General Disclaimer

One or more of the Following Statements may affect this Document

- This document has been reproduced from the best copy furnished by the organizational source. It is being released in the interest of making available as much information as possible.
- This document may contain data, which exceeds the sheet parameters. It was furnished in this condition by the organizational source and is the best copy available.
- This document may contain tone-on-tone or color graphs, charts and/or pictures, which have been reproduced in black and white.
- This document is paginated as submitted by the original source.
- Portions of this document are not fully legible due to the historical nature of some of the material. However, it is the best reproduction available from the original submission.

Produced by the NASA Center for Aerospace Information (CASI)



Printed in the United Surger of American, An. Johds Tran Constantiations for Ped Serversitie and Technical Independents, Stational Berriss of Sections, U.S. Department of Science/U. Science/Inf., Virgence 2010 Prints, Printed Cogn 20.00 Microfields, 20.00

LINE WITCH

12

10

This result are presented in a second of the second of the second second back, the second sec

s his westagelost still, sight sectories.

Contract No. W-7405-eng-26

Neutron Physics Division

EVAP-2 and EVAP-3: Modifications of a Code to Calculate Particle Evaporation from Excited Compound Nuclei

M. P. Guthrie

NOTE:

This Work Partially Supported by NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION Under Order No. H-38280A

MARCH 1969

OAK RIDGE NATIONAL LABORATORY Oak Ridge, Tennessee operated by UNION CARBIDE CORPORATION for the U.S. ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION

PRECEDING PAGE BLANK NOT FILMED.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	age No.
ABSTRACT	l
I. INTRODUCTION	2 '
II. EVAP-2	3
Description of Calculation	3
Modifications Incorporated in EVAP-2	4
Updated EVAP Table Tape	4
⁸ Be Breakup	5
Negative Excitation Energies	5
Additional Minor Modifications	6
Input Requirements	6
Subroutine Structure	7
Sample Case	8
III. EVAP-3	14
Differences Between EVAP-2 and EVAP-3	14
Calculation of Kinetic Energies of Recoiling	
Nuclei in $EVAP-3$	15
Input Requirements and Subroutine Structure	17
Sample Case	17
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	24
REFERENCES	25

iii

10

ŧ

EVAP-2 and EVAP-3: Modifications of a Code to Calculate Particle Evaporation from Excited Compound Nuclei

M. P. Guthrie

Abstract

The EVAP computer programs calculate the types, multiplicities, and energy distributions of particles evaporated from excited compound nuclei. The modifications incorporated in EVAP-2 include updating the nuclear masses and shell-plus-pairing energy corrections used as input data, providing for the breakup of ⁸Be, and eliminating the possibility of the evaporation residual nucleus having a negative excitation energy. EVAP-3 retains all of the modifications of EVAP-2 and, in addition, calculates the kinetic energies of the recoiling nuclei. The codes are written in FORTRAN-IV and operate on the IBM-360 computer. Data cards and the printed output for a sample case are shown.

I. INTRODUCTION

Dresner's Monte Carlo computer program EVAP¹ determines the types, multiplicities, and energy distributions of particles evaporated from excited compound nuclei. This useful calculation has been modified many times and is often used in association with other calculations including Bertini's intranuclear-cascade codes LECC and MECC² and the nucleon transport code NTC.³ EVAP-2 and EVAP-3 operate without other codes. The evaporation process is started by the collision of an incident particle with a target nucleus. An excited compound nucleus is formed, from which particles are emitted until evaporation is no longer energetically possible. The physics in EVAP-2 and in EVAP-3 is identical to that in the evaporation codes used by the cascade calculations and NTC. The only difference in the two sets of codes is in the formation of the compound nucleus. In EVAP-2 and -3 the compound nucleus is formed directly, while in the other codes it is formed at the end of the intranuclear cascade.

EVAP-2 was written to update the input data used by the evaporation calculation and to incorporate the most desirable modifications of earlier versions into one "standard" code. EVAP-3 was originally written to be used with the low-energy cascade calculation to study the effects of the capture of negative pions in light elements.⁴ It is identical to EVAP-2 in all respects except that the effects of the kinetic energies of the recoiling nuclei are included in the calculation. The two codes operate on the IBM-360 computer and are written in FORTRAN-IV. The subroutines that generate random numbers are in machine language.

