General Disclaimer

One or more of the Following Statements may affect this Document

- This document has been reproduced from the best copy furnished by the organizational source. It is being released in the interest of making available as much information as possible.
- This document may contain data, which exceeds the sheet parameters. It was furnished in this condition by the organizational source and is the best copy available.
- This document may contain tone-on-tone or color graphs, charts and/or pictures, which have been reproduced in black and white.
- This document is paginated as submitted by the original source.
- Portions of this document are not fully legible due to the historical nature of some of the material. However, it is the best reproduction available from the original submission.

Produced by the NASA Center for Aerospace Information (CASI)

The Inversion Theorem and Plancherel's

1.

Theorem in Infinite Dimensions

by

U. Haussmann¹

Center for Dynamical Systems Division of Applied Mathematics Brown University Providence, Rhode Island 02912

602

FORM

Y.

<u>N69-35946</u> (THRU) ACCESSION NUMB SEP 1969 37 (CODE) Þ Q RECEIVED NASA STI FACILIT CATEGORY) TMX OR AD NUMBER) INPUT BRANCH

This research was supported in part by NASA under Grant <u>No. NGL</u> <u>40-002-015</u> and by the National Research Council of Canada. The results constitute a part of the authors doctoral dissertation written under the supervision of and with the encouragement of Professor P. L. Falb.

The Inversion Theorem and Plancherel's

Theorem in a Banach Space

1. Introduction

Let G be a locally compact abelian group with Haar measure μ , and let X be a Banach space and C be the set of complex numbers. A classic theorem due to Plancherel ([1], [2]) states that the Fourier transform maps $L_1(G,C) \cap L_2(G,C)^+$ onto a dense subset of $L_2(\hat{G},C)$ (\hat{G} is the dual group of G and has Haar measure m) in such a way that $\int_G \alpha(g) \overline{\beta(g)} \mu(dg) = \int_{\hat{G}} \hat{\alpha}(\gamma) \overline{\beta(\gamma)} m'(d\gamma)$ for all α,β in $L_1(G,C) \cap L_2(G,C)$ where $\hat{\alpha}$ is the Fourier transform of α , given by $\hat{\alpha}(\gamma) = \int_{\overline{G}} \overline{(g,\gamma)} \alpha(g) \mu(dg)$ for all γ in \hat{G} . Here (g,γ) denotes the action of the character γ on g in G. In this paper we extend this result to functions taking values in an inner product subspace of a Banach algebra.

Another well-known theorem ([1], [2]) states that if α is a positive definite element of $L_1(G,C) \cap L_{\infty}(G,C)$ then $\hat{\alpha}$ is in $L_1(\hat{G},C)$ and

(1.1) $\alpha(g) = \int_{\widehat{G}} (g, \gamma) \widehat{\alpha}(\gamma) m(d\gamma)$

*For $l \leq p \leq \infty$ $L_p(G,X)$ is the space of μ -measurable functions f mapping G into X. For $l \leq p < \infty$ we use the norm $\|\cdot\|_p$, where $\|f\|_p = \{\int_G \|f(g)\|^p \mu(dg)\}^{1/p}$, and for $p = \infty$ we use the norm $\|f\|_{\infty}$ which is the (μ) essential supremum of $\|f(g)\|$ on G. $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the norm in X. for (almost) all g in G. This inversion theorer is also generalized to functions assuming values in certain admissible Banach spaces.

Our work relies heavily on an extension of Bochner's theorem established in [3]. We show that if p is in $L_1(G,X) \cap L_{\infty}(G,X)$, if p is positive definite (positivity is defined with respect to a particular cone in X), and if p(0) satisfies a certain finiteness condition, then \hat{p} , the Fourier transform of p, is in $L_1(\hat{G},X)$ and the inversion formula 1.1 given for α holds for p. A sharper theorem states that if p is in $L_1(G,X) \cap L_{\infty}(G,X)$, if p is positive definite, and if there is a real, finite, regular Borel measure λ such that $\|\int_G \alpha(g) p(g) \mu(dg)\| \leq \int_{\hat{G}} |\hat{\alpha}(\gamma)| \lambda(d\gamma)$ for all α in $L_1(G,C)$, then \hat{p} is in $L_1(\hat{G},X)$ and 1.1 is satisfied by p.

Using this theory we give new proofs of some results due to Hewitt and Wigner ([4]).

Now assume G is compact and \mathscr{N} is the set of Hilbert-Schmidt operators on a separable Hilbert space H. Then we show that the closed maximal ideals of the algebra $L_2(G,\mathscr{N})$ are in a one to one correspondence with \widehat{G} . The same result holds for $L_2(G,A)$ where A is any separable simple H*-algebra.

Finally we prove existence and uniqueness theorems for equa-

(1.2) $q(g) = r(g) + \int_{G} p(g-g')q(g')\mu(dg')$

where r is in $L_2(G, \mathcal{N})$, p is in $L_1(G, \mathcal{L}(H, H))$, H is a separable Hilbert space and $\mathcal{L}(H, H)$ is the space of continuous linear operators mapping H into H (so $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{L}(H, H)$). Solutions q are to be elements of $L_2(G, \mathcal{N})$.

2. Bochner's Theorem and Dominated Functions

Let X be a Banach space, X* the dual of X and X** the dual of X*. For φ in X* we denote the action of φ on $x \in X$ by (x,φ) . Given a subset of X* we can define a cone of "positive" elements in X.

DEFINITION 2.1. Let Φ be a subset of X*. The subset K_{Φ} of X given by

(2.2)
$$K_{\phi} = \{x \in X: (x, \phi) \ge 0 \text{ for all } \phi \in \phi\}$$

is called the cone determined by Φ .

Sometimes we write simply K if Φ is fixed by the context. K_{Φ} is the set of "positive" elements.

Let G be a σ -finite locally compact abelian group with Haar measure μ and let \hat{G} be its dual group with Haar measure m. DEFINITION 2.3. Let p be a measurable map of G into X. Then p is Φ -positive definite if

(2.4)
$$\sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{m=1}^{N} c_n \overline{c}_m (p(g_n - g_m), \phi) \ge 0$$

for any integer N, any c_1, \ldots, c_N in C, any g_1, \ldots, g_N in G, and all φ in φ . If p is in $L_{\infty}(G, X)$ then p is integrally φ -positive definite if

(2.5)
$$(\int_{G}\int_{G}\alpha(g)\overline{\alpha(g')}p(g-g')d\mu d\mu,\phi) \ge 0$$

for all α in $L_1(G,C)$ and all φ in φ .

Next we impose a condition which relates Φ to the topology of X.

DEFINITION 2.6. The family Φ is full if there is a $\rho > 0$ such that

(2.7)
$$\|x\| \leq \rho \sup \{|(x,\phi)|/||\phi||\}$$
$$\substack{\phi \in \Phi \\ \phi \neq O}$$

for all x in X.

The following two propositions examine the relationship between the two notions of positive-definiteness.

PROPOSITION 2.8. If Φ is full and p is Φ -positive definite then p is in $L_{\infty}(G,X)$ and p(0) is in K_{Φ} .

Proof: It is readily shown that for g in G, φ in $\hat{\Phi}$, $|(p(g), \varphi)| \leq (p(0), \varphi)$ so that $||p(g)|| \leq \rho ||p(0)||$.

PROPOSITION 2.9. Let p be in $L_{\infty}(G,X)$ such that one version of p is ωX -continuous⁺. Then p is Φ -positive definite if and only if p is integrally Φ -positive definite.

Proof: See [3] or [7].

We shall see shortly (corollary 2.15) that all those elements of $L_{\infty}(G,X)$ of interest to us have the continuity required in proposition 2.9.

Next we recall some results from measure theory. Let S be a locally compact topological space and let $\Sigma(S)$ be the Borel field of S (i.e. the smallest σ -field containing the closed sets of S).

DEFINITION 2.10. <u>A vector measure ν is a weakly countably additive</u> <u>set function defined on $\Sigma(S)$ and taking values in X. ν is weakly</u> <u>regular if the scalar measures</u> $(\nu(\cdot), \varphi)$ <u>are regular⁺⁺for all</u> φ <u>in X*. ν is Φ -positive if $(\nu(E), \varphi) \ge 0$ for all φ in Φ and E in $\Sigma(S)$.</u>

DEFINITION 2.11. <u>A set function</u> v^{**} <u>mapping</u> $\Sigma(S)$ <u>into</u> X^{**} <u>is</u> <u>weak-*-regular if</u> $(\varphi, v^{**}(\cdot))$ <u>is a regular scalar measure for all</u> φ <u>in</u> X^{*} . v^{**} <u>is</u> φ -<u>positive if</u> $(\varphi, v^{**}(E)) \ge 0$ <u>for all</u> φ <u>in</u> φ , E <u>in</u> $\Sigma(S)$.

