 104 | Reposition solar panel |
| $20: 44$ |  | to second lunar day opti- |  |
| $329: 03: 08$ |  |  | mum position |
|  |  |  |  |

TABLE 5.11-5. POSTLANDING A/SPP STEPPING COMMANDS SUMMARY

| Axis | Solar |  | Polar |  | Elevation |  | Roll |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direction | Plus | Minus | Plus | Minus | Plus | Minus | Plus | Minus |
| Command | 0401 | 0402 | 0403 | 0404 | 0407 | 0410 | 0405 | 0406 |
| Total | 4443 | 4663 | 2111 | 747 | 98 | 98 | 7451 | 6976 |
| Total plus <br> and minus | 9106 |  |  | 2858 |  |  |  | 196 |
| Grand <br> total | 26,587 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14,427 |



Figure 5.11-2. A/SPP Gimbal Angles Versus Time During First Lunar Day
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## 5. 12 TERMINAL DESCENT TRAJECTORY PERFORMANCE

### 5.12.1 INTRODUCTION

The terminal descent and landing phase begins with the transition from coast mode II to the terminal descent phase. Terminal descent itself starts with the preretro attitude maneuvers which reposition the attitude of the spacecraft from the sun-star reference so that the expected direction of the retro thrust vector will be aligned with respect to the velocity vector. This alignment achieves the desired retro burnout conditions. Following completion of the attitude maneuvers, the altitude marking radar (AMR) is activated. The AMR is preset to generate a mark signal when the range to the lunar surface is 60 miles. A backup mark signal, delayed a short interval after the time the AMR mark should occur, is transmitted to the spacecraft to initiate the automatic sequence in the event the AMR mark is not generated. The desired delay between the altitude mark and vernier ignition is stored in the flight control programmer by ground command. Retro engine ignition is automatically initiated 1.1 seconds after vernier ignition.

During the retro phase, spacecraft attitude is maintained in the inertial direction established at the end of the preretro maneuvers by the vernier attitude control system, and the total vernier thrust is maintained at midthrust. As the mass of the vehicle decreases due to expenditure of retro and vernier propellant, the spacecraft thrust to mass ratio (T/M) increases from approximately $4 \mathrm{ge}\left(\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{e}}=32.2 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec}^{2}\right)$ at ignition to 10 g preceding burnout. Prior to burnout, the inhibit is removed from the acceleration switch output, and the doppler radar and altimeter (RADVS) is activated.

As the thrust decays during retro burnout, the acceleration switch signals when the $T / M$ level has dropped to 3.5 ge . At this time, a counter in the flight control programmer is initiated and, after 10 seconds from this point, the vernier engines are commanded to high thrust. The explosive bolts attaching the retro to the spacecraft are activated 2 seconds following the high thrust command, allowing the retro case to separate from the spacecraft. Following a programmed delay of 2.15 seconds after separation begins, the vernier thrust command is changed from the open -loop mode to a closed loop acceleration control mode. Nominal acceleration commanded at this point is $4.75 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec}^{2}$.

Surveyor VI performance was near perfect; all events occurred according to specification. The preretro maneuvers properly oriented the
spacecraft for the start of the retro phase sequence. The AMR mark occurred and the vernier engines ignited at the desired time delay of 5.9 seconds from AMR mark, indicating that the start of the terminal descent was generated by the true mark and not by the backup command. Approximately 23.5 seconds prior to the start of the RADVS-controlled descent, all three doppler velocity sensors acquired lock and remained reliable throughout the descent. The radar altimeter acquired lock approximately 1.5 seconds after the start of RADVS-controlled descent.

From postflight analysis, all spacecraft events and performances were near nominal, except for a larger than expected retro thrust misalign ment during retro burn. However, the misalignment was within the maximum allowable. This situation is further discussed in subsection 5. 12.4.5.

### 5.12.2 ANOMALY DESCRIPTION

There were no anomalies during this phase of the mission.

## 5. 12. 3 SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Table 5. 12-1 lists the significant terminal descent events and time of occurrence. The DSS time is either plus or minus the one-way transit time delay (approximately 1.297 seconds), depending on whether the event is a command or a telemetered spacecraft action.

The significant terminal descent performance parameters are summarized in Table 5. 12-2, along with the predicted values. From this table, it can be seen that Surveyor VI performed very well, except for the larger than expected retro thrust misalignment. This misalignment was the reason for differences in spacecraft velocities at the start of the RADVScontrolled descent (prediction and best estimation).

## 5. 12. 4 PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS

### 5.12.4.1 Introduction

The Surveyor VI terminal phase performance has been investigated and analyzed by comparing processed telemetry data by the PREPRO program (described in paragraph 5.12.4.2 along with other postflight analysis computer programs) with a precision six degree of freedom (6DOF) digital simulation reconstruction. Various nominal predicted preflight and preterminal in-flight parameters within the 6DOF were adjusted so as to coincide discrete time events with discrete telemetry time events. These events provide the 6DOF program with significant data points for constructing a best-fit trajectory. Table 5.12-3 shows the discrete time events determined from telemetry data and compared with the best match reconstruction by the 6DOF program.

TABLE 5.12-1. BEST ESTIMATE TIMES FOR SURVEYOR VI TERMINAL DESCENT

| Event | GMT, Day 314, min:sec |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | At DSS-14 | At Spacecraft |
| Hour 00 |  |  |
| AMR mark | $57: 57.038 \pm 0.05$ | 57:55.741 $\pm 0.05$ |
| AMR backup command | $57: 56.400 \pm 0.1$ | 57:57,697 $\pm 0.1$ |
| Vernier engine ignition | $58: 02.938 \pm 0.05$ | 58:01.641 $\pm 0.05$ |
| Retro ignition | 58:04. $038 \pm 0.05$ | 58:02.741 $\pm 0.05$ |
| RADVS on | 58:05.798 $\pm 0.6$ | 58:04.501 $\pm 0.6$ |
| RODVS on | $58: 34.098 \pm 0.6$ | $58: 32.801 \pm 0.6$ |
| 3.5 g switch | 58:43.647 $\pm 0.05$ | $58: 42.350 \pm 0,05$ |
| Start maximum thrust | 58:53. $297 \pm 0.6$ | $58: 52.000 \pm 0.6$ |
| Retro eject | $58: 55.637 \pm 0.05$ | 58:54.340 $\pm 0.05$ |
| Retro ejected | 58:55.942 $\pm 0.255$ | 58:54.645 $\pm 0.255$ |
| Start RADVS-controlled descent | 58:57. $737 \pm 0.05$ | 58:56. $440 \pm 0.05$ |
| RORA on | $58: 59.297 \pm 0.6$ | $58: 58.000 \pm 0.6$ |
| Segment intercept | $59: 21.276 \pm 0.14$ | $59: 19.979 \pm 0.14$ |
| Hour 01 |  |  |
| 1000-foot mark | 00:40. $534 \pm 0.05$ | 00:39.237 $\pm 0.05$ |
| 10-fps mark | 00:57.634 $\pm 0.05$ | 00:56.337 $\pm 0.05$ |
| 14-foot mark | 01:04.133 $\pm 0.05$ | 01:02.836 $\pm 0.05$ |
| Touchdown | 01:05.467 $\pm 0.003$ | 01:04. $226 \pm 0.15$ |

TABLE 5. 12-2. SUMMARY OF TERMINAL DESCENT PERFORMANCE PARAMETERS

| Parameter | Predicted Value | Best Estimated Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vernier ignition conditions | - |  |
| Time, hr:min:sec | 00:58:01.96 | 00:58:01.641 |
| Altitude, feet | 240, 787 | 239,484 |
| Velocity, fps | 8,488.9 | 8,489.0 |
| Attitude, degrees | 24.4 | 24.5 |
| Misalignment angle during retro In-plane, degrees | 0 | 0.632 |
| Out-of-plane, degrees | 0 | 0. 488 |
| Start RADVS-controlled descent Altitude, feet | 37,005 | 36,625 |
| Total velocity, fps | 482 | 515 |
| $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{x}}$ | 36 | 0.0 |
| $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{y}}$ | 105 | 224.6 |
| $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{z}}$ | 468 | 463 |
| Attitude, degrees | 25.29 | 25.4 |
| Flight path angle, degrees | 10.82 | 8.1 |
| Retro burn time, seconds | 39.88 | 39. 57 |
| Segment intercept conditions Slant range, feet | 23, 000 | 24,730 |
| Velocity ( $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{z}}$ ), fps | 494 | 522 |
| 1000-foot mark conditions |  |  |
| Slant range, feet | 1,000 | 1,000 |
| Total velocity, fps | 106 | 106. 1 |
| $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{z}}$ | - | 106.0 |
| Attitude, degrees | 1.3 | 1. 04 |
| $10-\mathrm{fps}$ mark conditions |  |  |
| Slant range | 43.0 | 50.0 |
| Velocity ( $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{z}}$ ), fps | 8.6 | 10.0 |
| Attitude, degrees | 0.02 | 0.03 |
| Vernier engine cutoff conditions |  |  |
| Slant range, feet | 13.0 | 14 |
| Velocity ( $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{z}}$ ), fps | 5.02 | 5.01 |
| Attitude, degrees | 0.01 | 0.03 |
| Touchdown conditions |  |  |
| Longitudinal velocity, fps | 12.5 | 12. 5 |
| Lateral velocity, fps | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total vernier propellant used, pounds | 143.61 | 146.33 |

TABLE 5. 12-3. 6DOF DISCRETE TIME EVENTS VERSUS TELEMETERED

| Event | 6DOF Time <br> (Figures 5. 12-7 <br> to 5.12-14), <br> seconds | GMT, Day 314, min:sec |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Converted Figure Time to GMT | Actual GMT at DSS - 14 |
| Hour 00 |  |  |  |
| Vernier engine ignition | 0.0 | 58:02.938 | 58:02. $938 \pm 0.05$ |
| Retroignition | 1. 1 | 58:04. 038 | 58:04. $038 \pm 0.05$ |
| RODVS on | 31.0 | 58:33.938 | 58:34.098 $\pm 0.6$ |
| 3.5 g mark | 40.73 | 58:43.668 | $58: 43.647 \pm 0.05$ |
| Start maximum thrust | 50.73 | 58:53.668 | 58:53.297 $\pm 0.6$ |
| Retro eject | 52.74 | 58:55.678 | $58: 55.637 \pm 0.05$ |
| Start RADVS-controlled descent | 54.88 | 58:57.818 | 58:57. $737 \pm 0.05$ |
| RORA on | 56.4 | 58:59.338 | 58:59.297 $\pm 0.6$ |
| Segment intercept | 78.3 | 59:21. 238 | $59: 21.276 \pm 0.14$ |
| Hour 01 |  |  |  |
| 1000-foot mark | 157.53 | 00:40. 468 | 00:40.534 $\pm 0.05$ |
| 10-fps mark | 174.89 | 00:57. 828 | 00:57.634 $\pm 0.05$ |
| 14-foot mark | 181.61 | 01:04. 548 | 01:04.133 $\pm 0.05$ |
| Touchdown | 183.00 | 01:05.938 | 01:05.523 $\pm 0.15$ |

The one -way doppler data, as received by the tracking station, provides information for reconstructing a highly accurate retro thrust-time curve. These data, utilized by the DOPP program, also allow determination of the retro specific impulse, retro performance, and characteristic velocity (total $\Delta V$ removed) during the retro and vernier phases. From the retro accelerometer telemetry data, a thrust-time curve is also reconstructed by the TTC program, and comparison is made with the DOPP program reconstruction.

The doppler data are also utilized to determine the radial velocity (the velocity in the direction of the earth tracking station-spacecraft line of sight). The same parameter is computed within the 6DOF program. The two methods of reconstructing the radial velocity are then compared, thus providing an additional confidence measurement in the 6DOF reconstruction.

The total vernier propellant consumption is determined by utilizing vernier engine flight acceptance test data of mixture ratio and specific impulse as a function of vernier engine thrust for the midcourse, retro, and vernier phases. The spacecraft landing location is determined from postflight orbit determination data.

