https://ntrs.nasa.gov/search.jsp?R=19700014078 2020-03-12T02:50:44+00:00Z



**NASA CR-72644** Stellite 70-7691

Superalloy by Powder Metallurgy for use at 1000 - 1400°F

### CASE FILE COPY P.S. Kotval

by

UNION CARBIDE CORPORATION **MATERIALS SYSTEMS DIVISION** 

prepared for

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

NASA LEWIS RESEARCH CENTER CONTRACT NAS 3-13214 FREDRIC H. HARF, PROJECT MANAGER

MEY

Submitted December 31, 1969 NASA CR-72644

#### FINAL REPORT

SUPERALLOY BY POWDER METALLURGY

FOR USE AT 1000-1400°F

by

P. S. Kotval

UNION CARBIDE CORPORATION MATERIALS SYSTEMS DIVISION P. O. Box 24184

Indianapolis, Indiana 46224

prepared for

NATIONAL AERONANUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

CONTRACT NAS 3-13214

NASA Lewis Research Center Fredric H. Harf, Project Manager Materials and Structures Division

#### FOREWORD

The work described herein was performed at Materials Systems Division, Union Carbide Corporation under NASA Contract NAS-3 13214 with Mr. Fredric H. Harf as NASA Project Manager. The work was performed both at the Speedway and Kokomo Laboratories of Materials Systems Division. The author and the latter facility is now a part of the Stellite Division of Cabot Corporation. The author of this report would like to acknowledge the following colleagues whose assistance and advice allowed rapid progress to be made in the completion of this study - Messrs. J. S. Dickey, G. W. Gordon, T. A. Turner, J. W. Shutt and T. Jones.

## .

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Foreword	iii
List of Tables	vi
List of Illustrations	vii
Abstract	ix
Summary	x
Introduction	1
Experimental Procedures and Results	
Alloy Preparation	1
Microstructural Observations	5
Characterization of Powders and Consolidated Material	5
Heat-Treatments of Extruded Material	6
Processing of Extruded Bars	7
Alloy Testing and Post-Testing Metallography	9
Discussion	15
Conclusions	16
Recommendations	17
Illustrations	18
Appendix A	49
Appendix B	53
References	56

#### LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
I	Oxygen Analysis of Powders	2,
II	Chemical Compositions of Extruded P/M Bars	4
III	Tensile Data for Alloy 1	10
IV	Stress-Rupture Data for Alloy 1	12
V	Tensile Data for Alloy 2	13
VI	Stress-Rupture Data for Alloy 2	14

#### LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

		Page
Figure 1	Scanning Electron Micrographs of Alloy 1 Powders	18
Figure 2	Optical Micrograph of Powders "Held" in Nickel Plate	19
Figure 3	Thin Foil Micrograph of Alloy 1 Powder	20
Figure 4	As-Consolidated Bars of Alloy 1	21
Figure 5	Optical and Thin Foil Micrographs of Alloy 1 After Extrusion	22
Figure 6	Thin Foil Microstructures of Alloy 1 After Aging to Precipitate NizTa	23
Figure 7	Optical Micrographs of Alloy 1 in the As Cold Swaged Condition	24
Figure 8	Optical, Replica and Thin Foil Micrographs of Alloy 1, Cold-Swaged and Aged at 1200°F (650°C)	25
Figure 9	Optical, Replica and Thin Foil Micrographs of Alloy 1, Cold Swaged, Aged at 1200°F (650°C) and Further Aged at 1400°F (760°C)	26
Figure 10	Optical Micrographs of Alloy 1 in the Cold-Swaged and Aged Condition	27
Figure ll	Tensile Strength vs. Temperature Plot for Alloy l after Treatment A	28
Figure 12	Tensile Strength vs. Temperature Plot for Alloy 1 after Treatment B	29
Figure 13	Ductility of Alloy 1 after Treatment A	30
Figure 14	Ductility of Alloy 1 after Treatment B	31
Figure 15	Thin Foil Micrographs of Alloy 1 after Room Temperature Tensile Test	32
Figure 16	Thin Foil Micrographs of Alloy 1 after 1200°F (650°C) Tensile Test	33
Figure 17	Thin Foil Micrographs of Alloy l after Tensile Test at 1400°F (760°C)	34
Figure 18	Optical Micrographs of Alloy 1 after Stress-Rupture Tests at 1200°F (650°C)	35

#### LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS - Continued

Figure	19	Scanning Electron Micrographs of Alloy 2 Powders	36
Figure	20	Thin Foil Micrographs of Alloy 2 Powders	37
Figure	21	Optical and Thin Foil Micrographs of As-Extruded Alloy 2 Bar	38
Figure	22	Thin Foil Micrographs of Alloy 2 Bar, Extruded and Aged at 1350°F (730°C)	39
Figure	23	Thin Foil Micrographs of Extruded Bar of Alloy 2 after Various Heat Treatments	40
Figure	24	Optical and Thin Foil Micrographs of Alloy 2 Bar, Extruded and Cold-Swaged	41
Figure	.25	Optical and Thin Foil Micrographs of Alloy 2 Bar, Extruded, Cold-Swaged and Aged at 1200°F (650°C)	42
Figure	26	Optical and Thin Foil Micrographs of Alloy 2 Bar, Extruded, Cold-Swaged, Aged at 1200°F (650°C) and further Aged at 1400°F (760°C)	43
Figure	27	Optical and Thin Foil Microstructures of Alloy 2 Specimens after Room Temperature Tensile Test	44
Figure	28	Optical and Thin Foil Microstructure of Alloy 2 Specimens after Tensile Test at 1200°F (650°C)	45
Figure	29	Optical and Thin Foil Microstructure of Alloy 2 Specimens after Tensile Test at 1400°F (760°C)	46
Figure	30	Tensile Strength vs. Temperature Plot for Alloy 2	47
Figure	31	Ductility of Alloy 2	48
Append	ix A		
Figure	A	Growth of Ni <sub>3</sub> Ta Precipitate Shown in a Series of Thin Foil Micrographs from Specimens Aged at 1200°F (650°C), 1300°F (705°C), 1400°F (760°C) and 1500°F (815°C)	50
Figure	В	Log-Log Plot of Precipitate Size vs. Aging Time for Growth of Ni <sub>J</sub> Ta	51
Figure	С	Plot of Precipitate Size vs. (Aging Time) 1/2 for Growth cf Ni <sub>Z</sub> Ta Precipitate	51
Figure	D	Calculation of Activation Energy for Growth of Ni <sub>3</sub> Ta	52

#### ABSTRACT

Argon atomized nickel-base alloy powders of two compositions were consolidated by extrusion and processed thermomechanically. The compositions are strengthened by precipitation of the body-centered-tetragonal Ni<sub>3</sub>Ta or Ni<sub>3</sub>Cb phases. Microstructural observations indicated good stability for the Ni<sub>3</sub>Ta phase up to 1400°F (760°C). Mechanical property measurements showed a tensile yield strength of 189,000 psi (1300 N/mm<sup>2</sup>) at 1200°F (650°C) for the alloy strengthened by the Ni<sub>3</sub>Ta phase with greater than 10 percent elongation.

#### SUMMARY

This work was undertaken with the objective of ascertaining the feasibility of producing a high-strength 1000-1400°F powder-metallurgy alloy in which precipitation of a tetragonal coherent  $\gamma$ " phase would be the principal strengthening mechanism. Two compositions were evaluated in which the coherent body-centered-tetragonal  $\gamma$ " phases were Ni<sub>3</sub>Ta and Ni<sub>3</sub>Cb, respectively.

Alloy 1 - Ni - 20 Cr - 10 Co - 7.0 Mo - 9.5 Ta - .05 C - .02 Oxygen

Alloy 2 - Ni - 20 Cr - 10 Co - 7.0 Mo - 7.0 Cb - .05 C - .02 Oxygen

Argon atomized powder of these two compositions was consolidated by canning and hot extrusion. Subsequently, the extruded material was cold-worked and aged to precipitate the body-centered-tetragonal  $\gamma$ " phase in either case. (Ni<sub>3</sub>Ta in Alloy 1 and Ni<sub>3</sub>Cb in Alloy 2). Extensive microstructural analysis, involving optical microscopy, scanning and transmission electron microscopy (both thin foils and replicas) and diffraction, was performed in this study.

In addition, a limited program of evaluation of mechanical properties was carried out. Of the two systems investigated, in Alloy 1 a Ni<sub>2</sub>Ta strengthened powder-metallurgy alloy system has been defined in which the 1200°F ( $650^{\circ}C$ ) tensile yield strength is 189,000 psi ( $1300 \text{ MN/m}^2$ ) with greater than 10 percent ductility and the stress-rupture life at 110,000 psi ( $755 \text{ MN/m}^2$ ) and 1200°F ( $650^{\circ}C$ ) is of the order of 40 hours. After 100 hours exposure at 1400°F ( $760^{\circ}C$ ), 92 percent of the above yield strength is retained at 1200°F ( $650^{\circ}C$ ).

