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DYNAMIC RESPONSE OF INITIALLY-STRESSED MEMBRANE SHELLS by John W. Leonard, A.M. ASCE ${ }^{1}$

## INTRODUCTION

This study is concerned with the dynamic behavior of extremely thin shells which have attained a given stress state due to previous loads. The response of these structural components to the action of further in-service dynamic loads will be studied and the effects of the initial stress state determined.

Initially-stressed thin shells have of late been used as temporary enclosures at expositions, as prefabricated warehouses and fuel tanks, and as inflatable concrete forms for dome construction. They have been considered for use in the erection of space and lunar structures. For example, certain space structures proposed for Saturn-Apollo applications can be optimized using such components: their in-service structural rigidity being obtained via pressurization. Possible applications in the near future for such light-weight structures include space vehicle antennas and connecting tunnels between stages of manned orbiting stations or lunar modules.

An important aspect of the behavior of initially-stressed thin shells is their action under in-service dynamic loads. For example, the behavior of an inflated satellite is influenced by the dynamic nature of the inflation process in that the shell arrives at its desired final configuration with a finite velocity field. It is also influenced by control forces, external forces such as gravity and solar pressures, and forces'caused by movement of personnel

[^0]and propellant. Two other dynamics problems are 1) the effect on inflated concrete forms of the large impact forces which result when shotcrete is applied, and 2) the effect of winds and earth tremors on shells used as temporary shelters, warehouses, and fuel tanks.

The behavior of extremely thin initially-stressed membrane shells is such that classical methods are inadequate for their proper analysis. In recent years considerable attention has been given to the derivation of consistent shell theories $(2,16,23,28,32)^{2}$ and to the development of solutions for nonlinear membrane shell problems (6, 10, 14, 18, 29). Another srea of recent research is the representation of nonlinear problems as a sequence of superpositions of linear problems, static and dynamic, on previously solved linear or nonlinear problems, e.g. prestressed membranes (1, 19, 20, 22). The classical dynamic behavior of thin shells of revolutions has been studied, and solution methods for the symmetric and asymmetric modes of free vibrations have been presented (3, 8 , $11,22,24,26,27,31)$.

In the following study, the equations of motion for a thin shell with a general configuration and a prescribed initial stress state are derived. For convenience; tensoricalculus has been used to derive the equations of motion. This was done in order to arrive at a compact formulation which could then be checked for consistency. The general tensor equations are then.specialized for a shell of revolution with an arbitrary meridional configuration and are also cast into physical forms in order to obtain solutions to specific problems.

An approximate formulation of the equations of motion is discussed and a solution procedure for the asymmetric modes of free vibration is derived. The solution procedure is illustrated by means of several sample problems. The forced.

[^1]response of these same shell problems is also studied.

## EQUATIONS OF MOTION

The following assumptions were made in the derivation of the equations of motion for the superpositions of small oscillations about the initially-stressed configuration of a general shell:

1) The reference surface for the added deformations is taken as the initially-stressed middle surface.
2) The shell has negligible bending stiffness.
3) The thickness ratio $=\lambda=*_{h} / \mathrm{L}$ is small compared to unity, where *h $=$ one-half the shell thickness, and $L=$ smallest characteristic length of the middle surface.
4) The shell material is perfectly elastic, homogenious and isotropic.
5) The additional oscillations are infinitesimal.

A special notation has been used to denote quantities defined on the additionally deformed shell. Given a function $f\left(x^{\alpha}\right)$ defined on the initiallystressed middle surface; the corresponding function on the additionally deformed middle surface is denoted by $\left[f\left(x^{\alpha}\right)+\epsilon^{\prime} f^{\prime}\left(x, \alpha_{t}\right)\right]$, where $\epsilon^{\prime} f^{\prime}\left(x^{\alpha}, t\right)=$ amount by which $\left[f\left(x^{\alpha}\right)+\epsilon^{\prime} f^{\prime}\left(x^{\alpha}, t\right)\right]$ differs from $f\left(x^{\alpha}, t\right), x^{\alpha}(\alpha=1,2)=$ middle surface coordinates, and where $\epsilon^{\prime}=$ small non-dimensional parameter defined by the superposed additional load vector.

The equations of equilibrium for the additionally deformed surface, hereafter denoted by $M^{\prime}$, are formed by replacing the stresses octing on a shell element by their resultants acting on the corresponding element of $M^{\prime}$. When this is done, the forces acting on $M^{\prime}$ are summed and are found to have the form

$$
f_{0}\left(x^{\alpha}\right)\left(\epsilon^{\prime}\right)^{0}+f_{1}\left(x^{\alpha}, t\right)\left(\epsilon^{\prime}\right)^{1}+f_{2}\left(x^{\alpha}, t\right)\left(\epsilon^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\cdots=0
$$

where the $f_{0}\left(x^{\alpha}\right)$ terms correspond to the equations of equilibrium for the in-itially-stressed middle surface and are therefore identically zero. According to assumption 5 above, all terms multiplied by $\left(\epsilon^{\prime}\right)^{2}$ and higher are neglected
compared to $f_{2}\left(x^{\prime \prime}, t\right)\left(\epsilon^{\prime}\right)^{1}$. The equations of motion are found to be (for a more detailed derivation see References 19 and 20):

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\left.n^{\prime \alpha \gamma}\right|_{\alpha+F^{\prime} \gamma}-\frac{2 \lambda \rho L^{3}}{* P} \frac{\partial^{2} v^{\prime} \rho^{\prime}}{\partial t^{2}}\right]+\left[n^{\rho \gamma_{I^{\prime}} \alpha}+n^{\alpha \rho} I_{\rho \alpha^{\prime}}^{\prime \gamma}\right]=0}  \tag{1a}\\
& {\left[n^{\prime} \alpha \gamma_{B}+F^{\prime 3}-\frac{2 \lambda \rho L^{3}}{* P} \frac{\partial^{2} W^{\prime}}{\partial t^{2}}\right]+\left[n^{\alpha \gamma_{B}^{\prime}}{ }_{\alpha \gamma}\right]=0} \tag{lb}
\end{align*}
$$

where covariant differentiation is denoted by a verticalline used as a subscript, and

$$
n^{\alpha \gamma}+\epsilon^{\prime} n^{\prime \alpha \gamma}=\text { force resultant tensor on } \dot{M}^{\prime}
$$

$$
\rho \quad=\text { mass density of shell }
$$

$$
\text { *P } \quad=\text { largest characteristic force on the initialiy-stressed middle surface }
$$

$L\left(\bar{F}+\epsilon^{\prime} \bar{F}(t)\right)=$ load vector on $\dot{M}^{\prime}$ per unit area of $M^{\prime}$

$$
={ }^{*} P\left(F^{\prime} \alpha_{\epsilon}^{\prime} F^{\prime}{ }^{\alpha}\right)\left(\bar{A}_{\alpha}+\epsilon^{\prime} A_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right)+\left(F^{3}+\epsilon^{\prime} F^{\prime}\right)\left(\hat{N}+\epsilon^{\prime} \bar{N}^{\prime}\right)
$$

$\bar{A}_{\alpha}+\epsilon^{\prime} \bar{A}_{\alpha}^{\prime}=$ base vectors of $M^{\prime}$
$\hat{N}+\epsilon^{\prime} \bar{N}^{\prime}=$ unit normal vector to $M^{\prime}$
$I_{\alpha \gamma}^{\prime \rho}=1 / 2 A^{\beta \rho}\left[\frac{\partial A^{\prime} \beta \alpha}{\partial x^{\circ}}+\frac{\partial A^{\prime} \beta \gamma}{\partial x^{\alpha}} \frac{\partial A^{\prime} \alpha \alpha}{\partial x^{\rho}}\right]-A^{\beta \rho} A_{\beta \sigma^{\prime}}{ }^{\prime} I_{\alpha \delta}^{\sigma}$
$A_{\alpha \beta}+\epsilon^{\prime} A^{\prime}{ }_{\alpha \beta}=$ metric tensor of $M^{\prime}$.
${ }^{*} I_{o \gamma}^{\sigma}=$ Christoffel symbols of the initially-stressed shell
${ }^{B}{ }_{\alpha \gamma}+\epsilon^{\prime} B^{\prime}{ }_{\alpha \gamma}=$ curvature tensor of $M^{\prime}$
$\epsilon^{\prime} L \bar{V}!(t)=$ superposed displacement vector

$$
=\epsilon^{\prime}\left(L V_{!}^{\prime} \gamma_{\bar{A}}+W^{\prime} N\right)
$$

The effects of the initial stress state can be observed in Eqs. 1. They consist of the stress resultants $n^{\alpha \gamma}$ of the initially-stressed middle surface multiplied by functions of the added displacement vector. These terms have been grouped together within the second pairs of brackets in each equation.