II. EVAP-2

DESCRIPTION OF CALCULATION

Dresner's original calculation began with a compound nucleus of $pr\epsilon$ determined type and excitation energy. EVAP-2 begins one step earlier with the collision of a particle of predetermined type and kinetic energy with a stationary nucleus. The compound nucleus formed by this collision is determined simply by adding the mass and charge of the incident particle to the mass and charge of the target nucleus. The excitation energy of the compound nucleus is the sum of the kinetic energy of the incident particle and the binding energy of the incident particle in the compound nucleus.

After the determination of the type and excitation energy of the compound nucleus, EVAP-2 follows Dresner's evaporation calculation very closely except that only 6 types of evaporated particles are considered instead of Dresner's original 19. The calculation is based on a theory originally proposed by Weisskopf⁵ and on a Monte Carlo code written by Dostrovsky.⁶ The calculation is clearly explained in Dresner's description of his code.¹

Seven types of incident particles can be used in EVAP-2: neutrons, protons, deuterons, tritons, helium-3 nuclei, alpha particles, and photons. The first six types of these particles can be evaporated from the excited compound nucleus. The output data include a table of the distribution of residual nuclei following evaporation and, for each type of evaporated particle, the average evaporation yield per collision, the moments of the energy distributions, and tables of normalized energy spectra.

MODIFICATIONS INCORPORATED IN EVAP-2

Updated EVAP Table Tape

The nucleur masses used by EVAP were obtained from tables compiled by Wapstra⁷ and Huizenga.⁸ Mattauch *et al.*⁹ have recently published a new tabulation of mass excesses and binding energies. The mass excesses in the new tabulation are based on an atomic mass unit of 1/12 of 12C. Since the data used in EVAP are based on 16O, the binding energies tabulated by Mattauch *et al.* were used rather than the mass excesses. The binding energies were converted to mass excesses on the EVAP Table Tape using the formula:

EMEX = Z + (EMH-EMN) + A + (EMN-UM) - BE

where

EMEX = mass excess in MeV

Z = charge of nucleus

A = mass number of nucleus

EMH = 938.7298, mass of proton in MeV

EMN = 939.5124, mass of neutron in MeV

UM = 931.145, unit mass in MeV

BE = binding energy in MeV tabulated by Mattauch et al.

For nuclei not tabulated by Mattauch *et al.* but having a mass number within \pm 10 of the valley of stability of the periodic table, mass excesses were calculated using the semiempirical mass relationship of Cameron.¹⁰ These were stored along with the Mattauch *et al.* data in the WAPS array on the new EVAP Table Tape in the same manner as they were stored on the previously used tape.

Cameron's mass relationship uses a set of "shell-plus-pairin; energy corrections, which are stored as Cameron Functions on the EVAP Table Tape. Cameron listed no values for these corrections for nuclides with Z or N less than 11. Cameron Functions for small Z or N were therefore set equal to zero on the original EVAP Table Tape. Peelle and Aebersold¹¹ observed that large mass errors could be made using the zero Cameron Functions for light nuclides. They obtained new values for the shell-plus-pairing energy corrections for nuclides with Z or N less than 11 using a weighted leastsquares fit to Mattauch *et al.*'s masses. These new values have replaced the zeros in the Cameron Functions on the new EVAP Table Tape.

⁸Be Breakup

When a ⁸Be nucleus is formed in the evaporation process, it will split into two alpha particles instead of evaporating a lighter particle. This fact was ignored in the original EVAP calculation but has been taken into account in EVAP-2. If an evaporation residual nucleus has an A value of eight and a Z value of four, it is assumed that two alpha particles are created. Each alpha particle has an energy equal to one-hal⁻ the sum of the excitation energy of the ⁸Be nucleus and the binding energy for the reaction. These alpha particles are then treated as evaporation particles with no further evaporation taking place. A counter records the number of times ⁸Be breakup occurs.

Negative Excitation Energies

Because of the Monte Carlo sampling techniques used in the evaporation calculation, it is possible for the kinetic energy selected for the evaporated particle to be greater than the energy available for the reaction. This results in a negative excitation energy for the evaporation residual

nucleus. If this happens in EVAP-2, the calculation recycles and a new kinetic energy is selected for the evaporated particle. If a physically reasonable energy has not been obtained in ten attempts, the evaporation process is terminated at the previously evaporated particle.