In this work no attempt has been made at optimizing the thermomechanical treatments to obtain the highest tensile and stress rupture properties. The results, however, show feasibility and point to the potential of strengthening a powder metallurgy superalloy by precipitation of the body-centered-tetragonal  $\gamma$ " phase.

#### INTRODUCTION

The powder metallurgy processing method to produce fully-dense consolidated material has been applied to several superalloy compositions over the last 5 to 10 years. Work(1,2,3)on pre-alloyed powders of B-1900, IN-100, 713-C type compositions has shown the feasibility of consolidating such powders. However, most of these attempts have concentrated on the powder-processing technique using existent commercial alloy compositions and subsequent property evaluation of the consolidated material.

The present work was undertaken to ascertain the feasibility of utilizing the powder-processing method using certain previously-developed, precipitation-strengthenable superalloy compositions to produce a high-strength superalloy bar for 1000-1400°F (540-760°C) applications.

For the present program, powders were prepared by inert gas atomization of a pre-alloyed master melt. After screening and separation, powders were canned in steel jackets under argon and extruded at a ratio of 8.2 to 1 to provide 19.0 mm. diameter bar. This as-consolidated material has been processed by cold-swaging and subsequent aging. Mechanical properties have been evaluated for this precipitation-strengthened material and structural observations have been carried out using optical, replica and thin foil transmission metallographic techniques.

The data reported herein includes extensive microstructural observations on both the as-atomized powders and as-consolidated material in various stages of thermomechanical treatment for two experimental alloy compositions. The feasibility studies have excluded any attempt at optimizing thermomechanical processing or heat treatments; nevertheless, the processing sequence and heat-treatments chosen for this study have shown that a high strength P/M alloy system has been defined which relies upon the precipitation of a body-centered-tetragonal Ni<sub>2</sub>M phase for its strength. Of the two P/M alloy systems investigated, Alloy 1 is a Ni<sub>3</sub>Ta strength of 189,000 psi (1296 MN/m<sup>2</sup>) with better than 10 percent elongation. After a 100 hour exposure at 1400°F (760°C), 92 percent of these 1200°F (650°C) strength levels are retained. In stress-rupture tests at 1200°F (650°C) the material shows properties comparable to the wrought superalloys currently in use.

#### EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES AND RESULTS

#### Alloy Preparation

140 pound (63 kg.) heats of two alloys were vacuum induction melted. The nominal compositions sought were (in weight percent):

Alloy 1 - Ni-20Cr-9.5Co-7.0Mo-9.5Ta-0.05C-<0.02 Oxygen

Alloy 2 - Ni-20Cr-9.5Co-7.0Mo-7.0Cb-0.05C-<0.020 Oxygen

In each case the material was cast into remelt bars. These bars were remelted in a small induction furnace and atomized in a horizontal argonfilled tank. The atomization process involved the impingement of a high pressure argon gas stream upon the stream of molten metal emanating from a specially designed nozzle. The interaction of the high pressure gas on the molten stream causes "atomization" to occur. The droplets of asatomized material are rapidly solidified by contact with the inert gas within the horizontal cylinder chamber and collect therein. Various distributions of sizes are possible in this process dependent upon the atomization parameters; nozzle temperature, degree of superheat and inert gas pressure being the primary controlling factors. In the present investigation a liquid metal temperature of 2950°F (1620°C) and argon pressure of 350 psi  $(2.40 \text{ MN/m}^2)$  was used in the atomization process. These conditions were chosen to minimize the oxygen content of the resultant powders and as a necessary consequence the coarse particle fraction of the as-atomized powders was higher than normal. The "clean-up" weight, i.e., the weight of all atomized material collected from the atomization chamber was 98 pounds (44.6 kg.) for Alloy 1 and 105 pounds (47.8 kg.) for Alloy 2.3

As-atomized powders were screened under argon to separate the -100 mesh fraction and further screened to separate out the -325 mesh fraction and to retain the -100/+325 mesh fraction. (At this point, microstructural work on as-atomized powders was carried out as reported later in the text.) The overall weight of the -100 fraction for Alloy 1 was 48 pounds (22.0 kg.) and for Alloy 2,52 pounds (24.0 kg.). Oxygen analyses were carried out on each alloy for both the -100 and the -100/+325 mesh fraction using a neutron activation analysis technique. The oxygen levels were found to be as follows:

#### TABLE I

#### Oxygen Analyses

	<u>Alloy 1</u>	<u>Alloy 2</u>
-100/+325 Fraction	163 ppm 159 ppm	73 ppm 68 ppm
-100 Down Fraction	189 ppm	159 ppm 118 ppm

<sup>\*</sup> Difficulty was experienced in maintaining adequately low oxygen levels for Alloy 2 and three heats had to be atomized before an acceptable composition was obtained. It is the acceptable final heat that is reported here.

The -325 mesh fraction of the -100 mesh material was, respectively, 13 pounds (6.0 kg.) out of 48 pounds (21.8 kg.) for Alloy 1 and 15 pounds (6.8 kg.) out of 52 pounds (23.6 kg.) for Alloy 2. Thus it was clear that exclusion of the -325 mesh fraction from subsequent processing would reduce the amount of available material but also permit lower  $O_2$  levels (per the above quoted analysis) in the eventually consolidated material. Accordingly, -100/+325 mesh blended powder was divided into approximately 2.5 pounds (1.14 kg.) batches and canned in a 63.5 mm. O.D. cylindrical steel jacket with 6.35 mm. wall thickness which was closed at either end. The front end of the steel can comprised a 45° tapered conical frustrum and the back-end comprised a plate with an evacuation aperture. After welding of the end-plate the can and contents were evacuated, back-filled with argon and the evacuation aperture then sealed.

Extrusion of the powder-filled can was carried out at 2200°F (1205°C) using an extrusion ratio of 8.2:1. The as-extruded bar was pickled in a 50:50::HNO3:H<sub>2</sub>O solution to remove the steel jacket; care being taken to remove all traces of the steel. From a 762.0 mm. long extruded bar, 150 to 200 mm. of material was removed from either end. The resultant bar was checked with a magnet to insure that only as-consolidated P/M alloy bar was obtained without any entrapped "folds" of steel being present (see Figure 4).

Analysis of the chemical composition of the as-extruded bar for Alloy 1 and 2 is given in Table II.

The as-extruded bar was examined by both optical and thin foil transmission metallography to ascertain carbide particle distribution, grain size, etc. This data is reported later. After ascertaining that the requisite micro-structural conditions were met, the material was processed further by cold swaging.

In the case of Alloy 1, various combinations of hot and cold swaging treatments and subsequent aging treatments were preliminarily carried out. The resulting microstructure was studied by thin foil transmission electron microscopy. In the next section some of these observations are discussed. It should be emphasized that the scope of the present program was limited enough so as not to allow adequate iterative experimentation to ascertain the best thermomechanical treatment sequence and aging conditions conducive to optimized mechanical properties. Nevertheless, based on the microstructural observations, the following treatment was given to the asextruded bar of Alloy 1:

<u>Treatment A</u>	-	As-extruded 0.7-inch (18.0 mm.) diameter bar cold swaged
		to 0.590-inch (15.0 mm.) diameter bar and aged for
		120 hours at 1200°F (650°C).
<u>Treatment B</u>		As-extruded 0.7-inch (18.0 mm.) diameter bar cold swaged
		to 0.590-inch (15.0 mm.) diameter bar, annealed for
		8 minutes at 2200°F (1204°C), water quenched, cold swaged
		to 0.460-inch (12.0 mm.) diameter bar and aged for 120
		hours at 1200°F (650°C).

3

#### TABLE II

#### CHEMICAL COMPOSITIONS OF THE AS-EXTRUDED P/M BAR FOR ALLOYS 1 AND 2 IN PERCENT BY WEIGHT

(Comparison with original melt chemistry and chemistry of the as-atomized powders is provided)

	Alloy 1			Alloy 2				
	Original Heat	Powder (-100/+325)	Extruded Bar	Original Heat	Powder (-100/+325)	Extruded Bar		
Ni	53.7	51.8	54.5	56.79	56.64	56.65		
Cr	20.08	19.7	20.2	19.96	18.97	18.85		
Co	9.41	9.66	9.62	10.04	9.95	10.17		
Mo	7.10	6.97	6.80	7.06	6.94	6.84		
Ta	9.53	8.57	8.77	-	0.37	0.10		
Cb	_	-	0.1	7.95	7.27	7.10		
С	0.04	0.036	0.038	0.143	0.14	0.159		
Fe	<0.2	<0.2	0.14	<0.01	0.18	0.18		
0	-	0.0160	0.0146	0.0041	0.0071	0.0182		
S	-		0.005	~	-	0.009		
Ρ	-	-	0.004	<b></b>	-	0.002		
N	-	-	0.029	<0.01	-	0.013		
Al	-	-	<0.1	0.14	0.02	0.02		
Ti	-	-	<0.1	0.02	_	<0.02		

Microstructural examination of the material during the above processing for both Alloy 1 and 2 was carried out on cross-sections of the bar material. Thin foil microscopy was carried out on similar cross-sectional "slices" of the respective bars.