The best-estimate terminal descent trajectory reconstruction scheme employed for Surveyor VI was similar to that of previous successful flights. Again, the scheme depends on establishing a good reference point near the end of the retro phase in which the spacecraft $V_{x}, V_{y}$, and $V_{z}$ telemetry data are reliable. The point selected was at the start of the RADVS-controlled descent in which all three doppler velocity sensor beams were reliable. Based on postmission assessment of the RADVS and telemetry system, the calibrated $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{x}}, \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{y}}$, and $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{z}}$ velocities at the start of the RADVS-controlled descent were determined to be:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{x}}=0.0 \mathrm{fps} \\
& \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{y}}=224.6 \mathrm{fps} \\
& \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{z}}=463.0 \mathrm{fps}
\end{aligned}
$$

These values are corrected for biases determined in the telemetry data as indicated in subsection 5.12.4.9.

With the DOPP program retro thrust-time curve as input into the 6DOF program, the initial conditions at vernier ignition of velocity, altitude, and retro thrust vector misalignment (as determined from orbit determination postflight data) were perturbed within the 6DOF program until the above velocities at the start of the RADVS-controlled descent and the elapsed time from start of RADVS-controlled steering to the command descent contour intercept (as indicated by telemetry) and the 1000 -foot mark were matched.

With the velocities at the start of RADVS-controlled descent and the discrete time events matched, the 6DOF reconstruction provided a fairly close comparison to the telemetry data. Therefore, the $6 D O F$ reconstruction
was considered a good estimate of the actual Surveyor VI performance. This reconstruction is discussed further in paragraph 5.12.4.9.

### 5.12.4.2 Postflight Analysis Computer Programs

## PREPRO

The PREPRO program converts an input tape of processed telemetry data into appropriate engineering units. The tape is preprocessed by Hughes into raw BCD counts and appears in commutator sequence. The spacecraft preflight telemetry calibration coefficients are utilized within the program for the conversion into engineering units. Prior to the conversion, the flight control reference return (FC-77 telemetry signal) correction is made to the appropriate signals. The program interpolates engineering data significant to terminal descent reconstruction into preselected equal time intervals. PREPRO then writes two output tapes: tape No. l of the interpolated engineering data, and tape No. 2 of all the input signals in proper engineering units as they appear in commutator sequence.

## POSTPR

POSTPR provides machines plots (CALCOMP) of any combination of variables from the following input data tapes: PREPRO tape No. 1, 6DOF data tape, and both PREPRO tape No. 1 and the 6DOF tape, thus providing a superimposed plot of the be st estimated 6DOF reconstruction and the telemetered data.

## 6DOF

6DOF is a precision six degree of freedom digital program simulating the Surveyor terminal phase from vernier ignition to touchdown. The program assumes rigid body dynamics, including spacecraft weight and moment of inertia changes. The program also models the spacecraft flight control and radar subsystems. The flexibility of the data input is such that preflight and postflight reconstructions of the terminal phase can be made. By matching significant time events with telemetry discrete times, a fairly accurate reconstruction of the terminal phase trajectory can be established by the 6DOF program in the absence of gross system errors or telemetry errors in spacecraft $V_{x}, V_{y}$, and $V_{z}$ velocities. The program outputs are velocity, position, acceleration, attitude, moments, weight, inertia, angular velocity, control loop states, engine commands and thrusts, and radar system states. The program also writes a tape that can be used for machine plots (CALCOMP) of any combination of variables. The tape can also be set up for input into the POSTPR program.

## TD1

TDl is a two-dimensional, three degree of freedom simulation of the terminal phase of the Surveyor mission. The main use of TDl is to determine the vernier propellant consumption. The program can also be used to a limited extent for terminal descent trajectory reconstruction since
it is restricted to a planar case. However, the program models the spacecraft to the extent necessary for accurate propellant consumption determination, that is, if no apparent degradation appears in the vernier engine performance.

## TTC

TTC reconstructs the retro thrust-time curve from raw accelerometer telemetry data. Corrections are made to the telemetry data by removing bias, scale factor, and hysteresis errors. This reconstruction is used for comparison with the DOPP program reconstruction.

DOPP
DOPP reconstructs the main retro thrust-time curve from the spacecraft transmitter's one-way doppler data. This reconstruction technique is e specially accurate since the frequency of the spacecraft transmitter is very stable. The program accounts for errors introduced by the transmitter's temperature sensitive drift, variation in retro thrust vector direction during retro burn, and flight control sensor deflection. The program is also utilized for determining the main retro specific impulse and the characteristic velocity (total $\Delta V$ removed) during the retro and vernier phases.

## 5. 12.4.3 Velocity Change Due to Thrusting During Retro Phase

## Determination of Ignition Conditions

Ignition velocity $V_{O}$, flight path angle $\gamma$, and roll angle $\varphi$ serve as initialization parameters and are determined from tracking data. The $3 \sigma$ uncertainty in free flight velocities is $<0.5 \mathrm{fps}$. Since ignition altitude has a calculated $3 \sigma$ inaccuracy of 1640 feet due to marking range errors (with a $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{o}}=8500 \mathrm{fps}$ and an incidence angle with respect to local vertical of 25 degrees), the equivalent ignition velocity uncertainty due to this error source is

$$
\Delta V=g \cos \gamma t=5 \times \frac{1640}{8500}=1.0 \mathrm{fps}
$$

Hence, the total uncertainty in ignition velocity is 1.1 fps when the se two independent error sources are combined. The direction of $V_{0}$ at ignition has an uncertainty of $<0.07$ degree. Therefore, the best estimate ignition conditions are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V_{o}=8488.9 \pm 1.1 \mathrm{fps} \\
& \gamma_{0}=-65.6 \pm 0.07 \mathrm{degree}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Gravity-Induced Component of Velocity

During the retro phase (from vernier ignition to start of RADVScontrolled descent), gravity contributes to the spacecraft velocity by an
amount $\int \mathrm{g}$ dt. Lunar gravity varies in magnitude from $4.92 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec}^{2}$ (at vernier ignition) to $5.27 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec}^{2}$ (at start of RADVS). In addition, $g$ varies in direction since the spacecraft has horizontal motion. The change in direction of g over the retro phase (to RADVS control) is about

$$
\int_{0}^{t} \sin ^{-1}\left[\frac{V \sin \psi d t}{R_{\ell}}\right]=0.89 \mathrm{degree}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{t} & =\text { retro time } \\
\psi & =\text { velocity vector } \\
\mathrm{V} & =\text { spacecraft velocity incidence angle } \\
\mathrm{R}_{\ell} & =\text { moon centered radial distance }
\end{aligned}
$$

The time duration of the retro phase is 54.8 seconds (see Table 5. 12-1). Actual numerical integration of $\int \mathrm{g} \mathrm{dt}$ gives $\mathrm{dt}=283.7 \pm 1 \mathrm{fps}$.

## Thrust-Induced Velocity Change

The two methods used to calculate velocity change during the retro phase due to the thrusting of the engines are as follows:

1) $\quad \Delta V$ from vector addition - The vector equation (Figure 5.12-1a) $V_{B O}=V_{0}+g t+\Delta V$ can be solved to find $\Delta V$. Vo and gt are available as discussed above; the spacecraft axis components of VBO (the velocity at start of RADVS) are available from calibrated telemetry data. The axial velocity $V_{z}$ is known to an estimated accuracy of better than 1 percent at a given time, based on correlation of simulated versus actual discrete time events such as the 1000-foot mark and the $10-\mathrm{fps}$ mark. $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{x}}$ and $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{y}}$ at start of RADVS-controlled descent have calculated uncertainties of 3.0 and 4.6 fps , respectively, based on $3 \sigma$ telemetry and RADVS sensor errors. At the start of RADVS-controlled descent, the velocity components are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{BO}_{\mathrm{x}}}=0.0 \pm 3 \mathrm{fps} \\
& \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{BO}_{\mathrm{y}}}=224.6 \pm 4.6 \mathrm{fps} \\
& \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{BO}_{\mathrm{z}}}=463 \pm 4.6 \mathrm{fps}
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure 5. 12-1. Spacecraft Velocity During Retro Phase

This method will yield $\Delta V$ to an accuracy of $\pm 5.0 \mathrm{fps}$. $\delta i$, the in-plane thrust misalignment angle (Figure 5.12-1b) between $\underline{V}_{0}$ and $z$, defined as positive when $z$ is "above $\underline{V}_{0}$ " as shown, is known to be within $\pm 0.02$ degree based on uncertainties in $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{BO}_{\mathrm{X}}}$, which is primarily in-plane. $\delta \mathrm{o}$, the out-of-plane angle between these two directions, is known to be within $\pm 0.03$ degree based on uncertainties in $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{BO}}^{\mathrm{y}}$. $\delta 0$ is positive when z has a component out of the paper. This method yields $\Delta V$ at the start of RADVS-controlled descent of

$$
\Delta V=8273.6 \pm 5.0 \mathrm{fps}
$$

also,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta \mathrm{i} & =+0.632 \pm 0.02 \text { degree } \\
\delta 0 & =+0.488 \pm 0.03 \text { degree } \\
\text { total misalignment } & =0.798 \pm 0.036 \text { degree }
\end{aligned}
$$

Values of the other angles in degrees shown in Figure 5. 12-1c are as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\zeta & =33.98 \\
\zeta & =24.93 \\
\varphi & =11.41
\end{aligned}
$$

2) $\quad \Delta V$ from doppler data - The thrust-induced veiocity change of the spacecraft is determined from the spacecraft one-way doppler data by the DOPP program. The se data are input into DOPP and are corrected for the transmitter's temperature-dependent frequency drift within the program. This correction is determined by comparing postflight doppler data prior to vernier ignition with a simulated determination of the expected doppler shift since, at this period in the flight, the actual doppler shift is well defined.

The $\Delta V$ during this phase is found by dividing the sum of the radial velocity change as determined from the doppler data and the gravity-induced velocity component in the same radial direction by the cosine of the angle between the tracking stationspacecraft line and the spacecraft thrust axis. A correction is made to the doppler data to account for the radial velocity change, $\Delta V_{\text {ROT }}$, due to the earth's rotation. The thrust-induced velocity change, $\Delta \mathrm{V}$, can therefore be determined as follows:

$$
\Delta \mathrm{V}=\frac{\Delta \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{DOPP}}+\mathrm{gt} \cos \varphi+\Delta \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ROT}}}{\cos \xi}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{DOPP}}= & \text { velocity change seen by tracking station } \\
\varphi= & \text { angle between tracking station-spacecraft } \\
& \text { line and lunar gravity direction } \\
\xi= & \text { angle between tracking station-spacecraft } \\
& \text { line and thrust direction }
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\Delta V=\frac{6579+278.2+4.2}{\cos 33.98}=8274.4 \mathrm{fps}
$$

An uncertainty exists in the angle $\xi$ of $\pm 0.04$ degree due to uncertainties in $\delta \mathrm{i}$ and $\delta 0$, the thrust misalignment angles. An uncertainty also exists in the temperature-dependent doppler frequency drift of $\pm 5 \mathrm{fps}$. The above uncertainties result in an uncertainty of $\pm 8 \mathrm{fps}$ in $\Delta V$.

## Comparison of $\Delta V s$ and Retro Performance Implications

It is interesting to note that not only do the absolute magnitudes of $\Delta V$ check surprisingly well, but, out of necessity, so does the inertial thrusting direction as computed from burnout conditions. The doppler data are inherently one-dimensional and, to be useful in computing the retro thrust $\Delta V$, the angular information supplied by the vector addition method of computing $\Delta V$ must be accurate. Thus, due to the geometric relation of the earth vector and trajectory plane, an uncertainty of 0.1 degree in the inplane angle ( $\delta i$ ) would cause an $8.0-f$ ps variation in the total $\Delta V$ as computed by doppler. Since the two $\Delta V s$ check to within 1 fps , this would give added confidence in the thrusting direction computed from the telemetered and corrected burnout conditions.

