## **General Disclaimer**

## One or more of the Following Statements may affect this Document

- This document has been reproduced from the best copy furnished by the organizational source. It is being released in the interest of making available as much information as possible.
- This document may contain data, which exceeds the sheet parameters. It was furnished in this condition by the organizational source and is the best copy available.
- This document may contain tone-on-tone or color graphs, charts and/or pictures, which have been reproduced in black and white.
- This document is paginated as submitted by the original source.
- Portions of this document are not fully legible due to the historical nature of some of the material. However, it is the best reproduction available from the original submission.

Produced by the NASA Center for Aerospace Information (CASI)



7

 $\mathcal{I}_{d, T_{a}}^{a}$ 

ġ

Progress Report No. 3

# MECHANISM OF THE PHOTOVOLTAIC EFFECT IN II-VI COMPOUNDS

National Aeronautics and Space Administration Lewis Research Center Cleveland, Ohio

April 1, 1968 - September 30. 1968

School of Engineering Department of Materials Science Stanford University Stanford, California

Grant NGR-05-020-214

Principal Investigator Richard H. Bube, Professor Report Prepared By:

> R.H.Bube W.D.Gill P.F.Lindquist



SU-DMS-69-R-2

N 69 - 10437 (THRU) Department of MATERIALS SCIENCE 36 (PAGES) (PAGES) (PAGES) (PAGES) (RASA CR OR TMX OR AD NUMBER) STANFORD UNIVERSITY (CODE) 06 (CATEGORY)

Progress Report No. 3

3

### MECHANISM OF THE PHOTOVOLTAIC EFFECT IN 11-VI COMPOUNDS

National Aeronautics and Space Administration Lewis Research Center Cleveland, Ohio

April 1, 1968 - September 30, 1968

School of Engineering Department of Materials Science Stanford University Stanford, California

Grant NGR-05-020-214

Report No. SU-DMS-69-R-2

Principal Investigator

Richard H. Bube, Professor

Report Prepared By:

R.H.Bube W.D.Gill P.F.Lindquist Progress Report No. 3

.

# April 1 - September 30, 1968

-

٠

•

### CONTENTS

;

### SUMMARY

•

•

I. Photocurrent Gain with Applied Bias Voltage	1
A. Experimental	1
B. Discussion	2
C. Leakage Model	3
D. Effect on Light and Dark I-V	
<b>Characteristics</b>	3
E. Effects of Leakage Model on	
Photocell Behavior	3
F. Summary of Leakage Model	4
G. Observation of Multiplication	
Effect for Wavelengths Longer	
than CdS Band Edge	5
II. Depletion Layer Widths	6
A. Light Microprobe Measurements	8
B. Electron Mirror Microscope	•
Photographs	6
C. Effect of Chemical Etching	7
D. Cause of Wide Depletion Lavers	7
E. Capacitance vs. Bias Voltage	8
III Spectral Response Using Light Microprobe	8
III. Spectral nesponse osing hight microprobe	0
IV. Effect of Heat Treatments	9
A. Short Heat Treatments	. 9
B. Extended Heat Treatments	11
V. A Study of Cu-S-CdS "Mesa" Diodes	12
VI. Outline for Future Work	14
REFERENCES	15

### Progress Report No. 3

#### **BUMMARY**

Phenomena involved in the observation of large current gains upon photoexcitation in the presence of a small reverse bias were examined in considerably greater detail. When a variety of related experimental observations are taken into account - the apparent decrease in the barrier height under illumination, the low open-circuit voltage obtainable, and the effect of heat treatment on cell efficiency - it appears that a mechanism is present which is responsible for (1) current leakage paths under forward bias in dark or light, (2) removal of these leakage paths under reverse bias in the dark, and (3) activation of these leakage paths under reverse bias by photoexcitation to produce the large gains measured. The phenomena presented in this way are very similar to that expected for some kind of field-modulated depletion process, as in a photo-FET. Whether this similarity is more than apparent is the subject of continued investigation. No model constructed to date is completely satisfactory.

Depletion layer widths were investigated using the light microprobe, electron mirror microscope photographs, effects of chemical etching, and improved capacitance vs. voltage measurements. Large apparent depletion widths measured regularly with the microprobe, EMM, and etching must be associated with the effects of surface states. A barrier height of 1.1 eV was obtained from a plot of  $1/C^2$  vs. V for a cell giving a straight line on such a plot, and excellent agreement was found between the  $(N_D-N_A)$  obtained from this plot and bulk resistivity data. The light I-V characteristic remains below the dark characteristic over the entire measured range, indicating that leakage paths are not important in this sample. Several other samples, whose capacitance data indicate close to ideal Schottky barrier behavior, also indicate barrier heights of about 1 eV.

