General Disclaimer

One or more of the Following Statements may affect this Document

- This document has been reproduced from the best copy furnished by the organizational source. It is being released in the interest of making available as much information as possible.
- This document may contain data, which exceeds the sheet parameters. It was furnished in this condition by the organizational source and is the best copy available.
- This document may contain tone-on-tone or color graphs, charts and/or pictures, which have been reproduced in black and white.
- This document is paginated as submitted by the original source.
- Portions of this document are not fully legible due to the historical nature of some of the material. However, it is the best reproduction available from the original submission.

Produced by the NASA Center for Aerospace Information (CASI)

- **723-7 Ism— PACILITY FORM 602** (THEU) *<i>P*
P
P R - *98* <u>(ද්රාද</u> ► **on wx -m " Numm (CATEMOM**

ware weed that the company of the set of the company of the company of the company of the company of the compa

E

INTLE LABORATORIES TESTING DIVISION, HUNTSVILLE FACILITY

esearch

R653 Julv F5 **Lm**

WYLE LABORATORIES - RESEARCH STAFF REPORT NUMBER WR 67-17

 $\sigma_{\rm{max}}$, $\sigma_{\rm{max}}$

 $\bar{\gamma}$

 $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$

INTERACTIONS OF A SHOCK WAVE WITH AN ENTROPY DISCONTINUITY

By

Elizabeth Cuodra

Work Performed Under Contract NAS 8-21100 Principal Investigator, M. V. Lowson

 FEB 1983

 \bar{z}

 12

 \blacksquare

i FOREWORD

This report is submitted under Contract NAS 8-21100, Aerodynamic Noise Research. The program has been administered by the Unsteady Aerodynamics Branch, National Aeronautics and Space Administration, George C. Marshall Space Flight Center, Huntsville, Alabama

The author expresses her appreciation to the following Wyle Laboratories personnel: David M. Lister for computer programming, and Dr. Martin V. Lowson for guidance in the derivation for a random field of entropy waves and other helpful discussions.

I

I

t

SUMMARY

Numerical results (based on the theory of C. T. Chang) are presented for use in prediction of the perturbed downstream flow field resulting from the interaction of a planar entropy discontinuity with an infinite planar shock. Downstream pressure, vorticity, and entropy fluctuation values are presented in parametric form for normal shocks and for oblique shocks generated by wedge flow: for wedge half-angles from 4 to 30 degrees, for upstream Mach numbers from 1 .4 to 10, and over the entire range of orientations of the oncoming entropy disturbance.

Discontinuous large values in the amplitudes of all flow perturbations occur at an "effective Mach number, M_e " value of unity in the flow. For $M_e > 1$ the generated pressure disturbance radiates as sound, while for $M_e < 1$ the pressure disturbance amplitude decays with distance from the shock. These numerical results, when combined with typical entropy fluctuation magnitudes, give sound pressure levels greater than those typical for boundary layer noise, and equal to those produced by shock-turbulence interactions, for typical aerospace applications.

For the case of a random field of entropy waves interacting with a shock, the required relations for the harmonic components of all three downstream modes are presented, and on expression is derived for the root-mean-square pressure amplitude caused by an isotropic entropy field, but this study has not progressed to the point of numerical results.

.1

TABLE OF CONTENTS

 $\overline{}$

l

Į

I

I

I

I

I

LIST OF FIGURES

 $\sim 10^{11}$

I

Í

I

I

I

I

1

I

R

i

t

i

I

i

i

i

I

LIST OF FIGURES (Continued)

 $\overline{}$

l

I

I

Ì

I

I

l

I

I

i
Timber

l

 $\ddot{}$

LIST OF FIGURES (Continued)

i

i

I

I

i

i

I

I

I

l

I

t

1

I

I

I

t

LIST OF SYMBOLS

Roman Symbols

I

I

I

I

I

I

Humani

I

l

1

l

I

l

viii

LIST OF SYMBOLS (Continued)

LIST OF SYMBOLS (Continued)

 \bar{a}

LIST Of SYMBOLS (Continued)

 \bar{z}

I

I

I

1

1

I

1

I

I

 $\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2}$

I

Ī

1

i

 \mathbf{l}

1

Į

ł,

 \mathbb{R}^2

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Many of the important problems in gas dynamics are concerned with the effect of small disturbances in a supersonic 'low with shock waves present. The impetus to study the resulting downstream perturbation field has come from such problems as oscillating shocks ahead of blunt bodies, Reference 1, or flared sections on launch vehicles, oscillating shocks in supersonic inlets and exit nozzles, and disturbances in supersonic wind tunnels, Reference 2. The major interest in several current investigations is in the pressure field generated by the interaction, since the fluctuating pressure field associated with a shock is thought to have been the cause of several catastrophic failures of launch vehicles; in any case, the pressure field must be predicted to enable minimum-weight design of such structures.

First-order perturbation theory indicates that the governing equations for a compressible, viscous, and heat-conducting gas can have three distinctively different types *of* disturbance fields: (a) entropy, (b) vorticity, (c) pressure and irratational velocity (sound). When the intensity of the fluctuations is small, the three modes are independent. Non-linear coupling between the various modes can occur *if* the intensity of the disturbances is large or if interactions at boundaries occur (e.g., at a solid wall, a shock wave, or the boundary *of* a wake or a jet). Thus, when a shock wove is perturbed from its equilibrium configuration (as by interaction with any one of the three fundamental modes), the field downstream of the shock is composed of the original field plus perturbation fields of all three modes (vorticity, entropy and sound) generated by the interaction. When the perturbations are small, the three resulting fields are computable from separate systems of linear partial differental equations, connected only through the boundary conditions on the shock wave and any solid boundaries present. Since the equations are linear, Fourier synthesis can be applied, and so it is useful to consider the interaction of a single simple disturbance with a shock wave.

Although the problem of interactions between weak disturbances and shock waves in a uniform stream of perfect gas has received a good deal of attention, most of it has been concentrated on interaction of a plane shock with sound waves or with turbulence (vorticity) . Sound-shock interactions were dealt with in References 3, 4, and 5, and Chu (Reference 5) included the effect of reflection between a wal; and the shock wave. Regarding vorticity-shock interactions, Ribner (Reference 6) studied the interaction of a shear wave with a shock, and demonstrated the existence of sound waves and refracted shear-entropy waves in the flow behind the shock. In Reference 7 this work was generalized to give the noise radiated by the interaction of a shock with turbulence. Moore (Reference 8) ana!yzed the interaction of sound with an oblique shock wave. Lowson (Reference 9) extended the numerical information available based on theory in References 7 and 8, including the motion of the shock wave during the interaction, and showed that the fluctuating pressure field is of significant magnitude in typical supersonic flow problems.

The remaining mode, entropy waves, are represented by either temperature or density discontinuities (at constant pressure) in the gas and are carried along at the local mean flow velocity of the gas. Entropy waves may be due to such causes as temperature stratification in the medium, presence of an upstream shock wave undergoing perturbations, or an unsteady upstream heat source as can occur in combustors or in heated supersonic wind tunnels. Morkovin concluded in Reference 2, for example, that entropy wave interactions with shock waves can be the largest source of noise in supersonic wind tunnels. The entropy fluctuation mode has been analyzed by Chang, Reference 10, who gave the theory for interaction of a plane entropy wave with an oblicue plane shock wave. In addition to giving solutions for a number of specific cases involving a shock produced by an infinite wedge (including reflections from the wedge) and several varieties of restriction on the na+ure and relative orientation of the entropy wave, Chang also gave the solution for the general case of the unsteady interaction of a single (step function) plane entropy disturbance and an infinitaly extended oblique plane shock where the body causing the shock is tacitly assumed to be absent. It is Chang's solution of this general case that has been used to obtain the numerical results given here.

While the theoretical foundation exists in Reference 10, the method is unwieldy for routine_ engineering use, and only a few numerical results were previously available: Reference 1 for a sinusoidal entropy wave interacting with a normal shock at an upstream Mach number of 1.45 only, and Reference 1^* for the same case over an extended range of Mach numbers up to Mach 10. It is the purpose of the present report to provide parametric numerical results for the downstream flow field, covering the range of flow conditions which might be encountered in practice, and to make order of magnitude estimates for the most extreme pressure fields which might be generated, based on existing data for entropy fluctuation magnitudes. The required equations for the _roct-mean-square pressure fluctuations resulting from a random field of entropy waves are also presented, but the random field case has not been carried to the point of numerical results.

* To be amended in a forthcoming corrigendum by Dr. Morkovin.

 \blacksquare

2.0 THE SHOCK-ENTROPY INTERACTION

2.1 Chang's Theory for the Shock-Entropy Interaction

Chang's analysis (Reference 10) begins with a unified treatment⁷ concerning upstream disturbances of all three modes (vorticity, entropy, and sound) interacting with a shock wave, and then specializes on the entropy mode. The medium is taken to be a non-viscous ideal gas, and the analytical model is as follows: A wedge is placed in a uniform flow field and an oblique shock is formed at the wedge. The shock divides the flow field into two regions: An upstream region with uniform velocity U, and a downstream region with uniform velocity U, Figure 1. A plane entropy disturbance (simple step function in temperature) is introduced upstream and is convected with the main flow toward the shock. Since the main interest is the interaction of the shock with the upstream disturbance and its effect on the downstream flow field, the presence of the wedge is now ignored (ruling out reflection phenomena), and the shock is taken as infinitely extended.

Three sets of rectangular coordinate axes will be used, Figure 1: $x^* \circ y^*$, with oy* taken along the shock plane; x_1 o y_1 , with o x_1 taken along the velocity
weeter. It af the vertream main flaws and x o y , with o x, taken along the ve vector U, of the upstream main flow; and x o y, with o x taken along the velocity vector U of the downstream main flow.

The flow parameters will be replaced by their corresponding nondimensionalized ones. If Δp , Δp , Δs , and Δu denote the perturbations of pressure, density, entropy and velocity, their corresponding dimensionless parameters will be given by:

$$
p = \frac{\Delta p}{\gamma p_m} , \quad p = \frac{\Delta p}{p_m} , \quad s = \frac{\Delta s}{c_p} , \quad \vec{u} = \frac{\Delta \vec{u}}{A}
$$
 (1)

where subscript "m" refers to the unperturbed main flow. Whenever no number subscript is attached, reference is to the region downstream of the shock; for the region upstream of the shock a subscript I will be used.

The equations governing the flow field, both upstream and downstream of the shock, are the three conservation laws of mass, momentum and energy. The equation of state gives a relation among the three thermodynamic variables. After replacing the independent time variable t by two reduced space variables

$$
\tau = At, \quad \tau_1 = A_1 t = (A_1/A) \tau
$$
 (2)

^{*} Chang's derivation is summarized here in some detail, since it is only available in his thesis on a loan basis.

the governing equations are:

Moss:

$$
\frac{D p}{D \tau} + \text{div } \vec{v} = 0 \tag{3}
$$

Momentum:

$$
\frac{D \upsilon}{D \upsilon} + \text{grad } \upsilon = 0 \tag{4}
$$

Energy:

$$
\frac{D s}{D \tau} = 0 \tag{5}
$$

State:

$$
s = p - \rho \tag{6}
$$

where

$$
\frac{D}{D \tau} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} + M_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \tag{7}
$$

for flow in the upstream region, and

$$
\frac{D}{D \tau} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} + M \frac{\partial}{\partial x}
$$
 (8)

for flow in the downstream region.

The velocity field can be split into two parts, an irrotational part $\overrightarrow{u_{\ell}}$ and a rotational part $\overrightarrow{u_{\epsilon}}$, such that

$$
\text{curl } \overrightarrow{u} = 0, \quad \text{div } \overrightarrow{u} = 0 \tag{9}
$$

Then two potential fields can be introduced, a scalar potential $\,\phi\,$ and a vector potential $\, \mathsf{\overline{E}}$, defined by

$$
\overrightarrow{u_{\ell}} = -\text{grad } \phi, \overrightarrow{u_{s}} = \text{curl } \overrightarrow{E}
$$
 (10)

The governing flow equations, in terms of the potentials, are:

$$
\nabla^2 \phi - \frac{D^2 \phi}{D \tau^2} = 0 \tag{11}
$$

$$
\text{div } \vec{E} = 0, \quad \frac{D \vec{E}}{D \tau} = 0 \tag{12}
$$

$$
\frac{D \, \varepsilon}{D \, \tau} = 0 \tag{13}
$$

The three modes (sound, vorticity, and entropy) are clearly indicated by Equations (5), (6), and (7) respectively. In terms of our non-dimensional parameters, the vorticity \vec{u} is given by

$$
\vec{\omega} = A \text{ curl } \vec{\theta_s} = A \text{ curl } \vec{\theta_t} =
$$
 (14)

The scalar potential ϕ represents the sound field, with pressure and velocity perturbations

$$
p = -\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} + M \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)\phi
$$
 (15)

and

u

$$
\overrightarrow{u_{\ell}} = - \text{grad } \varphi
$$

The governing equation for ϕ offers from the conventional wave equation only by a convective term

$$
M \frac{\partial}{\partial x}
$$

and could be reduced to the conventional wave equation by a Galilean transformation equivalent to using a frame of reference moving with the unperturbed mean flow:

$$
x' = x - M \tau
$$

\n
$$
y' = y
$$

\n
$$
\tau' = \tau
$$
 (16)

With the coordinate system x^* o y^* (with the o y^* axis along the mean position of the shock) the shock configuration can be given as

$$
x^* = \Psi (y^*, \tau) \tag{17}
$$

To first order, the local perturbed velocity of the shock is $A\Psi_{\tau} (= \Psi_{\mu})$, and the deflection is $\Psi_{,x}$, where this subscripting means partial differentiation. If one isolates a small element of the shock and superposes a velocity vector of the same magnitude but opposite direction as $A\Psi_{\tau}$ to the whole flow field fore and aft of the shock, and then applies the Rankine-Hugoniot equations to the flow parameters across the shock, the downstream perturbed flow parameters can be solved explicitly in terms of the given upstream flow parameters and the local shock deflection and velocity. This solution involves rewriting the conservation equations for mass, energy, momentum normal to the shock and momentum along the shock in terms of the sum of mean flow and perturbation quantities, retaining only the first order terms, and then using the fact that the mean flow must obey the some conservation laws. The result, shown in matrix notation for clarity, is:

The subscripts + refer to flow properties immediately behind the shock; and subscripts -, to flow properties just ahead of the shock. The downstream perturbed velocity has been resolved into components Δu^* and Δv^* normal and tangential to the unperturbed shock plane respectively; u^* and v^* are their non-dimensionalized forms: $u^* = \Delta u^* / A$ and $v^* = \Delta v^* / A$.