Equation 1 can be expressed entirely in terms of displacement functions by substituting into Eqs, 1 the relations between the force resultants and the displacements.

where

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
E=\text { Young's modulus } & \nu=\text { Poisson's ratio } \\
U_{\rho}^{\prime \gamma}=V_{0}^{\prime \gamma} \gamma_{\alpha}-\frac{W^{\prime}}{L} B_{\alpha}^{\gamma} \\
U^{\prime 3} & \alpha=\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\alpha}}\left(\frac{W^{\prime}}{L}\right)+V_{0}{ }^{\prime} \gamma_{B}
\end{array}
$$

To complete the substitutions, the expressions for the additions to the metric tensor and the curvature tensor in terms of the added displacements are needed:

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{\alpha \gamma}^{\prime}=A_{\alpha D} U_{\gamma}^{\prime P}+A_{\gamma D} U_{\alpha}^{\prime D}  \tag{4a}\\
& B_{\alpha \gamma}^{\prime}=1 / 2\left[U^{\prime 3}{ }_{\alpha \mid \gamma}+U^{\prime}{ }_{\alpha \mid \gamma}^{3}+B_{\alpha D} U^{\prime \rho} \gamma^{\prime}+{ }_{B_{\gamma O}} U_{\alpha}^{\prime D}\right. \tag{4b}
\end{align*}
$$

Once tensor forms of the equations of motion (Eqs. 1) have been expressed completely in terms of the additions to the displacement vector, it is necessary to cast them into physical forms in order to solve particular problems of interest. This is done via substitutions of the physical components for the various vectors on $M^{\prime}$.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{V_{0}^{!}(\gamma)}{L}=V_{0}^{\prime} \gamma_{\sqrt{A}}{ }_{\gamma \dot{\gamma}} \text { (no sum) } \quad \frac{V_{0}^{\prime}(3)}{L}=\frac{W^{\prime}}{L} \tag{5a}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& L P^{\prime}(3)=* P F^{\prime 3} \quad L P^{\prime}(\alpha)={ }^{3} P^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \sqrt{A_{\alpha \alpha}}+1 / 2 L P(\alpha) \frac{A^{\prime}}{A_{\alpha \alpha}} \quad \text { (no sum) } \tag{5c}
\end{align*}
$$

where subscripts enclosed in parentheses denote physical components, and where ${ }^{N}(\alpha y)$, and ${ }^{P}(\alpha)$ are the physical components of the initial stress resultants $\mathfrak{n}^{\alpha \gamma}$ and of the load's $\mathrm{F}^{\alpha}$ required to obtain $\left.N^{(\alpha \gamma}\right)^{\circ}$

Shells of Revolution - The general equations of motion can be specialized for a shell of revolution with an arbitrary meridional contour (Fig. 1). The middle surface coordinates are defined as follows: $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{1}}=$ regular meridional

coordinate, egg. arc length; and $x^{2}=$ azimuth angle $\theta$.
~ Asymmetric oscillations about an axially-symmetric initial stress state have been considered. Therefore, derivatives with respect to $\theta$ of all quantties associated with the initially-stressed shell of revolution are identically zero. For quantities associated with the additional deformations; $\partial f\left(x^{1}, \theta\right) / \partial x^{1}$ is denoted by $f\left(x^{1}, \theta\right)$, and $\partial f\left(x^{1}, \theta\right) / \partial \theta$ by $f\left(x^{1}, \theta\right)$. Also, it is convenient to make a change of notation: let

The physical forms of Eqs. 1 specialized for a general shell of revolution
are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{u}\left[1+K_{1}\right]+\tilde{v}\left[\frac{1+\nu}{2}\right] \frac{\sqrt{A_{11}}}{r}+\tilde{u}\left[\frac{1-\nu}{2}+K_{2}\right] \frac{A_{11}}{r}+\hat{u}\left[\frac{\hat{\lambda}}{\lambda}-\frac{\hat{A}_{11}}{2 A_{11}}+\frac{\hat{r}}{r}\right. \\
& \left.\therefore k_{1} \frac{\hat{A}_{11}}{2 A_{11}}+k_{2} \frac{\hat{r}}{r}\right]+\underset{v}{[ }\left[\frac{\hat{\lambda}_{\nu}}{\lambda} \frac{3-\nu}{2} \frac{\hat{r}}{r}-K_{2} \frac{2 \hat{r}}{r}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\left.\frac{L P}{K}(1) \sqrt{A_{11}}\right] \frac{\sqrt{A_{11}}}{r}-\hat{w}\left[\frac{R_{2}+\nu R_{1}}{R_{1} R_{2}}+\frac{K_{1}}{R_{1}}-\frac{K_{2}}{R_{2}}\right] \sqrt{A_{11}}+u\left[\frac{\hat{\lambda}}{\lambda} \frac{\hat{r}}{r} \nu\right.
$$

$$
\left.+\nu \frac{\hat{r}_{r}}{r}-\frac{\hat{r}^{2}}{r^{2}}-\nu \frac{\hat{A}_{11}}{2 A_{11}} \frac{\hat{r}}{r}-K_{2}\left(\frac{\hat{\hat{r}}}{r}+\frac{\hat{r}^{2}}{r^{2}}-\frac{\hat{A}_{11}}{2 A_{11}} \frac{\hat{r}_{r}}{r}\right)+\frac{L P(1)}{K} \sqrt{A_{11}} \frac{\hat{r}}{r}\right]
$$

$$
+w\left[\frac{\hat{R}_{1}}{R_{R} R_{1}}+\nu \frac{\hat{R}_{2}}{R_{2}^{2}}-\frac{\hat{\lambda}}{\lambda} \frac{R_{2}+\nu R_{1}}{R_{1} R_{2}}+\frac{1-\nu}{r} \hat{r} \frac{R_{1}-R_{2}}{R_{1} R_{2}}+K_{1} \frac{\hat{R}_{1}}{R_{1}^{2}}+K_{2}\left(\frac{2 \hat{r}}{r} \frac{R_{1}-R_{2}}{R_{1} R_{2}}\right.\right.
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.-\frac{\hat{R}_{2}}{R_{2}^{2}}\right)-\frac{L P(1)^{\sqrt{A}} 11}{K R_{2}}\right]_{1} \sqrt{A_{11}}+\frac{L P^{\prime}(1)}{K} A_{11}-\frac{2 \lambda \rho L^{3}}{K} A_{11} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial t^{2}}=0 \tag{Fa}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{\tilde{u}}\left[\frac{1+\nu}{2}\right]+\hat{\hat{v}}\left[\frac{1-\nu}{2}+K_{1} \dot{j}\right] \frac{r}{\sqrt{A_{1}}}+\tilde{v}\left[1+K_{2}\right] \frac{\sqrt{A_{11}}}{r}+\tilde{u}\left[\frac{1-\nu}{2} \frac{\hat{\lambda}}{\lambda}+\frac{\hat{r}}{r}\right. \\
& \left.+K_{2} 2 \frac{\hat{r}}{r}\right]+\hat{v}\left[\frac{1-v}{2}\left(\frac{\hat{\lambda}}{\lambda}-\frac{\hat{A}_{11}}{2 A_{11}}+\frac{\hat{r}}{r}\right)-K_{1} \frac{\hat{A}_{11}}{2 A_{11}}+K_{2} \frac{\hat{r}}{r}\right] \frac{r}{\sqrt{A_{11}}} \\
& +v\left[\frac{1-\nu}{2}\left(\frac{\hat{r}}{r}+\frac{\hat{r}^{2}}{r^{2}}+\frac{\hat{r}}{r} \hat{\lambda} \lambda-\frac{\hat{r}}{r} \frac{\hat{A}_{11}}{2 A_{11}}\right)+K_{1}\left(\frac{\hat{r}}{r}-\frac{\hat{A}_{11}}{2 A_{11}} \frac{\hat{r}}{r}\right)+K_{2} \frac{\hat{r}^{2}}{r^{2}}\right] \frac{r}{\sqrt{A_{11}}} \\
& -w\left[\frac{R_{1}+\nu R_{2}}{R_{1} R_{2}}-\frac{K_{1}}{R_{1}}+\frac{K_{2}^{\prime}}{R_{2}}\right] \sqrt{A}_{11}+\frac{L P^{\prime}(2)}{K} r \sqrt{A_{11}}-\frac{2 \lambda_{0} L^{3}}{K} r_{\sqrt{A} A_{11}} \frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial t^{2}}=0 \tag{Tb}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{\hat{w}}\left[\frac{K_{1}}{\sqrt{A_{11}}}\right]+\tilde{w}\left[\frac{K_{2}}{r}\right] \frac{\sqrt{A_{11}}}{r}+\hat{u}\left[\frac{R_{2}+\nu R_{1}}{R_{1} R_{2}}+\frac{K_{1}}{R_{1}}-\frac{K_{2}}{R_{2}}\right]+\tilde{v}\left[\frac{R_{1}+\nu R_{2}}{R_{1} R_{2}}\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{K_{1}}{R_{1}}+\frac{K_{2}}{R_{2}}\right] \frac{\sqrt{A_{11}}}{r}-\hat{w}\left[K_{1} \frac{\hat{A}_{11}}{2 A_{11}}-K_{2} \frac{\hat{r}}{r}\right] \frac{1}{\sqrt{A_{11}}}+u\left[\frac{\hat{r}}{r} \frac{R_{1}+\nu R_{2}}{R_{1} R_{2}}\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{K_{1}}{R_{1}}\left(\frac{\hat{R}_{1}}{R_{1}}+\frac{\hat{r}}{r}\right)+\frac{K_{2}}{R_{2}} \frac{\hat{r}}{r}\right]-w\left[\frac{R_{2}+\nu R_{1}}{R_{1}}+\frac{R_{1}+\nu R_{2}}{R_{2}}-K_{1}-K_{2}\right] \frac{\sqrt{A_{1}}}{R_{1} R_{2}} \\
& +\frac{L P^{\prime}(3)}{K} \sqrt{A_{11}}-\frac{2 \lambda \rho L^{3}}{K} \sqrt{A_{11}} \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial t^{2}}=0 \tag{7c}
\end{align*}
$$

where:

$$
K=\frac{2 E \lambda L}{1-\nu^{2}} \quad K_{2}=\frac{N_{(11)}}{K} \quad K_{2}=\frac{N_{(22)}}{K}
$$

$r=$ perpendicular distance of a point on the initially-stressed middle surface from the axis of revolution
$R_{1}, R_{2}=\begin{gathered}\text { non-dimensional } \\ \text { shell }\end{gathered}$
If Eqs. (7) are rearranged it is possible to segregate the effects of the initial stress state as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
0= & \{\ldots \text { classical membrane equations of motion... }\} \\
& +N_{(11)}\{\ldots \text { functions of the added displacements...\} } \\
& +N_{(22)}\{\ldots \text { functions of the added displacements.... }
\end{aligned}
$$

An approximate formulation of Eqs. 7 is discussed in Reference 20. In that approximate theory for initially-stressed shells, it was shown that the effects of the initial stress can be neglected in the first two of the equations of motion, Eqs. 7a and 7b. However, in the third equation of motion, Eq. 7c, the initial stressicomponents should be retained in order to adequately describe the shell behavior in the neighborhood of discontinuities and non-membrane type boundaries. The approximate formulation of the equations of motion for a general shell of revolution is
$\hat{\hat{u}}+\hat{\hat{v}} \frac{1+2}{2} \frac{\sqrt{A_{11}}}{r}+\frac{\tilde{u}-v^{A} \frac{A_{11}}{2}}{r^{2}}+\hat{u}\left[\frac{\hat{\lambda}}{\lambda}-\frac{\hat{A}_{11}}{2 A_{11}}+\frac{\hat{r}}{r}\right]+\tilde{v}\left[\nu\left(\frac{\hat{\lambda}}{\lambda}-\frac{3-v}{2} \frac{\hat{r}}{r}\right] \frac{\sqrt{A_{11}}}{r}\right.$

