General Disclaimer

One or more of the Following Statements may affect this Document

- This document has been reproduced from the best copy furnished by the organizational source. It is being released in the interest of making available as much information as possible.
- This document may contain data, which exceeds the sheet parameters. It was furnished in this condition by the organizational source and is the best copy available.
- This document may contain tone-on-tone or color graphs, charts and/or pictures, which have been reproduced in black and white.
- This document is paginated as submitted by the original source.
- Portions of this document are not fully legible due to the historical nature of some of the material. However, it is the best reproduction available from the original submission.

Produced by the NASA Center for Aerospace Information (CASI)

CONTROL POWER REQUIREMENTS OF VTOL AIRCRAFT

Quarterly Status Report No. 1

Period: 1 April - 30 June, 1969

NASA Grant Nc. NGR-05-004-051

Principal Investigator: Myron A. Hoffman

Professor of Mechanical

and Aerospace Engineering

9-36503

College of Engineering University of California Davis, California



Introduction

This progress report covers the first three months of effort on NASA Grant No. NGR-05-06.-051. During this period Mr. Gary Vanderpol, a senior, developed the tilt-wing VTOL design program to be described. Mr. Peter Levin, a master's candidate, will continue this work. Mr. John Seevers, a doctoral candidate in the controls area, has begun to study the problems associated with control power requirements for the hover phase of flight as part of his doctorate thesis research.

Research Accomplished

In order to evaluate control power requirements, it is necessary to start with a representative VTOL vehicle, in this case an intercity VTOL transport. For this puriose a computer program was written to permit the rapid design of a series of VTOL aircraft of the tilt-wing type, following the philosophy of Ref. 1. The basic program inputs are the design cruise speed, altitude and range and the number of passengers. The outputs include a detailed weight breakdown, key vehicle dimensions, as well as fuel and time required in each phase of the mission. In addition, a direct operating cost (DOC) program was also written, since this is one of the better measures of the economic usefulness of a particular design.

The characteristics of the reference design are shown in Table I. It grosses about 54,000 lbs. and can carry 80 passengers over a stage length of 200 miles at cruise speeds of 400 mph at 20,000 ft. cruise altitude. It is powered by four turboprop engines with large, 12 ft. diameter propellers. The vehicle has been designed to provide a high slipstream velocity over the entire wing to prevent wing stall during the critical retransition to the vertical descent phase. The estimated DOC is also shown in Table I. The values are quite reasonable and in line with projections of other groups.

The additional power required for control purposes in the low speed flight regimes has been specified as a percentage increase in the installed power:

 $(MRP)_{TOT} = \frac{NE}{NE-1} \frac{(THP)_{HOV}}{\eta_{P} \eta_{TP}}$ (CPF)

where MRP is the maximum rated power, NE is the number of engines, (THP)HOV is the thrust horsepower required in hover, n_p and n_{TR} are the propeller and transmission efficiencies, respectively and (CPF) is the control power factor. For the reference design this was somewhat arbitrarily specified as 1.15 to give us a starting point for sensitivity analyses. This simple way of expressing the control power requirement allows us to evaluate the effect of various "control power" levels on aircraft gross weight and DOC. (Note: this use of the term control power here is strictly for convenience; in this context it means only the excess installed power for control purposes.)

Initial results of such a study are shown in Tables II and III for CPF's of 1.05 and 1.25. A new VTOL aircraft was designed for each value of CPF but with all other specifications held constant. It is interesting to note that for a five-fold increase in control power (from 5% to 25% of MRP), the vehicle gross weight increased by less than 4%. Thus it appears that this type of tilt-wing VTOL aircraft is not as sensitive to the level of control power specified as certain types of jet-powered VTOL designs.⁽²⁾

A more meaningful measure of the penalty paid for additional installed power for a commercial vehicle is the DOC. As shown in Table II, the flight operations cost and depreciation costs each increased about 9% for the five-fold increase in control power.

The maintenance costs appear to decrease almost 40% as the engine power is increased. This is due to the use of the multi-regression formula for maintenance costs developed in Ref. 3 which has a negative coefficient on the engine power term. The more conventional ATA formula which predicts increasing maintenance costs with engine power level seems more reasonable in this particular case.

In any event the total DOC will increase no more than 9% for a five-fold increase in control power. The implications of these results will be explored more fully, but the initial impression is that large increases in installed power for control purposes result in relatively small economic penalties compared to the increased safety in the low speed flight regimes (assuming, of course, that the added power is utilized effectively).