Specimens for tensile and stress-rupture tests were machined from the as cold-swaged bars and subsequently heat-treated as indicated above. Specimen geometry was in accordance with ASTM specifications. The tensile specimens comprised a 1.5-inch (38.1 mm.) long specimen with 1.0-inch (25.4 mm.) gauge length and 0.16-inch (4.06 mm.) diameter in the reduced section; ends were "button heads" with a gradually tapered geometry. Stress-rupture specimens were 3-inch (76.2 mm.) long with threaded ends with a 0.250-inch (6.35 mm.) diameter in the reduced section and 1.5-inch (38.1 mm.) gauge length.

#### Microstructural Observations

#### Characterization of Powders and Consolidated Material

Figures la,b,c show scanning electron micrographs of the as-atomized powders of Alloy 1. The majority of particles were found to be spherical in shape and show a surface solidification structure. In some cases finer particles of approximately 40-80 micron diameter were found to be attached to or embedded in larger particles (Figure 1c). Alloy 2 powders are shown in Figures 19a and b. In Figure 19a, the low magnification (100X) scanning electron micrograph shows that several larger particles have the finer "attached" particles and Figure 19b provides an example of the nature of the solidification structure at the particle surface. Dendrites can be seen to radiate asterically from certain nucleation points on the surface of the powder particle (Figure 19b) which resembles a spherical "microcasting". Upon sectioning the powder particles, the dendritic structure was revealed even within the body of the particles.

In order to undertake thin foil transmission electron microscopy of individual as-atomized particles, a previously-developed technique(4) was employed. Powder particles were spread upon an adhesive tape after the adhesive side of the tape had been previously gold-plated. When this sample was placed in a nickel electroplating bath, the adhesive allowed the powder particles to stay in position and the gold-film provided adequate conductivity to enable the plating process to begin. Once the nickel electroplate was sufficiently thick to "hold" the particles, the tape backing could be removed and the gold layer etched away. The "powder particles held in nickel plate" sample was further electroplated to provide a firm specimen approximately 0.004-inch (1.0 mm.) thick. Discs, 3 mm. diameter, cut from this sample were then electropolished in twin-jets of electrolyte so that a "dimple" was created on either side of the 3 mm. disc. Figure 2 shows an optical micrograph of an as-dimpled disc. When a perforation appears within the electropolished dimple it is possible to examine the surrounding edge by 100 K.V. transmission electron microscopy and study both the thinned electrolytic nickel plate and the thinned powder particles. Figures 3 and 20a and b

5

provide examples of the thin foil microstructure of individual powder particles of Alloys 1 and 2, respectively. The dendritic solidification structure shown in the scanning electron micrographs (Figures 1a,b,c and 19a,b) is now revealed to have a primary carbide phase associated within the dendrites. These carbides were found to be MC type carbides where, presumably, M is predominantly tantalum in Alloy 1 and predominantly columbium in Alloy 2. It is also worth noting that the carbides in the as-atomized particles are platelets. This is shown in Figure 20b where the matrix material surrounding the carbide has been etched away. In the as-atomized powders, dislocation tangles can be seen (Figure 20a) which indicate that during the solidification some thermally-induced strains have occurred.

As indicated in the previous section, after the as-atomized powder particles had been microstructurally analyzed the material was canned and extruded. In Figure 4, a photograph of the as-extruded and de-canned bars is shown. The end regions of the extruded bars were removed to eliminate any "folds" of steel and a cross-section "slice" of the consolidated P/M bar taken for microstructural examination. Figure 5a and 21a are optical micrographs of the as-extruded P/M bar for Alloys 1 and 2, respectively. Since the extrusion temperatue was 2200°F (1205°C), the as-extruded bar has essentially a recrystallized matrix. The thin foil transmission electron micrographs in Figure 5b and c (Alloy 1) and 2lb and c (Alloy 2) clearly show the recrystallized structure. It is worth noting that the carbide particles and platelets in the as-atomized powders (see Figures 3 and 20a and b) are greatly refined after extrusion and there is a welldistributed morphology of MC carbide particles ranging in size from 0.25 micron to 1.0 micron. A low dislocation density can be observed in the as-extruded material (see Figure 5c).

#### Heat Treatment of Extruded Material

Some preliminary heat treatments were carried out on the as-extruded P/M bar for both Alloy 1 and Alloy 2 to ascertain the behavior of the NizTa (Alloy 1) and NizCb (Alloy 2) strengthening precipitates and in particular, to ascertain the change in size and morphology of the strength-ening precipitates with aging time and temperature.

Figures 6a and b are thin foil micrographs of Alloy 1 extruded P/M bar which had heat treatments of 71 hours at  $1450^{\circ}F$  ( $790^{\circ}C$ )(Figure 6a) and 2.75 hours at  $1450^{\circ}F$  ( $790^{\circ}C$ ) plus a further 64 hours at  $1200^{\circ}F$  ( $650^{\circ}C$ )(Figure 6b). The body-centered tetragonal NizTa precipitate can be seen to exist in a welldistributed, homogenously-nucleated, intragranular morphology. The precipitate is in the form of platelets. In Figure 6a the NizTa platelets are approximately  $4000^{\circ}A$  in length and still maintain coherency with the matrix. In Figure 6b the two-stage heat treatment has been manifested in a bimodal morphology of the NizTa precipitate - the coarser  $1450^{\circ}F$  ( $790^{\circ}C$ ) platelets can be clearly seen surrounded by a much finer  $1200^{\circ}F$  ( $650^{\circ}C$ ) NizTa precipitate. In analogy to the above results with Alloy 1 P/M bar, Figures 22a and b and Figures 23a, b, c show the microstructure of Alloy 2 P/M bar after heat treatment at various temperatures. Here again the coherency of the Ni<sub>2</sub>Cb precipitate was confirmed at the various aging temperatures ranging from  $1150^{\circ}$ F to  $1350^{\circ}$ F ( $620^{\circ}$ C to  $730^{\circ}$ C).

Comparing the coarser platelet-like precipitate (e.g., 4000Å size of Ni<sub>z</sub>Ta in Figure 6a or the 3000Å size of Ni<sub>z</sub>Cb in Figure 23a) with the fine precipitate (e.g. "1200°F" (650°C) Ni<sub>z</sub>Ta in Figure 6b or the "1200°F" (650°C) Ni<sub>z</sub>Cb in Figure 23c) found after treatment at lower aging temperatures, it appeared that the best strength levels from the present alloy systems would be obtained when the heat treatments caused a finer precipitate morphology to occur in the microstructure. However, to avoid a completely arbitrary choice of heat treatment conditions under which the limited testing allowed under the program would be performed, a few "quick-check" tensile tests were carried out. Material in as-extruded and variously aged conditions strengthening effects of the Ni<sub>3</sub>Ta (Alloy 1) or Ni<sub>3</sub>Cb (Alloy 2) precipitates superimposed on a fully recrystallized (as-extruded) matrix would not be adequate to approach the 1200°F (650°C) yield strength of 200,000 psi (1373.2 MN/m<sup>2</sup>) sought in this program.

In view of the above data, coupled with the fact that the scope of the present program excluded any systematic evaluation of the best thermomechanical processing sequence prior to the precipitation-strengthening heat treatment, it was decided to process the as-extruded material by coldswaging and subsequently utilize heat-treatments which would give a fine morphology of the strengthening precipitate. The next paragraphs describe the processing procedure adopted.

#### Further Processing of Extruded Bars

The as-extruded P/M bars for both Alloy 1 and Alloy 2 were further processed by cold-swaging. In the case of Alloy 1, the 0.7-inch (18.0 mm) diameter extrusion was directly cold-swaged to 0.590-inch (15.0 mm) diameter. The optical microstructure of this material is shown in Figure 7a. A portion of this bar was heat-treated for 8 minutes at 2200°F (1205°C), water quenched, and further cold-swaged to 0.460-inch (11.18 mm) diameter. The optical microstructure of this heavily cold-swaged material is shown in Figure 7b. Both sets of bars were heat-treated for 120 hours at 1200°F (650°C). Figure 8a shows an optical micrograph of the smaller bar after this aging treatment (Treatment B) with a corresponding plastic replica micrograph shown in Figure 8b and thin foil micrographs in Figures 8c and 8d. A fine NizTa precipitation can be seen in the thinned samples where the extinction contours of the foils allow the correct contrast conditions to occur. Figure 10a is anoptical micrograph of the 0.590-inch (15.0 mm) bar as cold-swaged and aged for 100 hours at 1200°F (650°C) Treatment A). Comparison of Figures 8a and 10a clearly reveals the difference

7

in grain size and the degree of cold work. In Figure 8a (Treatment B) the grain size varies from 30-40 microns whereas in Figure 10a (Treatment A) the grain size varies from 60-75 microns. Further, even in these optical micrographs the deformation bands decorated by precipitation are clearly more copious in Figure 8a than in Figure 10a.

