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SUMMARY

A theoretical analysis is presented of the elastic shearing of a trapezoidally corrugated plate with discontinuous attachment at the ends of the corrugations and with trough lines constrained to remain straight. Numerical results on effective shearing stiffness, stresses, and displacements are presented for selected geometries and end-attachment conditions. It is shown that the frame-like deformations of the cross sections, which result from the absence of continuous end attachment, can lead to large transverse bending stresses and large reductions in shearing stiffness. Some suggestions are made for reducing these effects.

INTRODUCTION

In this report a theoretical study is made of the elastic shearing of a trapezoidally corrugated plate for the purpose of determining the effective shearing stiffness, the deformations and the stresses. The configuration of the plate is shown in figure 1 , which also gives some of the notation to be employed.

The trough lines ( mn in fig. $1(\mathrm{~b})$ ) are assumed to be held straight, and the shearing of the plate is accomplished by shifting them longitudinally with respect to each other so as to produce the same over-all shearing strain in each corrugation. The assumed straightness of the trough lines corresponds to the case in which the corrugated plate is attached to a flat plate or some other structure along the trough lines; the analysis is therefore only approximately applicable to the case of a corrugated plate by itself. In the latter case the trough lines will tend to curve in the horizontal (xz) plane.
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In the analysis two kinds of conditions are assumed along the trough lines: either complete freedom of rotation or complete suppression of rotation, representing two limiting assumptions for the degree of rotational restraint furnished by the medium to which the trough lines might be attached. These two kinds of conditions are shown schematically in figure 2. No other kind of interference is assumed to be present, along the length of the trough lines, between the corrugated plate and the medium to which it is attached.

The analysis encompasses three kinds of conditions at the ends of the plate ( $z= \pm b$ ). These are illustrated in figure 3 and may be described as follows:
(i) Attachments at the ends of the trough lines only (fig. 3(a)), the attachments being considered as mathematical points, offering restraint against displacement but not against rotation.
(ii) Attachments at the ends of both the trough lines and the crest lines (fig. $3(\mathrm{~b})$ ), the attachments again considered as points.
(iii) Very wide attachments at the ends of the trough lines only, as shown in figure 3(c). This type of attachment is approximated in the analysis by means of the idealization shown in figure 3(d), i.e. by adding, to the end constraints of figure $3(a)$, end constraints against vertical displacement (but not against longitudinal displacement) at the junctions of the trough plate element and the adjacent inclined plate elements.

Attachment (iii) also represents an over-estimate of the constraint afforded by interference between the end of the corrugation and the member to which the end of the corrugation is attached. The interference referred to can be seen in the photograph in figure 5 (taken from ref. 1).

Numerical results on shearing stiffness, stresses and deformations for selected geometries are presented and discussed. In the numerical work, only the case of no rotational restraint along the trough lines (fig. 2(a)) is considered. All three of the end conditions shown in figure 3 are considered for the calculations of shearing stiffness, but only the end conditions of figure 3(a) for the calculations of stresses and deformations.

The prediction of the present theory with regard to shearing stiffness is compared with the result of an experiment reported in reference 2 , and good agreement is found.

| $A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots, A_{8}$ |
| :---: |
| $\mathrm{A}_{11}, \mathrm{~A}_{12}$, etc. |
| $\bar{A}_{1}, \bar{A}_{3}$ |
| $\overline{\bar{A}}_{1}, \overline{\bar{A}}_{4}, \overline{\bar{A}}_{5}, \overline{\bar{A}}_{7}$ |
|  |
| $A_{1}, A_{4}, A_{5}, A_{7}$ |
| $\tilde{A}_{11}, \tilde{A}_{12}, \tilde{A}_{22}$ |
| $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{*}, \mathrm{~A}_{3}^{*}$ |
| $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}$ |
| $\mathrm{a}_{11}, \mathrm{a}_{12}, \mathrm{a}_{22}$ |
| à |
| $\hat{a}_{1}$ |
| $\mathrm{a}_{11}^{*}$, $\mathrm{a}_{12}^{*}, \mathrm{a}_{2}^{*}$ |
| $\tilde{a}_{11}, \tilde{a}_{12}, \tilde{a}_{22}$ |
| $a_{22}^{\prime}$ |
| $\stackrel{\sim}{a}_{2}^{*}$ |
| $\overline{\mathrm{a}}_{22}$ |
| $\bar{a}_{2}^{*}$ |

coefficients in equation for $\mathrm{u}_{1}(\mathrm{z})$ (see eq. (C30))
defined by equations (A10)
defined by equations (D34); used in equation (D33)
coefficients in equations (31) to (34) for displace-
ments; obtained by solving equations (C33), (C38)
or (C38'), depending on the type of end attachments
defined by equations (50)
defined by equations (D8)
defined by equations (E26); used in equations (E25)
defined by equations (62)
coefficients in expression for $U_{b}$ (see eqs. (14) and (15))
defined by equation (C7)
defined by equation (C12)
defined by equations (C13)
defined by equations (D7)
defined by equation (D15)
defined by equation (D24)
defined by equation (E7a) or (E7b), depending on the kind of rotational restraint along the trough lines
defined by equation (E19a) or (E19b), depending on the kind of rotational restraint along the trough lines

| $B_{j} / A_{j} \quad(j=1,2, \ldots 8)$ | obtained by solving equations (C24) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $b$ | one-half the length of the corrugations (see fig. $1(\mathrm{~b})$ ) |
| $\mathrm{b}_{1}, \mathrm{~b}_{2}, \mathrm{~b}_{3}$ | defined by equations (62) |
| $\mathrm{b}_{11}, \mathrm{~b}_{12}, \mathrm{~b}_{22}$ | coefficients in expression for $U_{\text {ext }}$ (see eqs. (3) and (4) ) |
| b | defined by equation (C7) |
| $\hat{b}_{1}$ | defined by equation (C12) |
| $\mathrm{b}_{11}^{*}, \mathrm{~b}_{12}^{*}, \mathrm{~b}_{22}^{*}$ | defined by equations (C13) |
| $C_{j} / A_{j}(j=1,2, \ldots, 8)$ | obtained by solving equations (C24) |
| $\mathrm{c}_{00}, \mathrm{c}_{01}$, etc. | coefficients in expression for $U_{s h}$ (see eqs. (7) and (8) ) |
| $c_{0}, c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots$ | coefficients in series expansion for $R$ (see eqs. (28) and (C21) ) |
| $\hat{c}$ |  |
| c | defined by equation (C7) |
| $\hat{c}_{1}$ | defined by equation (C12) |
| $c_{11}^{*}, c_{12}^{*}, c_{22}^{*}$ | defined by equations (C13) |
| CS, $\widehat{\text { cc }}$ | defined by equations (C35) |
| $\bar{c}_{00}=G t / k$ |  |
| D | frame flexural stiffness; usually defined by equation (16b) |
| $D_{j} / A_{j} \quad(j=1,2, \ldots, 8)$ | obtained by solving equations (C24) |
| $\mathrm{d}_{11}, \mathrm{~d}_{21}, \mathrm{~d}_{22}$ | coefficients in expression for $U_{s h}$ (see eqs. (7) and (8) ) |
| ${ }_{\sim}{ }_{11}^{*},{\underset{\sim}{2}}^{*}, \mathrm{~d}_{22}^{*}$ | defined by equations (C13) |
| $\mathrm{d}_{11}, \mathrm{~d}_{21}$ | defined by equation (C37) |
| E | Young's modulus associated with frame bending of the cross sections |
| E' | Young's modulus associated with longitudinal extension |


| e | one-half the width of the trough plate element (see fig. 1(a)) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{e}_{11}{ }^{*}, \mathrm{e}_{12}{ }^{*}, \mathrm{e}_{22}{ }^{*}$ | coefficients in expression for $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{sh}}$ (see eqs. (7) and (8)) |
| $\overline{\mathrm{e}}_{11}, \overline{\mathrm{e}}_{12}, \overline{\mathrm{e}}_{22}$ | coefficients in expression for $U_{t w}$ (see eqs. (12) and (13)) |
| $\mathrm{e}_{11}, \mathrm{e}_{12}, \mathrm{e}_{22}$ | defined by equations (B2) |
| e | defined by equation (C7) |
| $e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}$ | defined by equations (C12) |
| $\mathrm{e}_{11} * *, \mathrm{e}_{12}{ }^{* *}, \mathrm{e}_{22}{ }^{* *}$ | defined by equations (C13) |
| $\bar{e}_{11} *, \bar{e}_{12} *, \bar{e}_{22} *$ | defined by equations (CI3) |
| $\tilde{e}_{11}, \tilde{e}_{12}, \tilde{e}_{22}$ | defined by equation (C37) |
| $e_{11}^{\prime \prime}, e_{12}^{\prime \prime}, e_{22}^{\prime \prime}$ | defined by equations (D6) |
| $\tilde{e}_{11}^{\prime}, \tilde{e}_{12}^{\prime}, \tilde{e}_{22}^{\prime}$ | defined by equations (D10) |
| $\mathrm{e}_{22}^{\prime}$ | defined by equation (D15) |
| - ${ }_{2}^{\text {¢ }}$ | defined by equation (D24) |
| F | shear force (see fig. l(b)) |
| $F^{\prime}$ | shear force associated with $\gamma^{\prime}$ (see eq. (38)) |
| $\mathrm{F}_{1}, \mathrm{~F}_{2}, \mathrm{~F}_{3}$ | cross-sectional shear force resultants in plate elements 01, 12, 23 respectively (see fig. 9(a) and eq. (B15)) |
| f | width of the crest plate element (see fig. $1(a)$ ) |
| $\mathrm{f}_{s s}, \mathrm{f}_{s c}, \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{cs}}, \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{cc}}$ | functions of $z$ defined by equations (51) |
| $f_{c X}, f_{c Y}, f_{s X}, f_{s Y}$ | functions of $z$ defined by equations (52) |
| $\hat{\mathbf{f}}$ | defined by equation (C7) |
| $\hat{\mathrm{f}}_{1}$ | defined by equation (Cl2) |
| G | shear modulus associated with middle surface shear of the plate elements |
| $G^{\prime}$ | shear modulus associated with torsion of the plate elements |
| $\mathrm{G}_{\text {eff }}$ | effective shear modulus of corrugated plate, $\tau_{a v} / \gamma_{\mathrm{av}}$ |
| $\mathrm{g}_{11}, \mathrm{~g}_{12}$, etc. | defined by equations (61) |
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$\mathrm{J}_{1}, \mathrm{~J}_{2}, \mathrm{~J}_{3}$
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k
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.
$\hat{\mathrm{m}}_{1}, \hat{\mathrm{~m}}_{2}$
defined by equation (C7)
defined by equation (C12)
height of corrugation (see fig. 1(a))
defined by equation (C7)
defined by equation (C12)
torsion constants of plate elements $01,12,23$
respectively (see eqs. (10))
defined by equation (C7)
defined by equation (C12)
defined by equation (66)
width of the inclined plate element (see fig. l(a))
coefficients of characteristic equation (C8) for $r$; defined by equations (C9)
defined by equations (C11)
defined by equation (C7)
defined by equations (C12)
defined by equations (D23)
defined by equations (E18)
defined by equations (C25)
frame bending moments per unit length of corrugation
frame bending moments, per unit length of corrugation, at junctions (0), (1), (2) respectively (see fig. 8)
defined by equation (C7)
defined by equations (C12)

| $\mathrm{N}_{3}, \mathrm{~N}_{4}$ | defined by equations (C34) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{11}, \mathrm{~N}_{12}$, etc. | defined by equations (C34) |
| $\bar{N}_{4}$ | defined by equation (C39) |
| $\overline{\mathrm{N}}_{31}, \overline{\mathrm{~N}}_{32}, \overline{\mathrm{~N}}_{33}, \overline{\mathrm{~N}}_{34}$ | defined by equations (C39) |
| $\overline{\bar{N}}_{31}, \overline{\overline{\mathrm{~N}}}_{32}, \overline{\overline{\mathrm{~N}}}_{33}, \overline{\overline{\mathrm{~N}}}_{34}$ | defined by equations (C39') |
| $\mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{B}}, \mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{C}}, \mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{D}}$ | real numbers defined by equations (C29) and (C27) |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | defined by equations (D35) |
| $\mathrm{P}_{11}, \mathrm{P}_{12}$, etc. | defined by equations (D35) |
| p | pitch of corrugation (see fig. $1(\mathrm{a})$ ) |
| $\mathrm{p}^{\prime}$ | developed width of one corrugation ( $2 e+f+2 k$ ) |
| $p_{1}, P_{3}, p_{5}, \ldots$ | coefficients in series expansion for $R$ (see eqs. (30) and (C20)) |
| $\tilde{p}_{1}, \tilde{p}_{3}, \tilde{p}_{5}, \ldots$ | coefficients in series expansion for $\tilde{X}$ (see eqs. (D26) and (D28) |
| $\hat{\mathrm{p}}_{1}, \hat{\mathrm{p}}_{3}, \hat{\mathrm{p}}_{5}, \ldots$ | coefficients in sexies expansion for $\hat{X}$ (see eqs. (E21); defined by equations (D28) with all tildes (~) replaced by circumflex accents (^) |
| $Q^{B}, Q^{C}, Q^{D}$ | real numbers defined by equations (C29) and (C27) |
| $Q_{2}$ | defined by equations (E27) |
| $\mathrm{Q}_{11}, \mathrm{Q}_{12}$, etc. | defined by equations (E27) |
| $\mathrm{q}_{0}, \mathrm{q}_{2}, \mathrm{q}_{4}, \ldots$ | coefficients in series expansion for $R$ (see eqs. (29) and (C19) |
| $\tilde{q}_{0}, \tilde{q}_{2}, \tilde{q}_{4}, \ldots$ | coefficients in series expansion for $\tilde{Y}$ (see eqs. (D26) and (D27)) |
| $\hat{q}_{0}, \hat{q}_{2}, \hat{q}_{4}, \ldots$ | ```coefficients in series expansion for \hat{X}}\mathrm{ (see eq. (E21)); defined by equations (D27) with all tildes (~) replaced by circumflex accents (^)``` |
| R | variable in characteristic equation (26) |
| $\mathrm{R}_{1}, \mathrm{R}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{R}_{8}$ | roots of characteristic equation (26) |
| $\bar{R}_{1}, \overline{\mathrm{R}}_{2}, \overline{\mathrm{R}}_{3}$ | effective cross-sectional shear force resultants for plate elements 01, 12, 23 respectively (see fig. 9(d) and eq. (B16)) |
| R | variable in characteristic equation (D21) |


