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## INTRODUCTION

In a first approach to the study of Love Waves in the earth, the author has considered an earth model consisting of an elastic layer having an irregular boundary, overlying a rigid half-space [2]. The present work treats the same problem using the more realistic earth model in which the half-space is elastic.

## DISCUSSION OF PROBIEM

We consider the field which results when a horizontally polarized shear wave, propagating in the plane portion of an elastic layer, is incident on the irregular portion

figure 2

The interface between the layer and half-space is given by $z=0$ and the upper boundary may be described by $z=z_{B}$ where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& z_{B}=-H+\operatorname{bh}(x), \quad h(x)=0 \text { for } x \leq 0, x \geq L \\
& h(x)=f(x) \text { for } 0 \leq x \leq L
\end{aligned}
$$

and $b$ is the maximum amplitude of the boundary irregularities. For physical reasons we require that the scattered field have only outgoing waves at $x= \pm \infty$ and at $z=\infty$.

Assuming a harmonic time variation $e^{i \omega t}$ the equations of motion became

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2} v_{i}}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} v_{i}}{\partial z^{2}}+k_{i}^{2} v_{i}=0, \quad 1=1,2 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the subscripts 1 and 2 refer to the layer and half-space respectively, and $k_{i}=n / c_{i}$, the $c_{i}$ 's being the shear wave velocities, and the $V_{i}^{\prime} s$ the displacement components in the $y$ direction.

The boundary condition on the traction free upper boundary may be written

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial V_{1}}{\partial z}-b h^{\prime} \frac{\partial V_{1}}{\partial x}=0 \text { on } z=z_{B}, \text { where } h^{\prime}=\frac{d h}{d x} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The displacement and stress continuity on the lower boundary yields

$$
V_{1}=V_{2}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { on } z=0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\text { and } u_{1} \frac{\partial v_{1}}{\partial z}=u_{2} \frac{\partial V_{2}}{\partial z}
$$

where $\mu_{1}$ and $\mu_{2}$ are material constants.

The incident wave which exists under the flat boundary may be written in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& V_{1, i n}=A \cos _{1}(z+H) e^{-i \alpha x}  \tag{4}\\
& V_{2, i n}=A e^{-\beta_{2} 2} \cos \beta_{1} H e^{-i \alpha x}
\end{align*}
$$

Since we are only concerned with propagating disturbances, we will consider only roots of (5) for which $\alpha$ is real, such roots exist only if $k_{1}>k_{2}$ r27.

In order to arrive at the scattered field described qualitatively in the problem discussion above we assume a solution, which satisfies the wave equations (1), in the form of a contour integral in the complex $v$ plane given by

$$
V_{1, \text { scat. }}=\int_{c}\left[B(v) e^{i I_{1}^{2}}+C(v) e^{-i^{*}} I^{2}\right] e^{-i v x} d v, \text { with } \varepsilon_{1}=\left(k_{1}^{2}-v^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{2, \text { scat. }}=\int_{c} D(v) e^{-2^{z}} e^{-i v x_{x}} d v, \text { with } \varepsilon_{2}=\left(v^{2}-k_{2}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the contour $c$ is shown in figure 2 .


Substituting (6) into the boundary conditions (3) it is found that the functions $B(v), C(v)$ and $D(v)$ are related by

$$
\begin{equation*}
C=\frac{(\gamma-1)}{(\gamma+1)} B, \quad D=\frac{2 \gamma}{\gamma+1} B \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\quad \gamma=\frac{u_{1}{ }^{q}{ }_{1}}{u_{2}^{\varepsilon}{ }_{2}}$

Using (7) in (6) an expression for the total displacement fields in the layer and half-space may be written

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{1}=V_{1, \text { in }}+V_{1, s \operatorname{cat}}=A \cos B_{1}(2+H) e^{-i \alpha x}+\int_{c} \frac{2 B(v)}{1+\gamma}\left[y \cos z_{1} z-i \sin { }_{1} z\right] e^{-i v x} d v \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$V_{2}=V_{2, \text { in }}+V_{2, s c a t}=A e^{-\beta_{2} z} \cos \beta_{2} H e^{-i \alpha x}+\int \frac{2 \gamma B(v)}{1+\gamma} e^{-F} 2^{z} e^{-i v x} d v$