Tensile tests were carried out on Alloy 1 specimens in both the above conditions: Treatment A (Figure 10a) and Treatment B (Figures 8a thru d). The test data is discussed in a later section.

Alloy 2 extruded bars were cold swaged in analogy to the processing employed for Alloy 1. Instead of two different levels of cold-work and two different grain sizes as in the case of Alloy 1, only one processing treatment was employed. The as-extruded Alloy 2 P/M bar was directly cold-swaged to 0.600-inch (15.2 mm.) diameter (see micrograph in Figure 24a), annealed for 8 minutes at 2200°F (1205°C), quenched and further cold swaged to 0.495-inch (12.8 mm.) diameter (see micrograph in Figure 24b). Figure 24c shows the thin foil microstructure of a cross-section of the as-cold swaged 0.495-inch (12.8 mm.) diameter bar. The size and distribution of the CbC carbide particles is not greatly altered from that found in the as-extruded bar (compare Figure 24c and Figure 21c). The as-cold-swaged bar was then heat treated for 120 hours at 1200°F (650°C). Figure 25a shows an optical micrograph of the as heat treated alloy 2 bar in which the deformation bands can be seen to be decorated by precipitation. In Figure 25b, the thin foil microstructure confirms the existence of fine Ni<sub>3</sub>Cb precipitate on the deformed structure (compare with NizTa in Figures 8c and d). Alloy 2 specimens were tested in this condition as is discussed later.

In addition to the precipitation-strengthening heat treatments given to the cold-swaged specimens of Alloy 1 and 2, some specimens in each case were further exposed for 100 hours at 1400°F (760°C) as a test of stability. As reported later, tensile properties have been evaluated on these "1400°F (760°C) exposure" samples. Here it is necessary to note some of the microstructural observations on the "as-strengthened" material after further exposure at 1400°F (760°C) for 100 hours.

Figures 9a,b,c and d show the microstructure of Alloy 1 P/M bar as extruded, cold-swaged (with intermediate anneal) to 0.460-inch (11.65 mm.) diameter, aged for 120 hours at 1200°F (650°C) (Treatment B) and then exposed for 100 hours at 1400°F (760°C). Figure 9a shows an optical micrograph which when compared to Figure 8a shows that further precipitation at grain boundaries has occurred. The replica micrograph in Figure 9b and thin foil micrographs in Figures 9c and d clarify the effects of the 100 hours at 1400°F (760°C) exposure. In limited regions (e.g., at "B" in Figures 9c and d) a recrystallization effect is seen to occur together with a transformation of NizTa tetragonal strengthening precipitate to orthorhombic NizTa acicular phase (see Appendix A). This transformation is not found in the case of material with an undeformed matrix where the Ni<sub>3</sub>Ta body-centeredtetragonal precipitate retains its coherency even after 100 hours at 1500°F (816°C). Therefore, it appears that the "recrystallization" cells in the present samples after 100 hours exposure at 1400°F (760°C) are due to the work introduced prior to the 120 hours at 1200°F (650°C) strengthening heat treatment. As will be discussed later, this recrystallization does not have any deleterious effect on ductility. Also, by a more complete study of the thermomechanical processing prior to aging it should be possible to partially eliminate the high degree of pre-precipitation cold work used in the present processing sequence without sacrificing the strength levels obtained (see later section) and, thereby, avoid this "recrystallization" problem at 1400°F (760°C) for Alloy 1.

The Ni3Cb precipitate in Alloy 2 is inherently less stable at 1400°F (760°C) than the Ni3Ta precipitate in Alloy 1. After 1400°F (760°C) exposure for 100 hours, the material cold worked and aged for 120 hours at 1200°F (650°C) recrystallized as is shown in Figures 26a (optical micrograph) and 26b (thin foil electron micrograph). As suggested by these microstructural observations, the strength levels after exposure for 100 hours at 1400°F (760°C) are also substantially reduced (see later text). It should be noted that recrystallization occurs in Alloy 2 at 1400°F (760°C), even when the previous 1200°F (650°C) aging treatment (which forms the strengthening Ni<sub>3</sub>Cb precipitate) is superimposed on an undeformed matrix. In either case the Ni<sub>3</sub>Cb body-centered-tetragonal precipitate does transform to acicular laths of Ni<sub>3</sub>Cb precipitate. Thus, in terms of microstructural stability at 1400°F (760°C) it appears that of the two compositions evaluated here, Alloy 1 (Ni<sub>3</sub>Ta strengthened) is certainly superior to Alloy 2 (Ni<sub>3</sub>Cb strengthened) and this is confirmed by the mechanical properties data reported infra.

#### Alloy Testing and Post-Testing Metallography

As indicated above, Alloy 1 was tested in two conditions, viz., Treatment A where a 0.590-inch (15.0 mm.) diameter cold-swaged bar was aged to 120 hours at 1200°F (650°C), and Treatment B where a bar cold-swaged to 0.460-inch (11.7 mm.) diameter was aged for 120 hours at 1200°F (650°C). Also as noted earlier, the grain size was 60-75 microns for Treatment A and 30-40 microns for Treatment B.

Duplicate tensile tests were conducted at room temperature,  $1200^{\circ}F(650^{\circ}C)$  and  $1400^{\circ}F(760^{\circ}C)$  for the material after Treatment B and for purposes of comparison single tensile tests were conducted at the same temperatures for material after Treatment A. These tensile data are tabulated in Table III, and are shown graphically in Figures 11, 12, 13, and 14. It is worth noting that the  $1200^{\circ}F(650^{\circ}C)$  yield strength for Alloy 1 after Treatment B (see Figure 12) is ~188,000 psi (1290 MN/m<sup>2</sup>), with better than 10 percent tensile elongation and better than 15 percent reduction in cross-sectional area.

TABLE III

TENSILE DATA FOR ALLOY 1

							į		
Test No.	Date	rest F	°C	psi	d Stress MN/m <sup>2</sup>	U <u>ltimat</u> e psi	NN/m2	Elongation H	R. of Area
					AFTER TREA	TMENT A			
4121J	8/12/8	RT		216,100	1484.0	232,700	1598.0	10.3	24.6
41213	8/21/69	1200	650	175,600	1206.0	195,500	1,542.0	4.6	14.4
41215	8/21/69	1400	760	151,100	1037.0	156,200	1072.0	6.7	6.5
					AFTER TREA	TMENT B			
41212 41284	8/21/69 9/30/69	RT RT		241,800 249,751	1660.0 1715.0	253,200 261,194	1738.0 1793.0	8.4 3.3	25.4 7.5
41214 41188	8/21/69 8/4/69	1200 1200	650 650	188, 050 188, 750	1291.0 1296.0	207, 450 212, 500	1424.0 1459.0	15.5 11.2	21.4
41216 41286	8/21/69 9/30/69	1400 1400	760 760	147,600 134,328	1013.0 922.0	154,150 159,204	1058.0 1093.0	4.7 5.1	0 N N N
				TREATM	ENT A + 100	HRS. AT 1 <sup>1</sup>	400°F		
41234	8/29/69	RT		204 <b>,</b> 522	1404.0	224 <b>,</b> 623	1542.0	14.6	22.6
41236	8/29/69	1200	650	168,159	1155.0	194,527	1336,0	15.8	19.9

TABLE III (Continued)

R. of Area $\%$		29.1 17.9	15.4
Elongation %		13.6 7.0	15.4
e Stress MN/m2	<u>1400°F</u>	1632.0 1643.0	1353.0 was flawed
<u>Ultimat</u> psi	) HRS. AT	237 <b>,</b> 688 239 <b>,</b> 303	197,015 Specimen
l Stress MN/m2	IT B + 100	1528.0 1438.0	0.9611
<u>Yield</u> psi	TREATMEN	222,600 209,493	174 <b>,</b> 627
°C			650 650
Test F		RT RT	1200 1200
Date		8/29/69 9/30/69	8/29/69 10/1/69
Test No.		41235 41237	41237 41288

11

ġ

Table III also includes data from room temperature and  $1200^{\circ}F(650^{\circ}C)$  tensile tests on Alloy 1 material both in "Treatment A" and "Treatment B" conditions plus an additional 100 hours at  $1400^{\circ}F(760^{\circ}C)$  exposure. The data shows that in the "best strength" condition (i.e., Condition "B") the material retains 92 percent of  $1200^{\circ}F(650^{\circ}C)$  yield strength even after the 100 hours at  $1400^{\circ}F(760^{\circ}C)$  exposure without loss of ductility. This reinforces the observation made in the previous section that although there are "recrystallization" cells observed adjacent to grain boundaries (see Figures 9b,c,d) after exposure at  $1400^{\circ}F(760^{\circ}C)$  for 100 hours, there is no deleterious effect on ductility. Some Alloy 1 specimens after Treatment B were tested in stress rupture at  $1200^{\circ}F(650^{\circ}C)$  (see Figures 18a and b). The following data (Table IV) was obtained:

#### TABLE IV

#### STRESS RUPTURE TESTS FOR Alloy 1 After Treatment B

<u>Test No.</u>	<u>Date</u>	<u>Test (</u> F	<u>°C</u>	<u>Stress</u> p.s.i.	Level MN/m2	<u>Life in Hours</u>
10266	10/15/69	1200	650	110,000	755.0	26.2
10255	10/10/69	1200	650	110,000	755.0	37.5
10189	9/5/69	1200	650	150,000	1030.0	3.2
10224	9/22/69	1200	650	150,000	1030.0	4.4

As stated earlier, for Alloy 2, duplicate tensile tests at room temperature,  $1200^{\circ}F(650^{\circ}C)$  and  $1400^{\circ}F(760^{\circ}C)$  were carried out on material which was extruded, cold-swaged (with intermediate anneal) to .495-inch (12.6 mm.) diameter, and aged for 120 hours at  $1200^{\circ}F(650^{\circ}C)$  and also duplicate tests at room temperature and  $1200^{\circ}F(650^{\circ}C)$  on material which was further exposed 100 hours at  $1400^{\circ}F(760^{\circ}C)$ . This data is tabulated in Table V and graphically represented in Figures 30 and 31.

The tensile properties of Alloy 2 confirm the microstructural observations reported in the previous section. As in the case of Alloy 1, the  $1200^{\circ}F$  (650°C) yield strength of Alloy 2 is at a high level (~189,000 psi (1300 MN/m<sup>2</sup>)) with greater than 10 percent tensile elongation and greater than 15 percent reduction in cross-sectional area. However, in contrast to Alloy 1, the 1400°F (760°C) tensile yield strength of Alloy 2 falls off abruptly. In Alloy 1, a 1200°F (650°C) tensile yield strength of ~189,000 psi (1300 MN/m<sup>2</sup>) decreases to a 1400°F (760°C) tensile yield strength of ~145,000 psi (1010 MN/m<sup>2</sup>), whereas in Alloy 2, the respective strength levels are

TABLE V

ł

# TENSILE DATA OF ALLOY 2

13

~189,000 psi (1300  $MN/m^2$ ) and ~85,000 psi (590  $MN/m^2$ ). This behavior is confirmed by the microstructural observation on the specimens after testing.

Figures 15a, b, 16a, b, and 17a, b are thin foil micrographs cross-sectional "slices" taken from the gauge-length of Alloy 1 tensile specimens tested at room temperature, 1200°F (650°C) and 1400°F (760°C), respectively. Figures 16c and 17c are corresponding optical micrographs after 1200°F (650°C) and 1400°F (760°C) tensile tests. In each of the three test conditions (room temperature, 1200°F or 650°C and 1400°F or 760°C) the strengthening NizTa precipitate has not altered in morphology during the test nor has any transformation occurred in the crystallography of the precipitate. (The NizTa body-centered-tetragonal precipitate does exhibit some transformation to NizTa orthorhombic phase, but only when exposed at 1400°F (760°C) for long times - see earlier text and Figures 9b,c.d.) By contrast. in the case of the Ni<sub>3</sub>Cb precipitate in Alloy 2, transformation to orthorhombic Ni<sub>3</sub>Cb does occur during the 1400°F (760°C) tensile test. Figures 27a,b,c, 28a,b,c, and 29a,b,c are micrographs of cross-sectional slices of Alloy 2 tensile specimens tested at room temperature. 1200°F (650°C) and 1400°F (760°C), respectively.

In Figure 29c, the thin foil micrograph clearly shows that recrystallization has occurred during the 1400°F (760°C) tensile test and that laths of orthorhombic Ni<sub>3</sub>Cb phase have formed. This clearly is the cause of the change in the yield strength of Alloy 2 from ~189,000 psi (1300 MN/m<sup>2</sup>) at 1200°F (650°C) to ~85,000 psi (590 MN/m<sup>2</sup>) at 1400°F (760°C). The same instability is manifested in tests on Alloy 2 material exposed for 100 hours at 1400°F (760°C) (Figure 26) where the 1200°F (650°C) yield strength of 189,000 psi (1300 MN/m<sup>2</sup>) has decreased to 111,900 psi (768 MN/m<sup>2</sup>) whereas in Alloy 1 the 1200°F (650°C) yield strength after the 100 hours at 1400°F (760°C) exposure (~175,000 psi or 1200 MN/m<sup>2</sup>) was 92 percent the strength level before exposure (~189,000 psi or 1300 MN/m<sup>2</sup>). Stress-rupture tests on Alloy 2 were carried out and the following data (Table VI) obtained.

#### TABLE VI

#### Stress-Rupture Data for Alloy 2

<u>Test No.</u>	Date	<u>Test</u>	<u>°C</u>	<u>Stress</u> p.s.i.	<u>Level</u> <u>MN/m</u> 2	<u>Life In Hours</u>
10316	11/12/69	1200	650	110,000	755.0	6.7
10322	11/14/69	1200	650	110,000	755.0	9.2

#### DISCUSSION

The foregoing results demonstrate that a nickel-base composition, strengthened by the precipitation of a body-centered-tetragonal phase on a cold worked consolidated powder-metallurgy alloy matrix, is capable of exhibiting high levels of  $1200^{\circ}F(650^{\circ}C)$  tensile yield strength required in the instant program. Of the two alloy systems investigated here, the Ni<sub>3</sub>Ta strengthened Alloy 1 shows high  $1400^{\circ}F(760^{\circ}C)$  tensile yield strengths and 92 percent retention of the  $1200^{\circ}F(650^{\circ}C)$  strength levels after 100 hours exposure at  $1400^{\circ}F(760^{\circ}C)$ .

The Ni<sub>3</sub>Cb strengthened Alloy 2 demonstrates a lesser degree of maintenance of strength at  $1400^{\circ}F$  (760°C) and also after exposure 100 hours at  $1400^{\circ}F$  (760°C).

In the latter case the instability of the Ni<sub>3</sub>Cb phase in the predominant cause of the lower tensile strengths of Alloy 2 after exposure at 1400°F (760°C). In the case of Alloy 1, the body-centered-tetragonal Ni<sub>3</sub>Ta phase is not, per se, unstable when exposed at 1400°F. However, the existence of a highly cold-worked matrix prior to precipitation does cause localized recrystallization to occur when Alloy 1 is exposed at 1400°F (760°C). This recrystallization is accompanied by limited transformation of the Ni<sub>3</sub>Ta (b.c.tet.) to Ni<sub>3</sub>Ta (orthorhombic) phase. By contrast, in Appendix A data is presented on the stability of Ni<sub>3</sub>Ta in an undeformed matrix of similar composition to Alloy 1. No transformation of the body-centered-tetragonal Ni<sub>3</sub>Ta phase to orthorhombic Ni<sub>3</sub>Ta phase occurs in the case of the undeformed matrix even when exposed for 100 hours at 1500°F (815°C). In Appendix B, X-ray diffraction data is presented to show that the formation of orthorhombic Ni<sub>3</sub>Ta does occur in Alloy 1 when the cold worked and precipitation strengthened (aged at 1200°F or 650°C) matrix is exposed for 100 hours at 1400°F (760°C).

This above mentioned data serves to emphasize that further improvements in the stability of Alloy 1 are possible if the presently obtained strength levels can be maintained while lessening the degree of pre-precipitation cold work. Therefore, as a subsequent and continuing investigation of the present preliminary study, it has been recommended that a program of optimizing the pre-precipitation strengthening deformation of Alloy 1 be undertaken. The goal of the envisaged investigation would be to: (a) maintain and, if possible enhance the present  $1200^{\circ}F$  (650°C) tensile yield and ultimate strength levels, while improving upon the  $1400^{\circ}F$  (760°C) stability of Alloy 1, (b) to improve the present stress rupture properties of Alloy 1 and, (c) to modify and optimize the present composition of Alloy 1 and define the compositional ranges for the resultant P/M alloy for  $1000-1400^{\circ}F$ (540-760°C) applications.