Assuming a nominally performing main retro and vernier system, the main retro phase $\Delta V$ should have been 8279.8 fps as compared to 8274.0 fps actual (average of the two methods). Of the nominal 8279.8 fps total $\Delta V$, a nominal performing vernier system would have contributed 290.3 fps . Based on a retro phase trajectory reconstruction by DOPP, the nominal vernier system would have contributed 289.0 fps due to the slight decrease in actual time from vernier ignition to the beginning of RADVS control from that predicted.

The retro performance, based upon the $\Delta V$ which it took out, was very nearly nominal. The slight decrease in actual total impulse over nominal ( $\delta \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{imp}} / \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{imp}}$ ) is given by

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\delta \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{imp}}}{\mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{imp}}}=\frac{\delta \Delta \mathrm{V}}{\Delta \mathrm{Vretro}} \times 100 \text { percent } \\
=\left(\frac{8274-289.0}{8279.8-290.3}-1\right) \times 100 \text { percent }=0.06 \text { percent loss }
\end{gathered}
$$

The above percent decrease in total impulse results in a retro specific impulse of 289.19 seconds as compared to the nominal predicted value of 289.35 seconds. The predicted and calculated specific impulses are both computed from a retro propellant weight which is corrected for buoyancy.

## 5. 12. 4. 4 Main Retro Thrust Versus Time Curve

Two independent methods used to calculate the retro's thrust versus time curve are as follows:

1) Thrust/time from retro accelerometer data - Before being used to calculate a thrust curve, the raw accelerometer data are given the following three corrections:
a) Biases are removed by comparing telemetered values with known values of acceleration which occur at times such as those prior to vernier ignition (zero $g$ ), after retro separation $\approx(0.9 \mathrm{~g})$, etc.
b) A scale factor error is removed. This is done by integrating the unbiased accelerometer data over time and comparing the resulting integral with the retro phase $\Delta V$ s found by the other two methods of computing $\Delta V$ described above. The scale factor is then the integral divided by the mean of the other two $\Delta V s$. The unbiased acceleration divided by this scale factor is then assumed free of bias and scale factor errors.
c) A hysteresis error is removed by actually determining two biases: one for the rising part of the acceleration curve, and the other for the falling part.

The bias on each part of the curve can be removed to an accuracy of 0.1 gearth, and the accuracy of the scale factor is 0.1 percent.

The corrected acceleration $a(t)$ is then used in the equation

$$
T(t)=\frac{a(t)}{g_{0}}\left[W_{o}-\int_{0}^{t} \frac{T(t)}{I_{s p}} d t\right]
$$

which is integrated numerically to obtain total thrust ( $W_{o}$ is weight at retro ignition). Vernier thrust is then subtracted to obtain the retro thrust.
$\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{sp}}$ for this calculation is found from the following relationship where $W_{L}$ is the weight lost from retro ignition to burnout.

$$
I_{s p}=\frac{\Delta V}{g_{o} l_{n} \frac{W_{o}}{W_{o}-W_{L}}}
$$

Figure 5. 12-2 shows the Surveyor VI thrust-time curve as determined from accelerometer data. Also shown is the predicted thrust-time curve along with the raw accelerometer data and the corrected accelerometer data.
2) Thrust/time from doppler data - Figure 5. 12-3 shows the main retro thrust curve as constructed from doppler counts received at Goldstone. Also shown is the predicted thrust-time curve.

To construct the curve, a retro phase simulation trajectory program, using a nominal thrust curve, calculates nominal radial velocities relative to the tracking station and converts these to doppler counts that the station would receive from a stable spacecraft transmitter on a nominal trajectory.

The nominal thrust curve is then perturbed until the doppler data from the perturbed curve are arbitrarily close to the doppler data actually received. For each point considered on the thrust curve, a difference between actual and perturbed counts over a l-second interval of two counts (i.e., about 0.4 fps ) is considered close enough. In addition, the sum of such differences is constrained to be within 10 counts ( 2.2 fps ).

Radial velocity divided by the cosine of the angle between the tracking station and the thrust direction ( $33.98 \pm 0.04$ degrees) gives total velocity. When gt cos $\varphi$ is added, the remaining velocity differences are entirely due to thrusting and give the thrust acceleration. Multiplication by the mass then gives the thrust level.

## Comparison of Two Methods for Retro Thrust/Time Curve

Both the doppler and accelerometer reconstructed curves agree well with the predicted curve, with both deviating from the predicted by generally less than 200 pounds. The accelerometer reconstructed curve appears rough because of accelerometer stiction.

The only discrepancy between the two reconstructions is an unexplained difference in the calculated burn time from retro ignition to the $3.5-\mathrm{g}$ point.


Figure 5. 12-3. Main Retro Thrust Versus Time (Doppler Data)


Figure 5.12-2. Retro Phase Thrust and Acceleration Versus Time (From Retro Acclerometer Data)


Figure 5. 12-4. Main Retro Tailoff Versus Time (Doppler Data)

The doppler reconstruction gives $39.52 \pm 0.08$ seconds as compared to 39. 75 seconds from the accelerometer reconstruction. The predicted time was 39.88 seconds. The doppler reconstructed burn time of 39.52 seconds is believed to be the best reconstruction of burn time since it agrees with discrete telemetry events between the retro ignition signal and the retro burnout signal ( $39.6 \pm 0.1$ seconds).

The 39.52 seconds obtained from the doppler reconstruction is more accurate than the 39.6 seconds obtained from discrete telemetry events because the latter time is known to be slightly long since a small delay exists between the actual 3.5 g point and generation of the retro burnout signal.

## Main Retro Tailoff from Doppler Data

Figure 5. 12-4 shows an enlargement of the thrust tailoff region of Figure 5. 12-3 (doppler reconstruction of main retro thrust). The data used in this reconstruction were first corrected for a constant temperaturedependent frequency drift which can be determined accurately by comparing preignition flight data to preignition simulated data. Since the data also contained a small random noise, it was then smoothed by fitting a second order curve through the data points since this tailoff shape has been determined from test results. If high frequency thrust oscillations were present during retro tailoff, the doppler data would not show it since these data are available only at 1 -second intervals. However, the chance of such oscillations being present is small since all previous retro pressure test data have generally shown a smooth tailoff.

Assuming a normal noise distribution, the $1 \sigma$ deviation ( 68 percent) of the data from this fitted curve was computed to be 1.82 doppler counts, which corresponds to a retro thrust deviation at any point of 13 pounds. However, the total integrated thrust after the 200 -pound point has been reached is in error by 8 percent or less due to noise uncertainties which yield an average thrust error over the total length of the curve past the 200 -pound point of less than 4 pounds.

### 5.12.4.5 Retro Thrust Misalignment

From postflight analysis, a larger than expected retro thrust misalignment of 0.798 degree during the retro phase was determined (previous spacecraft misalignments were $<0.4$ degree). This is the total misalignment due to a possible initial pointing error at retro ignition and attitude errors developed during the retro burn phase from such contributors as initial spacecraft center of gravity to thrust vector offset, retro thrust vector wander, larger than expected flight control sensor group deflections, and so forth. Figure 5. 12-11, the vernier engine thrust command telemetry data, shows a definite center of gravity to thrust vector offset at retro ignition. The possible resultant pointing error at retroignition determined from known measured errors is discussed below.

## Resultant Pointing Error From Known Measured Errors

A digital program reconstruction of the actual terminal attitude maneuver simulating the initial attitude errors and measured gyro drift rates was used to determine any resultant attitude pointing error from these sources. The results indicate an ignition attitude error of approximately 0.26 degree.

A compilation of the data used in the analysis is given below and is documented in subsection 5.5 of this report.

Desired terminal attitude rotations (actual values in parentheses):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Roll }=+81.62(81.82) \text { degrees } \\
& \text { Yaw }=+111.67(111.71) \text { degrees } \\
& \text { Roll }=+120.55(120.55) \text { degrees }
\end{aligned}
$$

Sensor attitude errors:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Roll } & =-0.06 \text { degree (Canopus sensor) } \\
\text { Yaw } & =+0.20 \text { degree (primary sun sensor) } \\
\text { Pitch } & =0.0 \text { degree (primary sun sensor) }
\end{aligned}
$$

Final preignition measured gyro drift rates:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Roll }=-0.64 \mathrm{deg} / \mathrm{hr} \\
& \text { Yaw }=+1.4 \mathrm{deg} / \mathrm{hr} \\
& \text { Pitch }=0.0 \\
& \mathrm{deg} / \mathrm{hr}
\end{aligned}
$$

Computation of the desired attitude rotations was based upon a determination to compensate for the attitude pointing error due to the gyro drift rates. This was done by computing rotations such that implementation of these rotations in the presence of the gyro drift rates would essentially lead to no pointing error. Hence, it is noted that the 0.26 -degree pointing error obtained in this analysis is primarily due to the 0.2 -degree error in the first roll rotation and to the 0.2 -degree offset in the yaw reference at initiation of the yaw rotation due to limit cycle er ror. Uncertainties of approximately 10 percent in the determination of the drift rates and limit cycle errors lead to a maximum attitude error of approximately 0.35 degree. In this analysis, a uniform $0.5 \mathrm{deg} / \mathrm{sec}$ rotation rate was assumed. During the mission, the roll rate was measured and determined to be $0.5009 \mathrm{deg} / \mathrm{sec}$.

### 5.12.4.6 6DOF Simulation of Doppler Data

The 6DOF determination of radial velocity relative to the moon (velocity component along the earth tracking to spacecraft vector), as compared to the doppler data reconstruction of this velocity, is shown in Figures 5. 12-5 and 5.12-6 for the retro and vernier phases. As can be seen in the figures, excellent matches are obtained for both phases of the descent. This close correlation increases the confidence in the 6DOF reconstruction.

The maximum and minimum spacecraft accelerations can be determined from vernier phase doppler data by the equation

$$
a_{\text {dopp }}=g \cos \varphi-a \cos \xi
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{\text {dopp }}= & \text { doppler acceleration (slope of doppler curve) } \\
\varphi= & \text { angle between lunar gravity and tracking station to space - } \\
& \text { craft direction } \\
a= & \text { actual spacecraft acceleration } \\
\xi= & \text { angle between spacecraft thrust direction and tracking } \\
& \text { station to spacecraft direction }
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
a=\frac{g \cos \varphi-a_{d o p p}}{\cos \xi}
$$

In determining the minimum spacecraft thrust acceleration, $a_{m i n}$, the value for $a_{\text {dopp }}$ is taken during the minimum acceleration phase just prior to first segment acquisition.

$$
a_{\min }=\frac{5.29 \cos 10 \text { degress }-0.523}{\cos 17 \text { degrees }}=4.90 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec}^{2}
$$

The 6DOF simulation used a value for the nominal minimum thrust acceleration command of $4.75 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec}^{2}$ which resulted in a simulated thrust acceleration value of $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{min}}=4.82 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec}^{2}$.

The value of adopp used in determining the maximum spacecraft thrust acceleration, $a_{\text {max }}$, is taken just after the second segment intercept since the spacecraft acceleration is saturated for a period of time along the second segment.

$$
a_{\max }=\frac{5.30 \cos 10 \text { degrees }+6.95}{\cos 14 \text { degrees }}=12.52 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec}^{2}
$$



Figure 5. 12-5. Radial Velocity - Retro Phase Doppler Data


Figure 5.12-6. Radial Velocity - Vernier Phase Doppler Data

The 6DOF simulation used a value for the nominal maximum thrust acceleration command of $12.47 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec}^{2}$, resulting in a simulated thrust acceleration of $a_{\text {max }}=12.41 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec}^{2}$.

## 5. 12.4.7 Vernier Propellant Consumption

Table 5. 12-4 presents a tabulation of the propellant consumption from the individual engines based on vernier engine flight acceptance test data of both specific impulse and mixture ratio as a function of engine thrust.

For the midcourse and retro phases, the propellant consumption was obtained from the TDl program. This program models all three engine flight acceptance performance characteristics individually. For the vernier phase portion, the propellant consumption was determined from the bestestimate 6DOF program reconstruction. However, the 6DOF program assumes that all three engines have the same specific impulse and mixture ratio performance. The input of the engine performance characteristics to the 6DOF program was the average performance of the three Surveyor VI vernier engine flight acceptance test data.