These expressions for the displacement field satisfy the wave equation and the boundary conditions (3), it remains to determine $B(v)$ such that the boundary condition (2) is satisfied. Accordingly, inserting
the first of (8) into (2), we arrive at the following form of the boundary condition (2),

$$
\begin{align*}
& A e^{-i \alpha x}\left(-\beta_{1} \sin \beta_{1}^{b h}+i \alpha b h^{\prime} \cos \beta_{1}^{b h}\right) \\
& -\int_{c} \frac{2 B(\nu)}{1+\gamma}\left\{\varepsilon_{1}\left[\gamma \sin F_{1}(-H+b h)+i \cos _{1}(-H+b h)\right]+i v h^{2}\left[\gamma \cos \varepsilon_{1}(-H+b h)\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.-i \sin F_{1}(-H+b h)\right]\right\} e^{-i v x_{d}}=0 \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

The solution to this integral equation is quite formidable, however if we restrict ourselves to boundaries having small irregularities, that is $\mathrm{b} \ll 1$, we may apply a perturbation procedure to evaluate $B(v)$.

To carry out this perturbation we assume a series solution for $B(v)$ in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
B(v)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B_{n}(v) b^{n} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inserting (10) into (9) and expanding the resulting equation in powers of $b$ we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& A e^{-i \alpha x}\left\{-\beta_{1}\left[\beta_{1}{ }^{b h}+\ldots\right]+i 0 b h^{\prime}\left[1-\left(\beta_{1} b h\right)^{2} / 2+\ldots\right]\right\} \\
& -\int_{c}[2 /(l+\gamma)]\left(B_{1}(v) b+\ldots\right)\left\{\left[\gamma\left(g_{1} b h+\ldots\right)+i\left(1-\left[g_{1} b h^{2} / 2+\ldots\right)\right]_{1}{ }_{1} \cos _{1}{ }^{H}\right.\right. \\
& \left.-\left[x^{\left(1-\left[\varepsilon_{1} b 7^{2} / 2\right.\right.}+\ldots\right)-i\left(q_{1}^{b h}+\ldots\right)\right] \varepsilon_{1} \sin \varepsilon_{1} H-i v b h^{\prime}\left[i\left(\varepsilon_{1} b h+\ldots\right)\right. \\
& \left.-\gamma\left(1-\left[\varepsilon_{1} \mathrm{bh}\right]^{2} / 2+\ldots\right)\right] \cos { }_{1} \mathrm{H}-\left[\mathrm{i}\left(1-\left[\varepsilon_{1} \mathrm{bh}\right]^{2} / 2+\ldots\right)\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\gamma\left(\varepsilon_{I} b h+\cdots\right)\right] \sin \varepsilon_{I} H\right\} e^{-i v x_{d \nu}}=0 \tag{111}
\end{align*}
$$

To first order in $b$ we obtain
$A e^{-i \alpha x}\left(-\beta_{l}^{2} h+i \alpha h^{\prime}\right)-\int_{c}[2 /(1+\gamma)] B_{1}(v)\left(i \cos \varepsilon_{1} H-\gamma \sin { }_{l}{ }_{l} H\right) \varepsilon_{I} e^{-i v x^{d} d v=0}$
which may be inverted to yield
$B_{1}(v)=\left[A(l+\gamma) / 4 \pi F_{1}\left(i \cos ^{\varepsilon}{ }_{1} H-\gamma \sin \varepsilon_{1} H\right)\right] \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(i \alpha h^{\prime}-\beta_{1}^{2} h\right) e^{i(v-\alpha) y} d y$

Inserting (13) into (8) we obtain expressions for the displacement field to first order in $b$, these may be written

$$
V_{1}=A \cos \beta_{1}(z+H) e^{-i \alpha x}+b \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}(A / 2 \pi)\left(i \alpha h^{\prime}-\beta_{1}^{2} h\right) e^{-i \alpha y} \int_{c} \frac{\gamma \cos \varepsilon_{1} z-i \sin \varepsilon_{1} z}{\varepsilon_{1}\left(i \cos { }_{1} H-\gamma \sin \varepsilon_{1} H\right)} e^{i v(y-x)} d v d y
$$

$\left.V_{2}=A e^{-\beta_{2} 2^{z} \cos \beta_{2} H e^{-i \alpha x}}+b \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}(A / 2 \pi)\left(i \alpha h^{\prime}-\beta_{1}^{2} h\right) e^{-i \alpha y} \int_{c} \frac{\gamma e^{-\xi_{2} z}}{\varepsilon_{1}\left(i \cos \varepsilon_{1} H-\gamma \sin E\right.}{ }_{1} H\right) ~ e^{i v(y-x)} d v d y$

Since the integrands for the contour integrals in the $v$ plane, appearing in (14), are not single valued, the contour $c$ must be chosen to lie on the sheet which will yield the form of solution described in the problem discussion above. Accordingly, the $v$ plane is cut as shown in figure 3 with the contour lying on the sheet which maps into the right half $\varepsilon_{2}$ plane under the mapping $\varepsilon_{2}=\left(v^{2}-k_{2}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. To evaluate these integrals the cases $y>x$ and $y<x$ are considered separately.

