### **General Disclaimer**

## One or more of the Following Statements may affect this Document

- This document has been reproduced from the best copy furnished by the organizational source. It is being released in the interest of making available as much information as possible.
- This document may contain data, which exceeds the sheet parameters. It was furnished in this condition by the organizational source and is the best copy available.
- This document may contain tone-on-tone or color graphs, charts and/or pictures, which have been reproduced in black and white.
- This document is paginated as submitted by the original source.
- Portions of this document are not fully legible due to the historical nature of some of the material. However, it is the best reproduction available from the original submission.

Produced by the NASA Center for Aerospace Information (CASI)

#### PROBABLE DEVIATIONS IN ALTITUDE READING GIVEN BY THE LM ALTIMETER FOR THE MOST ROUGH SURFACE ALONG A CERTAIN GIVEN TRAJECTORY\*

By

จริมต่อโหลร้างแห่งเป็นปี ให้และเป็นจะอิณพิ จะจะมนใช้สมัยไปประชาที่และได้ได้สมัพล์ เป็นระการสร้า

additional production of the second s

althumphilipeine and the second second

A. Tong and H. S. Hayre

TR-67-20

#### Wave Propagation Laboratory Department of Electrical Engineering

#### UNIVERSITY OF HOUSTON

Cullen College of Engineering Cullen Boulevard Houston, Texas 77004

November, 1967



<u>F9-17013</u> (ACCESSION NUMBER) ACILITY FORM 602 (THRU) (CODE) 8 (CATEGORY) OR AD NUMBER)

#### PROBABLE DEVIATIONS IN ALTITUDE READING GIVEN BY THE LM ALTIMETER FOR THE MOST ROUGH SURFACE ALONG A CERTAIN GIVEN TRAJECTORY

The spectrum of the backscattered energy for the Frequency Modulated Altimeter may be analyzed to obtain the target range variations versus the target surface conditions. And the expected frequency, and consequently the associate altitude may be calculated (Rice, 1944) as

$$\left\langle f_{g} \right\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} \int_{c}^{\frac{3h_{f}}{c}} -f_{g} \\ \frac{2h_{f}}{c} -f_{g}} \frac{-f_{g}}{\frac{4h_{f}}{c} -f_{g}} \frac{-f_{g}}{\frac{4h_{f}}{c} -f_{g}} \end{bmatrix}^{\frac{1}{2}} (1)$$

where

fB	= the static beat frequency
h	= the actual range
f <sub>D</sub>	= the doppler frequency
Ì	= the FM slope
с	= velocity of light
G <sup>2</sup> (0)	= the two-way antenna gain
σ(0)	= the target scattering coefficient

For the Landing Module (LM), the radar has a beam width of  $3.5^{\circ}$  by  $7^{\circ}$  and the orientation of the beam on the landing track is as shown in Fig. 1 for vertical incidence case.



Fig. 1 The minor-axis of the radar beam parallel to the direction of motion.

The return signal is averaged over a period of 231 milliseconds along the trajectory. The horizontal velocity of the LM during landing is small, and the surface covered by the radar in one period is comparable to 150% of the beam cross-section (Tong and Hayre, 1967). Considering that the pitch angle of the vehicle, and the antenna angle do not vary within an averaging period, it suffices to treat the system as stationary with respect to the horizontal axis. If the width of the landing track scanned by the radar is normalized, the average beat frequency may be approximated using a one-dimensional profile.

In what follows the average beat frequency for  $\theta = \theta_1$ at a fixed altitude is calculated.



Fig. 2

Geometry of the Apollo radar-detection.

abula Set and a solution

As shown in Fig. 2, the transformation of  $f_B$  to 9 would be;

$$h = \frac{f_{\rm B}C}{2f} \quad \text{or} \quad f_{\rm B} = \frac{2hf}{C} \qquad (2)$$

$$R_{1} = \frac{f_{1}c}{2\dot{f}} \quad \text{or} \quad f_{1} = \frac{2h\dot{f}}{C\cos\psi_{1}} \quad (3)$$

$$R_2 = \frac{f_1 c}{2 \dot{f}}$$
 or  $f_2 = \frac{2 h \dot{f}}{c \cos \psi_2}$  (4)

In general; 
$$f_B = \frac{2h\xi}{C\cos\Theta}$$
 (5)

and

$$df_B = \frac{2h\dot{q}}{c} \frac{\sin\theta}{\cos^2\theta} d\theta \qquad (6)$$

Substituting (3), (4), (5) and (6) in (1), one obtains,

$$\langle f_B \rangle = \frac{zhf}{c} \left[ \int_{V_1}^{V_2} \frac{G^2(b) \sigma(b) \tau A N \Theta d\Theta}{\int_{V_1}^{V_2} G^2(0) \sigma(0) \cos \theta \sin \theta d\Theta} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
 (7)

The expression  $\frac{2hf}{c}$  in Eq. 7, is the beat frequency corresponding to the actual altitude of the transmitter, whereas the square root term is the ratio of the detected altitude to the actual altitude. Since the percent deviation in altitude is the essential information, one needs only to carry the calculation of the square root part of Eq. 7. Based on the information of the radar beamwidth, the antenna gain is approximated to be

2 31

$$G^2(\theta) = \cos^4 13\theta \tag{8}$$

and the scattering cross-section is chosen of point No. 8 Site P-II-6 of static runs of the acoustic simulation test and is shown in Fig. 3.

The percent deviation is plotted as shown in Fig. 4.

## "REPRODUCIBILITY OF THE ORIGINAL PAGE IS POOR."



# "REPRODUCIBILITY OF THE ORIGINAL PAGE IS POOR."



ala as

Encident Angla 0

#### CONCLUSION

This calculation shows that the percent deviation of the altitude reading from the actual would vary considerably from 79% to 203% corresponding to angles of incidence of  $0^{\circ}$  (vertical incidence) to  $60^{\circ}$  degrees. A close examination of these results indicate the variation to be within  $\pm$  10% for look angles of 0, 5°, 10°, 20°, 25°, and 30°, whereas for 15° angle of incidence it is -22% and for angles greater than 30°, it increases almost monotonically to +203% at  $e = 60^{\circ}$ 

#### REFERENCES

.

۰.

- 1. Rice, S. O. "Mathematical Analysis of Random Noise," Bell Systems Tech. Journal, Vol. 23, No. 3, 1944, Bell F. 282; Vol. 24, No. 1, 1945, p. 46.
- 2. Tong, A. and H. S. Hayre. Lunar Surface Bias on Landing Module Altimeter Signal, Technical Report TR-67-19, University of Houston, Wave Propagation Laboratories, Electrical Engineering Department, October, 1967.