brought to you by 🗊 CORE VNASA Technical Report

NASACK-16903

Office of Naval Research Contract N00014-67-A-0298-0006 NR-372-012

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION Grant <u>NGR 22-007-143</u>

MATHEMATICAL PROGRAMMING METHODS OF PATTERN CLASSIFICATION



By

Richard C. Grinold

June 1969

Technical Report No. 591

This document has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited. Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted by the U. S. Government.

Division of Engineering and Applied Physics Harvard University - Cambridge, Massachusetts

Office of Naval Research

Contract N00014-67-A-0298-0006

NR - 372 - 012

National Aeronautics and Space Administration

Grant NGR 22-007-143

MATHEMATICAL PROGRAMMING METHODS

OF PATTERN CLASSIFICATION

Вy

Richard C. Grinold

Technical Report No. 591

This document has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited. Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted by the U. S. Government.

June 1969

The research reported in this document was made possible through support extended the Division of Engineering and Applied Physics, Harvard University by the U. S. Army Research Office, the U. S. Air Force Office of Scientific Research and the U. S. Office of Naval Research under the Joint Services Electronics Program by Contracts N00014-67-A-0298-0006, 0005, and 0008 and by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration under Grant NGR 22-007-143.

Division of Engineering and Applied Physics

Harvard University · Cambridge, Massachusetts

MATHEMATICAL PROGRAMMING METHODS

OF PATTERN CLASSIFICATION

Вy

Richard C. Grinold

Division of Engineering and Applied Physics Harvard University Cambridge, Massachusetts

ABSTRACT

This paper studies four mathematical programming methods which are useful in pattern classification. Two of the models are for linearly separable problems, while the others work without separability.

INTRODUCTION

This report is designed to supplement "On Pattern Classification-Introduction and Survey, "^{*}[7], by describing several mathematical programming approaches to the classification problem. We'll assume that the reader is familiar with the Ho and Agrawala paper (at least sections I, II, and IV) and draw on the motivation, notation, and definitions used there.

Four mathematical programming models are described in detail, and two more are mentioned briefly. Others exist, and are referenced in the publications cited here. The four models were selected for their computational and conceptual properties.

Before describing the contents of the paper, we'll expand upon and change some of the notation adopted in [7].

Definitions:

- (i). Instead of $x^{1}(i)^{T}$ and $x^{0}(j)^{T}$, the training samples from classes one and zero will be denoted by m component row vectors A_{i}^{1} and A_{i}^{0} .
- (ii). For $k = 0, 1; A^k$ is the $n_k \ge m$ matrix whose rows are A_i^k , i = 1, 2, ..., n_k
- (iii). h, l, e, q, and f are vectors of ones. There dimensions are given below. $h \sim n_1 \times 1$; $l \sim n_0 \times 1$; $e \sim m \times 1$; $q \sim n_1 \cdot n_0 \times 1$ and $f \sim n \times 1$, where $n = n_1 + n_0$.

^{*}Y. C. Ho and A. K. Agrawala, Technical Report No. 557, Division of Engineering and Applied Physics, Harvard University March (1968), also published in IEEE Trans. on Auto. Cont. Vol. 13, No. 6, December 1968 and Proceedings of the IEEE, Vol. 56, No. 12, December 1968.

(iv). A linear decision function is an (m+l) \times l vector w = $\begin{bmatrix} \lambda \\ u \end{bmatrix}$. Using these definitions we note that

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} h & A^{1} \\ -\ell & -A^{0} \end{bmatrix}$$

Suppose the patterns are described by an m component row vector x, then the decision function defined by w is

$$f(x) = \lambda + xu = (1, x)w.$$

(v). A linear decision function w is a separator if

Problems are specified by their range of attributes.

The ranges are defined below.

(vi).
$$S^{1} = \{x | P(x | H^{1}) > 0\}$$

 $S^{0} = \{x | P(x | H^{0}) > 0\}$

- (vii). The operator C will denote convex closure. Thus $C(S^{1})$ is the closed convex hull of S^{1} .
- (viii). The problem is <u>separable</u> if $C(S^0)$ and $C(S^1)$ are disjoint. If they intersect the problem is <u>nonseparable</u>.
- (ix). The problem is <u>decidable</u> if S^0 and S^1 are disjoint.
- (x). We shall define $C(A^k)$ as the convex hull of the rows of A^k , k = 0, 1.

Thus

$$C(A^{0}) = \{b | b = zA^{0}, z\ell = 1, z \ge 0\}$$
$$C(A^{1}) = \{b | b = yA^{1}, yh = 1, y \ge 0\}$$

Five sections and an appendix follow. Sections one and two describe models used in the separable and nonseparable cases. The third section remarks on the model's flexibility in terms of accommodating new data and use in judging new features. Section four considers the model's generalization properties, while the last section describes an application. The appendix is a brief introduction to linear and quadratic programming.

Of the four models, two have been described in the published literature. One of the unpublished models is due to Canon and Cullum [3], the other is the author's responsibility [6].

-3-

I. THE SEPARABLE CASE

Charnes [4] and Mangasarian [9] independently proposed a linear programming model for separating disjoint polyhedrons. Their distinct approaches illustrate the duality principle of linear programming. Charnes asks if the sets $C(A^0)$ and $C(A^1)$ are disjoint, while Mangasarian looks directly for a separating hyperplane.

By definition, the $C(A^k)$ will intersect if and only if the system (1) has a feasible solution.

$$zA^{1} - yA^{0} = 0$$
, $yh = 1$, $z\ell = 1$, $y \ge 0$, $z \ge 0$ (1)

We can discover a solution of (1) by adding artifical variables to the system and minimizing the infeasibility. This gives us a linear program.