The coefficients occurring in Equations (18) are given by

$$
\Delta_{1} = \left(\frac{\rho_{m}}{\rho_{m}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{N}{N_{1}}\right)^{2} - (y-1) \left(1 - \frac{\rho_{m}}{\rho_{m}}\right) N^{2}
$$
\n
$$
\Delta_{21} = \frac{N^{2}}{1 - N^{2}} \left\{ \left(1 - \frac{\rho_{m}}{\rho_{m}}\right) \left[1 + (y-1) N^{2}\right] + \left[1 - \left(\frac{\rho_{m}}{\rho_{m}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{N}{N_{1}}\right)^{2}\right] \right\}
$$
\n
$$
\Delta_{31} = \frac{-N}{1 - N^{2}} \left\{ \left[1 - \left(\frac{\rho_{m}}{\rho_{m}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{N}{N_{1}}\right)^{2}\right] + \left(1 - \frac{\rho_{m}}{\rho_{m}}\right) N^{2} \right\}
$$
\n
$$
\Delta_{12} = (y-1) \left(1 - \frac{\rho_{m}}{\rho_{m}}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{N_{1}^{2}} \frac{\rho_{m}}{\rho_{m}}\right) N^{2}
$$
\n
$$
\Delta_{22} = \frac{-N^{2}}{1 - N^{2}} \left\{ \left(1 - \frac{\rho_{m}}{\rho_{m}}\right) + \left(1 - \frac{1}{N_{1}^{2}} \frac{\rho_{m}}{\rho_{m}}\right) \left[1 + (y-1) \left(1 - \frac{\rho_{m}}{\rho_{m}}\right) N^{2} \right] \right\}
$$
\n
$$
\Delta_{32} = \frac{N}{1 - N^{2}} \left\{ \left[1 - \left(\frac{\rho_{m}}{\rho_{m}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{N}{N_{1}}\right)^{2}\right] + \gamma \left(1 - \frac{\rho_{m}}{\rho_{m}}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{N_{1}^{2}} \frac{\rho_{m}}{\rho_{m}}\right) N^{2} \right\}
$$
\n
$$
\Delta_{13} = (y-1) \left(1 - \frac{\rho_{m}}{\rho_{m}}\right)^{2} \frac{N^{2}}{N_{1}}
$$
\n
$$
\Delta_{24} = -\frac{N}{1 - N^{2}} \left\{ \left(1 - \frac{\rho_{m}}{\rho_{m}}\right)^{2} \left(2 + (y-1) \left(1 - \frac
$$

(19)

$$
\pi_{11} = - (\gamma - 1) \left(1 - \frac{P_{1m}}{P_m} \right)^2 \left(\frac{P_m}{P_{1m}} \right) N
$$
\n
$$
\pi_{21} = \frac{-N}{1 - N^2} \left(1 - \frac{P_{1m}}{P_m} \right) \left[2 + (\gamma - 1) \left(1 - \frac{P_m}{P_{1m}} \right) N^2 \right]
$$
\n
$$
\pi_{31} = \frac{1}{1 - N^2} \left(1 - \frac{P_{1m}}{P_m} \right) \left[1 + N^2 + (\gamma - 1) \left(1 - \frac{P_m}{P_{1m}} \right) N^2 \right]
$$
\n
$$
\pi_{41} = \left(\frac{P_m}{P_{1m}} - 1 \right) N
$$
\n(19)\nCont.

$$
\pi_{41} = \left(\frac{P_m}{P_{lm}} - 1\right) N \tag{19}
$$

With N , and N the Mach numbers upstream and downstream of an equivalent normal shock:

$$
N_1 = M_1 \sin \epsilon, \quad N = M \sin \beta \tag{20}
$$

Since all the coefficients Λ and π are functions only of N_1 , N and the density ratio p/p_{im} , then for any given value of γ they are only functions of the shock strength x :

$$
x = P_m / P_{lm}
$$
 (21)

Thus the obliqueness of the shock, or dependence on the shock angle β , enters only in termsinvolving $\mathsf{\Psi}^{\vphantom{*}}_{\vphantom{*}}$, the local shock inclination. It may also be noted that the system of Equations (19), containing one more unknown than the numbe of equations, is insoluble without the addition of another relation involving the shock configuration.

From the governing equation of the entropy mode, it can be seen that an arbitrary function in the form of a plane wave is a possible solution:

$$
s_{1} = s_{1} \left[\ell_{1} M_{1} \frac{A_{1}}{A} \tau - \ell_{1} X_{1} + m_{1} Y_{1} \right]
$$
 (22)

where δ is the inclination of the normal to the entropy wave front with respect to the main flow velocity U_1 upstream of the shock, and

İ

$$
\hat{\boldsymbol{\ell}}_1 \equiv \cos \delta \,, \quad m_1 \equiv \sin \delta \tag{23}
$$

This will be useful later on when the object is to synthesize a random field of entropy disturbances from such inonochromatic spectral components.

The incoming disturbance drifts along the shock at a speed^{*}

$$
C_{s} = \frac{\cos \delta}{\cos (\delta - \epsilon)} U_{1}
$$
 (24)

so that the flow pattern of the incoming disturbance appears stationary to an observer moving along the shock at this speed, and in such a reference frame the downstream flow field appears time independent. That is, with respect to the reference frame x^1 , y^1 , τ^1 obtained from the following Galilean transformation, the downstream flow solution is a function of x' and y' only:

$$
x^* = x'
$$

\n
$$
y^* = y' + \frac{C}{A} \tau
$$

\n
$$
\tau = \tau'
$$
 (25)

This transformation is equivalent to superposing on the whole flow field a velocity - C_{s} ; to an observer affixed to this moving coordinate system the downstream main flow has an apparent velocity $\mathbin{\mathsf{U}}_{\mathsf{e}}$, which is the vectorial sum (Figure 2)

$$
U_{\mathbf{e}} = U + (-C_{\mathbf{s}})
$$
 (26)

and which has the magnitude and inclination α with respect to the main flow given by

$$
\frac{C}{\sin \alpha} = \frac{U}{\sin \left(\alpha - \beta\right)} = \frac{U_e}{\sin \beta}
$$
 (27)

 * One will note that this fails at (δ – ϵ) = $\pi/2$, i .e., where the oncoming entropy wave is paralial to the shock, and this special case is treated below in Section 2.1.4.

11

The vorticity and entropy trajectories are along the velocity vector U_e , and the system of governing equations can be simplified by rotating the coordinate axes along and normal to this direction. The problem has an effective Mach number $M_e = U_e/A$ and its corresponding effective Mach angle $\mu_e = \arcsin (1/M_e)$. This new reference frame X O Y is specified by

$$
\begin{bmatrix} X \ Y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sin (\alpha - \beta) & -\cos (\alpha - \beta) \\ \cos (\alpha - \beta) & \sin (\alpha - \beta) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x' \\ y' \end{bmatrix}
$$
 (28)

In the reference frame the system of governing equations becomes

Mass:

$$
M_{e} \frac{\partial p}{\partial X} + \frac{\partial U}{\partial X} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial Y} = 0
$$

Momentum:

$$
M_e \frac{\partial U}{\partial X} + \frac{\partial p}{\partial X} = 0
$$

$$
M_e \frac{\partial V}{\partial X} + \frac{\partial p}{\partial Y} = 0
$$
 (29)

Energy:

$$
\frac{\partial S}{\partial X} = 0
$$

for X sin (α - β) + Y cos (α - β) > 0

The components of perturbed velocity U and V in the XOY reference frame are related to the components u^* and v^* in the original shock - affixed coordinate system by:

$$
\begin{bmatrix} u^* \\ v^* \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sin (\alpha - \beta) & \cos (\alpha - \beta) \\ -\cos (\alpha - \beta) & \sin (\alpha - \beta) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} U \\ V \end{bmatrix}
$$
 (30)

In the coordinate frame XOY and restricting our interest to the entropy mode as the only upstream disturbance, the boundary conditions at the shock can be written as

$$
\begin{bmatrix}\ns_+ \\
p_+ \\
q_+ \\
\hline\n\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}\n\Delta_{11} \\
\Delta_{21} \\
\Delta_{31} & \sin (\alpha - \beta) \\
\Delta_{31} & \cos (\alpha - \beta)\n\end{bmatrix}
$$
\n
$$
V_+ \begin{bmatrix}\n\pi & (M \cos \beta - C_s/A) \\
\pi & (M \cos \beta - C_s/A) \\
\pi & (M \cos \beta - C_s/A) \sin (\alpha - \beta) - \pi & \cos (\alpha - \beta) \\
\pi & (M \cos \beta - C_s/A) \sin (\alpha - \beta) - \pi & \cos (\alpha - \beta)\n\end{bmatrix}
$$
\n
$$
= \begin{bmatrix}\n\pi & (M \cos \beta - C_s/A) \\
\pi & (M \cos \beta - C_s/A) \cos (\alpha - \beta) + \pi & \sin (\alpha - \beta) \\
\pi & (M \cos \beta - C_s/A) \cos (\alpha - \beta) + \pi & \sin (\alpha - \beta)\n\end{bmatrix}
$$
\n(31)

at $X \sin (\alpha - \beta) + Y \cos (\alpha - \beta) = 0$

I

I

I

I

From the Y-component of the momentum equation,

$$
V = \phi_{Y}
$$

\n
$$
P = -M_{e} \phi_{X}
$$
 (32)

After the elimination of U, V, and p, a governing equation for the potential ϕ results:

$$
(M_e^2 - I) \phi_{XX} - \phi_{YY} = 0 \tag{33}
$$

which is hyperbolic or elliptic depending on whether $M_e > 1$ or $M_e < 1$. Physically, this means that when $M_e > 1$ the sound field generated at a fixed point is affected only by a localized distortion of the shock; but in the case $M_e \leq 1$ it is affected by the whole shock configuration, and we must expect the subsonic case to involve an integral equation. Analogous to the classical wavy wall problem, the resulting pressure waves propagate downstream along a characteristic with cc.istant amplitude for the supersonic regime $(M_e > 1)$; but in the subsonic case (M_e < 1) the pressure disturbance amplitude diminishes with distance from the shock, part of the disturbance energy being fed bcck into the shock.

2.1.1 The Supersonic Case, $M_e > 1$

For $M_e > 1$, the governing equation for ϕ in the XOY reference frame reduces to a simple wave equation, which is also obeyed by the flow parameters p and V. By eliminating U between the equations of continuity and momentum, one

obtains a pair of wave equations in terms of V and q = - (cos
$$
\mu_e
$$
) p:
\n
$$
\begin{bmatrix}\n\frac{\partial}{\partial X} & -\tan^{-1}e & \frac{\partial}{\partial Y} \\
\tan \mu_e & \frac{\partial}{\partial Y} & -\frac{\partial}{\partial X}\n\end{bmatrix}\n\begin{bmatrix}\nq \\
V\n\end{bmatrix} = 0
$$
\n(34)

for $X \sin(\alpha - \beta) + Y \cos(\alpha - \beta) > 0$

and subject to the boundary condition at the shock:

$$
\begin{bmatrix} q \\ V \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -\Lambda_{21} & \cos \mu_e \\ \Lambda_{31} & \cos (\alpha - \beta) \\ \frac{\Lambda_{31}}{1} & \cos (\alpha - \beta) \end{bmatrix} s_{-} + \begin{bmatrix} -\pi_2 & (M \cos \beta - C_s/A) \cos \mu_e \\ \pi_1 & (M \cos \beta - C_s/A) \cos (\alpha - \beta) + \pi_{31} \sin(\alpha - \beta) \\ \vdots & \vdots \end{bmatrix}
$$

$$
\times \sin (\alpha - \beta) \Psi_y
$$
 (35)

ct $X \sin(\alpha - \beta) + Y \cos(\alpha - \beta) = 0$.

u

n

The field of characteristics associated with these wave equations are given by $X - Y$ cot $\mu_{\rm e}$ = constant and $X + Y$ cot $\mu_{\rm e}$ = constant, since the Riemann invariants along these lines are $(q - V)$ and $(q + V)$. The solutions to the wave equations (34), therefore, are:

$$
q = F_1 (X - Y \cot \mu_e) + F_2 (X + Y \cot \mu_e)
$$

$$
V = - F_1 (X - Y \cot \mu_e) + F_2 (X + Y \cot \mu_e)
$$
 (36)

Only one of the two functions F_1 or F_2 represents sound waves propagating downstream. In the case of a normal shock ($\beta = \pi/2$) it is F_1 , and in the case of an oblique shock the choice depends on the magnitude of C_s , the trace velocity of the entropy wave along the shock. Referring to Figure 2, when C_s is on the lower segment (below the first intersection of the shock and the sonic circle), F_2 is to be taken; when C_s is on the upper segment $(C_s \ge C_s^*)$ e) then $\mathsf F_1^+$ is to be taken.Whenever $\, {\sf C}_{\sf s}\,$ falls between $\, {\sf C}_{\sf s}\,$ and $\, {\sf C}_{\sf s}\,$, then $^{\sf T}{\sf M}_{\sf e}$ $<\,$ 1 , a case considered later.