#### CONCLUSIONS

- A nickel-base composition, utilizing the powder-metallurgy method and strengthened by the precipitation of a body-centered-tetragonal intermetallic phase has been defined which provides a 1200°F (650°C) strength level of 189,000 psi (1296 MN/m<sup>2</sup>) with better than 10 percent tensile elongation.
- 2. Of two alloys investigated in the present investigation, Alloy 1 (which in weight percent is 54.5 Ni - 20.2 Cr - 9.62 Co - 6.80 Mo - 8.77 Ta -0.1 Cb - 0.038 C - 0.14 Fe - 0.0146 O - 0.005 S - 0.004 P - 0.029 N -<0.1 Al - <0.1 Ti) which is strengthened by the precipitation of Ni<sub>3</sub>Ta phase is more stable than Alloy 2 (which in weight percent is 56.65 Ni -18.85 Cr - 10.17 Co - 6.84 Mo - 0.10 Ta - 7.10 Cb - 0.159 C - 0.18 Fe -0.0182 O - 0.009 S - 0.002 P - 0.013 N - 0.02 Al - <0.02 Ti) which is strengthened by the precipitation of Ni<sub>3</sub>Cb phase.
- 3. Microstructural analysis of the above alloy systems has indicated the causes of 1400°F instability in these precipitation strengthened systems, and it has been shown that by control of the deformation prior to precipitation-strengthening, the 1400°F (760°C) stability of Alloy 1 can be further increased.
- 4. Alloy 2 is not recommended for further study, but in the case of Alloy 1, a program to optimize the post-consolidation thermomechanical treatment is proposed. It is expected that with further process optimization, the present strength levels of Alloy 1 can be maintained while improving 1400°F (760°C) stability and stress-rupture behavior at 1200°F (650°C).

#### RECOMMENDATIONS

The results of the study demonstrate that the NizTa strengthened Alloy 1 is a viable P/M superalloy system. The body-centered-tetragonal NizTa phase per se is stable up to 1500°F (815°C)(see Appendix A). However, when the matrix is extensively cold worked prior to precipitation of NizTa, the alloy shows the commencement of recrystallization at 1400°F (760°C) and the existence of cold work in the matrix enhances the formation of orthorhombic NizTa. Therefore, as a subsequent and continuing investigation of the present preliminary study, it is recommended that a program of optimizing the pre-precipitation strengthening deformation of Alloy 1 be undertaken. The goal of the envisaged investigation would be to:

- a. Maintain and, if possible enhance the present 1200°F (650°C) tensile yield and ultimate strength levels, while improving upon the 1400°F (760°C) stability of Alloy 1.
- b. Improve the present stress rupture properties of Alloy 1.
- c. Modify and optimize the present composition of Alloy 1 and define the compositional ranges for the resultant P/M alloy for 1000-1400°F (540-760°C) applications.



(A) 100x, (B) 1000x, (C) 1000x. Alloy 1 powder. Scanning electron micrographs show atomized powders. 100/325 mesh fraction particles are shown. (A) 100x, (B) 1000x, (C) 100 Figure 1.



Central region is electropolished

Figure 2. Optical micrograph of a 3mm diameter disc from a 0,003 inch thick sheet of electrolytic nickel plate in which particles of Alloy 1 powders have been "held". The disc has been dimpled for electropolishing with twin jets.



Figure 3. Thin foil micrograph of an individual particle of Alloy 1 powder. Prepared by using a sample as shown in Figure 2. 25,000x.



Figure 4. Photograph showing Alloy 1 bars after extrusion and decanning.



Figure 5. (A) Optical micrograph showing the microstructure of as-extruded Alloy 1 bar. 500x. (B) and (C) Thin foil micrographs of a cross section of as-extruded bar. Note the fine distribution of primary carbide particles and the low dislocation density. The line marks on the micrographs represen one micron.

![](_page_33_Picture_0.jpeg)

2.75 hours at 1450° F and further aged for 64 hours at 1200° F. Line marks at 1450° F. B. Thin foil micrograph of Alloy 1 bar as-extruded and aged A. Thin foil micrograph of Alloy 1 as-extruded and aged for 71 hours on each photograph represent one micron. In micrograph B the arrow shows the fine 1200° F precipitate surrounding the coarser 1450° F. Figure 6.

![](_page_34_Picture_0.jpeg)

Figure 7. Optical micrographs of as-extruded and cold swaged Alloy 1 bar. (A) The cross section of rod as-extruded and directly cold swaged to .590 inch diameter. (B) Cross section of rod annealed for 8 minutes at 2200° F in the condition shown in (A) above and then further cold swaged to .460 inch diameter. Both at 500x.

![](_page_35_Picture_0.jpeg)

Figure 8. (A) Optical micrograph of Alloy 1 rod cold swaged to .460 inch diameter (cf Figure 7 (B) above) and aged for 120 hours at 1200°F. 500x. (B) Plastic replica of Alloy 1 bar in same condition as in (A) above. (C) and (D) Thin foil micrographs of Alloy 1 bar as cold swaged to .460 inch diameter and then aged for 74.5 hours at 1200°F. The line marks on micrographs 8 (B), 8 (C) and 8 (D) represent one micron.


Figure 9. (A) Optical micrograph of Alloy 1 bar cold swaged to .460 inch diameter aged 120 hours at 1200° F and further exposed for 100 hours at 1400° F.
500x. (B) Plastic replica of Alloy 1 material as in (A) above. The regions marked "B" in Figures 9 (B), 9 (C) and 9 (D) seem to represent the beginning of secondary recrystallization. (See text.) Line marks on Figures 9 (B), 9 (C) and 9 (D) represent one micron.



'igure 10. Optical micrograph of Alloy 1 bar as-extruded and directly cold swaged to .590 inch diameter and then (A) aged for 120 hours at 1200° F and (B) aged for 120 hours for 1200° F and further aged for 100 hours at 1400° F. 500x.



Fig. 11.



Fig. 12.



Fig. 13.



Fig. 14.



Figure 15. Thin foil micrographs taken from the cross section of the gauge length of an Alloy 1 tensile specimen tested at room temperature. Test No. 41211 The line marks on the micrographs represent one micron.







Figure 16. (A) and (B). Thin foil micrographs taken from the cross section of the gauge length of an Alloy 1 tensile specimen tested at 1200° F. Test No. 41213. The line marks on the micrographs represent one micron. (C) Optical micrograph of a cross section of Alloy 1 after tensile test at  $1200^{\circ}$  F.





Figure 18. Optical micrographs of the cross section of stress rupture specimens
(A) Alloy 1 tested in the "as-cold-swaged plus 120 hours at 1200° F " condition. Test parameters were 110,000 psi and 1200° F. Stress rupture life of 37.5 hours. Test No. 10,252. (B) Alloy 1 specimen tested in the "as-cold-swaged plus 120 hours at 1200° F" condition. Test parameters 150,000 psi at 1200° F. Stress rupture life 2.75 hours. Test No. 10,255. 500x.



Figure 19. (A & B) Scanning electron micrographs of 100/325 mesh fractic of alloy 2 powders.



lgure 20. Thin foil micrographs of individual particles of alloy 2 powders se transmission micrographs have been prepared by "holding" the particles lectrolytic nickel plate as shown in Figure 2). The line marks represent nicron.



Figure 21. (A) Optical micrograph of as-extruded bar made by canning and extruding 100/325 mesh fraction of alloy 2 powder; (B & C) thin foil micrographs of the as-extruded material showing the finely distributed MC carbides. The line marks represent one micron.



Thin foil micrographs of as-extruded alloy 2 P/M bar Figure 22. (A & B) Thin foil micrographs of as-extruded alloy 2 P/M l aged for 8 hours at 1350°F. In (A) the overall microstructure of several grains can be seen and in (B) the morphology of the Ni<sub>3</sub>Cb strengthening precipitate is shown. The line marks represent one micron.



Figure 23. Thin foil micrographs of alloy 2 extruded bar after various heat treatments. (A) Aged for 24 hours at  $1350^{\circ}F$ ; (B) aged for 8 hours at  $1350^{\circ}F$  plus 48 hours at  $1150^{\circ}F$ ; and (C) aged for 51 hours at  $1200^{\circ}F$ . The line marks on each micrograph represent one micron.



2 Figure 24. (A) Optical micrograph of alloy 2 P/M bar as-extruded and P/M bar cold-swaged as in (A) above, intermediate annealed for 8 minutes at 2200°F, quenched and further cold swaged to 0.495" diameter; and (C) thin foil transmission micrograph of the cold-swaged bar as in (B) above. directly cold-swaged to 0.600" diameter; (B) optical micrograph of alloy Line mark represents one micron.



Figure 25. (A) Optical micrograph of alloy 2 P/M rod, cold-swaged to 0.495" diameter and aged for 120 hours at 1200°F; and (B) thin foil electron micrograph of alloy 2 P/M rod, cold-swaged to 0.495" diameter and aged for 120 hours at 1200°F. Line mark represents one micron.



Figure 26. (A) Optical micrograph; and (B) thin foil micrograph of alloy 2 P/M rod, cold-swaged to 0.495" diameter, aged for 120 hours at 1200°F and further exposed for 100 hours at 1400°F. Line mark in (B) represents one micron.



Figure 27. Microstructure of alloy 2 bar after room temperature tensile test. Test No. 43263. All micrographs are from a cross-section of the gauge length of the tensile specimens. Line marks on thin foil micrographs represent one micron. (A) Optical micrograph; (B) and (C) thin foil micrographs.



Figure 28. Microstructure of alloy 2 bar after 1200°F tensile test. Test No. 43266. All micrographs are from a cross-section of the gauge length of the tensile specimen. Line marks on thin foil micrographs represent one micron. (A) Optical micrograph; (B) and (C) thin foil micrographs.