| $\tilde{\mathrm{R}}_{1}, \tilde{\mathrm{R}}_{2}, \tilde{\mathrm{R}}_{3}, \tilde{\mathrm{R}}_{4}$ | roots of characteristic equation (D21) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\hat{R}$ | variable in characteristic equation (E16) |
| $\hat{R}_{1}, \hat{\mathrm{R}}_{2}, \hat{\mathrm{R}}_{3}, \hat{\mathrm{R}}_{4}$ | roots of characteristic equation (E16) |
| $r \equiv \mathrm{R} / \mathrm{e}$ |  |
| $r_{j} \equiv R_{j} / e$ | $(j=1,2, \ldots, 8)$ |
| $\mathbf{r} \equiv \mathrm{R} / \mathrm{e}$ |  |
| $\hat{\mathbf{r}} \equiv \hat{\mathrm{R}} / \mathrm{k}$ |  |
| $s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}$ | transverse coordinates along the cross-sectional centerline (see fig. $4(a)$ ) |
| $\widehat{S S}, \widehat{S C}$ | defined by equations (C35) |
| $S^{B}, S^{C}, S^{D}$ | real numbers defined by equations (C29) and (C27) |
| $\widehat{\mathrm{SC}} \equiv \cos (\mathrm{Vb} / \mathrm{e})$ |  |
| $s_{U}, s_{X}, s_{Y}$ | defined by equations (53) |
| $\mathrm{T}_{1}, \mathrm{~T}_{2}, \mathrm{~T}_{3}$ | torques carried by plate elements $01,12,23$. respectively |
| TPE | total potential energy of a single corrugation (see eq. (18)) |
| $\mathrm{T}^{\mathrm{B}}, \mathrm{T}^{\mathrm{C}}, \mathrm{T}^{\text {D }}$ | real numbers defined by equations (C29) and (C27) |
| t | thickness of corrugation (see fig. I(a)) |
| U | strain energy of an entire corrugation (see eq. (17)); also real part of $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$ and negative of real part of $R_{3}$ and $R_{4}$ (see eqs. (27)) |
| $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{b}}$ | strain energy per unit length of corrugation associated with frame bending of the cross sections |
| $\mathrm{U}_{\text {ext }}$ | strain energy per unit length of corrugation associated with longitudinal extension |
| $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{sh}}$ | strain energy per unit length of corrugation associated with middle surface shear |
| $\mathrm{U}_{\text {tw }}$ | strain energy per unit length of corrugation associated with torsion |

u

| $\mathrm{u}_{0}$ | one-half the relative shearing displacement of two adjacent trough lines (see fig. 4 (b)) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $u_{1}$ | longitudinal displacement (function of $z$ ) along junction (1) (see fig. 4) |
| $\mathrm{u}_{2}$ | longitudinal displacement (function of $z$ ) along junction (2) (see fig. 4) |
| V | imaginary part of $R_{1}$ and $R_{3}$, negative of imaginary part of $R_{2}$ and $R_{4}$ (see eqs. (27)) |
| $v_{1}^{\prime}, v_{2}^{\prime}$ | functions of $z$ defined by equations (54) and (55) |
| $\mathrm{v}_{1}, \mathrm{v}_{2}$ | amplitudes of component modes of displacement in the plane of the cross sections (functions of $z$ ) (see fig. 4 (c)) |
| W | function of $z$ defined by equation (D43) |
| $\bar{W}$ | function of $z$ defined by equation (E36) |
| X | real number equal to $\mathrm{R}_{5}$ and $-\mathrm{R}_{6}$ (see eqs. (27)) |
| $\tilde{\mathrm{X}}$ | real number equal to $\tilde{\mathrm{R}}_{1}$ and $-\tilde{\mathrm{R}}_{2}$ (see eqs. (D25)) |
| X | real number equal to $\hat{\mathrm{R}}_{1}$ and $-\hat{\mathrm{R}}_{2}$ (see eqs. (E20)) |
| x | transverse coordinate (see fig. 1 (b)) |
| Y | real number equal to $\mathrm{R}_{7}$ and $-\mathrm{R}_{8}$ (see eqs. (27)) |
| Y | real number equal to $\tilde{\mathrm{R}}_{3}$ and $-\tilde{\mathrm{R}}_{4}$ (see eqs. (D25)) |
| Y | real number equal to $\hat{R}_{3}$ and $-\hat{R}_{4}$ (see eqs. (E20)) |
| 2 | longitudinal coordinate (see fig. $1(\mathrm{~b})$ ) |
| $\alpha$ | numerical constant having the value 0 if the trough lines are free to rotate and 1 if they are prevented from rotating |
| $\alpha_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}, \alpha_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}$ | defined by equations (C36) |
| $\beta$ | defined by equation (A8) |
| $\beta_{1}{ }^{C}, \beta_{2}{ }^{C}, \beta_{1}{ }^{D}, \beta_{2}{ }^{D}$ | defined by equations (C36) |
| $\beta$ | defined by equation (D8a) |
| $\gamma$ | shear strain |
| $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{3}$ | shear strain in plate elements 01, 12, 23 respectiyely |

$\tilde{\gamma}_{1}, \tilde{\gamma}_{2}, \tilde{\gamma}_{3}, \tilde{\gamma}_{4}$
$\gamma^{\prime}$
$\gamma_{\mathrm{av}} \equiv 2 u_{0} / \mathrm{p}$
$\hat{\gamma}_{1}, \hat{\gamma}_{2}, \hat{\gamma}_{3}, \hat{\gamma}_{4}$
$\left.\begin{array}{rl}r_{j}^{B} & =B_{j} / A_{j} \\ r_{j}^{C} & =C_{j} / A_{j} \\ r_{j}^{D} & =D_{j} / A_{j}\end{array}\right\}$
$\Delta_{1}, \Delta_{2}, \Delta_{3}$
$\varepsilon$
$\varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}, \varepsilon_{3}$
$\zeta_{1}, \zeta_{2}$
$\theta$
$\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}$
$v$
$\sigma$
$\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \sigma_{3}$
${ }^{\sigma}$ (1), ${ }^{\sigma}(2)$
${ }^{\sigma}$ (0), ${ }^{\circ}(1),{ }^{\sigma}$ (2)
$\tau$
$\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}, \tau_{3}$
${ }^{\tau}$ av

```
defined by equations (D31)
uniform shear strain in corrugated sheet corresponding
to relative shearing displacement 2\mp@subsup{u}{0}{}}\mathrm{ of adjacent
trough lines (see eq. (37))
```

defined by equations (E24)
computed from equation (C27); representable by equations (C29)
functions of $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ defined in table $A 1$ longitudinal strain
longitudinal strain in plate elements $01,12,23$ respectively
defined by equations (C2)
angle between sides of corrugation and horizontal (see fig. 1)
angles of rotation of functions (1) and (2) respectively (see fig. 6)

Poisson's ratio, taken as 0.3 for numerical work cross-sectional normal stress
cross-sectional normal stress in plate elements 01 , 12, 23 respectively
cross-sectional normal stress (functions of $z$ ) along junctions (1) and (2) (see fig. 4(a))
extreme-fiber bending stress (functions of $z$ ) at junctions (0), (1), (2) respectively, resulting from frame bending of the cross sections (see fig. 18)
middle-surface shear stress
middle-surface shear stress in plate elements 01 , 12, 23 respectively
average longitudinal shear stress, $\mathrm{F} / 2 \mathrm{bt}$

| $\tau_{01}^{\prime}, \tau_{12}^{\prime}, \tau_{23}^{\prime}$ | extreme-fiber shear stresses due to twisting of the plate elements $01,12,23$ respectively (see fig. 18) |
| :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{\top} 01,{ }^{\tau} 12,{ }^{\text { }} 23$ | middle-surface shear stresses in plate elements 01, 12,23 respectively (see fig. 18) |
| $\phi$ | rate of twist |
| $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}$ | rate of twist of plate elements 01, 12, 23 respectively |
| $\psi$ | factor in equation (35) for shear stiffness; defined by equation (36) |
| $\tilde{\psi}$ | factor in equation (D37) for shear stiffness; defined by equation (D38) |
| $\hat{\psi}$ | factor in equation (E28) for shear stiffness; defined by equation (E29) |
| $\Omega$ | relative shearing stiffness, i.e. shear stiffness of actual corrugation divided by shear stiffness of an identical corrugation with continuous end attachment producing uniform shearing strain in the sheet |
| $\Omega^{\prime}$ | another relative shearing stiffness, defined as the shear stiffness of the actual corrugation to that of a uniformly sheared flat plate of the same thickness and length and width equal to $p$. |
| $\bar{\Omega}$ | defined by equation (69) |

ANALYSIS
Since all corrugations are assumed to deform identically, the analysis is based on a single corrugation, i.e. on the portion of the plate between two neighboring trough lines. This corrugation can be regarded as an assemblage of rigidly joined flat-plate elements and can therefore be analyzed by applying flat-plate theory and continuity conditions to these elements. An analysis on this basis, however, can be complicated; therefore in the present paper certain simplifying assumptions are made and a solution effected by means of the method of minimum total potential energy.

Assumption regarding longitudinal displacements. - Figure 4 (a) shows a cross section of the middle surface of a single corrugation. The shearing of this corrugation is assumed to be effected by a rigid-body shift of the trough line at station (0) through a distance $u_{0}$ in the positive-z direction and a rigid-body shift of the trough line at station (5) through the same distance in the negative-z direction. Thus the total shearing displacement of one trough line with respect to the other is $2 u_{0}$.

The longitudinal displacements of other points of the cross section are assumed to vary linearly between stations. These longitudinal displacements are shown in the plan view (fig. 4 (b)), which also shows their antisymmetrical
nature resulting from the antisymmetrical nature of the imposed displacements of the two trough lines. Thus the longitudinal displacements of all middlesurface points are defined by one prescribed parameter, $u_{0}$, and two unknown functions of $z: u_{1}(z)$ and $u_{2}(z)$. If, as is done subsequently, the shearing force $F$ (see fig. 1(b)) is regarded as prescribed, rather than the shearing displacement $u_{0}$, the latter will become an additional unknown.

Assumptions regarding displacements in the plane of the cross section. The cross sections, especially those near the ends, can be expected to undergo significant flexural deformation in their own planes, somewhat in the manner of a rigid-jointed frame. Figure 5, taken from reference 1, shows such deformations in a particular experiment.*

As is done in frame analysis, the deformation of a cross section in its own plane will be assumed to be inextensional. And the deformed cross section will be assumed to have the same form as a rigid-jointed frame whose joint displacements are the same as the joint displacements of the cross section. With stations (0) and (5) having no displacements in the plane of the cross section, and considering the required antisymmetry of the deformation, the above assumption leaves only two degrees of freedom for the deformation of the cross section in its own plane. That is, the displacements in the plane of the cross section can be represented as a superposition of the displacements associated with each of two component frame-deformation modes. $\dagger$

The two frame-deformation modes selected in the present analysis are shown in figure $4(\mathrm{c})$. The first is obtained by imposing vertical displacements of amount $v_{1}(z)$ upward at joint (1) and downward at joint (4), while joint (2) is constrained to slide parallel to line 1-2 and joint (3) is similarly constrained to slide parallel to line $3-4$. These sliding displacements must be $\mathrm{v}_{1} \sin \theta$, as shown in figure 4(c), in order to satisfy the inextensibility assumption. The second component mode of cross-sectional deformation is that obtained by displacing joint (2) an amount $v_{2}(z)$ perpendicular to line 1-2 and foint (3) a like amount perpendicular to line 3-4, while joints (1) and (4) are constrained to remain undisplaced. In both component modes the interior joints are permitted complete freedom of rotation, and the edge joints (0) and (5) are either hinged or clamped, depending on which of the two kinds of external restraint conditions is being considered along the trough lines (see INTRODUCTION).

Thus the displacements in the plane of the cross section are fully defined by two unknown functions of $z: v_{1}(z)$ and $v_{2}(z)$.
*The experiment does not correspond exactly to the present analysis because of the interference which is evidently present between the end of the corrugation and the transverse member to which it is attached. However the photograph does serve to show the large magnitude of the flexural deformations that can occur when the ends are not continuously attached.
†The assumptions made here about the deformation of a cross section in its own plane are the same as in reference 2, except that it is evident from figure 10 of reference 2 that the joint displacements are being allowed only one degree of freedom there instead of the two which they naturally possess.

Middle-surface extensional strains. - In consequence of the assumptions discussed above regarding longitudinal displacements, and utilizing the coordinate system of figure $4(a)$, the $z$-wise displacements $u$ for all points of the middle surface can be expressed in terms of $u_{0}, u_{1}(z)$ and $u_{2}(z)$. The results are shown in the column headed " $u$ " of table 1 . The corresponding extensional strains $\varepsilon$ are obtained

TABLE 1. - LONGITUDINAL DISPLACEMENTS AND STRAINS

| Plate element | Displacement, $u$ | Strain, $\varepsilon$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01 | $u_{0}+\frac{s_{1}}{e}\left(u_{1}-u_{0}\right)$ | $\frac{s_{1}}{e} \frac{d u_{1}}{d z} \equiv \varepsilon_{1}$ |
| 23 | $u_{1}+\frac{s_{2}}{k}\left(u_{2}-u_{1}\right)$ | $\frac{d u_{1}}{d z}+\frac{s_{2}}{k}\left(\frac{d u_{2}}{d z}-\frac{d u_{1}}{d z}\right) \equiv \varepsilon_{2}$ |
| $u_{2}\left(1-\frac{2 s_{3}}{f}\right)$ | $\frac{d u_{2}}{d z}\left(1-\frac{2 s_{3}}{f}\right) \equiv \varepsilon_{3}$ |  |

by differentiating these displacements with respect to $z$. The results are given in the last column of table 1 . Because of the antisymmetry of the longitudinal strains with respect to the midpoint of plate element 23 , it suffices to consider explicitly only the three plate elements listed in the table.

Middle-surface shear strains. - The shear strains of the middle surface of the plate elements of the corrugation arise from both the longitudinal displacements and the displacements in the plane of the cross section. In view of the assumption that $u$ varies linearly between stations and the assumption of inextensional crosssectional deformations, for a given $z$ the shear strain will be constant across the width of any plate element. Considering the longitudinal displacements of figure 4 (b) and the cross-sectional displacements of figure 4(c), one arrives at the shear strains $\gamma$ shown in table 2.