Minimize
$$(r + s)e$$

Subj. to

$$zA^{0} - yA^{1} + rI - sI = 0$$

$$z\ell = 1$$

$$yh = 1$$

$$z \ge 0, y \ge 0, r \ge 0, s \ge 0$$

This problem has m + 2 equality constraints with n + 2m nonnegative variables. The value, (r + s)e, is nonnegative since r and s are nonnegative. Finally, we can easily construct a first basic feasible solution of (2).

The alternate approach involves the decision function directly. Suppose the m vector u and scalars (γ, δ) satisfy the following conditions:

(2)

$$\delta - \gamma > 0$$
$$-A^{1}u - h\gamma \leq 0$$
$$A^{0}u + \ell\delta \leq 0$$

then $w = (\frac{\gamma + \delta}{2})$, u) is a separator. A solution of (3) can be discovered by solving (4).

Maximize
$$\delta - \gamma$$

Subj. to

$$-A^{1}u - h\gamma \leq 0$$
$$+A^{0}u + \ell\delta \leq 0$$
$$-e \leq u \leq e$$

Problem (4) has n inequality constraints, two free variables (γ, δ) , and m variables with upper and lower bounds. The bounds-rule out infinite solutions. Evidently, $(\gamma, \delta, u) = (0, 0, 0)$ is a feasible solution of (4).

Appealing to the results in the appendix we can state that problem (4) is the dual of problem (2), and the duality theorem applies. This guarantees the existence of optimal solutions $(\bar{z}, \bar{y}, \bar{r}, \bar{s})$ and $(\bar{\gamma}, \bar{\delta}, \bar{u})$ such that:

$$(\bar{r} + \bar{s})e = \delta - \bar{\gamma} \ge 0.$$

There are two possibilities. If $\delta - \gamma > 0$, then $(\frac{\bar{\gamma} + \bar{\delta}}{2}, \bar{u})$ is a separator. If $e(\bar{r} + \bar{s}) = 0$, then (\bar{z}, \bar{y}) solves (1), and the convex hulls intersect. These facts are summarized below.

Theorem:

(i). Problems (2) and (4) have optimal solutions with equal, nonnegative values.

(3)

(4)

(5)

- (ii). If the optimal value is zero, the patterns are not linearly separable.
- (iii). If the optimal value is positive, then $\overline{w} = (\frac{\overline{\gamma} + \overline{\delta}}{2}, \overline{u})$ defines a separator which maximizes

$$Min[A,w| i = 1, 2, ..., n]$$

Subj. to

$$-1 \leq w_i \leq 1$$
, for $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$

Statements (i), (ii), and the first part of (iii) are established above. The final statement can be established by contradiction.

The linear programs will be solved using some variant of Dantzig's, [2], simplex method. This is a rapidly convergent combinatorial procedure, while the adaption algorithms, see [7] Table I, are gradient descent techniques which converge slowly. If the patterns are not separable, slow and nonconvergence can be confused. See [9], pg. 451, for a more detailed comment along this line. The adaption algorithms do have the advantage of simplicity, but this is largely offset by the wide availability of professionally written linear programming codes. Either (2) or (4) can be solved, but the simplex algorithm is more efficient with fewer nontrivial constraints. It is not very sensitive to the number of variables. Since $m + 2 \ll n$ it is reasonable to solve (2).

Canon and Cullum [3] have proposed a quadratic programming method for the separable case. Although it is generally more difficult to solve quadratic programs, the authors take advantage of the problem's special structure and claim their method is competitive with the linear programming model. For each i and j, i = 1,2, ..., n_1 , j = 1,2, ..., n_0 ; we can define a difference vector

$$D_k = A_i^1 - A_j^0$$
 for $k = 1, 2, ..., n_1^n 0$.

The vectors D_k are the rows of the $n_1 n_0 \times m$ matrix D. Recall $C(D) = \{u \mid u = yD, yg = 1, y \ge 0\}$. It is easy to establish that $C(A^0)$ and $C(A^1)$ will be separable if and only if the origin is not contained in C(D).

This suggests a test for separability: find the vector in C(D) with minimum norm. The problem can be written in two ways:

Minimize
$$\frac{uIu'}{2}$$
 (6)

(7)

Subj. to

yD - uI = 0yg = 1 $y \ge 0$

Minimize
$$\frac{yDD'y'}{2}$$

Subj. to

$$yg = 1$$
$$y \ge 0$$

The following facts about (6) and (7) should be clear: they are equivalent, the objectives are convex and quadratic, they have optimal solutions with nonnegative values, and the sets are separable if and only if the optimal value is positive.

It is well known that any point in C(D) can be expressed as a convex combination of at most m + l rows of D. This fact is used to reduce the problem's size. The algorithm solves a modified version of (6), restricting attention to a subset of m + 1 rows. A test sees if the restricted solution is optimal with all rows considered. If so, (6) is solved. If not, a new row is added, an old row dropped, and the algorithm proceeds, finding an optimal solution in a finite number of steps. The optimal solution of (6) defines the linear decision surface.

Suppose (\bar{y}, \bar{u}) solves (6), $\bar{u} \neq 0$, and

$$\gamma = Min \{A_{i}^{l}\bar{u}' | i = 1, 2, ..., n_{l}\}$$
$$\delta = Max \{A_{j}^{0}\bar{u}' | j = 1, 2, ..., n_{0}\}$$

then $(\frac{\gamma + \delta}{2}, \vec{u})$ is a separator. If $\vec{u} = 0$, no separator exists. This is demonstrated in the appendix using the Kuhn-Tucker theorem. Canon and Cullum do the same by showing problem (6) is equivalent to:

(8)

$$Max [Min \{uz | u \in C(D)\}]$$

Subj. to

 $z'Iz \leq 1$

II. NONSEPARABLE

One approach to the nonseparable case was taken in [6]. A description will require two definitions.

(xi). Let
$$a = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{A_i}{n}$$
 be the average of the rows of A.