The boundary condition at the shock, after eliminating the shock inclination $\frac{\Psi}{V}$ gives another relationship between q and V together with the given disturbance $s_$, allowing the function F_1 or F_2 to be determined:

(a) When $C_{s} \leq C_{s}$: ^z - q = $F_2 = -T_2$ (δ) cos μ_e s - (37) (b) When $C_s \geq C_{s_1}$: $\mathcal{L} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$

$$
q = F_1 = -T_1 (\delta) \cos \mu_e s
$$
 (38)

where

$$
T_2 (6) = \frac{\Omega_2 \cos (\alpha - \beta) - \Lambda_{21} G \sin (\alpha - \beta)}{\widetilde{A} \cos (\alpha - \beta) - G \sin (\alpha - \beta) + \cos \mu_e}
$$
 (39)

$$
T_1(\delta) = \frac{\Omega_1 \cos (\alpha - \beta) - \Lambda_{21} G \sin (\alpha - \beta)}{\widetilde{A} \cos (\alpha - \beta) - G \sin (\alpha - \beta) - \cos \mu_{\alpha}}
$$
(40)

and

$$
\widetilde{A} = \pi_3 / \pi_2, \qquad B = -\pi_4 / \pi_2; \qquad
$$

$$
\Omega_1 = \Lambda_2 \widetilde{A} - \Lambda_3, \qquad \Omega_2 = \Lambda_2 B,
$$

$$
G = \frac{B}{M \cos \beta - (C_s/A)}
$$

By determining q from the appropriate equations above, and substituting this value of q back into the original equation relating the boundary conditions at the shock (35), one can find the local shock inclination $\ket{\Psi_{\omega}}$. At this point, all the required quantities are avai i able for the calculation of the downstream flow perturbat i on through Equations (31). As vorticity is preserved along streamlines, the vorticitygenerating function f (Y), which is defined by:

$$
\omega = -A \frac{df}{dY}
$$
 (41)

can also be calculated.

2.1.2 The Subsonic Case, $M_e < 1$

For $\mathcal{M}_\mathbf{a} <~\mathbf{l}$, the potential equation for ϕ reduces to the Laplace equation if the Prandt[-Glauert transformation is applied.Introduce a complex variable defined by

$$
\xi = X + i \overline{Y}
$$

where

$$
\overline{Y} = \sqrt{1 - M_{e}^{2}} Y
$$
 (42)

and any analytic function ϕ (ξ) or W (ξ) = d $\phi/d\xi$ will be a solution. $W(\xi)$ is related to the physical parameters through

$$
W(\xi) = V(X, \overline{Y}) + i \frac{\sqrt{1 - M_e^2}}{M_e} p(X, \overline{Y})
$$
 (43)

Again eliminating V between the continuity and momentum equations, one obtains a pair of Cauchy-Riemann equations:

W (ξ) = V (X,
$$
\overline{Y}
$$
) + i $\frac{\overline{W} - W_e}{M_e}$ p (X, \overline{Y}) (43)
\nn eliminating V between the continuity and momentum equations, one obtains
\nr of Cauchy-Riemann equations:
\n
$$
\frac{\partial V}{\partial X} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{Y}} \left(\frac{\sqrt{1 - M_e^2}}{M_e} - p \right)
$$
\n
$$
\frac{\partial V}{\partial \overline{Y}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial X} \left(\frac{\sqrt{1 - M_e^2}}{M_e} - p \right)
$$
\n(44)

for the region

$$
X \sin{(\alpha - \beta)} + \frac{\overline{Y}}{\sqrt{1 - M_e^2}} \cos{(\alpha - \beta)} > 0
$$

The boundary cond;tions to be satisfied by $\,$ W $(\,\xi\,)\,$ are $\,$ (a) $\,$ to remain bounded a infinity and (b) to zatisfy

$$
\sqrt{1 - M_{e}^{2}}
$$
\n
math display="block</math>

at the shock, i.e., at $X \sin (\alpha - \beta) + \sqrt{1 - M_{e}^{2}} \cos (\alpha - \beta) = 0$

It is more convenient to work with a set of coordinate axes rotated into the shock position, through the transformation

$$
\begin{bmatrix} X^* \\ Y^* \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \lambda & \sin \lambda \\ -\sin \lambda & \cos \lambda \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} X \\ Y \end{bmatrix}
$$
 (46)

where λ is defined by

$$
\cot \lambda = \sqrt{1 - M_{e}^{2}} \tan (\alpha - \beta)
$$
 (47)

and the boundary condition at the shock is now specified along $X^* = 0$.

A solution for W (ξ^*) which satisfies the boundary condition at infinity is

$$
W(\xi^*) = \frac{i}{2 \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{g(\eta)}{\xi^* - \xi_1^*} d\eta
$$
 (48)

where

$$
\xi^* = X^* + i Y^*
$$

with g (n) bounded and continuous in the half-plane $X^* \geq 0$.

Chang compares the conventional complex potential with W (ξ) = d $\phi/d\xi$ and notes that the function $g(\eta)/2\pi$ can be interpreted as the strength of a source located on the shock plane at a distance η from the origin, or can be interpreted as a dipole moment with respect to the sound field (p and V) genervted downstream.

The real and imaginary parts of W (ξ^*) are:

$$
\frac{\sqrt{1 - M_{e}^{2}}}{M_{e}} p = \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{x^{*}}{x^{*^{2}} + (Y^{*} - \eta)^{2}} g(\eta) d\eta
$$
 (49a)

$$
V = \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{(Y^* - \eta)}{X^{*^2} + (Y^* - \eta)^2} g(\eta) d\eta
$$
 (49b)

 $\ddot{}$

 which one may substitute back into the shock boundary condition, Equation (45), and obtain the following integral equation to be used in determining $g(Y)$:

$$
\frac{M_{e}}{\sqrt{1 - M_{e}^{2}}} \left\{\widetilde{A} \cos (\alpha - \beta) - G \sin (\alpha - \beta) \right\} \frac{g(Y)}{2}
$$

+ $P \left\{\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{g(\eta)}{\eta - Y^{*}} d\eta \right\} = \left\{ \Omega_{1} \cos (\alpha - \beta) - \Lambda_{21} G \sin (\alpha - \beta) \right\} S_{-}$
 (50)
bbain (50) Chang has used the fact that

$$
\lim_{X^{*} \to 0} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{X^{*} g(\eta)}{X^{*^{2}} + (Y^{*} - \eta)^{2}} d\eta = \frac{g(Y^{*})}{2}
$$
 (51)

To obtain (50) Chang has used the fact that

$$
\lim_{x^* \to 0} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{x^* g(\eta)}{x^{*^2} + (y^* - \eta)^2} d\eta = \frac{g(y^*)}{2}
$$
 (51)

and the notation " P" for Cauchy's principal value for the improper integral.

At this point, for any given set of conditions, everthing in Equation (50) is known numerically except $g(Y^*)$, which is to be found, and the principal value of the integral. Compressing Equation (50) for convenience into the form

$$
D g(Y^*) + P\left\{\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{g(\eta)}{\eta - Y^*} d\eta \right\} = C
$$
 (52)

where

$$
D = \frac{1}{2} \frac{M_e}{\sqrt{1 - M_e^2}} \left\{ \widetilde{A} \cos (\alpha - \beta) - G \sin (\alpha - \beta) \right\}
$$

and

$$
C = \left\{ \Omega_1 \cos (\alpha - \beta) - \Lambda_{21} \text{ G} \sin (\alpha - \beta) \right\} s_{-}
$$

let us find the principal value of the integral . Applying Picard's iteration method, setting g (n) = 0 in (52) requires the trivial result that g (Y^*) = 0. Setting $g(\eta)$ equal to a constant, K, gives an integral of the form

$$
\frac{K}{2\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{d\eta}{\eta-\Upsilon^*}
$$

From residue theory, and based on the boundary condition at infinity giving a closed contour, the value of the integral is $2\pi i$, giving:

$$
\frac{K}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\eta}{\eta - Y^*} = \frac{K}{2\pi} (2\pi i) = iK
$$
 (53)

Inserting this into Equation (52), since D and C are real numbers, K is complex. Equating real and imaginary parts gives two equations to solve for K_i and K_r . After retaining only the real part, the solution for $g(Y^*)$ is:

$$
g(Y^*) = \frac{DC}{1 + D^2}
$$
 (54)

Next, one may solve for the pressure perturbation immediately downstream of the shock (at $X^* = 0$) from Equation (49a). Utilizing the limit value of the integral

as
$$
X^* \to 0
$$
 as given by (51), Equation (49a) becomes:
\n
$$
P)_{x^* = 0} = \frac{M_e}{\sqrt{1 - M_e^2}} = \frac{g(Y^*)}{2}
$$
\n(55)

Having the pressure perturbation at the shock, then the shock displacement Ψ_{v} can be found from

$$
p = \Lambda_{21} s_{-} + \pi_{21} \left(M \cos \beta - \frac{C_{s}}{A} \right) \sin (\alpha - \beta) \Psi_{y}
$$
 (56)

Having $\Psi_{\mathbf{y}}$, all the remaining downstream flow perturbations and the vorticity generating function can be calculated also.

2.1.3 The Case $M_e = 1$

At $M_e = 1$, the governing equation for the potential ϕ reduces to the parabolic form

$$
\phi_{\gamma\gamma} = 0 \tag{57}
$$

As $M_e \rightarrow 1$, the Mach angle $\mu_e \rightarrow \pi/2$, and the two characteristics coalesce into a single line, $X =$ constant. The drift velocity in a sound wave being normal to the wave front, then

$$
\phi_{\mathbf{Y}} = 0
$$

In this case one can determine the pressure field p directly, from

$$
p = -F(X) = \frac{\Omega_1 \cos (\alpha - \beta) - \Lambda_{21} G \sin (\alpha - \beta)}{\widetilde{A} \cos (\alpha - \beta) - G \sin (\alpha - \beta)}
$$
 (58)

2.1.4 Special Case of Parallel Entropy and Shock Waves

I

 \mathbf{I}

1

 \mathbf{I}

f

One may note from Equation ;24) that the foregoing derivation fails in the case of parallel shock and oncoming entropy wave (that is, for $(\delta - \epsilon) = \pi/2$), and so this case is handled separately. The oncoming entropy wave can be expressed in the form

$$
s = s \left\{ M_1 \frac{A_1}{A} (\cos \delta) \tau - x^* \right\}
$$
 (59)

For an observer moving along the shock (along the y^* axis, there is no transverse disturbance. The entire shock is struck by the entropy wave instantaneously, and the shock remains plane and simply oscillotes along the x^* axis. This is in contrast to the case ($\delta - \epsilon$) $\neq -\pi/2$, where a ripple moves aiong the shock at the trace velocity C_5 . Hence $\Psi_y^* = 0$ in Equation (18), and it follows that $v^* = 0$, so that the flow field downstream of the shock is one-dimensional. Chang here makea substitution of variables:

$$
x' = x^* - N \tau
$$

$$
\tau' = \tau
$$

and rewrites the conservation equations for mass, momentum and energy accordingly, from which it can be seen that $(-p)$ and u^k form a pair of simple wave equations. Since no disturbance can propagate upstream of the shock, only the right-running wave is taken:

$$
p_{+} = u_{+} = F(\tau^{+} - x^{+})
$$
 (69)

When transformed back into the original x^* , τ coordinates, the downstream flow field is completely determined by the two functions s_+ (N $\tau - x^*$) and F $\{(1 + N) \tau -x^*\}$. From the boundary conditions at the shock (at $x^* = 0$) these functions are

$$
\frac{F}{s_{-}} = -\frac{p_{+}}{s_{-}} = \frac{v_{+}}{s_{-}} = \frac{\Lambda_{31} \pi_{21} - \Lambda_{21} \pi_{31}}{\pi_{21} - \pi_{31}}
$$
(61)

and

$$
\frac{s}{s_{-}} = \Lambda_{11} + \frac{\pi_{11} (\Lambda_{21} - \Lambda_{31})}{\pi_{21} - \pi_{31}}
$$
 (62)

If the shock displacement is also desired, it can be obtained from

l

$$
x^* = \Psi = \frac{\Lambda_{31} - \Lambda_{21}}{\pi_{21} - \pi_{31}} \int s_-(\tau) d\tau
$$
 (63)

One may note that restriction to parallel waves, with the resulting one-aimensional downstream flow field, gives an immensely simplified problem, involving only six of the fourteen transfer coefficients, Equations (14).

2.2 Numerical Results

r

The computer program described in the appendix, based on the above analysis, has been used to obtain values defining the perturbed downstream flow field resulting from the interaction of a single entropy discontinuity with a shock wave. While a number of intermediate quantities (such as the transfer coefficients, vorticity generating function, and local shock deflection) are available in the printout, only those quantities useful for engineering esrimates or for understanding of the results are presented here. They include the effective Mach number; the downstream fluctuations of entropy , pressure, and vorticity; and an alternate presentation of the pressure fluctuations referenced to free-stream dynamic pressure.

