General Disclaimer

One or more of the Following Statements may affect this Document

- This document has been reproduced from the best copy furnished by the organizational source. It is being released in the interest of making available as much information as possible.
- This document may contain data, which exceeds the sheet parameters. It was furnished in this condition by the organizational source and is the best copy available.
- This document may contain tone-on-tone or color graphs, charts and/or pictures, which have been reproduced in black and white.
- This document is paginated as submitted by the original source.
- Portions of this document are not fully legible due to the historical nature of some of the material. However, it is the best reproduction available from the original submission.

Produced by the NASA Center for Aerospace Information (CASI)



MICRUCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS - 1963

DIFFUSION APPROXIMATIONS IN COLLECTIVE RISK THEORY

DONALD L. IGLEHART

TECHNICAL REPORT NO. 111 July 5, 1968

SUPPORTED BY THE ARMY, NAVY, AIR FORCE AND NASA UNDER CONTRACT Nonr-225(53)(NR-042-002) WITH THE OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH

DEPARTMENT OF OPERATIONS RESEARCH

AND

DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS STANFORD UNIVERSITY STANFORD, CALIFORNIA



This de mart i state of troven for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited DIFFUSION APPROXIMATIONS IN COLLECTIVE RISK THEORY

. . .

ant i Caraca a com

100 Mar

Internation

44 million

Received in the second

#1

By

Donald L. Iglehart

TECHNICAL REPORT NO. 111

July 8, 1968

Supported by the Army, Navy, Air Force and NASA under

Contract Nonr-225(53) (NR-042-002)

with the Office of Naval Research

This research was partially supported by the Office of Naval Research under Contract NOOO14-67-A-0112-0031 (NR-047-055) and NSF Grant GP-8790.

Gerald J. Lieberman, Project Director

Reproduction in Whole or in Part is Permitted for any Purpose of the United States Government

DEPARTMENT OF OPERATIONS RESEARCH

and

DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS

STANFORD UNIVERSITY

STANFORD, CALIFORNIA

NON-TECHNICAL SUMMARY

Collective risk theory is concerned with the random fluctuations of the total assets of an insurance company. The company has an initial capital u and policyholder: pay a gross risk premium of <u>a</u> per unit time. At random instants of time, claims are made against the company for random amounts. The principal objectives of the theory are to obtain the distributions of the total assets of the company at time t and the time to ruin. Calculations of these distributions are usually very involved and use difficult analytical methods. In this paper explicit approximations are obtained for these distributions.

Approximations of the type obtained here can be developed for other applied models which involve the sum of a random number of random variables. In particular, a compound Poisson process is a special case of the processes considered in this paper.

DIFFUSION APPROXIMATIONS IN COLLECTIVE RISK THEORY

by

Donald L. Iglehart Stanford University

1. Introduction

and and the production of the

HINDRECOLUMIN

a de subsection of the sections of the subsection of the Advance of the

Collective risk theory is concerned with the random fluctuations of the total assets, the <u>risk reserve</u>, of an insurance company. Consider a company which only writes ordinary insurance policies such as accident, disability, fire, health, and whole life. The policyholders pay premiums regularly and at certain random times make claims to the company. A policyholder's premium, the <u>gross risk premium</u>, is a positive amount composed of two components. The <u>net risk premium</u> is the component calculated to cover the payments of claims on the average, while the <u>security risk</u> <u>premium</u>, or <u>safety loading</u>, is the component which protects the company from large deviations of claims from the average and also allows an accumulation of capital. When a claim occurs the company pays the policyholder a positive amount called the positive risk sum.

On the other hand, an insurance company which only writes life annuity policies represents the mirror image of the situation described above. In this case the company pays out an annuity regularly to the policyholder while the death of a policyholder places the corresponding reserve at the company's disposal. For this case we speak of <u>negative</u> risk premiums and negative risk sums.