(xii). For any decision function w, let the quality of w be defined

as

Min
$$[A, w]$$
 i = 1, 2, ..., n]

If w is a separator, the quality is positive. If w is not a separator, the negative of the quality (a nonnegative number) measures the largest error the decision surface makes. To obtain a decision surface of highest quality we solve

 $\begin{aligned} \text{Maximize } \{ \text{Min}[A_i w | i = 1, 2, ..., n] \} \\ \text{Subj. to} \qquad \text{aw = 1} \end{aligned}$

The constraint is a normalization.

This problem can be transformed into a linear program by introducing a new variable ρ and requiring $\rho \leq A_i w$ for i = 1, 2, ..., n. The new problem and its dual are given below.

Maximize
$$\rho$$
 (9)
Subj. to
Aw - $f\rho \ge 0$
aw = 1
Minimize γ (10)

Subj. to

```
yA - \gamma a = 0yf = 1y \ge 0
```

Problem (10) has m + 2 equality constraints, n nonegative variables, and one free variable.

The main result of [6] is:

Theorem

(i). Problems (9) and (10) have optimal solutions with equal objective values iff and $a \neq 0$. When a = 0, (9) is infeasible.

(11)

- (ii). If (w, ρ) solves (9) and $\rho \ge 0$, then w defines a separator of maximum quality.
- (iii). If (w, ρ) solves (9) and ρ ≤ 0, then the patterns are not
 separable and w defines a decision surface that minimizes
 the maximum error.

This is equivalent to (5) in the separable case. In addition, a meaningful decision surface is generated if the patterns are not separable.

Smith, [13], has another approach. Note that Aw > 0 has a solution iff $Aw \ge f$ has a solution. In this spirit, we can solve

Minimize f'v

Subj. to

 $Aw + Iv \ge f$ $v \ge 0$

The v_i 's measure the size of any error in the classification of the ith sample. Thus if $A_i w \ge 1$, there is no error and $v_i = 0$. If $A_i w < 1$, v_i is positive. There is some difficulty if $0 < A_i w < 1$. In this case the pattern is correctly classified, but an error is counted. This behavior is observed in optimal solutions.

The dual, (12), is a linear program with m + 1 equality constraints and n nonnegative variables with upper bounds. It is relatively easy to solve, [5].

Maximize yf

(12)

Subj. to

$$yA = 0$$
$$0 \le y \le f'$$

This model suggests several conceptually interesting but computationally difficult variations. For instance, we could minimize the sum of squared errors. This leads to a quadratic program:

Subj. to

$$Aw + Iv \ge f$$
$$v \ge 0$$

Another variant maximizes the number of correctly classified samples:

Maximize
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta(A_i w)$$

Subj. to $-1 \le w_i \le 1$ i = 0, 1, 2, ..., m

 $\delta(\cdot)$ is the step function; one if its argument is positive, zero otherwise. This problem can be reformulated as an integer program, [12] pp. 194-8.

Another method of treating the nonseparable case was proposed by Mangasarian, [10]. The approach is similar to Arkadev and Braveman [1], i. e. a piecewise linear decision surface is created which decides correctly about all the data. Mangasarian uses mathematical programming to construct the decision surface. We will not examine that algorithm in detail, but we do comment on its generalization properties in section 4.

III. FLEXIBILITY

This section examines the ability of the different models, (2), (6), and (12) to handle new data and yield information useful in selecting new features. Models (2), (10), and (12) can accept new data points and find a new decision surface easily. In each case, adding a new point is equivalent to introducing a new activity (column) into the linear program.

Model (6) has a similar property. For example, suppose a new point in class one, $A_{n_1+1}^l$ is observed. This adds n_0 new rows to the matrix D. If

$$A_{n_1+1}^{l} u' \ge Min [A_{n_1}^{l} u'| i = 1, 2, ..., n_1]$$

no change is needed, the old decision surface is still optimal. If the inequality does not hold, we continue to apply the Canon-Cullum algorithm until a new optimal solution is obtained.

Introducing a new feature in (2), (10), or (12), [†] adds a new constraint (row) to the linear program. If several new features are being considered, we can devise a heuristic rule for chosing among them. Try the current optimal solution for each new constraint. Select the constraint which is the furtherest from being satisfied. If the optimal solution satisfies all the new constraints, it is still optimal. This selects the feature which maximizes the rates of improvement of the solution. Then a new optimal solution can be obtained using the dual simplex method.

-12 -

[†] There doesn't seem to be any way that new feature can be accommodated by model (6).

IV. GENERALIZATION

The generalization properties of the models are examined in this section. In particular, we are interested in the decision surfaces generated as the number of sample points n becomes large. For each n the models produce a decision surface defined by a nonzero m + 1 vector. Without loss of generality we can uniformly bound these vectors. Thus, there will be subsequences which converge. We shall study the properties of the limiting decision surface.

For example, assume $C(S^1)$ and $C(S^0)$ are disjoint with one set compact, and consider model (2). Let (λ^n, u^n) be the normalized optimal decision surface for the n sample problem and let (λ, u) be a limiting surface: i. e. $(\lambda^n, u^n) \rightarrow (\lambda, u)$ on some subsequence. The following theorem asserts (λ, u) is optimal for the limiting problem.

Theorem:

With probability one (wp·l) there exists a $\rho > 0$ such that (λ, u, ρ) solve:

Maximize p

Subj. to

$\lambda + xu - \rho \ge 0$	$\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{S}^1)$
$-\lambda - xu - \rho \ge 0$	$\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{S}^0)$
-e≦u≦e	

Proof:

There exists a hyperplane which strictly separates $C(S^{1})$ and $C(S^{0})$. Therefore the problem has an optimal solution with positive value. Suppose (λ, u) and some $\rho > 0$ are not optimal. A contradiction can be established by appealing to the the facts that (λ, u) is (2) feasible for all n, and that (λ, u) is the limit of a subsequence of optimal solutions.