The results preserited are for two cases of practical interest: (1) Normal shocks, and (2) Oblique shocks arising from wedge flow. While it is possible to calculate a downstream peitcrbed flow field for free combinations of ϵ (the shock wave angle) and β (the angle bety seen the shock wave and the downstream mean flow velocity vector; see Figure 1¹, at each upstream Mach number there is only one value of flow deflection angle or wedge half-angle ($\epsilon - \beta$) which will produce the shock angle β . For each wedge half-angle ($\epsilon - \beta$), the lower limit of free stream Mach number has been taken as the value at which the mean flow behind the shock remains supersonic, Reference 12, and results are given from this lower limit to Mach 10. The wedge half-angles ($\epsilon - \beta$) covered in the numerical cases reported here range from 4 degrees (corresponding to o flat plate with boundary layer) to 30 degrees. This range should cover most cases of interest for external flows over high-speed aircraft and separation shocks produced by conical flares on launch vehicles.

The value of the entropy discontinuity orientation, S, has been varied from one degree (nearly normal to the free-stream flow direction, see Figure 2) to 89 degrees (nearly parallel to the free-stream flow. For parallel shock and entropy waves, $(6 - \epsilon) = \pi/2$, the general method for oblique shocks fails and these results are shown separately. For normal shocks, this is an important case, as it corresponds to temperature discontinuities norma: to the flow. Because of the bulk of the data involved, results are shown only for the extremes of the 8 range and for that region of S's giving maximum flow perturbations.

Some of the results have been plotted as functions of δ rather than M_{1} ; these graphs, in conjunction with the graphs of effective Mach number M_e , show how the flow perturbation values reach anomalous maxima at values of 8 corresponding to $M_{\rho} = 1$. This trend agrees with the single published result of Chang in Refer ence 10 . Referring to Figure 2, the occurrence of $M_e = 1$ corresponds to values of the trace velocity, C_s , of the entropy disturbance front along the shock such that the vector ${\sf C}_z$ just intersects the sonic circle. For ${\sf C}_e$ between the tvr. possible intersection points, $M_{\rm e}$ $<$ 1, and for any other values of C_s, M_e $>$ 1. As discussed above, when $\rm\ M_{\rm p}$ $<$ $\,$ 1 the generated pressure disturbance propagates with constant amplitude, but when $M_e < 1$ the amplitude decays with distance from the shock. For any given combination of ϵ and β , there is a bounded region of

the Mach number and entropy wave inclination plane where the effective Mach number is subsonic, and energy can be fed back into the shock. As an example, Figure 9 shows this boundary in terms of critical angle δ^* for $\epsilon = 50$ degrees, β = 30 degrees, corresponding to a wedge angle of 20 degress. The two branches of the boundary, δ_1^* and δ_2^* , arise from values of C_s corresponding to the two intersections of the shock and the sonic circle, Figure 2. For more accuracy in the perturbation values at $M_{\rho} = 1$, it would be desirable either to use more closely spaced input values for near the region of the peak, or to set $M_{\rm g} = 1$ and compute the value of the peak directly. In the results shown here, the peak was sometimes obtained by extrapolating the adjacent curves to intersect at the value of $M₁$ or δ known to correspond to $M_e = 1$; however, the numbers are sufficiently accurate for engineering predictions.

With 8 below used to indicate perturbation values (e.g., $\delta p = p - p_m$, where p is the mean value), and with subscripts 1 and $(-)$ used to indicate the region upstream of the shock, the perturbed flow quantities shown in the figures are defined as follows:

For the entropy fluctuations,

$$
\left(\frac{s_+}{s_-}\right) = \frac{(\delta s/C_p)_-}{(\delta s/C_p)_+} = \frac{(\delta T/T_m)_-}{(\delta T/T_m)_+}
$$
 (64)

For the pressure fluctuations,

i r

Rituals

Hardward

$$
\left(\frac{P_{+}}{s_{-}}\right) = \frac{\left(\delta p / \gamma P_{m}\right)_{+}}{\left(\delta T / T_{m}\right)_{-}}
$$
\n(65)

In this form, the fluctuating pressure magnitudes are referenced to the local mean pressure, but the upstream mean flow conditions are sometimes more conveniently known. The dynamic pressure is given by

$$
q = \frac{1}{2} \rho V^2 = \frac{\gamma}{2} \rho M^2
$$

Hence, the downstream pressure fluctuation magnitude, referenced to twice the upstream dynamic pressure is:

e, the downstream pressure fluctuation magnitude, referenced to twice the
com dynamic pressure is:

$$
\left(\frac{P_{+}}{s_{-}}\right) - \frac{\lambda}{M_{1}^{2}} = \left(\frac{\delta P}{\gamma P_{1} M_{1}^{2}}\right) / \left(\frac{\delta T}{T}\right)_{1} = \left(\frac{\delta P}{2 q_{1}}\right) / \left(\frac{\delta T}{T}\right)_{1}
$$
(66)

where χ is the shock strength (or static pressure ratio) and q_1 is the upstream dynamic pressure.

The vorticity generation is shown here in terms of the magnitude of the vector sum of \therefore a two velocity perturbation components:

$$
\frac{\text{VORT}}{s_{-}} = \frac{\sqrt{u_1^2 + v_1^2}}{s_{-}}
$$
 (67)

where

I

I

I

I

I

r

I

I

1

I

$$
v_1 = \frac{\delta v_1}{A} \quad \text{and} \quad v_1 = \frac{\delta v_1}{A} \ .
$$

measured along and normal to the x_1 axis (free-stream direction) respectively, and A is the local sonic velocity behind the shork.

It should be noted that a single value of specific heat ratios,

$$
\gamma = \frac{C}{C_v},
$$

has been used in the calculations, $y = 1.40$. For strong shocks (i.e., large upstream normal Mach number components), molecular dissociation begins to absorb part of the total energy of the flow, and the value of γ decreases slightly, affecting all the ratios of flow properties across the shock. However, this effect is not significant in the present results, since (1) in the most extreme case for the oblique shock results (Mach 10 cnd a flow deflection angle of 30 degrees) the error in the present coefficient across the shock, for example, due to use of $\gamma = 1.40$ would only be 3 percent; and (2) the flow purturbation results, shown up to Mach 20 for the normal shock case, are insensitive to Mach number for values above Mach 8.

Reviewing the trends of the results, for the special case of parallel shock and entropy wave inclination, Figure 3 shows the relative magnitude of the downstream entropy wave decreasing steadily from unity for the lowest possib!e shock strength to values below 0.03 for upstream normal components of Mach number $N_1 > 10$. . The downstream disturbances of pressure and velocity increase from zero at the lowest possible shock strength to an asymptotic value of about -0.4 at high Mach numbers. The generated pressure and velocity disturbances are of opposite sign to the oncoming temperature discontinuity; that is, a positive step increase in temperature will generate a rarefaction; and a negative change in temperature, a compression. The entire entropy wave strikes the shock wave simultaneously, giving an infinite effective Mach number M_{α} .

The reader should not attempt to compare the present numerical results for pressure and velocity perturbation with those of Morkovin, Reference 1, as his resulte are being corrected in a forthcoming corrigendum, in accordonce with Reference 13.

Continuing to the normal shock cases (taken from the computer results) Figure 4 shows the variation of effective Mach number $M_{\rm e}$ with entropy wave inclination angle δ , for upstream Mach numbers M_1 from 1.1 to 20. As δ approaches zero, M_a approaches infinity as described above. The effective Mach number decreases through the critical $M_e = 1$ within the range 60° $< 8 < 70$ ° for all these upstream Mach numbers. Judging from Chang's single numerical example, we should expect discontinuous maxima of the flow perturbation Quantities to occur near $\delta = 70^{\circ}$, and this is borne out in Fiaires 5 through 8. The values shown for $\delta = 0$ are taken from the parallel $x = e$ solution, above. For the pressure perturbotion, the results lie too close to the curve for $5^{\circ} \leq \delta \leq 30^{\circ}$ to be shown separately. A: t the results become insensitive to Mach number for $M_1 > 8$.

In the oblique shock cases, for any given shock strength and shock angle β it is possible to have two values of entropy wave inclination S which will result in a critical effective Mach number $M_e = 1$, corresponding to the two branches bounding the subsonic region, Figure 9. Depending on the wedge half-angle and upstream Mach number, there may be either one or two values of δ at which $M_e = 1$. This is apparent in Figures 10 through 12, which show the variation of M_e with δ , with upstream Mach number M_1 as a parameter, for three wedge half-angles ($\epsilon - \beta$) = 4⁰, 12° , 30° . As the upstream Mach number increases, the critical values of δ (corresponding to $M_e = 1$) shift to lower values.

I

I

s

t

 \mathbf{I}

I

i

The effect of the shock interaction on the strength of the temperature discontinuity is given in Figures 13 through 21 in terms of the ratio (s $\frac{1}{s}$ /s_). Since s₊ = (ST)/T and $s = (8T)_1/T_1$, where subscript 1 refers to the upstream conditions, then the meaning of the ratio (s_{+}/s_{-}) in terms of temperature discontinuity magnitudes and local mean static temperatures can also be expressed as:

$$
\frac{s_+}{s_-} = \frac{(\delta \space \text{T})}{(\delta \space \text{T})_1} \cdot \frac{\space \text{T}}{1} \tag{68}
$$

where T_{1}/T is the inverse static temperature ratio across the shock and always has a value less than unity.

Figures 13 through 18 show s_{\perp}/s_{\perp} plotted versus upstream Mach number up to $M_t = 4$, with a single value of δ for each figure. The peaks in the curves correspond to values of \dot{m}_1 at which the effective Mach number $M_e = 1$; and the minima (as in Figure 16), to minima in :he corresponding curves of effective Mach number. For those regions of δ where the effective Mach number is supersonic for all values of wedge half-angle, the effect of the interaction on the entropy discontinuity magnitude increases steadily with increasing wedge half-angle
(that is, with increasing shock strength) for any given upstream Mach number. When both subsonic and supersonic effective Mach numbers occur, as in Figure 14, this, simple trend no longer occurs.

In Figures 19 through 21, the results for s_{+}/s_{-} are plotted as a function of δ , one figure for each upstream Mach number, for $M_1 = 3$, 6, 10. Here the occurrence of peak values at critical values of δ is more readily apparent. As the value of the wedge half-angle increases, the magnitude of the peak corresponding to $M_e = 1$ increases and occurs at higher values of δ . For those values of $(\epsilon - \beta)$ where the curve of M_e crosses unity twice, there are two amplitude peaks; again, the amplitude minima correspond to minima in the effective Mach number curves.

The amplitude of the pressure pulse generated by the interaction is given in Figures 22 through 31, in a sequence paralleling that for the presentation of s_{+}/s_{-} . The results are shown in terms of p_{+}/s_{-} , which is defined above in Equation (79). The general trends are the some as discussed above for the entropy disturbance magnitudes, with sharp maxima occurring where $N_e = 1$. However, in the case ^t of the pressure disturbances the minima (corresponding to minimc in the curves of M_{ρ}) also have discontinuous slopes. The range of p_{+}/s_{-} encountered extends from -0.8 to +3, with the largest value of the maximum occurring near $M_1 = 3$, δ = 80 degrees, (ϵ - β) = 30 degrees.

These pressure magnitude values are also shown, in Figures 36 through 39, in a form more convenient for calculations, since the pressure perturbation values arr referenced entirely to upstream conditions, in accordance with Equation (66). These results are shown only for the extremes of the range of S and for those values of 8 corresponding to maximum pressure disturbance. It should be emphasized that for $M_a < 1$ these pressure pulse magnitudes exist only immediately behind the shock and decay thereafter.

The vorticity generation parameter, as defined above in Equation (67), is shown in Figures 32 through 35. It shows maxima with discontinuous slopes, similar to the other interaction results, with the magnitude of the peak increasing with upstream Mach number $M₁$ and with wedge half-angle (ϵ – β). The largest values of the vorticity parameter (over the range $1.1 \leq M$, ≤ 10 , $1^{\circ} \leq 8 \leq 89^{\circ}$, and $4^{\circ} \leq (\epsilon - \beta) \leq 30^{\circ}$) occurs in the vicinity of $M = 3$, $\delta = 80^{\circ}$, $(\epsilon - \beta) = 30^{\circ}$, as did the largest peaks in the pressure perturbation magnitude.

> It would be useful next -o estimate the magnitudes of pressure perturbations which might be experienced in practice. Two cases will be considered: (a) the separation shock ahead of a conical flare on a cylindrical body, as on a launch vehicle, at a low supersonic Mach number, and (b) oblique shocks on a supersonic aircraft at cruise Mach number and altitude. On the bnsis of the scant experimental results ovailab:e for temperature fluctuation magnitudes (discussed further in Section 2.3), a value of 2 percent of the total temperature is taken here.

For a separation shock ;tonding ahead of a conical flare on a cylindrical body, the shock angle β varies with free-stream Mach number only, and is nearly independent of flare angle, Reference 9. Therefore, as a first estimote, the wedge flow results given here can be used to predict the pressure perturbation, simply by taking the correct wedge half-angle to produce the equivalent shock angle at any given Mach number, Figure 40. For Mach numbers from 1 to 4, the required wedge half-angle varies from 0 to 14.6 degrees. Taking a flight condition of $M_1 = 1.2$, $h = 25,000$ ft. (corresponding to a dynamic pressure of q₁ = 800 lb /ft²), and with the appropriate wedge half-angle of (ϵ - β) = 4^C, values of

$$
\left(\frac{\delta p}{2q_1}\right) / \left(\frac{\delta T}{T}\right)_1
$$

as large as (-2) can occur.

When the temperature fluctuation magnitude is translated into a static temperature reference at this Mach number, (δ T/T), = 0.0258. Hence the pressure pulse would be $\delta\rho$ = $\,$ 82.5 lb /ft 2 , or in terms of sound pressure level , referenced to 0.0002 dynes/cm², SPL = 166 dB.