Additional Minor Modifications

In the tables of evaporation residual nuclei printer sitely WAR, we sidual nuclei were sometimes identical to evaporated particles when site target nuclei were studied. These nuclei were not included in the number plicities of the evaporated particles and their energies were not included in the number in the energy spectra. EVAP-2 tests the final evaporation residual number. When it is identical to one of the six types of evaporated particles, it is treated as an evaporated particle and not as a residual nucleus. A summit is kept of the residual nuclei with changed status, so that all residual nuclei are accounted for.

INPUT REQUIREMENTS

Only one input data card is required to operate EVAL -2. The part format is 3115, F15.0, J15. The five input variables are as follows:

NATA - the mass of the target nucleus;

NZTA - the charge of the target nucleus;

ITYPE - the type of incident particle specified by the following code:
 1, neutron; 2, proton; 3, deuteron; 4, triton; 5, ³He nuclei;
 6, alpha particle; 7, photon;

og alpha pareiteteg (g photong

EKIN - the kinetic energy of the incident particle in MeV; IHISNO - the number of incident-particle collisions to be calculated.

The remaining input data are stored on a magnetic tape referred to as the EVAP Table Tape. The tape has the logical number 3 in the calculation.

Any number of cases may be run in succession by simply placing additional data cards behind the first one.

The evaporation calculation always begins with the same random number, so that the same cases run at different times should be identical. However, if more than one case is run in succession, all cases after the first begin with the last random number generated in the preceding case.

SUBROUTINE STRUCTURE

EVAP-2 consists of a main program and six subroutines. Two of the subroutines, FLTRN and EXPRN, are machine language programs to generate random numbers. The main program reads the input tape, does only a few minor calculations, calls DRES, and writes the output tape.

DRES is the subroutine in which the major part of the calculation is carried out. There are three calls to DRES from the main program. On the first call, data are read into the memory from the EVAP Table Tape. On the second call, information from the input tape is transferred to DRES, and appropriate variables are zeroed. On the third call, the Monte Carlo evaporation calculation is performed for the first incident particle. The third call is repeated for the number of incident-particle collisions specified on the data card. If more than one case is run in succession, the first call to DRES is not repeated since the data on the EVAP Table Tape are the same for all cases.

THRES is a function subprogram that calculates the binding energy for the formation of a compound nucleus from the collision of the incident particle with the target nucleus. THRES is not called for incident photons. The excitation energy of the compound nucleus is simply the kinetic energy of the photon in this case.

ENERGY is a function subprogram that determines the mass excess of any nucleus. The information is either read from the tabulation of mass excesses stored on the EVAP Table Tape or calculated using the semiempirical mass relationship formulated by Cameron.¹⁰ Data needed for the Cameron calculation are also stored on the EVAP Table Tape.

DOST is function subprogram that determines some of the factors used in calculating the probability of the emission of each of the six types of evaporated particles from a given compound nucleus.

SAMPLE CASE

The data card and printed output for a sample case, 18-MeV protons on $_{25}^{56}$ Fe, are shown.

Data Card: 18-MeV Protons on ⁵⁶Fe, 4000 Histories

56

56	26	2 1	,? .	4001
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				' .
				•
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 5 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 27 24 25 28 27 2	* 79 30 31 32 33 38 35 38 37 38 49 41 42 43			
	11111111111111111		- 50 60 E1 62 62 64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 - 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	*****
272777777777777777777				111111111
	1 222222222222222	1 22222222222222	121227777777777	,,,,,,,,,,
333333333333333333333333333333333333333				
		1 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	3 333333333333	111111111

		* • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	44444444444	.44444444
333333555555555555555555555555555555555	\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$			
			*****	55555555
	6 666666666666666			
111111111111111111111111111111111111111				
	777777777777777777	1111111111111111	11111111111111	

1 7 2 4 5 6 7 6 8 10 11 17 13 14 15 10 10 10 20 21 22 23 24 25 25 27 78	********************	2222222223333333399999	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
snar #3C		** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** 51 52 53 54 54 54 51 50 5	1 60 61 62 63 60 65 66 67 60 60 79 71 7	*****

evap-2
a of target = 56
a of target = 56
c of target = 26
kinetic energy (MeV) = 18.60
incident particle = Prjtun
excitation energy = 24.02
case Histories = 4000

AVERAGE EVAPURATION YIELD PER INELASTIC EVENT

NEUTRGNS PKGTJMS DEUTERGNS TRITONS HE3 ALPHAS 0.6720000 0.65575JU 0.0 0.6720000 0.65575JU 0.0 NUMBER OF HISTOPIES IN AHICH BERYLLIUM-8 BREAKUP OCCURGED C

NUMBER OF HISTORIES IN WHICH A RESIDUAL NUCLEUS WAS IDENTICAL TO Jne of the six species of particles whose speciea are given below

0

!