TABLE 2. - SHEAR STRAINS

| Plate element | Shear strain, $\gamma$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 01 | $\frac{u_{1}-u_{0}}{e} \equiv \gamma_{1}$ |
| 23 | $\frac{u_{2}-u_{1}}{k}+\frac{d}{d z}\left(v_{1} \sin \theta\right) \equiv \gamma_{2}$ |
| $-\frac{2 u_{2}}{f}+\frac{d}{d z}\left(v_{1} \sin \theta \cos \theta\right)+\frac{d}{d z}\left(v_{2} \sin \theta\right) \equiv \gamma_{2}$ |  |

Rates of twist of the plate elements. - It is expected that the twisting of the plate elements will make only a minor contribution to the total strain energy, as long as the length of the corrugation is several times the pitch. Therefore, in computing the strain energy due to twisting of a plate element it is considered sufficiently accurate to base this strain energy calculation on an overall rate of twist computed from the displacements of the longitudinal edges of the element rather than on the detailed variation of the rate of twist across the width of the element. For example, the rate of twist of the plate element 01 will be taken as $d\left(v_{1} / e\right) / d z$, in accordance with the edge displacements shown in figure 4 (c) for this plate element. The rates of twist $\phi$ obtained in this way are shown in table 3.

## TABLE 3. - RATES OF TWIST

| Plate element | Rate of twist, $\phi$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 012 | $\frac{d}{d z}\left(\frac{v_{1}}{e}\right) \equiv \phi_{I}$ |
| 23 | $-\frac{d}{d z}\left(\frac{v_{2}}{k}\right)-\frac{d}{d z}\left(\frac{v_{1} \cos \theta}{k}\right) \equiv \phi_{2}$ |
| $-\frac{d}{d z}\left(\frac{2 v_{1} \sin ^{2} \theta}{f}\right)+\frac{d}{d z}\left(\frac{2 v_{2} \cos \theta}{f}\right) \equiv \phi_{3}$ |  |

Strain energy components. - On the basis of the assumptions discussed above regarding the deformations, it is now possible to write expressions for the following strain-energy components: (a) middle-surface extension, (b) middle-surface shear, (c) twisting of the plate elements, and (d) frame bending of the cross sections. Expressions are developed below for the density (i.e., strain energy per unit length of corrugation) of each of these components.
(a) Middle-surface extension: The strain-energy density of middle-surface extension can be obtained from the expressions for $\varepsilon$ in table 1 in conjunction with the assumption that the associated longitudinal normal stress $\sigma$ is related to $\varepsilon$ by the uniaxial expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma=E^{\prime} \varepsilon \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E^{\prime}$ is Young's modulus.* The following expression is thus obtained for the extensional strain energy per unit length of corrugation:

$$
\begin{align*}
U_{e x t} & =2 \int_{0}^{e} \frac{1}{2} \sigma_{1} \varepsilon_{1} t d s_{1}+2 \int_{0}^{k} \frac{1}{2} \sigma_{2} \varepsilon_{2} t d s_{2}+\int_{0}^{f} \frac{1}{2} \sigma_{3} \varepsilon_{3} t d s_{3} \\
& =E^{\prime} t\left[\int_{0}^{e} \varepsilon_{1}{ }^{2} d s_{1}+\int_{0}^{k} \varepsilon_{2}{ }^{2} d s_{2}+\frac{1}{2} \int_{c}^{f} \varepsilon_{3}{ }^{2} d s_{3}\right] \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

WThe prime on the Young's modulus symbol is merely a tracer to distinguish this Young's modulus, associated with extension, from another Young's modulus associated with flexure, which will be introduced shortly and denoted by E.
where the subscripts $1,2,3$ denote the plate elements $01,12,23$ respectively. Substituting for $\varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}$ and $\varepsilon_{3}$ the expressions from table 1 , and carrying out the integrations, one obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{U}_{\text {ext }}=\mathrm{b}_{11}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} u_{1}}{\mathrm{dz}}\right)^{2}+2 \mathrm{~b}_{12} \frac{\mathrm{~d} \mathrm{u}_{1}}{\mathrm{dz}} \frac{\mathrm{du}}{2} \mathrm{dz}+\mathrm{b}_{22}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} \mathrm{u}_{2}}{\mathrm{dz}}\right)^{2} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{b}_{11}=E^{\prime} t \mathrm{te} \cdot \frac{1}{3}\left(1+\frac{\mathrm{k}}{\mathrm{e}}\right) \\
& \mathrm{b}_{12}=\frac{1}{6} E^{\prime} t k  \tag{4}\\
& \mathrm{~b}_{22}=\frac{1}{6} E^{\prime} t(f+2 k)
\end{align*}
$$

(b) Middle-surface shear: Assuming the shear stress $\tau$ linearly related to the shear strain $\gamma$ through the elastic law

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau=G \gamma \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G$ is the shear modulus, one obtains the following expression for the strain energy of middle-surface shear per unit length of corrugation:

$$
\begin{align*}
U_{s h} & =2 \cdot \frac{1}{2} \tau_{1} \gamma_{1} t e+2 \cdot \frac{1}{2} \tau_{2} \gamma_{2} t k+\frac{1}{2} \tau_{3} \gamma_{3} t f \\
& =G t\left(\gamma_{1}^{2} e+\gamma_{2}^{2} k+\frac{1}{2} \gamma_{3}^{2} f\right) \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting for $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{3}$ the expressions in table 2, one obtains

$$
\begin{align*}
U_{s h}= & c_{00} u_{0}^{2}+c_{11} u_{1}^{2}+c_{22} u_{2}^{2}+2 c_{01} u_{0} u_{1}+2 c_{12} u_{1} u_{2} \\
& +d_{11} u_{1} \frac{d v_{1}}{d z}+d_{21} u_{2} \frac{d v_{1}}{d z}+d_{22} u_{2} \frac{d v_{2}}{d z} \\
& +e_{11}^{*}\left(\frac{d v_{1}}{d z}\right)^{2}+e_{22}^{*}\left(\frac{d v_{2}}{d z}\right)^{2}+2 e_{12}^{*} \frac{d v_{1}}{d z} \frac{d v_{2}}{d z} \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
c_{00}=G \frac{t}{e} \quad c_{11}=G \frac{t}{e}\left(1+\frac{e}{k}\right)  \tag{8}\\
c_{22}=G\left(\frac{t}{k}+2 \frac{t}{f}\right) \\
c_{01}=-G \frac{t}{e} \quad c_{12}=-G \frac{t}{k}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

(equation continued on next page)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d_{11}=d_{22}=\cdot-2 G t \sin \theta \\
& d_{21}=2 G t \sin \theta(1-\cos \theta) \\
& e_{11}^{*}=G \operatorname{tsin} 2 \theta\left(k+\frac{f}{2} \cos ^{2} \theta\right) \\
& e_{22}^{*}=\frac{1}{2} G \operatorname{tf} \sin ^{2} \theta \\
& e_{12}^{*}=\frac{1}{2} G t f \sin ^{2} \theta \cos \theta
\end{aligned}
$$

(c) Twisting of the plate elements: Corresponding to the rates of twist shown in table 3 and regarding each flat-plate element as a bar of narrow rectangular cross section*, the torques carried by the plate elements 01,12 and 23 are respectively

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{1}=G^{\prime} J_{1} \phi_{1} \quad T_{2}=G^{\prime} J_{2} \phi_{2} \quad T_{3}=G^{\prime} J_{3} \phi_{3} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{1}=\frac{1}{3} \mathrm{et}^{3} \quad \mathrm{~J}_{2}=\frac{1}{3} \mathrm{kt}^{3} \quad J_{3}=\frac{1}{3} \mathrm{ft}^{3} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $G^{\prime}$ denotes the shear modulus. (The prime on $G$ is a tracer introduced so that those terms arising from torsion can easily be distinguished from those arising from middle surface shear.) The total strain energy of twisting (per unit length of corrugation) is therefore

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{tw}} & =2 \cdot \frac{1}{2} T_{1} \phi_{1}+2 \cdot \frac{I}{2} T_{2} \phi_{2}+\frac{1}{2} T_{3} \phi_{3} \\
& =G^{\prime}\left(J_{1} \phi_{1}^{2}+J_{2} \phi_{2}^{2}+\frac{I}{2} J_{3} \phi_{3}^{2}\right) \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

or, substituting the expressions for $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}$ from table 3,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t w}=\bar{e}_{11}\left(\frac{d v_{1}}{d z}\right)^{2}+\bar{e}_{22}\left(\frac{d v_{2}}{d z}\right)^{2}+2 \bar{e}_{12} \frac{d v_{1}}{d z} \frac{d v_{2}}{d z} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{e}_{11}=G^{\prime}\left(\frac{J_{1}}{e^{2}}+\frac{J_{2}}{k^{2}} \cos ^{2} \theta+\frac{2 J_{3}}{f^{2}} \sin ^{4} \theta\right) \\
& \bar{e}_{22}=G^{\prime}\left(\frac{J_{2}}{k^{2}}+\frac{2 J_{3}}{f^{2}} \cos ^{2} \theta\right)  \tag{13}\\
& \bar{e}_{12}=G^{\prime}\left(\frac{J_{2}}{k^{2}} \cos \theta-\frac{2 J_{3}}{f^{2}} \sin ^{2} \theta \cos \theta\right)
\end{align*}
$$

*The same result (eq. (11)) can be derived using plate theory rather than bar theory.
(d) Frame bending of the cross sections: Considering a unit length of corrugation to be a frame whose joint displacements are a superposition of the two modes shown in figure 4 ( c ), one obtains for the strain energy a quadratic expression in $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$. The derivation of this expression is in appendix $A$, and the result is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{b}}=\mathrm{a}_{11} \mathrm{v}_{1}^{2}+2 \mathrm{a}_{12} \mathrm{v}_{1} \mathrm{v}_{2}+\mathrm{a}_{22} \mathrm{v}_{2}^{2} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
a_{11}= & \frac{D}{\beta^{2} e^{3}}\left[A_{11}+A_{22}\left(\frac{e}{k}\right)^{2} \cos ^{2} \theta+4 A_{33}\left(\frac{e}{f}\right)^{2} \sin ^{4} \theta\right. \\
& \left.-A_{12} \frac{e}{k} \cos \theta+2 A_{23} \frac{e}{k} \frac{e}{f} \sin ^{2} \theta \cos \theta-2 A_{13} \frac{e}{f} \sin ^{2} \theta\right] \\
a_{12}= & \frac{D}{\beta^{2} e^{3}}\left[A_{22}\left(\frac{e}{k}\right)^{2} \cos \theta-4 A_{33}\left(\frac{e}{f}\right)^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta \cos \theta\right.  \tag{15}\\
& \left.-\frac{1}{2} A_{12} \frac{e}{k}-A_{23} \frac{e}{k} \frac{e}{f}\left(\cos ^{2} \theta-\sin ^{2} \theta\right)+A_{13} \frac{e}{f} \cos \theta\right] \\
a_{22}= & \frac{D}{B^{2} e^{3}}\left[A_{22}\left(\frac{e}{k}\right)^{2}+4 A_{33}\left(\frac{e}{f}\right)^{2} \cos ^{2} \theta-2 A_{23} \frac{e}{k} \frac{e}{f} \cos \theta\right]
\end{align*}
$$

The quantities $B, A_{11}, A_{22}, A_{33}, A_{12}, A_{23}, A_{13}$ appearing in equations (15) are dimensionless parameters depending on the geometry of the cross section and the edge conditions regarding rotation along the trough lines. They are defined by equations (A8) and (A10) of appendix A. The symbol $\alpha$ appearing in equations (A8) and (A10) is defined as zero if the corrugation is free to rotate along the trough lines and unity if the corrugation is clamped along the trough lines.

The symbol $D$ appearing in equations (15) stands for the frame flexural stiffness of the corrugation per unit width of frame, i.e. per unit length of corrugation. There is bound to be some ambiguity involved in selecting an appropriate value for $D$, inasmuch as the frame bending moments are not truly uniquely determined by the curvatures in the plane of the cross section alone (as was assumed in deriving eq. (14)), but depend also on the longitudinal curvatures or longitudinal bending moments in the plate elements making up the corrugation. Only in the following three cases can it be assumed that the frame bending moments and the frame curvatures are uniquely related: (a) when the longitudinal bending moments are zero or negligible, (b) when Poisson's ratio is zero, and (c) when the longitudinal curvatures of the generators of the corrugation are zero or negligible. In cases (a) and (b) an appropriate assumption for $D$ would be the beam flexural stiffness

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{D}=\frac{1}{12} E t^{3} \tag{16a}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E$ is Young's modulus. In case (c) the appropriate assumption would be the plate flexural stiffness

$$
\begin{equation*}
D=\frac{E t^{3}}{12\left(1-v^{2}\right)} \tag{16b}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $v$ is Poisson's ratio. Of these two candidates, the latter (eq. $16(\mathrm{~b})$ ) is judged to be appropriate for the present analysis, inasmuch as for corrugation lengths several times the pitch one would expect the longitudinal curvatures of the generators to be-small compared to the frame type curvatures of the cross sections*. Therefore the rest of the analysis will be based on equation (16b), but in order to assess the order of magnitude of the uncertainity in the over-all shearing stiffness due to the ambiguity in $D$ a few calculations of shearing stiffness will also be made using equation (16a).

Total strain energy. - Integrating each of the foregoing strain-energy densities over the entire length of a corrugation and summing leads to the following expression for the total strain energy $U$ of a single corrugation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{U}=\int_{-\mathrm{b}}^{\mathrm{b}}\left(\mathrm{U}_{\text {ext }}+\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{sh}}+\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{tw}}+\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{b}}\right) \mathrm{d} z \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{U}_{\text {ext }}, \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{sb}}, \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{tw}}, \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{b}}$ are defined by equations (3), (7), (12), and (14) respectively.

[^0]Total potential energy. - The prescribed shearing forces $F$ along the sides of a corrugation acquire a potential energy of $-F \cdot 2 u_{0}$ due to the total longitudinal displacement $2 \mathrm{u}_{0}$ of one side with respect to the other. Adding this to the above strain energy $U$ gives the following total potential energy (TPE) of a single corrugation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { TPE }=-2 \mathrm{Fu}_{0}+U \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Minimization of the TPE. - The TPE as defined by equation (18) is a functional of $u_{0}, u_{1}(z), u_{2}(z), v_{1}(z), v_{2}(z)$. In accordance with the method of minimum total potential energy (ref. 4) the "best" values of these quantities will be those which minimize the TPE. To find these best values, the technique of variational calculus may be used to form the first variation of the TPE with respect to variations in $u_{0}, u_{1}(z), \ldots, v_{2}(z)$ and equate it to zero. This will lead to a system of field equations (primarily differential equations) and boundary conditions defining $u_{0}$, $u_{1}(z), \ldots, v_{2}(z)$.