Three comments are in order. First it is obvious that similar results hold for models (6), (10), and (12). Secondly, if compactness is dropped a weaker, $\rho \ge 0$, statement is true. Finally, if separability doesn't hold, then (wp, 1) all models will indicate this for some large value of n.

Assuming decidability we could obtain a like result using the piecewise approach, [10]. Additional regularity assumptions are needed to allow a piecewise linear function defined by a finite number of hyperplanes. Without decidability, the piecewise approach would struggle in vain to produce a perfect decision function.

Model (10) will work in the separable case, but it has questionable generalization properties. It is very sensitive to the tails of the distributions. The decision surface minimizes the maximum error, therefore it will react to the worst points or prehaps to a faulty observation. Things can get worse.

Let a^k be the finite means of the distributions, $P(x|H^k)$ for k = 0, 1. Then the row average of A will converge (wp. 1) to

$$a = \begin{pmatrix} P(H^{1}) a^{1} - P(H^{0}) a^{0} \\ P(H^{1}) - P(H^{0}) \end{pmatrix}$$

The following is an example of what can go wrong. Suppose $P(H^1) > P(H^0)$, and the sets described below have a nonvoid intersection:

-14 -

L = {d | d =
$$\gamma a, \gamma \leq 1$$
}
Z = {d | d = $\binom{b}{-1}, -b \in C(S^0)$ }

then the limiting optimal solution is given by $w = (\frac{1}{P(H^1) - P(H^0)}, 0)$ i.e. the decision function is

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{P(H^1) - P(H^0)} > 0$$
 for all x

The fact that f is correct more than not offers little consolation. Note that this phenomenon will occur if $S^0 = S^1 = R^m$, and $P(H^0) \neq P(H^1)$: e.g. multivariate normal.

The generalization properties of (12) seem to be the best. It is a reasonable conjecture that the limiting decision surfaces of (12) are optimal solutions to the following:

Minimize F(w)

Subj. to

$$-1 \le w_i \le 1$$
 $i = 0, 1, 2, ..., m$

where

$$F(w) = P(H^{1}) \int_{X^{0}} (-\lambda - ux) P(x|H^{1}) dx + P(H^{0}) \int_{X^{1}} (\lambda + ux) P(x|H^{0}) dx$$

is the expected error distance. It is also reasonable to assume that the limiting decision surfaces of the integer program mentioned in section two will minimize the probability of error among all linear decision functions. A brief attempt was made to prove these conjectures, but the proof is elusive.

V. EXAMPLE

Models (10) and (12) were employed to design decision functions using data from a NASA biomedical experiment. Two types of electroencephalograms (brainwaves, EEG) were recorded. In one instance the subject was watching a strobe light. In the other case the light was not visible. The object is to distinguish the two cases using the EEG data.

Of a possible one hundred features K. Prahbu selected five, using a distance-dispersion technique and prepared the data for the linear programming models. The parameters were $n_0 = 165$, $n_1 = 155$, m = 5, n = 320, and the problems were solved on an IBM 360-65 using the mathematical programming package, MPS 360, [11]. Results are tabulated below.

Errors	Number	Percentage
Type I	25	16.6
Type II	21	12.7
Total	46	14.4
- و الم ما م الم الم الم الم الم من من الم		- And in the second second second second parts of the second second second second second second second second s

Model (10) Solution Time 0.09 min.

Model (12) Solution Time 0.92 min.

Errors	Number	Percentage
Type I	18	11.6
Type II	24	14.5
Total	42	13.1

Notice the performance of model (12) is slightly better although the solution time is longer. Both problems had unique optimal solutions and 31 of the points were incorrectly classified by both techniques.

APPENDIX

Linear and Quadratic Programming

Several results from mathematical programming have been used in this report. This appendix attempts to motivate and explain these results while citing more substantial references.

A linear program is an optimization problem

$$\min \sum_{j=l}^m x_j c_j$$

Subj. to

 $\sum_{j=1}^{m} x_{j}a_{ji} = b_{i} \qquad i = 1, 2, ..., n$ $x_{j} \ge 0 \qquad j = 1, 2, ..., m$

Our vector notation is

Min xc

Subj. to

$$xA = b$$

 $x = 0$

c is $m \times l$, A $m \times n$, and b $l \times n$. We shall call this problem the <u>primal</u>. There is an associated <u>dual</u> problem:

Max by

Subj. to

Linear programs appear in many forms: maximization or minimization, equalities or inequalities, nonnegative or unrestricted variables. Any problem can be transformed into the same form as our primal, which allows us to know its dual. The dual can be found directly using the diagrams on pp. 126-7 of [2].

An efficient algorithm known as the simplex method, has been devised to solve linear programs. In a finite number of steps it finds a feasible solution (if one exists), then again in a finite number of steps it determines an optimal or an unbounded solution. An optimal dual solution is supplied as a by product of the calculations.

The principle theoretical result in linear programming relates primal and dual.

Theorem: [2] pg. 129

If both primal and dual have feasible solutions, they have optimal solutions (x, y) such that

$$xc = by$$

We shall discuss quadratic programming in the context of problem (6).