For a supersonic aircraft cruising at Mach 3 , $h = 70,000$ ft., and again taking extreme values of $(e - \beta) = 30^{\circ}$, an upstream temperature discontinuity of 0.01 referenced to the total temperature, and the value of 6 which gives the largest pressure perturbation, a value of

$$
\left(\frac{\delta p}{2q_1}\right) / \left(\frac{\delta T}{T}\right)_1
$$

as high as $(+2)$ can occur. The resulting pressure pulse has a magnitude of 144 dB, substantially lower than that for the launch vehicle case, primariIy becouse the free streom dynamic pressure is lower by an order of magnitude.

It should be emphasized that these numbers represent extreme values reached by the selection of what are probably extreme values for (δ T/T), and $\,$ δ $\,$, and that most levels encountered in practice will be lower.Further,these are instantaneous pressure pulses and not a continuous level .

3.0 TYPICAL ENTROPY FLUCTUATION MAGNITUDES

In Section 2.2, an entropy fluctuation magnitude (step amplitude) of 2 percent of the free stream (absolute) total temperature was used to make a first estimate of the downstream pressure fluctuation to be expected from entropy-shock interactions. A number of researchers have measured values of temperature or density fluctuation intensity in jets, wakes, and boundary layers (References 14 through 20); maximum values from some of these results are shown in Table 1. Caution musr be applied in interpreting these values, as not the same reference conditions were given for all the data. In general, the jet and boundary layer data are root mean square temperature fluctuations referenced to jet or free-stream total temperature, while the wake data are mostly root mean square density fluctuations referenced to local mean density in the wake, all taken by hot-wire onemometry. The data of Clay, etal ., are amplitude values estimated from Schlieren photographs.

The data foil into two magnitude categories: (a) Maximum fluctuation intensities between 15 and 40 percent for jets and wakes, and (o) maximum fluctuation intensities between 2 and 5 percent for boundary layers. There is no discernible trend with Mach number, but aside from Kistler's boundary layer data there are too few Mach number points to provide any conclusion about trends. It is difficult to imagine a trend with Mach number, however, in which the temper ture fluctuation would not asymptotically approach some fraction of a typical driving temperature difference in the flow, such as the difference between recovery temperature and wall temperature in a boundary layer or the temperature defect in a wake.

Entropy fluctuations in wakes persist for long distances downstream of the bocy, still showing significant magni +udes at 1,000 diameters. For launch vehicles, the wakes of upstream protuberances may be the most important source of strong entropy fluctuations to interact with downstream standing shocks.

Attempts to obtain large but pure entropy fluctuations (without vorticity fluctuations present) for expe, imental purposes were reported by Morkovin (Reference 2) and by Homernik (Reference 21). Morkovin used eiectically heated rods in the Johns Hopkins Supersonic Tunnel and produced entropy fluctuations domincnt over the vorticity and sound signals, but only of about 0.2 percent intensity when referenced to the total temperature. Homernik used an exploding wire to produce a temperature spot to interact with a reflected normal shock in a shock tunnel and obtained a peak density amplitude $\Delta p/p_{ref} \approx 4$ percent, where the reference density was the local condition after passage of the shock front, for a shock strength of 1 .8, corresponding to a shock Mach number of 1 .3.

Typical temperature fluctuation values for the background in wind tunnels are less than half a percent of the total temperature. For example, Reference 16 cites a measured value of 0.04 percent in the Johns Hopkins $7'' \times 11''$ supersonic (Mach i .75) tunnel . In the first few feet of the atmosphere, temperature fluctuations as large as 3 to 4 percent sometimes occur near highly heated surfaces such as airport runways.

TABLE I

f - 1

Ĺ

l.

 \mathbf{I}

 $\frac{1}{2}$

÷

 \mathbf{t}

i t

s-

 \mathbf{l}

 $\overline{}$

in promoting

ENTROPY FLUCTUATION DATA (EXTREME VALUES)

27

4.0 RANDOM FIELD OF ENTROPY DISTURBANCES INTERACTING WITH A SHOCK WAVE

According to the Fourier integral theorem, a random field can be represented as a superposition or spectrum of elementary waves. A single spectrum vave can be interpreted physically as a plane sinusoidal wave of temperature or density variation, being convected downstream at the local mean flow velocity. Before synthesizing the random field, one must consider a single harmonic component. Again following Chang, Reference 10, the description of the interaction of a single harmonic entropy wave with a shock wave is given below.

4.1 The Harmonic Components

The plane upstream entropy wave can be characterized by its amplitude R_s , its wave number ${\sf k}^{}_i$, and its inclination δ with respect to the flow velocity $\left. \bm{\mathsf{U}}^{}_i \right|$

The upstream entropy wave can be characterized by its amplitude
$$
R_s
$$
, its
imber k_1 , and its inclination δ with respect to the flow velocity U_1 :

$$
s_1 = R_s \cos k_1 \left\{ \mathbf{L}_1 M_1 \frac{A_1}{A} \tau - (\mathbf{L}_1 \times_1 + m_1 \gamma_1) \right\}
$$
(69)

where (see Figure 41)

$$
\boldsymbol{\ell}_1 = \cos \delta, \quad m_1 = \sin \delta
$$

Initially, let us restrict attention •o the special case of a normal shock. Here, some simplification occurs, since the three sets of coordinate axes $x_1 \circ y_1$, $x \circ y_2$ and x^* oy* coincide, and the unperturbed shock plane can be taken along the y axis. For the "supersonic" case $M_e > 1$ the function F_1 becomes

$$
F_1 = -\cos \mu_e \alpha_p \cos \left\{ k_1 m_1 \frac{\sin \mu_e}{\cos (\alpha + \mu_e)} (X - Y \cot \mu_e) \right\}
$$
 (70)

where

$$
a_p = \frac{\left(\frac{C_s}{A}\right) \Omega \sin \alpha - \Omega_2 \cos \alpha}{\left(\frac{C_s}{A}\right) (\lambda \sin \alpha - \cos \mu_e) - B \cos \alpha} \qquad R_s
$$

$$
\alpha = \arctan \left\{-\cot \left(\delta \frac{U_1}{U}\right)\right\}
$$

$$
\mu_e = \arcsin \left\{-\frac{\cos \alpha}{M}\right\}
$$

The local displacement of the shock is given by

$$
\Psi = \psi_{\psi} \sin(k_1 m_1 y^t) \tag{71}
$$

where

$$
k_1 m_1 b_{\psi} = \frac{\left(\frac{A_{31}}{\pi_{21}}\right) \sin \alpha - \left(\frac{A_{21}}{\pi_{21}}\right) \cos \mu_e}{\left(\frac{c}{\Delta_{11}}\right) \left(\frac{c}{\Delta_{11}}\right) \left(\frac{c}{\Delta_{11}}\right) \left(\frac{c}{\Delta_{11}}\right) - B \cos \alpha} \cdot R_s
$$

The vorticity - generating function $f(Y)$ and the entropy are given by:

$$
f(Y) = \left(a_{ij} + \frac{a_{p}}{M_{e}} \right) \cos \left(k m_{i} Y / \cos \alpha \right)
$$
 (72)

and

$$
s(Y) = a_s \cos(k_1 m_1 Y/\cos \alpha) \tag{73}
$$

where

$$
a_{ij} = \left\{ \widetilde{A} \left(\frac{C_s}{A} \right) \cos \alpha + B \sin \alpha \right\} \pi_{2}, (k_1 m_1 b_{ij}) - \Lambda_{31} R_s \cos \alpha
$$

and

$$
a_{s} = A_{11} R_{s} - \pi_{11} \left(\frac{C_{s}}{A} \right) (k_{1} m_{1} b_{\psi})
$$

For the "subsonic" case $M_e < 1$, the function $g(\eta)$ is given by

$$
\frac{g(n)}{2 \pi} = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{\sqrt{1 - M_{e}^{2}}}{M_{e}} \left\{ o_{p} \cos \left(k_{1} m_{1} \frac{n}{\sqrt{1 - M^{2}}} \right) + b_{p} \sin \left(k_{1} m_{1} \frac{n}{\sqrt{1 - M^{2}}} \right) \right\}
$$
(74)

where

$$
\alpha_{p} = \left\{\begin{array}{ccc} \widetilde{A} & \frac{C_{s}}{A} & \sin \alpha - B \cos \alpha \\ \frac{C_{s}}{A} & \sin \alpha - B \cos \alpha \end{array}\right\} \times \left\{\begin{array}{ccc} \frac{C_{s}}{A} & \sin \alpha - \frac{C_{2}}{A} \cos \alpha \\ \frac{C_{s}}{A} & \sin \alpha - B \cos \alpha \end{array}\right\} + \frac{1 - M_{e}^{2}}{M_{e}^{2}} \left(\frac{C_{s}}{A}\right)^{2} + R_{s}^{2}
$$

and

 $\ddot{}$

I

I

1

I

 \mathbf{I} 不定

ſ

 \blacksquare i
Refer

Primara

The 4-Meeting

printeratakan

l

计转换 I

$$
b_p = \frac{Q_2 \cos \alpha - Q_1 \frac{S}{A} \sin \alpha}{\left(\frac{C_s}{A} - \frac{S}{\sin \alpha} - B \cos \alpha\right)^2 + \frac{1 - M_e^2}{M_e^2} \left(\frac{C_s}{A}\right)^2} \times \frac{1 - M_e^2}{M_e} \cdot \frac{C_s}{A} \cdot R_s
$$

The local shock displacement is

$$
\Psi = a_{\psi} \cos(k_1 m_1 y') + b_{\psi} \sin(k_1 m_1 y') \tag{75}
$$

where

$$
k_1 m_1 \alpha_\psi = \frac{1}{\pi_{21}} \frac{\Omega_2 \cos \alpha - \Omega_1 \frac{C_s}{A} \sin \alpha}{\left(\frac{C_s}{A} \frac{C_s}{A} \sin \alpha - B \cos \alpha\right)^2 + \frac{1 - M_e^2}{M_e^2} \left(\frac{C_s}{A}\right)^2} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{1 - M_e^2}}{M_e} \cdot R_s
$$

and

$$
k_{1}m_{1}b_{\psi} = \frac{1}{\frac{M_{21}}{A}} \frac{\frac{C_{s}}{A} - \frac{1-M_{e}^{2}}{M_{e}^{2}} + \frac{M_{31} \sin \alpha}{A} \left\{\frac{C_{s}}{A} - \frac{S_{s}}{A} \sin \alpha - B \cos \alpha\right\}}{\left\{\frac{C_{s}}{A} - \frac{S_{s}}{A} \sin \alpha - B \cos \alpha\right\}^{2} + \frac{1-M_{e}^{2}}{M_{e}^{2}} \left(\frac{C_{s}}{A}\right)^{2}} \cdot R_{s}
$$

Finally, the vorticity and entropy generating functions are given, respectively, by

$$
f(Y) = \left(a_{U} + \frac{a_{P}}{M_{e}}\right) \cos\left(k_{1}m_{1} - \frac{Y}{\cos\alpha}\right) - \left(b_{U} + \frac{b_{P}}{M_{e}}\right) \sin\left(k_{1}m_{1} - \frac{Y}{\cos\alpha}\right) \qquad (76)
$$

and

 \mathbf{i}

 $\frac{1}{2}$ ŧ

 $\frac{1}{2}$ ł

 μ -masses are well as

ł paantee

i
Waliomanini

Magnetown

announce
L

Material Controlled

person comments

$$
s(Y) = a_{s} \cos\left(k_{1}m_{1} \frac{Y}{\cos\alpha}\right) - b_{s} \sin\left(k_{1}m_{1} \frac{Y}{\cos\alpha}\right)
$$

where

$$
a_{s} = A_{11} R_{s} - \pi_{11} \left(\frac{C_{s}}{A} \right) (k_{1} m_{1} b_{\psi})
$$

$$
b_{s} = \pi_{11} \left(\frac{C_{s}}{A} \right) (k_{1} m_{1} a_{\psi})
$$

By rewriting the solution in the original physical coordinates, $\mathbf{i} \ldotp \mathbf{e} \ldotp ,\; \mathbf{the} \; \mathbf{x} ,\; \mathbf{y} ,\; \mathbf{\tau}$ reference frame, the three modes can be expressed explicitly. For $\mathsf{M}_{\mathtt{a}}>1$,

$$
p = a_p \cos k_p \left\{ (1 + N \cos \theta_p) \tau - (\ell_p x + m_p y) \right\}
$$
 (77)

$$
s = a_s \cos k \left\{ \boldsymbol{\ell} M \boldsymbol{\tau} - (\boldsymbol{\ell} \times + m \boldsymbol{\gamma}) \right\}
$$
 (78)

$$
\frac{\omega}{A} = \left\{ -k \left(\sigma_{U} + \frac{p}{M_{e}} \right) \right\} \sin k \left\{ \ell M \tau - (\ell \times + m \gamma) \right\}
$$
(79)

where

$$
k_p = k_1 \left(\frac{m_1}{m_p} \right)
$$

$$
\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{p}} = \cos \theta_{\mathbf{p}} = \sin (\alpha + \mu_{\mathbf{e}})
$$

$$
k = k_{1} (m_{1}/m)
$$

$$
\mathbf{L} = \sin \alpha
$$

For $M_e < 1$, the three modes are given by

$$
p = e^{-x/d} \left\{ a_p \cos k_p \left[\int_0^{\tau} \frac{1}{r} M \cos \theta_p \right] \tau - \left(\ell_p x + m_p y \right) \right\} + b_p \sin k_p \left[\left(1 + M \cos \theta_p \right) \tau - \left(\ell_p x + m_p y \right) \right] \right\}
$$
(80)

$$
s = a_{s} \cos k \left[\mathbf{\ell} M \tau - (\mathbf{\ell} x + m y) \right] - b_{s} \sin k \left[\mathbf{\ell} M \tau - (\mathbf{\ell} x + m y) \right]
$$
 (81)

$$
\frac{\omega}{A} = -k \left\{ \left(\alpha_{U} + \frac{\alpha_{p}}{M_{e}} \right) \sin k \left[\ell M \tau - (\ell x + m y) \right] + \left(b_{U} + \frac{b_{p}}{M_{e}} \right) \cos k \left[\ell M \tau - (\ell x + m y) \right] \right\}
$$
(82)

where

l

1

I

Î

I

Į

l

1

I

l

l

Ĭ

$$
k_{p} = k_{1} (m_{1}/m_{p})
$$
\n
$$
\ell_{p} = \frac{\left(\frac{M^{2}}{1 - M^{2}}\right) \tan \alpha}{\left[1 + \left(\frac{M^{2}}{1 - M^{2}} \tan \alpha\right)^{2}\right]^{3}/2}
$$
\n
$$
k = k_{1} (m_{1}/m)
$$
\n
$$
\ell = \sin \alpha
$$

and

d =
$$
\frac{1 - M^2}{k_1 M_1 (1 - M_e^2)^{1/2}}
$$

The amplitudes of the wave generated at a given value of the shock strength are functions of δ , the inclination of the incoming disturbance. For $M_e < 1$, the amplitudes of the flow parameters refer to values immediately after the shock.