REFERENCES

1. M.I.T. Rpt. FT-66-1, Nov. 1966.

- Schaeffler, J., Alscher, H. and Steinmetz, G., "Control-Power Usage for Maneuvering in Hover of the VJ-101 Aircraft," J. Aircraft, <u>4</u>, 5, p 445-451.
- 3. M.I.T. Rpt. FT-66-2, Nov. 1966.

| D | E | S | i | GN | PR | OJ | EC ' | ΤI | NP | UT | DATA |
|---|---|---|---|----|----|--|------|----|----|----|------|
| | | | | | | and the second sec | | | | | |

| PILOT SALARY | 35000.00 | DOLLARS/YEAR |
|--|---------------------------------------|---|
| COPILOT SALARY | 21000.00 | DOLLARS/YEAR |
| PILOT AND COPILOT FLIGHT TIME | 960.00 | HOURS/YEAR |
| FLEET SIZE | 12 | AIRCRAFT |
| AVERAGE AIRCRAFT AGE | 36.00 | MONTHS |
| DEPRECIATION TIME | 12.00 | YEARS |
| TIME BETWEEN OVERHAUL - ENGINES | 4000.00 | FLIGHT HOURS |
| VEHICLE UTILIZATION | 3000.00 | HOURS/YEAR |
| ENGINE COST | 300.00 | DOLLARS/LB |
| ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT COST | 150000.00 | DOLLARS |
| NUMBER OF SEATS ABREST | 6 | |
| NUMBER OF DOORS | 2 | |
| NUMBER OF TOILETS | 11 | |
| NUMBER OF CREW MEMBERS | 3 | |
| SPECIFIC FUEL CONSUMPTION - NRP | 0.55 | LBS OF FUEL/HP HR |
| PROPELLER TIP MACH NUMBER | 0.75 | , • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| PROPELLER ASPECT RATIO | 15.00 | |
| PROPELLER EFFIENCY | 0.90 | |
| TRANSMISSION EFFIENCY | 0.90 | |
| THICKNESS TO CHORD RATIO - WING | 0.10 | |
| OSWALD WING EFFECT FACTOR | 0.70 | |
| AIR DENSITY - SEA LEVEL STANDARD DAY | 0-002 | 3769 SLUGS/CU. FT. |
| AIR TEMPERATURE - SEA LEVEL STANDARD DAY | 519.00 | DEG. RANKINE |
| AIR TEMPERATURE - SEA LEVEL HOT DAY | 550.00 | DEG. RANKINE |
| KINEMATIC VISCOSITY OF AIR - S.L. HOT DAY | 0.000 | 15723 SQ. FT./SEC |
| STRUCTURAL LOAD FACTOR | 4.50 | |
| CRUISE VELOCITY | 400-00 | MPH |
| HEAD WIND VELOCITY | 15.00 | мрн |
| | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| NOTES | | • |
| 1) AIRCRAFT ASSUMED TO BE OPERATING ON A 2) TOTAL GROSS WEIGHT INCLUDES FUEL | A HOT DAY | |
| 3) ENGINES ASSUMED TO DELIVER 7.5 HP PER 4) WEIGHT OF RESERVE FUEL NOT CONSIDERED | R LB | OPERATING COSTS |
| 5) RESERVE TIME NOT CONSIDERED IN TOTAL | TIME FOR CA | LCULATING BLOCK SPEE |
| or venille whit linns as a the thisble li | HEAD WIND | |
| 7) IN CRUISE VEHICLE MUST FLY AGAINST A | | |
| 7) IN CRUISE VEHICLE MUST FLY AGAINST A | <u> </u> | |
| 7) IN CRUISE VEHICLE MUST FLY AGAINST A | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |

.

ナ

REFERENCE DESIGN

AVAILABLE CONTROL POWER = 15.0 PERCENT OF REQUIRED THRUST MORSEPOWER

COMPONENT WEIGHTS - LBS

2

÷

| يجرب مسترك فالمستحد المتحد والمتحد المتحد المتحد المتحد والمتحد والمتحد المتحد المتحد المحد المحد المحد المحد ا | |
|---|---|
| 6556.63 | |
| 5068.45 | |
| 1351.69 | |
| 2823.26 | |
| 3339.42 | |
| 1411.63 | |
| 140.00 | |
| 1622.03 | |
| 2186.50 | |
| 3750.00 | |
| 1540.00 | |
| 571.65 | |
| 645.57 | |
| 642.00 | |
| 1081.35 | |
| 200.14 | |
| 16000.00 | |
| 600.00 | |
| | 6556.63 5068.45 1351.69 2823.26 3339.42 1411.63 140.00 1622.03 2186.50 3750.00 1540.00 571.65 645.57 642.00 1081.35 200.14 16000.00 600.00 |

TOTAL GROSS WEIGHT

54000.07

.