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\hat{w} \frac{R_{2}+\nu R_{1}}{R_{1} R_{2}} \sqrt{A_{11}}+u\left[\nu\left(\frac{\hat{\lambda}}{\lambda} \frac{\hat{r}}{r}+\frac{\hat{\hat{r}}}{r}-\frac{\hat{A}_{11}}{2 A_{11}} \frac{\hat{r}_{r}}{r}\right)-\frac{\hat{r}_{2}^{2}}{r^{2}}\right]+w\left[\frac{\hat{R}_{1}}{R_{1}}+\nu \frac{\hat{R}_{2}}{R_{2}^{2}}-\frac{\hat{\lambda}_{\lambda}}{\lambda} \frac{R_{2}+\nu R_{1}}{R_{1} R_{2}}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{1-v}{r} \hat{r} \frac{R_{1}-R_{2}}{R_{1} R_{2}}\right] \sqrt{A_{11}}+\frac{L P^{\prime}(1)}{K} A_{11}-\frac{2 \lambda \rho L_{1}^{3}}{K} A_{11} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial t^{2}}=0  \tag{8a}\\
& \hat{\hat{u}} \frac{1+v}{2}+\hat{\hat{v}} \frac{1-v}{2} \frac{r}{\sqrt{A_{11}}}+\widetilde{\tilde{v}} \frac{\sqrt{A_{11}}}{r}+\tilde{u}\left[\frac{1-v}{2} \frac{\hat{\lambda}}{\lambda}+\frac{\hat{r}}{r}\right]+\hat{v} \frac{1-v}{2} \cdot\left[\frac{\hat{\lambda}}{\lambda}+\frac{\hat{r}}{r}\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{\hat{A}_{11}}{2 A_{11}}\right] \frac{\dot{r}}{\sqrt{A_{11}}}-v \frac{1-\nu}{2}\left[\frac{\hat{\mathbf{r}}}{\mathbf{r}}+\frac{\hat{r}^{2}}{r^{2}}+\frac{\hat{r}}{r} \frac{\hat{\lambda}}{\lambda}-\frac{\hat{r}^{\hat{A}_{11}}}{\mathbf{r}} \frac{r}{}\right] \frac{r}{\sqrt{A_{11}}}-\tilde{w} \frac{R_{1}+\nu R_{2}}{R_{1} R_{2}} \sqrt{A_{11}} \\
& +\frac{L P^{\prime}(2)}{K} r \sqrt{A} 11-\frac{2 \lambda \rho L^{3}}{K} r \sqrt{A} 11 \frac{\partial^{2} y}{\partial t^{L}}=0  \tag{8b}\\
& \hat{\hat{w}} \frac{K_{1}}{\sqrt{A}{ }_{11}}+\widetilde{w} \frac{K_{2}}{r} \frac{\sqrt{A_{11}}}{r}+\hat{u}\left[\frac{R_{2}+\nu R_{1}}{R_{1} R_{2}}+\frac{K_{1}}{R_{1}}-\frac{K_{2}}{R_{2}}\right]+\tilde{v}\left[\frac{R_{1}+\nu R_{2}}{R_{1} R_{2}}-\frac{K_{1}}{R_{1}}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{K_{2}}{R_{2}}\right] \frac{\sqrt{A_{11}}}{r}-\frac{\hat{w}}{\sqrt{A_{11}}}\left[K_{1} \frac{\hat{A}_{11}}{2 A_{11}}-K_{2} \frac{\hat{r}}{r}\right]+u\left[\frac{\hat{r}}{r} \frac{R_{1}+\nu R_{2}}{R_{1} R_{2}}-\frac{K_{1}}{R_{1}}\left(\frac{\hat{K}_{1}}{R_{1}}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\frac{\hat{r}}{r}\right)+\frac{K_{2}}{R_{2}} \hat{r}\right]-w\left[\frac{R_{2}+\nu R_{1}}{R_{1}}+\frac{R_{1}+\nu R_{2}}{R_{2}}-K_{1}-K_{2}\right] \frac{\sqrt{A_{11}}}{R_{1} R_{2}} \\
& +\frac{L P^{\prime}(3)}{K} \sqrt{A_{11}}-\frac{2 \lambda \rho L^{3}}{K} \sqrt{A_{11}} \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial t^{2}}=0^{\prime} \tag{8c}
\end{align*}
$$

It was shown in Reference 20 that the approximate static equations equivalent to Eqs. 8 gave solutions in close agreement with the solutions to the more exact formulation. Also, both of those solutions were significantly different from the solutions to the equivalent classical membrane equations where the effects of initial stress are ignored! In a succeeding section, the solutions to both the approximate and the exact equations, Eqs. 8 and 7 respectively, will be compared for several sample dynamic problems.

## SOLUTION TECHNIQUE: FREE VIBRATION

In the consideration of the natural frequencies and associated mode shapes for the asymmetric superposed equations of motion, all the forcing, functions
$P^{\prime}(1), P^{\prime}(2)$, and $P^{\prime}(3)$ are assumed to be zero and the displacements to be harmonic functions

$$
\begin{align*}
& u\left(x^{1}, \theta, t\right)=u *\left(x^{1}, \theta\right) e^{i \omega t}  \tag{9a}\\
& v\left(x^{1}, \theta, t\right)=v^{*}\left(x^{1}, \theta\right) e^{i \omega t}  \tag{9b}\\
& w\left(x^{1}, \theta, t\right)=w *\left(x^{1}, \theta\right) e^{i \omega t} \tag{9c}
\end{align*}
$$

If in addition, the functions $u^{*}, v^{*}, w^{*}$, are expanded in Fourier Series, it can be seen that Eqs. 7 and 8 admit solutions of the form (torsional vibrations are ignored):

$$
\begin{align*}
& u^{*}\left(x^{1}, \theta\right)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u^{n}\left(x^{1}\right) \cdot \cos n \theta  \tag{10a}\\
& v^{*}\left(x^{1}, \theta\right)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} v^{n}\left(x^{1}\right) \sin n \theta  \tag{10b}\\
& w *\left(x^{1}, \theta\right)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} w^{n}\left(x^{1}\right) \cos n \theta \tag{10c}
\end{align*}
$$

If Eqs. 9 and 10 are substituted into Eqs. 7, an infinite set of ordinary differential equations results, each set of which has the form