The mapping f of G into X is ωX -continuous if it is continuous when the weak topology is imposed on X. G retains its usual topology. ⁺⁺A scalar measure λ is regular if, given $\epsilon > 0$ and $E \in \Sigma(S)$ with $\|\lambda\|(E) < \infty$ (i.e. λ has finite variation on E), then there is a compact $K \subset E$ and an open $0 \supset E$ such that $\|\lambda\|(0-K) < \epsilon$. If ν is a vector measure we denote its variation on a measurable set E by $\|\nu\|(E)$ and its semi-variation by $|\nu|(E)$ ([5], [6]). The following theorem, an extension of Bochner's theorem, is essential to our work. The proof is given in [3]. We assume Φ is full.

THEOREM 2.12. (A) If v is a weakly regular Φ -positive vector measure defined on $\Sigma(\hat{G})$ and if

(2.13)
$$p(g) = \int_{\widehat{C}} (g, \gamma) \nu(d\gamma)$$

then p is an integrally Φ -positive definite element of $L_{\infty}(G,X)$. (B) If p is an integrally Φ -positive definite element of $L_{\infty}(G,X)$, then there is a set function v^{**} mapping $\Sigma(\hat{G})$ into X** such that (i) v^{**} is weak-*-regular, Φ -positive with finite semi-variation and (ii)

(2.14)
$$(p(g), \varphi) = \int_{\Omega} (g, \gamma)(\varphi, \nu^{**}(d\gamma))$$

for all φ in X* and almost all g in G.

COROLLARY 2.15. If p is an integrally Φ -positive definite element of $L_{\infty}(G,X)$, then one version of p is ωX -continuous. If p is given by 2.13, where ν is a weakly regular Φ -positive vector measure defined on $\Sigma(\hat{G})$, then p is a continuous map of G into X.

Proof: This follows from the relevant regularity. See also [7].

With the aid of theorem 2.12 we can prove a useful inversion theorem. However, a different version of Bochner's theorem will allow us to establish a sharper theorem. We require first the following

DEFINITION 2.16. p in $L_{\infty}(G,X)$ is dominated if there exists a finite, regular, positive Borel measure λ , such that

(2.17)
$$\|\int_{c} \alpha(g) p(g) \mu(dg)\| \leq \int_{c} |\hat{\alpha}(r)| \lambda(dr)$$

for all
$$\alpha$$
 in $L_1(G,C)$, where $\hat{\alpha}$ is the Fourier transform of α ,
i.e. $\hat{\alpha}(\gamma) = \int_{C} \overline{(g,\gamma)\alpha(g)\mu(dg)}$.

DEFINITION 2.18. Let Φ be a subset of X. Assume there is a function Φ_0 mapping K_{Φ} into $R^+ \cup \{\infty\}^*$ in a linear manner such that Φ_0 is uniformly positive on K_{Φ} , i.e. there exists k > 0such that $k(x, \phi_0) \ge ||x||$ for all x in K_{Φ} . Furthermore assume there is an at most countable sequence $\{\phi_i\}$ in Φ and a sequence $\{c_i\}$ in R^+ such that $(x, \phi_0) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_i(x, \phi_i)$ for all x in K_{Φ} . Then we say that the pair (Φ, X) is admissible. We let $K_0 = \{x \in K_{\Phi}: (x, \phi_0) < \infty\}$.

LEMMA 2.19. If (Φ, X) is admissible, if Φ is full, and if $p \in L_{\infty}(G, X)$ is integrally Φ -positive definite with p(0) in K_0 , then $*R^+$ is the set of non-negative real numbers.

p is dominated.

Proof: We note first that p(0) is well defined by corollary 2.15. Let $\psi(\alpha) = \int_G \alpha(g) p(g) \mu(dg)$ for all α in $L_1(G,C)$, then $(\psi(\alpha),\phi) = \int_{\widehat{G}} \widehat{\alpha}(\gamma)(\phi, \nu^{**}(d\gamma))$ for some weak-*-regular, Φ -positive set function ν^{**} given by theorem 2.12. We can actually define $\widehat{\psi}(f)$ mapping $C_0(\widehat{G})^+$ into X by $(\widehat{\psi}(f),\phi) = \int_{\widehat{G}} f(\gamma)(\phi,\nu^{**}(d\gamma))$. Then $\widehat{\psi}$ is a bounded linear map, $\|\widehat{\psi}(f)\| \leq \|f\|_{\infty} |\nu^{**}|(\widehat{G})$.

If f is in $C_0(\hat{G})$ then $f = f_1 - f_2 + if_3 - if_4$ where f_i is in $C_0(\hat{G})$, $f_1(\gamma) \ge 0$, and each pair of functions (f_1, f_2) , (f_3, f_4) has disjoint support. Hence $f_1(\gamma) \le |f(\gamma)|$, and $\hat{\psi}(f_1)$ is in K_{Φ} so that $\|\hat{\psi}(f_1)\| \le k(\hat{\psi}(f_1), \phi_0) = k \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j(\hat{\psi}(f_1), \phi_j) = k \sum_j c_j f_{\hat{G}}f_1(\gamma)$ $j = 1 j (\hat{\psi}(f_1), \phi_j) = k \sum_j c_j f_{\hat{G}}f_1(\gamma)$ $(\phi_j, \nu^{**}(d\gamma))$. Consider now the set function λ given by $\lambda(E) = \sum_j c_j(\phi_1, \nu^{**}(E))$, $E \in \Sigma(\hat{G})$. Then $\lambda(E) \ge 0$ for all E in $\Sigma(\hat{G})$, i = 1and also λ is additive. Moreover $\lambda(E) \le (p(0), \phi_0) < \infty$ as p(0)is in K_0 .

 $\lambda \text{ is countably additive because } \lambda(\bigcup E_j) = \sum \sum c_i(\phi_i, i_j) \sum \sum c_i(\phi_i, i_j) = \sum \lambda(E_j), \text{ if the } E_j \text{ are disjoint}$ $(\text{note that } c_i(\phi_i, \nu^{**}(E_j)) \ge 0 \text{ for all } i,j). \text{ Also } \lambda \text{ is regular,}$ for given $\epsilon > 0$ and E in $\Sigma(\widehat{G})$, there is a number N such that $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_i(\phi_i, \nu^{**}(\widehat{G})) < \epsilon/2 \text{ and there is a compact } K \subseteq E \text{ and an open}$ N+1 $0 \supset E \text{ such that } (\phi_i, \nu^{**}(0-K)) < \epsilon/2Nc_i, i = 1,2,\ldots,N. \text{ Hence}$ $\lambda(0-K) < \epsilon.$

 $^+C_{O}(\hat{G})$ is the space of continuous functions mapping \hat{G} into C, which vanish at ∞ if \hat{G} is only locally compact.

Then $\|\widehat{\psi}(f)\| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{4} \|\widehat{\psi}(f_i)\| \leq k \sum_{i=1}^{2} \int_{\widehat{G}} f_i(\gamma) d\lambda \leq 4k \int_{\widehat{G}} |f(\gamma)| d\lambda$. It follows that if $\lambda^* = 4k\lambda$ then $\|\psi(\alpha)\| \leq \int_{\widehat{G}} |\widehat{\alpha}(\gamma)| d\lambda^*$. This establishes the lemma.

We can now state the alternate version of Bochner's theorem. Assume Φ is full and countable.

THEOREM 2.20. p is a dominated, integrally Φ -positive definite element of $L_{\infty}(G,X)$ if and only if there is a weakly regular Φ positive vector measure ν mapping $\Sigma(\hat{G})$ into X such that ν has finite variation, i.e. $\|\nu\|(\hat{G}) < \infty$, and such that

(2.21)
$$p(g) = \int_{C}^{c} (g, \gamma) \nu(d\gamma).$$

For the proof see [3]. In this case, of course, p is continuous by corollary 2.15.

Inversion Theorems

If $p \in L_1(G,X)$ we recall that the Fourier transform of p is given by

(3.1)
$$\widehat{p}(\gamma) = \int_{C} (g, \gamma) p(g) \mu(dg).$$

For convenience we let $\mathscr{P} = \{p \in L_{\infty}(G,X): p \text{ is integrally } \Phi$ positive definite} and $\mathscr{P}_{d} = \{p \in \mathscr{P}: p \text{ is dominated}\}$. We recall that if $p \in \mathscr{P}$ then p is ωX -continuous (corollary 2.15). If (Φ, X) is admissible then \mathscr{T}_{O} is the set of functions p mapping G into X such that p is ωX -cordinuous and such that p(0) is in K_{O} where K_{O} is defined in 2.18.