Now that the expressions are available for the downstream flow perturbations due to the interaction of a single Fourier component of entropy with a normal shock, the corresponding random field can be constructed. The method follows that used

by Ribner to treat the case of a convected field of vorticity interacting with a shock. Just as Ribner used an aggregate of vorticity waves with a suitable distribution of amplitudes among the various wave lengths and inclinations to represent a turbulent field, so can an aggregate of entropy waves represent a random field of entropy spots.

4.2 The Random Field

₹.

i

Following Ribner, (Reference 7), expressions are next derived for Δ are root-mec n -square amplitude of the downstream pressure field generated by a random field of entropy woves (of given r.m.s. amplitude) interacting with a normal shock.

In general vector notation, and referring to any general physical quantity η , an elementary spectrum wave (harmonic component) is also expressible as:

$$
d\eta = d Z_{\eta} e^{-i \frac{k}{2} \cdot x}
$$
 (83)

where k is the wavenumber vector directed normal to the wavefronts and of magnitude $2 \pi/\lambda$ (Figure 41), and d Z_n is the complex amplitude of the wave. When η stands for a scalar quantity (such as temperature, density, entropy, or pressure), these are simple scalar waves.

The mean square level of a random disturbance η is

$$
\overline{\eta^2} = \int [\eta \eta] d\underline{k} \tag{84}
$$

where $\lceil \eta \rceil$ is the spectral density, and $\lceil \eta \rceil$ is in turn related to the complex amplitude d Z_{η} (\underline{k}) and its complex conjugate by:

$$
[\eta \eta] d\underline{k} = \overline{d} \overline{Z_{\eta}^{*} (\underline{k}) dZ_{\eta} (\underline{k})}
$$
 (85)

For the specific case cf random upstream entropy disturbances generating downstream pressure disturbances, the oncoming entropy wave is expressible as

$$
ds = d Zs e
$$
 (86)

and the downstream pressure disturbance as

$$
dp = d Z_p e^{-i \underline{k} \cdot \underline{x}}
$$
 (87)

and the direction of the wavevector for pressure is normal to the wavefronts of sound. The pressure wave amplitudes and entropy wave amplitudes are connected by the transfer function d p = d Z_p e $\frac{i \underline{k} \cdot \underline{x}}{2}$ (87)
direction of the wavevector for pressure is normal to the wavefronts of souns
sure wave amplitudes and entropy wave amplitudes are connected by the tries
d Z_p = P_s d Z_s (88)
P

$$
d Z_p = P_d Z_s \tag{88}
$$

where P_s is the single-wave transfer function between entropy and pressure, which is wavenumber dependent.

'ihe desired r.m.s. pressure fluctuation will be given by

$$
\overline{p^2} = \int [p p] d\underline{k} \tag{89}
$$

Through Equations (85) and (88),

$$
\overline{p^2} = \int [p p] d\underline{k}
$$
\nthe Equations (85) and (88),

\n
$$
[p p] d\underline{i} = \left[P_s \right]^2 \overline{d Z_s^* d Z_s}
$$
\n(90)

and

i

s

i

t

t

I

I

 \blacksquare

I

1.

I

1

1

1

$$
\overline{p^2} = \int \left| P_s \right|^2 \left[s s \right] d \underline{k} \tag{91}
$$

This relates the r.m.s. pressure fluci jation to the spectral density of the oncoming entropy field and the wavenumber-dependent transfer function. For an isotropic field of oncoming entropy waves (i .e ., a scalar field with spherical symmetry), the spectral density has the general form Is shown that the sense of the contact the sense of
$$
[ss] = k2 F(k)
$$
 (92)

where $F(k)$ is an arbitrary function of k that will finally cancel cut in forming ratios.

Going over the spherical polar coordinates, the wavenumber components are

$$
k_1 = -k \sin \delta
$$

\n
$$
k_2 = k \cos \delta \cos \phi
$$

\n
$$
k_3 = k \cos \delta \sin \phi
$$

and

t

ÿ

l

Ĩ

l

$$
d k = \frac{2}{K} \cos \delta d k d \phi d \delta
$$
 (93)

Then_ the r.m.s. pressure fluctuation becomes

$$
dk = \frac{1}{2} \cos \delta \, dk \, d\varphi \, d\delta \qquad (93)
$$
\n
$$
d\varphi = r.m.s. \text{ pressure fluctuation becomes}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{1}{p^2} = \int_{0}^{\infty} k^2 F(k) \, dk \int_{0}^{2\pi} d\varphi \int_{-\pi/2}^{+\pi/2} \left| P_s \right|^2 \cos \delta \, d\delta \qquad (94)
$$
\n
$$
d\varphi = \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\pi/2} k^2 F(k) \, dk \int_{0}^{2\pi} d\varphi \int_{-\pi/2}^{+\pi/2} d\varphi \int_{-\pi/2}^{+\pi/2} d\varphi \, d\varphi \int_{-\pi/2}^{+\pi/2} d\varphi \, $$

Also, the :.m.s. entropy fluctuation is

$$
\overline{s^2} = \int [s s] d\underline{k} = \int_0^\infty k^2 F(k) dk \int_0^{2\pi} d\varphi \int_{-\pi/2}^{+\pi/2} \cos \delta d\delta
$$
 (95)

Therefore, the ratio of r.m.s. pressure fluctuation to r.m.s. entropy fluctuation produced by an isotropic field of entropy waves is

$$
\overline{p^2}/\overline{s^2} = \int_0^{\pi/2} |P_s|^2 \cos \delta \ d\delta \qquad (96)
$$

including entropy waves of all wavelengths and orientations.

The required single wavenumber transfer function was defined, in Equation (\mathcal{C} 3), as the ratio d $Z_p/d Z_s$, the ratio of the complex amplitude of c single harmonic pressure wave to the complex amplitude of the single harmonic entropy wave that produced it. Its absolute value $\begin{pmatrix} P_1 \\ P_2 \end{pmatrix}$ will be obtained from section 4.1. The absolute value of the upstream entropy wave amplitude is obtained from Equation (69); and those of the downstream pressure fluctuation, From Equation (80) for the subsonic case, and from Equation (77) for the supersonic case. It must be remembered that the point of transition from subsonic to supersonic case is also a func'ior± of vector wovenumber k through the wave inclination δ .

For the supersonic case, $M_e > 1$, the transfer function is:

$$
P_{s} \Big|^{2} = \frac{|p|^{2}}{|s|^{2}} = \left(\frac{q}{R_{s}}\right)^{2}
$$
 (97)

and for the subsonic case, M_{ϵ} < 1, the transfer function is:

$$
\left| P_{s} \right|^{2} = \frac{\left| p \right|^{2}}{\left| s \right|^{2}} = \left(\frac{q}{R_{s}} \right)^{2} + \left(\frac{b}{R_{s}} \right)^{2} \tag{98}
$$

where the amplitude components a_p/R_s and b_p/R_s are given for the supersonic case by:

$$
\frac{\sigma_p}{R_s} = \frac{\left(\frac{C_s}{A}\right)\Omega_1 \sin \alpha - \Omega_2 \cos \alpha}{\left(\frac{C_s}{A}\right) (\widetilde{A} \sin \alpha - \cos \mu_e) - B \cos \alpha}
$$
\n(99)

and for the subsonic case by:

THE REAL

ŧ

1

ŧ

l

$$
\frac{\sigma_p}{R_s} = \left\{\widetilde{A} \begin{array}{c} C_s \\ \widetilde{A} \end{array} \sin \alpha - B \cos \alpha \right\} \frac{\Omega_1}{\widetilde{A} \begin{array}{c} \frac{C}{\widetilde{A}} \sin \alpha - \Omega_2 \cos \alpha \\ \left\{\widetilde{A} \begin{array}{c} \frac{C}{\widetilde{A}} \sin \alpha - B \cos \alpha \end{array}\right\}^2 + \frac{1 - M_e^2}{M_e^2} \left(\frac{C_s}{A}\right)^2 \end{array} \right\}
$$
(100)

$$
\frac{b_{p}}{R_{s}} = \frac{\Omega_{2} \cos \alpha - \left(\frac{C_{s}}{A}\right) \Omega_{1} \sin \alpha}{\left[\tilde{A}\left(\frac{C_{s}}{A}\right) \sin \alpha - B \cos \alpha\right]^{2} + \frac{1 - M_{e}^{2}}{M_{e}^{2}}\left(\frac{C_{s}}{A}\right)^{2}}
$$

x $\frac{\sqrt{1 - M_{e}^{2}}}{M_{e}}\left(\frac{C_{\dot{\alpha}}}{A}\right)$ (101)

From the complexity of the expressions for the transfer functions in both Mach number regions (mainly the form of their lependence on wave inclination 8), as well as the fact that the boundary of validity of the two expressions also depunds on 5, then a numerical integration of Equation (96) will be involved in applying these expressions

to obtain numerical results. Qualitative conclusions which may be drawn from the equations themselves include:

I

I

t

I

s

J

- 1) When $M_e > 1$, the waves generated downstream are in phase with the incoming disturbance, but when $M_{\rm e} < 1$ there is a phase shift across the shock.
- 2) When $M_e > 1$, the pressure waves generated have a permanent waveform, **but when Me < 1 they decay with distance.** At a fixed value of shock strength the absorption distance d is a function of the inclination of the oncoming disturbance δ , larger values of δ (or oncoming wave fronts more nearly normal to the shock) corresponding to shorter absorption distances. Increasing shock strengths also result in increasing decay rate with distance from the shock.

5.0 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The strength of the pressure disturbance genarated by an entropy disturbance interacting with a shock wave depends strongly upon the inclination angles of the entropy wavefront and of the shock. For every flc \vee condition there is a region of entropy disturbance angles for which an "effective Mach number" in the flow is subsonic, and the pressure wave amplitudes decay with distance from the shock, port of the disturbance energy being fed back into the shock. For all other entropy disturbance angles, the "effective Mach number" is supersonic and the pressure disturbance propagates at constant amplitude. Entropy d'isturbar,ce angles encountered in practice will depend upon :he source of the disturbances, but will most often be a mixture of a!I angles, so that part of the generated pressure field sill propagate as acoustic waves while the remainder decays with distance.

Example estimates, based on flight conditions typical for launch vehicles and supersonic cruise vehicles, and using entropy disturbance inputs typical for boundary layers, show pressure fluctuation magnitudes larger than for boundary layer noise and equal to those produced by shock-turbulence interactions. Therefore, the entropyshock interaction can cause serious levels of fluctuating pressure and should be explored further.

The large density fluctuations measured in supersonic wakes, and the persistence of the density fluctuctions over large downstream distances, make wakes of upstream protuberances on launch vehicles particularly suspect if there are standing shocks downstream. Since several of the trends and conclusions in Reference 2 are sukiect to revision, the possibility of resonant osciliotions of standing shocks (driven by entropy-shock interactions and by acoustic reflections between the body and the shock) should be re-examined.

Regarding entropy fluctuations in jets, the citea data (for a subsonic, heated jet) showed maximum values almost on order of magnitude larger than those used in the sample predictions. In a hot, supersonic rocket exhaust with oblique shocks, the shock-entropy interaction could be a major source of noise. Typical entropy fluctuation magnitudes and shock conditions for rocket exhausts should be applied to **¹** estimate the importance of this interaction as a noise source, compared to the strengths of other sources present .

As foundation for further assessment of the pressure ii: ds from shock-entropy interactions, the analysis methods should be based on improved models of the actual flows. The first step would be to complete the random entropy field case, since a random field of entropy spots could then be represented by a field synthesized from all wavelengths and orientations of harmonic components.

Previous work has concentrated upon one of the three modes at a time (entropy, vorticity, sound) interacting with a shock, the present being no exception. Yet natural flows contain all three modes, with one sometimes dominant; and in an experiment it is difficult to generate significant entropy or vorticity fluctuations without also generating the other. The foundation exists (in Reference 2) for obtaining the downstream perturbed flow fieid from an upstream flow containing all three modes, without superposing individual solutions. Experimental data suggest that temperature disturbances are negatively correlated with velocity disturbances. Then the combined effect of temperature and velocity fluctuations interacting with a shock would not be a simple addition of the results for each, but must consider the cross-terms arising in the interaction. The combined result for a spatially homogeneous field of temperature and vorticity discontinuities, plus sound waves, interacting with \circ shock should be determined.