$$
0=\hat{\hat{v}} n\left[\frac{1-v}{2}+K_{1}\right] \frac{r}{\sqrt{A} A_{11}}+\hat{v} n\left[\frac{1-v}{2}\left(\frac{\tilde{\lambda}}{\lambda}-\frac{\hat{A}_{11}}{2 A_{11}}+\frac{\hat{r}}{r}\right)-K_{1} \frac{\hat{A}_{11}}{2 A_{11}}+K_{2} \frac{\hat{r}}{r}\right] \frac{r}{\sqrt{A_{11}}}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \phi=\hat{\hat{u}}^{n}\left[1+K_{1}\right]+\hat{u}^{n}\left[\frac{\hat{\lambda}}{\lambda}+\frac{\hat{r}}{r}-\frac{\hat{A}_{11}}{2 A_{11}}-K_{1} \frac{\hat{A}_{11}}{2 A_{11}}+K_{2} \hat{r} r\right]-\hat{w}^{n}\left[\frac{R_{2}+\nu R_{1}}{R_{1} R_{2}}+\frac{K_{1}}{R_{1}}\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{K_{2}}{R_{2}}\right] \sqrt{A_{11}}+\operatorname{nin}^{n} \frac{1+v}{2} \frac{\sqrt{A_{11}}}{r}+u^{n}\left[\nu \frac{\hat{\lambda}}{\lambda} \frac{\hat{r}}{r}+\nu \frac{\hat{\hat{r}}}{r}-v \frac{\hat{A}_{11}}{2 A_{11}} \frac{\hat{r}}{r}-\frac{\hat{r}^{2}}{r^{2}}-n^{2} \frac{1-\nu}{2} \frac{A_{11}}{r^{2}}\right. \\
& \left.+K_{2}\left(\frac{\hat{A}_{11}}{2 A_{11}} \frac{\hat{r}}{r}-\frac{\hat{\hat{r}}}{r}+\frac{\hat{r}^{2}}{r^{2}}-n^{2} \frac{A_{11}}{r^{2}}\right)+\mathbf{G} \cdot \frac{\hat{r}}{r}+p A_{11}\right]+n v^{n}\left[\nu \frac{\hat{\lambda}}{\lambda}-\frac{3-\nu}{2} \frac{\hat{r}}{r}\right. \\
& \left.-2 K_{2} \cdot \frac{\hat{r}}{r}+G\right] \frac{\sqrt{A_{11}}}{r}+w^{n}\left[\frac{\hat{R}_{1}}{R_{1}}+\nu \frac{\hat{R}_{2}}{R_{1}{ }^{2}}-\frac{\hat{\lambda}^{\lambda}}{\lambda} \frac{R_{2}+\nu R_{1}}{R_{1} R_{2}}+\frac{1-\nu}{r} \hat{r} \frac{R_{1}-R_{2}}{R_{1} R_{2}}+K_{1} \frac{\hat{R}_{1}}{R_{1}{ }^{2}}\right. \\
& \left.+K_{2}\left(2 \frac{\hat{r}}{r} \frac{R_{1}-R_{2}}{R_{1} R_{2}}-\frac{\hat{R}_{2}^{2}}{R_{2}^{2}}\right)-\frac{G}{R_{2}}\right] \sqrt{A_{11}} . \tag{11a}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& -n u^{n} \frac{1+\nu}{2}-n u^{n}\left[\frac{1-\nu}{2} \frac{\hat{\lambda}}{\lambda}+\frac{3-v}{2} \frac{\hat{r}}{r}+2 K_{2} \frac{\hat{r}}{r}\right]-v^{n}\left[\frac{1-\nu}{2}\left(\frac{\hat{\hat{r}}}{r}+\frac{\hat{r}^{2}}{r^{2}}+\frac{\hat{\lambda}}{\lambda} \frac{\hat{r}}{r}-\frac{\hat{r}}{r} \frac{\hat{A_{11}}}{2 A_{11}}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+n^{2} \frac{A_{11}}{r^{2}}+K_{1}\left(\frac{\hat{r}}{r}-\frac{\hat{A}_{11}}{2 A_{11}} \frac{\hat{r}}{r}\right)+K_{2}\left(\frac{\hat{r}^{2}}{r^{2}}+n^{2} \frac{A_{11}}{r^{2}}\right)-p A_{11}\right] \frac{r}{\sqrt{A_{11}}} \\
& +n w n\left[\frac{R_{1}+\nu R_{2}}{R_{1} R_{2}}-\frac{K_{1}}{R_{1}}+\frac{K_{2}}{R_{2}}\right] \sqrt{A_{11}}  \tag{11b}\\
& 0=\hat{w} \frac{K_{2}}{\sqrt{A_{11}}}+\hat{u}^{n}\left[\frac{R_{2}+\nu R_{1}}{R_{1} R_{2}}+\frac{K_{1}}{R_{1}}-\frac{K_{2}}{R_{2}}\right]+\frac{\hat{w}^{n}}{\sqrt{A_{11}}}\left[K_{2} \frac{\hat{r}}{r}-K_{1} \frac{\hat{A}_{11}}{2 A_{11}}\right]+u^{n}\left[\frac{\hat{r}_{r}}{r} \frac{R_{1}+\nu R_{2}}{R_{1} R_{2}}\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{K_{1}}{R_{1}}\left(\frac{\hat{R}_{1}}{R_{1}}+\frac{\hat{r}}{r}\right)+\frac{K_{2}}{R_{1}} \frac{\hat{r}}{r}\right]+n v v^{n}\left[\frac{R_{1}+\nu R_{2}}{R_{1} R_{2}}-\frac{K_{1}}{R_{1}}+\frac{K_{2}}{R_{2}}\right] \frac{\sqrt{A_{1}}}{r}-w^{n}\left[\frac{R_{2}+\nu R_{1}}{R_{1}}+\frac{R_{1}+\nu \dot{R}_{2}}{R_{2}}\right. \\
& \left.-K_{1}-K_{2}\left(1-\frac{R_{1} R_{2}}{r^{2}} n^{2}\right)-R_{1} R_{2} p\right] \frac{\sqrt{A_{11}}}{R_{1} R_{2}} \tag{lie}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
G=\frac{L P(1)^{\sqrt{A}}{ }_{11}}{K} ; \quad p=\frac{\rho L^{2}\left(1-\nu^{2}\right)}{E} \omega^{2} \tag{11d}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the approximate equations of motion, Eqs. 8, are considered, the set of ordinary differential equations equivalent to the exact theory, Eqs. 11, are as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0=\hat{\hat{u}}^{n}+\hat{u} n\left[\frac{\hat{\lambda}}{\lambda}+\frac{\hat{r}}{r}-\frac{\hat{A}_{11}}{2 A_{11}}\right]-\hat{w}_{n} \frac{R_{2}+\nu R_{1}}{R_{1} R_{2}} \sqrt{A_{11}}+n \hat{v}^{n} \frac{1+\nu}{2} \frac{\sqrt{A} 11}{r}+u\left[v \left(\frac{\hat{\lambda}}{\lambda} \frac{\hat{r}}{r}+\frac{\hat{r}}{r}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.-\frac{\hat{A}_{11}}{2 A_{11}} \frac{\hat{r}}{r}\right)-\frac{\hat{r}^{2}}{r^{2}}-n^{2} \frac{1-\nu}{2} \frac{A_{11}}{r^{2}}+G \frac{\hat{r}}{r}+p A_{11}\right]+n v^{n}\left[v \frac{\hat{\lambda}}{\lambda}-\frac{3-\nu}{2} \frac{\hat{r}}{r}+G\right] \frac{\sqrt{A_{11}}}{r} \\
& +w\left[\frac{\hat{R}_{1}}{R_{1}^{2}}+\nu \frac{\hat{R}_{2}}{R_{2}^{2}}-\frac{\hat{\lambda}}{\lambda} \frac{R_{2}+\nu R_{1}}{R_{1} R_{2}}+\frac{1-\nu}{r} \hat{r} \frac{R_{1}-R_{2}}{R_{1} R_{2}}-\frac{G}{R^{2}}\right] \sqrt{A_{11}} .  \tag{12a}\\
& 0=\hat{\hat{v}}^{n} \frac{1-\nu}{2} \frac{r}{\sqrt{A} 11}+\hat{v}^{n} \frac{1-\nu}{2}\left[\frac{\hat{\lambda}}{\lambda}-\frac{\hat{A}_{11}}{2 A_{11}}+\frac{\hat{r}}{r}\right] \frac{r}{\sqrt{A} 11}=n \hat{u} \frac{1+\nu}{2}-n u n\left[\frac{1-\nu}{2} \frac{\hat{\lambda}}{\lambda}+\frac{3-v}{2} \frac{\hat{r}}{r}\right] \\
& +n w^{n} \frac{R_{1}+\nu R_{2}}{R_{1} R_{2}} \sqrt{A_{11}}-v^{n}\left[\frac{1-\nu}{2}\left(\frac{\hat{r}}{r}+\frac{\hat{r}^{2}}{r^{2}}+\frac{\hat{\lambda}}{\lambda} \frac{\hat{r}}{r}-\frac{\hat{r}_{r}}{r} \frac{\hat{A}_{11}}{2 A_{11}}\right)+n^{2} \frac{A_{11}}{r^{2}}+p A_{11}\right] \frac{r}{\sqrt{A_{11}}}  \tag{lab}\\
& 0:=\hat{w}_{n} \cdot \frac{K_{2}}{\sqrt{A_{11}}}+\hat{u}^{n}\left[\frac{R_{2}+\nu R_{1}}{R_{1} R_{2}}+\frac{K_{1}}{R_{1}}-\frac{K_{2}}{R_{2}}\right]+\frac{n_{1}}{\sqrt{A_{11}}}\left[K_{2} \frac{\hat{r}_{r}}{r}-K_{1} \frac{\hat{A}_{11}}{2 A_{11}}\right]+u\left[\frac{n}{r} \frac{R_{1}+\psi R_{2}}{R_{1} R_{2}}\right.
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\frac{K_{1}}{R_{1}}\left(\frac{\hat{R}_{1}}{R_{1}}+\frac{\hat{r}}{r}\right)+\frac{K_{2}}{R_{1}} \frac{\hat{r}}{r}\right]+n v^{n}\left[\frac{R_{1}+\nu R_{2}}{R_{1} R_{2}}-\frac{K_{1}}{R_{1}}+\frac{K_{2}}{R_{2}}\right] \frac{\sqrt{A_{1}}}{r}-w^{n}\left[\frac{R_{2}+\nu R_{1}}{R_{1}}+\frac{R_{1}+\nu R_{2}}{R_{2}}\right. \\
& \left.-K_{1}-K_{2}\left(1-\frac{R_{1} R_{2}}{r^{2}} n^{2}\right)-R_{1} R_{2} p\right] \frac{\sqrt{A_{1}}}{R_{1} R_{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Determination of Eigenvalues - The method used to determine the natural frequencies and mode shapes for the asymmetric vibrations of initially-stressed shells is (1) to select a trial value for the natural frequency $\&$, and to check if the trial value provides an unique solution which satisfies the equations of motion (Eqs. 11 or 12 ) and their assoclated homogenious boundary conditions. If the trial value of $\mathrm{u}^{\text {w }}$ were a natural. frequency, a non-trivial family of solutions which differ lonly by a multiplicative constant would have been found.

When the trial $\omega$ is substituted into the equations of motion, the combined initial-value and boundary-value problem is converted into an equivalent boundaryvalue problem for which one method of solution has been discussed previously (20). This solution method is reviewed below. The trial frequencies are tested by calculating a determinant associated with the equivalent static solution. Since the elements of this determinant (described below) are continuous functions of $\omega$, a change in sign of the determinant for two different trial values of $\omega$ implies the existence of a natural frequency between those two trial values. Then using binary search techniques, one can obtain better approximations to the natural frequency.

Boundary Conditions - The solutions to the combined initial-value and boundary-value problem posed by either of Eqs. 11 or 12 can be obtained if the initial conditions and six boundary conditions for-each value of $n$ are correctly prescribed. The three boundary conditions at an edge of the shell can in most cases be readily obtained using Fourier expansions.

