General Discialmer

One or more of the Following Statements may affect this Document

- This document has been reproduced from the best copy furnished by the organizational source. It is being released in the interest of making available as much information as possible.
- This document may contain data, which exceeds the sheet parameters. It was furnished in this condition by the organizational source and is the best copy available.
- This document may contain tone-on-tone or color graphs, charts and/or pictures, which have been reproduced in black and white.
- This document is paginated as submitted by the original source.
- Portions of this document are not fully legible due to the historical nature of some of the material. However, it is the best reproduction available from the original submission.

Produced by the NASA Center for Aerospace Information (CASI)

NASA CR 73272 AVRILAGLE TO THE PUBLIC

FINAL REPORT - NEGATIVE ION STABILITY CALCULATIONS by Henry F. Schaefer III and Frank E. Harris September 15, 1968

Distribution of this report is provided in the interest of information exchange. Responsibility for the contents resides in the author or organization that prepared it.

Prepared under Contract No. NAS2-4882 by Stanford University Department of Chemistry Frank E. Harris, principal investigator

for

AMES RESEARCH CENTER

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

N 60-1071	10
ACCESNION NUMBER)	(THRU)
1 //	- /
2(FAGES)	(CODE)
I NR - 7.3272	
(NASA CR OR TMX OR AD NUMBER)	(CATEGØRY)



I. General

Calculations have been performed as specified in the contract to determine the energies of N⁻ (¹D) relative to N (²D), of N⁻ (³P) relative to N (⁴S), of O⁻ (²P) relative to O (³P), and, in addition, of F⁻ (¹S) relative to F (²P) and of C⁻ (⁴S) relative to C (³P). These calculations clearly indicate that N⁻ (¹D) is metastable, lying below N (²D), that N⁻ (³P) is unstable relative to N (⁴S), and that the methods of calculation are reliable. This work is described in detail in an appendix to this report entitled "Metastability of the ¹D state of the Nitrogen Negative Ion." It is planned to submit this material for publication in Physical Review Letters or in some other appropriate journal.

One copy of certain material produced in the calculations is simultaneously with the submission of this report being transmitted to the Technical Monitor. This material, though not essential to the report, may be of use if Ames Research Center desires to make studies of additional properties of the atoms and ions here investigated. In particular, the material includes previously produced descriptions of the detailed methods of calculation and explicit wavefunctions for certain of the stable and metastable species investigated. 11. Certification

On behalf of the Contractor, it is hereby certified that the level of effort specified in Article 1 of the contract was used in its performance.

Signed, _____

P. M. West Administrative Officer Chemistry Department Stanford University

APPENDIX

Metastability of the ¹D State of the Nitrogen Negative Ion^{*} Henry F. Schaefer, III⁺

Dept. of Chemistry, Stanford University, Stanford, California

and

Frank E. Harris

Dept. of Physics, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah

Abstract

Energies of the ${}^{3}P$ and ${}^{1}D$ states of N⁻ have been calculated using a <u>first-order wavefunction</u> designed to include the strongly structure-dependent part of the correlation energy. Comparing the N⁻ calculations to those of other appropriate systems, the remaining correlation energy is reliably estimated and the ${}^{1}D$ N⁻ state is predicted to be metastable, lying 0.844 eV below the ${}^{2}D$ state of N. Instability of the ${}^{3}P$ N⁻ state is predicted.

^{*}Supported by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Ames Research Center, under Contract No. NAS 2-4882.

National Defense Education Act Title IV Predoctoral Fellow.

- 1 -

Several experiments¹ have indicated the existence of one or more states of the ion N⁻. However, as the lowest state of atomic nitrogen lies below most estimates of the energy of a hypothetical ³P ground state of N⁻, the indicated N⁻ state(s) may be metastable with respect to electron detachment.² A ³P state of N⁻ could be expected to decay rapidly into a free electron plus the ground ⁴S state of N. Bates and Moiselwitsch³ nave suggested that a ¹D state of N⁻ might be stable relative to all excited states of N, and would have a long lifetime because the process

 $N^{-}(^{1}D) \longrightarrow N(^{4}S) + e$

is forbidden. This letter reports calculations comparing the
ies 1 energ A of D N and the first excited state (²D) of atomic
nitrogen.

Our calculations are based on wavefunctions designed to include in a nonrelativistic formulation the Hartree-Fock energy plus that part of the correlation energy which depends strongly upon the symmetry and shell structure of the electronic configuration. Such wavefunctions will then be subject to errors resulting from the omission of the remainder of the correlation energy and from relativistic effects. These residual errors, which vary smoothly with respect to the nuclear charge and number of electrons in an atomic system, can be closely estimated by interpolative methods.⁴ This type of partitioning of the corre-

- 2 -

lation energy was suggested by Silvarstone and Sinanogiu², and we call the structure-sensitive part of the correlation energy the <u>orbital</u> correlation energy.

To calculate the orbital correlation energy we use what we call the <u>first-order wavefunction</u>, defined to be a configuration interaction L-S eigenfunction including all orbital occupancies in which at most one electron is assigned to an orbital beyond the valence shell. For first-row atoms, this means configurations in which all, or all but one, of the electrons are assigned to Hartree-Fock 1s, 2s, or 2p orbitals. The orbital correlation energy is the difference between the energy of the first-order wavefunction and the Hartree-Fock energy.