PROPOSITION 3.2. (A) If $p \in \text{span} \{L_1(G,X) \cap \mathscr{P}\}$ and if $\varphi \in \text{span}\{\Phi\}$ then $(\hat{p}(\cdot), \varphi) \in L_1(\hat{G}, C)$ and (B) if the Haar measure of C is fixed then the Haar measure of \hat{G} can be so normalized that

(3.3)
$$(p(g),\varphi) = \int_{G} (g,\gamma)(\hat{p}(\gamma),\varphi)m(d\gamma)$$

is valid for all $p \in \operatorname{span}\{L_1(G,X) \cap \mathscr{P}\}$ and all $\varphi \in \operatorname{span}\{\Phi\}$. Proof: It is evident the results need only hold for $p \in L_1(G,X) \cap \mathscr{P}$, $\varphi \in \Phi$. But this follows from the scalar inversion theorem ([2], p. 22).

A better result is the following.

THEOREM 3.4. Assume Φ is full and countable and (Φ, X) is admissible. (A) If $p \in \text{span} \{L_1(G, X) \cap \mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{T}_0\}$ then $\hat{p} \in L_1(\hat{G}, X)$, and (B) if μ is fixed then m can be so normalized that

(3.5) $p(g) = \int_{\hat{G}} (g, \gamma) \hat{p}(\gamma) m(d\gamma)$

for all p in span{ $L_1(G,X) \cap \mathcal{P}, \cap \mathcal{T}_0$ } and all g in G.

Proof: Again we need only prove the results for p in $L_1(G,X) \cap \mathscr{P}$ $\cap \mathscr{T}_{O}$. For such a p and for φ in Φ we have from 3.3 and 2.14

(3.6)
$$(p(g),\varphi) = \int_{\widehat{G}} (g,\gamma)(\widehat{p}(\gamma),\varphi)m(d\gamma) = \int_{\widehat{G}} (g,\gamma)(\varphi,\nu^{**}(d\gamma))$$

so that for any $E \in \Sigma(\hat{G}), \varphi \in \Phi$ $\int_{E} (\hat{p}(\gamma), \varphi) m(d\gamma) = (\varphi, \nu^{**}(E)) \ge 0.$ So, in fact, for any $p \in L_1(G, X) \cap \mathscr{P}$ we have

(3.7)
$$(\hat{p}(\gamma), \varphi) \ge 0, \varphi \in \Phi, \gamma \in \hat{G}.$$

Now $\infty > (p(0), \varphi_0) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_i(p(0), \varphi_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_i(\varphi_i, \nu^{**}(\hat{G})) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_i \int_{\hat{G}} (\hat{p}(\gamma), \varphi_i) m(d\gamma) = \int_{\hat{G}} (\hat{p}(\gamma), \varphi_0) m(d\gamma) \ge \int_{\hat{G}} \|\hat{p}(\gamma)\| m(d\gamma)$ using isomorphic the monotone convergence theorem, the fact that $\hat{p}(\gamma) \in K_{\phi}$ for all $\gamma \in \hat{G}$, and the fact that \hat{p} is continuous so $\|\hat{p}(\cdot)\|$ is measurable. As p is measurable and G is σ -finite, then p is essentially separably valued and so is \hat{p} . As \hat{p} is also continuous it is measurable. Hence (A) is established.

Now 3.6 yields $(p(g), \varphi) = (\int_{\hat{G}} (g, \gamma) \hat{p}(\gamma) m(d\gamma), \varphi)$ for any $\varphi \in \Phi$ and almost all $g \in G$. As Φ is full and countable we have $p(g) = \int_{\hat{G}} (g, \gamma) \hat{p}(\gamma) m(d\gamma)$ for almost all g. This proves the theorem.

We give now the sharper theorem which does, however, require \hat{G} to be σ -finite.

THEOREM 3.8. Assume Φ is full and countable and \hat{G} is σ -finite. (A) if $p \in \text{span} \{L_1(G,X) \cap \mathcal{P}_d\}$ then $\hat{p} \in L_1(\hat{G},X)$, (B) if μ is fixed then m can be so normalized that 3.5 holds for all $p \in \frac{\text{span}\{L_1(G,X) \cap \mathcal{P}_d\}}{2}$.

Proof: If $p \in L_1(G,X)$ then $\hat{p} \in L_{\infty}(\hat{G},X)$. If $p \in \mathscr{P}_d$ also and $p(g) = \int_{\hat{G}} (g,\gamma) v(d\gamma)$ as in 2.21, then $v(E) = \int_E \hat{p}(\gamma) m(d\gamma)$ for $E \in \Sigma(\hat{G})$ such that $m(E) < \infty$. Then $\|v\|(E) = \int_E \|\hat{p}(\gamma)\| m(d\gamma)$ for $m(E) < \infty$, or, for any such E, $\int_E \|\hat{p}(\gamma)\| m(d\gamma) \leq \|v\|(\hat{G}) < \infty$ as v has finite variation. Now \hat{G} is σ -finite so if $\{\hat{G}_n\}$ is a sequence in $\Sigma(\hat{G})$ increasing to \hat{G} then $\int_{\hat{G}} \|\hat{p}(\gamma)\| m(d\gamma) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{\hat{G}} \|\hat{p}(\gamma)\| m(d\gamma) \leq \|v\|(\hat{G}) < \infty$. It follows by the monotone convergence theorem that $\hat{p} \in L_1(\hat{G}, X)$. (B) follows readily.

We note that lemma 2.19 and theorem 3.8 give an immediate proof of theorem 3.4 if \hat{G} is σ -finite. Actually theorem 3.4 is the more useful theorem although theorem 3.8 is sharper.

COROLLARY 3.9. If p is given by

$$(3.10) p(g) = \int_{C}^{\infty} (g, \gamma) \nu(d\gamma)$$

where ν is a weakly regular Φ -positive vector measure with finite variation and if p is in $L_1(G,X)$, then 3.5 holds.

4. The Plancherel Theorem

As usual this theorem :s set in a Hilbert space and so

we must first develop the necessary structure. Assume now that X is a Banach algebra with continuous involution $x \rightarrow x^*$.

DEFINITION 2.14. The triplet (Φ, X, X_0) is strongly admissible if (i) (Φ, X) is admissible, (ii) X_0 is a non-trivial subspace of X such that xx^* is in K_0^+ for all x in X, and (iii) there exists $k_0 > 0$ such that if $x \in X_0$ then

(4.2)
$$k_0 \|xx^*\| \ge \|x\|^2$$
.

We note that 4.2 is satisfied if X is a C*-algebra. Now we have

PROPOSITION 4.3. If X is a Banach algebra and if (Φ, X, X_0) is strongly admissible then X_0 is a Hilbert space under the norm $\|\cdot\|_0$ where $\|x\|_0^2 = \langle x, x \rangle_0$ and $\langle x, y \rangle_0 = (xy^*, \phi_0)$.

Proof. φ_{o} is only defined on K and we do not know that if $x, y \in X_{o}$ then $xy^{*} \in K$. However we can extend φ_{o} by setting $(xy^{*}, \varphi_{o}) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_{i}(xy^{*}, \varphi_{i})$ were $\{c_{i}\}, \{\varphi_{i}\}$ define φ_{o} on K. Then $| \langle x, y \rangle_{o} | = |(xy^{*}, \varphi_{o})| = |\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_{i}(xy^{*}, \varphi_{i})| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_{i}(xx^{*}, \varphi_{i})^{1/2}$ $(yy^{*}, \varphi_{i})^{1/2}$ where the last inequality follows because φ_{i} is a positive functional. Hence we can define $\langle x, y \rangle_{o}$ for $x, y \in X_{o}$ and $| \langle x, y \rangle_{o} | \leq ||x||_{o} ||y||_{o}$. It follows from 2.18 and 4.2 that $kk_{o} ||x||_{o}^{2} \geq ||x||^{2}$ and that $||\cdot||_{o}$ is a norm.

⁺K is defined in 2.18.

If $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy in $\|\cdot\|_o$ then it is Cauchy in $\|\cdot\|$, so $x_n \to x \in X$. As K is closed then $xx^* \in K$. Also $\{x_n\}$ is bounded in $\|\cdot\|_o$ because it is Cauchy, so $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_i(x_n x_n^*, \varphi_i) \leq M$, hence $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_i(xx^*, \varphi_i) \leq M$ or $x \in K_o$. Choose $m(\epsilon)$ such that if i=1 $n,m > m(\epsilon)$ then $\|x_n - x_m\|_o < \epsilon$. Then $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_i([x-x_m](x-x_m]^*, \varphi_i) =$ $\sum_{i=1}^{N} c_i([x_n - x_m](x_n - x_m]^*, \varphi_i) \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_i([x_n - x_m](x_n - x_m]^*, \varphi_i) < \epsilon^2$ $n \to \infty i=1$ so that for $m > m(\epsilon) \|x-x_m\|_o < \epsilon$, or X_o is a Hilbert space.