TABLE 5. 12-4. VERNIER PROPELLANT CONSUMPTION (POUNDS)

| Phase | Engine 1 | Engine 2 | Engine 3 | Total | Preterminal <br> Mission <br> Predictions |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Midcourse | 2.90 | 2.74 | 2.73 | 8.37 | 8.37 |
| Main retro | 14.29 | 13.46 | 13.38 | 41.13 | 41.22 |
| Vernier phase | 32.93 | 32.67 | 31.23 | 96.83 | 94.02 |
| Total used <br> Total loaded | 50.12 | 48.87 | 47.34 | 146.33 | 143.61 |
| Trapped (lines <br> and expulsion <br> efficiency) | 61.51 | 61.51 | 61.51 | 184.53 | 184.53 |
| Usable loaded <br> (total minus trapped) | 60.80 | 60.80 | 60.80 | 182.40 | 182.40 |
| Remaining propel - <br> lant (usable and <br> unusable) | 10.68 | 11.93 | 13.46 | 36.07 | 38.79 |


a) Engine 2

b) Engine 3

c) Engine 1

Figure 5.12-7. Vernier Engine Thrust Commands
5. 12-22

The propellant consumption for the midcourse maneuver was based on postflight determination of burn time and preflight data of engine thrust for the midcourse maneuver.

The main retro phase propellant consumption computations are inherently the most inaccurate because of the open-loop nature of the thrust commands. While at midcourse, the change in spacecraft velocity is a very accurate measure of engine impulse; during the retro phase, the main retro engine overshadows any expected variation in vernier performance.

The telemetered values of engine thrust commands disagreed with premission computations of the vernier engine total thrust level of 196.4 pounds during retro burn and 276.4 pounds during retro separation.

The 6DOF program assumed the premission calculation of vernier engine thrust levels, and the difference between telemetry data and the premission values can be seen in Figure 5.12-7. The 6DOF program assumed preflight calculations of spacecraft centef of gravity and retro thrust vector offset at the start of the retro phase sequence. The 6DOF models the center of gravity change during the terminal descent. Figures 5.12-7a and 5.12-7b show almost identical commands between the 6DOF reconstruction and the telemetry data: however, the engine 1 (Figure 5.12-7c) thrust command does not compare in magnitude during command of high and low thrust. These comparisons imply that the telemetry data are probably in error with respect to the actual engine thrust levels. Consequently, the total engine thrust level during the retro phase was assumed to be equal to the premission calculations for the propellant consumption calculations. Further discussion is given in subsection 5.12.4.9.

## 5. 12.4.8 Spacecraft Landing Location

The original targeted landing site for Surveyor VI was $0.417^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ latitude and $1.133^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ longitude. The computed midcourse maneuver to enable the spacecraft to land at the desired landing site was $10.06 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{sec}$. Based on orbit determination postflight analysis data, the computed landing site was determined to be $0.437^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ latitude and $1.373^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ longitude. This results in a miss of 7.2 km from the original targeted landing site. However, a review of the Lunar Orbiter and Surveyor VI photographs of the touchdown area revealed the probable landing site to be $0.470^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ latitude and $1.480^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ longitude. From this determination, a miss of 10.5 km is indicated.

### 5.12.4.9 Trajectory Reconstruction

This subsection discusses the reconstruction of the vernier phase of the terminal descent trajectory from the 6DOF program to provide a best estimate of the actual trajectory parameters. The DOPP program reconstruction of the retro thrust -time curve was input into the 6DOF program. The DOPP reconstructed retro burn time (from retro ignition to the $3.5-\mathrm{g}$ point) was $39.52 \pm 0.08$, which is the same time duration as indicated by telemetry data (see Table 5.12-1). The nominal Surveyor VI subsystem parameters from preflight assessment were input to the 6DOF program.

Initial conditions at vernier ignition of velocity, altitude, and retro thrust vector misalignment, as determined from orbit determination postflight data, were perturbed until the start of the RADVS-controlled descent conditions of velocity coincided with the calibrated telemetry data values indicated in paragraph 5. 12.4.1. Further perturbations had to be made to the ignition altitude to arrive at the telemetry discrete time events of the 1000 -foot mark and the descent segment acquisition. With these conditions matched, the 6DOF reconstruction from vernier ignition to segment acquisition agreed very well with postflight analysis of the telemetry data. Also, the telemetry discretes of the 10 -fps and the 14 -foot marks resulted in perturbing the nominal values within the 6DOF program. The final reconstruction by the 6DOF program compared favorably with telemetry data, as indicated by Table 5.12-3, and the close correlation between significant subsystem parameters, as indicated by the POSTPR machine plots in Figures 5.12-7 through 5.12-14.

## POSTPR Program Plots

Figures 5. 12-7 through 5. 12-14 are plots of important parameters for trajectory reconstruction. The processed telemetry data from PREPRO (solid lines) and the best-fit 6DOF trajectory (dashed lines) are superimposed on the plots. The time scale starts at 0.0 second, which corresponds to vernier ignition ( $314: 00: 58: 02$. 938 GMT). The spacecraft touchdown at 314:01:01:05. 523 GMT corresponds to 182.6 seconds on the time scale. After 182.6 seconds, the magnitude changes on the PREPRO curves are the direct result of spacecraft touchdown. The PREPRO curves are plots of interpolated telemetry data in equal time intervals of 2 seconds designed to coincide with the 6DOF intervals. Therefore, part of the transients within the telemetry data will not be plotted on the PREPRO curves.

Table 5. 12-3 lists the time occurrences of pertinent events as reconstructed by the 6DOF program. The PREPRO curves are referenced to the actual GMT as listed in the table.

Figure 5. 12-8a shows the 6DOF true slant range and the telemetered slant range as a function of time. Figure $5.12-8 \mathrm{~b}$ shows the 6DOF simulated slant range as output from the telemetry conditioning circuit along with the actual telemetry data. Comparison of the two figures shows an error between the actual slant range and the simulated telemetry circuit output of slant range within the 6DOF program. This error is due to the lag within the telemetry conditioning circuit. The oscillations in the PREPRO curves between 2 and 56 seconds are due to the tracker sweeping. At approximately 56.4 seconds, RORA occurred, resulting in reliable slant range data from this point to touchdown.

Figures 5. 12-9a and 5. 12-9b show an almost identical $V_{x}$ and $V_{y}$ reconstruction between the 6DOF and telemetry data. As indicated in Table 5. 12-3, start of RADVS-controlled descent occurred at 54.8 seconds. It took approximately 7 seconds to steer out the $V_{y}$ component of velocity developed by the retro burn phase, whereas the $V_{x}$ component was essentially zero at this time. Approximately 2 seconds after vernier ignition, the RADVS is turned on, resulting in the spikes in the $V_{x}$ and $V_{y}$ plots.

a) 6DOF Actual

b) 6DOF Simulated Slant Range Telemetry Circuit

Figure 5. 12-8. Slant Range


Figure 5.12-9. Spacecraft Velocity

c) Z-axis (Actual 6DOF)

d) Z-axis (Simulated 6DOF $V_{z}$ Telemetry Circuit)

Figure 5.12-9 (continued). Spacecraft Velocity


Figure 5.12-10. Gyro Error Signal

a) Pitch


Figure 5.12-11. Spacecraft Precession Commands
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Figure 5. 12-13. Telemtry Retro Accelerometer Data and 6DOF Z-axis Acceleration Versus Time


Figure 5.l2-14. Slant Range Versus Z-axis Velocity

Figure 5.12-9c is a plot of the actual $V_{z}$ component of velocity as reconstructed by the 6DOF program, whereas Figure 5.12-9d is a plot of the 6DOF simulation of the telemetry conditioning circuit for $V_{z}$. Both Figures 5. 12-9c and 5.12-9d indicate an almost identical match with the telemetry data. Slight differences can be seen between the two 6DOF curves on Figures 5. 12-9c and 5. 12-9d from 40 to 60 seconds during which $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{z}}$ changes most rapidly. This is a result of the lag network in the $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{Z}}$ telemetry circuit.

Figure 5. 12-9 shows biases in the $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{X}}, \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{Y}}$, and $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{Z}}$ telemetry data. From observing the data, the following biases appeared:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{x}}=3.77 \mathrm{fps} \\
& \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{y}}=3.19 \mathrm{fps} \\
& \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{z}}=3.00 \mathrm{fps}
\end{aligned}
$$

Corrections to the velocity components at the start of RADVS-controlled descent, as outlined in subsection 5.12.4.1, were made to compensate for these biases.

Figures 5.12-10a and 5.12-10b show both telemetry gyro error signals to be biased about -0.1 degree beyond that determined by preflight calibration. The spike in both signals at 54 seconds is a result of the change from inertial hold mode to RADVS-controlled steering mode.

Figure 5. 12-11 shows the pitch and yaw precession commands. The pitch precession command compares favorably with the reduction in the $V_{y}$ component of velocity during the minimum acceleration phase.

Figure 5. 12-7 shows the vernier engine thrust commands. The roughness in the telemetry data is due to RADVS noise. The 6DOF curves are smooth since the RADVS noise was not simulated.

The premission computations of total vernier engine thrust during retro burn is 196.4 pounds, and for the high thrust phase, 276.4 pounds. The 6DOF program assumed these levels as can be noted from the sum of the three engine commands; however, the sum of the telemetered commands is approximately 202 pounds during the low thrust phase, a 6 -pound difference between 6DOF and telemetry. Also, during the high thrust phase (retro separation), there is a difference between premission thrust level computations and telemetry data of 9 pounds. Engines 2 and 3 compare favorably between the 6DOF program and the telemetry data, whereas engine loes not.

During the vernier phase, the three engine commands should be equal to within 1 to 2 pounds. This is not so in the case of engine 1 in comparison to engines 2 and 3. Therefore, the engine 1 telemetry data becomes suspect, implying a probable bias or scale factor error in the calibrated telemetry data for this engine. For this reason, the premission computations of
engine thrust during the retro phase were used in determining the propellant consumption specified in subsection 5.12.4.7.

Figure 5. 12-12 shows the 6DOF engine thrust levels superimposed on the processed vernier engine strain gage data. Although the strain gage data are not an accurate source for indicating the actual engine thrust magnitude, they are suitable for indicating the engine thrust variation char acteristics. The telemetry data have almost the same characteristic changes in thrust as does the 6DOF program. The rapid change in slope of the telemetry data between 2 and 38 seconds is caused by the main retro thrust.

Figure 5.12-13 compares the retro accelerometer telemetry data with the 6DOF spacecraft Z -axis acceleration. The peak acceleration of approximately 10 g occurs at 37.5 seconds, which compares with the strain gage data of Figure 5.12-12 and the retro accelerometer reconstruction on Figure 5.12-2.

Figure 5. 12-14 is a plot of slant range versus velocity from the time of RORA to the $10-\mathrm{fps}$ mark.
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## 5. 13 TELEVISION

## 5. 13.1 INTRODUCTION

A short time after landing on the moon on day 314 , GMT, the television camera began taking the first of more than 30,000 good quality pictures ( 30,065 when turned off at lunar night). This feat is enhanced by the absence of any television malfunctions.

### 5.13.2 ANOMALIES

During the first lunar day, no anomalies occurred in the television camera or the television camera supporting equipment.

## 5. 13. 3 SUMMARY

The success of the Surveyor VI camera system proves out the capability of the upgraded camera ( 290512 series). Mirror assembly redesign was most significant. Television camera system performance was excellent, enabling accomplishment of all mission objectives.

## 5. 13. 4 SUBSYSTEM PERFORMANCE

Table 5. 13-1 is a summary time and events log for the first lunar day. After taking about 12,000 pictures from the initial landing site, the spacecraft was commanded into a short powered translation. This hop was quickly determined to be a spectacular success when the television camera again began surveying the lunar landscape. Footpad impressions at the initial touchdown position exhibited soil movement due to vernier engine ignition.

In taking the more than 30,000 pictures, the camera mirror was stepped more than 46,000 times in azimuth and more than 13,000 times in elevation.