....

¢

DISTRIBUTION OF RESIDUAL NUCLEI FOLLOWING EVAPORATION

	1			
	~	NUMBER OF NUCLEI	EXCITATION ENERGY	(MEV)
0.00	27	1337	9.1483	
	26	518	11.2193	•
55	27	23	J . 6 8 7 9	
	26	2105	1.9425	
E3	25	17	1.0494	
		•		

AVERAGE EXCITATION ENERGY OF RESIDUAL NUCLEI 5.5727

MUMENTS OF THE ENERGY DISTRIBUTIONS FOR THE FOLLOWING EVAPORATION PARTICLES

	•		NENTED		DEDTONC	0 THE DONC	TOTIO		163	A3 DHAS	
	LST M 2ND MC 3RD MC	0M. 20 0M. 20		00 00 00 01 00 00	+-52742E 00 2-23607E 01 1-23623E 02		000	000		.89143E 00 .89951E 01 .60276E 03	
		F			NORMAL 1750 -	A NALTAFRAV	THE COFC	NI: 81			
			ך ר ר	SPECIF	I ÉS THE LONER	ENERGY LIMI	T OF THE 1	VTERVAL IN	121		
	ΪΨ.					•					
~	0.475918	555°O	186	0.48165	2 0.372707	0.372707	0.309633	0.280905	6.255161	0.306766	0.26662
	0.332569	0.255	lol	0.30363	5 G.28383Ú	0.301032	0.197821	0.315367	0.223624	0.256895	0.20368
~	0.197821	0.200	10 8d	0.20355	5 0.2C3555	0.129014	0.183480	3.172019	C.169151	0.154817	0.13761
	0.134748	0.117	1540	0.10034	4 0.123283	U. Jo0206	6.097477	L. 100344	6.0774C 8	() • C86009	0.06680
	0.063073	0.005	1940	0.04306	5 0.057339	J.063073	0.040138	0.057339	0.046739	0.045872	0.03440
	0.034404	G•Ú34	+0+	G.03440	4 0.025803	u.034404	0.011468	0.025503	6.034404	0.014335	6.61720
م	0.011468	0.017	1202	0.02066	5 0.0% 335	u-JC 5734	C.C22936	0.020059	Ú.C14335	6.662367	0.00573
~	6.006601	0.020	6900	U-000400	1 0.014335	C• 0	0.606601	0.005734	0.005734	C.C02867	0.00573
6	0.0	500.0	367	v.06573-	4 0.0C20c7	0.038501	0.0	0.602067	0.0	C.C	0.00285
ው	C.002867	0°005	367	Č.C0265	7 U.O	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0	0 •C	0.0
0	Ú.ÚJ2867	0.0		0-0	0.0	0.0	0•0	0.0	C•0	0.0	0.00280
<u>م</u> ہ ،	Ú.Ú	Û.C		0.0	0•0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0°C
~	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0•0	0.0	0.0	(°-0	0.0	0.0
, n	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0-0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0°C
*	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.6	6.9	0.0	0-0	0.0	0.0	0.0
0	0.0	0.0		0°0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Ó		0.0		0.0	0.0	U •0	, 0 •0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
~	C. C	0.0		Č, Č, Č	0.0	0.0	C•0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
a	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	6.C	0.0	0•0	0.0	0.0
1	ۥ0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
O	0.0	د• ر		0.0	Ú. Ú	0°0	0°C	0.0	0-0	0.0	0.0
	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0•0	0.0	0.0	0°0	0.0
	0.0	0.0	•	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
ŝ	0.0	0-0	•	0.0	0.0	0.0	C•0	0.0	0.0	0°0	0.0
3	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0°C	0.0	0.0

0 0 0

NORMALIZED EVAPORATION PROTON SPECTRUM (é spécifies the lomer énergy limit of the interval in MeV)