The details of this procedure are given in appendix $B$. The resulting field equations, equations (B9) and (B8) of appendix B, are given again here for convenience:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{b}_{11} \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2} \mathrm{u}_{1}}{\mathrm{dz}^{2}}+\mathrm{b}_{12} \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2} \mathrm{u}_{2}}{\mathrm{dz}^{2}}-\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~d}_{11} \frac{\mathrm{dv} \mathrm{v}_{1}}{\mathrm{dz}}-\mathrm{c}_{11} \mathrm{u}_{1}-\mathrm{c}_{12} \mathrm{u}_{2}=\mathrm{c}_{01} \mathrm{u}_{0} \\
& b_{12} \frac{d^{2} u_{1}}{d z^{2}}+b_{22} \frac{d^{2} u_{2}}{d z^{2}}-\frac{1}{2} d_{21} \frac{\mathrm{dv}_{1}}{d z}-\frac{1}{2} d_{22} \frac{d v_{2}}{d z}-c_{12} \mathrm{u}_{1}-c_{22} \mathrm{u}_{2}=0 \\
& \left.e_{11} \frac{d^{2} v_{1}}{d z^{2}}+e_{12} \frac{d^{2} v_{2}}{d z^{2}}+\frac{1}{2} d_{11} \frac{d u_{1}}{d z}+\frac{1}{2} d_{21} \frac{d u_{2}}{d z}-a_{11} v_{1}-a_{12} v_{2}=0\right\}  \tag{19}\\
& e_{12} \frac{d^{2} v_{1}}{d z^{2}}+e_{22} \frac{d^{2} v_{2}}{d z^{2}}+\frac{1}{2} d_{22} \frac{d u_{2}}{d z}-a_{12} v_{1}-a_{22} v_{2}=0
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 c_{00} \mathrm{u}_{0}^{\mathrm{b}}+\mathrm{c}_{01} \int_{-\mathrm{b}}^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{u}_{1} \mathrm{~d} z=F \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Different sets of boundary conditions are obtained according to the nature of the end attachment. If there are point attachments at the ends of the trough lines only (fig. $3(a)$ ) the boundary conditions at $z= \pm b$ are

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{d u_{1}}{d z}=0 \quad, \quad \frac{d u_{2}}{d z}=0  \tag{21}\\
2 e_{11} \frac{d v_{1}}{d z}+2 e_{12} \frac{d v_{2}}{d z}+d_{11} u_{1}+d_{21} u_{2}=0 \\
2 e_{12} \frac{d v_{1}}{d z}+2 e_{22} \frac{d v_{2}}{d z}+d_{22} u_{2}=0 \tag{22}
\end{gather*}
$$

If the attachments at the ends of the trough lines are wide as idealized in figure 3 c, it is only necessary to replace the first of equations (22) by the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{1}=0 \tag{22'}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, if the attachments are as shown in figure 3 b , namely point attachments at the ends of both the crest lines and the trough lines, the boundary conditions are

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{d u_{1}}{d z}=0 \quad, \quad \frac{d u_{2}}{d z}=0  \tag{23}\\
v_{1} \cos \theta+v_{2}=0  \tag{24}\\
2\left(e_{11}-e_{12} \cos \theta\right) \frac{d v_{1}}{d z}+2\left(e_{12}-e_{22} \cos \theta\right) \frac{d v_{2}}{d z}+d_{11} u_{1}+\left(d_{21}-d_{22} \cos \theta\right) u_{2}=0
\end{gather*}
$$

As discussed in appendix $B$, these boundary conditions have physical interpretations. The physical interpretation of equation (22') is obvious. Equations (21) and (23) express the vanishing of normal stresses at the ends of the corrugation. Equations (22) express the vanishing of certain effective middle-plane shearing forces at the ends of the inclined plate elements (12 and 34 in fig. 4) and the horizontal plate element (23) forming the crest. Equation (24) expresses the constraint against horizontal displacement furnished by an attachment at the end of the crest line, and equation (25) the vanishing of the resultant equivalent middle-plane shear at the ends of the inclined plate elements (12 and 34).

Solution of the equations. - Fundamentally the solution of the problem consists of solving equations (19) for $u_{1}(z), u_{2}(z), v_{1}(z), v_{2}(z)$ in terms of $u_{0}$, subject to the appropriate set of boundary conditions. The solution for $u_{1}(z)$ is then substituted in equation (20), which then gives $u_{0}$ in terms of $F$ or $F$ in terms of $u_{0}$.

The equations involved are linear with constant coefficients, so the procedure just described can be carried out in a straight-forward manner. The full details of the solution are in appendix $C$, and only the main features of the solution (those needed for computational purposes) will be given here.

The numerical realization of the solution requires first that the following characteristic equation be solved for its eight roots $R=R_{1}$, $\mathrm{R}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{R}_{8}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[k_{80}+k_{82}\left(\frac{t}{e}\right)^{2}+k_{84}\left(\frac{t}{e}\right)^{4}\right] R^{8}+\left[k_{60}+k_{62}\left(\frac{t}{e}\right)^{2}+k_{64}\left(\frac{t}{e}\right)^{4}\right] R^{6}} \\
& +\left[k_{42}\left(\frac{t}{e}\right)^{2}+k_{44}\left(\frac{t}{e}\right)^{4}\right] R^{4}+\left[k_{22}\left(\frac{t}{e}\right)^{2}+k_{24}\left(\frac{t}{e}\right)^{4}\right] R^{2} \\
& +\left[k_{04}\left(\frac{t}{e}\right)^{4}\right]=0 \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

where $k_{80}, k_{82}$. etc. are functions of the elastic constants and crosssectional shape (but not thickness) defined by equations (C11), (C12) and (C13). Calculations show that four of the roots are complex and four real; from this and the fact that only even powers of $R$ appear in equation (26), it follows that. the eight roots can be represented in the form

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{U}+\mathrm{iV} & \mathrm{R}_{5}=\mathrm{X} \\
\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{iV} & \mathrm{R}_{6}=-\mathrm{X} \\
\mathrm{R}_{3}=-\mathrm{U}+\mathrm{iV} & \mathrm{R}_{7}=\mathrm{Y}  \tag{27}\\
\mathrm{R}_{4}=-\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{iV} & \mathrm{R}_{8}=-Y
\end{array}
$$

where $U, U, X$ and $Y$ are real numbers, and $i=\sqrt{-1}$.
When $t / e$ is small, the values of the eight roots can be computed from the following power series expansions:

$$
\begin{align*}
& R=\left(\frac{t}{e}\right)^{1 / 2}\left[c_{0}+c_{1} \frac{t}{e}+c_{2}\left(\frac{t}{e}\right)^{2}+\ldots\right]  \tag{28}\\
& R=q_{0}+q_{2}\left(\frac{t}{e}\right)^{2}+q_{4}\left(\frac{t}{e}\right)^{4}+\ldots  \tag{29}\\
& R=p_{1} \frac{t}{e}+p_{3}\left(\frac{t}{e}\right)^{3}+p_{5}\left(\frac{t}{e}\right)^{5}+\ldots \tag{30}
\end{align*}
$$

where the coefficients $c_{0}, c_{1}, \ldots, q_{0}, q_{2}, \ldots, p_{1}, p_{3}, \ldots$ are defined by equations (C21), (C19) and (C20). Equations (C21) give four sets of complex values for $c_{0}, c_{1}, \ldots$, and therefore equation (28) generates the four complex roots $R_{1}, R_{2}, R_{3}, R_{4}$. Equation (C19) gives two sets of real values of $q_{0}, q_{2}, \ldots$; the two real roots thus resulting from equation (29) will be identified with $R_{5}$ and $R_{6}$. Similarly equations (C20) yield two sets of real values for $P_{1}, P_{3}, . .$. ; thus equation (30) yields two real roots, which will be identified with $R_{7}$ and $R_{8}$.

With $U, V, X$ and $Y$ known, the displacements can be computed in terms of $u_{0}$ from the following equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{u_{1}(z)}{u_{0}}=\zeta_{1}+\left(\frac{\overline{\bar{A}_{1}}}{u_{0}}\right) \cosh \frac{U z}{e} \cos \frac{V z}{e}+\left(\frac{\overline{\bar{A}_{4}}}{u_{0}}\right) \sinh \frac{U z}{e} \sin \frac{V z}{e} \\
& +\left(\frac{\overline{\overline{\bar{A}_{5}}}}{\mathrm{u}_{0}}\right) \cosh \frac{\mathrm{X}_{z}}{\mathrm{e}}+\left(\frac{\overline{\overline{\mathrm{A}}_{7}}}{\mathrm{u}_{0}}\right) \cosh \frac{Y z}{\mathrm{e}}  \tag{31}\\
& \frac{u_{2}(z)}{u_{0}}=\zeta_{2}+\left[\left(\frac{\overline{\bar{A}_{1}}}{\bar{u}_{0}}\right) P^{B}+\left(\frac{\overline{\bar{A}_{4}}}{u_{0}}\right) Q^{B}\right] \cosh \frac{U z}{e} \cos \frac{V z}{e} \\
& +\left[\left(\frac{\overline{\bar{A}}_{4}}{\mathrm{u}_{0}}\right) P^{B}-\left(\frac{\overline{\bar{A}}_{1}}{\mathrm{u}_{0}}\right) Q^{B}\right] \sinh \frac{\mathrm{U} z}{\mathrm{e}} \sin \frac{\mathrm{Vz}}{\mathrm{e}} \\
& +\left(\frac{\overline{\bar{A}}_{5}}{\mathrm{u}_{0}}\right) \mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{B}} \cosh \frac{\mathrm{X} z}{\mathrm{e}}+\left(\frac{\overline{\bar{A}_{7}}}{\mathrm{u}_{0}}\right) \mathrm{T}^{\mathrm{B}} \cosh \frac{\mathrm{Y} z}{\mathrm{e}}  \tag{32}\\
& \frac{v_{1}(z)}{u_{0}}=\left[\left(\frac{\overline{\bar{A}}_{4}}{u_{0}}\right) P^{C}-\left(\frac{\overline{\overline{A_{1}}}}{\mathrm{u}_{0}}\right) Q^{C}\right] \cosh \frac{\mathrm{Uz}}{\mathrm{e}} \sin \frac{\mathrm{Vz}}{\mathrm{e}} \\
& +\left[\left(\frac{\overline{\bar{A}}_{1}}{u_{0}}\right) P^{C}+\left(\frac{\overline{\bar{A}}_{4}}{u_{0}}\right) Q C\right] \sinh \frac{U z}{e} \cos \frac{V z}{e} \\
& +\left(\frac{\overline{\mathrm{A}}_{5}}{\mathrm{u}_{0}}\right) \mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{C}} \sinh \frac{\mathrm{Xz}}{\mathrm{e}}+\left(\frac{\overline{\bar{A}}_{7}}{\mathrm{u}_{0}}\right) \mathrm{T}^{\mathrm{C}} \sinh \frac{Y z}{\mathrm{e}} \tag{33}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{v_{2}(z)}{u_{0}}= & {\left[\left(\frac{\overline{\bar{A}}_{4}}{u_{0}}\right) P^{D}-\left(\frac{\overline{\bar{A}_{1}}}{u_{0}}\right) Q^{D}\right] \cosh \frac{U z}{e} \sin \frac{V z}{e} } \\
& +\left[\left(\frac{\overline{\bar{A}}_{1}}{u_{0}}\right) P^{D}+\left(\frac{\overline{\bar{A}}_{4}}{u_{0}}\right) Q^{D}\right] \sinh \frac{U z}{e} \cos \frac{V z}{e} \\
& +\left(\frac{\bar{A}_{5}}{u_{0}}\right) S^{D} \sinh \frac{X z}{e}+\left(\frac{\overline{\bar{A}}_{7}}{u_{0}}\right) T^{D} \sinh \frac{Y z}{e} \tag{34}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\zeta_{1}$ and $\zeta_{2}$ are defined by equations (C2); $P^{B, C, D}, Q^{B, C, D}, S^{B, C, D}$, and $T^{B, C, D}$ are obtained by solving equations $(C 27)$ for $j=1,5$ and 7 and noting equations (C29) ; and $\frac{\overline{\bar{F}}_{1}}{\bar{u}_{0}}, \frac{\overline{\bar{A}}_{4}}{u_{0}}, \frac{\overline{\bar{A}}_{5}}{u_{0}}, \frac{\overline{\bar{A}_{1}}}{u_{0}}$ are obtained by solving equations (C33) if there are point attachments at the ends of the trough lines only, (C38) if there are point attachments at the ends of the trough lines and the crest lines, or (C38') if there are wide attachments at the ends of the trough lines only.