Min
$$\frac{uIu'}{2}$$

Subj. to

A central result in the study of these problems is the Kuhn-Tucker theorem, [8]. In our case it states: Theorem:

(y, u) is optimal for (6) if and only if there exist (x, z, λ) such that

1 |

+

$$g\lambda + Dx + z = 0$$
$$u' - x = 0$$
$$y \ge 0$$
$$z \ge 0$$
$$yg = 1$$
$$yD - uI = 0$$
$$yz = 0$$

Suppose $u \neq 0$ is optimal in (6), then uIu' > 0. Juggling the above equations we can easily establish that

$$\lambda = -uIu' < 0$$

and

$$Du' \ge g(uIu') > 0.$$

REFERENCES

- 1. Arkadev, A. G. and Braveman, E. M., Computers and Pattern Recognition, Washington, D. C., Thompson 1967.
- 2. Dantzig, G. B., Linear Programming and Extensions, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N. J., 1963.
- 3. Canon, M. D. and Cullum, C. D., "The Determination of Optimum Separating Hyperplanes, I: A Finite Step Procedure," Report (un-numbered) of IBM Watson Research Center, Yorktown Heights, New York.
- 4. Charnes, A., "Some Fundamental Theorems of Perception Theory and Their Geometry," in Computer and Information Sciences, J. T. Tou and R. H. Wilcox (eds.) pp. 67-74, Spartan Books, Washington, D. C. 1964.
- 5. Grinold, R. C., "A Comment on 'Pattern Classifier Design by Linear Programming," IEEE Transactions on Computers, to appear.
- 6. Grinold, R. C., "A Note on Pattern Separation," submitted to Operations Research.
- 7. Ho, Y. C. and A. K. Agrawala, "On Pattern Classification Algorithms-Introduction and Survey," Proc. IEEE Vol. 56, No. 12, December 1968.
- 8. Kuhn, H. W. and A. W. Tucker, "Nonlinear Programming," Econometrica, Vol. 19, No. 1, January 1951.
- 9. Mangasarian, O. L., "Linear and Non-Linear Separation of Patterns by Linear Programming," Operations Research 13, 1965, pp. 444-452.
- 10. Mangasarian, O. L., "Multi-Surface Method of Pattern Separation," IEEE, Transactions on Information Theory, November 1968.
- 11. IBM Publication H20-0476-1, Mathematical Programming System 360, Linear and Separable Programming - Users Manual.
- 12. Simmonard, M., "Linear Programming," Prentice Hall, 1966.
- Smith, F. W., "Pattern Classifier Design by Linear Programming," <u>IEEE Transactions on Computers</u>, Vol. C-17, No. 4, April 1968, pp. 367-372.

Academy Estimate (1978) 19 11 - S. Art Frimer Academy Finderade Springe, Colorade 40040

He ASDC (ARTS) Alle, Library/Da uttente Arnold AFB, Jenn, 37164

Commanding Others Nevel Assonse Earsbity Indonapolie, Indoara (4024)

Commissioner New History - Commissions Whate Oak, Maryland 21502 (2) Arrist Librarian

Commander (Locis 153) Navel Waspons Center Girca Lake, Galifords 93535 Attas Technical Library

Commanding Diffuer Navaš Tratoing Device Crozes Orlande, Florida 32811

U. S. Post Office Department Library - Room 6012 12th 4 Penneylvania Ave., N.W Waibington, D. C. 20260

Commanding Officere Office of Naval Research Branch Office Box 39, JPO New York, New York 09320 (2)

Project Manager Commun Positioning and Nacigation System Atta: Harold M. Bahr (AMCP38-NS-TAI) Building 43-Building 43-U S Attmy Electronics Command Fort Monemoch, New Jersey (276)

Commanding Officer U. S. Army Electronics 8 + D Activity Wate Sieds Massie Range New Mexico 2002

Director of Faculty Research Department of the Air Force USAF Academy Gelarada Springe, Calarada 40440

Richard O. Uish (CRDARD-190) U. S. Army Research Office (Darkam) Bux GM, Duke Station Darham, North Carolina dillo

Commanding Officer Homan Engineering Laboratorine Aberdeen Proving Ground Maryland Ziloos

Commanding Olficer U. S. Army Ballistics Research Lab. Attn: AMXRD-BAY Aberdens Proving Ground Aberdens, Maryland 21603

Difector, USAF Project RAND Vis: Air Force Librers Office The RAND Corporation 1700 Main Street Santa Monice, California 40466 Attn: Library D

Director U. S. Army Engineer Goodesy, "Istelligence and Mapping Research and Development Agency Fort Belivoir, Virginia 22000

Dr. G. M. R. Winkler Director, Time Service Division U. S. Naval Observatory Washington, D. C. 20330

Hq. ESD (EST)) L. G. Hanscom Field Bedford, Mass. 01731 (2)

Gommander, U.S. Naval Security Or Atta: C43 3801 Nebraska Avenue Washington, D. C. 20350

Division of Engineering and Applied Physic 130 Piarce Hall Narvard University Cambridge, Massacbusetta 02138

European Office of Aerospace Research APO New York 09661

Prof. J. J. D'Axes Dept. of Electrical Engineering Air Force Institute of Technology Wright-Patterson AFE, Otto 45433

Ington, D. C. 20546

Deputy Director and Chort Scoridist Office of Naval Research Branch Office 1939 East Green Street Pasadena, California, 91101

Avroraum e Library Graduate Arrosautical Laboratorius Galiorea Institute of Technology 1201 E. California Blod Paeadera, California 98169

Accorpance Corporation P. O. Box 94065 Los Angeles, California 90045 Atto: Library Acquisitions Croup Aurborne Instruments Laboratory Decepara, New York 11729

APAL (AVTA/R. D. Larson) Wright-Patterace AFB Ohio: 49433

AFCRL (CRMPLR) AFCRL Research Library, Stop 29 L. G. Hanscom Field Brdford, Mass. 01711

ADTC (ADBPS-11) Eglis Air Farre E4se Flarids 11542

AFETR Technical Library (ETV, MU-135) Patrick AFB, Florida 36925

Aimospheric Sciences Olive Atmospheric Sciences Laboratory White Sande Mosile Range New Movice 88302 ADTC (ADSPS-12) Fálin AFB Florida 12342

Gase Institute of Technology Engineering Division University Circle Cleveland, Ohio 44106

AULIT-9861 Maxwell AFB Alabama 35112

Commanding Officer Raval Wespons Center Corona Laboratories Atta: Library Corona, California \$1720