Spectral response data obtained with the microprobe indicate considerably more red response on the CdS side of the junction than on the  $Cu_2S$  side.

### I. PHOTOCURRENT GAIN WITH APPLIED BIAS VOLTAGE

### A. Experimental

Observations of a large current-gain effect on the application of small reverse bias voltage were described in the last report.<sup>1</sup> Since that time the effect has been observed in a large number of single crystal CdS samples as well as on the evaporated CdS cells produced by Clevite Corporation.

Gain, which is here defined as the observation of more photoinduced current than incident photon flux, has been seen in the following situations: (1) Shining a small spet of highly absorbed blue light on the CdS side of a bevelled  $Cu_p S$ -CdS heterojunction. Bevel angles of + and -5° have been used. Positive angles are those for which a wedge of CdS is formed at the bevelled surface, and negative angles are those for which a wedge of Cu\_p S is formed. (2) Shining a small spot of blue light on the Cu\_p S surface of a thin Cu\_p S layer heterojunction formed on a single crystal of CdS. (3) Shining the spot of blue light on the Cu\_p S side of a Clevite evaporated CdS photocell.

Observations of a large number of samples under a variety of conditions may be summarized as follows:

- (1) Large gain (up to 300) is seen on all bevelled samples with highly absorbed light incident on the active region of the junction at the surface. (see Fig. 1 and 2).
- (2) Smaller gain (≈2) is seen when blue light is incident on the frontwall side of very thin Cu<sub>2</sub> S layers, on both single crystals CdS and on the evaporated CdS (Clevite cell) heterojunctions.
- (3) No gain is observed in any geometry with photons of lower energy than the bandgap of CdS.
- (4) For photons with energy greater than the bandgap of CdS on bevelled junctions, the gain is always greatest at the edge of the active region farthest away from the metallurgical interface (Fig. 1).
- (5) There is no reverse bias threshold for onset of the observed gain. (Fig. 2).
- (6) Saturation of the gain occurs at reverse bias of about 2 to5 volts. Saturation occurs earlier with lower light intensity.



Fig. 1. Photocurrent versus light spot position for sample CdS(E7) with and without reverse bias. For the +5 bevel angle the measured distances should be multiplied by 0.087 to get the perpendicular distance to the junction. Etched/not etched regions refer to results of etching with  $H_2SO_{l_1} + KMnO_{l_1}$  (see Fig. 8).

٠



Fig. 2. Photocurrent and dark current versus reverse bias voltage for sample CdS(E7). The distance from the light spot to the junction was  $9l_1(.087) = 8.2$  microns. Unit quantum efficiency line corresponds to the total light flux measured with a calibrated silicon photodiode.

- (7) Short-circuit current and gain vary greatly over 5 to 10  $\mu$  intervals parallel to the junction.
- (8) Gain decreases after heat treatment.
- (9) Gain with forward bias is seen in some samples. Reproducibility is poor, but gain is maximum at about 0.6 eV and cuts off completely at about 1 volt.
- (10) The photocurrent is much larger than the dark current in some samples having particularly good reverse current characteristics (Fig. 2).
- B. Discussion

Considering the observations listed above we can make the following conclusions about the mechanism giving rise to the large photocurrent gain.

- (1) High gain is related to hole transit time which (neglecting trapping effects) should be about 10 times the electron transit time. This conclusion is based on observation (4) that the greatest gain is achieved at the farthest region from the junction (Fig. 1). Therefore, the mechanism depends on the time that injected carriers spend in the active region.
- (2) The large observed gains cannot be caused by avalanche breakdown or impact ionization. This conclusion comes from considerations (5) and (6). Avalanche breakdown requires a threshold energy of at least 3/2 Eg which for CdS would require a reverse bias of at least 3.5 volts. Also no saturation should be seen with an avalanche process. At room temperature similar energy considerations hold for impact ionization since only deep impurities could be affected
- Modulation of the dc dark current, considered likely in the last report,<sup>1</sup> does not seem to be an adequate mechanism in view of observation (10) where light-induced-current up to 20 times I dark has been observed (Fig. 2).
- (4) Normal photoconductive gain alone can be rejected as a possible mechanism since no additional sources for carrier injection are available. The reverse current is made up of a diffusion current and a generation current neither of which provide a carrier source for multiplication of injected charge.