I

REFERENCES

- 1. Morkovin, M. V., "Note on the Assessment of Flow Disturbances at a Blunt Body Traveling at Supersonic Speeds Owi,.g to Flow D-sturbances in Free Stream," J. Applied Mech., ASME Trans. No 60-APM-10 (1960).
- 2. Morkovin, M. V., "On Supersonic Wind Tunnels with low Free-Stream Disturbances," J. Applied Mech., ASME Trans. No. 59-APM-10 (1959).
- 3. Adams, M. C., "On Shock Waves in Inhomogeneous Flow," J. Aero. Sci . 16, 11, 685-690 (1949) .
- 4. Lighthill, M. J., "The Flow Behind a Stationary Shock," Phil. Mag. 40, Ser. 7, No. 301, 214-220 (1949) .
- Chu, B. T., "On Weak Interaction of Strong Shock and Mach Waves Generated Ξ. Downstream of a Shock," J. Aero. Sci. 19, 7 (1952).

I

I

I

I

- 6. Ribner, H. S., "Convection of a Pattern of Vorticity Through a Shock Wave," NACA Report 1164 (1954) .
- 7. Ribner, H. S., "Shock-Turbulence Interaction and the Generation of Noise," NACA Report 1233 (1955) .
- 8. Moore, F. K., "Unsteady Oblique Interaction of a Shock Wave with a Plane Disturbance," NACA Report 1165 (1954) .
- 9. Lawson, M. V., "The Fluctuatinq Pressures Due to Shock Interactions with Turbulence and Sound," NASA CR-77313 (June 1966) .
- 10. Chang, C.-T., "On the Interaction of Weak Disturbances and a Plane Shock of Arbitrary Strength in a Perfect Gas," Ph.D. Thesis, Johns Hopkins University (1955) .
- 11. Chang, C. T., "Interaction of a Plane Shock and Oblique Plane Disturbances with Special Reference to Entropy Waves," J. Aero. Sci. 24, 675-682 (1957).
- 12. "Equations, Tables, and Charts for Compressible Flow," NACA Report 1135 (1953) .
- 13. Personal Communiccrion, E. Cuadra .o M. V. Morkovin (August 3, 1967).
- 14. Corrsin, S., and M. S. Uberoi, 'Further Experiments on the Flow and Heat Transfer in a Heated Turbulent Air Jet," NACA Report 998, 1950. (Supersedes NACA TN 1865, 1949) .
- hovasznay, L.S.G., "Turbulence in Supersonic Flow," Jour. Aero Sciences 20, 10 $15.$ 657-682, October 1953.
- $16.$ Kovasznay, L.S.G., "Interaction of a Shock Wave and Turbulence," Proc. Heat Transfer and Fluid Mechanics Inst., 1955.

Britannia

- Kistler, A. L., "Fluctuation Measurements in a Supersonic Turbulent Boundary Layer." $17.$ Physics of Fluids 2, 3, 290-296, 1959.
- 18. Demetriades, A., "Turbulence Measurements in an Axisympietric Compressible Wake, Philco-Ford Corporation Technical Report No. UG-4118, August 1, 1967.
- Clay, W. G., Heerman, J., and R. E. Slattery, "Statistical Properties of the 19. Turbulent Wake Behind Hypervalocity Spheres," Physic: of Fluids 8, 10, 1792-1801, 1965.
- Webb, W.H., "Self-Preserving Fluntagens and Scales for the Hypersonic Turbulent 20. Wake," AIAA (ODTRo¹ 5, 11, 2031-2033, 1964.
- Hamernik, B. P., "Interction of ca. Advancing Shock Front with a Concentrated $21.$ Heat (Entropy) Source, M.M.E. Thesis, Sy acuse University, January 1967.

Ĵ

l

H

1

I

Figure 1. Basic Flow Coordinate Systems

Figure 2. Intrinsic Frame of Reference with Respect to Downstream Flow Field

i se na

w

i

r

w

i version and the set of the set o

a a se

i

i

i

i

Figure 3. Special Case of Parallel Shock and Entropy Wave, $(5-\epsilon) = \pi/2$

t

i

L

ł

İ

s t

Į

f

Figure 5. Downstream Entropy Wave Amplitude, Normal Shock Case

š

Figure 6. Generated Pressure Disturbance, Normal Shock Case

 $\frac{1}{2}$ $\hat{\mathfrak{t}}$

 $\begin{matrix} \\ \end{matrix}$

 $\left\lceil \right\rceil$

 \mathbf{I}

Figure 7. Generated Vorticity, Normal Shock Case

ţ.

i-

m \mathbf{I}

 $\overline{\Gamma}$

 $\prod_{i=1}^{n}$

0

 Γ

 \prod

r

r

i

Ł

I.

Figure 8. Pressure Disturbance Referenced to Free-Stream Conditions, Normal Shock Case

it

 $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$

I.

results

 $\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{\textbf{1}} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{\textbf{2}} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{\textbf{3}} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{\textbf{4}} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{\textbf{5}} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{\textbf{6}} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{\textbf{6}} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{\textbf{6}} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{\textbf{6}} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{\textbf{6}} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{\textbf{6}} & \mult$

a

{

f f

Ì

 $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 &$

I

 \mathbf{l} :

 $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$

 $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$

 $\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}}$

 \mathbf{l}

 $\prod_{i=1}^{n}$

L

 $\ddot{\circ}$

Entropy Wave Inclination, S - degrees

Figure 10. Effective Mach Number, Oblique Shock Case, Wedge Half–Angle (ε-β) = 4^c

Entropy Wave Inclination, 6 - degrees

1

 \mathbf{I}

Figure 11 . Effective Mach Number, Oblique Shock Case, Wedge Half-Angle $(\epsilon - \beta) = 12^{\circ}$

Entropy Wave Inclination, δ - degrees

Figure 12. Effective Mach Number, Oblique Shock Case, Wedge Half-Angle $(\epsilon - \beta) = 30^{\circ}$

I

CORPORATION

 $\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \end{array}$

į.

 $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

Ī

E

<u>f</u>

I

ľ

E

 \blacksquare

Figure 13. Downstream Entropy Wave Amplitude,
Oblique Shock Case, $\delta = 1^{\circ}$

Supporter 1

 τ $\overline{\gamma}$ l.

 $\frac{d}{2}$.

 $\prod_{i=1}^{n}$

r

 $\mathbf f$ Ĩ.

 \mathbf{I}

 \int ÷. Å

j

 $\frac{1}{2}$ j

Í

 \mathbf{I}

Figure 14. Downstream Entropy Wave Amplitude, Oblique Shock Case, δ = 10⁰

I

Figure 15. Downstream Entropy Wave Amplitude,
Oblique Shock Case, $\delta = 30^{\circ}$

Upstream Mach Number, M1

Figure 16. Downstreum Entropy Wave Amplitude, Oblique Shock Case, $\delta = 50^{\circ}$

Figure 17. Downstream Entropy Wave Amplitude, Oblique Shock Case, $\delta = 80^{\circ}$

Figure 18. Downstream Entropy Wave Amplitu^c, Oblique Shock Case, δ = 89⁰

Entropy Wave Inclination, δ - degrees

Figure 19. Downstream Entropy Wave Magnitude, Oblique Shock Case, $M_1 = 3$

p

្រ

 $\overline{}$

 $\overline{}$

Į

 $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$

I

Entropy Wave Inclination, & - degrees

Entropy Wave Inclination, b - degrees

Figure 21 . Downstream Entropy Wave Magnitude, Oblique Shock Case, MI = 10

I

Humanity

Ĩ

Ī

ł

F

I

PERSONAL PROPERTY

ききがく マチュ

ł

- 1994年1月1日

62

I

di Sammad
.

HHHHHH

Humani

Bulletin

I

I

I

E

E

I

[

Manufacturers

HARRY COMMA

Ī

 \mathbf{l}

Upstream Mach Number, M1

Figure 23. Generated Pressure Disturbance,
Oblique Shock Case, $\delta = 10^{\circ}$

Upstream Mach Number, Mi

t -

Upstream Mach Number, M1

Upstream Mach Number, MI

Figure 26. Generated Pressure Disturbance, Oblique Shock Case, δ = 70⁰

Upstream Mach Number, M1

Figure 27. Generated Pressure Disturbance,
Oblique Shock Case, $\delta = 80^{\circ}$

Figure 29. Generated Pressure Disturbance,
Oblique Shock Case, $M_1 = 3$

Figure 30. Generated Pressure Disturbance, Oblique Shock Case, $M_1 = 6$

taler) i
Papa

j ļ

yanın y

DESERVE

Į L

L

j

Figure 31. Generated Pressure Disturbance, Oblique Shock Case, $M_1 = 10$

Figure 32. Generated Vorticity, Oblique Shock Case, $S = 1^{\omega}$

i

Figure 34. Generated Vorticity,
Oblique Shock Case, $\delta = 80^{\circ}$

 $\pmb{\cdot}$

Upstream Mach Number, MI

Figure 35. Generated Vorticity Oblique Shock Case, δ = 89⁰

Upstream Mach Number, MI

Figure 36. Pressure Disturbance Referenced to Free–Stream Conditions Oblique Shock Case, δ = 1 $^{\circ}$

h_{um}annan

Continued

Figure 37. Pressure Disturbance Referenced to Free-Stream Conditions, Oblique Shock Case, δ = $70^{\rm o}$

r

 \vert

 \mathbf{r}

Figure 38. Pressure Disturbance Referenced to Free-Stream Conditions, Oblique Shock Case, δ = $80^{\rm o}$

Figure 39. Pressure Disturbance Referenced to Free - Stream Conditions, Oblique Shock Case, δ = 89⁰

Figure 40. Interpretation for Separation Shocks Before Conical Transitions

Figure 41. Shock Interaction Diagram For Simple Harmonic Entropy Waves

J

į

 \mathbf{I}

gas common

APPENDIX A

COMPUTER PROGRAM TO CALCULATE VARIOUS QUANTITIES ASSOCIATED WITH SHOCK ENTROPY INTERACTION

By

David M. Lister

APPENDIX A

COMPUTER PROGRAM TO CALCULATE VARIOUS QUANTITIES ASSOCIATED WITH SHOCK ENTROPY INTERACTION

Contents:

1

HANK!

Wichich

Concinues

Hyman

Pushing

\$.

Î.

Definition of Input Formats

Definition of Output Alternatives

Diagrams of Coordinate Systems Used

Sections:

- A.1 Definition of Mathematical Equations Used
- A.2 Definitior of Symbols Used
- A.3 Flow Diagrams
- A.4 Fortran Listing of Program
- A.5 Example of Results

Definition of Input Format

Quantities input are:

- (1) The date of the rvn, e.g. 10/23/67, columns 1 through 8, format 2A4
- (1) the date of the rch, e.g. to/23/o/, columns it infough 6, for the date of the rch, e.g. to/23/o/, columns it infough 6, for (2)
- (3) Repeat: (2) for as many inputs as required.

Note that the quantities s_{-} through A_{1} are defined in Section A.2.

If $y \le 0$ then the run is terminated

If $ISW > 1$ then " δ^* " routine omitted

If $ISW2 = 0$ then full anotated output is obtained

If ISW 2 \neq 0 then the results for this case will appear only in the summary tables.

Detiction of Output Alternatives

For each set of input data a full set of annotated results is output if $15W2 = 0$ (see Section $A.5$).

At the end of each run or when the number of sets of input data equals a multiple of fifty, tables of the variable sets of input data with their calculated output quantities are printed (see Section $A.5$).

Note that all angles are quoted in degrees and radians.