Commander D. S. Naval Missils Center Point Muys, California 13041

Deputy for Research and Engineering (AMSWE-DRE) U. S. Army Waspons Command Rock Island Arasesi Rock Island, Difficult 61201 Rollander Arrociates P. O. Bez 2275 Fullertos, Gallfornia, 42435

Carnegie Institute of Technology Electrical Engineering Dept. Pitteburg, Pa. 15213

Central Intelligence Agency Alto: OCR/DD Publications Washington, D. C. 20505

Dr. G. M. Janney, AMEEL-HL-NVOS Hight Vision Laboratory, USAECOM Fort Balvetr, Virginia 22060

Director, Electronic Programs Department of the Navy Washington, D. C. 20360 Atta: Code 427 []]

Commandaes U. S. Army and General Staff College Atio: Acquisitions, Lib. Div. Fort Leavenworth, Kanasa 55310

Commander (ADL) Raval Air Development Conter Johnevilla, Warmineter, Pa. 14974 Technical Diracter (EMUFA-A2000-107-1) Frankford Arsenal Philadelphis, Pa. 10137

Commandant U. S. Army Air Defense School Atta: Mieselle Sciences Div. C & S Dept. P. O. Box 5195 Fort Blise, Texas 73316

U. S. Army Mubility Equipment Research and Development Center Atta: Technical Document Center, Bidg.315 Fort Balvoir, Virginia 22060

Commanding General Arta: STEWS-RE-L, Technical Library White Sands Milasile Range Haw Mardico #3002 [2] Commanding General U. S. Army Electronics Command Fort Monmouth, New Jarsey 0770)

U. S. Army Electricul Command Fort Mannach, Nr. J Starty (703) ATTN: AMSE-SC B. Market, SC B. Market Lincola Laboratory Massachusatts Institute of Technology Louington, Mess. 02173 Professor Nicholas George California Institute of Technology Paradena, California 91100

Dr. John C. Hancock, Haad School of Electrical Engineering Purdue University Lafayette, Indixna 47507 Dr. H. Harrison, Code RRE Chief, Electrophysics Branch NASA Washing T

Commanding General U. S. Army Material Command ' Atta: AMGRD-TP Washington, D. C. 20315 Hand, Technical Services Divition Naval Invistigative Service Hqs. 4420 North Fairfax Deixe Arlington, Virginis 22203

Intel Services Rentrates Program. New Filter A 4754 1996 1998 and 1999 Columnation of Sectors in 17. S. Anny Maarin Colomograf Afras Technical Estrary Afriki Byra Redistore Anarcal, Alabuma Today

Dr. J. M. Hullingworth AFCRI. (C.RN) L. G. Habes ore Field Brillord, Stangeschappin 01233

idensis Contraction Association (\$40) Westington D. C. Color

Hust Library Carangui-Melton University Echensity Park Puneburgh, PA, 18213 The Johns Hopkins University Applied Physics Laboratory Béll Georgia Avenue Silver Spring, Maryland 20116 Atln: Document Librarian

JA. Col. Robert D. Kalinich (SREE) Chief, Electronica Division Directoriae of Engineering Sciences Art Force Office of Sciencific Research Artifictory, Virginia 22265 (5)

Generalized Officer Office of Naral Research Branch Office 219 South Dearborn Street Charago, Illinois 2020 U. S. Aring Longred War Laborature Atim: Technical Directur Alterdeen Proving Groupd Aberdeen Maryland (1003 Commanding Officer Office of Haval Research Bratch Office 495 Summer Street Baston, Maasachaette 02210

Cohmanding Offices Army Materials Research Waterlineth Afercaal Waterlineth Afercaal Waterlineth J. B. Preset Commanding Geospal U. S. Army Sciants, Acets y Army Sciants, Acets y Arthoptony Division Arthoptony Division Arthoptony Division

Alta: EANLED Commanding Officer and Director U.S. Naval Underwater Sound Lab. Fort Trumboll New Lumbon, Connections (06840

Defease Documentation Center Atta: DDC-YCA Cameron Station Alexandria, Virginia 22314 (20) Det No. 6, OAR Air Forse Unit Post Office Las Angetes, California 10045

Directur Advanced Procarch Projects Agency Department of Defray Wanhington, D. C., 20301

Bitestur für Meterials Sciences Adsamed Research Projects Agency Department of Defense Washington, D. C. 20101

Director Columbia Radiation Laboratory Columbia University 738 West 12005 Streat New York, New York, 10027

Commanding General U. S. Army Scielegic Comm. Command Atta: SCC-CG-SAS Fett Huarbors, Artirana \$1613 Director Coordinated Science Laboratory Varveraity of Blinova Urbaha, Blinova 61803 Commanding Otherer Barfy Diamond Laboraturien Atlin: Dr. Berthold Atlinan IAMXDO-TII Connectived Avenue and Yan News Sr. NW Washington, D. C. 20433

Director Electronice Research Laboratory University of California Berkeley, California 14720

Director Electronic Scietars Laboratory University of Southern California Los Angeles, California 90007

Directur Microwaye Physics Laboratury Stanford University Stanford, California 94305

Mr. Robert O. Parker, AMSEL-RD-S Evenutive Secretary, JSTAC U. S. Arthy Electronics Command Fort Meamburb, New Jersey 01103

Director National Security Agency Fort George G. Meado Maryland 20753 Aitn: TDL

Director, Naval Beastreh Laborkiary Technical Bolormatum Officer Washington, D. C. 20160 Attas: Code 2021 Dr. W. C. Nall, Code 2020 (1) Dr. A. D. Brodzinsky, Sopi. [1] Director Dr. A. D. Broustnary, and the Director Research Laboratory of Electronics Massachusetts Untitude of Technology Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139