Consider first the integrals

$$
\int_{c} \frac{\gamma \cos \varepsilon_{1} z-i \sin \varepsilon_{1} z}{\xi_{1}\left(i \cos \xi_{1} H-\gamma \sin \varepsilon_{1} H\right)} e^{i v(y-x)} d v
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{c} \frac{\gamma e^{-\varepsilon_{2} z}}{\varepsilon_{1}\left(i \cos _{1}^{E}{ }^{H-\gamma \sin ^{\sigma}}{ }_{1} H\right)} e^{i v(y-x)} d v \text {, with } y>x \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

To evaluate these integrals, the contour $c$ is closed by arcs at infinity in the left and right upper half plane connected by a contour around the branch line, as shown in figure 3.

$v$ plane
figure 3

The singularities of the integrands in (15) within this closed contour are poles which exist at the zeros of

$$
\operatorname{icos}_{1} H-\gamma \sin \xi_{1} H=0
$$

For the sheet chosen all of these zeros, denoted by $v_{m}$, lie on the real $v$ axis and satisfy $-k_{1} \leq v_{m} \leq-k_{2}$ (1). Furthermore, if this relation (1) See Appendix
is written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tan \xi_{1} H=\frac{\mu_{2}^{E} 2}{\mu_{1} \varepsilon_{1} 1} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

a sketch of the functions on the right and left hand side reveals that there exist $N$ such zeros, where $N$ is the integer part of the number

$$
\left[\left(k_{1}^{2}-k_{2}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} H / \pi\right]+1
$$

If we let

$$
G_{1}(v)=\frac{\gamma \cos \xi_{1} 2-i \sin F_{1} l^{2}}{P_{1}\left(i \cos E_{1} H-\gamma \sin E_{1} H\right)} e^{i v(y-x)}
$$

and

$$
G_{2}(v)=\frac{\gamma e^{-\xi_{2} z}}{F_{1}\left(i \cos _{1} H-\gamma \sin \varepsilon_{1} H\right)} e^{i v(y-x)}
$$

(15) may be written

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{c} G_{I}(v) \mathrm{d} v=2 \pi \mathrm{i} \sum \operatorname{ResG} G_{1}-\int_{\substack{\text { Branch } \\ \text { line }}}^{G_{1}}(v) \mathrm{d} v-\int_{\mathrm{c}_{\infty}} \mathrm{G}_{1}(v) \mathrm{d} v \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\int_{c} G_{2}(v) \mathrm{d} v=2 \pi i \sum \operatorname{ResG}_{2}-\int_{\substack{\text { Branch } \\ \text { line }}}^{G_{2}(v) \mathrm{d} v-\int_{c_{\infty}} G_{2}(v) \mathrm{d} v}
$$

The residues of $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ at the poles $v_{m}$ are given by

$$
\operatorname{ResG}_{I}=\cos \varepsilon_{I m}(H+z) e^{i \nu_{m}(y-x)} / \nu_{m} H
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
m=2,2 \ldots N \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\operatorname{ResG}_{2}=e^{-\kappa_{2 m}^{2}} \cos \varepsilon_{I m} H e^{i \nu_{m}(y-x)} / v_{m} H
$$

Where ${ }^{5} I m$ and $\varepsilon_{2 m}$ are $\varepsilon_{1}$ and $\varepsilon_{2}$ evaluated at $v_{m}$.

The asymptotic approximation of the integral around the branch line in the first of (17) contributes to order $1 / x^{\frac{3}{2}}$. In the second of (17)
it contributes to order $1 / x^{\frac{3}{2}}$ independent of $z$, and to order $e^{-k_{2} z^{2} / z^{2}}$ independent of $x$. Therefore, if we restrict our attention to solutions far from the irregular portion of the boundary the contribution of the branch line integrals in ( $1 /$ ) are small compared to the contribution of the residue term.