Relationship between F and $\mathrm{u}_{0}$. - The above results give the displacements in terms of $u_{0}$, which is one half of the relative shearing displacement of the two sides of the corrugation. In order to determine the displacements resulting from prescribed shearing forces $F$ rather than prescribed shearing displacement, it is necessary to know the relationship between $F$ and $u_{0}$. This relationship is given by the following equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{F}{2 u_{0}}=\frac{G t b}{e} \psi \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\psi=1 & -\zeta_{1}-\frac{e}{b}\left[\left(\frac{\overline{\bar{A}}}{\overline{u_{0}}} \sinh \frac{U b}{e}\right) \frac{U \widehat{S C}+V \widehat{C S}}{U^{2}+V^{2}}+\left(\frac{\overline{\bar{A}}}{4}\right.\right. \\
u_{0} & \left.\sinh \frac{U b}{e}\right) \frac{U \widehat{C S}-V \widehat{S C}}{U^{2}+V^{2}}  \tag{36}\\
& \left.+\left(\frac{\bar{A}_{5}}{u_{0}} \sinh \frac{b X}{e}\right) \frac{1}{X}+\left(\frac{\bar{A}_{7}}{u_{0}} \sinh \frac{b Y}{e}\right) \frac{1}{Y}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

with $\widehat{S C}$ and Cs defined by equations (C35).
Equation (35) gives the overall shearing stiffness of the corrugation. It is of interest to compare this shearing stiffness with certain other shearing stiffnesses. For example, if there were continuous attachment at the corrugation ends capable of producing uniform middle surface shear strain throughout the corrugation, that shear strain would be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma^{\prime}=\frac{2 u_{0}}{2 e+2 k+f} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $2 e+2 k+f$ is the developed width of the corrugation. The corresponding shear force would be

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{\prime}=G t \cdot 2 b \gamma^{\prime} \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the corresponding overall shear stiffness would be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{F^{\prime}}{2 u_{0}}=\frac{2 G t b \gamma^{\prime}}{2 u_{0}}=\frac{G t b}{e+k+\frac{1}{2} f} \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

The ratio $\Omega$ of the shear stiffness $F / 2 u_{0}$ of the actual corrugation (eq. (35)) to the shear stiffness $\mathrm{F}^{\top} / 2 \mathrm{u}_{0}$ of the hypothetical continuously attached corrugation (eq. (39)) is thus given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega=\left(1+\frac{k}{e}+\frac{1}{2} \frac{f}{e}\right) \psi \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

A second kind of relative shearing stiffness can be obtained by comparing the stiffness of the actual corrugation (eq. (35)) with that of a uniformly sheared flat plate having the same thickness $t$, the same width $P$, and the same length $2 b$. Such a flat plate with a relative shearing displacement of $2 \mathrm{u}_{0}$ between its edges would require a shearing force of

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{\prime \prime}=G t \cdot 2 b \cdot \frac{2 u_{0}}{p} \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

whence its overall shearing stiffness would be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{F^{\prime \prime}}{2 u_{0}}=\frac{2 G t b}{p} \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the ratio $\Omega^{\prime}$ of the stiffness of the actual corrugation to that of the hypothetical flat plate is

$$
\begin{align*}
\Omega^{\prime} & =\frac{p}{2 e} \cdot \psi \\
& =\left(1+\frac{k}{e} \cos \theta+\frac{1}{2} \frac{f}{e}\right) \psi \tag{43}
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, the shearing stiffness of the corrugated plate can also be described in terms of an effective shear modulus in the following way: The average shear stress ${ }^{\tau}$ av along an edge of the corrugation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{a v}=\frac{F}{2 b t} \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the overall shear strain of the corrugation will be taken as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{a v}=\frac{2 u_{0}}{p} \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Defining an effective shear modulus $G_{\text {eff }}$ as $\tau_{a v} / \gamma_{a v}$, it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
G_{e f f} & =\frac{F}{2 b t} \frac{P}{2 u_{0}}=\frac{p}{2 b t} \frac{F}{2 u_{0}} \\
& =\frac{p}{2 b t} \cdot \frac{G t b}{e} \psi \\
& =G \cdot \frac{p}{2 e} \psi \\
& =G \Omega \tag{46}
\end{align*}
$$

Stresses. - The displacements $u_{1}(z), u_{2}(z), v_{1}(z), v_{2}(z)$ are the key to the computation of the stresses in the corrugation. The equations for computing the stresses will now be given. In order to avoid lengthy expressions, the following shorthand notation will be employed:

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{A}_{1} & \equiv \frac{\overline{\bar{A}}_{1}}{u_{0}} \sinh \frac{U b}{e} \\
\tilde{A}_{4} & \equiv \frac{\overline{\bar{A}}_{4}}{u_{0}} \sinh \frac{U b}{e} \\
\tilde{A}_{5} & \equiv \frac{\overline{\bar{A}}_{5}}{u_{0}} \sinh \frac{X b}{e}  \tag{47}\\
\tilde{A}_{7} & \equiv \frac{\overline{\bar{A}}_{7}}{u_{0}} \sinh \frac{Y b}{e} \\
f_{s s}(z) & \equiv \sinh \frac{U z}{e} \sin \frac{V z}{e} \\
f_{s c}(z) & \equiv \sinh \frac{U z}{\mathrm{e}} \cos \frac{V z}{\mathrm{e}} \\
f_{c s}(z) & \equiv \cosh \frac{U z}{e} \sin \frac{V z}{e}  \tag{48}\\
f_{c c}(z) & \equiv \cosh \frac{U z}{e} \cos \frac{V z}{e}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{s X}(z) \equiv \sinh \frac{X_{z}}{e} \\
& f_{s Y}(z) \equiv \sinh \frac{Y Z}{e} \\
& f_{c X}(z) \equiv \cosh \frac{X z}{e}  \tag{49}\\
& \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{cY}}(\mathrm{z}) \equiv \cosh \frac{\mathrm{Yz}}{\mathrm{e}} \\
& s_{U} \quad \equiv \sinh \frac{\mathrm{Ub}}{\mathrm{e}} \\
& s_{X} \quad \equiv \sinh \frac{X b}{e}  \tag{50}\\
& s_{Y} \quad \equiv \sinh \frac{Y b}{e} \\
& \tilde{V}_{1}^{\prime}(z) \equiv\left(\tilde{A}_{4} P^{C}-\tilde{A}_{1} Q^{C}\right) \frac{U f_{s s}+V f_{c C}}{s_{U}}+\left(\tilde{A}_{1} P^{C}+\tilde{A}_{4} Q^{C}\right) \frac{U f_{c \mathrm{C}}-V f_{s s}}{s_{U}} \\
& +\tilde{A}_{5} S^{C}{ }^{C} \frac{{ }^{f}{ }_{c X}}{s_{X}}+\tilde{A}_{7} T^{C}{ }_{Y} \frac{f_{c Y}}{S_{Y}}  \tag{51}\\
& \tilde{V}_{2}^{\prime}(z) \equiv\left(\tilde{A}_{4} P^{D}-\tilde{A}_{1} Q^{D}\right) \frac{U f_{s s}+V f_{c c}}{s_{U}}+\left(\tilde{A}_{1} P^{D}+\tilde{A}_{4} Q^{D}\right) \frac{U f_{c c}-V f_{s s}}{s_{U}} \\
& +\tilde{A}_{5} s^{D} X \frac{f_{c X}}{s_{X}}+\tilde{A}_{7}{ }^{T}{ }^{D} \frac{f_{c Y}}{s_{Y}} \tag{52}
\end{align*}
$$

The longitudinal normal stress ${ }^{\circ}$ (1) along junction (1) (see fig. 4(a)) is then given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{\sigma}(1)=E^{\prime} \frac{\mathrm{du}_{1}}{\mathrm{dz}} \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

whence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{{ }^{\sigma} \bigotimes^{e}}{E^{\prime} u_{0}}=\tilde{A}_{1} \frac{U f_{s c}-V f_{c s}}{s_{U}}+\tilde{A}_{4} \frac{U f_{c s}+V f_{s c}}{s_{U}}+\tilde{A}_{5} \frac{X f_{s X}}{s_{X}}+\tilde{A}_{7} \frac{Y f_{s Y}}{s_{Y}} \tag{53a}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly the longitudinal normal stress ${ }^{\circ}$ (2) along junction (2) is given in non-dimensional form by

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\left.\sigma_{(2}\right)^{e}}{E^{\prime} u_{0}}= & \left(\tilde{A}_{1} P^{B}+\tilde{A}_{4} Q^{B}\right) \frac{U f_{s c}-V f_{c s}}{s_{U}}+\left(\tilde{A}_{4} P^{B}-\tilde{A}_{1} Q^{B}\right) \frac{U f_{c s}+V f_{s c}}{s_{U}} \\
& +\tilde{A}_{5} \frac{s^{B} X \cdot f_{s X}}{s_{X}}+\tilde{A}_{7} \frac{T^{B} Y f_{s Y}}{s_{Y}} \tag{53b}
\end{align*}
$$

The middle-surface shear stresses in the plate elements making up the corrugation can be obtained with the aid of table 2. These stresses are denoted by $\tau_{01}, \tau_{12}$, and $\tau_{23}$ for the plate elements 01,12 , and 23 respectively, and the following non-dimensional measures are obtained for them:

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{{ }^{\tau}{ }_{01} e}{G u_{0}}= & \zeta_{1}-1+\tilde{A}_{1} \frac{f_{c c}}{s_{U}}+\tilde{A}_{4} \frac{f_{S S}}{s_{U}}+\tilde{A}_{5} \frac{f_{c X}}{s_{X}}+\tilde{A}_{7} \frac{f_{c Y}}{s_{Y}}  \tag{54a}\\
\frac{{ }^{\tau} 1_{2}{ }^{e}}{G u_{0}}= & \frac{e}{k}\left(\zeta_{2}-\zeta_{1}+\left[\tilde{A}_{1}\left(P^{B}-1\right)+\tilde{A}_{4} Q^{B}\right] \frac{f_{c c}}{s_{U}}\right. \\
& +\left[\tilde{A}_{4}\left(P^{B}-1\right)-\tilde{A}_{1} Q^{B}\right] \frac{f_{s S}}{s_{U}} \\
& \left.+\tilde{A}_{5}\left(S^{B}-1\right) \frac{f_{c X}}{s_{X}}+\tilde{A}_{7}\left(T^{B}-1\right) \frac{f_{c Y}}{s_{Y}}\right\}+\tilde{v}_{1}^{\prime} \sin \theta \tag{54b}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\frac{{ }^{\tau} 23^{e}}{G u_{0}}=-2 \frac{e}{f}\left[\zeta_{2}+\left(\tilde{A}_{1} P^{B}+\tilde{A}_{4} Q^{B}\right) \frac{f_{c c}}{s_{U}}+\left(\tilde{A}_{4} P^{B}-\tilde{A}_{1} Q^{B}\right) \frac{f_{s S}}{s_{U}}\right.
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.+\tilde{A}_{5} S^{B} \frac{f_{C X}}{s_{X}}+\tilde{A}_{7} T^{B} \frac{f_{C Y}}{s_{Y}}\right]+\tilde{V}_{1} ' \sin \theta \cos \theta+\tilde{V}_{2}^{\prime} \sin \theta \tag{54c}
\end{equation*}
$$

The rates of twist of the plate elements are given in table 3. The extreme-fiber shearing stresses due to these rates of twist will be denoted by $\tau_{0}^{\prime} 1$, $\tau 12$, $\tau_{2}^{\prime} 3$ for plate elements 01,12 and 23 respectively. They can be obtained by multiplying the corresponding rate of twist in table 3 by G't (ref. 5). The resulting expressions are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{{ }^{\tau}{ }_{01}^{\prime} e}{G^{\prime} u_{0}}=\frac{t}{e} \tilde{V}_{1}^{\prime}  \tag{55a}\\
& \frac{\tau_{12}^{\prime} e}{G^{\prime} u_{0}}=-\frac{t}{k}\left(\tilde{V}_{2}^{\prime}+\tilde{V}_{1}^{\prime} \cos \theta\right)  \tag{55b}\\
& \frac{\tau_{23}^{\prime} e}{G^{\prime} u_{0}}=-2 \frac{t}{f}\left(\tilde{V}_{1}^{\prime} \sin ^{2} \theta-\tilde{V}_{2}^{\prime} \cos \theta\right) \tag{55c}
\end{align*}
$$

The bending moments and stresses associated with frame-like deformations of the cross sections are now considered. At a given cross section these bending moments vary linearly between junction points, in consequence of the assumptions made at the outset, and therefore only the bending moments at the junctions need be evaluated. Referring to figure 8, the bending moments of interest are seen to be $M_{01}, M_{12}$ and $M_{23}$ at junctions 0,1 and 2 respectively. These bending moments are given by equations (A1), with $\theta_{1}$ and $\theta_{2}$ defined by equations (A7) and $\Delta_{1}, \Delta_{2}, \Delta_{3}$ defined in table Al. Multiplying the bending moments by $6 / t^{2}$, one obtains the corresponding extreme-fiber bending stresses, which will be denoted by $\sigma^{\prime}$, $\sigma^{\prime}$ and $\sigma_{(2)}^{\prime}$ respectively and will be positive for compression in the upper fibers. The resulting equations for these stresses, in dimensionless form, are

where $v_{1} / u_{0}$ and $v_{2} / u_{0}$ are given by equations (33) and (34), and the $g_{i j}$ matrix elements are defined as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
g_{11}= & \frac{\alpha}{\beta}\left(a_{1}-a_{2} \frac{e}{k} \cos \theta-2 a_{3} \frac{e}{f} \sin ^{2} \theta\right)+3 \alpha \\
g_{12}= & \frac{\alpha}{\beta}\left(-a_{2} \frac{e}{k}+2 a_{3} \frac{e}{f} \cos \theta\right) \\
g_{21}= & \frac{1}{\beta} \frac{e}{k}\left[2 a_{1}+b_{1}-\left(2 a_{2}+b_{2}\right) \frac{e}{k} \cos \theta-\left(4 a_{3}+2 b_{3}\right) \frac{e}{f} \sin ^{2} \theta\right] \\
& -3\left(\frac{e}{k}\right)^{2} \cos \theta  \tag{57}\\
g_{22}= & \frac{1}{\beta} \frac{e}{k}\left[-\left(2 a_{2}+b_{2}\right) \frac{e}{k}+\left(4 a_{3}+2 b_{3}\right) \frac{e}{f} \cos \theta\right]-3\left(\frac{e}{k}\right)^{2} \\
g_{31}= & \frac{3}{\beta} \frac{e}{f}\left(b_{1}-b_{2} \frac{e}{k} \cos \theta-2 b_{3} \frac{e}{f} \sin ^{2} \theta\right)-6\left(\frac{e}{f}\right)^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta \\
g_{32}= & \frac{3}{\beta} \frac{e}{f}\left(-b_{2} \frac{e}{k}+2 b_{3} \frac{e}{f} \cos \theta\right)+6\left(\frac{e}{f}\right)^{2} \cos \theta
\end{align*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{1} \equiv-6(1+\alpha)\left(2 \frac{e}{k}+3 \frac{e}{f}\right) \\
& a_{2} \equiv-12 \frac{e}{k}\left(\frac{e}{k}+3 \frac{e}{f}\right) \\
& a_{3} \equiv 12 \frac{e}{k} \frac{e}{f}  \tag{58}\\
& b_{1} \equiv 6(1+\alpha) \frac{e}{k} \\
& b_{2} \equiv-6 \frac{e}{k}\left(2 \frac{e}{k}+3+\alpha\right) \\
& b_{3} \equiv-6 \frac{e}{f}\left(4 \frac{e}{k}+3+\alpha\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Special cases. - The above results apply to the general case in which none of the dimensions $e, f$ and $k$ is zero (fig. 10a). Two special cases are of interest because they represent limiting geometries obtainable or nearly obtainable in practice. These are the cases $f=0$ and $e=0$ shown in figures 10 b and 10 c .