Director Stanford Electronica Laboratoria; Stanford University Stanford, California 94305 Hqs. USAF (AFRDDD) The Penisgun Washington, D. C. 20330

illinois Institute of Tachnology Dept. of Electrical Engineering Chicago, Illinois 60616

Los Alamos Sciecilis Laboratory Atta: Reports Library P. O. Box 1863 Los Alamos, New Mexico 37544

Commandae U. S. Naval Electronics Laboratory Co San Diego, California 55152 (2) Atta: Library

The University of Arizona Dept. of Electrical Englassing Tacson, Arizona \$5721

Dr. I. R. Mirman AFSC (SCI) Andrews Air Force Base Maryland 2011

Birector, Aerospace Machanice Div. Frack J. Seiler Research Jaboratory U. S. Air Force Academy Colorado Springe, Colorado: 20912

Dr. G. J. Murphy The Technological Institute Northwestern University Evanation, Bilinois 60201

Uren tue Air Boron Astropole Laboratory Wright-Parterano AFR, Onto 45411 NASA Lewis Research Center Allin: Library Allond Brockpark Road Cleveland, Oko 44113

Dr. Robert E. Fostand Systems Resparch Laboratories Inc. 2001 Indian Rippte Road Dayten, Ohio 45410 Noclear Instrumentation Group Bidg. 29, Rocen 101 Lawbenie Radestica Laboratory University of Califorzia Berkeley, California 98720

Sylvania Electronic Systems Applied Research Laboratory Ath: Documenta Libratian 40 Selvan Road Weitham, Mass. 02154

AiR 03 Weshington, D.C. 20102 (2)

Nava) Electronice Systems Cummend ELEX 03, Rm 2046 Meastrons Bidg Dept. of the Nary Washington, D.C. 20556 (2) Utah Stata University Dept. of Siscirical Engiceering Logan, Utah \$4321

Naval Ship Systems Command SHIP 035 Washington, D. C. 20360

Naval Stop Systems Command SHIP 035 Washington, D. C. 20310

New York University College of Engineering New York, New York 10019 Dr. H. Y. Nosle (CAVT) Air Force Aviorate Laborstury Wright-Patteriox AFB, Ohio 45434

Office of Depoty Director (Recearch and Information Rm. 3D1033) Department of Defenye The Pentagen Washington, D. C. 2010)

Pulyterhear Jastilute of Brooklyn 14 Johanon Street Brooklyn, New Yurk (125) Allat Mit, Jesuthe Fax Research Coordinator

Roma Air Davalopment Conter Griffies AFB, New York 1944 Attn: Documenta Library (EMTLD)

Rasthees Co. Bediord, Slave, 01730 Atla: Librarian

AFSG (SCTER) Andress Arr Force Base Maryland 20331

Dr. A. A. Dougal Atar. Disector of Research Office of Defense Res. and Eng. Department of Defense Washington, D. C. 20301

Unica Cathida Corporation Riscirumic Division P. O. Box 1209 Monotain View, Catifornia 34041

Dr. H. Robi, Deputy Chief Scientist U. S. Army Research Olifics (Dirham) Box CM, Data Station Durham, North Carolina, 20104 Dr. Sheidon J. Walkas Electronics Properties Information Center Hughes Aircraft Company Mail Batton St-173 Culver City, California 90230

School of Engineering Sciences Arisona State University Tempe, Arisona 45231

Res. BALISO (SMITTA/Lz. Naison) AF Unit Post Office Los Angeles, California 90045

Rayibaca Company Research Division Library 23 Seyon Street Waltham, Mass. 02154

USAFSAM (SMKOR) Breeks Air Force Base Texas 78235 Syracuse University Department of Electrical Engineering Syracuse, New York 13210 Covernment Publications Section University of California Sants Barbara, California 93165 Atta: Library

Engineering and Math. Sciences Library University of California at L. A. 405 Hilgted Avenue Los Angeles, California 90024 Prof. Joseph E. Rewe, Chairman University of Michigan Electrical Engineering Department Ann Arbor, Michigan 48104

U. S. Army Mutilians Command Atta: Technical Information Brench Picatinney Areaual, SMUPA-VA6 Dover, New Jarsey 07801

U. S. Army Rassarch Office Physical & Engineering Sciences Div 1045 Columbia Pike Arlington, Virginia 22204

Dr. A. D. Schultaler, AMSEL-ML-NVI Right Viston Laboratory, USAECOM Fort Belvair, Virginia 22560

Department of Electrical Englagering Taxes Technological College Lubbork, Texas 79407

U. S. Naval Wespons Laboratory Dakigron, Virgieis 22449

Hy inited (naturality The Personnal Westarynn, 1) (; 20130 Directus The Walter Reed Institute of Ressarch Walter Reed Medical Center Waltangton, D. C. 20012 Ť

Minstie Electronic Warstere Technical Ares, AMSEL-WT-MT White Sands Mitselfs Range New Mexics, Mitselfs Range

The University of lows The University Libraries lows City, Jowa 52240

Lenburt Electric Co, Inc. 1105 County Road Sea Cerlor, California \$4570 Alta: Mr. E. K. Peterson

Yale University Engineering Department New Heven, Connecticut: 06520 Phileo-Ford Corporation Communications and Electronics Div Union Steeling And Jolly Roads Blue Bell, Pa. 19422

Dr. Leo Yourg Stanford Research Institute Menio Park, California 94025

Hq. AMD (AMR) Brooky Air Force Base Texas 12415

Morron M. Pasane, Chrei AFSC Scientific & Yechnical Lineson Office 26 Federal Plaza, Rm. 1313 New York, New York 10001

Library (Code2124) U. S. Navy Post Graduate School Monteray, California 93949 Alls: Technical Report Section

Director Risctrosics Research Center University of Tease at Austin Austin, Tease 18712

Mr. H. E. Webb (EMIS) Rome Air Development Center Orifism Air Force Base New York, 13440 Col. R. P. Gainas, Jr. ACDA/FO 1991 Pennsylvania Ava., N.W. Washington, D.C. 20451