For many insurance companies, of course, one would find both

This work was supported by Office of Naval Research contracts Nonr-225(53) and NO0014-67-A-0112-0031 and NSF Grant GP-8790;

positive and negative premiums and risk sums present. As a mathematical model for this situation we shall assume that claims occur at the jumps of a renewal process, $\{N(t) : t \ge 0\}$, and that the successive risk sums form a sequence of independent, identically distributed random variables, $\{X_i : i = 1, 2, ...\}$, with $E\{X_i\} = \mu > 0$. Furthermore, we shall assume that the initial risk reserve of the company is u > 0 and that the policyholders pay a gross risk premium of $\underline{a} > 0$ per unit time. If we let the risk reserve at time t be X(t) and let $S_0 = 0$, $S_i = X_1 + \cdots + X_i$, then we have

(1)
$$X(t) = u + at - S_{N(t)}, t > 0$$

Also we define T, the first time the company has a non-positive risk reserve, by

$$T = \inf\{t > 0 : X(t) \le 0\}$$

The principal problems of collective risk theory have been to calculate the distributions of X(t) and T, usually for the case where $\{N(t): t \ge 0\}$ is a Poisson process. Many of the regults for these distributions are complicated expressions which have been obtained by involved analytical methods. For a comprehensive treatment of the theory up to 1955 the reader should consult Cramér (1955). A more recent account of portions of the theory is available in Takács (1967), Chapter 7.

Our objective in this paper is to obtain approximations for the

distributions of X(t), T, and other functionals of X(t) by applying the theory of weak convergence of probability measures on function spaces. We shall define a sequence of risk reserve process $\{X_n(t) : t \ge 0\}$, n = 1, 2, ..., and show that these processes converge weakly to a Brownian motion process with a drift. For this limit process we shall be able to explicitly calculate the density of the first passage time corresponding to the random variable T. The distributions of the random variables derived from the limit process are then proposed as approximations for the process $X_n(t)$ for large n.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 a number of concepts and results required from weak convergence theory are introduced. Section 3 contains a proof of the convergence of a sequence of risk reserve processes. Finally in Section 4 the distributions of quantities derived from the limiting Brownian motion process are discussed.

2. Preliminaries on Weak Convergence

all has the second state of the second se

In this section we shall assemble those concepts and results from weak convergence theory which we shall need for our application to collective risk theory. For a comprehensive development of weak convergence of probability measures we recommend the excellent book of Billingsley (1968).

Let S be a metric space and δ , the class of Borel sets, be the σ -field generated by the open sets of S. If P_n and P are probability measures on δ which satisfy

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{S} f dP_n = \int_{S} f dP$$

for every bounded, continuous, real-valued function f on S, we shall say that $P_n \underline{\text{converges weakly}}$ to P as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and write $P_n \Longrightarrow P$. In the case where $S = R^k$, k-dimensional Euclidean space, weak convergence is equivalent to ordinary weak convergence of the distribution functions associated with P_n to that associated with P. However, for the function spaces we shall consider weak convergence is a deeper concept.

For the applications to be discussed in this paper it is convenient to introduce the following terminology used in [1]. Let X be a measurable mapping from the probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{P})$ into a metric space S; measurability of X means that $X^{-1}\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{B}$. We shall call X a <u>random element</u> of S. In particular, if $S = R^1$, we call X a <u>random variable</u>; if $S = R^k$, we call X a <u>random vector</u>; and if S is a function space, we call X a <u>random function</u>. The distribution of X is the probability measure $P = \mathcal{P}X^{-1}$ on (S, \mathcal{S}) . We shall say a sequence $\{X_n\}$ of random elements of S converges in distribution to the random element X, and write

And the second

$$x_n \Longrightarrow x$$
,

if the distribution P_n of X_n converges weakly to the distribution P of $X : P_n \Longrightarrow P$. While this definition requires that the range S and topology be the same for the random elements X, X_1, X_2, \ldots , the domains $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{P})$ may be different.

One of the most useful results in weak convergence theory for applications is the continuous mapping theorem which is an analog of the

Mann-Wald theorem for the Euclidean case; cf. [1], Section 5. Let h be a measurable mapping of S into another metric space S' with σ -field of Borel sets. Each probability measure P on (S, ϕ) induces on (S', ϕ') a unique probability measure $Ph^{-1}(A) = P(h^{-1}A)$ for As ϕ' . Let D_h be the set of discontinuities of h. Then we have <u>Theorem 1.</u> If $P_n \Longrightarrow P$ and $P(D_h) = 0$, then $P_n h^{-1} \Longrightarrow Ph^{-1}$.