### C. Leakage Model

In view of these conclusions some other mechanism was sought, which could explain the observed results of the gain phenomena, and still be consistent with other measurements of I-V characteristics and capacitance measurements on these samples. The principal requirements of such a mechanism appear to be its ability to account for (1) current loakage phenomena under forward bias in dark or light, (2) absence of such leakage under reverse bias in the dark, and (3) re-introduction of this leakage under reverse bias with photoexcitation to produce the large measured gains.

The fact that large apparent mains are seen in all samples, both polycrystalline CdS and single crystal CdS, suggests a simple mechanism is operative. The possibility of light-sensitive leakage together with the concept of photoconductive gain is consistent. Leakage paths are certainly present as can be seen from the high values of reverse-bias dark current and the low reverse breakdown characteristics of the cells. The most probable locations for such leakage paths are internal or external surfaces, where the high density of surface states can give rise to high rates of generation and recombination. The phenomena observed are very similar to that expected for some kind of field-modulated depletion process, as in a photo-FET. Whether this similarity is more than apparent is the subject of continued investigation. No model constructed to date is completely satisfactory.

### D. Effect on Light and Dark I-V Characteristics

The effect of a light-sensitive leakage path on the light and dark I-V characteristics is illustrated in Figures 3 and 4. For any arbitary form of the leakage current, which increases in magnitude over its dark value, we see that  $V_B \gg V'_B \gg V''_B$ , where these voltages are obtained from the extrapolated linear portions of the forward characteristics for, respectively, the ideal diode, the diode with dark leakage current, and the diode with light leakage current. The increased leakage in the light gives rise to the anomalous forward characteristics observed in most samples.

### E. Effects of Leakage Model on Photocell Behavior

A generalized leasing model might be expected to have the following effects on the operation of these heterojunctions as photovoltaic cells.

(1) Leakage paths will have no effect on I as long as the external

3,



Fig. 3. Form of the dark I-V characteristic for a junction with leakage. Fig. 4. Form of the Jight I-V characteristic for a junction with leakage.

and a second and a second and a second second and a second second second second second second second second sec

load resistance used to make the measurement is small.

(2) Referring to Figs. 3 and 4, we see that  $V_B^{\prime}$  will be less than  $V_B^{\prime}$ , and that  $V_B^{\prime\prime}$  will be less than  $V_B^{\prime\prime}$ . These three voltages are obtained by extrapolating the linear parts of the forward characteristics to cut the voltage axis. The voltage obtained in this manner are often used as a rough measure of the built-in voltage or barrier height of the cell. The presence of leakage paths would lead to an underestimate of the barrier height and would result in an apparent decrease of the barrier under illumination. The measurement of saturated  $V_{oc}$  at low temperatures would be subject to the same difficulties.

(3) Forward current under illumination would be expected to be greater than the dark current.

(4) Largest  $V_{oc}$  should be measureable using photons with energy less than the bandgap of CdS. Additional strong bandgap illumination of the junction may result in a decrease in  $V_{oc}$  if large leakage paths exist. This effect has been observed.

(5) Short heat treatment should improve cell performance by decreasing the influence of leakage paths. However, the forward current under strong illumination might still be greater than the dark forward current, since the leakage paths are light-sensitive.

(6) Cell efficiency should be improved by short heat treatment. Higher leakage resistance will increase  $V_{oc}$ , and even if decreased I should result, the increased leakage resistance out to higher forward voltages improves the filling factor.

### F. Summary of Leakage Model

In the preceding sections experimental data have been presented which indicate the importance of a light-sensitive leakage mechanism in the operation of the  $Cu_2S$ -CdS heterojunction. Although not yet specifically defined, the model

provides a reasonable mechanism for the large photocurrent gain which has been observed at small reverse bias. In addition this model predicts many of the results actually observed in these heterojunctions, such as the apparent decreain the barrier height under illumination, the low  $V_{\rm oc}$  obtainable with these cells, and the effect of heat treatment on cell efficiency.

# G. Observation of Multiplication Effect for Wavelengths Longer then CdS Band Edge

A single crystal (#4-92R) was prepared in the usual manner by dipping in a CuCl solution for one hour at 90°C. The Cu<sub>3</sub>S layer was formed on the "A" face of the crystal, which was etched in  $H_{9}SO_{4} + KMnO_{4}$  for 15 seconds before dipping. The resistivity of the CdS was 19.0 ohm-cm. This cell had a back-wall spectral response curve which was modified significantly by superimposing a DC reverse bias of 3 volts. This is illustrated in Fig. 5. Note that the shortcircuit current is increased for wavelengths less than 800 mµ, but is suppressed at longer wavelengths. The curves have been normalized to a constant photon flux of 5.8 x 10<sup>14</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup> sec<sup>-1</sup>. The discontinuity at 700 m µ (upper point: 2-64 filter out; lower point: filter in), which remains after correcting for equal intensity, may indicate a non-linear dependence of the short-circuit current on light intensity when the cell is under reverse bias.