The analyses for these two cases are contained in appendixes $D$ and $E$ respectively, and only the main results of these analyses will be cited here. In appendixes $D$ and $E$ only the end conditions of figure 3(a) are considered. With e or $f$ approaching zero, the end conditions of figure 3(b) are equivalent to continuous attachment since the deformation of the end cross section in its own plane is then completely suppressed. Similariy with $f$ approaching zero, the end conditions of figure 3(d) are also
equivalent to continuous attachment. With e approaching zero, the end conditions of figure 3(d) are equivalent to those of figure 3(a).

The case $f=0$ leads to a fourth degree (rather than an eighth degree) characteristic equation, (D21), whose four roots (a11 real) have the form (D25). The numerical evaluation of these roots can be done exactly by means of the quadratic formula or approximately by means of the series expansions (D26). With the roots known, equations (D31) give the values of certain constants $\tilde{\gamma}_{1}$ and $\tilde{\gamma}_{3}$. Equations (D33), with $\bar{A}_{1}$ $\bar{A}_{3}$ defined by (D34), then give the displacements $u_{1}(z)$ and $v_{2}(z)$. Equation (D37) is the basic form of the result for shear stiffness; and equations (D40) through (D44) give the stresses.

For the case $e=0$ the characteristic equation, (E16), is also of fourth degree with real roots in the form (E20) having series expansions (E21). A knowledge of the roots permits certain quantities $\hat{\gamma}_{1}$ and $\hat{\gamma}_{3}$ to be evaluated (eq. (E24)). Then the displacements $u_{2}(z)$ and $v_{2}(z)$ can be obtained from equation (E25) with $A_{1} *$ and $A_{3} *$ defined by (E26). Equation (E28) gives the basic shearing stiffness result, and equations (E33) through (E38) give the stresses.

## NUMERICAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The foregoing analysis was used to obtain numerical results on shear stiffness, stresses and deformations for selected geometries and endattachment conditions. Poisson's ratio $\nu$ was taken as 0.3 , $G$ was taken as $E /[2(1+v)]$, and no distinction was made between $E$ and $E^{\prime}$ or $G$ and $G^{\prime}$, except for a special numerical investigation of the effect of torsional stiffness, in which $G^{\prime}$ was set equal to zero.

For the numerical studies, only the case of trough lines free to rotate ( $\alpha=0$ ) was considered, and the cross sections were limited to the case $f=2 e$, that is equal width for the trough and crest plate elements. In varying the cross sections $h / p$ and $f / p$ were taken as independent parameters and assigned the following values:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{p}=.2, .4 \\
& \mathrm{f} / \mathrm{p}=.2, .4, .5
\end{aligned}
$$

Table 4 shows for each combination of $h / p$ and $f / p$ the resulting values of $\theta, k / p, k / f$, and $p^{\prime} / \mathrm{p}$ (ratio of developed width to projected width), and a diagram of the cross section.

Shear stiffness. - Figures 11 through 13 give the basic numerical results for shear stiffness. The results are given in terms of the relative shear stiffness parameter $\Omega$, defined as the ratio of the absolute shear stiffness $F / 2 u_{0}$ of the actual corrugation to that of an identical corrugation with continuous end attachment producing a state of uniform shear (eq. (39)). To convert the relative shear stiffness $\Omega$ into absolute shear stiffness $F / 2 u_{0}$, it is only necessary to multiply $\Omega$ by $\mathrm{Gtb} /(\mathrm{e}+\mathrm{k}+1 / 2 \mathrm{f})$, in accordance with equation (39). That is,

TABLE 4

CROSS-SECTIONAL GEOMETRIES CONSIDERED IN CALCULATIONS


$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{F}}{2 \mathrm{u}_{0}}=\frac{\mathrm{Gtb}}{e+\mathrm{k}+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{f}} \Omega=\frac{\mathrm{Gt} \cdot 2 \mathrm{~b}}{\mathrm{p}^{\prime}} \Omega \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

In these figures $\Omega$ is given as a function of $b t / p^{2}$ for selected values of $h / p$ and $f / p(=2 e / p)$ and two values of $t / p$. Figure 11 is for the case of point attachment at the ends of the trough lines only (fig. 3(a)), figure 12 for the case of point attachment at the ends of both the crest lines and the trough lines (fig. 3(b)), and figure 13 for the case of wide attachments at the ends of the trough lines only (fig. 3(d)).

Figures 14,15 and 16 present the same data as figures 11,12 and 13 , but re-plotted on log-log scales in order to show more clearly the relationship between $\Omega$ and $b t / p^{2}$ in the region of very low $\Omega$ and very high $\Omega$. Each curve has a kink at $\Omega=.5$. To the left of this kink the curve gives $\Omega$ as a function of $\mathrm{bt} / \mathrm{p}^{2}$; to the right of the kink it gives $1-\Omega$ as a function of $\mathrm{bt} / \mathrm{p}^{2}$.

By comparing the dotted and solid curves in each figure, it is seen that $\Omega$ is mainly a function of $\mathrm{bt} / \mathrm{p}^{2}$, i.e. relatively insensitive to $t / p$, with the maximum sensitivity occurring for the case of wide attachments at the ends of the trough lines. The fact that $\Omega$ is mainly a function of $b t / p^{2}$ means that the length (2b) and the thickness ( $t$ ) have approximately equivalent effects in altering the shear stiffness. More precisely, it can be said that a given percentage change in $t$ or the same percentage change in $b$ will produce approximately equal relative changes in the shear stiffness. Observing that the product bt also appears explicitly in the right side of equation (59), it can also be said that the percentage change in the absolute shear stiffness ( $F / 2 u_{0}$ ) will be greater than the percentage change in the relative shear stiffness ( $\Omega$ ) 。

Comparison of figures 11 and 12 (or 14 and 15) shows that, except in the region of very low $\Omega$, there is negligible increase of stiffness by having point attachments at the ends of the crest lines in addition to point attachments at the ends of the trough lines. However, comparison of figures 11 and 13 (or 14 and 16) shows that an appreciable increase of shear stiffness is obtained by changing from point attachments to wide attachments at the ends of the trough lines. This increase is also an upper limit to the increase that can be expected as a result of interference, like that shown in figure 5, between the troughs and the end member to which they are attached.

As is to be expected, figures 11 to 13 (or 14 to 16 ) show that an increase of $h$ or $f$ will lead to a reduction of the relative shear stiffness $\Omega$. Since increasing $h$ or $f$ also increases the developed width $P^{\prime}$, equation (59) shows that the absolute shear stiffness $F / 2 u_{0}$ will experience an even greater reduction, percentage-wise, than the relative shear stiffness $\Omega$.

Displacements and stresses. - Detailed displacement and stress patterns along the length of the corrugation were computed only for one type of end attachment, namely point attachments at the ends of the trough lines (fig. 3(a)). Table 5 shows the geometries considered, as defined by $h / p, f / p, b t / p^{2}$ and $t / p$. As a matter of interest, the length-pitch ratio $2 b / p$ and the relative shear stiffness $\Omega$ of each geometry are also given. The last column of table 5 tells the figure in which the results are plotted.

TABLE 5. - GEOMETRIES CONSIDERED FOR DISPLACEMENT AND STRESS CALCULATIONS

| $\mathrm{h} / \mathrm{p}$ | $\mathrm{f} / \mathrm{p}$ | $\mathrm{bt} / \mathrm{p}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{t} / \mathrm{p}$ | $2 \mathrm{~b} / \mathrm{p}$ | $\Omega$ | Figure |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| .2 | .2 | .02 | .005 | 8 | .2155 | $17(\mathrm{a})$ |
|  |  | .2 | .02 | 2 | .2201 | $17(\mathrm{~b})$ |
|  |  | .005 | 80 | .8928 | $17(\mathrm{c})$ |  |
| .4 | .02 | .005 | 8 | .8933 | $17(\mathrm{~d})$ |  |
|  |  |  | .02 | 2 | .0211 | $17(\mathrm{f})$ |
|  |  | .2 | .005 | 80 | .5954 | $17(\mathrm{~g})$ |
|  |  |  | .02 | 20 | .5960 | $17(\mathrm{~h})$ |

The displacements selected for plotting, and shown as functions of $z / b$ in the lower parts of the figures, are the longitudinal displacements $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ along junctions (1) and (2) (see fig. 4(b)) and the amplitudes $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ of the lateral displacement modes of the cross section (see fig. $4(\mathrm{c})$ ). These displacements are given through the dimensionless parameters $u_{1} / u_{0}, u_{2} / u_{0}, v_{1} / u_{0}$ and $v_{2} / u_{0}$.

It is seen from these figures that for the smaller length-to-pitch ratios ( 8 and 2), the longitudinal displacements $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ are approximately constant along the length and the lateral displacements $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ are very nearly linear in $z$. However it is seen that this behavior does not hold true for the larger length-to-pitch ratios ( 80 and 20). For those, $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ may be approximately constant in the central region but vary sharply near the ends, as in figures 17 (c) and (d), or they may vary markedly over the entire length as in figures $17(g)$ and ( $h$ ). These same figures show that $\mathrm{v}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{v}_{2}$ may be noticeably non-linear in $z$, sometimes being very small in a central region and very large near the ends as in figures 17 (c) and (d).

[^1]Comparing any set of curves for $t / p=.005$ with the corresponding set of curves for $t / p=.02$, one is led to the following simple conclusion regarding the dependence of $v_{1} / u_{0}$ and $v_{2} / u_{0}$ on $t / p$ : All other things ( $h / p, f / p, b t / p^{2}$ ) remaining constant, $v_{1} / u_{0}$ and $v_{2} / u_{0}$ vary inversely as $t / p$. It should be kept in mind, of course, that $b t / p^{2}$ remaining constant implies that $b / p$ must vary inversely with $t / p$ as $t / p$ changes.

The stresses which are plotted in the upper parts of the figures are: the mid-plane shearing stresses $\tau_{01}, \tau_{12}$ and $\tau_{23}$ in the plate elements 01,12 and 23 respectively; the extreme-fiber transverse bending stresses $\sigma$ (1) and $\sigma$ (2) at junctions (1) and (2) respectively; the extreme-fiber shearing stresses ${ }^{\tau} \delta_{1},{ }^{\tau}{ }_{12}$ and $\tau_{23}$, due to torsion only, in the plate elements 01,12 and 23 respectively; and the longitudinal normal stresses $\sigma$ (1) and $\sigma$ (2) at junctions (1) and (2) respectively.

The stresses $\sigma$ (1) and $\sigma$ (2) are positive for tension. The sign conventions for ${ }^{\tau} 01,{ }^{\tau}{ }_{01}$ and $\sigma$ (1) are shown in figure 18 , and the sign conventions for the corresponding stresses in the other plate elements are analogous to those shown in figure 18.

The stresses in figure 17 are given through the dimensionless parameters $\tau_{01} \mathrm{p} / E u_{0}$, etc. These are best suited to the situation in which one wishes to determine the stresses resulting from a prescribed relative shearing displacement $2 u_{0}$. If one wishes instead to determine the stresses resulting from a prescribed shearing force $F$ it would be more convenient to have the stresses given via the dimensionless parameters

$$
\frac{{ }^{\tau} 01}{\left(\frac{F}{2 b t}\right)}, \frac{{ }^{\tau} 02}{\left(\frac{F}{2 b t}\right)}, \text { etc. }
$$

which represent the ratio of the stress in question to the average applied shearing stress. Equation (59) can be used to effect the conversion from one dimensionless stress parameter to the other, as in the following typical example:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{{ }^{\tau} 01}{\left(\frac{F}{2 b t}\right)}=\frac{{ }_{01}}{\left(\frac{2 u_{0} G \Omega}{p^{\prime}}\right)}=\left(\frac{{ }^{\tau} 01}{}{ }^{E u_{0}}\right) \cdot\left(\frac{E}{2 G} \frac{p^{\prime}}{p} \frac{1}{\Omega}\right) \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

This shows that to convert any numerical value of ${ }^{\tau} 01 \mathrm{p} / \mathrm{Eu}_{0}$ into a corresponding numerical value of ${ }^{\tau} 01 /(F / 2 b t)$ it is only necessary to multiply the former by the factor

$$
\frac{E}{2 G} \frac{p^{\prime}}{p} \frac{1}{\Omega}
$$

The conversion equation (60) applies with $\tau_{01}$ replaced by any of the other stresses.

Turning now to the stresses themselves and examining their magnitudes, it is seen that in all the cases investigated the predominant stress is the end value of the extreme-fiber stress $\sigma_{(I)}$ due to frame bending of the cross sections. The next largest stresses are the middle ( $z=0$ ) values of the middle-surface shear stresses $\tau 01, \tau 12$ and $\tau 23$, except in the case of the very short corrugations (figs. 17(b), (e), (f)), when the torsional shear stresses $a t \quad z=b$ may be higher than the middlesurface shear stresses at $z=0$. In these exceptional cases, however, both shear stress maximums are much smaller than the maximum magnitude of $\sigma$ (1) . The maximum value of $\sigma$ (2) is generally much smaller than the maximum (1)

In all cases the longitudinal normal stresses $\sigma$ (1) and $\sigma$ (2) are seen to be of negligible magnitude compared to the maximum value of the transverse bending stress $\sigma$ ( ${ }^{\prime}$. This suggests that the assumption of inextensibility of the generators (rather than inextensionality of the entire middle surface) may be a legitimate simplifying assumption for purposes of analysis.

Examining the variation of the stresses across the corrugation, it is seen that the middle-surface shear stresses $\tau_{01}$, $\tau_{12}$ and $\tau_{23}$ are only slightly different from each other (except near the ends), while the other kinds of stresses do show significant variation across the corrugation.

The variation of the significant stresses along the length of the corrugation seems to correlate with the nature of $v_{1}(z)$ and $v_{2}(z)$. When these lateral displacement components are nearly linear in $z$ (as in figs. $17(\mathrm{a}),(\mathrm{b}),(\mathrm{e}),(\mathrm{f})$ ), the frame bending stresses $\sigma$ (1) and $\sigma$ (2) are also nearly linear in $z$, and the middle-surface shearing stresses $\tau_{01}, \tau_{12}, \tau_{23}$ are approximately parabolic in $z$. When $v_{1}(z)$ and $v_{2}(z)$ are small in the central region and large near the ends (as in figs. 17(c) and (d)), the frame bending stresses exhibit a similar behavior, and the middle-surface shearing stresses are nearly constant along the central region, dipping towards zero near the ends.