Our first-order wavefunction includes configurations of the types suggested for the same purpose by Silverstone and Sinanoğlu, with the difference that their configurations refer to Slater determinants while ours refer to L-S eigenfunctions. Our first-order wavefunction includes determinants which are not included in the Silverstone-Sinanoğlu enumeration, but whose existence depends upon the electronic shell structure. The significance of this difference is indicated by recent work of Sinanoğlu and Öksüz⁶, who find a structure dependent correlation energy of -1.31 eV for ²D N, while our first-order vavefunction yields an orbital correlation energy of -1.61 eV.

- 3

Using first-order wavefunctions with basis sets sufficiently large to give convergence in energy to within 0.0001 hartree, we have calculated the energies of the lowest ^{2}D state of N and the lowest ^{1}D state of N⁻. To aid in estimation of the residual errors, we also carried out corresponding calculations on 0⁺ (^{2}D) and 0 (^{1}D), and on the ground states of C, C⁻, N⁺, N, N⁻, 0⁺, 0, 0⁻, F⁺, F, F⁻, and Ne⁺. Some of these calculations are described in Table I.

The calculated energies were processed by taking differences corresponding to electron affinities of the neutral atoms and positive ions. Following this, the computed electron affinities of positive ions were compared with the experimental ionization potentials.⁷ It was found that the residual error in the electron affinities indeed varied regularly, depending mainly on the number of electrons, and that a rather good estimate of the electron affinity of a neutral atom could be obtained by same assuming the calculated value to have the Aresidual error as was found for the electron affinity of the isoelectronic positive ion. Affinities estimated on the basis of this simple assumption are shown in Table II.

Better estimates of the electron affinities of neutral atoms can be produced by noting that the results just cited deviate from experimental values by amounts approximately proportional to the number of 2p electrons in the neutral atom. There is some theoretical justification for such behavior, as more extensive

- 4 -

ah initio calculations⁸ on B and B have shown that higher order correlations within the 1s²2s² core contribute relatively little to the electron affinity of boron. Assuming, then, an additional residual error of 0.065 eV per 2p electron, we obtain the final estimates listed in Table 11. affinities

For all three atoms whose electron A are known, these final estimates agree with the experimental data to within the claimed accuracy of measurement, and we are led to conclude that the estimates for the unmeasured affinities of N (⁴S) and N (²D) are of comparable reliability. We therefore predict the instability of the hypothetical ³P state of N, and the existence of a metastable ¹D state of N iying 1.539 eV above the ⁴S ground state of N, and 0.844 eV below the ²D N excited state.

<u>References</u>

- 1. G. Boldt, Z. Physik <u>154</u>, 330 (1959); Ya. M. Fogel, V. F. Kozlov, and A. A. Kalmykov, Soviet Phys. - JETP <u>9</u>, 963 (1959); R. A. Allen and A. Textoris, J. Chem. Phys. <u>40</u>, 3445 (1964); D. M. Cooper, unpublished work at Ames Research Center.
- B. L. Molseiwitsch, <u>Advances in Atomic and Molecular Physics</u> (Academic Press Inc., New York, 1965), Vol. 1, p. 61.
- 3. D. R. Bates and B. L. Moiseiwitsch, Proc. Phys. Soc. (London) <u>A68</u>, 540 (1955).
- 4. E. Clementi, J. Chem. Phys. <u>38</u>, 2248 (1963); <u>39</u>, 175 (1963); <u>42</u>, 2783 (1965); E. Clementi and A. Veillard, J. Chem. Phys. <u>44</u>, 3050 (1966); H. Hartman and E. Clementi, Phys. Rev. <u>133</u>, A1295 (1964).
- H. J. Silverstone and O. Sinanoğlu, J. Chem. Phys. <u>44</u>, 1899 (1966); <u>44</u>, 3608 (1966).
- 6. O. Sinanoğlu and I. Öksüz, Phys. Rev. Letters, <u>21</u>, 507 (1968).
- 7. B. Edlen, J. Chem. Phys. 33, 98 (1960).
- 8. H. F. Schaefer and F. E. Harris, Phys. Rev. 170, 108 (1968).

are believed to be within 0.0001 hartree of the exact first-order energy. Energies in hartrees of first-order wavefunctions. These calculations Table I.

ŧ

Orbital Correlation Energy	-0.05932	-0.04235	-0.06481	-0.04910
Energy	-54,35547	-54.30522	-74.29811	-74.77831
No. Configurations	195	105	195	105
State and Atom	² D N	' x _0	2 0 +	

Estimated and measured values of the electron affinities of neutral Table II.

atoms, in eV.

ŧ

	Estimated from isoelectronic positive ion	Final estimate	Experiment
3 _P C	1.112	1.242	1.25 [±] 0.03 ^a
h S N	-0,408	-0.213	ł
² D N	0,649	0.844	8
ЗР 0	1.201	1.461	1.465 -0.005
² P F	3.128	3.453	3.448 -0.005 ^C

8

^aM. Seman and L. M. Branscomb, Phys. Rev. <u>125</u>, 1602 (1962).

b. M. Branscomb, D. S. Burch, S. J. Smith, and S. Geltman, Phys. Rev. <u>111</u>, 504 (1958).

ck. S. Berry and C. W. Reimann, J. Chem. Phys. 33, 1540 (1963).