In terms of power output, this cell was relatively very poor, having a linear light I-V characteristic with  $V_{oc} = 40 \text{ mv}$  and  $I_{sc} = 12 \ \mu a$  in white tungsten light of 280 mw/cm<sup>2</sup> integrated power. The measurement of spectral response with DC bias was recently repeated on a relatively very good cell, and it was found that the effect of the reverse bias was considerably smaller, increasing the short-circuit current about 10-50% over the entire response range. Table I gives the computed quantum yield of the cell #4-92R as a function of the applied DC bias, at a wavelength of 540 m  $\mu$ . The values of quantum yield may be in error by a constant factor because of an uncertainty in the cell area.

TABLE I. Quantum Yield Vs. DC Reverse Bias for

	Ce	11	<u>#4-92R</u>	at	540	m	<u></u>
v <sub>DC</sub> ,	Volts						Electrons/Photon
0							$3.66 \times 10^{-2}$
-1							6.18 x $10^{-2}$
- 2						•	11.45 x $10^{-2}$
-3							$18.6 \times 10^{-2}$

•



The capacitance of this cell at zero bias ( 1 MHz, 540 m  $\mu$ ) was 172 pf, which corresponds to a depletion layer width of about 3 microns. The capacitance with voltage applied was not measured.

### **II. DEPLETION LAYER WIDTHS**

### A. Light Microprobe Measurements

Measurement of the depletion layer thickness provides a direct measurement of the impurity profile and its variation with heat treatment in the vicinity of the junction. Early difficulties in obtaining meaningful capacitance versus reverse bias data led us to develop the light microprobe in an attempt to measure directly the depletion layer width and diffusion lengths. The light microprobe measurements are of necessity surface measurements and hence very sensitive to surface conditions. Examples of the wide depletion layers measured with the light probe are seen in Figures 1 and 10. Better understanding of the limitations of our capacitance bridge now allows us to make reliable capacitance measurements by adjusting sample geometry to stay within the conductance capabilities of the instrument. These measurements show that before heat treatment the junctions can be regarded as very nearly ideal Schottky barriers. The depletion widths obtained capacitively represent the bulk value and are found in general to be an order of magnitude smaller than those measured with the travelling light spot.

### B. Electron Mirror Microscope Photographs

Two other surface measurements of the depletion width have been made, both agreeing well with the light probe results. Photographs of the field at a reverse biased junction were obtained using an electron mirror microscope<sup>2</sup>. A very low energy electron beam is deflected by fringe fields immediately outside the surface of the sample and forms an image of the electric fields present at the surface of the sample. Some of these EMM photographs are shown in Fig. 6 and the measured depletion widths as a function of bias are plotted in Fig. 7. The depletion width measured from the photograph at zero bias is approximately 20 to 30  $\mu$ . Scans with the light microprobe yielded about 10 to 15  $\mu$ . In view of these very wide surface depletion layers the cube root dependence of depletion width with voltage (Fig. 7) is probably not a very reliable indication of bulk effects.



(c)  $V_{\text{bias}} = -2$  volts



Fig. 6. Electron mirror microscope photographs of a Cu<sub>2</sub>S-CdS heterojunction under reverse bias. The Cu<sub>2</sub>S side is in the lower left of the photographs. The depleted region is the dark band (Bevel angle is 90°, Magnification X150 ).



Fig. 7. Depletion width versus reverse bias voltage taken from electron mirror microscope results.

### C. Effect of Chemical Etching

The other technique showing the wide depletion layers was discovered while using etches in an attempt to remove the damaged surface layer after bevelling. The use of concentrated  $H_2SO_4 + KMnO_4$  as an optical quality etch for CdS has been reported.<sup>3</sup> Bevelled heterojunction samples immersed in this solution were found to etch on the CdS side except in a narrow band corresponding to the measured surface depletion width. A photograph of a sample etched in this way is shown in Fig. 8. The light probe response on the same sample is shown in Fig. 1 and corresponds with the width measured by the etching technique.