Comparing any set of curves for $t / p=.005$ with the corresponding set of curves for $t / p=.02$, one can investigate the effect of $t / p$ on the major stresses, i.e. on the mid-plane shear stress ${ }^{\tau} 23$, the torsional shear stress $\tau \dot{0} 1$ and the frame bending stress $\sigma$ ( 1 ). The following behavior is apparent: All other things (h/p, f/p, bt/p2) remaining constant, $\tau_{23}$ and $\sigma$ ( ${ }^{\prime}$ remain virtually unchanged as $t / p$ varies, while $\tau_{0}^{\prime} 1$ varies directly with $t / \mathrm{p}$. (As noted previously, however, $b / p$ must vary inversely $a s t / p$ if $b t / p^{2}$ is to remain constant.)

Effect of torsional stiffness. - In the analysis it was assumed that the strain energy of torsion of the plate elements would account for only a small portion of the total, and that therefore this strain energy could be computed approximately by assuming a constant rate of twist across the width of each plate element, this constant rate of twist being based on the lateral displacements of the edges of the plate element.

The smallness of the computed values of the extreme-fiber shear stress due to torsion compared to the extreme-fiber normal stress due to frame bending tends to confirm this assumption, or at least is consistent with it. Only in the case of the very shortest corrugations ( $2 \mathrm{~b} / \mathrm{p}=2$ ) does the largest torsional stress $\tau_{01}$, start to look significant compared to the larger frame bending stress $\sigma^{\circ}{ }^{(1)}$.

The unimportance of the torsional stiffness is demonstrated further by figure 19 which, for four selected cross-sectional geometries, compares the $\Omega$ versus $b t / p^{2}$ relationship obtained by neglecting torsional strain energy ( $G^{\prime}=0$ ) with that obtained by considering it ( $G^{\prime}=G$ ). In figure 19 (a) the curves for $G^{\prime}=0$ and $G^{\prime}=G$ are indistinguishable from each other; in figure $19(\mathrm{~b})$ they are only slightly distinguishable from each other at their lower ends.

In figures 17 (d) and 17 (e) the dotted curves show the effect on the stresses and displacements resulting from complete neglect of the torsional strain energy in the derivation of the differential equations. It is seen that there is no noticeable effect on the major stresses and displacements and only a small effect on the others.

Effect of frame flexural stiffness. - In the analysis it was pointed out that there is bound to be some ambiguity in defining an appropriate value for the frame flexural stiffness $D$, and two possible values were mentioned: the beam flexural stiffness $\mathrm{Et} 3 / 12$ and the plate flexural stiffness $E t^{3} /\left[12\left(1-v^{2}\right)\right]$ (eqs. (16a) and (16b)).

The calculated results thus far presented are based on the latter value of $D$. In order to determine the possible effect that the uncertainty in $D$ might have on the overall shearing stiffness, some computations of $\Omega$ were also made using the former value. The results are also shown in figure 19, where the curves now to be compared are the solid and the dot-dash curves. It is seen that the change in $\Omega$ due to using one value of $D$ instead of the other is negligible. The effect on the frame bending stresses can of course be expected to be more significant, amounting to perhaps ten percent when $\nu=.3$. For reasons discussed in the analysis section, it is felt that the value of $D$ used in the analysis (eq. (16b)) is the most appropriate one.

COMPARISON WITH EXPERIMENT
Bryan and Jackson in reference 2 give experimental data on shearing force versus shearing deformation for a single corrugation. The geometry of the test specimen and the experimental results are shown in figure 20. The Young's modulus and Poisson's ratio of the material are given as $10^{7} \mathrm{psi}$ and 0.25 respectively in reference 2 .

The solid lines in figure 20 show the results computed for this geometry from the present theory, using four different assumptions regarding the restraint conditions along the sides and ends. Considering the thinness of the sheet, the smallness of $e$, and the probable size of the bolt heads used in the attachments, it is felt that the uppermost theoretical solid line is the most appropriate one to use for comparison with the experimental results. From figure 20 it appears that this line agrees fairly well with the initial slope of the experimental curve.

Since the present theory is a linear one, no comparison except with the initial slope is valid. Figure 20 does point up, however, the nonlinear behavior possible with extremely flexible corrugations like the one tested. (This non-linearity is probably due to the membrane action associated with large twisting of the plate elements, as suggested in reference 2. )

It should be noted that the $b t / p^{2}$ parameter for this specimen has the following value:

$$
\frac{b t}{p^{2}}=\frac{(6.25)(.0057)}{(5.00)^{2}}=.001425
$$

which is probably outside the range of most practical applications and below the smallest value (.005) considered in the bulk of the present calculations. For larger values of $b t / p^{2}$, i.e. geometries of larger relative stiffness, the behavior should be more nearly linear.

## CONCLUDING REMARKS

A theoretical analysis has been presented of the shearing of a trapezoidally corrugated plate with discontinuous attachments at the ends of the corrugations and with the trough lines constrained to remain straight. The last condition means that the analysis is applicable primarily to the case in which the corrugated plate is attached a flat plate or some other structure along its trough lines to prevent these lines from curving in the plane of the plate, and it will over-estimate somewhat the shearing stiffness of a corrugated plate without such constraint. By adding one degree of freedom to the displacements in the plane of the cross section, the analysis can be extended to the case in which the trough lines are permitted to curve in the plane of the plate, and it will then be more precisely applicable to the case of a corrugated plate alone.

The present analysis is considered to be more accurate than previous shearing analyses of corrugated plates (e.g., ref.2) in the following two respects: (a) it permits more degrees of freedom for displacements in the plane of the cross section, and (b) it does not assume that the straight line generators of the corrugation remain straight lines. It should be noted that the presence of the last assumption in an analysis prevents that analysis from distinguishing between the case of trough lines held straight and trough lines permitted to curve.

Numerical results on over-all shearing stiffness, stresses and displacements have been presented for selected geometries for the case of no external rotacional restraint along the trough lines. The results for shearing stiffness are for three kinds of attachment at the ends of the corrugations: (a) point attachments at the ends of the trough lines only, (b) point attachments at the ends of both the crest lines and the trough lines, and (c) wide attachments at the ends of the trough lines only. The stress and displacement numerical results are only for type (a) end attachments.

From a study of the numerical results it is evident that $2 b t / p^{2}$ (length times thickness divided by the square of the pitch) is a very significant parameter. The relative shearing stiffness (i.e. the ratio of the actual shearing stiffness to that corresponding to uniform shear) is primarily a function of this parameter and only secondarily a function of $t / \mathrm{p}$ (thickness divided by pitch). And the major stresses and displacements vary in a simple way with $t / p$ if $2 b t / p^{2}$ is kept constant.

For the range of geometries studied numerically the following main features were observed for the stresses in the case of no external rotational restraint along the trough lines and type (a) end attachments: The largest stress in each case was the transverse extreme-fiber bending stress associated with frame-like bending of the end cross sections. The next largest stress was a middle-surface shear stress at the middle cross section, except in the case of the very short corrugations, for which case an extreme-fiber torsional shear stress could exceed the middle-surface shear stress. In that case, however, both shear stresses were small compared to the maximum frame bending stress. In all cases the longitudinal normal stresses were negligibly small compared to the other stresses.

This last result suggests that in future work it may sometimes be possible to simplify the analyses by assuming that the straight-line generators of the corrugation are inextensible.

The numerical studies of over-all shearing stiffness showed that the discontinuous nature of the end attachments can reduce the stiffness to a value considerably below that corresponding to uniform shear, sometimes (in the case of very short or very thin corrugations) to a minute fraction of that value.

Very little increase in stiffness was obtained in going from type (a) to type (b) attachment -- i.e., by adding point attachments at the ends of the crest lines to point attachments at the ends of the trough lines. However a considerable increase in stiffness was obtained by going from type (a) to type. (c) attachments -- i.e., changing from point attachments to wide attachments at the ends of the trough lines.

This suggests that considerable increase in stiffness, in the case of point attachments at the ends of the trough lines only, can also arise from interference between the end of the deforming corrugation and the member to which the attachment is made. (The importance of this interference was noted in ref. 7.) This kind of interference, being one-sided, destroys the anti-symmetry of the deformation pattern that would otherwise exist. It can be taken into account in the analysis by adding two more degrees of freedom to the displacements in the plane of the cross section and three to the longitudinal displacements. Additional complexity due to these additional degrees of freedom can be minimized through judicious use of the method of superposition.

We close with the following perhaps obvious practical observation: If, for a given geometry, the objective is to maximize over-all shearing stiffness and minimize frame bending stresses (which, as noted above, can be the largest), this can be achieved by designing the end attachments so
as to reduce as much as possible the frame-like bending of the end cross sections. For the trapezoidal corrugation, this can be accomplished by having, for example, attachments at the ends of both the trough lines and the crest lines, with at least one of these sets of attachments being the full width of the trough or crest. It can also be accomplished with only point attachments at the ends of the crest lines and the trough lines provided that there is interference with fairly rigid members at both these places. The frame bending of the end cross sections can of course also be suppressed by specially machined end fittings or by continuous-weld end attachments.

## APPENDIX A

## STRAIN ENERGY OF FRAME BENDING

A unit length of corrugation will herein be analyzed as a frame whose joint displacements are made up of the two components shown in figure 4 (c). The slopedeflection method will first be used to determine the frame bending moments in terms of $v_{1}, v_{2}$ and the unknown rotation $\theta_{1}$ of joints 1 and 4 and $\theta_{2}$ of joints 2 and 3 . The rotations $\theta_{1}$ and $\theta_{2}$ are taken positive when clockwise as viewed from the positive end of the $z$-axis (see fig. 6). From these bending moments the strain energy of frame bending can determined in terms of $v_{1}$, $v_{2}$, $\theta_{1}$, and $\theta_{2}$. Using the condition of moment equilibrium for the joints, one can evaluate $\theta_{1}$ and $\theta_{2}$ in terms of $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ and thus eliminate the joint rotations from the strain energy expression.

In order to carry out the analysis proposed above, the basic beam end-moment formulas shown in figure 7 will be helpful. In applying these formulas to each member of the frame, the appropriate $\theta$ quantities can be obtained from the joint rotations of figure 6 , and the appropriate $\Delta$ can be computed from the $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ joint displacements shown in figure 4 (c). The $\Delta$ expressions are tabulated below.

TABLE A1

| Member | $\triangle$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 01 | $\mathrm{v}_{1} \quad \equiv \Delta_{1}$ |
| 12 | $-\mathrm{v}_{1} \cos \theta-\mathrm{v}_{2} \quad \equiv \Delta_{2}$ |
| 23 | $-2 \mathrm{v}_{1} \sin ^{2} \theta+2 \mathrm{v}_{2} \cos \theta \equiv \Delta_{3}$ |

Using figure 7 , the notation $\Delta_{1}, \Delta_{2}, \Delta_{3}$ defined in Table A1, and the notation shown in figure 8 , one can write the following expressions for the frameelement end moments per unit width of frame (i.e., per unit length of corrugation):

$$
\begin{align*}
& M_{01}=\alpha \cdot\left(\frac{2 D}{e} \theta_{1}+\frac{6 D}{e} \frac{\Delta_{1}}{e}\right) \\
& M_{10}=\frac{(3+\alpha) D}{e} \theta_{1}+\frac{3(1+\alpha) D}{e} \frac{\Delta_{1}}{\mathrm{e}} \\
& M_{12}=\frac{4 D}{k} \theta_{1}+\frac{2 D}{k} \theta_{2}+\frac{6 D}{k} \frac{\Delta_{2}}{k}  \tag{A1}\\
& M_{21}=\frac{2 D}{k} \theta_{1}+\frac{4 D}{k} \theta_{2}+\frac{6 D}{k} \frac{\Delta_{2}}{k} \\
& M_{23}=\frac{6 D}{f} \theta_{2}+\frac{6 D}{f} \frac{\Delta_{3}}{f}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\alpha$ is defined as zero if joints 0 and 5 are hinged, or unity if these joints are clamped, and $D$ is the flexural stiffness per unit width of frame (i.e., per unit length of corrugation).

The bending moments vary linearly between joints in a frame with imposed displacements and rotations at the joints. Thus the bending moments per unit width of frame are given by the following expressions, in which bending moment which puts compression in the upper fibers is considered positive:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
M=M_{01}+\frac{s_{1}}{e}\left(-M_{10}-M_{01}\right) & \text { for member 01 } \\
M=M_{12}+\frac{s_{2}}{k}\left(-M_{21}-M_{12}\right) & \text { for member } 12  \tag{A2}\\
M=M_{23}\left(1-\frac{s_{3}}{f}\right) & \text { for member } 23
\end{array}
$$

The strain energy per unit width of frame (unit length of corrugation) can be obtained by integrating $M^{2} /(2 D)$ along the entire profile of the corrugation. Thus the strain energy of frame bending is

$$
\begin{gathered}
U_{b} \cdot \equiv \frac{1}{2 D}\left[2 \cdot \int_{0}^{e}\left[M_{01}+\frac{s_{1}}{e}\left(-M_{10}-M_{01}\right)\right]^{2} d s_{1}\right. \\
\left.+2 \cdot \int_{0}^{k}\left[M_{12}+\frac{s_{2}}{k}\left(-M_{21}-M_{12}\right)\right]^{2} d s_{2}+\int_{0}^{f}\left[M_{23}\left(1-\frac{2 s_{3}}{f}\right)\right]^{2} d s_{3}\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{b}=\frac{e}{3 D}\left(M_{01}^{2}-M_{01} M_{10}+M_{10}^{2}\right)+\frac{k}{3 D}\left(M_{12}^{2}-M_{12} M_{21}+M_{21}^{2}\right)+\frac{f}{6 D}\left(M_{23}^{2}\right) \tag{A3}
\end{equation*}
$$

With the joint moments eliminated through equations (Al),

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{b}}= & \theta_{1}^{2} \frac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{e}}\left(\alpha^{2}+3\right)+\theta_{1} \frac{\Delta_{1}}{\mathrm{e}} \frac{6 \mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{e}}\left(\alpha^{2}+1\right)+\left(\frac{\Delta_{1}}{\mathrm{e}}\right)^{2} \frac{3 \mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{e}}\left(3 \alpha^{2}+1\right) \\
& +4 \frac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{k}}\left(\theta_{1}^{2}+\theta_{1} \theta_{2}+\theta_{2}^{2}\right)+\frac{12 \mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{k}}\left[\theta_{1} \frac{\Delta_{2}}{\mathrm{k}}+\theta_{2} \frac{\Delta_{2}}{\mathrm{k}}+\left(\frac{\Delta_{2}}{\mathrm{k}}\right)^{2}\right] \\
& +6 \frac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{f}}\left[\theta_{2}^{2}+2 \theta_{2} \frac{\Delta_{3}}{\mathrm{f}}+\left(\frac{\Delta_{3}}{\mathrm{f}}\right)^{2}\right] \tag{A4}
\end{align*}
$$