4

•		-		NURMAL IZED	EVAPORATION	N DEUT	SPECTRUM			
•	u		E SPECIFI	¢S TH¢ LON	iër ëreasy Ll	INIT OF	THE INTERVAL IN			
0	0.0	0.0	0-0	0.0	Ú.Ú	0-0	0-0	0.0	0-0	. 0=0
n N	0.0	0-0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0-0	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.0
10	0.0	0-0	0.0	0.0	Ç.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0-0
ĊŢ	0-0	0 •0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0-0	0.0	0.0
20	0.0	0. U	0.0	0.0	0-0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
52	0.0	0.0	0-0	0-0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
00	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	C.J	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0-0
35	0.0	0.0	0-0	0	0•0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0-0	0-0
\$	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0 •0	0.0
40	0-0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	J •0	0.0
							•			
			Le SPECIFI	NDRMALIZED	I EVAPURATION	NTRITON	SPECTRUM THE INTERVAL IN	HËV]		
C	ي. 10 لا	0-0	Ú. Ú	0-0	0-0	0-0	0.0	0-0		0-0
3 (r		0.0	0.0	0.0			0.0	0.0	0	0.0
12	0.0		0.0	0-0	0.0	0 0	0.0	0•0	0.0	0.0
15	0.0	0.0 0	0.0	0.0	C.J	0.0	0.0	0.0	0-0	0.0
20	0.0	0.0	0.0	0-0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.0
25	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0 •C	0.0
50	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0-0	0.0	0.0
35	0-0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3. 0
ç	0.0	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
÷,	0.0	. 0 •0	0-0	0-0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0*0	0.0	0-0
	•				•				• .	
•				NORMAL 1260	EVAPOKATIO	N HE3	SPECTRUM THE INTERVAL IN			
	ta		LE STELLE			5				
0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0•0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0°0	0.0	0•0
ŝ	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	Ű.0	0 • 0
10	0.0	0.0	0-0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0*0	0-0	0.0
<u>ē</u> Ţ	0.0	0.0	0-0	0.0	0-0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.0	0.0
20	9 •0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0°0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0
57	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	2		3	20	
3	0.0				0.0	9 0 9 0		•••		
2	0.0						•			
			-	DAT I MOCM	. 543000ATIO	1 A1 D44	CDEC T011M			
		•	LE SPECIFI	ES THE LOW	IER ENERGY L	INIT OF	THE INTERVAL IN	1 46V)		
e	w c		6	¢.		Ċ		0-0		Ċ
> u							0.117447	0.476544	0.235206	10 C 3E C - 9
'n	0.0	0-226.206			0.115.70				0-0	
		0-0					0-0		0.0	0-0
				0.0			0-0	0-0	0-0	0-0
3		0-0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
00	0.0	0.0	0.0	0°0	0.0	0-0	0.0	0*0	0.0	0.0
35	C. C	0-3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0•0	0.0	0.0
ş	0.0	0.0	0•,	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
i∩ ‡	0.0	0.0	0°0	0+0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

III. EVAP-3

DIFFERENCES BETWEEN EVAP-2 AND EVAP-3

The evaporation calculation in EVAI-3 is identical to that in EVAP-2 except that the effects of nuclear recoil are included in EVAP-3. EVAP-2 assumes that the initial excited nucleus is fixed and ignores recoil velocity. The same assumption is made for a nucleus after the evaporation of a particle, allowing all of the energy of the nucleus to be available as excitation energy for the next evaporation. This means, in effect, that EVAP-2 neglects the difference between the laboratory and center-of-mass systems.

In EVAP-3 the excitation energy used to calculate the evaporation of the first particle from the original compound nucleus is the energy available in the center-of-mass system. Before evaporation, the velocity of the center-of-mass system is equated to the recoil velocity of the compound nucleus. After each evaporation, the velocity of the new residual nucleus is calculated, and this becomes the velocity of the center of mass for the next evaporation.

In EVAP-2 the particles are assumed to be evaporated isotropically from the excited nuclei. In EVAP-3 the particles are evaporated isotropically in the center-of-mass system. The distribution in the laboratory system is therefore no longer isotropic.

In general, the differences in the results calculated by the two codes are small. The particle multiplicities are, on the average, slightly lower in EVAP-3 and therefore the residual nuclei are slightly heavier.

CALCULATION OF KINETIC ENERGIES OF RECOILING NUCLEI IN EVAP-3

The first step in determining the recoil kinetic energy of the original compound nucleus is the calculation of the momentum of the incident particle. Since the target nucleus is assumed to be stationary, the momentum of the compound nucleus was equated to that of the incident particle. The kinetic energy of the compound nucleus was then determined from the momentum, and this value was subtracted from the excitation energy of the compound nucleus calculated by the method of EVAP-2.