### D. <u>Cause of Wide Depletion Layers</u>

The reason for the wide depletion layers at the surface is still in some doubt. Surface damage due to the bevelling operation and oxygen chemisorption are the obvious suspects. Desorption of oxygen by strong illumination in a nitrogen atmosphere was tried. Microprobe measurements made in the nitrogen atmosphere after attempted desorption were no different from those carried out in air. Heat treatment was found to eliminate the very wide depletion regions. For example, sample CdS(D3) which had a measured active region 100 ; wide (see Fig 10) when uncorrected for the 5° bevel angle showed a sharp decrease to about 5  $\mu$ after a 5 minute heat treatment at 250°C in air. It was thought that desorption of oxygen and annealing of surface damage might explain this reduction. The sample was then carefully rebevelled with removal of a 10 to 20  $\mu$  layer. Measurement of the active region showed it to be about 6 µ. Because the new surface damage did not result in widening of the surface depletion layer we conclude that heat treatment affected bulk properties near the junction in such a fashion as to inhibit formation of surface depletion regions. The narrow depiction widths measured with the light probe after heat treatment correspond to those measured capacitively and some widening of depletion regions with reverse bias is seen. However, because the light microprobe experiments are carried out under high-level injection conditions with consequent distortion of the depletion layer, they do not give a reliable measure of the voltage dependence of depletion width. Experiments will be carried out in the next few



Fig. 8. Photograph of the junction of CdS(E7) after etching in conc.  $H_2SO_4$  + KMnO, solution for 2 minutes. The bevel angle was  $+5^{\circ}$ .  $Cu_2S$  is at the top of the sample, the dark band is the unetched CdS depletion region, and the etched CdS is at the bottom (Magnification X100).

weeks using a  $2\mu$  by  $2\mu$  light spot instead of the present  $2\mu$  by  $20\mu$  line, and the light intensity will be reduced to the lowest possible level.

### E. Capacitance vs. Bias Voltage

Capacitance versus reverse bias provides the most reliable measurements of depletion layer thickness, and probably of barrier height also. Fig. 9 shows  $\frac{1}{C^2}$  versus applied bias for sample CdS(D3). The value of  $(N_D - N_A)$  obtained from the slope of this plot is in excellent agreement with the value obtained from the bulk resistivity of the crystal. Extrapolation of the curve in the forward direction yields a barrier height of 1.1 volts.

The insert in Fig. 9 shows the I-V characteristics for this sample in the dark and under illumination. The light characteristic is seen to remain <u>below</u> the dark characteristic over the entire plot, suggesting that leakage channels are not important in this sample. The barrier height obtained from the capacitance data on this sample is probably an accurate measure of the true barrier at Cu<sub>2</sub> S-CdS heterojunctions. Several other samples, whose capacitance data indicate close to ideal Schottky barrier behavior, also have barrier heights of about 1 volt.

Capacitance data which do not follow  $\frac{1}{C^2}$  vs.V behavior must be treated with great care. For example, positive curvature of the  $\frac{1}{C^2}$  versus bias plot occurring near zero bias is indicative of a narrow intrinsic region, whereas calculation of doping profile from the slope would lead to the erroneous conclusion that the doping density was increasing as the metallurgical junction is approached. However, reliable capacitance data corrected for series and parallel resistance can in all cases yield a fairly accurate measure of depletion layer thickness. Interpretation of this width in terms of doping density and built-in voltage or barrier height is not always reliable.

#### III. <u>SPECTRAL RESPONSE USING LIGHT MICROPROBE</u>

The origin of the long wavelength response in Cu<sub>2</sub> S-CdS heterojunctions and in Cu-CdS Schottky barrier cells has been a central problem of much of the past research. In the Cu<sub>2</sub> S-CdS heterojunction case the magnitude of the response out to 1.0 micron and the good match of the response to the bandgap of Cu<sub>2</sub> S leaves little doubt that the major portion of this response is due to photoemission from Cu<sub>2</sub> S into the barrier region. However, following heat treatment many of our cells show marked decrease in the spectral response between 0.7 microns and 1.0 micron. The remaining long wavelength response between E and 0.7 microns is characteristic

![](_page_20_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Figure_1.jpeg)

of heavily Cu doped CdS.<sup>4</sup> We have used the light probe to determine the spectral response as a function of position across a junction. The sample used had a wide surface depletion region which was helpful in making measurements to greater distances from the junction.

In Fig. 10 the results of several scans across the junction using short and long wavelength radiation are shown. The insets illustrate the sample geometry for the experiments. For the long wavelength experiments the light was focussed on the surface after passing through the CdS. This technique significantly reduces the amount of scattered light which can reach the large plane surface of the junction. The results show that significant red response is generated out to the same depletion widths delineated by the short wavelength experiments. Scattered red light which may reach the junction would not give rise to the step in the red response curves at the edge of the depletion regions. However, there is a possibility that the red response measured here is due to surface states and is not truly representative of the bulk response.