If X is a Banach algebra and G is σ -finite, then $L_1(G,X)$ is also a Banach algebra ([8]). If X has the involution $x \to x^*$, then we can define an involution on $L_1(G,X)$ as $p \to p^*$ where $p^*(g) = p(-g)^*$.

THEOREM 4.4. If G is σ -finite, X is a Banach algebra with continuous involution, Φ is a full and countable subset of X* and (Φ, X, X_0) is strongly admissible, then (i) if $\{e_{\alpha}\}$ is an orthonormal basis for X_0 and there exists k_1 such that $| < x, e_{\alpha} >_0 | \leq k_1 ||x||$ for $x \in X_0$ and all α , then the Fourier transform maps $L_1(G, X) \cap L_2(G, X_0)$ onto a dense subset of $L_2(\hat{G}, X_0)$, (ii) for $q, r \in L_1(G, X) \cap L_2(G, X_0)$

(4.5)
$$\int_{G} q(g) r(g) \mu(dg) = \int_{\widehat{G}} \widehat{q}(\gamma) \widehat{r}(\gamma) m(d\gamma),$$

(iii) for $q, r \in L_1(G, X) \cap L_2(G, X_0)$

(4.6) $< q_{,}r > = < \hat{q}, \hat{r} > ,$

 $\frac{\text{where}}{\hat{r}(\gamma)} < q,r > = \int_{G} < q(g), r(g) >_{O} \mu(dg) \text{ and } < \hat{q}, \hat{r} > = \int_{G}^{\circ} < \hat{q}(\gamma),$ $\hat{r}(\gamma) >_{O} m(d\gamma).$

Proof: We shall put
$$\|q\|_{1} = \int_{G} \|q(g)\| \mu(dg)$$
 and $\|q\|_{2} = {\int_{G}} \|q(g)\|_{0}^{2} \mu(dg) {}^{1/2}$ for $q \in L_{1}(G, X) \cap L_{2}(G, X_{0})$. Let $p(g) = (q * q^{*})(g)$. As $q \in L_{1}(G, X)$ so is p with $\|p\|_{1} \leq \|q\|_{1}^{2}$. It can also be shown that $p \in C_{0}(G, X_{0})^{+}$ as $q \in L_{2}(G, X_{0})$. Now $p(0) = \int_{G} q(g)q(g)*\mu(dg) \in K$ so $(p(0), \varphi_{0}) = (\int_{G} q(g)q(g)*\mu(dg), \varphi_{0}) = \sum_{i=1}^{2} c_{i}\int_{G} (q(g)q(g)*, \varphi_{i})\mu(dg) = \int_{G} (q(g)q(g)*, \varphi_{0})\mu(dg) = \int_{G} \|q(g)\|_{0}^{2}\mu(dg) = \|q\|_{2}^{2} < \infty$ using the monotone convergence theorem. Hence $p \in L_{1}(G, X) \cap \mathcal{J}_{0}$.

Now $C_0(G,X_0) \subset C_0(G,X)$ so $p \in L_{\infty}(G,X)$. Also $\int_G \int_G \alpha(g) \overline{\alpha(g')} p(g-g') \mu(dg) \mu(dg') = \int_G [\int_G \alpha(g) q(g-g'') \mu(dg)] [\int_G \alpha(g') q(g'-g'') \mu(dg')] \times \mu(dg'') = \int_G q'(g) q'(g) \times \mu(dg)$ using the Fubini and Tonelli theorems with $\alpha \in L_1(G,C)$. $q' = \alpha \times q \in L_2(G,X_0)$ ([8]) so $q'(g) \in X_0$ a.e. or $q'(g)q'(g) \times K_0$ a.e. Hence if $\varphi \in \varphi$ then $(\int_G q'(g)q'(g) \times \mu(dg), \varphi) = \int_G (q'(g), q'(g) \times \varphi) \mu(dg) \ge 0$ or $p \in \mathscr{P}$.

Consequently theorem 3.4 yields $p(g) = \int_{\widehat{G}} (g, \gamma) \widehat{p}(\gamma) m(d\gamma)$. Then $\infty > ||q||_2^2 = \langle q, q \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_i(p(0), \varphi_i) = \sum_i c_i \int_{\widehat{G}} (\widehat{p}(\gamma), \varphi_i) m(d\gamma) = \int_{\widehat{G}} (\widehat{p}(\gamma), \varphi_0) m(d\gamma) = \langle \widehat{q}, \widehat{q} \rangle$. We have used the monotone convergence theorem again. Hence the Fourier transform maps into $L_2(\widehat{G}, X_0)$. By the usual expansion $\langle q, r \rangle = \langle \widehat{q}, \widehat{r} \rangle$. This establishes (iii). ⁺If Y is a Banach space then $C_0(G, Y)$ is the space of continuous functions mapping G into Y, which vanish at infinity if G is only

locally compact rather than compact.

Moreover $\int_{G} q(g)q(g)*\mu(dg) = p(0) = \int_{\widehat{G}} \widehat{p}(\gamma)m(d\gamma) = \int_{\widehat{G}} \widehat{q}(\gamma)\widehat{q}(\gamma)*m(d\gamma)$. Also if x,y are elements of a Banach algebra with involution then

$$(4.7)$$
 $4xy^* = (x+y)(x+y)^* - (x-y)(x-y)^* + i(x+iy)(x+iy)^* - i(x-iy)(x-iy)^*$

so that (ii) is also proved.

We need only show that $Q = \{\hat{q} \in L_2(\hat{G}, X_0) : q \text{ in } L_1(G, X) \cap$ $L_{p}(G,X_{o})$ is dense in $L_{2}(\hat{G},X_{o})$. As μ is translation invariant so is $L_1(G,X) \cap L_2(G,X_0)$ and hence Q is invariant under multiplication by (g, \cdot) for any $g \in G$. If $r \in L_2(\widehat{G}, X_0)$ and $\langle q,r \rangle = 0$ for all $q \in Q$, then $\int_{G} (q(\gamma)r(\gamma)^{*}, \varphi_{0})(g, \gamma)m(d\gamma) = 0$ for all $q \in Q$ and $g \in G$. As $(q(\cdot)r(\cdot)^*, \varphi_{Q}) \in L_1(\hat{G}, C)$ it follows that $(q(\gamma)r(\gamma)^*, \varphi_{0}) = 0$ a.e. for every $q \in Q$, or $< q(\gamma)$, $r(\gamma) >_{O} = 0$ a.e. As $L_1(G, X) \cap L_2(G, X_O)$ is invariant under multiplication by (\cdot, γ) , $\gamma \in \hat{G}$, then Q is invariant under translation.⁺ Hence to every $\gamma_0 \in \hat{G}$ there corresponds $q_0 \in Q$ such that $q_o(r_o) \neq 0$ so $q_o(r) \neq 0$ in a neighborhood of r_o as q_o is continuous. If $\{e_{\alpha}\}$ is the basis of X_{0} mentioned in the statement of part (i), then $q_0(\cdot) = \sum_{\alpha} q_{\alpha}(\cdot)e_{\alpha}$ so there exists α_0 such that $q_{\alpha}(\gamma) \neq 0$ in a neighborhood of γ_0 . If $q_0(\cdot) = \hat{p}(\cdot)$ then $p = \sum_{\alpha} p_{\alpha} e_{\alpha}$ and as $p \in L_2(G, X_0)$, $p_{\alpha} \in L_2(G, C)$. By hypothesis $|\langle x, e_{\alpha} \rangle_{0} \leq k_{1} ||x||$ so $p_{\alpha} \in L_{1}(G, C)$ and $\hat{p}_{\alpha}(\gamma) = q_{\alpha}(\gamma)$. Hence ⁺By this we mean that f_{γ_0} is in Q for any γ_0 in \hat{G} if f is in Q and $f_{\gamma_0}(\gamma) = f(\gamma + \gamma_0)$.