Figure A]. Basic Flow Coordinate Systems

A.I SHOCK ENTROPY INTERACTION

The given input quantities to the program are:

$$
M_{1}, \epsilon, \beta, \gamma, A_{1}, s_{-}
$$

 $\overline{\mathbb{L}}$

ŧ

T
I

 $\mathbf i$

 \mathbf{i} I

f gamman
K

 \mathbf{I}

E

D

 $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$

 $\begin{matrix} \end{matrix}$

L

ſ į

ļ į

į

The equations used to compute the various required quantities are:

(1)
$$
x = \frac{P_m}{P_{1m}} = \frac{7 N_1^2 - 1}{6}
$$

\n(2)
$$
M = \sqrt{\left(\frac{N_1^2 + 5}{7 N_1^2 - 1}\right) / \sin^2 \beta}
$$

\n(3)
$$
\frac{A}{A_1} = \sqrt{\frac{(7 N_1^2 - 1) (N_1^2 + 5)}{36 N_1^2}}
$$

\n(3.12)
$$
\begin{bmatrix} \Delta_{11} = \left(\frac{P_m}{P_{1m}}\right)^2 \left(\frac{N_1}{N_1}\right)^2 - (y - 1) \left(1 - \frac{P_m}{P_{1m}}\right) N^2 \end{bmatrix}
$$

\n
$$
\begin{aligned} A_{21} = \frac{N^2}{1 - N^2} \left\{ \left(1 - \frac{P_m}{P_{1m}}\right) \left[1 + (y - 1) N^2\right] + \left[1 - \left(\frac{P_m}{P_{1m}}\right)^2 \left(\frac{N_1}{N_1}\right)^2 \right] \right\} \\ A_{31} = \frac{-N}{1 - N^2} \left\{ \left[1 - \left(\frac{P_m}{P_{1m}}\right) \left(\frac{N_1}{N_1}\right)^2 \right] + \left(1 - \frac{P_m}{P_{1m}}\right) \gamma N^2 \right\} \\ A_{12} = (y - 1) \left(1 - \frac{P_m}{P_{1m}}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{N_1^2} \frac{P_m}{P_{1m}}\right) N^2 \end{aligned}
$$
(19)

$$
\Delta_{22} = \frac{-N^2}{1 - N^2} \left\{ \left(1 - \frac{P_m}{P_{lm}} \right) + \left(1 - \frac{1}{N_1^2} - \frac{P_m}{P_{lm}} \right) \left[1 + (y - 1) \left(1 - \frac{P_m}{P_{lm}} \right) N^2 \right] \right\}.
$$
\n
$$
\Delta_{32} = \frac{N}{1 - N^2} \left\{ \left[1 - \left(\frac{P_m}{P_{lm}} \right)^2 \left(\frac{N}{N_1} \right)^2 \right] + \gamma \left(1 - \frac{P_m}{P_{lm}} \right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{N_1^2} - \frac{P_m}{P_{lm}} \right) N^2 \right\}.
$$
\n
$$
\Delta_{13} = \frac{-N}{1 - N^2} \left(1 - \frac{P_m}{P_{lm}} \right) \left\{ 2 + (y - 1) \left(1 - \frac{P_m}{P_{lm}} \right) N^2 \right\} \frac{N}{N_1}.
$$
\n
$$
\Delta_{23} = \frac{-N}{1 - N^2} \left(1 - \frac{P_m}{P_{lm}} \right) \left\{ 2 + (y - 1) \left(1 - \frac{P_m}{P_{lm}} \right) N^2 \right\} \frac{N}{N_1}.
$$
\n
$$
\Delta_{33} = \frac{1}{1 - N^2} \frac{N}{N_1} \left\{ 1 - \left(\frac{P_m}{P_{lm}} \right) N^2 + \gamma \left(1 - \frac{P_m}{P_{lm}} \right)^2 N^2 \right\}.
$$
\n
$$
\Delta_{44} = \left(\frac{P_m}{P_{lm}} \right) \frac{N}{N_1}.
$$
\n
$$
\pi_{11} = - (y - 1) \left(1 - \frac{P_m}{P_m} \right) \left[2 + (y - 1) \left(1 - \frac{P_m}{P_{lm}} \right) N^2 \right]
$$
\n
$$
\pi_{21} = \frac{-N}{1 - N^2} \left(1 - \frac{P_m}{P_m} \right) \left[1 + N^2 + (y - 1) \left(1 - \frac{P_m}{P_{lm}} \right) N^2 \right].
$$
\n
$$
\pi_{41} = \left(\frac{P_m}{P_{
$$

 $A₅$

(3.14) N = M sin
$$
\beta
$$
 (20)
\n(3.18) $\frac{P_m}{P_{lm}} = \frac{6x+1}{x+6}$
\n(3.13) N₁ = M₁ sin ϵ (20)
\n(4) A = $(\frac{A}{A_1}) A_1$
\n(5) U = MA
\n(5.1) U₁ = M₁A₁
\n(6.01) C_s = $\frac{\cos 6}{\cos (6-\epsilon)}$ U₁
\n(6.10) μ_e = $\arcsin (\frac{1}{M_e})$
\n(6.09) $\begin{bmatrix} u^* \\ v^* \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sin (\alpha - \beta) & \cos (\alpha - \beta) \\ -\cos (\alpha - \beta) & \sin (\alpha - \beta) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} U_+ \\ V_+ \end{bmatrix}$ (Matrix Notation) (30)
\n(6.17) $\begin{bmatrix} s_+ \\ p_+ \\ v_+ \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} \\ A_{21} \\ A_{31} \sin (\alpha - \beta) \\ A_{31} \cos (\alpha - \beta) \end{bmatrix} s_+ + \begin{bmatrix} \pi_{11} & (M \cos \beta - C_s/A) \\ \pi_{21} & (M \cos \beta - C_s/A) \sin (\alpha - \beta) - \pi_{41} \cos (\alpha - \beta) \\ \pi_{31} & (M \cos \beta - C_s/A) \cos (\alpha - \beta) - \pi_{41} \sin (\alpha - \beta) \\ \pi_{31} & (M \cos \beta - C_s/A) \cos (\alpha - \beta) - \pi_{41} \sin (\alpha - \beta) \end{bmatrix} \times \sin (\alpha - \beta) s_+ + \begin{bmatrix} C \\ \pi_1 \\ S_1 \end{bmatrix} (M \cos \beta - \frac{C}{A}) \cos (\alpha - \beta) + \pi_{41} \sin (\alpha - \beta) \begin{bmatrix} 31 \\ 31 \end{bmatrix}$

 $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 &$

More of the Second

Hammonda

 $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

 $\overline{}$

 $\begin{array}{c} \boxed{1} \end{array}$

 $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

$$
\begin{array}{lll}\n\text{(6a,b)} & O & = & C_s \sin(\alpha - \beta) - \text{U} \sin \alpha \\
& O & = & U_e \sin(\alpha - \beta) - \text{U} \sin \beta\n\end{array}\n\quad \text{simultaneous}\n\tag{27}
$$

(7)
$$
M_{e} = \frac{U_{e}}{A}
$$

\n(7.05) $q = -T_{2}(6) \cos \mu_{e} s_{-}$
\n(7.06) $q = -T_{1}(6) \cos \mu_{e} s_{-}$
\n(7.07) $\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\Lambda} & = \frac{\pi_{31}}{\pi_{21}} \\ \tilde{n} & = -\frac{\pi_{41}}{\pi_{11}} \end{bmatrix}$
\n(8) $= -\frac{\pi_{41}}{\pi_{21}}$
\n $G = \frac{B}{M \cos \beta - C_{3}/A}$
\n $\Omega_{2} = -\frac{A_{21}}{21} \cos \mu_{e} s_{-} - \left[\frac{\pi_{21}(M \cos \beta - \frac{C_{s}}{A})}{A} \cos \mu_{e}\right] \sin (\alpha - \beta) \Psi_{y}$ (35)
\n(7.03a) $q = -\frac{A_{21}}{A \cos (\alpha - \beta)} - \frac{A_{21} G \sin (\alpha - \beta)}{A \cos (\alpha - \beta) + \cos \mu_{e}}$ (39)
\n(7.06b) $T_{2}(6) = \frac{\Omega_{2} \cos (\alpha - \beta) - A_{21} G \sin (\alpha - \beta)}{\tilde{A} \cos (\alpha - \beta) - G \sin (\alpha - \beta) + \cos \mu_{e}}$ (39)
\n(7.06c) $T_{1}(6) = \frac{\Omega_{1} \cos (\alpha - \beta) - A_{21} G \sin (\alpha - \beta)}{\tilde{A} \cos (\alpha - \beta) - G \sin (\alpha - \beta) - \cos \mu_{e}}$ (40)
\n(8) $\begin{bmatrix} u_{1} \\ v_{1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sin \alpha & \cos \alpha \\ -\cos \alpha & \sin \alpha \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u^{*} \\ v^{*} \end{bmatrix}$ (Matrix Notation)
\n(9) $f(y) = U_{+} + \frac{\mu_{-}}{M_{0}}$

the property of

 $A₇$

(10)
$$
D = \frac{1}{2} \frac{M_e}{\sqrt{1 - M_e^2}} \left\{ \widetilde{A} \cos (\alpha - \beta) - G \sin (\alpha - \beta) \right\}
$$

(11)
$$
C = \begin{cases} \Omega_1 \cos{(\alpha - \beta)} - \Lambda_{21} G \sin{(\alpha - \beta)} \end{cases} s_{-1}
$$

(12)
$$
g(Y^*) = \frac{DC}{1 + D^2}
$$

Ĩ \ddot{z}

j

ļ

I

(13)
$$
p \bigg]_{x^*=0} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{M_e}{\sqrt{1 - M_e^2}} \left\{ g(Y^*) \right\}
$$

(14)
$$
\Psi_Y = \frac{P \int_{x^* = 0}^{x^*} e^{-A} \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{x^*} e^{-A} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{x^*} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{x^*} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{
$$

(15)
$$
\left(\frac{d^2 P_+}{\gamma d P_m M^2}\right) / \left(\frac{d T_-}{T_{m1}}\right) = \left(\frac{P_+}{s_-}\right) \frac{1}{M^2}
$$

(16)
$$
\left(\frac{d P_{+}}{\gamma P_{m_1} M_1^2}\right) / \left(\frac{d T_{-}}{T_{m_1}}\right) = \left(\frac{P_{+}}{s_{-}}\right) \frac{X}{M^2}
$$

$$
(20) \qquad \theta' = \pi - \epsilon - (\alpha - \beta)
$$

(21)
$$
\tan (8^*) = \left[\frac{U_1}{C_s} - \cos \epsilon\right] / \sin \epsilon
$$

(22)
$$
\alpha = \arctan \left[\frac{C_s \sin \beta}{C_s \cos \beta - U} \right]
$$

(23)
$$
\theta'' = \arcsin \left[\frac{U \sin \beta}{A} \right]
$$

(24)
$$
AC^2 = U^2 + A^2 - 2 U \{U \sin^2 \beta - \cos \beta \sqrt{A^2 - U^2 \sin^2 \beta}\} = C_{\text{si}}^2
$$

 (54)

 (55)

(25)
$$
BC = 2 A \cos \theta'' = 2 \sqrt{A^2 - U^2 \sin^2 \beta}
$$

 (26) AB = AC - BC i.e., $C_{s_2} = C_{s_1} - BC$

Note that the equation numbers on the left hand side are those referred to by the flow charts (Section A.3) and those on the right hand side are those used by E. Cuadra in the report.

Derivation of Equations 23 through 26

To find	AB and AC
i.e., CS2 and CS1	
Given	$BAD = \beta$
$AD = U$	
$BD = DC = A$	
Let	$DBC = DCB = \theta$
Consider	$\triangle DBC$ then $\angle CDB = 180 - 2\theta$
Consider	$\triangle CDA$ then $\angle DAA = 180 - \theta - \theta$

Apply the cosine rule to \triangle CDA

$$
AC^{2} = U^{2} + A^{2} - 2AU \cos (180 - \beta - \theta)
$$

Apply the sine rule to \triangle CDA

$$
\therefore \frac{A}{\sin \beta} = \frac{U}{\sin \theta}
$$

\n
$$
\therefore \sin \theta = \frac{U \sin \beta}{A}
$$

\n
$$
\therefore \cos \theta = \frac{\sqrt{A^2 - U^2 \sin^2 \beta}}{A}
$$

\n
$$
AC^2 = U^2 + A^2 - 2U \left[U \sin^2 \beta - \cos \beta \sqrt{A^2 - U^2 \sin^2 \beta} \right]
$$

\nFrom $\triangle DBC$
\n
$$
BC = 2A \cos \theta = 2 \sqrt{[A^2 - U^2 \sin^2 \beta]}
$$
 and
\n
$$
AB = AC - BC
$$

Now

ŧ

青

$$
\theta = \sin^{-1} \left[\frac{U \sin \beta}{A} \right]
$$

\n
$$
\alpha_1^* = 180 - C\widehat{D}A
$$

\n
$$
= 180 - (180 - \beta - \theta)
$$

\n
$$
= \beta + \theta
$$

\n
$$
\alpha_2^* = \beta + 180 - \theta
$$

\overline{A} .2 THEIR COMPUTER TABLE OF SYMBOLS, CODE EQUIVALENTS AND DEFINITIONS

All

film
film
film

j

l.

 $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$

 $\begin{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix}$

ſ ì

ł

ì

A13

I

ł

ł

 I

 $\overline{}$

Į

i

ŧ.

Read the date of the run Set the count of the number of cases equal to zero. (IC)

I

 \mathbf{E}

Ì

I

I

F

 \mathbf{I}

* Note that the value of ISW determines whether CS, CSI or CS2 is being used to compute values of parameters in the latter part of the program.

 $\begin{aligned} \mathbf{S}^{(k)}(\mathbf{z}) = \mathbf{S}^{(k)}(\mathbf{z}) \end{aligned}$

1

Little

a
Manazarta

 $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 &$

I

I

I

The Contract

I

I

 Γ

i
T

 \prod

Ç

L

I

I

l

I

l

I

E

E

i
Bandarang
Bandarang

 $\prod_{i=1}^n$

L

I

 \mathbf{E}

I

C

 \mathbf{r}

I

 \prod

 \prod

 $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 &$

 $\overline{\mathbf{l}}$

A19

I

ł

I

T

E PARTIES

L

 \mathbf{r}

 \mathbf{r}

WON

The Second Contract of Second

Hummer

 \mathbf{J}

Flow Charts Continued

Processes

1

 $\tilde{\mathfrak{x}}$

ĺ

11

The Contract of Seconds

COMPANY

PACAMP Ĵ ł

Ĭ. **Primaries**

THERMAN L

The Hotel of

 $\overline{A26}$

 $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}$

 $\boldsymbol{\varLambda}$

 \mathbf{r}

 $\label{eq:4} \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n\sum_{j=1}^n\left(\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^n\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^n\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^n\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^n\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^n\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^n\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^n\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^n\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^n\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^n\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^n\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^n\frac{1}{2}\$

contract the original specific definition of the construction of the contract of

s)

Ĭ.

 $\pmb{\gamma}$

 \mathbf{l}

S

 $\sum_{i=1}^{n}$

Contract

 $\frac{1}{2}$

 \bar{z}

 $\overline{}$

j.

 $\frac{1}{2}$ ċ

 $\ddot{\cdot}$

I

I

b

ţ

San Francesco $\mathbf{S} \mathbf{h}^{\dagger}$

 $10/20/67$

 $\frac{\ln\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{2\pi\sqrt{2}}\mathbf{E}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ λ : R .