The criteria for establishment of boundary; conditions in the particular case of a shell continuous at the apex'are such, that there exists no singularity
at the apex $(20,31)$. Since the solutions $u^{n}, v^{n}, w^{n}$ are analytic functions at the apex, it is possible to obtain solutions to either Eqs. 11 or 12 in the neighborhood of $x^{1}=0$ (regular single point) by means of series expansions. . When the series expansions of $u^{n}, v^{n}, w^{n}$ are substituted into the equations of motion evaluated at $x^{1}=0$, certain relations between the constants of the series expansions are obtained which must be satisfied in order to satisfy equilibrium at the apex. These relations can be considered as apex boundary conditions for the numerical-integration of the boundary-value problem equivalent to Eqs. 11 or 12. Let $x^{1}$ be $\varphi$, the angle that the normal to the shell makes with the axis of revolution. The Taylor series expansions of $u^{n}, v^{n}$ and $w^{n}$ about the point $\theta=0$ are

$$
\begin{align*}
u^{n} & =\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_{m}^{n} \varphi^{m}  \tag{13a}\\
\mathbf{v}^{n} & =\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} b_{m}^{n} \varphi^{m}  \tag{13b}\\
w^{n} & =\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} c_{m}^{n} \varphi^{m} \tag{13c}
\end{align*}
$$

If Eqs. 13 are substituted into either of Eqs. 11 or 12 and if terms are collected in powers of $\varphi$, the vanishing of all terms independently of $\varphi$ yields the following set of relations between the constants of the series expansions (different relations are obtained for each value of $n$; only the relationships for $\mathrm{n}=0,1,2$ are listed here)
$\underline{n}=0 \quad\left(a_{1}^{0}, b_{1}^{0}, c_{0}^{0}\right.$ arbitrary $)$
$a_{0}^{\circ}=b_{0}^{\circ}=c_{1}{ }^{0}=a_{2}^{0}=b_{2}^{0}=c_{3}^{\circ}=0$
$c_{2}^{0}=\frac{2(1+\nu)\left(c_{0}^{\circ}-a_{1}{ }^{\circ}\right)-\left(p R_{1}^{2}+2 K_{1}\right) c_{0}^{0}}{4 K_{1}}$
$a_{3}^{\circ}=\frac{2(1+\nu) c_{2}{ }^{\circ}-\left(\frac{1-3 \nu_{1}}{3}+\frac{4 K_{1}}{3}+\mathrm{pR}_{1}{ }^{2}\right) \mathrm{a}_{1}{ }^{\circ}}{8\left(1+\mathrm{K}_{1}\right)}$
$b_{3}^{0}=-\left[1+\frac{6 p R_{1}^{2}}{4 \Gamma^{4} \nu+8 K_{1}}\right] \frac{b_{1}}{6}$
$a_{m}{ }^{0}, b_{m}{ }^{0} ; c_{m} 0^{\circ}$ determined by $a_{1}{ }^{0} ; b_{1}{ }^{0} ; c_{0}{ }^{\circ}$ for $m \geq 2$
$\underline{n}=2\left(a_{3}^{2}, b_{1}^{2}, c_{2}^{2}\right.$ arbitrary $)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{0}^{2}=b_{0}^{2}=c_{0}^{2} \doteq c_{1}^{2}=a_{2}^{2}=b_{2}^{2}=c_{3}^{2}=0 \tag{16a}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{1}^{2}=-b_{1}^{2} \tag{16b}
\end{equation*}
$$

$b_{3}^{2}=\frac{\frac{3+\nu+2 K_{1}}{2}\left(a_{3}^{2}+\frac{b_{1}^{2}}{6}\right)-\frac{1+\nu}{2} c_{2}^{2}}{K_{1}-v}$
$a_{m}^{2}, b_{m}^{2}, c_{m}^{2}$ determined by $a_{3}{ }^{2}, b_{1}{ }^{2}, c_{2}{ }^{2}$ for $m \geq 4$

Numerical Integration Scheme - The method of solution chosen for the boundary-value problem posed by either Eqs; 11 or 12 is a generalization of Holzer's method ( $3,8,12,25,31$ ) in which the two-point boundary-value problem is transformed into a set of homogeneous initial ${ }^{\dot{E}}$ value problems, each initialvalue problem being integrated numerically using a generalized trapezoidal rule. The proper initial conditions for each initial-value problem are formed by assuming independent values of the unknown derivatives at one boundary of the shell. Since Eqs. 11 and 12 are both sixth-order systems with three boundary conditions prescribed at each end of a typical meridional line, three independent assumptions can be made for the initial conditions for each of the three homogeneous

$$
\begin{align*}
& n=1 \quad\left(a_{2}^{1} ; b_{0}^{1}, c_{1}^{1} \text { arbitrary }\right) \\
& c_{0}{ }^{1}=a_{1}{ }^{1}=b_{1}^{\prime}{ }^{1}=c_{2}{ }^{1}=a_{3}{ }^{1}=b_{3}{ }^{1}=0  \tag{15a}\\
& a_{0}{ }^{1}=-b_{0}{ }^{1}  \tag{15b}\\
& \begin{array}{l}
b_{2}^{1}=\frac{\left(\frac{5+v}{2}+2 \mathrm{~K}_{1}\right) a_{2}^{1}-(1+\nu) c_{1}^{1}-\left(\frac{1-3 \nu}{4}+\mathrm{pR}_{1}^{2}+\mathrm{K}_{1}\right) \mathrm{b}_{0}^{1}}{\frac{1-3 \nu}{2}+2 \mathrm{~K}_{1}} \\
c_{3}^{1}=\frac{\left[2(1+\nu) \mathrm{pR}_{1}^{2}-\frac{4 \mathrm{~K}_{1}}{3}\right] \mathrm{c}_{1}^{1}-(1+\nu)\left(3 c_{2}^{1}+b_{2}^{1}\right)+\frac{1+\nu}{2} b_{0}^{1}}{8 \mathrm{~K}_{1}}
\end{array}  \tag{15c}\\
& a_{m}^{1}, b_{m}^{1}, c_{m}^{1} \text { determined by } a_{2}^{1}, b_{o}^{1}, c_{1}^{1} \text { for } m \geq 3
\end{align*}
$$

initial-value.problems.
The solution process for the two-point boundary-value problem is outlined below:

1. For an assumed value of $\omega$, the 6 th-order boundary-value problem is transformed into 3 initial-value problems by assuming 3 independent sets of homogeneous boundary conditions at one edge of the shell.
2. The solution to each homogeneous initial-value problem is propagated along a typical meridian. Newmark's beta-method, a generalized trapezoidal rule for intigration, is used. 'See References 19, 20, 25 for discussions and applications of this method. Three partial solutions are thus generated.
3. The total solution at any, meridional point, including the boundary, is a Inear combination of the threeipartial solutions:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\text { true }  \tag{17}\\
\text { solution }
\end{array}\right\}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\text { partial } \\
\text { solutions }
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\alpha_{1} \\
\alpha_{2} \\
\alpha_{3}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

where $\alpha_{i}$ are the constants of the combination.
4. In order that the homogeneous boundary conditions at the edge be satisfied, the linear homogeneous equations formed from the corresponding portions of the partial solutions must have a non-trivial solution. In other words, the determinate of the coefficient matrix for the partial solutions corresponding to the boundary conditions must be zero.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{\begin{array}{c}
\text { true } \\
\text { boundary } \\
\text { conditions }
\end{array}\right\}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\text { boundary values } \\
\text { for } \\
\text { fartial solutions }
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\alpha_{1} \\
\alpha_{2} \\
\alpha_{3}
\end{array}\right\}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right\}  \tag{18}\\
& \therefore \quad \operatorname{det}\left|\begin{array}{c}
\text { boundary values } \\
\text { for } \\
\text { partial solutions }
\end{array}\right|=0
\end{align*}
$$

5. If the determinant is not zero, the trial value of $\omega$ is not a natural frequency' and steps 1 to 3 must be repeated for another trial value. After two values of $\omega$ are found for which the signs of the corresponding determinaht are oppisite, a half-interval search is instituted to find the bracketed value of $\omega$ corresponding; to a natural frequency.
6. If the determinant is zero; the boundary conditions are satisfied and the mode shape for that natural frequency is determinable to within an arbitrary constant by solving Eq. 18 for $\alpha_{2}$ and $\alpha_{3}$ in terms of $\alpha_{1}$ and then by combining the partial solutions as in Eq. 17.

If the starting point for the numerical integration of the initialvalue problems is the apex, special methods must be used in the neighborhood of the apex. The solutions in the region of $\varphi=0$ are approximated by Taylor series expansions, Eqs. 13. For any value of $n$, sufficient terms in the series expansions must be taken such that all of the arbitrary constants in Eqs. 14, 15, and 16 are included. This will guarantee that the solutions at all points in the shell are linear combinations of all the arbitrary conditions at the $\operatorname{apex}(20,3 I)$.

Since it i's not possible to consider all terms in the Taylor series expansions, it is necessary to use a successive approximation scheme for propagating solutions in the neighborhood of the apex. Such a scheme is described in Reference 20. It was found that in cases where the geometry of the shell changes rapidly near the apex, e.g. a paraboloid, more rapid convergence of the successive approximations was possible if, instead of using Taylor expansions of the displacement functions ( $u, v, w$ ), Taylor expansions of $u / R_{1}, v / r$, and w were used.

Suppression of Extraneous Solutions. - The use of the generalized Holzer method in cạses where the numerical integration must proceed over a long interval introduces serious convergence difficulties in that the solution to each of the inftial-value problems includes both a decaying function of $x^{1}$ and a rapidly growing function of $x^{1}$ (9). The coefficient of the rapidly growing function should in theory be zero, but because of various numerical integration errors is not exactly zero. For short regions of integration the rapidly growing extraneous solution's have negligible effect on the combination of the partial
solutions. However, for longer intervals of integration, the extraneous solutions predominate and the equations which express the linear combinations of the partial solutions are extremely ill-conditioned.

There are two methods in current use for alleviating this convergence problem. One method, the multi-segment method, consists of subdividing the shell region into short segments ( $4,14,15,21$ ). The initial-value problems are integrated within each segment, and solutions are combined to satisfy compatibility requirements at the junctions of the various segments. The second method, the suppression method, consists of combining the partial solutions at selected points along the meridian in order to suppress the extraneous solutions (3, 8, 30, 31): Although the two methods are similar in concept, and although the multi-segment method lends itself to an easier physical interpretation, the suppression method requires a lesser number, of independent partial solutions. Also, instead of solving, for example, one set of 3 N equations simultaneously, N sets of 3 simultaneous equations are solved successively. For the above reasons the suppression method was chosen in this study.

The suppression method is implemented by requiring that at certain meridional points fictitious conditions be satisfied by linear combinations of the unsuppressed partial solutions. The fictitious conditions to be satisfied must be arbitrary, independent conditions which have small magnitudes compared with the partial solutions. The partial solutions are therefore combined to form new arbitrary partial solutions in which the extraneous growing functions are suppressed. The linear combinations at the point of suppression and at all prior points constitute the new set of arbitrary solutions which are then propagated along the meridian to the next point at which suppression is required. The suppression process is detailed in References 3 and 30.