 $\begin{array}{l} p_{\alpha_{0}}(\cdot)e_{\alpha} \in L_{1}(G,X) \cap L_{2}(G,X_{0}) \quad \text{for any } \alpha \quad \text{and} \quad p_{\alpha_{0}}e_{\alpha}(\cdot) = \\ q_{\alpha_{0}}(\cdot)e_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{Q}. \quad \text{Since for each } \gamma \quad \text{in a neighborhood of } \gamma_{0} \\ (q_{\alpha_{0}}(\gamma)e_{\alpha})_{\alpha} \quad \text{forms a complete set in } X_{0}, \quad \text{and since } 0 = < q_{\alpha_{0}}(\gamma)e_{\alpha}, \\ r(\gamma) >_{0}, \quad \text{then } r(\gamma) = 0 \quad \text{in a neighborhood of } \gamma_{0}. \quad \text{But } \gamma_{0} \quad \text{was} \\ \text{arbitrary so } r = 0, \text{ or } \mathbb{Q} \quad \text{is orthogonal only to } 0 \quad \text{in } L_{2}(\widehat{G},X_{0}), \\ \text{a Hilbert space. Hence } \mathbb{Q} \quad \text{is dense in } L_{2}(\widehat{G},X_{0}). \quad \text{This completes} \\ \text{the proof.} \end{array}$

COROLLARY 4.8. Under the assumptions of the theorem the Fourier transform can be extended in a unique manner to an isometry of $L_2(G, X_0)$ onto $L_2(\hat{G}, X_0)$.

Proof: We need only show $L_1(G,X) \cap L_2(G,X_0)$ is dense in $L_2(G,X_0)$. But $C_c(G,X_0)^+$ is dense in $L_2(G,X_0)$ ([7]). Hence if $f \in L_2(G,X_0)$ then there exists $\{f_n\}_1^{\infty} \subset C_c(G,X_0) \cap L_2(G,X_0)$ such that $\|f_n - f\|_2 \to 0$. Then $f_n \in C_c(G,X)$ and f_n is measurable so $f_n \in L_1(G,X)$.

Remark: The equality (4.5) holds for all $q, r \in L_2(G, X_o)$.

5. Examples

Here we give some examples of admissible pairs and strongly admissible triplets.

EXAMPLE 5.1. Let $X = L_1([0,1],C)$ so X is weakly complete, and let Φ consist of elements φ_i such that

 ${}^{\mathsf{F}}C_{c}(G,X_{o})$ denotes the set of functions in $C_{o}(G,X_{o})$ having compact support.

(5.2)
$$(x,\varphi_i) = \int_0^1 X_i(t) x(t) dt \quad x \in X$$

where $X_{i}(\cdot)$ is the indicator function of one of a countable collection of sets $\{E_{i}\}$ dense in $\Sigma([0,1])$ under the usual Hausdorff metric. Assume $E_{1} = [0,1]$. Then it can be shown ([3], [7]) that Φ is full and that K is the cone of non-negative (a.e.) functions. Let $(x, \varphi_{0}) = (x, \varphi_{1}) = \int_{0}^{1} x(s) ds = ||x||_{1}$ for $x \in K$. Hence (Φ, X) is admissible and $K_{0} = K$.

If p is in \mathscr{P} then p(0) is in $K = K_0$ by propositions 2.8 and 2.9 and by corollary 2.15. So $p \in \mathscr{T}_0$ and the inversion theorem states that if $p \in sp\{L_1(G, L_1([0, 1], C)) \cap \mathscr{P}\}$ then $\hat{p} \in L_1(\hat{G}, L_1([0, 1], C))$ and $p(g) = \int_{\hat{G}} (g, \gamma) \hat{p}(\gamma) m(d\gamma)$.

The author does not know of any non-trivial subspace X_{o} which would make (Φ, X, X_{o}) strongly admissible.

EXAMPLE 5.3. Let X = H, a separable Hilbert space with a fixed orthonormal basis $\{e_i\}_{1}^{\infty}$. Let H_0 be the set of elements of Hwith all but a finite number of components zero, with non-zero components being real, rational non-negative, and with norm less than or equal to one. Then $\Phi = H_0$ is full ([5], [7]) and countable and $K_{\Phi} = \{h \in H: h_i \ge 0\}$.⁺ Let $(h, \phi_i) = \langle h, e_i \rangle$, i = $1, 2, \ldots$ and $\phi_0 = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \phi_l$. Then ϕ_0 maps K into $[0, \infty]$, and for the in K

 $\bar{}^{+}h_{i} = < h, e_{i} >$

$$(h,\phi_o)^2 = (\Sigma h_i)^2 \ge \Sigma h_i^2 = ||h||^2$$

so that (Φ, H) is admissible and $K_0 = \{h \in K; \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} h_i < \infty\}$.

H becomes a Banach algebra if we define $hk = \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_{i}k_{i}e_{i}$. Let $h^{*} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \overline{h_{i}e_{i}}$. For h in H hh^{*} is in K and $(hh^{*}, \phi_{0}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_{i}h_{i} = \|h\|^{2}$. We do not have $\|\|h\| \ge \|\|h\|^{2}$ for some k > 0, i but we do have $\|\|h\|_{0} = \|\|h\|$ which is sufficient to show that $X_{0} = H$. Hence (Φ, H, H) is strongly admissible, and the Plancherel theorem applies. Note that the condition $|\langle h, e_{i} \rangle| \le \|h\|$ also holds.

EXAMPLE 5.4. Let $X = \mathcal{L}(H, H)$, the linear bounded operators mapping the separable Hilbert space H into itself. Let H_0 be a countable dense subset of the unit ball in H and let $\Phi = \{\phi \in X^* : (T, \phi) = \langle Th, h \rangle, T \in \mathcal{L}(H, H), h \in H_0\}$. Let $\{e_i\}$ diso be in H_0 for some orthonormal basis $\{e_i\}$. Then Φ is full and countable and K_{Φ} is the cone of positive operators ([3] or [7]). Let $(T, \phi_0) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle Te_i, e_i \rangle$. So $\phi_0 = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \phi_i$ is the trace, where $(T, \phi_i) = \langle Te_i, e_i \rangle$. Then $\phi_0: K \to [0, \infty], (T, \phi_0) = \text{tr } T \ge ||T||$ if T is positive. Hence $(\Phi, \mathcal{L}(H, H))$ is admissible and K_0 is the cone of positive operators of finite trace and so a subset of the trace class.

We can see that in one case the condition $p \in \mathscr{T}_0$ is necessary for the inversion theorem to hold. Let G be the circle group so that \hat{G} is countable. Label its elements r_1, r_2, \ldots , and let the set function ν be given by

(5.5)
$$< v(\{\gamma_n\})e_i, e_j > = p_n \delta_{ni} \delta_{nj}, i, j, n = 1, 2, ...$$

where $\infty > M \ge p_n \ge 0$. ν can be extended to a countably additive measure of finite semi-variation in the obvious way. Let p be given by

(5.6)
$$p(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{it\gamma_n} v(\{\gamma_n\})$$

Then p is in \mathscr{P} (theorem 2.12 (A)) and p is in $L_1(G,X)$ because G is compact and $||p(t)|| \leq M$. If \hat{p} is to be in $L_1(\hat{G},X)$ then $||v||(\hat{G})$ must be finite or $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n = \operatorname{tr} p(0) < \infty$.

Finally let $X_0 = \mathcal{N}$, the Hilbert-Schmidt operators ([5a]). Then for T in \mathcal{N} , TT* is in the trace class and is positive so that TT* is in K_0 . Also $\mathcal{L}(H,H)$ is a C*-algebra so $(\Phi,\mathcal{L}(H,H),\mathcal{N})$ is strongly admissible. A basis for \mathcal{N} is given by $\{T_{ij}\}$ where $\langle T_{ij}e_k,e_l \rangle = \delta_{ik}\delta_{jl}$, $k,l = 1,2,\ldots$. Then $|\langle T,T_{ij} \rangle_0| =$ $|\langle Te_i,e_j \rangle| \leq ||T||$, and the condition in (i) of theorem 4.4 also holds.

6. On a Theorem of Magnus

ş

ことなるというない。

We use the preceeding theory to deduce a result of Hewitt and Wigner's ([4]). Let $U(\cdot)$ be a continuous n-dimensional unitary representation of G i.e. U(g+g') = U(g)U(g'), U(0) = Iand U is a continuous mapping of G into $\mathcal{L}(C^n, C^n)$. Then there is a unitary matrix V and characters $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_n$ such that

 $⁺_{\delta_{-}}$ is the Kronecker delta.