Figure 29. Microstructure of alloy 2 bar after 1400° F tensile test. Test No. 43268. All micrographs are from a cross-section of the gauge length of the tensile specimen. Line marks on thin foil micrographs represent one micron. (A) Optical micrograph; (B) and (C) thin foil micrographs.



Fig. 30



Fig. 31

### APPENDIX A

In this Appendix, data (extrinsic to the present contract) is presented on the coarsening behavior of the NizTa precipitate in a wrought (conventionally processed) superalloy, similar to Alloy 1, of the following composition:

Ni - 20.47 Cr - 5.59 Fe - 5.41 Mo - 9.82 Ta - 5.02 Co - .089 C

Samples of this alloy were solution heat-treated at 2282°F (1250°C) quenched and aged at 1200°F (650°C), 1300°F (705°C), 1400°F (760°C) and 1500°F (815°C). The morphology of the Ni<sub>3</sub>Ta b.c.tetragonal precipitate after 25 hours and 100 hours at the above temperatures is shown in the successive thin foil micrographs in Figure A. An increase in size of the Ni<sub>3</sub>Ta b.c.tetragonal precipitates can be observed as the aging temperature is increased from 1200°F (650°C) to 1500°F (815°C). It is worth noticing that the large Ni<sub>3</sub>Ta precipitates observed after 100 hours at 1500°F (815°C) are still coherent as is manifested by the existence of delta fringes at the precipitate/matrix interface. Unlike the situation in the case of the deformed matrix in Alloy 1 (where the commencement of recrystallization after 100 hours at 1400°F (760°C) is accompanied by a transformation from b.c.tetragonal Ni<sub>3</sub>Ta to orthorhombic Ni<sub>3</sub>Ta), in the present case no transformation of the Ni<sub>3</sub>Ta b.c.tetragonal precipitate occurs.

Figure B is a log-log plot of half the Ni<sub>3</sub>Ta platelet length (a/2) against hours of aging time for three temperatures. The lengths of the platelets for each heat treatments were measured for micrographs where the foil orientation resulted in the platelets being "edge-on". As shown in Figure B the log a/2 vs log t (time) plots show a slope of 1/2. Assuming a relation of the type:

 $a/2 = K \cdot t^n$ 

where K is the rate constant for a particular aging temperature, n is determined to be 1/2. Following from this, in Figure C, a/2 is plotted against  $t^{1/2}$  and a linear fit is obtained. The rate constants, K, for each aging temperature can now be determined either from the intercepts of the log a/2 vs log t curves with the y axis at t = 1 in Figure B or from the slopes of the a/2 vs  $t^{1/2}$  plots in Figure C.

In Figure D, log K (K being the rate constants for each aging temperature) calculated from Figures B and C) is plotted against the reciprocal of aging temperature in °K. From this plot a value of activation energy for growth of the NizTa b.c.tetragonal precipitate is obtained. The activation energy is calculated to be 51.5 K Cals/mole. (Tutunik and Estoulin<sup>(5)</sup> have cal-culated the activation energy for diffusion of Ta in Ni to be 57 K Cals/mole.)

From the foregoing it would appear that the same precipitate growth process occurs at all of the aging temperatures investigated and that no transformation of the b.c.tetragonal NizTa precipitate occurs for aging treatments up to 100 hours at 1500°F (815°C).



Figure A (Appendix A)



ures. Diope of all use a/ 2 versus of





### APPENDIX B

In this Appendix, X-ray diffraction data on phases extracted from Alloy 1 is presented. The extracted phases are representative of Alloy 1 in two conditions:

- A. As cold-swaged and aged for 120 hours at 1200°F (650°C)
- B. As cold-swaged, aged for 120 hours at 1200°F (650°C) plus further aged for 100 hours at 1400°F (760°C)

The diffraction data is presented to show that after 100 hours at  $1400^{\circ}$ F (760°C), there occurred a transformation of the b.c.tetragonal Ni<sub>3</sub>Ta phase to orthorhombic Ni<sub>3</sub>Ta phase. This transformation accompanies the recrystallization observed after the 100 hours exposure at  $1400^{\circ}$ F (760°C). (See Figures 9a thru d.) Without a cold-worked matrix, no formation of Ni<sub>3</sub>Ta orthorhombic phase occurs even after exposure for 100 hours or more at 1500°F (815°C). The d spacings from the X-ray data are presented on the next page.

# X-RAY DIFFRACTION DATA FROM PHASES EXTRACTED FROM ALLOY 1 SPECIMENS

A = 120 Hrs. at 1200°F (650°C) B = 120 Hrs. at 1200°F (650°C) + 100 Hrs. at 1400°F (760°C)

d Space	B	NizTa b.c.Tet.	NizTa Ortho.	MC TaC Type	M23C6	FCC Matrix
	4.418 4.124					
4.104	3.731 3.534 3.339	(002)	(101)			
3.283	3.243 3.048	(101)	(110) (011)			
2.545	2.999 2.618 2.539	(110)	(111) (200)	(111)		
2.382	2.380				(420)	
2.206 2.178	2.201 2.178		(201)	(200)	(422)	
2.064	2.094 2.053	(112) (103)	(020)		(333)	(111)
1.954 1.884 1.862	1.965 1.949 1.884	(004)	(012) (211)		(440)	
1.789	1.848 1.801 1.783 1.707	(200)	(121)		(531) (531) (620)	(200)
1.637 1.601	1.637 1.610	(202)	(220)		(622)	
1.565	1.566 1.521	(211) (114)	(310) (221)	(220)		
1.500 1.472	1.464		(122)			
1.367	1.352	(213)				

<u>d Spa</u> A	B	NizTa b.c.Tet.	NizTa Ortho.	MC TaC Type	M23C6	FCC Matrix
1.335	1.336			(311)		
1.285	1.295	(204)	(203)		(644)	
1.267	1.269	(220)	(400)	(222)	(660)	(220)
1.233	1.233	(006)			(555)	
1.186	1.184	(301)	(231)		(753)	
1.110 1.092	1.118 1.098	(116) (215,312)	(004) (223)	(400)	(844)	
1.082	1.083		(420)		(933)	(311)
1.055 1.036	1.051	(224)				(222)
1.017	1.016	(206,107)		(331)		( )
.991	.992 .978 .968 .949	(321) (314)			(1040)	

## REFERENCES

- 1. C. S. Kortovich, "Close Tolerance Forgings from P/M Preforms," AFML-TR-69-181, TRW Inc., June 1969.
- 2. K. H. Moyer, Final Report under Contract AF33(615)-3994, February 1969.
- 3. G. I. Friedman and P. Loewenstein, "Development of Processing Techniques for the Extrusion of Metal Powders", Whittaker Corporation, Nuclear Metals Division, December 1967.
- 4. P. S. Kotval, J. S. Dickey and B. E. Lewis, <u>Proceedings of the 27th</u> <u>Annual Meeting of EMSA</u>, 1969, p. 32.
- 5. A. D. Tutunnik and G. E. Estoulin, <u>Fiz. Metal\_Metalloved</u> (S.S.S.R), 1957, 4(3), p. 558.

## DISTRIBUTION\_LIST FOR CONTRACT NAS3-13214

NASA Headquarters 600 Independence Avenue	
Washington, D. C. 20546 Attn: G. C. Deutsch/RRM R. H. Raring/RRM J. Gangler/RRM N. Rekos/RAP	1 1 1 1
<pre>NASA Lewis Research Center 21000 Brookpark Road Cleveland, Ohio 44135 Attn: G. M. Ault, MS 105-1 N. T. Saunders, MS 105-1 A. E. Anglin, MS 106-1 F. H. Harf, MS 106-1 C. Blankenship, MS 105-1 R. L. Ashbrook, MS 49-1 J. W. Weeton, MS 49-1 J. C. Freche, MS 49-1 Aeronautics Procurement Section, MS 77-3 Library, MS 60-3 Patent Counsel, MS 500-311 Report Control Office, MS 5-5 W. J. Waters, MS 49-1 Technology Utilization Office, MS 3-19</pre>	1115111 121 11
NASA Scientific and Technical Information Facility P. O. Box 3300 College Park, Maryland 20740	
Attn: NASA Rep. RQT-2448	6
Langley Field, Virginia 23365 Attn: Library R. Pride, 188A	1 1
NASA Marshall Space Flight Center Huntsville, Alabama 35812 Attn: Library	1
Jet Propulsion Laboratory 4800 Oak Grove Drive Pasadena, California 91102 Attn: Library	<u>]</u>