In applications often we take $S' = R^{1}$ and thus h is a functional on the random elements of S.

Now let S be a separable metric space with metric m and X_n and Y_n be random elements of S with the same domain $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{P})$. Then, since S is separable, $m(X_n, Y_n)$ is a random variable; cf. [1], Appendix II. We say that $m(X_n, Y_n)$ <u>converges in probability</u> to O and write $m(X_n, Y_n) \xrightarrow{P} O$ if

$$\varphi[\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{X}_n,\mathbf{Y}_n) \geq \epsilon] \to 0$$

for each positive ϵ . Then we have the useful result

and the second sec

ſ

In the second second

<u>Theorem</u> 2. If $X_n \implies X$ and $m(X_n, Y_n) \stackrel{P}{\rightarrow} 0$, then $Y_n \implies X$.

The metric spaces S of interest in this paper are C[0,1] and D[0,1]. The space C[0,1] (which we shall abbreviate as C) is the space of all real-valued continuous functions on [0,1] with the uniform metric, $\rho(x,y) = \max |x(t) - y(t)|$. Let \mathscr{L} denote the class of $0 \le t \le 1$ Borel sets of C. With this metric C is a complete, separable metric space. The space D[0,1], abbreviated D, is the space of all

real-valued functions x(t) on [0,1] that are right-continuous and have left limits:

(i) for 0 < t < 1, $x(t+) = \lim_{s \to t} x(s)$ exists and x(t) = x(t+); (ii) for $0 < t \le 1$, $x(t-) = \lim_{s \to t} x(t)$ exists.

Skorohod (1956) has introduced the following topology on D. Let A denote the class of strictly increasing, continuous mappings of [0,1] onto itself. For $\lambda \in \Lambda$, $\lambda(0) = 0$ and $\lambda(1) = 1$. For $\lambda \in \Lambda$ let^{2/} $\|\lambda\| = \sup_{\substack{s \neq + \\ s \neq + \\ is defined to be the infimum of those positive <math>\epsilon$ for which there exists a $\lambda \in \Lambda$ such that

 $|\lambda| \leq \epsilon$

and

งกับสมัยชื่อเป็นผู้ผู้ผู้ให้เป็นสมให้คลายสมบัติใหญ่ของสมบัตวก

HUGHAMHINH

International International

Contractor of the

Constantive Section 1995

Construction of the

(internet)

(Indealinger)

$$\sup_{t} |x(t) - y(\lambda t)| \leq \epsilon$$

With this metric D is a complete separable metric space.

A useful result connecting weak convergence in C and D was recently obtained by Liggett and Rosén (1968).

<u>Theorem</u> 3. (Liggett and Rosén). Let $\{X_n\}$ be a sequence of random functions in (D,d), $\{Y_n\}$ a sequence of random functions in (C,p), and X a random function in (C,p). If $d(X_n, Y_n) \xrightarrow{P} 0$, then

$$X_n \implies X \quad in \quad (D,d) \quad if and only if$$

 $Y_n \implies X \quad in \quad (C,\rho)$.

 $\frac{2}{1}$ For functions $\lambda \in \Lambda$ we shall write λt for $\lambda(t)$.

To prepare for our application we state a special case of a functional central limit theorem of Prohorov (1956), Theorem 3.1. Let $X_1^{(n)}, \dots, X_n^{(n)}$ be a triangular array of random variables defined on some probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{P})$, which are independent and identically distributed for each value of $n = 1, 2, \cdots$. Furthermore, assume that $\mathbb{E}[X_1^{(n)}] = 0$, $\sigma^2[X_1^{(n)}] = \sigma_n^2 > 0$, $\sigma_n^2 \neq \sigma^2 > 0$, and that $\mathbb{E}[(X_1^{(n)})^{2+\varepsilon}]$ is bounded in n for some $\varepsilon > 0$. Now construct the random functions Y_n in C by setting

$$Y_{n}(t,\omega) = \frac{S_{[nt]}^{(n)}(\omega)}{\sqrt{n}\sigma} + (nt-[nt]) \frac{X_{[nt]+1}^{(n)}}{\sqrt{n}\sigma}$$

for $[nt]n^{-1} \le t < ([nt]+1)n^{-1}$. Then we have

finantino di

the second

A PUPIDAC D

I

<u>Theorem</u> 4. (Prohorov). $Y_n \Rightarrow W$, where the distribution of W is <u>Wiener measure and</u> W(0) = 0.