To calculate the recoil kinetic energy and the excitation energy of each evaporation residual nucleus, the following symbols are used (primed quantities refer to the center-of-momentum system and unprimed quantities refer to the laboratory system):

- E^* = the excitation energy of the evaporation residual nucleus; $\overline{v_c}$ = the velocity of recoil nucleus before evaporation, which is also equal to the velocity of the center-of-momentum system after evaporation;
- v' = the velocity of the next evaporated particle of type i in the center-of-momentum system;
- $\overline{v_r}'$ = the recoil velocity of the nucleus after emission of particle i in the center-of-momentum system;

 M_{m} = the mass of nucleus after emission of particle i;

M_i = the mass of evaporated particle i;

 θ = the angle in the center-of-momentum system between $\overline{v_i}'$ and $\overline{v_c}$; cos θ is selected from an isotropic distribution between 0 and 180° .

The velocities of the evaporated particle and the recoil nucleus in the laboratory system may be expressed as follows:

$$\overline{\mathbf{v}_{i}} = \overline{\mathbf{v}_{i}^{\dagger}} + \overline{\mathbf{v}_{c}}$$

$$\mathbf{v}_{i}^{2} = \mathbf{v}_{i}^{\dagger 2} + \mathbf{v}_{c}^{2} + 2\mathbf{v}_{i}^{\dagger} \mathbf{v}_{c} \cos\theta$$

$$\overline{\mathbf{v}_{r}} = \overline{\mathbf{v}_{r}^{\dagger}} + \overline{\mathbf{v}_{c}}$$

$$\mathbf{v}_{r}^{2} = \mathbf{v}_{r}^{\dagger 2} + \mathbf{v}_{c}^{2} + 2\mathbf{v}_{r}^{\dagger} \mathbf{v}_{c} \cos(180 + \theta)$$

and, in the center-of-momentum system,

$$M_{r}\overline{v_{r}'} = M_{i}\overline{v_{i}'};$$

therefore,

$$v_r'^2 = (M_i/M_r)^2 v_i'^2$$
.

The square of the recoil velocity of the nucleus in the laboratory system is then

$$v_r^2 = (M_i/M_r)^2 v_i'^2 + v_c^2 + 2(M_i/M_r) v_i' v_c \cos(180 + \theta)$$

The type of evaporated particle and the energy of the evaporated particle are determined using Monte Carlo sampling techniques, and therefore M_i and v'_i can be calculated. v_c can be calculated from the recoil kinetic energy of the original compound nucleus for the first evaporated particle. For subsequent evaporations, v_c is simply the v_r calculated for the previous evaporation.

The excitation energy, E_{new}^{*} , of the residual nucleus after a particle is evaporated is equal to the excitation energy of the nucleus before evaporation minus the sum of the kinetic energies of the evaporated particle and the residual nucleus and also minus the binding energy Q of the evaporated particle in the nucleus before evaporation.

$$E_{\text{new}}^{*} = E^{*} - \frac{1}{2} M_{r} v_{r}^{\prime 2} - \frac{1}{2} M_{i} v_{i}^{\prime 2} - Q$$
$$= E^{*} - \frac{1}{2} [M_{r} (M_{i} / M_{r})^{2} + M_{i}] v_{i}^{\prime 2} - Q$$

The velocity of the evaporated particles in the laboratory system is used to calculate the energies for the energy distributions and spectra tabulated by EVAP-3.

INPUT REQUIREMENTS AND SUBROUTINE STRUCTURE

The input data card and the subroutine structure in EVAP-3 are identical to that in EVAP-2. The printed output is also the same in the two codes except that in the table, "Distribution of Residual Nuclei Following Evaporation," there is one additional column for the tabulation of the recoil kinetic energies of the nuclei.

SAMPLE CASE

The printed output for the same sample case that was used for EVAP-2 is shown for EVAP-3. The data card is identical to the one shown for EVAP-2.

A comparison of the normalized neutron energy spectra calculated by EVAP-2 and EVAP-3 is shown in Fig. 1. Standard deviations are not calculated by the EVAP codes, but the difference between these two spectra is almost surely due to the statistical nature of the calculation.