In order to test the solution procedures described in the previous section for both the exact and the approximate equations of motion (Eqs. 11 and 12), several illustrative examples were solved for their natural frequencies and mode shapes, only the $\mathrm{n}=0,1$, 2 harmonics being considered. A computer program was developed which was capable of handling a shell of revolution with a general meridional configuration. The program was tested on several sample shell configurations including: a sphere continuous at the apex and fixed or simply-supported at the base; a sphere fixed or simply-supported near the apex and at the base; a sphere with a rigid plug near the apex and fixed at the base; a paraboloid of revolution continuous at the apex and fixed or simply-supported at the base; a toroidal section with a rigid plug at one end and fixed at the other end. The results for several of these problems are presented in this section.

Sphere Continuous at Apex. - The first example considered was an initiallystressed spherical segment fixed at its base as shown in Fig. 2a. The initial stress state was attained via internal pressure $\bar{p}$, the smallest characteristic length $L=$ radius of sphere, and $\varphi_{0}=$ opening angle of the sphere. If the following substitutions are made in Eqs. 11 or 12, the natural frequencies and associated mode shapes for this problem can be obtained:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
x^{1}=0 & G=0 \\
K_{1}=K_{2}=\frac{\bar{p} R\left(1-\nu^{2}\right)}{4 E \lambda} & R_{1}=R_{2}=\sqrt{A_{11}}=R \sin \varphi
\end{array}
$$

Several numerical problems were considered. Figures 3, 4, and 5 depict the first three natural displacement and stress mode shapes for each of the first three harmonics of a sphere with an opening angle of $\varphi_{0}^{\circ}=45^{\circ}$. The properties of the initially-stressed shell considered are $E=300,000 \mathrm{psi}$,






$\nu=0.4, \lambda=0.0001, L=100 \mathrm{ft} ., \mathrm{R}=1, \mathrm{~N}_{(11)}=\mathrm{N}_{(22)}=20,000 \mathrm{psf}$. Figs 6, 7 , and 8 show the displacement mode shapes of a hemisphere with these same properties except that $\varphi_{0}=90^{\circ}$.

In all of the above examples the exact formulation was used as the basis of solution. When the approximate formulation was used, results were obtained which were in close agreement to the more exact solutions. The solutions for the two formulations are compared in Table 1 for a sphere with an opening angle of $45^{\circ}$.

It is of interest to note that for a steep shell vibrating in its lowest axisymetric mode there exists a node, $w=0$, at an interior point. The location of the node varies with the opening angle considered. Therefore, a uniform, dynamic pressure loading cannot be adequately represented by only one mode when the modal analysis method for forced vibrations (described in a following section) is used. In Fig. 9 are plotted the normal displacements for the first axisymmetric mode shapes of spheres with various opening angles. For extremely steep shells, note that the node occurs near $\varphi_{0} / 2$.

The effects of the thickness and initial-stress parameters were also studied. For a shell of constant thickness, both the thickness parameter $\lambda$ and the initial-stress parameter ${ }^{N}(11)$ are contained within the single parametric expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{1}=\frac{N(11)\left(1-\nu^{2}\right)}{2 E \lambda I} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, for a given initial stress, the effect of a change in thickness can be obtained by maintaining a constant thickness and changing the initial stress. Fig. 10 shows the effect of initial stress on the values of the natural frequencies of a sphere with an opening angle of $45^{\circ}$. It was also found that the initial stress had no appreciable effect on the shapes of the natural modes.

Sphere with Other Boundary Conditions. - Two other sphere problems were considered: 1) a sphere fixed near the apex and at the base, Fig. 2b; and

TABLE 1
COMPARISON OF EXACT AND APPROYIMATE FORMDLATIONS FOR A SPHERE CONTINUOUS AT THE APEX AND FIXED AT $\overbrace{0}^{\circ}=45^{\circ}$

|  | $\mathrm{n}=0$ |  |  |  | $\mathrm{n}=1$ |  |  |  | $\mathrm{n}=2$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $c$, in | " (exact) | P(approx) | $\mathrm{N}^{\prime}(11)^{(\mathrm{ex}}$ | (i1) (approx | W(exact) | Y(approx) | $\mathrm{N}^{\prime}(11)($ exact $)$ | N'(11) ${ }^{\text {(approx) }}$ | k (exact) | P(approx) | $\mathrm{N}_{(11)}^{\prime}$ (exact) | N'(1) (approx) |
| _degrees | $c_{1}($ exact $)=49.75$ |  | $u_{i}($ approx $)=49.13$ |  | $G_{i}($ exact $)=44.76$ |  | al (approx) $=43.93$ |  | $a_{i}($ exact $)=54.31$ |  | $u_{1}($ approx $)=53.45$ |  |
| 0 | 1.050 | 1.000 | -100.0 | -100.0 | 0.000 | 0.000 | - 0.0 | - 0.0 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 9 | 0.815 | 0.815 | -93.1 | - 93.8 | 0.611 | 0.609 | -56.8 | -55.7 | 0.267 | 0.266 | 98.0 | 95.8 |
| 18 | 0.383 | 0.382 | - 75.7 | - 77.7 | 0.967 | 0.965 | -93.5 | -92.5 | 0.785 | 0.784 | 90.0 | 86.5 |
| 27 | -0.028 | -0.030 | - 54.6 | - 57.6 | 0.930 | 0.930 | -97.5 | -97.6 | 0.993 | 0.993 | 74.1 | 69.5 |
| 36 | -0.175 | -0.176 | - 37.4 | - 40.3 | 0.541 | 0.544 | -68.5 | -69.3 | 0.627 | 0.627 | 51.3 | 47.8 |
| 15 | 0.000 | 0.000 | - 29.4 | - 30.7 | 0.000 | 0.000 | -16.5 | -14. 6 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 27.2 | 26.0 |
|  | cie $($ exact $)=65.74$ |  | $\omega_{2}$ (approx) $=65.43$ |  | us(exact) $=62.34$ |  | $\omega_{2}($ approx $)=61.76$ |  | $a_{i}($ exact $)=72.54$ |  | $a_{3}($ approx $)=72.05$ |  |
| 0 | -1.000 | 1.000 | - 14.3 | - 12.3 | 0.000 | 0.000 | - 0.0 | - 0.0 | 0.000 | 0.000 | -100.0 | -130.0 |
| 3 | 0.337 | 0.338 | 8.8 | 8.6 | 0.922 | 0.920 | -75.0 | -71.2 | 0.575 | 0.575 | -94.7 | -30.2 |
| 18 | -0.730 | -0.722 | 57.6 | 54.2 | 0.745 | 0.747 | -100.0 | -100.0 | 0.965 | 0.968 | -66.0 | -59.0 |
| 27 | $-0.837$ | -0.818 | 93.1 | 90.5 | -0.166 | -0.160 | -73.0 | -80.7 | 0.022 | 0.024 | -13.5 | -14.2 |
| 36 | -0.185 | -0.168 | 99.0 | 99.5 | -0.546 | -0.5122 | -35.8 | -45.2 | -0.687 | -0.685 | 23.8 | 16.9 |
| $\pm 5$ | -0.000 | -0.000 | 90.0 | 01.8 | 0.000 | $\Omega .000$ | -26.0 | $-29.3$ | 0.000 | 0.002 | 17.1 | 15.1 |
|  | $4^{3}$ (exact $)=76.69$ |  | $a_{3}$ (approx) $=76.43$ |  | $\overrightarrow{\vec{r}_{3}}$ (exact) $=82.47$ |  | $a_{3}($ approx $)=82.02$ |  | $\omega_{3}($ exact $)=93.09$ |  | $u_{3}$ (approx) $=93.00$ |  |
| 0 | -1.000 | -1.000 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.000 | 0.000 | . 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | 0.000 | -100.0 | -100.0 |
| 9 | -0.415 | -0.414 | 79.5 | 81.5 | 0.970 | 0.990 | -82.0 | -75.2 | 0.860 | 0.859 | - 89.6 | - 85.5 |
| 18 | 0.211 | 0.205 | 44.0 | 47.5 | -0.155 | -0.155 | -21.5 | -21.5 | 0.345 | 0.347 | - 43.1 | - 41.5 |
| 27 | -0.127 | -0.135 | 30.8 | 32.4 | -0.528 | -0.532 | 85.0 | 78.0 | -0.6336 | -0.637 | 4.5 | 1.6 |
| 36 | -0.382 | -0.383 | 36.7 | 37.4 | 0.376 | 0.373 | 92.2 | 94.8 | 0.424 | 0.421 | 4.5 | - 7.5 |
| 45 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 37.9 | 40.3 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 73.5 | 73.6 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 1.6 | 2.8 |






2) a sphere with a rigid plug near the apex and fixed or simply-supported at the base, Fig. 2c. Several numerical problems were considered. Fig. 11 shows the first three normal displacement mode shapes for the first three harmonics of two sphere problems with $\varphi_{0}=45^{\circ}$. In both examples the shell near the apex is assumed to be attached to the fixed support and to the rigid plug, respectively, at $\varphi=1^{\circ}$.

The initial-stress states for these two examples were obtained via uniform internal pressure: In the case of a sphere fixed at both ends of the meridian, it was assumed that the sphere was completely supported on rollers which, after the desired configuration was obtained, were rigidly clamped. The initialstress resultants for a fixed-fixed sphere therefore are the same as those used in the previous section for a sphere continuous at the apex. In a similar fashion for the sphere with a rigid plug near the apex, it was assumed that the sphere boundary conditions during the pressurization phase were that the base was on roller supports and that near the apex the sphere was attached to a rigid plug. After pressurization, the sphere is then completely clamped at the base. The initial-stress resultants for a sphere with a rigid plug near the apex are therefore

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }^{N}(11)=\frac{\overline{\operatorname{pLR}} \sin ^{2} \varphi}{\left.\sin ^{2} \varphi+\frac{1-v}{1+v} \sin ^{2} \vartheta_{1}\right\rfloor}  \tag{21a}\\
& { }^{N}(22)=\frac{\overline{\operatorname{pLR}}}{2 \sin ^{2} \varphi}\left\lfloor\sin ^{2} \varphi-\frac{1-v}{1+v} \sin ^{2} \theta_{1}\right\rfloor \tag{21b}
\end{align*}
$$

where the solutions have been linearized, i.e. terms of order $\frac{\bar{p}^{2} L}{\lambda E}$ have been neglected, and where $\omega_{1}=$ angular location of rigid plug.