Now define $X_n(t,\omega) = \frac{S_{(nt)}^{(n)}(\omega)}{\sqrt{n}\sigma}$ for $0 \le t \le 1$. Since $d(X_n, Y_n) \stackrel{P}{=} 0$

and W is in (C,p), Theorem 3 implies that $X_n \Rightarrow W$.

In collective risk theory, however, we are interested in sums of a random number of random variables. Let $\{Y_i\}$ be a sequence of independent, identically distributed positive random variables sith $E\{Y_i\} = \lambda^{-1} > 0$. The random variable Y_i will represent the time between the (i-1)st claim and the ith claim. Let N(t), the number of claims (renewals) in time t, be defined by

$$N(t) = \max\{k : \sum_{i=1}^{k} Y_i \le t\} \quad t \ge 0,$$

with N(t) = 0 if $Y_1 > t$. The random function N(nt), $0 \le t \le 1$, is therefore an element of D for n = 1, 2, It follows immediately from the functional central limit theorem for $N(n \cdot)$, [1, Theorem 18.3], that $N(n \cdot)/n \Longrightarrow \Lambda$, where Λ is the constant-valued random function whose value at t is λt . Now let $Z_n(t, \omega) = \frac{S_{N(nt)}^{(n)}}{N(nt)} \sqrt{n} \sigma$. An immediate application of the method used in [1, Theorem 18.1] yields,

<u>Theorem</u> 5. $Z_n \implies W \circ \Lambda$, where $W \circ \Lambda(t) = W(\lambda t)$.

Character and the second s

ſ

It should be noted that for this theorem the random elements X_n and $N(n \cdot)/n$ need not be independent.

3. Weak Convergence of Risk Reserve Processes

In this section we shall construct a sequence of risk reserve processes $\{X_n(t)\}$ and then use the results of Section 2 to prove that they converge weakly. For the nth process let $u_n > 0$ be the initial risk reserve, $a_n > 0$ be the gross risk premium per unit time, and $\{X_i^{(n)}\}$ be the sequence of independent identically distributed risk sums defined on $(\Omega, \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{P})$ with $\mathbb{E}[X_i^{(n)}] = \mu_n > 0$ and $\sigma^2[X_i^{(n)}] = \sigma_n^2 > 0$. Assume that the claims occur at the jumps of the renewal process $\{Y_i\}$ described in Section 2 also defined on $(\Omega, \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{P})$. Finally, the process $X_n(t)$ is obtained from (1) by compressing the original time scale by a factor of n^{-1} . Thus we have

$$X_{n}(t) = u_{n} + a_{n} \cdot nt = S_{N(nt)}^{(n)}$$
 $0 \le t \le 1$,

where $S_0^{(n)} = 0$ and $S_1^{(n)} = X_1^{(n)} + \cdots + X_1^{(n)}$. Clearly, X_n is an

and the second of the second second

element of D[0,1]. With this set-up we can proceed to the proof of our main result.

<u>Theorem</u> 6. If $u_n = un^{\frac{1}{2}} + o(n^{\frac{1}{2}})$, $a_n = an^{-\frac{1}{2}} + o(n^{-\frac{1}{2}})$, $\mu_n = \mu n^{-\frac{1}{2}} + o(n^{-\frac{1}{2}})$, $\sigma_n^2 + \sigma^2 > 0$, and $\mathbb{E}[(X_1^{(n)})^{2+\epsilon}]$ is bound in n for some $\epsilon > 0$, then

$$n^{\frac{1}{2}}X_{n} \stackrel{\Rightarrow}{\Rightarrow} u + \Gamma + \sigma \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}W,$$

where Γ is the constant-valued random function whose value at t is (a- $\mu\lambda$)t.