TABLE 5.13-1. SURVEYOR VI TELEVISION OPERATIONS - FIRST LUNAR DAY

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Post TD } \\ & \text { Pass } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Station CMDG | Survey Number | Activity | Time Start | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GMT } \\ & -\quad \text { End } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | DSS-11 | 010 | Post TD 200 Line Mode | 314-0150 | 314-0235 |
|  |  | 020 | W/A 360 Pan ( 600 Line Mode) | -0402 | -0500 |
|  |  | 030 | Special Area (CT-801B) | -0502 | -0749 |
|  | DSS-42 | Started | CMDG oven DSS-42 at 0741 |  |  |
|  |  | 040 | Aux. Mirrors | -0754 | -0807 |
|  |  | - | Special Area | -0812 | -0824 |
|  |  | - | $\text { Magnets, } \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | -0831 | -0835 |
|  |  | - | Polarmetric - Pad 2 P.T. | -0840 | -0843 |
|  |  | - | N/A - Segment 3 | -0851 | -0910 |
|  |  | - | N/A - Segment 2 | -0925 | -1027 |
|  |  | - | N/A - Segment 2, ? | -1029 | -1042 |
|  |  | - | P.A. and S.P. | -1044 | -1048 |
|  |  | - | Polarmetric | -1049 | -1053 |
|  |  | - | N/A Segment | -1054 | -1134 |
|  |  | - | Alpha Scat. | -1135. | -1140 |
|  | DSS-61 | - | Alpha Scat. TV Support | -2100 | $-2130$ |
| 2 | DSS-11 | 010 | Polarimetric Survey | 314-2247 | 314-2328 |
|  |  | 020 | Special Area and Aux. Mirrors | -2328 | -2358 |
|  |  | 010 | Polarmetric Survey (cont.) | -2358 | 315-0029 |
|  |  | 020 | Special Area | 315-0029 | -0118 |
|  |  | 021 | Aux. Mirrors | -0118 | -0130 |
|  |  | 030 | N/A Segment 3 | -0130 | -0150 |
|  |  | 031 | N/A Segment 5 | -0150 | -0213 |
|  |  | 032 | N/A Segment 2 | -0213 | -0229 |
|  |  | 040 | Magnets, N/A | -0229 | -0238 |
|  |  | 050 |  | -0240 | -0356 |
|  |  | $060$ | $\text { W/A } 360 \text { Pan. (ciJo2) }$ | -0412 | -0431 |
|  |  | 070 | Star Survey - Sirius, Canopus, | Capella -0431 | -0443 |
| 3 | DSS-11 | 010 | Filter Interrogation | 315-2319 | $315-2337$ |
|  |  | 020 | Polarimetric Survey | -2337 | 316-0100 |
|  |  | 030 | Focus Ranging, $A Z=0$ | 316-0102 | -0201 |
|  |  | 031 | Focus Ranging, $A Z=36$ | -0202 | -0229 |
|  |  | 032 | Focus Ranging, $A Z=72$ | -0230 | -0300 |
|  |  | 033 | Focus Ranging, $A Z=-72$ | -0300 | -0337 |
|  |  | 040 | W/A 360 Pan. . . | -0340 | -0433 |
|  |  | 050 | N/A Segment 4 | -0437 | -0524 |
|  |  | 060 | Special Area | -0525 | -0646 |
|  |  | 061 070 | Aux. Mirrors | -0649 -0710 | -0710 |

Table 5. 13-1 (continued)

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Post TD } \\ & \text { Pass } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Station CMDG | Survey <br> Number | Activity | $\begin{gathered} \text { Time } \\ \text { Start } \end{gathered}$ | GMT <br> - End |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | DSS-11 | 010 | Polarimetric Survey | 317-0002 | 317-0115 |
|  |  | 020 | Special Area and Magnets 1. | -0115 | -0226 |
|  |  | 021 | Aux. Mirrirs | -0227 | -0244 |
|  |  | 030 | Focus Ranging, $\mathrm{Az}=-36$ | -0246 | -0333 |
|  |  | 031 | Focus Ranging, $\mathrm{Az}=90$ | -0333 | -0354 |
|  |  | 032 | Focus Ranging, $\mathrm{Az}_{2}=108$ | -0355 | -0411 |
|  |  | 040 | N/A Segment 5 | -0411 | -0500 |
|  |  | 041 | N/A Segment 2 | -0500 | -0528 |
|  |  | 042 | N/A Segment 1 | -0544 | -0600 |
|  |  | 050 | W/A 360 Pan . | -0603 | -0651 |
|  |  | 060 | Star Survey - Alpha Cm-1 | -0652 | -0712 |
|  |  | 070 | N/A Segment 1, Supplement on Stop | -0715 | -0732 |
|  |  | 080 | N/A Segment 3 | -0732 | -0758 |
|  |  | 081 | N/A Segment 4 | -0829 | -0855 |
|  |  | 071 | N/A Segment 5, Supplement on Stop | -0858 | -0917 |
|  |  | 071 | Aux. Mirror - Surface Focus Range | -0917 | -0920 |
|  |  |  | Earth View Test | -0925 | -0928 |
|  | DSS-42 | - | Special Area | -1209 | -1246 |
|  |  | - | Aux. Mirrors | -1253 | -1311 |
| 5 | DSS-11 | 010 | Iris Interrogation | 318-0033 | 318-0103 |
|  |  | 020 | Polarimetric Survey | -0103 | -0254 |
|  |  | 030 | Special Area and Magnets | -0256 | -0332 |
|  |  | 031 | Aux. Mirrors | -0431 | -0442 |
|  |  | 040 | W/A 360 Pan. | -0443 | -0512 |
|  |  | 050 | N/A Segment 1 and Supplement | -0515 | -0601 |
|  |  | 051 | N/A Segment 2 | -0601 | -0625 |
|  |  | 051 | N/A Segment 3 | -0630 | -0648 |
|  |  | 052 | N/A Segment 4 | -0648 | -0713 |
|  |  | 053 | N/A Segment 5 and Supplement | -0737 | -0807 |
|  |  | 060 | Star Survey, Jupiter (neg), Vega (neg) | ) -0808 | -0846 |
|  |  | $070$ | Selected Polarimetric | -0851 | -0916 |
|  |  | 080 | He Check and Relief Valve | -0920 | -0939 |
|  | DSS-42 | - | Special Area | -1306 | -1343 |
|  |  | - | Aux. Mirrors | -1348 | -1359 |
| 6 | DSS-11 | 010 |  |  | 319-0117 |
|  |  | 020 | Polarimetric Survey | -0117 | -0227 |
|  |  | 030 | W/A 360 Pan. | -0228 | -0244 |
|  |  | 040 | Special Area | -0324 | -0343 |
|  |  | 041 | Aux. Mirrors | -0344 | -0347 |
|  |  | 050 | Aux. Mirror-Focus Range | -0348 | -0351 |
|  |  | 041 | Aux. Mirrors (cont.) | -0351 | -0354 |
|  |  | 050 | Aux. Mirror - Focus Range | -0355 | -0358 |
|  |  | 041 | Aux. Mirrors (completion) | -0359 | -0402 |
|  |  | 060 | N/A Segnent 1 and Supplement | -0408 | -0443 |
|  |  | 061 | N/A Segment 2 | -0444 | -0500 |
|  |  | 062 | N/A Segment 3 | -0502 | -0523 |
|  |  | 063 | N/A Segment 4 | -0539 | -0600 |
|  |  | 064 | N/A Segment 5 and: Supplement | -0600 | -0625 |

Table 5. 13-1. (continued)

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Post TD } \\ & \text { Pass } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Station CMDG | SurveyNumber | Activity | Time GMT |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Start | End |
| 6 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DSS-11 } \\ & \text { (con.) } \end{aligned}$ | 070 | Star Survey, Vega (neg.) | -0625 | -0628 |
|  |  | 071 | Star Survey, Jupiter | -0628 | -0633 |
|  |  | 080 | Selected Polarimetric | -0633 | -1002 |
|  |  | 090 | He Check and Relief Valve | -1002 | -1010 |
|  |  | 100 | Star Survey, Vega | -1010 | -1020 |
|  |  | 110 | Magnets | -1020 | -1029 |
|  |  | 081 | Polarimetric, Pad 2 Chart | -1029 | -1033 |
|  | DSS-42 | - | Special Area | -1303 | -1336 |
| 7 | DSS-11 | 010 | He Check and Relief Valve | 320-0125 | 320-0129 |
|  |  | 020 | Polarimetric Survey | -0129 | -0240 |
|  |  | 030 | Earth Picture | -0240 | -0246 |
|  |  | 040 | Special Area 2nd | -0246 | - |
|  |  | 041 | Magnets | - | -0357 |
|  |  | 042 | Aux. Mirrors | -0357 | -0410 |
|  |  | 050 | W/A 360 Pan . | -0414 | -0428 |
|  |  | 060 | N/A Segment 1 and Supplement | -0429 | -0457 |
|  |  | 061 | N/A Segment 2 | -0458 | -0513 |
|  |  | 062 | N/A Segment 3 | -0514 | -0553 |
|  |  | 063 | N/A Segment 4 | -0556 | -0614 |
|  |  | 064 | N/A Segment 5 and Supplement | -0618 | -0700 |
|  |  | 070 | Selected Polarimetric | -0700 | -0734 |
|  |  | 080 | Earth Picture | -0735 | -0751 |
|  |  | 090 | Iris Calib. Interrogation | -0818 | -0900 |
|  |  | 100 | Alpha Scat. Survey | -0900 | -0915 |
|  |  | - | Earth Picture (Approx.) | -1200 | - |
| 8 | DSS-11 | 010 | Video Test on Omni and Planar Ant. | 321-0428 | 321-0438 |
|  |  | 020 | Selected Polarimetric in Seg. 3 | -0440 | -0525 |
|  |  | 021 | Selected Polarimetric in Seg. 4 | -0525 | -0643 |
|  |  | 022 | Selected Polarimetric in Seg. 5 | -0643 | -0714 |
|  |  | 023 | Selected Polarimetric in Seg. 2 | -0714 | -0921 |
|  |  | 030 | Special Area - Sel.ected | -0921 | -0925 |
|  | . | 031 | Aux. Mirrors | -0925 | -0940 |
|  |  | 040 | W/A 360 Pan. (Post Translation) | -1107 | -1122 |
|  |  | 050 | N/A Segment 4 | -1122 | -1153 |
|  |  | 060 | Special Area | -1154 | -1245 |
|  | DSS-42 | 070 | Aux. Mirrors | 321-1323 | 321-1333 |
|  |  | - | Aux. Mirrors | -1437 | -1441 |
|  |  | - | N/A Segment 3, Filter 2 | -1521 | -1543 |
|  |  | - | N/A Segment 3, Filter 3 | -1657 | -1714 |
|  |  | - | N/A Segment 3, Filter 4 | -1716 | -1749 |
|  | DSS-61 | - | N/A Segments, 4 and 5 in Filter 2,3, \& | 4 -1835 | -2307 |
| 9 | DSS-11 | 010 | Polarimetric Survey | 322-0250 | 322-0407 |
|  |  | 020 | W/A 360 Pan. | -0409 | -0425 |
|  |  | 030 | Special Area and Magnets | -0427 | -0601 |
|  |  | 031 | Aux. Mirrors | -0602 | -0611 |

Table 5.13-1 (continued)