(6.1)
$$U(g) = V^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} (g, r_1) & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & (g, r_n) \end{bmatrix} V$$

for all g in G ([9]). Hence U(') is given as a function of n characters. Let A be a symmetric compact neighborhood of O in \hat{G} having finite positive measure, and let $E(\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_n)$ be the function on \hat{G}^n which equals 1 if $\gamma_j - \gamma_k$ is in A for all j,k, and equals zero otherwise. Let p be in $L_1(G, \mathcal{Z}(C^n, C^n))$ and let

(6.2)
$$\hat{p}(U) = \int_{G} p(g) U(g) \mu(dg)$$

Theorem 6.3. If p is in $L_1(G, \mathcal{L}(C^n, C^n)) \cap L_{\infty}(G, \mathcal{L}(C^n, C^n))$ and if for any α in $L_1(G, C) \int_G \int_G \alpha(g) \alpha(g') p(g-g') \mu(dg) \mu(dg')$ is positive semidefinite, then there is a constant κ , $0 < \kappa < \infty$ such that

(6.4)
$$\kappa p(g) = \int_{\widehat{G}^n} \widehat{p}(U) U(-g) E(\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_n) m(d\gamma_1) \ldots m(d\gamma_n).$$

Proof: Using the setting of example 5.4 with $H = C^n$ we have $p \in \mathscr{P}$. Then p is continuous and trace $p(0) < \infty$ so that p is in \mathscr{T}_0 . Hence $p(g) = \int_{\widehat{G}} (g, \gamma) \widehat{p}(\gamma) m(\overline{a}\gamma)$ by theorem 3.4. But $U(g) = \frac{n}{\sum (g, \gamma_i) \pi_i}$ where the π_i are projections onto mutually orthogonal i=1 one-dimensional subspaces ([9]). Then $\hat{p}(U) = \int_{G} p(g) U(g) \mu(dg) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \hat{p}(\gamma_{i}) \pi_{i}$ and $\hat{p}(U) U(-g) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \hat{p}(\gamma_{i}) \pi_{i} \sum_{j=1}^{n} (g, \gamma_{j}) \pi_{j} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \hat{p}(\gamma_{i}) (g, \gamma_{i}) \pi_{i}$. Now let $\kappa = \int_{\widehat{G}^{n-1}} E(\gamma_{1}, \dots, \gamma_{n}) m(d\gamma_{1}) \dots m(d\gamma_{n})$ where we omit integration with respect to γ_{i} . Then κ is independent of i and of the value of γ_{i} by the choice of E, and $0 < \kappa \leq m(A)^{n-1} < \infty$. Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\widehat{G}^{n}} \widehat{p}(U)U(-g)E(\gamma_{1}, \dots, \gamma_{n})m(d\gamma_{1}) \dots m(d\gamma_{n}) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{\widehat{G}^{n}} \widehat{p}(\gamma_{i})(g, \gamma_{i})E(\gamma_{1}, \dots, \gamma_{n})m(d\gamma_{1}) \dots m(d\gamma_{n})\pi_{i} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \kappa \int_{\widehat{G}^{n}} \widehat{p}(\gamma_{i})(g, \gamma_{i})m(d\gamma_{i})\pi_{i} \\ &= i \\ &= \kappa p(g) \end{aligned}$$

and the theorem is established.

Note that p could actually be a finite linear combination of functions satisfying the requirements of the theorem. The extension of this theorem to infinite dimensions will be treated elsewhere.

The other result of [4] is

THEOREM 6.5. If p is in $L_1(G, \mathcal{L}(C^n, C^n)) \cap L_2(G, \mathcal{L}(C^n, C^n))$ then

(6.6) $\kappa \underline{\text{trace}} \int_{G} p(g) p(g) * \mu(dg) =$

 $\underline{\operatorname{trace}} \int_{\widehat{C}^n} \widehat{p}(U) \widehat{p}(U) * E(\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_n) m(d\gamma_1) \ldots m(d\gamma_n)$

Proof: The method of proof is similar to the one given above but uses Plancherel's theorem which yields

$$\int_{G} \mathbf{p}(g) \mathbf{p}(g) * \boldsymbol{\mu}(dg) = \int_{\widehat{G}} \widehat{\mathbf{p}}(\gamma) \widehat{\mathbf{p}}(\gamma) * \mathbf{m}(d\gamma).$$

7. The Maximal Ideals of $L_2(G, \mathcal{N})$

Assume G is a compact abelian group and \mathscr{N} is the space of Hilbert-Schmidt operators in $\mathcal{L}(H,H)$, where H is a separable Hilbert space. We show that the (closed) maximal ideals of $L_2(G,\mathscr{N})$ correspond to \hat{G} .

LEMMA 7.1. If h is a continuous *-homomorphism of $L_2(G, \mathcal{N})$ onto \mathcal{N} , then M = kernel(h) is a maximal closed self-adjoint ideal such that M^{\perp} is isometrically *-isomorphic to \mathcal{N} .

Proof: h is continuous so M is a closed 2-sided ideal. M is self adjoint as h is a *-homomorphism. \mathcal{N} is a full matrix algebra so it is a simple H*-algebra. Also \mathcal{N} and $L_2(G, \mathcal{N})/M$ i.e. M^{L} are homeomorphically *-isomorphic ([9], p. 181), so M^{L} is a minimal closed ideal and M is a maximal ideal.

Note that if H is infinite dimensional, then M is not regular for if it were there would exist $p \in L_2(G, \mathcal{N})$ such that for all $q \in L_2(G, \mathcal{N})$ $p_*q-q \in M$ or h(p)h(q) = h(q). This means h(p)would be an identity in \mathcal{N} , but the identity $I \in \mathfrak{L}(H,H)$ is not in ⁺If the isomorphism takes $x \to T$ then $x^* \to T^*$ the adjoint of T. \mathcal{N} . If H is finite dimensional then such a p exists as I is in \mathcal{N} and h is onto.

THEOREM 7.2. To every γ in \hat{G} there corresponds a closed maximal ideal M of $L_2(G, \mathcal{N})$ given by M = kernel(h) and

(7.3)
$$h(p) = \hat{p}(\gamma).$$

Proof. Fix γ in \hat{G} and define h by 7.3. As G is compact then $L_2(G,\mathcal{N}) \subset L_1(G,\mathcal{N})$ and so \hat{p} is given by the usual integral if p is in $L_2(G,\mathcal{N})$. Direct computation shows h is a *-homomorphism with norm less than or equal to 1. We need only show h is onto, then the result follows by the preceeding lemma.

Given U in \mathscr{N} let $q(\lambda) = \begin{cases} U & \lambda = \gamma \\ 0 & \lambda \neq \gamma \end{cases}$. Then q is in $L_2(\widehat{G}, \mathscr{N})$. (N.B. \widehat{G} is discrete, $m(\{\gamma\}) = 1$). By the Plancherel theorem there exists p in $L_2(G, \mathscr{N})$ such that $\widehat{p} = q$. Then $\widehat{p}(\gamma) = U$ or h is onto.

Observe that $M = \ker(h) = \{p; \hat{p}(\gamma) = 0\}$ or $M = \{p \in L_2(G, \mathcal{N}): \langle p(\cdot)e_j, e_i \rangle = p_{ij}(\cdot) \in M_{\gamma}, i, j = 1...\}$ where M_{γ} is the ideal in $L_2(G, C)$ corresponding to γ in \hat{G} . (N.B. There is a 1-1 correspondence between \hat{G} and the maximal ideals of $L_2(G, C)$ ([1]).) As $\|p\|_2^2 = \int_G \sum_{ij} |p_{ij}(g)|^2 \mu(dg) = \sum_{ij} \|p_{ij}\|_2^2$, we can say that $M = M_{\gamma} \times M_{\gamma} \times ...$

LEMMA 7.4. Let P_{ij} be the projection of \mathcal{N} onto the ij^{th} basis element. If M is an ideal in $L_2(G, \mathcal{N})$ then $P_{ij}M$ is an ideal in $L_2(G, C)$.

Proof: The basis elements b_{ij} of \mathcal{N} are determined by $< b_{ij}e_r, e_s > = \delta_{is}\delta_{jr}$ where $\{e_r\}$ is a fixed basis of H. Then $P_{ij}p = < p, b_{ij} >_o = \sum_{\ell} < pb_{ij}^*e_{\ell}, e_{\ell} > = \sum_{rs} p_{rs}(b_{ij}) = p_{ij}$. Let α be an element of $L_2(G,C)$ and set $q(\cdot) = \alpha(\cdot)b_{jj}$. Then p*q is in M if p is in M as M is an ideal. Hence

$$P_{ij}(p*q) = \sum_{\ell} p_{i\ell} * q_{\ell j} = \sum_{\ell} p_{i\ell} * \alpha \delta_{\ell j} = p_{ij} * \alpha$$

or $p_{ij} * \alpha$ is in $P_{ij}M$. Let us write $P_{ij}M = M_{ij}$.

LEMMA 7.5. If M is a closed ideal in $L_2(G, \mathcal{N})$ then the M_{ij} are all identical and closed.