In Fig. 11 we show spectral response curves obtained on this sample at various distances from the junction. These curves show considerably more red response on the CdS side of the junction than in the  $Cu_2S$  side. Although the absorption of red light is much greater in the  $Cu_2S$ , the efficient carrier collection in the space charge region located on the CdS side is of great importance. It is possible that increased long wavelength efficiency would be realizable if the  $Cu_2S$  side of the junction were not degenerate. For a small loss in barrier height the extension of the space charge region a few tenths of a micron into the  $Cu_2S$  might lead to significant improvement in collection efficiency.

### IV. EFFECT OF HEAT TREATMENTS

### A. Short Heat Treatments

In order to study the effect of heat treatment on the heterojunctions, it was decided that samples should be prepared and all possible measurements be carried out, and that these samples should the subjected to heat treatment and all measurements repeated. The measurements made on the samples included I - V characteristics in light and dark, capacitance versus reverse bias in light and dark, spectral response, and light microprobe scans with and without bias applied.

(1) Sample CdS (E) was prepared with a  $Cu_2S$  - CdS heterojunction on

![](_page_22_Figure_0.jpeg)

Fig. 10. Short-circuit photocurrent versus light spot position on sample CdS(D2). The top curves are for blue light incident on the frontwall side, and the bettom curves for red light incident on the backwall side. Numbers on the curves refer to three different positions along the bevelled junction. For  $-5^{\circ}$  bevel angles, the measured distances should be multiplied by 0.087 to get the distance from the interface before bevelling.

![](_page_23_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Figure_1.jpeg)

one end of a CdS crystal and an electroplated Cu barrier cell on the other end. The Cu<sub>2</sub>S layer was formed in a 15 minute dip at 74°C. After dipping, a thick gold layer was evaporated on the Cu<sub>2</sub>S to form a good contact. On the other end of the crystal a thick layer of copper was deposited by electroplating from a cyanide solution. Both the Cu<sub>2</sub>S and the Cu ends were bevelled at -5°. Capacitance data indicated fairly good Schottky barriers were formed on both ends with a slight indication of a narrow insulating region on the Cu<sub>2</sub>S side. Surprisingly, the Cu side showed the best long wavelength response with an absorption edge at about  $1\mu$ . Representative I - V characteristics for the two ends taken before and after heat treatment are shown in Fig. 12. Comparison between the before and after heat treatment results are outlined in Table II. The heat treatment consisted of 2 minutes at 250°C in forming gas.

	Cu <sub>g</sub> S Side		Cu Side		
	Before heat treat	After 2 min. at 250°C	Before heat treat	After 2 min. at 250°C	
d (zero bias),µ	0.5	2	0.45	1	
$(N_d - N_a) cm^{-3}$	$1.05 \times 20^{14}$	1.1 to 4.5 x 10 <sup>13</sup>	7.5 x 9.6 x 10 <sup>13</sup>	$1.1 \times 10^{14}$	
R <sub>p</sub> (zero bias), Ω	1.3K	22 <b>.</b> 5K	0.77 к	5.1 K	
Longwave edge,A	6500	5300	9000	6500	
I <sub>sc</sub> ,μa	2.4	0.05	6	1.1	
V <sub>oc</sub> , volts	0.405	0.425	0.048	0.048	
d (microprobe),μ	0.9	0.7	7.1	1.2	

TABLE II. Properties of sample CdS(E) before and after heat treatment in a forming gas atmosphere.

(2) Sample CdS(E7) was a  $Cu_2$  S-CdS heterojunction formed by a 1 hour dip at 74°C. The sample was bevelled at +5° and then etched for 2 min.

![](_page_25_Figure_0.jpeg)

- (c) Cu<sub>2</sub>S side after heat treatment Vert. = 1.0 ma/div Horiz. = 0.5 v/div
- (d) Cu side after heat treatment Vert. = 0.05 ma/div Horiz. = 0.5 v/div
- Fig. 12. I-V characteristics of sample CdS(E) before and after heat treatment for 2 minutes at 250° C in forming gas. The higher current curves are for strong backwall illumination with a tungsten source.