EVAP-3 A OF TARGET = 56 Z OF TARGET = 26 KINETIC ENERGY (MEV) = 18.00 INCIDENT PARTICLE = PROTON EXCITATION ENERGY = 23.70 CASE HISTORIES = 4300 AVERAGE EVAPORATION YIELD PER INELASTIC EVENT

NEUTRONS PROTONS DEUTERGNS TKITONS HE3 ALPHAS 0.6444995 0.5520660 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0037500

NUPBER CF HISTORIES IN WHICH BERYLLIUY-8 BREAKUP OCCURRED 0

NUMBER OF HISTOPIES IN WHICH A RESIDUAL NUCLEUS WAS IDENTICAL TO Gne of the Six species of particles whose spectra are given relow

DISTAIBUTION OF RÉSIDUAL NUCLEI FOLLOWING EVAPORATION

•	UNDER UT NUCLET	CALITATIC'S ENERGY (MEVI	VINCITO ENERGY I
27	1729	6+1762	0.3725
20	615	11.1121	6.4315
27	3	1.7089	, 0.3328
26	1c33	1.7950	0.3911
25	15	5.6501	1.0520

55

56

53

AVERAGE EXCITATION ENERGY OF RESIDUAL NUCLEI 6.4288

,

MOMENTS OF THE ENERGY DISTRIBUTIONS FOR THE FOLLOWING EVAPORATION PARTICLES

ALPHAS	1.02445E 01	1.06772E 02	· 1•13145E 03	
HE3	0.0	0.0	0-0	
TRITONS	0-3	0.0	C.O.	
DEUTERGNS	0.0	9 •0	0.0	
PROTONS	4.57761E 00	2.303566 01	1.31108E 02	
NEUTRONS	1.94347E 00	6.24790E 50	2.66856E 01	
•	IST MOM.	2ND MOM.	3RD MON.	

	•		NON SPECIFICS	RMALIZED EV	APORATIGN -	NEUT SPECT	FRUM MTEDVAL IN N	101		·
	w					5		- -	•	
0	0.423328	0.408526	0.393724	u.301954	0.316335	C.319716	C.278271	0.307e75	0.261232	0.260509
-	0.222025	0.358200	0.254565	0.254589	0.254589	0.254555	0.301954	0.216104	0.266430	0.313795
2	0.242747	0.213144	0.224585	C.166501	0.133541	C.1805CI	0.180580	0.171695	6.156898	C.165779
m	0.145056	0.124334	0.130255	6.115453	0.100651	0.103612	0*061770	011160.0	0.01770	0.076969
4	0.665127	0.059207	0.055207	625520.0	0.638464	0.059207	0.029503	G.668088	0.053266	0.026643
ŝ	0.038484	0.029603	0 926643	C.030484	0. 320722	6+020722	0.017762	0.041445	0.014862	0.01184
\$	0.020722	0.023683	0.005521	C.011841	J.011641	C.C11841	0.011841	0.014802	0.008881	0.011841
~	0.006881	0.0	G.014602	0.005921	0.011941	0.0	J •008881	0.005921	0.002960	0.005921
8	0.002960	0-002960	0*002290	0.002560	0.0	0°C	0.0 0296Ü	C•0	د• د	0.002950
σ	0.002960	0.0	0.005521	0.0	0.63630	6.0	0.0	C •0	0.002960	0.0
10	0.0	0.0	0.0	0°0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
11	0.0	0.0	0.0	C•0	0.0	0°.)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.002965
12	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.0	0.0	C•0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
13	0.0	C •0	0.0	C.C	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
14	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0•0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
15	0.0	0°C	0.0	. 0.0	C• 0	0-0	0.0	0.0	ن• ن ن	0.0
16	0.0	Ú.C	0.0	0°0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
17	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0-0	0°C	0.0	0•0	0.0	0.0
16	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	C•0	0.0	C•0	0°C	0.0
19		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0
20	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
21	0.0	C+O	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0•0	0.0	0.0	0.0
22	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0•0	0.0	0.0	0.0
23	0.0		0.0	5.0	0.0	ن• ن	0-0	0.0	0.0	0.0
24	0.0	0.0	0.0	C•0	c•0	ပ•ပ ပ	0-0	د• ن د	0.0	0.0