Furthermore, since the integrals over the arcs at infinity in (17) vanish, we obtain

$$
\int_{c} G_{1}(v) d v=2 \pi i \sum_{m=1}^{N} \cos ^{\varepsilon} I m(H+z) e^{i v_{m}(y-x)} / v_{m} H
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{c} G_{2}(v) d v=2 \pi i \sum_{m=1}^{N} e^{-\varepsilon} 2 m^{z} \cos ^{e}{ }_{2 m} \mathrm{He}^{i \nu_{m}(y-x)} / \nu_{m} H \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the zeros $v_{m}<0$.
Similarly, for $\mathrm{y}<\mathrm{x}$ we may close the contour in the lower half plane and proceeding as above we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{c} G_{1}(v) \mathrm{d} v=-2 \pi i \sum_{m=1}^{N} \cos \Sigma_{I m}(H+z) e^{i v_{m}(y-x)} / v_{m} H \\
& \int_{c} G_{2}(v) d v=-2 \pi i \sum_{m=1}^{N} e^{-\xi_{2 m}^{z}} \cos _{I m} H e^{i v_{m}(y-x)} / v_{m} H \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

with the zeros $v_{\mathrm{m}}>0$.
Inserting (19) and (20) into (14), one obtains the displacement
fields

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{1} & =A \cos \beta_{1}(z+H) e^{-i \alpha x}-i b A \sum_{m=1}^{N} \frac{\cos \xi_{j}(H+z)}{v_{m} H}\left[e^{-i \nu_{m} x^{x} x} \int_{-\infty}^{x}\left(i \alpha h^{\prime}-\beta_{1}^{2} h\right) e^{i\left(v_{m}-\alpha\right) y} d y\right. \\
& \left.+e^{i \nu_{m} x} \int_{x}^{\infty}\left(i \alpha h^{\prime}-\beta_{1}^{2} h\right) e^{-i\left(\nu_{m}+\alpha\right) y} d y\right], v_{m}>0
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& v_{2}=A e^{-\beta_{2} z} \cos \beta_{2} H e^{-i \alpha x}-i b A \sum_{m=1}^{N} \frac{e^{-\xi_{2 m}{ }^{z}} \cos ^{\xi} 1 m^{H}}{v_{m}^{H}}\left[e^{-i v_{m} x} \int_{-\infty}^{x}\left(i \alpha h^{\prime}-\beta_{1}^{2} h\right) e^{i\left(v_{m}-\alpha\right) y} d y\right.  \tag{21}\\
& \left.+e^{i v_{m} x} \int_{x}^{\infty}\left(i \alpha h^{\prime}-\beta_{1}^{2} h\right) e^{-i\left(v_{m}+\alpha\right) y} d y\right] ; \quad v_{m}>0
\end{align*}
$$

Since the upper boundary of the layer is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h(x)=0 \text { for } x \leq 0, x \geq L \\
& h(x)=f(x) \text { for } 0 \leq x \leq L
\end{aligned}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{L} f^{\prime}(x) e^{-i p x} d x=i p \int_{0}^{L} f(x) e^{-i p x} d x \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

With the aid of (22), the solution (21) may be written

for $x \ll 0$, and
$\left.v_{1}=A \cos \beta_{1}(z+H) e^{-i \alpha x}-i b A\right\rangle_{m=1}^{N} \frac{\cos I_{m}(H+z)}{v_{m} H} e^{-i \nu_{m} x}\left(\alpha \nu_{m}-k_{1}^{2}\right) \int_{0}^{I} f(y) e^{-i\left(\alpha-v_{m}\right) y} d y$
$v_{2}=A e^{-\beta_{2} 2^{2}} \cos \beta_{2} e^{-i \alpha x}-i b A \sum_{m=1}^{N} \frac{e^{-\tau} 2 m^{2} \cos \varepsilon_{1 m} H}{v_{m}^{H}} e^{-i \nu_{m} x}\left(\alpha \nu_{m}-k_{1}^{2}\right) \int_{0}^{L} f(y) e^{-i\left(\alpha-\nu_{m}\right) y} d y$
for $x \gg$.

## APPENDIX

To show that on the sheet in the $v$ plane which maps into the right half $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ plane, equation (16)
$\operatorname{Tan}{F_{1}}_{1}=\frac{\mu_{2} E_{2}}{\mu_{1}^{2} 1}$, where $r_{1}=\left(k_{1}^{2}-v^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $\varepsilon_{2}=\left(v^{2}-k_{2}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$
has only real roots $\nu_{m}, m=1,2,3 \ldots$, and these roots lie either in the interval $k_{2} \leq v_{m} \leq_{k_{1}}$ or $-k_{1} \leq_{v_{m}} \leq-k_{2}$.