Proof: First we show M_{ij} is closed. Assume $\alpha_n \in M_{ij}, \alpha_n \to \alpha$. Then $\alpha_n b_{ij} \to \alpha b_{ij}$. Let $\alpha_n b_{ij} = p_n \in L_2(G, \mathcal{N})$. Then $P_{rs}(p_n) = 0$ for $r \neq i$, $s \neq j$, and $0 \in M_{rs}$, so $P_{rs}(p_n) \in M_{rs}$ for all r,s. Hence p_n is in M. Now $\|p_n - p_m\|_2 = \|\alpha_n - \alpha_m\|_2$ and so p'_n is Cauchy, hence converges to an element p of M as M is closed. Also $P_{ij}(p_n) \to P_{ij}(p)$ by continuity of projections. Hence $\alpha = P_{ij}(p) \in M_{ij}$, or M_{ij} is closed. Now if $p \in M$, $q \in L_2(G, \mathcal{N})$ then $p * q \in M$ and $P_{ij}(p * q) \in M_{ij}$ or $\sum_{\ell} q_{i\ell} * p_{\ell j} \in M_{ij}$. But $q_{i\ell} * p_{\ell j} \in M_{\ell j *}$ as $p_{\ell j} \in M_{\ell j}$, so if φ_{ϵ} in $L_2(G,C)$ is an approximate identity for $L_2(G,C)$, ([1]), and if $q = \varphi_{\epsilon}(\cdot)b_{ik}$, then the dense subset $\{\varphi_{\epsilon} * p_{kj}: p \in M, \epsilon = \frac{1}{n}, n = 1, 2, \ldots\}$ of M_{kj} is also a subset of M_{ij} . As M_{ij} is closed then $M_{kj} \subset M_{ij}$ for any i, j, k. So $M_{ij} = M_{kj}$ for any i, k, j. Now using q * p we obtain $M_{ij} = M_{ik}$ for any i, k, j, so the M_{ij} are all identical.

THEOREM 7.6. There is a 1-1 correspondence between the closed maximal ideals of $L_2(G, \mathcal{N})$ and \hat{G} , i.e. the regular maximal ideals of $L_2(G,C)$ or $L_1(G,C)$. This correspondence is given by

(7.7)
$$M_{\gamma} = \{ p \in L_2(G, \mathcal{N}) : \hat{p}(\gamma) = 0 \}.$$

Proof: By Theorem 7.4 we know every γ corresponds to a closed maximal ideal in $L_2(G, \mathcal{N})$ and 7.7 describes this correspondence. Conversely if $M \in L_2(G, \mathcal{N})$ is closed, maximal then there exists M_0 , a closed ideal in $L_2(G,C)$ and $M = \{p \in L_2(G,\mathcal{N}): p_{ij} \in M_0\}$. As M_0 is a closed ideal it can be written as

$$M_{o} = \sum_{i \in I} \bigoplus N_{i} = \bigcap_{i \notin I} N_{i}^{l}$$

where $\{N_i\}_{1}^{\infty}$ are the minimal ideals of $L_2(G,C)$ and $N_i \subset M_o$ for

i \in I ([1]) and N_{i}^{l} , the orthogonal complement of N_{i} , is a regular maximal ideal. If M_{o} is not a regular maximal ideal, then $M_{o} \subset M_{1}$, $M_{o} \neq M_{1}$ where M_{1} is a regular maximal ideal. But then γ corresponding to M_{1} gives rise to a closed maximal ideal $\widetilde{M} \in L_{2}(G, \mathcal{N})$ and $\widetilde{M} \supset M$, $\widetilde{M} \neq M$. This contradicts the maximality of M. Hence M_{o} is a regular maximal ideal, and moreover, 7.7 holds. This proves the theorem.

We note that if H is infinite dimensional then \mathscr{N} is, and none of the closed maximal ideals are regular, whereas if H is finite dimensional all are. We also note that if H has dimension $n < \infty$ then by an argument similar to the one in [1], page 161, the closed ideals of $L_1(G, \mathcal{L}(H, H)) = L_1(G, \mathscr{N})$ correspond in a one to one fashion to the closed ideals of $L_2(G, \mathscr{N})$ and so the maximal ideals of $L_1(G, \mathcal{L}(H, H))$ can be studied through the transform on \hat{G} . Unfortunately we cannot prove this for non-compact groups.

8. Convolution Equations for Operators

The above theory can be used to solve operator integral equations much as in the scalar case. Let G be a locally compact, abelian, σ -finite group, H be a separable Hilbert space, and $\mathcal{L}(H,H)$, \mathcal{N} be as before.

PROPOSITION 8.1. If $q \in L_2(G, \mathcal{N})$, $p \in L_1(G, \mathcal{A}(H, H))$ then $p \in q \in L_2(G, \mathcal{N})$ and $\|p \neq q\|_2 \leq \|p\|_1 \|q\|_2$.

Proof: This is straightforward and will be omitted. See also [7]. Consider now

(8.2)
$$q(g) = \int_G p(g \cdot g') q(g') \mu(dg') + r(g)$$

or equivalently

$$(8.3)$$
 $q = p^{x}q + r$

where $p \in L_1(G, \mathcal{L}(H, H))$, $r \in L_2(G, \mathcal{N})$. We are looking for solutions q of 8.3 in $L_2(G, \mathcal{N})$.

THEOREM 8.4. If r is in $L_2(G, \mathcal{N})$, p is in $L_1(G, \mathcal{L}(H, H))$ and if sup $\|\hat{p}(\gamma)\| < 1$ then 8.3 has a solution in $L_2(G, \mathcal{N})$. $\gamma \in \hat{G}$

Note that $\|p\|_1 \ge \|\hat{p}(\gamma)\|, \gamma \in \hat{G}$.

Proof: Consider $I - \hat{p}(\gamma)$. As $\|\hat{p}(\gamma)\| < 1$ we know that $(I - \hat{p}(\gamma))^{-1}$ exists for each $\gamma \in \hat{G}$ and $\|(I - \hat{p}(\gamma))^{-1}\| \leq (1 - \|\hat{p}(\gamma)\|)^{-1}$. It follows $(I - \hat{p}(\cdot))^{-1} \in L_{\infty}(\hat{G}, \mathfrak{L}(H, H))$ and so $\|(I - \hat{p}(\cdot))^{-1}\hat{r}(\cdot)\|_{2} \leq \|(I - \hat{p}(\cdot))^{-1}\|_{\infty}\|\hat{r}(\cdot)\|_{2}$. Hence there exists $q \in L_{2}(G, \mathcal{N})$ such that $\hat{q}(\cdot) = (I - \hat{p}(\cdot))^{-1}\hat{r}(\cdot)$ by the Plancherel theorem. Let w(g) = (p * q)(g) so $w \in L_{2}(G, \mathcal{N})$ by proposition 8.1. It can be shown by an approximation argument that $p * q(\gamma) = \hat{p}(\gamma)\hat{q}(\gamma)$. Then $\hat{r} + \hat{w} = \hat{r} + \hat{w} = \hat{r} + \hat{p}\hat{q} = (I + \hat{p}(\cdot)(I - \hat{p}(\cdot))^{-1})\hat{r} = (I - \hat{p})^{-1}\hat{r} = \hat{q}$. Hence q satisfies 8.3. COROLLARY 8.5. The above solution is unique in $L_2(G, \mathcal{N})$.

Proof: If q_0 is any other solution of (8.3) in $L_2(G, \mathcal{N})$ then $\hat{q}_0 = \hat{r} + \hat{p}\hat{q}_0$ so $\hat{q}_0 = (I - \hat{p})^{-1}\hat{r} = \hat{q}$ or $q_0 = q$.

We wish to extend the above theorem to cases where $\|p\|_1 \ge 1$. This can be done by utilizing some results due to Falb and Freedman ([8]). Let W be the set of all continuous linear operators Z mapping $L_2(G, \mathcal{N})$ into itself such that there is a uniformly continuous function $z(\cdot)$ mapping \hat{G} into $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{N})$ with $Zp(\gamma) =$ $z(\gamma)\hat{p}(\gamma)$ for all γ in \hat{G} , all p in $L_2(G, \mathcal{N})$. We use the norm

(8.6)
$$\|Z\|_{W} = \sup_{\gamma \in \widehat{G}} \|z(\gamma)\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{N},\mathcal{N})}$$

where $\|x\|_{\mathcal{N}}^2 = \sum_{i} \|xe_{i}\|^2$ for x in \mathcal{N} . For p in $L_2(G, \mathcal{N})$ $p(g) = \hat{p}(-g)$ for almost all g in G. Also \mathcal{N} is a B-algebra so W is a B-algebra by the same proof as in [8].

Let B be given by

(8.7)
$$B = \{T \in \mathcal{L}(L_2(G, H), L_2(G, H)): Tx(g) = \int_G p(g-g')x(g')dg' + \lambda x(g) \text{ for some } p \in L_1(G, \mathcal{L}(H, H))$$

and $\lambda \in C\}.$

We see that under the norm $\|\cdot\|_{B}$, given by $\|T\|_{B} = \|p\|_{1} + |\lambda|$, B becomes a Banach space, in fact a B-algebra isometrically isomorphic to $L_{\gamma}(G, \mathcal{L}(H, H)) \oplus C$. Also if $T = (p, \lambda)$ then $\widehat{T}(\gamma) = \widehat{p}(\gamma) + \lambda I$.