NORMALIZED EVAPORATION PROTON SPECTRUM SPECIFIES THE LOWER ENERGY LIMIT.OF THE INTERVAL IN MEV)

u

0.0 0.0 0.0 0.507118 0.507118 0.084520 0.031139 0.026690 0.004448 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.636121 0.169039 0.080071 0.053381 0•0 0•0 0•0 0.836299 0.146797 0.102313 0.064448 0.006657 0.040036 462710-0 0.004445 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.760676 0.182364 0.115658 0.062278 0.035587 0.026690 0.008897 0-006857 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.738434 0.738434 0.738434 0.738434 0.738434 0.738434 0.0 6.0 0.00 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.044484 0.013345 0.4448 0.013345 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 000 0.0 0.0 0.551662 0.209075 0.006897 0.068897 0.064448 0.102313 0.053381 0.035587 0.004897 6.0 0.0 0.0 0.516014 0.516014 0.124555 0.115656 0.053381 C-013545 C. 008897 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.324733 0.298045 0.129004 0.129004 0.129004 0.129004 0.129004 0.129004 0.129004 0.129004 0.031155 0-004446 000 000 00 0.0 0.C 9.0 0.0 0. 0 0.0 0.0 0.0 00 0.182364 0.124555 0.053381 0.026690 0.004448 0.404804 w 0000

8
-
9
ф. Г
10日間の10日
цц.

																																				0							
	€) C	9 9 9	0	0.0	0-0	0.0	0-0			0	0.0	0.0		5				0.0	0.0		0*0	0•0	0.0	0.0					0.0			0.0	0.0004-0	0.0	٥. • • •	0.0	0.0	0.0	0 • 0 0 • 0	0.0
	ن د					0	0.0	0- 0	0.0	0.0				0.0			3.0	200			0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3 °				0.0			C•O	0.133333	0.0	0°0	0.0	ن د• ز	0.0	0.0	0.0
5	6					0.0	0-0	0.0	0-0	0 •0			(0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	20				0.0	5	0-0	C.C		0.0	0.0				0.0	:	*	0-0	0.133333	0°0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
L Val Iri Met						00		0	0	3		VAL IN ME		0	0	5.	2	0,1					I VAL IN MEN	۔ بو	0	0	0	0				0		VAL IN TE	• •	133333	0	0.1	0	0	0	0	0
SPECTRUM THE INTER	đ		se				Ģ	••	•	0	SPECTAN	THE INTER			•	.	••	• •	5 c	5.0			SPECTRUM THE INTER	•0	•	.0.		.	• •		• c		SPECTRUM		. 0	13333 0.	6667 0.	• •		•
LINIT OF	Ċ					5	C.0	() ()	0.0	0-0	UN TELTCA	LINIT OF		0.0	0	0.0	3.	2 0 2 0				0-0	ION HES LIMIT OF	0.0	0.0	0.0	0-0	5				0.0	ION ALPHA		Ç.0	0.1	0.26	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0 3	0.0
ER ENERGY	0					0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	FVAPD2AT	ER ENERGY		¢.	0.0	.		3))	EVAPORATI	0.0	0.0	0.0	ن د	? •0	0.0			0.0	EVAPORATI	EN ENERGY	0•0 5	0.0	0°. J	0.0	0.0 0	0.0-0	0.0	0.0	0.0
IORMALIZED							0.0	0.0	(·•0	0-0	03/11780	S THE LOW	1	3.0	0 0	0.0	0.0	.	36			0	IGRMALIZED S 54E LOW	0-0	- C	ð-0	0.0	0.0	0. 			0	ICEMAL IZED		0*0	0°0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
E SPECIFIE						0	0.0	0.0	6. 0	0-0	*	E SPECIFIE		2.0	9	0.0	9	3	5 e e			0.0	E SPECIFIC	0-0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			0		E SPECIFIE	0-0	0.0	0.400000	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
J	,	.		5			3	0.0	5+1					0-0	0.0	0.0	9 . 9	3	5			00		0	0.0	۲. Ŭ שור	5.0	0.0	9. 9.		5				0.0	0.0	0.13333J	0.0	6•0	. 0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
		-							•				ولي.		•••				•					4	-			with the						ىت	- -	•	10001	•	•	-	•		-
		.					0	0	9	0 •0				9	9	0	9	90	9 9 9 9) () () 6) 6	99		0	9.0	0.0	9.0	9	9	3 (5 (0.0	0.0	0.26	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0-0	0.0
		5	n ç	3		2 yr 1 1	2	- 47 1 - 79	Ģ	н Т				э	1 75		1974 - 1 1914 - 1		Ĵ,	3 . 4	n e h i	i in F if		đ	: e¶	(n) #	ŝ	9) V	N.	2		P A			0	۱Å	3	1 5	20	\$	O M	35	9



ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

It is a pleasure to than Dr. R. G. Alsmiller, Jr., and Dr. T. W. Armstrong of the Oak Ridge National Laboratory for many helpful discussions and suggestions throughout the course of this work.