Me. Brily Locks Terraical Advises, Requirements USAF Security Service Kelly Air Force Dase, Texas 78247 Wrapone Systems Evaluation Group Auto: Coloce) Blaine O. Vogt 400 Army-Navy Drive Artington, Vergenia 22202

Ng. USAF IAIRDDG1 The Periagon Washington, D. C. 20101

W A Eberapacher, Arroc. Read System Integration Division Code 3310A, Box 15 Nival Miscile Center Point Maga, California 93941

Glan A. Mysra (Code 52Mv) Assoc. Prof. of Electrical Engineering Naval Postgraduate School Monterer, California 19540

Mr. Norman J. Field, AMSEL-RD-F Chiaf, Office of Science and Technology R and D Directorate U. S. Army Electrozice Command Fort Mommunk, New Jarcay 0703

Redstone Scientific Information Genter Attat Chief, Document Scition U. S. Anny Missile Command Redstone Aressel, Alabame 15609

Federal Aviation Administration Attn: Admin Stds Div (MS-110) 800 Independence Avenue, S. W Washington, D. C. 20590

Nr. M. Zuna Thoraton, Chief Network Engineering, Communic and Operations Branch Lister Hill Rational Creater for Biomredical Communications Biolo Rectivalia Pike Bethesda, Maryland 20014

Security Classification					
	DOCUMENT CONT	ROL DATA - R	8, D		
(Security classification of titlo, ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate au		nnotation must be e			terrorite and the second second
Division of Engineering and Applied Physics		28. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified			
arvard University		2b. GROUP			
Cambridge, Massachus	etts		L		
MATHEMATICAL PRO	OGRAMMING MET	THODS OF	PATTER	N CLASSIFICAT	ION
DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report Interim technical report			angang Mérakaté panjanan Mélondan dipang		
AUTHOR(5) (First name, middle initial,					
Richard C. Grinold					
REPORT DATE	an sharan da an	78. TOTAL NO. O	FPAGES	76. NO. OF REFS	
June 1969		25		13	•
. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO. N00014-67-A-0298-000	6 & NASA Grant	98. ORIGINATOR	S REPORT NUM	IBER(S)	
	GR 22-007-143	Tec	hnical Re	port No. 591	
		95. OTHER REPO	RT NO(5) (Any o	other numbers that may be as	signed
;		this report)			u
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT					
This document has been unlimited. Reproduction					ent.
unlimited. Reproduction			itted by th	ne U.S. Governm	ent.
unlimited. Reproductions		art is perm	itted by th	ne U.S. Governm	ent.
		art is perm	itted by th	ne U.S. Governm	ent.
unlimited. Reproduction SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES ABSTRACT This pap which are usefu		art is perm	itted by th military act fice of Na programm wo of the p	ne U.S. Governm	
unlimited. Reproduction SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES ABSTRACT This pap which are usefu	on in whole or in p per studies four m l in pattern classi	art is perm	itted by th military act fice of Na programm wo of the p	ne U.S. Governm	
unlimited. Reproduction SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES ABSTRACT This pap which are usefu	on in whole or in p per studies four m l in pattern classi	art is perm	itted by th military act fice of Na programm wo of the p	ne U.S. Governm	
unlimited. Reproduction SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES ABSTRACT This pap which are usefu	on in whole or in p per studies four m l in pattern classi	art is perm	itted by th military act fice of Na programm wo of the p	ne U.S. Governm	
unlimited. Reproduction SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES ABSTRACT This pap which are usefu	on in whole or in p per studies four m l in pattern classi	art is perm	itted by th military act fice of Na programm wo of the p	ne U.S. Governm	
unlimited. Reproduction SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES ABSTRACT This pap which are usefu	on in whole or in p per studies four m l in pattern classi	art is perm	itted by th military act fice of Na programm wo of the p	ne U.S. Governm	
unlimited. Reproduction SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES ABSTRACT This pap which are usefu	on in whole or in p per studies four m l in pattern classi	art is perm	itted by th military act fice of Na programm wo of the p	ne U.S. Governm	
unlimited. Reproduction SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES ABSTRACT This pap which are usefu	on in whole or in p per studies four m l in pattern classi	art is perm	itted by th military act fice of Na programm wo of the p	ne U.S. Governm	
unlimited. Reproduction SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES ABSTRACT This pap which are usefu	on in whole or in p per studies four m l in pattern classi	art is perm	itted by th military act fice of Na programm wo of the p	ne U.S. Governm	
unlimited. Reproduction SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES ABSTRACT This pap which are usefu	on in whole or in p per studies four m l in pattern classi	art is perm	itted by th military act fice of Na programm wo of the p	ne U.S. Governm	
unlimited. Reproduction SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES ABSTRACT This pap which are usefu	on in whole or in p per studies four m l in pattern classi	art is perm	itted by th military act fice of Na programm wo of the p	ne U.S. Governm	
unlimited. Reproduction SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES ABSTRACT This pap which are usefu	on in whole or in p per studies four m l in pattern classi	art is perm	itted by th military act fice of Na programm wo of the p	ne U.S. Governm	
unlimited. Reproduction SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES ABSTRACT This pap which are usefu	on in whole or in p per studies four m l in pattern classi	art is perm	itted by th military act fice of Na programm wo of the p	ne U.S. Governm	

• Unclassified

S rity Classification	

KEY WORDS	LIN	LINK A		LINKB		LINKC	
	ROLE	ROLE WT		wr	ROLE WT		
Linear Programming Quadratic Programming Pattern Classification Pattern Recognition							
Non Parametric Hypothesis Testing							
	-						
			-				
FORM 1473 (BACK)			Automotive and a local				
0101-807-6821		Uncla Security	ssified	1			

×.