We may demonstrate this by showing that the roots of (16) in the right half ${ }_{2}$ plane exist only for real $\varepsilon_{2}$ which satisfies $0 \leq r_{2} \leq\left(k_{1}^{2}-k_{2}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

To do this let ${ }_{n} H=\alpha_{n}+i \beta_{n}, n=1$, 2. Equation (16) then becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\tan \alpha_{1}+i \tanh \beta_{1}}{1-i \tan \alpha_{1} \tanh \beta_{1}}=\frac{\mu_{2}\left(\alpha_{2}+i \beta_{2}\right)}{\mu_{1}\left(\alpha_{1}+i \beta_{1}\right)} \tag{A-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the real and imaginary parts of ( $A-1$ ) yield

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tan \alpha_{1}=\left[\left(\mu_{2} / \mu_{1}\right) \alpha_{2}+\beta_{1} \tanh \beta_{1}\right] /\left[\alpha_{1}-\left(\mu_{2} / \mu_{1}\right) \beta_{2} \tanh \beta_{1}\right]  \tag{A-2}\\
& \tan \alpha_{1}=\left[\left(\mu_{2} / \mu_{1}\right) \beta_{2}-\alpha_{1} \tanh \beta_{1}\right] /\left[\beta_{1}+\left(\mu_{2} / \mu_{1}\right) \alpha_{2} \tanh \beta_{1}\right] \tag{A-3}
\end{align*}
$$

Equating (A-2) and (A-3) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\left(\mu_{2} / \mu_{1}\right) \alpha_{2}+\beta_{1} \tanh \beta_{1}\right] /\left[\alpha_{1}-\left(\mu_{2} / \mu_{1}\right) \beta_{2} \tanh \beta_{1}\right]=} \\
& {\left[\left(\mu_{2} / \mu_{1}\right) \beta_{2}-\alpha_{1} \tanh \beta_{1}\right] /\left[\beta_{1}+\left(\mu_{2} / \mu_{1}\right) \alpha_{2} \tanh \beta_{1}\right]} \tag{A-4}
\end{align*}
$$

Furthermore, since $v^{2}=k_{1}^{2}-\varepsilon_{1}^{2}$ and $v^{2}=\varepsilon_{2}^{2}-k_{2}^{2}$, we may write

$$
k_{1}^{2}-\varepsilon_{1}^{2}=\varepsilon_{2}^{2}-k_{2}^{2}
$$

the real part of which yields $\alpha_{1} \beta_{1}=-\alpha_{2} \beta_{2}$.
Eliminating $\alpha_{1}$ between (A-4) and (A-5) the result may be written

$$
\begin{align*}
& \beta_{1}^{2}\left[\left(\mu_{2} / \mu_{1}\right) \alpha_{2}+\beta_{1} \tanh \beta_{1}\right] /\left[\alpha_{2}+\left(\mu_{2} / \mu_{1}\right) \beta_{1} \tanh \beta_{1}\right] \\
= & -\beta_{c}^{2}\left[\left(\mu_{2} / \mu_{1}\right) \beta_{1}+\alpha_{2} \tanh \beta_{1}\right] /\left[\beta_{1}+\left(\mu_{2} / \mu_{1}\right) \alpha_{2} \tanh \beta_{1}\right] \tag{A-6}
\end{align*}
$$

From the expression (A-6) it can be seen that if ${ }_{2}$ is not real, that is, if $\beta_{2} \neq 0$, there exists no $\alpha_{2} \geq 0$ which satisfies (A-6) since the left and right sides are always positive and negative respectively. Thus roots of (16), on the sheet of interest, exist only for ${ }_{2}$ real.

It remains to show that there are no roots for real $k_{2}^{2}>\left(k_{1}^{2}-k_{2}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, that is, for $\beta_{2}=0$ and $\alpha_{2}>\left(k_{1}^{2}-k_{2}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathrm{H}$. This can be seen by observing that $\varepsilon_{2}=\alpha_{2}>\left(k_{1}^{2}-k_{2}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ implies $|v|>k_{1}$ or $\varepsilon_{1}$ is pure inaginary, that is, $\alpha_{I}=0$, and $r_{1} \mathrm{H}=i \beta_{j}$. Equation (16) becomes

$$
\tan i \beta_{1}=\frac{\mu_{2} \alpha_{2}}{i_{\mu} \beta_{1}}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\mu_{1} \beta_{1} \tanh \beta_{1}=\mu_{2} \alpha_{2} \tag{A-7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the left and right sides of (A-7) are negative and positive respectively, no roots exist.

Thus the only roots of (16) on the sheet of interest must satisfy $k_{2} \leq v_{m} \leq k_{1}$ or $-k_{1} \leq \nu_{m} \leq-k_{2}$. These are in fact the roots of the characteristic equation in the classical Love Wave problem.
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