<u>Proof</u>: Applying Theorem 5, we have that

and and and

field in the second

$$n^{-L_{\mathbf{Z}}}[S_{\mathbf{N}(\mathbf{n}_{+})}^{(\mathbf{n})} - \mu_{\mathbf{n}}^{\mathbf{N}(\mathbf{n}_{+})}] \xrightarrow{\sim} \sigma W \bullet \Lambda$$

Since $n^{-\frac{1}{2}}\mu_n N(n:) \implies M$, where $M(t) = \lambda \mu t$ with probability 1, an application of Theorems 1 and 2 implies that

$$-n^{-lg}S_{N(n^*)}^{(n)} + M \Longrightarrow -\sigma W \circ \Lambda = \sigma W \circ \Lambda .$$

Consequently, we have immediately the fact that

$$n^{-\frac{3}{2}} X_{n} \stackrel{\longrightarrow}{\longrightarrow} u + \Gamma + \sigma W \circ \Lambda$$
, but

since $\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}$ has the same distribution as W • A the proof of the theorem is complete.

Theorems5, and 6 have been stated and proved for random elements of D[0,1]. A similar theory could be developed for random elements

of D[0,N] using the metric d_N which is defined like d but over the interval [0,N]. A topology has been developed by Stone (1963) for the space $D[0,\infty)$ which essentially requires convergence for each metric d_N , N > 0. Using the results of [8] together with the necessary and sufficient condition for weak convergence given by Skorohod (1956) we have versions of Theorems 5 and 6 which are valid for $D[0,\infty)$, that is the stochastic processes are defined for all values of $t \ge 0$. From here on we shall use this version of Theorem 6.

4. The Distribution of Functionals of the Limit Process

10000

Structured and a second s

filmen and the film

Total States

in the second

The principal contribution of functional central limit theorems, such as Theorem 6, is that they enable one to obtain limit theorems for a large class of functionals of the process. Collective risk theory has been mainly concerned with the functionals which represent the total assets of the insurance company at time t, namely $X_n(t)$, and of the time to ruin, T_n , which is defined as

$$T_n = \inf\{t > 0 : X_n(t) \le 0\}$$

if the set $\{t \ge 0 : X_n(t) \le 0\}$ is not empty and $+\infty$ otherwise.

Since the projection $\pi_t : D[0,\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ which is defined by $\pi_t(x) = x(t)$ is measurable and continuous almost everywhere with respect to the measure on $D[0,\infty)$ corresponding to $u + \Gamma + \sigma \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}W$, an application of Theorem 1 yields

Theorem 7. Under the hypotheses of Theorem 6, the

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathcal{P}\{n^{-\frac{1}{2}}X_n(t) \le x\} = \Pr\{u + (a - \mu\lambda)t + \sigma\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}W(t) \le x\}$$
$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2\lambda t}} \int_{-\infty}^{X} \exp\left\{-\frac{(y - [u + (a - \lambda\mu)t])^2}{2\sigma^2\lambda t}\right\} dy .$$

.*

Similar results could be obtained, of course, for the finite-dimensional distributions of $n^{-\frac{1}{2}}X_n$.

Turning now to the time to ruin problem, we define the random variable

$$T = \inf\{t > 0 : u + (a - \lambda \mu)t + \sigma \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}W(t) \le 0\}$$

if the set is non-empty and $+\infty$ otherwise. Again the mapping $\tau : D[0,\infty) \to \mathbb{R}^{1} \cup \{+\infty\}$ defined by $\tau(x) = \inf\{t > 0 : x(t) \leq 0\}$ if the set is non-empty and $+\infty$ otherwise is measurable and almost everywhere continuous with respect to the measure on $D[0,\infty)$ corresponding to $u + \Gamma + \sigma \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbb{W}$. Thus another application of Theorem 1 yields

Theorem 8. Under the conditions of Theorem 6, the

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathcal{P}\{T_n \leq t\} = \Pr\{T \leq t\} ,$$

where the density f_{T} of T is given by

ſ

Sound Street

Reserved to 1

$$f_{T}(t) = \frac{c^{-1}e^{-bc}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} t^{-\frac{5}{2}} \exp\{-\frac{1}{2}[c^{2}t^{-1} + (bc)^{2}t]\}, \quad t > 0,$$

with $b = (a - \lambda \mu) / \sigma \lambda^2$ and $c = u / \sigma \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Furthermore, the probability of

ultimate ruin for the limit process is given by

$$\Pr\{T < \infty\} = \exp\{-2 bc\}$$

The density of T and the probability of ultimate ruin are easily obtained from the Laplace transform of the distribution of T given by Darling and Siegert (1953).