WING DIMENSIONS

| SPAN | 49.06 | FT |
|----------------------|--------|------------|
| MEAN CHORD | 5.16 | FT |
| TAPER RATIO | 0.50 | |
| MEAN SWEEPBACK ANGLE | 0.00 | DEG |
| ASPECT RATIO | 9.50 | |
| WING LOADING | 213.11 | LBS/SQ.FT. |
| WING AREA | 253.39 | SQ.FT. |

FUSELAGE DIMENSIONS

.

| | | | | | |
|------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|----|---------|---------------------------------------|
| TOTAL LENGTH | | 77.13 | FT | · . | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| DIAMETER | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 12.57 | FT | | <u></u> |
| NUMBER OF PASSENGERS | | 80 | | · · · · | |
| NUMBER OF SEATS ABREST | <u> </u> | 6 | | · | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| | | | | | |

۰.

| · | |] | | |
|--------------|-------------|------------------|---|--|
| ×; | ENGINE | SPECIFICATIONS | · • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • | |
| | NORMAL RATE | D POWER | 17645 | .40 HP |
| | MAXIMUM RAT | ED POWER | 21174 | • 47 HP |
| | NUMBER OF E | NGINES | | |
| | PROPELLER S | SOLIDITY | (| 50 ET |
| | PROPELLER | | _ | |
| | | | | |
| | CLIMB | PHASE | | |
| | RATE DE CLI | I MR | 34 | 90 FT/SEC |
| <u> </u> | VELOCITY OF | CLIMB | 71 | .24 FT/SEC |
| | | | ······································ | |
| | CRUISE | PHASE | | |
| | L TET (DDAC | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 70 |
| | LIFI/DKAG | ICIENT | | /•/¥]-97 |
| <u></u> | PARASITIC D | DRAG COEFFICIENT | | 0.045 |
| | INDUCED DRA | AG COEFFICIENT | | . 045 |
| | | | | |
| | DESCEN | NT PHASE | | ······································ |
| • | | CENT | . 7/ | 12 FT/SFC |
| | VELOCITY OF | DESCENT | 591 | .33 FT/SEC |
| | | | | |
| | TABLE | OF PERFORMANCE | | · · · · |
| | PHASE | FUEL - LBS | RANGE - MI | TIME - MIN |
| | 1 | 97.05 | 0.00 | 0.60 |
| | 2 | 14.52 | 0.00 | 0.10 |
| | 3 | 113.22 | | 0.70 |
| | 6 | 1347.06 | 100.43 | 15.94 |
| | - Ī | 168.21 | 27.73 | 4.16 |
| | 8 | 115.01 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| · // - · · / | 9 | 12.66 | 0.00 | 0.10 |
| | TU | LLIJOUL | VeUU | 20.00 |
| | TOTAL S | 4469.74 LBS | 199.88 MI | 51.44 MIN |
| <u></u> | 101760 | | | |

× *. . .

.

| <u> </u> | • | |
|--|------------|--|
| <u>A DIRECT OPERATING CO</u> | <u>5TS</u> | |
| STAGE LENGTHS (ST. MILES) | 200.00 | |
| CRUISE ALTITUDE (FEET) | 20000.00 | |
| FUEL BURNED (LBS) | 3298.35 | |
| BLOCK SPEED (MPH) | 381.50 | |
| FLIGHT OPERATIONS (CENTS/MILE) | | |
| PILOT | 9.56 | |
| COPILOT | 5.73 | |
| | 0.01 | |
| INSURANCE | 3.96 | |
| PUBLIC LIABILITY | V • 8-7 | |
| TOTAL (CENTS/MILE) | 48.04 | |
| ······································ | | |
| MAINTENANCE (CENTS/MILE) | | |
| TOTAL DIRECT | 12.84 | |
| APPLIED BURDEN | 4.50 | |
| TUTAL (CENTS/MILE) | 17.34 | |
| | | |
| DEPRECIATION (CENTS/MILE) | - <u></u> | ······································ |
| AIRCRAFT | 21.06 | |
| ENGINES | 5.24 | |
| AIRFRAME SPARES | 2.11 | |
| ENGINE SPARES | 3.93 | |
| TOTAL (CENTS/MILE) | 34.96 | |
| | | <u> </u> |
| TOTAL DIRECT OPERATING COSTS | | |
| CENTS/WILE | 100.34 | |
| DOLLARS/BLOCK HOUR | 382.80 | |
| CENIS/AVERAGE SEAT MILE | 1.01 | |