We shall now identify B with $\widetilde{B}, \mathfrak{A}$ B-algebra of linear operators of $L_2(G, \mathscr{N})$ into itself. For h in H, p in $L_2(G, \mathscr{N})$, g in G, and T in B let \widetilde{T} be defined by

(8.8)
$$(\widetilde{T}p)(g)h = T(p(\cdot)h)(g),$$

so if $T = (q, \lambda)$ then $\widetilde{T}p = q + p + \lambda p$ and $\|\widetilde{T}\| = \|T\|_{B}$. Herce \widetilde{B} and B are isometrically isomorphic (in the algebra sense). As $\widetilde{T}p = \widehat{q}\widehat{p} + \lambda \widehat{p}$ by proposition 8.1, we have $\widetilde{B} \subset W$, although the norms are different.

Let \mathscr{M} be the maximal ideal space of $L_1(G,C) \oplus C$ (or just $L_1(G,C)$ if G is discrete), so we can put $\mathscr{M} \cong \widehat{G} \cup \{\infty\}$, the one point compactification of \widehat{G} . Then define $\sigma(\widehat{T}(\gamma)) =$ $\{\lambda: \widehat{T}(\gamma) - \lambda I$ does not have an inverse in $\mathscr{L}(H,H)\}$. Also $\sum_{\widehat{B}}(\widetilde{T}) =$ $\{\lambda: \widetilde{T} - \lambda$ does not have an inverse in $\widehat{B}\}$, $\sum_{W}(Z) = \{\lambda: Z - \lambda$ does not have an inverse in W} and $\sum_{\widehat{B}}(T) = \{\lambda: T - \lambda \text{ does not have an in$ $verse in B}$. Evidently $\sum_{\widehat{B}}(T) = \sum_{\widehat{B}}(\widetilde{T})$. As B, W and \widetilde{B} have identities then $T - \lambda$, $Z - \lambda$ and $\widetilde{T} - \lambda$ are defined for λ in C.

DEFINITION 8.9. Let T be in B and let $\{e_i\}$ be an orthonormal basis of H. Let $H_n = span\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ and let E_n be the projection of H onto H_n . Then $T_n = E_n T E_n$ is in B and T is approximable if $\hat{T}_n(\gamma)$ converges to $\hat{T}(\gamma)$ uniformly on $\hat{G} \cup \{\infty\}$. PROPOSITION 8.10. T in B is approximable if and only if each $\hat{T}(\gamma)$ is a completely continuous element of f(H,H) for each γ in $\hat{G} \cup (\infty)$, and the map $\gamma \to \hat{T}(\gamma)$ is continuous on $\hat{G} \cup (\infty)$.

Proof: See [8].

Now we have

THEOREM 8.11. If T in B is approximable, then $\Sigma_{W}(\tilde{T}) \subset \bigcup_{\gamma \in \hat{G}} (\tilde{T}(\gamma)) \subset \Sigma_{B}(T) = \Sigma_{\widetilde{B}}(\tilde{T})$. $\gamma \in \hat{G} \cup \{\infty\}$ Proof: The proof is the same as that given in [8] for $L_{2}(G,H)$ rather than $L_{2}(G, M)$. We need only note if $x \in \mathcal{N}$, $A \in \mathcal{L}(H,H)$ then $||Ax||_{\mathcal{N}} \leq ||A|| ||x||_{\mathcal{N}}$ so $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{N})$ and in fact $||A||_{\mathcal{L}(H,H)} = ||A||_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{N},\mathcal{N})}$ so that $\sup_{\gamma \in \hat{G}} ||\hat{T}(\gamma)||_{\mathcal{L}(H,H)} = \sup_{\gamma \in \hat{G}} ||\hat{T}(\gamma)||_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{N},\mathcal{N})}$. For more details see [7] and [8].

We say p in $L_1(G, \mathcal{L}(H, H))$ is approximable if the corresponding element (p, 0) in B is.

THEOREM 8.12. Let p in $L_1(G, \mathcal{L}(H, H))$ be approximable, let r be in $L_2(G, \mathcal{N})$, and let $l \notin D$, a domain containing $\bigcup \sigma(\hat{p}(\gamma))$ in reg its interior. Then 8.3 has a unique solution in $L_2(G, \mathcal{N})$.

REMARK. We note first that if $p \in L_1(G, \mathcal{L}(H, H))$ then \hat{p} is in $C_0(\hat{G}, \mathcal{L}(H, H))$ so $\hat{p}(\infty) = 0$. Hence p is approximable if and only if $\hat{p}(\gamma)$ is a completely continuous element of $\mathcal{L}(H, H)$ for every $\gamma \in \hat{G}$.

Proof: $\bigcup_{\gamma \in \widehat{\mathbb{G}} \cup \{\infty\}} \sigma(\widehat{\mathbb{p}}(\gamma)) = \bigcup_{\gamma \in \widehat{\mathbb{G}}} \sigma(\widehat{\mathbb{p}}(\gamma)) \cup \{0\}$ so we can extend D to D' such that $1 \not \in \mathbb{D}'$ and D' contains $\bigcup_{\alpha} \sigma(\widehat{\mathbb{p}}(\gamma))$ in its interior. Now we can define $F(p) \in \mathbb{W}$ where $F(t) = (1-t)^{-1}$ is analytic on D', a domain containing $\sum_{W}(p)$. If Δ is the identity in W then $F(p) = (\Delta - p)^{-1} \in \mathbb{W}$ and $F(\widehat{\mathbb{p}}(\gamma)) = (1 - \widehat{\mathbb{p}}(\gamma))^{-1}$, $\gamma \in \widehat{\mathbb{G}}$, ([9], page 203). If Γ is a simple closed rectifiable curve enclosing $\bigcup_{\gamma \in \widehat{\mathbb{G}} \cup \{\infty\}} \sigma(\widehat{\mathbb{p}}(\gamma))$ in D' then we have for $x \in L_2(G, \mathcal{N})$ $\gamma \in \widehat{\mathbb{G}} \cup \{\infty\}$

$$(8.13) F(p)x(\gamma) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} F(t)(t\Delta - p)^{-1} dt x(\gamma) \\ = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} F(t)(t\Delta - p)^{-1}x(\gamma) dt \\ = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} F(t)(t-\hat{p}(\gamma))^{-1} dt \hat{x}(\gamma) \\ = F(\hat{p}(\gamma))\hat{x}(\gamma).$$

Hence if $r \in L_2(G, \mathcal{N})$ and $q = F(p)r \in L_2(G, \mathcal{N})$ then $\hat{q}(r) = F(\hat{p}(r))\hat{r}(\gamma) = (I-\hat{p}(\gamma))^{-1}\hat{r}(\gamma)$. Consequently $r+p+q = \hat{r}+\hat{p}\hat{q} = \hat{r}+\hat{p}\hat{q} = \hat{r}+\hat{p}(I-\hat{p})^{-1}\hat{r} = (I-\hat{p})^{-1}\hat{r} = \hat{q}$ so by the Plancherel theorem q is a solution of 8.3.

Uniqueness can be proved by the method of corollary 8.5.

Bibliography

- L. H. Loomis, <u>An Introduction to Abstract Harmonic Analysis</u>, Van Nostrand, Princeton, New Jersey, 1953.
- [2] W. Rudin, <u>Fourier Analysis on Groups</u>, Interscience, New York, 1962.
- [3] P. L. Falb, U. G. Haussmann, "Bochner's Theorem in Infinite Dimensions", to appear.
- [4] E. Hewitt, E. P. Wigner, "On a Theorem of Magnus", Proc. American Math. Soc., (1957) pp. 740-744.
- [5] N. Dunford, J. Schwartz, <u>Linear Operators, Part I</u>, Interscience, New York, 1958.
- [5a]_____, Linear Operators, Part II, Incorscience, New York, 1963.
- [6] N. Dinculeanu, <u>Vector Measures</u>, Deutscher Verlag der Wissenschafft, Berlin, 1966.
- U. G. Haussmann, <u>Harmonic Analysis in Banach Space</u>, Ph.D. thesis,
 Div. of Applied Mathematics, Brown University, June 1970.
- [8] P. L. Falb, M. I. Freedman, "A Generalized Transform Theory for Causal Operators", SIAM J. on Control, 7 (1969).
- [9] M. A. Naimark, Normed Rings, P. Noordhoff, Groningen, 1964.

L