In a similar manner one could develop a limit theorem for the distribution of the first time $X_n(t)$ reached a specified level, conditional on ruin not having occurred. The Laplace transform of the limiting distribution is given in [3].

Theorems 7 and 8 give explicit expressions for the limiting behavior of the distributions of greatest concern for collective risk theory. They immediately suggest the use of the limit distribution as an approximation for the distributions associated with $X_n(\cdot)$ for n large. It would be interesting to compare these approximations with those given, for example, in [2]. While there is considerable similarity in the functional forms of the two sets of approximations, those given in this paper seem to be more natural and are valid for a larger class of underlying distributions.

REFERENCES

- BILLINGSLEY, P. (1968). <u>Weak Convergence of Probability Measures</u>. To appear.
- [2] CRAMÉR, H. (1955). Collective risk theory. Jubilee Volume of Försäkringsaktiebolaget Skandia. Nordiska Bokhandeln, Stockholm, 1-92.
- [3] DARLING, D. and SIEGERT, A. (1953). The first passage time problem for a continuous Markov process. <u>Ann. Math. Stat.</u> 24 624-639.
- [4] LIGGETT, T. and B. ROSÉN (1968). A note on the correspondence between weak convergence in the function spaces C[0,1] and D[0,1]. To appear.
- [5] PROHOROV, Yu. (1956). Convergence of random processes and limit theorems in probability theory. <u>Theor. Probability Appl.</u> 1 157-214. (English translation.)
- [6] TAKÁCS, L. (1967). <u>Combinatorial Methods in the Theory of</u> <u>Stochastic Processes</u>. John Wiley and Sons, New York.
- [7] SKOROHOD, A. (1956). Limit theorems for stochastic processes.
 <u>Theor. Probability Appl.</u> <u>1</u> 262-290. (English translation.)
- [8] STONE, C. (1963). Weak convergence of stochastic processes defined on semi-infinite time intervals. <u>Proc. Amer. Math. Soc</u>. <u>14</u> 694-696.

UNCLASSIFIED							
Security Classification							
DOCUMENT CO	ONTROL DATA - R	LD	the encoder and a star shift of				
(Security eleverification of fills, body of abolical and indep Obidity ATHE & ACTIVITY (Compare), subbril	ting annotation must be	24. REPO	AT SECURITY & LASSIFICATION				
Departments of Operations Research and Statistics Stanford University		UNC	LASSIFIED				
		24. GROU	P				
Stanford, California							
REPORT TITLE			· · · · · ·				
Diffusion Approximations in Collectiv	ve Risk Theory						
I. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive datas)							
Technical Report, July 8, 1968							
). AUTHOR(3) {Lest name, liret name, initial)							
Iglehart, Donald L.							
REPORT DATE	74. TOTAL NO. OF PAGES 75. NO. OF REFS						
July 8, 1968	13		8				
. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO.	Se. ORIGINATOR'S	REPORT NU	ABER(S)				
Nonr-225(53)	Technical Report No. 111 25. OTHER REPORT NO(3) (Any other numbers that may be seein						
ND 010.000							
NR-042-002 e.							
	this report) Technical Report No. 1,						
d.	Contract NOO	014-0/-8	-0112-0031 (MR-047-03)				
1. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	12. SPONSORING MIL	ITARY ACT	IVITY				
Also supported by NSF Grant GP-8790	Logistics and Mathematical Statistics Branc						
	Office of Nav	Office of Naval Research					
	Washington, I). C. 20	560				
3. ABSTRACT							
Collective risk theory is co	oncerned with t	he rando	om fluctuations of				
the total assets of an insurance	company. The	company	has an initial				
cenital 11 and policyholders par	v a gross risk	premium	of a per unit time.				
At the jump of a nengual process	c cleime ere me	de erei	est the company				
At the jumps of a renewar process		A	soquence of wick				
for random amounts with the aver	age claim being	<u>с</u> µ. А	sequence of risk				
reserve processes which measure	the companies a	issets at	t time t are				
defined and the theory of weak c	onvergence of 1	robabil:	ity measures on				
function spaces is applied to sh	ow that the sea	uence c	onverges weakly				
to a limiting diffusion process.	This diffusion	on is Bro	ownian motion				
with a drift. Weak convergence	theory also vie	elds a l:	imit theorem for				
the distuichubien of the to min	The density	for this	a limit Histribution				
the distribution of time to ruin	. The density	TOT UIT	a wennya ayo at takatan				
is given explicitly.							