REFERENCE DESIGN

AVAILABLE CONTROL POWER = 5.0 PERCENT OF REQUIRED THRUST HORSEPOWER

| NUMBER OF SEATS ABREST | 6 | |
|------------------------------------|--|---|
| NUMBER OF PASSENGERS | 12+71 80 | F I |
| TOTAL LENGTH | 77.13 | FT |
| FUSELAGE DIMENSIONS | ····· | |
| | · · · | |
| | ······································ | |
| | | |
| WING AREA | 246.61 | SQ.FT. |
| WING LOADING | 214.75 | LBS/SQ.FT. |
| ASPECT RATIO | 9.50 | <u>_</u> _ |
| MEAN SWEEPBACK ANGLE | 0.00 | DEG |
| TAPER RATIO | 0.50 | |
| MEAN CHORD | 5.10 | FT |
| SPAN | A 9 4 | FT |
| WING DIMENSIONS | | |
| | | |
| TOTAL GROSS WEIGHT | 52959.78 | |
| | | |
| CREW . | 600.00 | |
| PAYLOAD | 16000.00 | <u></u> |
| FUEL TANKS | 188_92 | |
| FLIGHT CONTROLS | 1060.76 | |
| ELECTRONIC FOULPMENT | 640.10 642.00 | |
| ELECTRICAL FOULPMENT | | |
| HYDRALICS | ようやりゅうじ ミディ フェ | |
| | <u> </u> | |
| ELIDAT CLATINGC FRAMS FILSS LUX | 2150.12 | |
| | 1591.14 | |
| ENGINE UIL | 140.00 | |
| NAUELLES ENCLUE OT | 1264.50 | |
| PROPELLERS | 3266.29 | |
| ENGINES | 2529.00 | |
| ENPENNAGE | 1325.95 | |
| WING | 4957.58 | |
| | | |
| | ENPENNAGE ENGINES PROPELLERS NACELLES ENGINE DIL UNDERCARRIAGE TRANSMISSION FURNISHINGS AIR CONDITIONING HYDRALICS ELECTRICAL EQUIPMENT ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT FLIGHT CONTROLS FUEL TANKS PAYLOAD CREW TOTAL GROSS WEIGHT WING DIMENSIONS SPAN MEAN SHEPBACK ANGLE ASPECT RATIO MEAN SHEPBACK ANGLE ASPECT RATIO MING AREA FUSELAGE DIMENSIONS TOTAL LENGTH DIAMETER NUMBER OF PASSENGERS NUMBER OF SEATS ABREST | ENPENNAGE 1325.05 ENGINES 2529.00 PROPELLERS 3266.29 NACELLES 1264.50 ENGINE DIL 140.00 UNDERCARRIAGE 1591.14 TRANSMISSION 2150.12 FURNISHINGS 3750.00 AIR CONDITIONING 1540.00 MYDRALICS 557.8 ELECTRICAL EQUIPMENT 638.78 ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT 642.00 FLIGHT CONTROLS 1062.76 FUEL TANKS 188.92 PAYLOAD 16000.00 CREW 600.00 FOTAL GROSS WEIGHT SPAN 48.40 MEAN CHORD 5.10 TAPER RATIO 0.50 MEAN SWEPBACK ANGLE 9.00 ASPECT RATIO 9.50 MING DIMENSIONS 246.61 FUSELAGE DIMENSIONS FUSELAGE DIMENSIONS FUSELAGE DIMENSIONS FUSELAGE DIMENSIONS UNMBER OF PASSENGERS BO |

4

.