Shells of Revolution with More Complex' Geometries. : In order to demonstrate the, solution procedure on a sample problem with a more complex geometry then that of a sphere, two further examples were considered: 1) the paraboloid of revolution shown in Fig. 12, and 2) the toroidal section shown in Fig. 13. For the paraboloid of revolution, several numerical examples were solved. The



RIGID PLUG NEAR APEX, FIMED AT $\phi=45^{\circ}$

results of one of these problems are depicted in Fig. 14, where the first three displacement mode shapes are plotted for the first two harmonics of a paraboloid continuous at the apex and fixed at $\varphi_{0}=45 \%$. The data for the particular paraboloid considered in Fig. 14 is $E=30^{\prime 0}, 000$ psi, $\nu=0.4, \lambda=0.0001$, $L=50 \mathrm{ft} ., \mathrm{a}=1, \overline{\mathrm{p}}=500 \mathrm{psf}$, where $\overline{\mathrm{p}}$ is the internal pressure used to calculate the initial-stress resultants (18).

The toroidal shell problem considered, Fig. 13, was that of a section of a torus fixed at the base, $0=\varphi 0$, and attached to a rigid plug near the apex. The numerical data for the particular example considered are $E=300,000 \mathrm{psi}$, $v=0.4, \mathrm{~L}=100 \mathrm{ft} ., \mathrm{a}=-0.25, c_{1}=30^{\circ}, \rho_{0}=90^{\circ}$, and $\overline{\mathrm{p}}=400 \mathrm{psf}$, where $\overline{\mathrm{p}}$ is the internal pressure used in the calculation of the initial-stress resultants by the following equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& N_{(11)}=\frac{L R \bar{p}}{(a+R \sin \theta)} \cdot\left\{a+\frac{R \sin n}{2}\left[1+\frac{\sin ^{2}}{\sin ^{2} \theta^{2}} \frac{a(1-2 \eta)+\dot{R}(1-v) \sin \theta_{1}}{a+R(1+\eta) \sin \theta_{1}}\right]\right\}  \tag{22a}\\
& N_{(22)}=\frac{\operatorname{LR\overline {p}}}{2}\left\{1-\frac{\sin ^{2}(01}{\sin ^{2} \theta} \frac{a(1-2 v)+R(1-v) \sin \theta}{a+R(1+\eta) \sin \omega_{1}}\right\} \tag{22b}
\end{align*}
$$

The first three normal displacement mode shapes for the first three harmonics of this particular example are shown in Fig. $15 .{ }^{\circ}$

## FORCED VIBRATIONS

Once the natural frequencies and associated mode shapes of free vibration for a thin initially-stressed shell of revolution have been obtained, it is possible to determine the response of the shell to time-dependent loading conditions by means of the modal analysis method (3, 13, 17, 31). In this method it is assumed the transient displacements can be represented as an infinite, convergent sum of the mode shapes of free vibration, each mode shape being multiplied by a time dependent participation factor. The problem is then reduced to the determination of a sufficient number of mode participation factors to


adequately represent the forced response of the initially-stressed shell. The equations of motion can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
& L_{1}(u, v, w)+L P^{\prime}(1)^{\sqrt{A}} 11-2 \lambda \rho L^{3} \sqrt{A_{11}} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial t^{2}}-2 \lambda C L^{3} \sqrt{A_{11}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}=0  \tag{23a}\\
& L_{2}(u, v ; w)+L P^{\prime}(2)^{r}-2 \lambda \rho L^{3} r \frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial t^{2}}-2 \lambda C L^{3} r \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}=0  \tag{23b}\\
& L_{3}(u, v, w)+I P^{\prime}(3)-2 \lambda \dot{\rho L^{3}} \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial t^{2}}-2 \lambda C L^{3} \frac{\partial w}{\partial t}=0 \tag{23c}
\end{align*}
$$

where $L_{i}(u, v, w)=$ differential operator related to the equivalent static problem, and $C=$ coefficient of viscous damping. Note that Eqs. 23 are a slight generalization of Eqs. 7 or 8 in that a first approximation to the effect of viscous damping has been included. It is assumed that the shell is underdamped, i.e. $C<C_{c r}$, where $C_{c r}$ is the coefficient of critical damping.

Let the displacements be expanded in terms of the natural modes as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
& u\left(x^{1}, \theta, t\right)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} u_{i}^{n}\left(x^{1}\right) \cos n \theta F_{n i}(t)^{\prime}  \tag{24a}\\
& v\left(x^{1}, \theta, t\right)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} v_{i}^{n}\left(x^{1}\right) \sin n \theta F_{n i}(t)  \tag{24b}\\
& w\left(x^{I}, \theta ; t\right)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} w_{i}^{n}\left(x^{1}\right) \cos n \theta F_{n i}(t) \tag{24c}
\end{align*}
$$

where $u^{n}{ }_{i}, v_{i}{ }_{i}, w_{i}^{n}$ are the modal displacements for the $i^{\text {th }}$ ordered mode of the $n^{\text {th }}$ harmonic, and $F_{n i}(t)$ is the corresponding time-dependent mode participation factor.

The Equations 23 are combined as follows: 1) Eqs. 24 are substituted into Eqs. 23; 2) the equations of motion for free vibration are used to eliminate each of the $\dot{i}_{i}$ 's; 3) the equations are multiplied by $u^{n}{ }_{i} \cos n \theta$, $v_{i}{ }_{i} \sin n \theta, w_{i}{ }_{i} \cos n \theta$ respectively and then added together; 4) the result is then integrated over the surface area of the initially-stressed middle surface; and. 5) orthogonality properties of the natural modes are used to eliminate terms. When the above steps are completed, the following ordinary differential equation is obtained

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{F}_{n i}+2 \dot{q}_{n i} \rho \dot{F}_{n i}+F_{n i}=Q_{n i}(t) \tag{25a}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& Q_{n i}(t)=\frac{1}{2 \rho L_{K}} \int_{n i}^{b}\left[u_{i}^{n} P^{\prime}(1)+v_{i}^{n} P^{\prime}(2)+w_{i}^{n} P_{(3)}^{\prime n}\right] \frac{r \sqrt{A}}{\lambda}{ }_{11} d x^{1}  \tag{25b}\\
& K_{n i}=\int_{a}^{b}\left[\left(u_{i}^{n}\right)^{2}+\left(v_{i}^{n}\right)^{2}+\left(w_{i}^{n}\right)^{2}\right] r \sqrt{A_{11}} d x^{1} \tag{25c}
\end{align*}
$$

In the above equations, $F_{n i}=d F_{n i} / d t$, $H_{i i}$ is the $i^{\text {th }}$ ordered natural frequency for the $n^{\text {th }}$ harmonic, $\beta$ is ratio of the coefficient of damping to the coefficient of critical damping, $K_{n i}$ is the modal normalization constant, and $P^{\prime}(i)\left(x^{1}, \theta, t\right)$ have been expanded as Fourier series in $\theta$.

The problem of determining the transient response of initially-stressed shells for which natural frequencies, and mode shapes are available has been reduced to that of solving the classical initial-value problem posed by Eqs. 25 for $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ni}}$. . The initial-value problem can be integrated by means of Duhamel integral techniques if the transient load vector is expressible in terms of a single forcing function, or by means of the generalized Holzer method. The latter was chosen in this study.

Initial Conditions.- It is necessary to prescribe initial conditions for $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ni}}$ and $\dot{\mathrm{F}}_{\mathrm{ni}}$ at time $\mathrm{t}=0$. These conditions can be arrived at from consideration of Eqs. 27. The initial condition for the shell displacements and velocities are

$$
\begin{align*}
& u\left(x^{1}, \theta, 0\right)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u_{0}^{n}\left(x^{1}\right) \cos n \theta  \tag{26a}\\
& v\left(x^{1}, \theta, 0\right)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} v_{0}^{n}\left(x^{1}\right) \cdot \cos n \theta  \tag{26b}\\
& w\left(x^{1}, \theta, 0\right)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} w_{0}^{n}\left(x^{1}\right) \cos n \theta \tag{26c}
\end{align*}
$$

If steps 3 through 5 (as outlined above for the equations of motion) are repeated using Eqs. 26, the following initial conditions on $F_{n i}(t)$ are obtained

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{n i}(0)=\frac{1}{K_{n i}} \int_{a}^{b}\left(u_{0}^{n} u_{i}^{n}+v_{0}^{n} v_{i}^{n}+w_{0}^{n} w_{i}^{n}\right) r \sqrt{A_{11}} d x^{1}  \tag{27a}\\
& F_{n i}(0)=\frac{1}{K_{n i}} \int_{a}^{b}\left(\dot{u}_{0}^{n} u_{i}^{n}+\dot{v}_{0}^{n} v_{i}^{n}+\dot{w}_{0}^{n} w_{i}^{n}\right) r^{A_{11}} d x^{1} . \tag{27b}
\end{align*}
$$

In order to treat a particular forced vibration problem, using as a basis for solution the superposition theory presented herein, it is necessary to select a value for $\epsilon^{\prime}$, the small non-dimensional parameter defined by the superposed load vector. If the dynamic load vector superposed is denoted by $\Delta \overline{\mathrm{P}}(\mathrm{t})$, a reasonable measure for $\epsilon^{\prime}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon^{\prime}=\frac{\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0 a}^{b} \Delta \bar{P}_{\max }(t) r \sqrt{A}{ }_{11} d x^{1} d \theta}{\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{a}^{b} \bar{F} r \sqrt{A} 11 d x^{1}} \tag{28a}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\overline{\mathrm{F}}$ is the static load vector on the initially-stressed shell.
For transient response problems in which superposed initial displacements and velocitics are considered, a reasonable measure for $\epsilon^{\prime \prime}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon^{\prime}=\frac{\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{a}^{b}\left[\Delta \overline{\mathrm{~V}}\left(x^{1}, \theta, 0\right)+\frac{\Delta \dot{\bar{V}}\left(x^{1}, \theta, 0\right)}{\omega_{\text {fund }}}\right] r \sqrt{A} 11 d x^{1} d \theta}{\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{a}^{b} \bar{v}_{0}(0, \theta) r \sqrt{A_{11}} d x^{1} d \theta} \tag{28b}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta \overline{\mathrm{V}}\left(\mathrm{x}^{1}, \theta, 0\right)$ and $\Delta \overline{\bar{V}}\left(\mathrm{x}^{1}, \theta, 0\right)$ are the superposed initial displacement and velocity vectors, respectively, and where $\overline{\mathrm{V}}_{0}$ is the non-dimensional static displacement vector of the initially-stressed middle surface.