DD .5084. 1473

÷

ţ

TITLE REPORT

		LINK A		LINK B		LINK C			
KEY WORDS		ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT		
Collective risk theory Diffusion approximations Functional central limit theorem Ruin probability Weak convergence									
INTER	CTIONS	لمجيوب ويتبينا			L				
INSTRU 1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY: Enter the name and address of the constructor, subcontractor, grantee, Department of De- fense activity or other organization (cosporate author) issuing the report. 2a. REPORT SECURTY CLASSIFICATION: Enter the over- all accurity classification of the report. Indicate whether "Restricted Dats" is included. Marking is to be in accord- ance with appropriate security regulations. 2b. GROUP: Automatic downgrading is specified in DoD Di- rective S200.10 and Armed Forces Industrial Manual. Enter the group number. Also, when applicable, show that optional markings have been used for Group 3 and Group 4 as author- ized. 3. REPORT TITLE: Enter the complete report title in all cepital letters. Titles in all cases should be unclassified. If a meaningful title cannot be selected without classified. If military, show rank and branch of service. The name of the principal author is an abeoly a minum requirement. 6. REPORT DATE: Enter the date	 JCTIONS imposed by security classification, using standard statements such ast "Qualified requesters may obtain copies of this report from DDC." "Foreign announcement and dissemination of this report by DDC is not authorized." "U. 8. Government agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified DDC users shall request th sugh "U. 8. military agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified users shall request through "U. 8. military agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified users shall request through "(4) "U. 8. military agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified users shall request through "(5) "All distribution of this report is controlled. Qualified DDC users shall request through If the report has been furnished to the Office of Tachnical Services, Department of Commerce, for sale to the public, indicate this fact and enter the price, if known. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES: Use for additional explanatory notes. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY: Enter the name of the departments! project office or laboratory sponsoring (parify for) the research and development. Include address. 								
 7a. TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES: The total page count should follow normal pagination procedures, i. a., enter the number of pages containing information. 75. NUMBER OF REFERENCES: Enter the total number of references cited in the report. 8a. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER: If appropriate, enter the applicable number of the contract or grant under which the report was written. 8b, 8c, & 8d. PROJECT NUMBER: Enter the appropriate military department identification, such as project number, subproject number, system numbers, task number, etc. 9a. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(5): Enter the official report number by which the document will be identified and controlled by the originating activity. This number sust be unique to this report. 9b. OTHER REPORT NUMBER(5): If the report has been assigned any other report numbers (either by the originator or py by the spence), also enter this number(s). 	 summary of the document indicative of the report, even though it may also appear elsewhere in the body of the technical report. If additional space is required, a continuation sheet shall be attached. It is highly desirable that the abstract of classified reports be unclassified. Each paragraph of the abstract shall end with an indication of the military security classification of the in-formation in the paragraph, represented as (TS), (S), (C), or (U). There is no limitation on the length of the abstract. However, the suggested length is from 150 to 225 words. 14. KEY WORDS: Key words are technically meaningful terms or short phrases that characterize a report and may be used as index entries for cataloging the report. Key words must be selected so that no security classification, trade name, military project code name, geographic location, may be used as key words but will be followed by an indication of technical context. The assignment of links, rales, and weights is eptimal. 								

.•

a shata sha a

LINK C ROLE

ationanan hoise

initia and an and a second of the second second

annund a

(in united

Contraction of the second

Sciencescon

and the second

printing and a second

folfstanin f

Presente chistory Appresente

human manufational

Unionese de la construcción de l

Pursonalist,

(and a second second

10000000

Annualitie

Hittiti **WINDOW**

10. AVAILABILITY/LIMITATION NOTICES: Enter any lim-itations on further dissemination of the report, other than those

ang sag

UNCLASSIFIED

Security Classification

UNCLASSIFIED

Security Classification