٠

·····

| DIRECT OPERATING CO | ST S | |
|--------------------------------|---|--|
| | <u>.</u> | ************************************** |
| | | |
| STAGE LENGTHS (ST. MILES) | 200.00 | |
| CRUISE ALTITUDE (FEET) | 20000.00 | |
| FUEL BURNED (LBS) | 3057.46 | |
| BLOCK SPEED (MPH) | 3d3.37 · | |
| | | •••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••• |
| FLIGHT OFERATIONS (CENTS/MILE) | , | |
| | <u> </u> | |
| FUEL | 25.87 | |
| | 0.01 | |
| PUBLIC LIABILITY | 0.87 | |
| TOTAL (CENTS/MILE) | 45.87 | |
| MAINTENANCE (CENTS/MILE) | ····· | |
| | 17 17 | |
| APPLIED BURDEN | | |
| TOTAL (CENTS/MILE) | 23,17 | |
| | | |
| DEPRECIATION (CENTS/MILE) | | |
| AIDEDACT | ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~ | |
| ENGINES | 20+13 | |
| ELECTRONICS | 2.61 | ····· |
| AIKFRAME SPARES | 2.07 | |
| | 3020 | |
| TOTAL (CENTS/MILE) | 33.61 | |
| TOTAL DIRECT OPERATING COSTS | | |
| CONTRACTOR | | |
| DOLLARS/BLOCK HOUR | 102.65 | |
| CENTS/AVERAGE SEAT MILE | 1.60 | |

REFERENCE DESIGN

AVAILABLE CONTROL POWER = 25.0 PERCENT OF REQUIRED THRUST HORSEPOWER

COMPONENT WEIGHTS - LBS

Ľ

| FUSELAGE | 6575.63 | |
|----------------------|----------|--|
| WING | 5182.14 | |
| ENPENNAGE | 1378.02 | |
| ENGINES | 3128.12 | |
| PROPELLERS | 3414.63 | |
| NACELLES | 1564.06 | |
| ENGINE OIL | 140.00 | |
| UNDERCARRIAGE | 1653.63 | |
| TRANSMISSION | 2223.86 | |
| FURNISHINGS | 3750.00 | |
| AIR CONDITIONING | 1540.00 | |
| HYDRALICS | 585.94 | |
| ELECTRICAL EQUIPMENT | 652.45 | |
| ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT | 642.00 | |
| FLIGHT CONTROLS | 1102.42 | |
| FUEL TANKS | 211.31 | |
| PAYLOAD | 16:00.00 | |
| CREW | 600.00 | |
| | | |

TOTAL GROSS WEIGHT

55063.48

WING DIMENSIONS

| SPAN | 49.74 | FT | |
|----------------------|--------|------------|-------|
| MEAN CHORD | 5.24 | FT | |
| TAPER RATIO | 0.50 | | |
| MEAN SWEEPBACK ANGLE | 0.00 | DEG | · · · |
| ASPECT RATIO | 9.50 | | |
| WING LOADING | 211.47 | LBS/SQ.FT. | |
| WING AREA | 260.39 | SQ.FT. | |

FUSELAGE DIMENSIONS

| | and the second | |
|------------------------|--|---|
| TOTAL LENGTH | 77.13 F | T |
| DIAMETER | 12.57 F | T |
| NUMBER OF PASSENGERS | 80 | |
| NUMBER OF SEATS ABREST | 6 | |

| 4 DIRECT OPERATING CO | ISTS | |
|--------------------------------|---------------------|--|
| | | |
| STAGE LENGTHS (ST. MILES) | 200.00 | |
| CRUISE ALTITUDE (FEET) | 20000.00 | |
| FUEL BURNED (LBS) | 3 37.99 | <u></u> |
| BLOCK SPEED (MPH) | . 379.83 | |
| FLIGHT OPERATIONS (CENTS/MILE) | | |
| PTIOT | Θ. 4Λ | <u></u> |
| COPILOT | 5.76 | |
| FUEL | 29.94 | |
| INSURANCE | 4.02 | |
| PUBLIC LIABILITY | 0.87 | |
| TOTAL (CENTS/MILE) | 50.19 | |
| | | |
| MAINTENANCE (CENTS/MILE) | | |
| TOTAL DIRECT | 10.25 | |
| APPLIED BURDEN | 3.59 | |
| TOTAL (CENTS/MILE) | 13.84 | |
| | | |
| DEPRECIATION (CENTS/MILE) | | |
| AIRCRAFT | 21.37 | |
| ENGINES | 5.83 | |
| ELECTRUNICS Atrepame Sdades | 2.63 2.14 | |
| ENGINE SPARES | 4.38 | |
| TOTAL (CENTS/MILE) | 36.35 | |
| | | |
| TOTAL DIRECT OPERATING COSTS | | |
| CENTS/MILE | 100.38 | |
| DOLLARS/BLOCK HOUR | 381.26 | ······································ |
| LENIS/AVERAGE SEAT MILE | 1.07/ | |