NASA Ames Research Center Moffett Field, California 94035 Attn: Library 1 NASA Goddard Space Flight Center Greenbelt, Maryland 20771 Attn: Library 1 NASA Manned Space Flight Center Houston, Texas 77058 Attn: Library 7 NASA Flight Research Center P. O. Box 273 Edwards, California 93523 Attn: Library 1 FAA Headquarters 890 Independence Avenue, SW Washington, D. C. 20553 Attn: Brig. Gen. J. C. Maxwell 1 F. B. Howard, SS-210 1 A. K. Forney 1 Headquarters Wright Patterson AFB, Ohio 45433 Attn: MAG/A. M. Lovelace 1 MAM/H. M. Burte 1 MAAM/Technical Library 1 MAMS/C. T. Lynch 1 MAMP/I. Perlmutter 1 MAMP/J. K. Elbaum ] Air Force Office of Scientifc Research Propulsion Research Division USAF Washington, D. C. 20525 Attn: M. Slawsky 7 Army Materials Research Agency Watertown Arsenal Watertown, Massachusetts 02172 Attn: S. V. Arnold, Director 1 Department of the Army Frankford Arsenal Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19137 Attn: MRL/H. Rosenthal 1

Department of the Navy NASA Air-5203 20360 Washington, D. C. Attn: P. Goodwin ] Department of the Navy ONR, Code 439 Washington, D. C. 20525 Attn: R. Roberts 1 Department of the Navy Naval Ship R/D Center Annapolis, Maryland 21402 Attn: G. J. Danek 1 U. S. Atomic Energy Commission Washington, D. C. 20545 Attn: Technical Reports 1 Library ٦ J. Simmons Oak Ridge National Laboratory Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37830 Attn: Technical Reports 1 Library Defense Documentation Center/DDC Cameron Station 5010 Duke Street Alexandria, Virginia 22314 1 Aerojet-General Corporation Azusa, California 91702 Attn: I. Petker 1 Aerospace Corporation Reports Acquisition P. O. Box 95085 Los Angeles, California 90045 1 Allegheny Ludlum Steel Corporation Research Center Brackenridge, Pennsylvania 15014 Attn: R. A. Lula 1 American Society for Metals Metals Park Novelty, Ohio 44073 Attn: T. Lyman 1

Amsted Research Laboratories P. O. Box 567 Bensenville, Illinois 60106 Attn: E. J. Zickefoose 1 AVCO Lycoming Division 505 South Main Street Stratford, Connecticut 06497 Attn: W. H. Freeman 1 AVCO Space Systems Division Lowell Industrial Park Lowell, Massachusetts 01851 Attn: Library 1 Battelle Memorial Institute 505 King Avenue Columbus, Ohio 43201 Attn: R. I. Jaffee l B. Wilcox 7 Cobalt Info. Center 1 S. J. Paprocki 1 The Bendix Corporation Research Laboratories Division Southfield, Michigan 48075 1 Attn: Library Boeing Company P. O. Box 733 Renton, Washington 98055 Attn: W. E. Binz, SST Unit Chief 1 Cabot Corporation Stellite Division 1020 West Park Avenue Kokomo, Indiana 46901 1 Attn: Library Case Institute of Technology University Circle Cleveland, Ohio 44106 Attn: Department of Metallurgy 1 Climax Molybdenum Company 1600 Huron Parkway Ann Arbor, Michigan 48106 Attn: M. Semchyshen 1

Curtiss-Wright Corporation 760 Northland Avenue Buffalo, New York 14215 Attn: C. Wagner 1 Denver Research Institute University Park Denver, Colorado 80210 Attn: Library 1 Denver University Metallurgy Department Denver, Colorado 80210 Attn: J. B. Newkirk 1 Douglas Aircraft Company (MSFD) 3000 Ocean Park Boulevard Santa Monica, California 90406 Attn: Library 1 Falconbridge Nickel Mines. Ltd. 7 King Street, East Toronto, Ontario, Canada Attn: L. G. Bonar 1 Federal Mogul Corporation Research and Development Center Ann Arbor, Michigan 48106 Attn: J. G. LeBrasse 1 Firth Sterling, Incorporated Powders Metals Research P. O. Box 71 Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15230 1 Ford Motor Company Materials Development Department 20000 Rotunda Drive P. O. Box 2053 Dearborn, Michigan 48123 Attn: Y. P. Telang 1 Fordon McKay Laboratory 6 Oxford Street Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138 Attn: M. Ashby 1

Garrett-Air Research Phoenix, Arizona 85034 Attn: Supv. Materials Engineering, Department 93393 1 General Electric Company Advanced Technology Laboratory Schenectady, New York 12305 Attn: Library 1 General Electric Company Materials and Processes Laboratory Schenectady, New York 12305 Attn: C. T. Sims 1 General Electric Company Materials Development Laboratory Operations Advance Engine and Technical Department Cincinnati, Ohio 45215 Attn: L. P. Jahnke 1 R. E. Allen ٦ General Motors Corporation Allison Division Indianapolis, Indiana 46206 Attn: K. K. Hanink, Materials 1 Laboratory Homogeneous Metals, Incorporated West Canada Boulevard Herkimer, New York 13350 Attn: R. J. Nylen 1 IIT Research Institute 10 West 35th Street Chicago, Illinois 60616 Attn: N. M. Parikh 1 Industrial Materials Technology 127 Smith Place West Cambridge Industrial Park Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138 Attn: R. Widmer 1

International Nickel Company 67 Wall Street New York, New York 10005 Attn: R. R. Dewitt 1 International Nickel Company P. D. Merica Research Laboratory Sterling Forest Stuffern, New York 10901 Attn: F. Decker 1 Ladish Company Government Relations Division Cudahy, Wisconsin 53110 Attn: C. Burley, Jr. 1 Latrobe Steel Company Latrobe, Pennsylvania 15650 Attn: E. E. Reynolds 1 Lockheed-Georgia Company Research Laboratory Marietta, Georgia 30060 Attn: W. S. Cremens 1 Lockheed Palo Alto Research Laboratories Materials and Science Laboratory, 52-30 3251 Hanover Street Palo Alto, California 94304 Attn: Technical Information Center 1 Martin Metals 250 North 12th Street Wheeling, Illinois 60090 Attn: C. H. Lund 1 McDonnel-Douglas Corporation 3000 Ocean Park Boulevard Santa Monica, California 90406 Attn: R. Johnson 1 McDonnel-Douglas Corporation P. O. Box 516 St. Louis, Missouri 63166 Attn: R. E. Jackson 1 Michigan Technical University Department of Metallurgical Engineering Houghton, Michigan 49931 Attn: R. W. Guard 1

Micromet Laboratories 202 South Street West Lafayette, Indiana 47906 Attn: J. F. Radavich 1 North American Rockwell Corporation Rocketdyne Division 6633 Canoga Avenue Canoga Park, California 91304 Attn: E. D. Weisert 1 North Star Research and Development Institute 3100 Thirty-Eight Avenue South Minneapolis, Minnesota 55406 Attn: J. W. Clegg 1 . Ohio State University Department of Metallurgical Engineering Columbus, Ohio 43210 Attn: R. A. Rapp 1 Nuclear Materials Company West Concord, Massachusetts 01781 Attn: Library 1 Philco-Ford Corporation Ford Road Newport Beach, California 92663 Attn: R. A. Harlow 1 Polymet Corporation 11 West Sharon Road Cincinnati, Ohio 45346 Attn: G. J. Wile 1 Reactive Metals, Incorporated 100 Warren Avenue Niles, Ohio 44446 Attn: H. D. Kessler 1 Solar Division International Harvester Corporation San Diego, California 92112 Attn: J. V. Long, Director of Research 1 Special Metals Corporation New Hartford, New York 13413 Attn: W. J. Boesch 7

Stanford Research Institute Menlo Park, California 94025 1 Attn: E. S. Wright Stanford University Department of Materials Science Palo Alto, California 94305 1 Attn: 0. Sherby Sylvania Electric Products, Incorporated Chemical and Metallurgical Division Towanda, Pennsylvania 18848 Attn: J. S. Smith 1 Tem-Pres Research, Incorporated 1401 South Atherton Street State College, Pennsylvania 16801 1 Attn: R. I. Harker TRW, Incorporated Materials Technology 23555 Euclid Avenue Cleveland, Ohio 44117 1 Attn: Library E. A. Steigerwald 1 Union Carbide Corporation Speedway Laboratories P. O. Box 24184 Indianapolis, Indiana 46224 Attn: Technical Library 1 United Aircraft Corporation 400 Main Street East Hartford, Connecticut 06108 Attn: Research Library 1 E. F. Bradley, Chief, Materials Engineering 1 United Aircraft Corporation Pratt and Whitney Aircraft Advanced Materials R/D Laboratory Middletown, Connecticut 06458

Attn: C. P. Sullivan

1

United Aircraft Corporation Pratt and Whitney Aircraft Division West Palm Beach, Florida 33402 1 Attn: Library Universal-Cyclops Steel Corporation Bridgeville, Pennsylvania 14017 Attn: C. P. Mueller 7 Westinghouse Electric Corporation Steam Division P. O. Box 9175 Lester, Pennsylvania 19113 Attn: F. J. Wall 1 Wyman-Gordon Company North Grafton, Massachusetts 01436 Attn: W. H. Couts 1