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Post TD } \\ & \text { Pass } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Station CMDG | Survey Number | Activity | Time Start | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GMT } \\ & -\quad \text { End } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DSS-11 } \\ & \text { (con.) } \end{aligned}$ | 040 | Inside ASI | -0633 | -0700 |
|  |  | 050 | N/A Segment 1 | -0748 | -0822 |
|  |  | 051 | N/A Segment 2 | -0824 | -0840 |
|  |  | 060 | Solar Corona Calib. Seg. | -0840 | -1011 |
|  |  | 070 | Polarimetric, Pad 3 Area | -1012 | -1103 |
|  |  | 080 | Star Survey, Venus | -1104 | -1121 |
|  |  | 090 | N/A Segment 3, not complete | -1122 | -1137 |
|  | DSS-42 | 090 | N/A Segment 3 (cont.) | -1231 | -1247 |
|  |  | 091 | N/A Segment 4 | -1247 | -1308 |
|  |  | 092 | N/A Segment 5 | -1308 | -1340 |
|  |  | 100 | Shadow Progression A | -1341 | -1344 |
|  |  | - | Special Area | -1606 | -1626 |
|  |  | - | Aux. Mirrors | -1628 | -1655 |
|  |  | - | Shadow Progression A | -1759 | -1805 |
|  | DSS-61 | - | Shadow Progression A, 5 Times (Each hour) | $322-2003$ | $323-0242$ |
| 10 | DSS-11 | 010 | Polarimetric Survey | 323-0337 | 323-0443 |
|  |  | 020 | Special Area and Magnets | -0447 | -0524 |
|  |  | 021 | Aux. Mirrors | -0524 | -0532 |
|  |  | 030 | 360 W/A Pan. | -0532 | -0608 |
|  |  | 040 | N/A Segment 1 | -0608 | -0639 |
|  |  | 041 | N/A Segment 2 | -0642 | -0709 |
|  |  | 042. | N/A Segment 3 | -0815 | -0918 |
|  |  | 050 | Star Survey, Venus, Alpha ERI | -0920 | -0946 |
| 11 | DSS-11 | 010 | Polarimetric Survey | 324-0430 | 324-0542 |
|  |  | 020 | W/A 360 Pan. | -0548 | -0606 |
|  |  | 030 | N/A Segment $3 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{Polarimetric}$ | -0608 | -0714 |
|  |  | 031 | N/A Segment 4 W/Polarimetric | -0718 | -0803 |
|  |  | 040 | Star Survey, $\alpha$ Cent., $\alpha$ ERI, Vega | -0917 | -0958 |
|  |  | 050 | N/A Segment l 1 l | -1000 | -1026 |
|  |  | 051 | N/A Segment $2 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{Polarimetric}$ | -1027 | -1056 |
|  |  | 060 | Shadow Progression | -1119 | -1124 |
|  |  | 052 | N/A Segment 5 | -1124 | -1148 |
|  |  | 070 | Special Area | -1148 | -1212 |
|  |  | 071 | Aux. Mirrors | -1213 | -1221 |
|  | DSS-42 | - | Shadow Progression, 4 times | -1415 | -1931 |
|  | DSS-61 | - | Shadow Progression | -2333 | -2344 |
| 12 | DSS-11 | 010 | W/A 360 Pan. | 325-0530 | 325-0542 |
|  |  | 020 | Shadow Progression | -0543 | -0553 |
|  |  | 030 | Focus Ranging $\mathrm{Az}_{\text {/ }}=-90$ | -0556 | -0618 |
|  |  | 031 | " " $" \quad A z=-108$ | -0621 | -0645 |
|  |  | 032 | " " " Az $=-126$ | -0645 | -0709 |
|  |  | 033 | " " Az $=-144$ | -0712 | -0805 |
|  |  | 021 | Shadow Progression | -0807 | -0818 |

Table 5.13-1 (continued)

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Post TD } \\ & \text { Pass } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Station CMDG | Survey | Activity | Time GMT |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Number |  | Start | End |
| 12 | DSS-11 | 040 | Polarimetric Survey and Selected | -0821 | -0931 |
|  | (con.) | 022 | Shadow Progression | -1025 | -1039 |
|  |  | 040 | Polarimetric Survey (cont.) | -1041 | -1059 |
|  |  | 050 | Special Area | -1102 | -1125 |
|  |  | 051 | Aux. Mirrors | -1125 | -1131 |
|  |  | 060 | N/A Segment 3 | -1133 | -1159 |
|  |  | 061 | N/A Segment 4 | -1221 | -1238 |
|  |  | 062 | N/A Segment 5 | -1238 | -1306 |
|  |  | 063 | N/A Segment 2 | -1307 | -1.325 |
|  | DSS-42 | 070 | Star Survey, Saturn | -1435 | -1501 |
|  |  | 023 | Shadow Progression | -1501 | -1507 |
|  | DSS-11 | 080 | Selected Polarimetric | -1529 | -1626 |
|  | DSS-42 | - | Shadow Progression | -1728 | -1735 |
|  | DSS-61 | - | Shadow Progression- 2 times | 325-2119 | 326-0225 |
| 13 | DSS-11 | 010 | Polarimetric Survey | 326-0644 | 326-0821 |
|  |  | 020 | W/A 360 Pan. | -0826 | -0841 |
|  |  | 030 |  | -0842 | -0944 |
|  |  | 031 | N/A Segment 4 " " | -0946 | -1048 |
|  |  | 040 | Focus Ranging, $\mathrm{Az}^{\prime}=-72$ | -1052 | -1127 |
|  |  | 041 | " " $\mathrm{Az}^{\prime}=-54$ | -1144 | -1212 |
|  |  | 050 | Shadow Progression | -1213 | -1218 |
|  |  | 042 | Focus Ranging, $\mathrm{Az}_{2}=-36$ | -1220 | -1240 |
|  |  | 043 | " " Az $=108$ | -1241 | -1336 |
|  |  | 060 | Aux. Mirror | -1339 | -1344 |
|  |  | 070 | Selected Polarimetric | -1345 | -1417 |
|  |  | 051 | Shadow Progression | -1418 | -1422 |
|  |  | 080 | Special Area (not complete) | -1424 | -1431 |
|  | DSS-42 | 080 |  | -1532 |  |
|  |  | 090 | Star Survey, $\alpha$ Cent., $\alpha$ ERI, $\alpha$ LVR | -1615 | -1651 |
|  |  | 052 | Shadow Progression | -1652 | -1659 |
|  |  | 100 | N/A Segment 5 | -1700 | -1747 |
|  |  | 101 | N/A Segment 2 | -1751 | -1811 |
|  |  |  | S-11 Set - |  |  |
|  |  | - | Shadow Progression Shadow Progression | -1909 -2104 | -1914 -2109 |
|  | DSS-61 | - | Shadow Progression, 4 times | -2300 | 327-0509 |
| 14 | DSS-11 | 010 | Polarimetric Survey | 327-0721 | -0837 |
|  |  | 020 | W/A 360 Pan. | -0839 | -0857 |
|  |  | 030 | N/A Segment 3 and Polarimetric | -0906 | -1036 |
|  |  | 031 | N/A Segment 4 " " | -1038 | -1131 |
|  |  | 040 |  | -1136 | -1149 |
|  |  | 041 | " " Az $=72$ | -1220 | -1238 |
|  |  | 042 | " $A z=0$ | -1240 | -1325 |
|  |  | 043 | " " Az $=-18$ | -1329 | -1359 |

5. 13-6

Table 5. 13-1 (continued)

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Post TD } \\ & \text { Pass } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Station CMDG | Survey Number | Activity | Time Start | TT End |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DSS-11 } \\ & \text { (con.) } \end{aligned}$ | 050 | Shadow Progression A | -1423 | -1428 |
|  |  | 060 | " " B | -1428 | -1444 |
|  |  | 070 | Special Area | -1448 | -1508 |
|  |  | 071 | Aux. Mirrors | -1510 | -1514 |
|  |  | 080 | Star Survey, $\mathcal{L E R I}^{( }, \alpha$ Cent., $\mathcal{Q}^{(L Y R}$ | -1516 | -1521 |
|  | DSS-42 | 080 | Star Survey (Cont.) | -1621 | -1639 |
|  |  | 090 | N/A Segrent 5 | -1642 | -1659 |
|  |  | 051 | Shadow Progression A | -1701 | -1709 |
|  |  | 091 | N/A Segment 2 and Polarimetric | -1709 | -1811 |
|  |  | 100 | Filter Interrogation | -1812 | -1820 |
|  |  | - | Shadow Progression | -1826 | -1901 |
|  |  | - |  | -2106 | -2204 |
|  |  | - | " | -2305 | -2312 |
|  |  | - | " " | -2312 | -2333 |
|  | DSS-61 | - | Shadow Progression | 328-0131 | 328-0138 |
|  |  | $\div$ |  | -0328 | -0336 |
|  |  | - | " " , A | -0607 | - |
|  |  | - | Special Area | - | - |
|  |  | - | Aux. Mirrors | - | - |
|  |  | - | Shadow. Progression, B | - | -0714 |
| 15 | DSS-11 | 010 | W/A 360 Pan. | -0757 | -0818 |
|  |  | 020 | N/A Segment 3 and Polarimetric | -0821 | -0914 |
|  |  | 021 | N/A Segment 4 | -0915 | -0956 |
|  |  | 022 | N/A Segment 5 | -1020 | -1041 |
|  |  | 023 | N/A Segment 2 | -1043 | -1104 |
|  |  | 011 | W/A 360 Pan . | -1110 | -1130 |
|  |  | 030 | Shadow Progression B | -i132 | -1139 |
|  |  | 040 | N/A Horizon Scan | -1143 | -1207 |
|  |  | 012 | W/A $360 \mathrm{Pan}$. | -1233 | -1244 |
|  |  | 041 | N/A Horizon Scan | -1245 | -1310 |
|  |  | 013 | W/A 360 Pan. | -1314 | -1323 |
|  |  | 042 | N/A Horizon Scan (sunset) | -1324 | -1352 |
|  |  | 050 | Solar Corona | -1411 | -1426 |
|  |  | 060 | W/A Eastern Horizon | -1426 | -1434 |
|  |  | 070 | Solar Corona | -1436 | -1520 |
|  |  | 080 | Star Survey, Anterus | -1520 | -1534 |
|  |  | 071 | Solar Corona | -1536 | -1622 |
|  |  | 090 | Earth Snine, Pad 2 | -1623 | -1650 |
|  |  | 100 | Solar Corona | -1651 | -1806 |
|  |  | 081 | Star Survey, $\chi^{\text {LIYR }}$ | -1808 | -1826 |
|  |  | 110 | Solar Corona | -1828 | -1904 |
|  |  | 091 | Earth Shine, Pad 2 | -1905 | -1928 |
|  |  | 111 | Solar Corona, 20 min . integrate, "Lost in Low Power" | -1929 | -2004 |

End of First Lunar Day SC-6
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## 5. 14 ALPHA SCATTERING EXPERIMENT

### 5.14.1 INTRODUCTION

## 5. 14.1.1 Purpose

The alpha scattering experiment was added to Surveyor VI in order to perform a compositional analyses of the lunar surface. The alpha scattering technique of surface chemical analysis takes advantage of the characteristic interactions of $\alpha$ particles with matter to provide information on the chemical composition. The energy spectrums of the large-angle, elastically scattered $\alpha$ particles are characteristic of the nuclei doing the scattering. In addition, certain elements, when bombarded with $\alpha$ particles, produce protons, again with characteristic energy spectrums. Consequently, these energy spectrums and intensities of scattered $\alpha$ particles and protons can be used to determine the chemical composition of the material being exposed to the $\alpha$ particles.

The method has good resolution for the light elements expected to be contained in rocks (unfortunately, however, it can give only indirect information about hydrogen). The resolution under this technique decreases as the atomic weight increases ( $\mathrm{Fe}, \mathrm{Co}$, and Ni cannot easily be resolved), even though the sensitivity is greater for heavy elements than for most light elements. (The sensitivity for elements heavier than lithium is approximately 1 atomic percent.)

The absence of an atmosphere on the moon made practical the use, for such chemical analyses, of the relatively low-energy a particles from a radioactive source. $\mathrm{Cm}^{242}\left(\mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}=163\right.$ days, $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{a}}=6.11 \mathrm{mev}$ ) is a convenient nuclide for this purpose. The use of low-energy a particles, however, restricts the information obtained to that pertaining to the uppermost few microns of material, i. e., the method is one of surface chemical analysis. Moreover, using practical source intensities ( $\sim 100 \mathrm{mc}$ ), the rate of analysis is rather slow: a relatively complete analysis requires about lay. In spite of these disadvantages, the simplicity of the instrumentation associated with using a radioactive source made this a feasible, attractive method.