in concentrated  $H_2SO_4$  + KMnO<sub>4</sub>. Capacitance data resulted in a linear  $1/C^2$  vs. V plot with  $(N_D - N_A) = 1.3 \times 10^{15} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ . Slight positive curvature ncar zero bias indicated a narrow insulating layer is present about 0.4 micron thick. This sample showed effects on V which are typical of many of our ocnon-heat-treated samples. Under bright white illumination from a tungsten lamp,  $V_{oc} = 0.288$  volts and  $I_{sc} = 2.35$  microamp. Inserting a Corning 2-64 filter in the light beam (passes wavelengths greater than 7000A) increased the measured open-circuit voltage to  $V_{oc} = 0.315$  volts, and I decreased to 0.82 microamp. This behavior can be attributed to increased leakage in the presence of bandgap light. The sample was then heat-treated for 30 seconds in air at 250°C. The capacitance data indicate a decreased net donor density to at least 5 microns from the junction with  $(N_D - N_A) = 6.3 \times 10^{14} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ . The data also indicate a widened insulating or intrinsic region about 1 micron in width. Repeating the measurements of V and I , we now found that without the 2-64 filter,  $V_{oc} = 0.403$  volts and  $I_{sc} = 4.2$  microamp, and with the filter,  $V_{oc} = 0.396$ volts and I = 0.8 microamp. The effect of the short heat treatment can  $s_{c}$ be interpreted as a decrease in the leakage paths. The I-V characteristics for this sample are shown in Figure 13. It is interesting to compare the forward characteristics with those sketched in Figures 3 and 4 for the leakage model. Note that the effect of heat treatment is to increase  $V'_B$ and  $V''_B$ . Also V is increased as would be expected for decreased leakage current. Note also that in the reverse direction, the current with light on is markedly decreased after the heat treatment. This reduction corresponds to a diminished gain effect under reverse bias.

The behavior of the I-V characteristics shown in Figs. 12 and 13 illustrates many of the features which are predicted by the generalized leakage-path model described previously.

#### B. Effect of Extended Heat Treatment on the Spectral Response

A single crystal cell (4-46) was fabricated from 1 ohm-cm CdS by dipping in an acidified CuCl solution for 2 hours at 90°C. After measuring the dark I-V characteristic and the backwall spectral response, the cell was sealed in an evacuated ampoule (P less than  $10^{-5}$  torr) and heat treated for 1 hour at  $300^{\circ}$ C. The backwall spectral response was then remeasured. The results, before and after heat treatment, are presented in Fig. 14, normalized to a

![](_page_27_Figure_0.jpeg)

(a) Before heat treatment. Vert. = 0.01 ma/div. Horiz. = 0.2 v/div.

![](_page_27_Figure_2.jpeg)

- (b) After heat treatment. Vert. = 0.01 ma/div. Horiz. = 0.2 v/div.
- Fig. 13. I-V characteristics of sample CdS(E7) before and after heat treatment for 30 seconds at 250° C in air. The higher current curves are for strong backwall illumination with a tungsten source.

photon flux of 5.8 x  $10^{14}$  cm<sup>-2</sup>sec<sup>-1</sup>. The response beyond 700 m  $\mu$  is down by two orders of mangitude as a result of the heat treatment, but at 550 m  $\mu$  it has decreased only by one. The short circuit current in white tungsten light of 280 mw/cm<sup>2</sup> integrated power was decreased from 4.5  $\mu$  a to .053  $\mu$ a by the heat treatment. The open circuit voltage of the cell after heat treatment was 450 mv in white light from a focused microscope illuminator, and increased to 490 mv when a 2-64 filter (which transmits for wavelengths greater than 700 m  $\mu$ ) was inserted.

The capacitance of this sample was also measured, before and after heat treatment. Before heat treatment, the measured capacitance in the dark <u>increased</u> with increasing reverse bias - a meaningless result. After heat treatment, only a very slight voltage dependence of capacitance in the dark was observed. With the sample exposed to the room light, the voltage dependence was more pronounced, and showed the unusual behavior indicated in Fig. 15. The decrease in capacitance for small forward and reverse bias is similar to the case of two diodes back to back, as obtained with "isotype" heterojunctions.<sup>5</sup>

The effect of the heat treatment can be qualitatively interpreted in the following way. On the basis of these and other data, the fairly good wavelength response (out to  $1 \mu$ ) before heat treatment seems to be associated with an abrupt Cu<sub>2-x</sub>S-CdS interface with an epitaxial relationship between the two structures, and thus may depend primarily on absorption in the  $Cu_{2-x}S$ . The spectral response for wavelengths only slightly longer than the CdS band edge, i.e., 530 - 600  $m_{\mu}$ , may be due to a two-stage optical process via copper centers in the CdS.<sup>6</sup> In this case the  $Cu_{2-x}$  S acts only as a contact and could be replaced by any other conductor. The extended heat treatment destroys the epitaxial relationship between  $Cu_{2-x}S$  and the CdS, and thus makes the passage of electrons across the interface into the CdS The absorption process in the CdS via copper centers still occurs, more difficult. and the component of photocurrent due to this process depends on hole flow across the interface into the  $Cu_{2-x}$  S. It is presumed that this process is not affected so drastically by the "damaged" interface, so that the photoresponse near the CdS band edge is still high relative to that for longer wavelengths.