Sample Forced Vibration Problems. - The modal analysis method was tested on several dynamic problems. It is not the purpose of this section to solve a problem of particular interest, but rather to demonstrate the modal analysis method and also to show the validity of the mode shapes found in the previous free vibration sample problems. Therefore, three simple problems were chosen:.

1) a crude approximation to a static wind load (7),

$$
\epsilon^{\prime} p^{\prime}(3)=\epsilon^{\prime} \bar{p} \sin \varphi \cos \theta \quad 0<t<\infty ;
$$

2) a constant over-pressure $\epsilon^{\prime} P^{\prime}(3)=\epsilon^{\prime} \mathrm{p}$; and 3 ) an initial velocity distribution proportional to the static displacement field of the initially-stressed shell. The first two problems were chosen because the static results of the same problems are available for comparison, thus providing a check on the validity of the free vibration mode shapes. In all three problems, $\beta$ was chosen (31) as 0.01 .

Fig. 16 shows the dynamic wind ( $\epsilon^{\prime} \bar{p}=40$ psf) stresses at two meridional points on a sphere continuous at the apex and fixed at $\varphi_{0}=45^{\circ}$. At time $t=\infty$, the dynamic oscillations have died out and the displacements as calculated by the modal analysis method should equal the displacements as calculated from the static theory (20). In Fig. 17, the first three partial sums (at $t=\infty$ ) of the normal modes multiplied by the participation factors are compared to the equivalent static solution. It can be seen that only 3 modes need be considered in order to give answers in close agreement with the static solution.

In Figs. 18 and 19, the partial sums of the displacement and stress mode shapes of a sphere continuous at the apex and fixed at $\hat{\omega}_{0}=45^{\circ}$, each mode being multiplied by a limiting participation factor for a constant overpressure of 40 psf, are compared to the equivalent static solution (18). It can be seen that more mode shapes are required to adequately represent the static stresses than are required to represent the static displacements.

The final problem considered was that of the response of a sphere, continuous at the apex and fixed at $\varphi_{0}=45^{\circ}$, to an initial velocity distribution with a shape proportional to the static displacement field of the pressurized sphere. In this case

$$
\epsilon^{\prime}=\frac{\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{\varphi_{0}} \frac{1}{u_{\text {fund }}} \alpha \overline{\mathrm{V}}_{0} r \sqrt{A_{11}} \mathrm{~d} \stackrel{\mathrm{~d}}{ } \mathrm{~d} \theta}{\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{\varphi_{0}} \bar{V}_{0} r^{A_{11}} \mathrm{~d} \varphi \mathrm{~d} \theta}=\frac{\alpha}{u_{\text {fund }}}=.06025
$$

where $\alpha$ is the constant of proportionality (chosen as 3.0), and from Fig. 3, $u_{\text {fund }}=49.75$. The partial sum of 5 modes was found to give an adequate
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representation of the dynamic response. The superposed stress resultants at three meridional points are plotted as a function of time in Fig. 20.

CONCLUSION

The asymmetric response to free and forced vibrations of an initiallystressed membrane shell of revolution has been studied. Equations of motion have been presented for small free vibrations about a previously deformed middle surface. The assumptions inherent in the mathematical model are: 1) the thickness ratio is small compared to unity; 2) the moment resultants are negligible compared to the force resultants; 3) the material is elastic, homogeneous, and isotropic; and 4) the superimposed dynamic strains are infinitesimal.

The natural frequencies and mode shapes for the exact and the approximate formulations of the equations of motion were determined numerically using the generalized Holzer method. Convergence difficulties for steep shells were allewiated by suppressing extraneous solutions at intermediate points. In the neighborhood of the apex a special technique was used to integrate the equations of motion. This method is based on Taylor expansions of the displacements. For shells with rapidly varying geometries near the apex, it was found that more rapid convergence of the solutions was possible if Taylor expansions of functions of the displacements and of certain geometric quantities were used.

The modal method of analysis was used to determine the transient response of initially-stressed membrane shells to static and dynamic loads. The solution techniques developed for the free and forced response of the general shell of revolution were tested on several sample shell geometries with varied boundary conditions. It was found that the magnitude of the initial stress had a significant effect on the natural frequencies but did not significantly effect the associated mode.shapes. Both the exact and approximate formulations yielded

solutions in close agreement with each other.
Further research is needed on the determination of the dynamic response of isotropic and anisotropic shells with non-symmetric initial-stress states. The buckling behavior, 'local and global, of extremely thin initially-stressed shells is another important subject in need of study. For one type of initiallystressed shell, an inflatable shell, the determination of the local bucking characteristics is extremely important in that inflatables tend to wrinkle and kink at boundaries.
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## APPENDIX II - NOTATION

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{C Y}+\epsilon^{\prime} A^{\prime} N X \quad=m e t r i c \text { tensor of } M! \\
& \text { a } \\
& \text { = focal length of paraboloid, or distance defined by Fig. } 13 \\
& a_{m}^{n}, b_{m}^{n}, c_{m}^{n} \quad=\text { Taylor series constants in Eqs. } 13 \text {. } \\
& B_{C Y}+\epsilon^{\prime} B^{\prime} O Y \quad=\text { curvature tensor of } M^{\prime} \\
& \text { C, } C_{c r} \quad=\text { coefficients of viscous damping } \\
& \text { E. : = Young's modulus } \\
& F^{i \gamma}, F^{\prime 3} \quad=\text { tensor components of the non-dimensional superposed } \\
& \text { load vector. } \\
& \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ni}} \quad \because \quad=\text { mode participation factor } \\
& \mathrm{G} \quad=\mathrm{LP}(1)^{\sqrt{A_{11}} / \mathrm{K}} . \\
& \text { *h. }: \because \quad . \quad \text { one-half the thickness of initially-stressed shell } \\
& \mathrm{K} \quad=2 E \lambda L /\left(1-v^{2}\right) \\
& \mathrm{K}_{\alpha} \quad \therefore \quad=\mathrm{N}_{(\Omega \alpha)^{/ K}} \\
& \text { I } \quad=\text { smallest characteristic length of } * M \\
& \text { *M } \quad=\text { middle surface of initially-stressed shell. } \\
& M^{\prime} \quad=\quad \text { middle surface of additionally deformed shell. } \\
& N_{(n \gamma)}+\epsilon^{\prime} N^{\prime}(\alpha \gamma)=\text { physical components of } n \alpha \gamma+\epsilon^{\prime} n^{\prime N \gamma} \\
& n^{\infty \gamma}+\epsilon^{\prime} n^{\infty \gamma} \quad=\text { force resultant tensor on } M^{\prime} \\
& \text { *P } \quad=\text { largest characteristic load on } * M \text {. } \\
& P^{\prime}(x), P^{\prime}(3)=\text { physical components of } F^{\prime \alpha}, F^{\prime 3} \\
& \mathrm{p} \quad \because \quad=L^{2}\left(1-v^{2}\right) \omega_{\omega}^{2} / \mathrm{E} \\
& \overline{\mathrm{p}} \quad=\text { internal pressure on } \# \mathrm{M} \\
& R \quad=\text { non-dimensional sphere radius } \\
& R_{1}, R_{2} \quad \because \quad .=\text { non-dimensional radii of curvature of } * M \\
& r \text { = perpendicular distance of a point on } * M \text { from the axis } \\
& \text { of revolution. } \\
& \text { t = time. }
\end{aligned}
$$



## Title

1
2 ( $a, b, c$ ) Sphere Configurations
Shell of Revolution (Continuous, $\varphi_{0}=45^{\circ}$ ) (Continuous, $\mathrm{O}_{0}=45^{\circ}$ ). (Continuous, $\varphi_{0}=45^{\circ}$ ) (Continuous, $\varphi_{0}=90^{\circ}$ ) (Continuous, $\epsilon_{0}^{\circ}=90^{\circ}$ ) (Continuous, $\hat{\rho}_{0}=90^{\circ}$ ) Fixed at the Base Continuous Sphere and Fixed at $40=45^{\circ}$ $0=30^{\circ}$ and Fixed at $90^{\circ}$ Sphere pressurized Sphere pressurized Sphere.
$\mathrm{n}=0$ Stress and Displacement Mode Shapes for a Sphere
$\mathrm{n}=1$ Stress and Displacement Mode Shapes for a Sphere
$\mathrm{n}=2$ Stress, and Displacement Mode Shapes for a Sphere
$\mathrm{n}=0$ Stress and Displacement Mode Shapes for a Sphere
$\mathrm{n}=1$ Stress and Displacement Mode Shapes for a Sphere
$\mathrm{n}=2$ Stress and Displacement Mode Shapes for a Sphere

First Axisymmetric Mode Shapes for a Continuous Sphere

Effect of Initial Stress on Natural Frequencies of a

Mode Shapes for Spheres Fixed at Apex and With a Rigid Plug
Paraboloid Continuous at Apex and Fixed at Base
Toroid with a Rigid Plug Near Apex and Fixed at Apex
$\mathrm{n}=0,1$ Mode Shapes for a Paraboloid Continuous at Apex
$\mathrm{n}=0,1,2$ Mode Shapes for a Toroid with a Rigid Plug at

Stress Resultants at $0=15^{\circ}, 35^{\circ}$ of a Wind-Loaded Sphere Continuous at Apex and Fixed at $\hat{\varphi}_{0}=45^{\circ}$

Comparisons of Static and Dynamic Results for a Wind-Loaded

Comparisons of Static and Dynamic Displacements for an Over-

Comparisons of Static and Dynamic Stresses for an Over-
Stresses at $0=0^{\circ}, 15^{\circ}, 30^{\circ}$ in a Continuous Sphere Fixed at $\varphi_{0}=45^{\circ}$ and Subjected to an Initial-Velocity Field.
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