## 5. 14.1.2 Description

The alpha scattering subsystem consisted of five principal units: sensor head, digital electronics, electronic auxiliary, thermal compartmenta heater assembly, and deployment mechanism/standard sample, having a total
weight (including mechanical and electrical spacecraft interface substructure and cabling) of approximately 13.1 kg ( 28.8 pounds). Power dissipation of the subsystem was normally 2.1 watts (approximately) which increased to 17. I watts, when both the thermal compartment and the sensor head heaters were active. A brief description of each of the principal units follow.

## Sensor Head (GFE)

The sensor head is a box measuring 17.1 by 16.5 by 13.3 cm which contains a 30.5 - cm-diameter plate on the bottom. The main purpose of this plate is to minimize the probability of the box appreciably sinking into a soft (lunar) surface. At the bottom of the head is a $10.8-\mathrm{cm}$ circular opening, and recessed 7.0 cm above the opening are six $\alpha$ sources, which are orientated in such a way that the a particles are directed only at the opening of the head. Close to the $\alpha$ sources are two silicon semiconductor detectors arranged to detect $\alpha$ particles scattered back at an average angle of 174 degrees from the sample. Also contained in the head are four detectors ( $\sim 1 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ area each) designed to detect protons produced in the sample by the $\alpha$ particles. A gold foil of $\sim 21 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{cm}-2$ prevents scattered $\alpha$ particles from reaching these detectors. Because the proton rates were expected to be low, and because these detectors are particularly sensitive to solar protons, the proton detectors were backed by guard detectors. The electronics associated with the guard detectors was arranged so that an event registered in both detectors (and, therefore, due to space radiation) will not be counted as coming from the sample. The anticoincidence arrangement had the effect of significantly reducing the backgrounds of the instrument when operating in the proton mode.

In addition to the sources, detectors, and associated electronics, the head contained a temperature sensor, a 5-watt heater, and an electronic pulser. The electronic pulser was used to calibrate the electronics of the instrument by introducing electrical pulses of known magnitudes (two) into the detector stages of the system. The calibration mode is initiated by earth command.

The output of the head characterizes the energy of an event, in either the scattered $\alpha$ or proton mode of the instrument, by a signal in time analog (pulse) form.

## Digital Electronics (GFE)

The time analog output signal of the sensor head is processed by the digital electronics unit and converted into a nine-bit digital word that has seven information bits. Stated differently, the event energy spectrum is analyzed and expressed, in terms of channels, by 128 -channel pulse-height analyzers having a threshold of about 600 kev and a gain of about 54 kev per channel. The nine-bit digital words characterizing each eventare transmitted in near-real time (essentially no spacecraft storage) to earth at a rate of $2200 \mathrm{bits} / \mathrm{sec}$ for the $\alpha$ mode and $550 \mathrm{bits} / \mathrm{sec}$ for the proton mode.

The digital electronics unit contains, in addition to the digital process ing electronics, the necessary power supplies and the logical electronic interfaces between the GFE and the Hughes spacecraft equipment. The digital electronics unit contained circuits so that the output of any one individual detector, together with its associated guard detector, could be inhibited by earth command; the temperature of the sensor head, as well as other monitoring voltages, could be transmitted to earth. Finally, a crude ratemeter provided information on the number of events occurring in the guard (anticoincidence) detectors.

## Electronics Auxiliary (Hughes)

The electronics auxiliary unit provided the necessary command decoding, signal processing, and power management so that the GFE equipment could interface with the basic spacecraft bus. Basic items interfacing directly with the sensor head and the digital electronics are 1) the central signal processor that provides 2200 and $550 \mathrm{bits} / \mathrm{sec}$ sync to the digital electronics clocks, and 2) the engineering signal processor that provides temperature sensor excitation current and commutation of the GFE engineering data outputs.

The electronics auxiliary also provides the two data channels employed by the alpha scattering subsystem for the alpha and proton counts. The characteristics of these two subcarrier oscillator channels are as follows:

|  | $\frac{\text { Alpha Counts }}{}$ |  | Proton Counts |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Data input to electronic auxiliary | Digital, NRZ |  | Digital, NRZ |
| Input data rate | $2200 \mathrm{bits} / \mathrm{sec}$ | $550 \mathrm{bits} / \mathrm{sec}$ |  |
| Subcarrier oscillator center frequency | $70,000 \mathrm{~Hz}$ | 5400 Hz |  |

## Thermal Compartment/Heater Assembly

The digital electronics and the electronic auxiliary units were contained in a thermal compartment attached to the spacecraft. Thermal control was obtained by compartment thermal design in conjunction with a 10 -watt compartment heater assembly, which was operated by the engineering signal processor.

## Deployment Mechanism/Standard Sample

The deployment mechanism provides for the operation of the experiment in any of the following three positions:

1) Stowed position where the standard sample was utilized for calibration of the system
2) Background position where the solar and surface natural radiation was calibrated
3) Lunar surface position where the lunar surface compositional analysis was performed

The standard sample is a sample of known chemical composition that was attached to the deployment mechanism and was used to assess subsystem performance prior to launch and after lunar landing. The standard sample covers the sensor head viewing part when in the stowed position, and is removed when the sensor head is in either the background or the lunar sur face positions. Operation in this manner minimizes the entrance of both dust and light during spacecraft launch, transit, and landing and/or until the experiment is to be operated.

## 5. 14.2 ANOMALIES

The operation of the alpha scattering experiment was completely successful with a total operating time of 108 hours and 41 minutes during which 59 hours of science data were accumulated, including $30-1 / 2$ hours of lunar surface analysis.

During flight and all lunar operations, the essential telemetry data remained within predicted limits except for the sensor head temperature, which exceeded the maximum operating temperature limit for a period of approximately 82 hours. A/SPP shading was used to reduce the sensor head temperature to within operating limits.

Proton detector No. 3 became noisy on an intermittent basis at a head temperature of $110^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$, but cleared up when the temperature was reduced to $90^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$.

After spacecraft translation on GMT day 321 , the alpha scattering experiment was turned on at 12:48. A subsequent investigation indicated that the experiments had been turned on when the head temperature was $144^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$, which is above the operational limit. Consequently, the experiment was turned off at 12:52 and was not turned on again until the temperature had decreased to operating limits.

## 5. 14.3 RECOMMENDATIONS

It is recommended that temperature function always be checked prior to commanding the alpha scattering experiment on.

## 5. 14. 4 SUBSYSTEM PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS

The Lunar Operations plan called for four modes of operation: 1) standard sample, 2) background, 3) lunar surface, and 4) calibration. There
were, however, five actual modes of operation provided with the addition of a post-translation mode after the alpha sensor was turned over and the detectors were viewing space. Data accumulated during the post-translation period may provide information regarding proton activity from space.

Two forms of science data were recorded during operation: the uncorrected spectra, received via teletype from the stations, which were used for near-real time analysis; and the FR-1400 prime data tapes for use during the postmission analysis. The uncorrected spectra, while not reflecting all data transmitted from the alpha scattering subsystem, did contain the vast majority of data recorded on the FR-1400 tapes. Table 5. 14-1 presents a summary of the science data accumulation time in each of the five operational configurations.

TABLE 5. 14-1. SCIENCE DATA ACCUMULA TION SUMMARY

| Operational Configuration | Accumulation Time, minutes |
| :--- | :---: |
| Standard sample | 318 |
| Background | 367 |
| Lunar surface position | 1834 |
| Post translation (instru- <br> ment upside down) <br> Calibration | 796 |
| Total | 216 |

Operation of the alpha scattering subsystem was initiated during lunar phase approximately 4 hours and 38 minutes after touchdown while the spacecraft was in view of DSS-ll. Lunar operations continued during days 314 through $316,320,322,324$, and 326 through 328 . During lunar operations, the science data were accumulated while the sensor head was within its operational temperature limits of $-40^{\circ}$ to $122^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. All data were accumulated while the thermal compartment was within its operational temperature limits of $-4^{\circ}$ to $131^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. When it was discovered that proton detector No. 3 was noisy, it was turned off. The experiment successfully accumulated data using three detectors until the noisy detector corrected itself when the sensor temperature decreased to $90^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. The approximate 3 hours of proton data were unusable, due to the time required to determine that a detector was noisy and to isolate the noise to detector 3 .

During spacecraft translation the alpha scattering sensor head was turned upside down, thus terminating further lunar soil analysis. In the
upside down position, the detectors were exposed to space and an additional 13 hours of data were accumulated for possible use in investigating space proton activity.

Approximately 450 commands were transmitted, received, and executed without a single error.

In general, the communications link from the spacecraft was excellent, and the bit error rate of $10^{-6}$ was at least a factor of 100 better than the predicted worst-case value. Deviations from this high quality data reception generally occurred when the spacecraft was being tracked near the earth's horizon.

For ancillary information, Table 5.14-2 is a summary of preliminary results of the chemical analysis of the moon at the Surveyors V and VI landing sites (Reference 1). Table 5. 14-3 is a detailed account of SSAC alpha scattering operations during the first lunar day, and Table 5.14-4 outlines the overall operation of the alpha scattering experiment.

TABLE 5. 14-2. CHEMICAL COMPOSITION OF LUNAR SURFACE AT SURVEYORS V AND VI SITES

| Element | Surveyor V <br> Atomic, percent* | Surveyor VI <br> Atomic, percent* |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Carbon | $<3$ | $<3$ |
| Oxygen | $58 \pm 5$ | $57 \pm 5$ |
| Sodium | $<2$ | $<2$ |
| Magnesium | $3 \pm 3$ | $3 \pm 3$ |
| Aluminum | $6.5 \pm 2$ | $6.5 \pm 2$ |
| Silicon | $18.5 \pm 3$ | $22 \pm 5$ |
| 28<A<65** | $13 \pm 3$ | $6 \pm 2$ |
| Calcium | $<3$ | $5 \pm 2$ |
| (Fe, Co, Ni) | $<0.5$ |  |
| Iron |  |  |
| A $>65$ |  |  |

[^17]TABLE 5．14－3．ALPHA SCAT TERING DETAILED DATA ACCUMULATION

|  | － |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 옹ㅇ } \\ & \ddot{\sim} \ddot{0} \\ & \ddot{0} \ddot{0} \\ & \ddot{n} \ddot{\theta} \ddot{0} \\ & 000 \end{aligned}$ | 응ㅇㅇㅇㅇㅡN <br> 苁 $\ddot{4} \ddot{\sim}$ <br> －$\rightarrow$ mintmin <br> $\ddot{\theta} \ddot{\sim} \ddot{\sim} \ddot{\sim} \ddot{\sim} \ddot{\sim} \ddot{\sim} \ddot{\sim} \ddot{\sim} \ddot{\sim}$ | 으N으겨영N <br>  <br>  |  |  |  <br>  $\ddot{\sim}$ |  |
|  |  | 응ㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇ $\ddot{i} \dot{q} \dot{\sim} \dot{n} \ddot{n} \ddot{\theta} \ddot{\infty} \ddot{q} \ddot{q}$ にぃーツnーm <br>  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OO } \\ & \ddot{N} \\ & \ddot{\ddot{0}} \ddot{0} \\ & \ddot{n} \ddot{0} \\ & \ddot{0} \end{aligned}$ | 여안이ㅅㅡㅡ <br> $\dot{\hat{\circ}} \ddot{\circ} \ddot{\text { 子 }}$ <br> moin <br> $\dot{\sim} \ddot{-} \ddot{\theta} \ddot{\theta} \ddot{\sim}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 웃o } \\ & \underset{\sim}{\sim} \ddot{\sim} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\sim} \ddot{\sim} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\sim} \\ & \sim \end{aligned}$ |  |  <br> $\ddot{\sim}$ <br> $\ddot{\circ} \ddot{\circ} \ddot{\circ} \ddot{\circ}$ |
|  | $\sum_{-1} \sum_{-1}^{0}$ |  |  | $\sum_{0} \sum_{0} \sum_{0} \sum_{0}^{\infty} \sum_{N}^{N}$ | $\sum_{\substack{0}}^{\stackrel{0}{0}} \sum_{\underset{\sim}{0}}^{n}$ | $\sum_{i} \sum_{i} \sum_{N} \sum_{N}$ | $\sum_{O} \sum_{O} \sum_{i=1}^{n}$ |
|  | $\sum_{-1}^{1}$ | $\sum_{0}^{1}$ |  |  | $\sum_{0}$ |  |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{0}{i} \\ -\stackrel{N}{N} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { च } \\ \text { Nmかn } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\infty \sigma \leftrightarrows \mathbb{N}$ |  | Nm | $\underset{\sim}{H} \sim \sim$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { I } \\ & . \underset{\sim}{\#} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 0 0 3 0 0 |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { T } \\ & \text { E } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 4 \\ & 0 \\ & \text { n } \\ & \tilde{N} \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 入 } \\ & \text { ベ } \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{m}{\underset{m}{2}}$ |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{i n}{m}$ |  |
| ヘn | $\Xi$ | F | $\underline{0}$ |  |  | ت |  |