# V. A STUDY OF CU\_S-CdS "MESA" DIODES

Sample #4-58 was prepared by dipping the "A" face of a CdS single crystal (50 ohm-cm) in CuCl at 90°C for 2 hours. The resistivity of the Cu<sub>2</sub>S layer, measured by the four-point probe method, was.004 ohm-cm. Fig. 16 shows

![](_page_29_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Figure_0.jpeg)

the backwall spectral response of the cell, normalized to a photon flux of  $5.8 \times 10^{14} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{sec}^{-1}$ .

A number of mesas were then etched on this sample, in the manner described in the Second Quarterly Progress Report. Capacitance voltage curves (in the dark) for three of these mesa diodes are shown in Fig. 17. The measuring frequency was 1 MHz. In the figure, a "barrier voltage" of 0.8 volt has been assumed, which was in reasonable agreement with extrapolations of the forward I-V characteristics to zero current. The slopes on the log-log plot are seen to correspond more closely to the "linear graded" junction case than to the "abrupt" case, although it is possible that interfacial or trapping states are affecting the voltage dependence. The series resistance values in the figure, although quite high, did not necessitate a correction of the capacitance values, as discussed in the Second Quarterly Progress Report, p. 5, equation (7).<sup>1</sup>

Point-by-point I-V curves for two of these mesa diodes, in the dark, are presented in Figure 18. The numbers adjacent to the curves are the diode "A" factors. These large values of "A" cannot be interpreted in the absence of data on the temperature variation of the I-V curves. Such data will be acquired during the next report period.

Table III below summarizes the relevant data for these three diodes.

TABLE III. SUMMARY OF DATA FOR THREE "MESA" DIODES, CELL #4-58

MESA	R <sub>s</sub> , ohms	A, cm <sup>2</sup>	$C(V=O)/A, pf/cm^2$	G(V=O), mhos	$J_o, amp/cm^2$
1	2780	$4.03 \times 10^{-4}$	5.33×10 <sup>3</sup>	2.11	<u></u>
2	6110	$3.41 \times 10^{-4}$	$3.22 \times 10^3$	0.96	$5.10 \times 10^{-5}$
3	2480	8.28x10 <sup>-4</sup>	4.50x10 <sup>3</sup>	7.11	$4.2 \times 10^{-4}$

C(V=O) and G(V=O) are the 1 MHz capacitance and conductance, respectively, in the dark, at zero DC bias.

![](_page_32_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Figure_0.jpeg)

### VI. OUTLINE OF FUTURE WORK

1. Explore \_arther the correlation between leakage paths and photoconductivity gain under reverse bias, in order to develop a specific model that will also be consistent with other measured properties of the photovoltaic cells.

2. Study the effect of short heat treatments on more Cu<sub>2</sub>S-CdS and Cu-CdS junctions, using dark and light I-V characteristics, capacitance data, and light probe measurements.

3. Use a 2 micron by 2 micron light spot to scan parallel to the bevelled junctions and check if high gain regions correspond to low I regions.

4. Measure the effect of light intensity on the gain. The gain should be greatly reduced when the injected carrier density is reduced.

5. Study the multiplication effect for wavelengths longer than the CdS band edge in greater detail, and attempt to correlate it with other cell parameters.

6. Systematically vary certain parameters of cell fabrication, such as crystallographic orientation of CdS, surface condition prior to dipping, addition of various salts to the dipping solution, and time in the dipping solution, and correlate with cell properties and performance.

7. Investigate effects of combinations of bias light and bias yoltage on the cell output.

8. Obtain preliminary low-temperature data.

### REFERENCES

- 1. R.H.Bube, W.D.Gill, and P.F.Lindquist, Report No. SU-DMS-68R-C4 (March 1968).
- 2. Measurements were made by Dr. F. English of Sandia Corporation, using the Litton Corporation's electron mirror microscope.
- 3. J.E.Rowe and R.A.Forman, J.Appl.Phys. 39, 1917 (1968)
- 4. R.H.Bube, E.L.Lind and A.B.Dreeben, Phys.Rev. <u>128</u>, 532 (1962)
- 5. C. Van Opdorp and J.Vrakking, Solid State Electronics 10, 955 (1967)
- 6. R.E.Halsted, et al., Phys.Rev. Letters 2, 420 (1959)