Table 5. 14-3 (continued)

Table 5．14－3（continued）

|  |  | 등 등 등 등 등 등 등 <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  |  <br>  <br>  <br>  へへへNへべNえべN《 $4 \lll \lll \lll<$『てひひひひひひひひ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 우 } \\ & \ddot{\sim} \\ & \underset{H}{4} \\ & \ddot{H} \\ & \ddot{\circ} \ddot{O} \end{aligned}$ |  <br>  <br>  |  $\ddot{m} \ddot{n} \ddot{n} \ddot{n} \ddot{m} \ddot{n} \ddot{n} \ddot{\sim} \ddot{\sim} \ddot{\theta} \ddot{\circ}$ $\ddot{\theta} \ddot{\theta} \ddot{\sim} \ddot{\sim} \ddot{\sim} \ddot{\infty} \ddot{\infty} \ddot{\infty} \ddot{\sigma}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 아 } \\ & \dot{H} \\ & \ddot{0} \\ & \dot{\sim} \\ & \dot{\sim} \ddot{0} \end{aligned}$ |  <br>  <br>  |  $\ddot{n} \dot{\sim} \dot{\sim} \ddot{n} \ddot{n} \ddot{\sim} \ddot{\sim} \ddot{\sim} \ddot{\sim} \ddot{\sim}$ <br>  $\ddot{\underline{0}} \ddot{\underline{\theta}} \ddot{\underline{\theta}} \ddot{=} \ddot{=} \ddot{\theta} \ddot{\rightarrow} \ddot{\rightarrow}$ |
|  | $\sum_{i} \sum_{N} \sum_{N}$ |  |  |
|  |  | $\sum_{0}^{1}$ |  |
|  | $\cdots$ |  | －NMHnOrma |
|  |  |  |  |
| $\stackrel{\text { N}}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n } \\ & \text { m } \\ & \text { m } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| 告 | 二 | $\stackrel{N}{\text { N }}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0}$ |

Table 5．14－3（continued）

| $n$ <br> $\stackrel{n}{z}$ <br> 0 <br>  <br>  <br> 0 <br> 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 악앙악안늑응으응으응ㅇN <br>  Nみ○Nみnーm intm－mintmo $\ddot{\infty} \ddot{\infty} \ddot{\sigma} \ddot{\sigma} \ddot{\sigma} \ddot{\sigma} \ddot{o} \ddot{\sigma} \ddot{\sim} \ddot{N} \ddot{j} \ddot{N} \ddot{n} \ddot{\sigma}$ がかnnmNNNNNNNNO |  |  |
|  |  |  |  Hみみみみれmmмmмmммmot $\ddot{\sigma} \ddot{\sigma} \ddot{\sigma} \ddot{\sigma} \dot{\sim} \dot{\sim} \ddot{\sim} \ddot{\sim} \ddot{\sim} \ddot{\sim} \ddot{\sim} \ddot{\sim} \ddot{\sim} \ddot{\gamma} \ddot{\psi}$ <br>  $\ddot{\sim} \ddot{\infty} \ddot{\infty} \ddot{\sigma} \ddot{\sigma} \ddot{\sigma} \ddot{o} \ddot{\theta} \ddot{\sim} \ddot{\sim} \ddot{N} \ddot{N} \ddot{N} \ddot{n}$ <br>  |  |  |
|  | $\sum_{i}^{N} \sum_{N}^{N}$ | $\sum_{0} \sum_{0} \sum_{0} \sum_{0} \sum_{i} \sum_{0} \sum_{0} \sum_{0} \sum_{0}$ | $\sum_{0} \sum_{0} \sum_{0}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \alpha \\ & \underset{\sim}{N} \\ & \underset{N}{N} \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | $\underset{\substack{\infty \\ \infty \\ \infty}}{\substack{n}}$ <br> $\sum_{i}$ |  | N |  |
|  | 윽 |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { E } \\ \text { O } \\ \text { N } \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 入 | $\begin{array}{ll} 0 & \stackrel{y}{c} \\ m & \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{O} \\ & \mathrm{~N} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| ヘ | T0 | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\overrightarrow{0}$ |  |  |

Table 5. 14-3 (continued)

Table 5．14－3（continued）

|  |  | $$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 응ㅇNㅇ <br>  <br> 븡 $\because$ 응 $\ddot{\circ}$ |  $\ddot{\theta} \ddot{\sigma} \ddot{\sim} \ddot{n} \ddot{\sim} \ddot{\sim} \ddot{\infty} \ddot{\sigma}$ Hにmntminm $\underset{\sim}{\infty} \underset{\sim}{\infty} \underset{\sim}{\sigma} \ddot{\sim} \ddot{\sim} \ddot{\sim} \ddot{\sim} \ddot{\sim} \ddot{\sim}$ |  <br>  <br>  |
|  |  |  | 응nNN으N옹 $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim} \underset{\sim}{o} \underset{\sim}{\sim} \ddot{\sim} \ddot{Z} \ddot{\sim}$ $\ddot{\infty} \ddot{\infty} \ddot{\sigma} \ddot{\sigma} \ddot{\sigma} \ddot{\circ} \ddot{\circ} \ddot{\ddot{O}}$ <br>  |  <br>  $\ddot{m} \ddot{m} \ddot{\sigma} \ddot{\sigma} \ddot{n} \ddot{\sigma} \ddot{\sim} \ddot{j} \ddot{j} \ddot{n} \ddot{n} \ddot{q} \ddot{\dot{q}}$ NN00000000000 |
|  | $\sum_{n} \sum_{n}$ | $\sum_{0} \sum_{n=1}^{n} \sum_{n}^{n}$ | $\sum_{\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n}^{\infty} \sum_{n}^{n} \sum_{n}^{n} \sum_{n}^{n} \sum_{n} \sum_{i} \sum_{i}$ |  |
|  |  | $\underset{\sim}{i}$ | $\sum_{0}^{0}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\underset{O}{c}}$ |
|  | $0 ㅇ$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{0}{N}}{-1} \sim \infty$ |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \underline{0} \\ .{ }_{0}^{7} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 命 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{\mathrm{~N}} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\underset{\sim}{N}$ |
| \％ | $\overline{0}$ |  | N | $\overrightarrow{0}$ |

Table 5. 14-3 (continued)

| DSS | Day | Position | Record <br> Number | Calibration Period | Accumulation Period | GMT, hr:min:sec |  | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Start | End |  |
|  | 328 |  | 1 | 10M | 10M | 02:14:10 | 02:24: 10 |  |
|  |  |  | 2-6 |  |  | 02:32:20 | 02:49:15 |  |
|  |  |  | 7 |  | 30M | 02:52:35 | 03:22:35 |  |
|  |  |  | 8 |  | 30M | 03:41:25 | 04:11:25 | $\mathrm{A}_{2}, \mathrm{P}_{2}$ |
|  |  |  | 9 |  | 30M | 04:22:15 | 04:52:15 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}, \mathrm{P}_{3}$ |
|  |  |  | 10 |  | $30 \mathrm{M}$ | 04:55:25 | 05:25:25 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}, \mathrm{P}_{4}$ |
|  |  |  | 11 |  | 30M | 05:27:55 | 05:57:55 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}, \mathbf{P}_{1}, \mathrm{P}_{2}, \mathrm{P}_{3}, \mathrm{P}_{4}$ |

TABLE 5. 14-4. ALPHA SCATTERING EXPERIMENT SURVEYOR VI SEQUENCE OF OPERATION

| Day | GMT, <br> hr:min:sec | Function |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 313 | $\begin{aligned} & 20: 25: 06 \\ & 22: 37: 25 \end{aligned}$ | Compartment $C$ heater commanded on Sensor head heater commanded on |
| 314 | $\begin{aligned} & 00: 52: 25 \\ & 00: 52: 28 \\ & 01: 09: 23 \\ & 01: 09: 32 \\ & 05: 47: 00 \\ & 05: 39: 40 \\ & 21: 17: 45 \end{aligned}$ | Compartment $C$ heater commanded of $f$ Sensor head heater commanded off Compartment $C$ heater commanded on Sensor head heater commanded on Compartment $C$ heater commanded off Alpha scattering power commanded on Deploy to background |
| 315 | $\begin{aligned} & 12: 07: 52 \\ & 20: 26 \\ & 20: 29 \\ & 23: 01 \end{aligned}$ | Deploy to lunar surface <br> Alpha scattering power commanded off Alpha scattering power commanded on Alpha scattering power commanded off |
| 316 | $\begin{aligned} & 07: 48 \\ & 19: 57 \\ & 22: 38 \\ & 23: 39 \end{aligned}$ | Alpha scattering power commanded on Alpha scattering power commanded off Alpha scattering power commanded on Alpha scattering power commanded off |
| 320 | 12:57 | Alpha scattering power commanded on |
| 321 | $\begin{aligned} & 03: 32 \\ & 12: 48 \\ & 12: 53 \end{aligned}$ | Alpha scattering power commanded off Alpha scattering power commanded on Alpha scattering power commanded of $f$ |
| 322 | $\begin{aligned} & 00: 40 \\ & 02: 19 \\ & 14: 00 \\ & 19: 30 \end{aligned}$ | Alpha scattering power commanded on Alpha scattering power commanded off Alpha scattering power commanded on Alpha scattering power commanded off |
| 324 | $\begin{aligned} & 02: 44 \\ & 04: 21 \end{aligned}$ | Alpha scattering power commanded on Alpha scattering power commanded off |
| 326 | $\begin{aligned} & 04: 52 \\ & 06: 05 \\ & 18: 26 \end{aligned}$ | Alpha scattering power commanded on Alpha scattering power commanded off Alpha scattering power commanded on |
| 327 | 05:06 | Alpha scattering power commanded off |
| 328 | $\begin{aligned} & 02: 11 \\ & 17: 28 \end{aligned}$ | Alpha scattering power commanded on Alpha scattering power commanded off |
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[^0]:    *Includes unit flight acceptance and type approval test data. **

    Based on main power switch operating time in system test.

[^1]:    Not on spacecraft.
    ***Stady state not obtained.

[^2]:    *Not on spacecraft.

[^3]:    + Not on spacecraft ${ }^{\dagger}$ Corrected for bit rate errors.

[^4]:    *Not on spacecraft.
    **Steady state not obtained.
    Corrected for bit rate errors.

[^5]:    Boost Regulator

[^6]:    Battery Charge Regulator
    Sensor EP-34:

[^7]:    2
    Sensor P_4. Vernier IInes

    Figure 5. 1-B21.

[^8]:    3
    Vernier Lines

[^9]:    Vernier Oxidizer Tank 1

    Figure 5.l-B31.

[^10]:    $\varepsilon$

[^11]:    Sensor R-13: Altimeter Radar Sensor
    Figure 5.l-B40.

[^12]:    Figure 5. 1 - B54. Sensor $V-27$ : Upper Spaceframe 1

[^13]:    Crushable Block

    Figure 5. 1-B65.

[^14]:    *Frequencies used by FPAC for initial DS $;-51$ acquisition were those contained in the $T-30 a$ Report.

[^15]:    *Seen as composite signal.

[^16]:    a) Tank 1

    Figure 5.6-A3. P-15 Vernier Oxidizer Tank Temperatures

[^17]:    Excluding hydrogen, helium, and lithium. These numbers have been normalized to approximately 100 percent.
    ** This group includes, for example, S, K, Ca, Fe, Co, and Ni.