This equation expresses the strain energy of frame bending in terms of the joint rotations $\theta_{1}$ and $\theta_{2}$ and the quantities $\Delta_{1}, \Delta_{2}, \Delta_{3}$, the latter being functions of $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ defined in table $A 1$. The rotations can be eliminated from this expression by weans of the moment-equilibrium conditions for the joints, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{10}+M_{12}=0 \text { and } M_{21}+M_{23}=0 \tag{A5}
\end{equation*}
$$

By virtue of equations (A1) these conditions become

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{(3+\alpha) D}{e}+\frac{4 D}{k} & \frac{2 D}{k}  \tag{A6}\\
\frac{2 D}{k} & \frac{4 D}{k}+\frac{6 D}{f}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
\theta_{1} \\
\theta_{2}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
-\frac{3(1+\alpha) D}{e} \frac{\Delta_{1}}{e}-\frac{6 D}{k} \frac{\Delta_{2}}{k} \\
-\frac{6 D}{k} \frac{\Delta_{2}}{k}-\frac{6 D}{f} \frac{\Delta_{3}}{f}
\end{array}\right]
$$

The solution of these equations is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \theta_{1}=\frac{1}{\beta}\left[-6(1+\alpha)\left(2 \frac{e}{k}+3 \frac{e}{f}\right)\left(\frac{\Delta_{1}}{e}\right)-12 \frac{e}{k}\left(\frac{e}{k}+3 \frac{e}{f}\right)\left(\frac{\Delta_{2}}{k}\right)+12 \frac{e}{k} \frac{e}{f}\left(\frac{\Delta_{3}}{f}\right)\right]  \tag{A7}\\
& \theta_{2}=\frac{1}{\beta}\left[6(1+\alpha) \frac{e}{k}\left(\frac{\Delta_{1}}{e}\right)-6 \frac{e}{k}\left(2 \frac{e}{k}+3+\alpha\right)\left(\frac{\Delta_{2}}{k}\right)-6 \frac{e}{f}\left(4 \frac{e}{k}+3+\alpha\right)\left(\frac{\Delta_{3}}{f}\right)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta \equiv 4(3+\alpha) \frac{e}{k}+12\left(\frac{e}{k}\right)^{2}+6(3+\alpha) \frac{e}{f}+24 \frac{e}{k} \frac{e}{f} \tag{A8}
\end{equation*}
$$

With equations (A7) used to eliminate the rotations in (A4), the latter becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
B^{2} \frac{e}{D} U_{b}=A_{11}\left(\frac{\Delta_{1}}{e}\right)^{2}+A_{22}\left(\frac{\Delta_{2}}{k}\right)^{2}+A_{33}\left(\frac{\Delta_{3}}{f}\right)^{2}+A_{12} \frac{\Delta_{1}}{e} \frac{\Delta_{2}}{k}+A_{23} \frac{\Delta_{2}}{k} \frac{\Delta_{3}}{f}+A_{13} \frac{\Delta_{1}}{e} \frac{\Delta_{3}}{f} \tag{A9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{11}= & 192 \alpha^{2}\left(2 \frac{e}{k}+3 \frac{e}{f}\right)^{2}+72\left(15 \alpha^{2}+2 \alpha+3\right)\left[\frac{e}{k}\left(\frac{e}{k}+2 \frac{e}{f}\right)\right]\left(2 \frac{e}{k}+3 \frac{e}{f}\right) \\
& +432\left(3 \alpha^{2}+1\right)\left[\left(\frac{e}{k}\right)\left(\frac{e}{k}+2 \frac{e}{f}\right)\right]^{2} \\
A_{22}= & 24(\alpha+3)^{2} \frac{e}{k}\left(\frac{e}{k}+6 \frac{e}{f}\right)\left(2 \frac{e}{k}+3 \frac{e}{f}\right) \\
& +144\left(\frac{e}{k}\right)^{2}\left[\left(\alpha^{2}+3\right)\left(\frac{e}{k}\right)^{2}+2\left(3 \alpha^{2}+2 \alpha+15\right) \frac{e}{k} \frac{e}{f}+3(3 \alpha+2 \alpha+15)\left(\frac{e}{f}\right)^{2}\right] \\
& +864\left(\frac{e}{k}\right)^{3} \frac{e}{f}\left(\frac{e}{k}+2 \frac{e}{f}\right)^{2} \\
A_{33}= & 48(\alpha+3)^{2} \frac{e}{k} \frac{e}{f}\left(2 \frac{e}{k}+3 \frac{e}{f}\right)^{2} \\
& +144\left(\frac{e}{k}\right)^{2} \frac{e}{f}\left[4(\alpha+3) \frac{e}{k}+\left(\alpha^{2}+6 \alpha+21\right) \frac{e}{f}\right] \\
& +864\left(\frac{e}{k}\right)^{3} \frac{e}{f}\left(\frac{e}{k}+2 \frac{e}{f}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
A_{12}=-144\left(3 \alpha^{2}+2 \alpha+3\right) \frac{e}{k}\left(\frac{e}{k}+3 \frac{e}{f}\right)\left(2 \frac{e}{k}+3 \frac{e}{f}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
-864\left(\alpha^{2}+1\right)\left(\frac{e}{k}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{e}{k}+3 \frac{e}{f}\right)\left(\frac{e}{k}+2 \frac{e}{f}\right) \tag{A10d}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{13}=144\left(3 \alpha^{2}+2 \alpha+3\right) \frac{e}{k} \frac{e}{f}\left(2 \frac{e}{k}+3 \frac{e}{f}\right)+864\left(\alpha^{2}+1\right)\left(\frac{e}{k}\right)^{2} \frac{e}{f}\left(\frac{e}{k}+2 \frac{e}{f}\right) \tag{A10e}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{23}= & -144(\alpha+3)^{2} \frac{e}{k} \frac{e}{f}\left(2 \frac{e}{k}+3 \frac{e}{f}\right) \\
& -288\left(\frac{e}{k}\right)^{2} \frac{e}{f}\left[\left(\alpha^{2}+4 \alpha+15\right) \frac{e}{k}+3\left(\alpha^{2}+2 \alpha+9\right) \frac{e}{f}\right] \\
& -1728\left(\frac{e}{k}\right)^{3} \frac{e}{f}\left(\frac{e}{k}+2 \frac{e}{f}\right) \tag{Al0f}
\end{align*}
$$

(Inasmuch as $\alpha$ takes on only the values zero and one, the above equation and subsequent equations involving $\alpha$ could be simplified by replacing $\alpha^{2}$ by $\alpha$.)

Substituting for $\Delta_{1}, \Delta_{2}$ and $\Delta_{3}$ the expressions from table $A 1$, one finally obtains $U_{b}$ in terms of $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{b}}=\mathrm{a}_{11} \mathrm{v}_{1}^{2}+2 \mathrm{a}_{12} \mathrm{v}_{1} \mathrm{v}_{2}+\mathrm{a}_{22} \mathrm{v}_{2}^{2} \tag{A11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{11}=\frac{D}{\beta^{2} e^{3}}\left[A_{11}+A_{22}\left(\frac{e}{k}\right)^{2} \cos ^{2} \theta+4 A_{33}\left(\frac{e}{f}\right)^{2} \sin \theta-A_{12} \frac{e}{k} \cos \theta\right. \\
& \left.+2 A_{23} \frac{e}{k} \frac{e}{f} \sin ^{2} \theta \cos \theta-2 A_{13} \frac{e}{f} \sin \theta\right] \\
& a_{12}=\frac{D}{\beta^{2} e^{3}}\left[A_{22}\left(\frac{e}{k}\right)^{2} \cos \theta-4 A_{33}\left(\frac{e}{f}\right)^{2} \sin \theta \cos \theta-\frac{1}{2} A_{12} \frac{e}{k}\right.  \tag{A12}\\
& \left.-A_{23} \frac{e}{k} \frac{e}{f}\left(\cos ^{2} \theta-\sin ^{2} \theta\right)+A_{13} \frac{e}{f} \cos \theta\right] \\
& a_{22}=\frac{L}{\beta^{2} e^{3}}\left[A_{22}\left(\frac{e}{k}\right)^{2}+4 A_{33}\left(\frac{e}{f}\right)^{2} \cos \theta-2 A_{23} \frac{e}{k} \frac{e}{f} \cos \theta\right]
\end{align*}
$$

## APPENDIX B

## VARIATION OF THE TPE

Equation (18) in expanded form is

$$
\begin{align*}
& T P E=\int_{-b}^{b}\left[b_{11}\left(\frac{d u_{1}}{d z}\right)^{2}+2 b_{12} \frac{d u_{1}}{d z} \frac{d u_{2}}{d z}+b_{22}\left(\frac{d u_{2}}{d z}\right)^{2}\right] d z \\
& +\int_{-b}^{b}\left(c_{00} u_{0}^{2}+c_{11} u_{1}^{2}+c_{22} u_{2}^{2}+2 c_{01} u_{0} u_{1}+2 c_{12} u_{1} u_{2}\right) d z \\
& +\int_{-b}^{b}\left(d_{11} u_{1} \frac{d v_{1}}{d z}+d_{21} u_{2} \frac{d v_{1}}{d z}+d_{22} u_{2} \frac{d v_{2}}{d z}\right) d z \\
& +\int_{-b}^{b}\left[e_{11}\left(\frac{d v_{1}}{d z}\right)^{2}+e_{22}\left(\frac{d v_{2}}{d z}\right)^{2}+2 e_{12} \frac{\mathrm{dv}_{1}}{d z} \frac{d v_{2}}{d z}\right] d z \\
& +\int_{-b}^{b}\left(a_{11} v_{1}^{2}+2 a_{12} v_{1} v_{2}+a_{22} v_{2}^{2}\right) d z \\
& -2 \mathrm{Fu}_{0} \tag{B1}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& e_{11} \equiv e_{11}^{*}+\bar{e}_{11} \\
& e_{22} \equiv e_{22}^{*}+\bar{e}_{22}  \tag{B2}\\
& e_{12} \text { 日 } e_{12}^{*}+\bar{e}_{12}
\end{align*}
$$

The first variation of the TPE due to the variations $\delta u_{0}, \delta u_{1}(z)$, $\delta u_{2}(z), \quad \delta v_{1}(z), \quad \delta v_{2}(z)$ is
$\delta($ TPE $)=2 \int_{-b}^{b}\left[b_{11} \frac{d u_{1}}{d z} \frac{d\left(\delta u_{1}\right)}{d z}+b_{12}\left[\frac{d u_{1}}{d z} \frac{d\left(\delta u_{2}\right)}{d z}+\frac{d u_{2}}{d z} \frac{d\left(\delta u_{1}\right)}{d z}\right]+b_{22} \frac{d u_{2}}{d z} \frac{d\left(\delta u_{2}\right)}{d z}\right] d z$ (equation continued on next page)

$$
\begin{align*}
& +2 \int_{-b}^{b}\left[c_{00} u_{0} \delta u_{0}+c_{11} u_{1} \delta u_{1}+c_{22} u_{2} \delta u_{2}+c_{01}\left(u_{0} \delta u_{1}+u_{1} \delta u_{0}\right)+c_{12}\left(u_{1} \delta u_{2}+u_{2} \delta u_{1}\right)\right] d z \\
& +\int_{-b}^{b}\left[d_{11}\left[u_{1} \frac{d\left(\delta v_{1}\right)}{d z}+\frac{d v_{1}}{d z} \delta u_{1}\right]+d_{21}\left[u_{2} \frac{d\left(\delta v_{1}\right)}{d z}+\frac{d v_{1}}{d z} \delta u_{2}\right]+d_{22}\left[u_{2} \frac{d\left(\delta v_{2}\right)}{d z}+\frac{d v_{2}}{d z} \delta u_{2}\right]\right] d z \\
& +2 \int_{-b}^{b}\left[e_{11} \frac{d v_{1}}{d z} \frac{d\left(\delta v_{1}\right)}{d z}+e_{22} \frac{d v_{2}}{d z} \frac{d\left(\delta v_{2}\right)}{d z}+e_{12}\left[\frac{d v_{1}}{d z} \frac{d\left(\delta v_{2}\right)}{d z}+\frac{d v_{2}}{d z} \frac{d\left(\delta v_{1}\right)}{d z}\right]\right] d z \\
& +2 \int_{-b}^{b}\left[a_{11} v_{1} \delta v_{1}+a_{12}\left(v_{1} \delta v_{2}+v_{2} \delta v_{1}\right)+a_{22} v_{2} \delta v_{2}\right] d z \\
& -2 F \cdot \delta u_{0} \tag{B3}
\end{align*}
$$

Where the derivative of a variation appears in the integrand of equation (B3), integration by parts will transform such a term so that the integrand involves the variation itself, rather than its derivative, and will also introduce boundary terms. For example,

$$
\int_{-b}^{b} \frac{d u_{1}}{d z} \frac{d\left(\delta u_{1}\right)}{d z} d z=\left.\left(\frac{d u_{1}}{d z} \delta u_{1}\right)\right|_{-b} ^{b}-\int_{-b}^{b} \frac{d^{2} u_{1}}{d z^{2}} \delta u_{1} d z
$$

Reducing all integrands in this manner wherever possible, and rearranging terms, one obtains:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta(\text { TPE })= & \left(\delta u_{0}\right) \cdot \int_{-b}^{b}\left(2 c_{00} u_{0}+2 c_{01} u_{1}-\frac{F}{b}\right) d z \\
& +2 \int_{-b}^{b}\left(-b{ }_{11} \frac{d^{2} u_{1}}{d z^{2}}-b_{12} \frac{d^{2} u_{2}}{d z^{2}}+c_{01} u_{0}+c_{11} u_{1}+c_{12} u_{2}+\frac{1}{2} d_{11} \frac{d v_{1}}{d z}\right)\left(\delta u_{1}\right) d z \\
& +2 \int_{-b}^{b}\left(-b{ }_{12} \frac{d^{2} u_{1}}{d z^{2}}-b_{22} \frac{d^{2} u_{2}}{d z^{2}}+c_{12} u_{1}+c_{22} u_{2}+\frac{1}{2} d_{21} \frac{d v_{1}}{d z}+\frac{1}{2} d_{22} \frac{d v_{2}}{d z}\right)\left(\delta u_{2}\right) d z \\
& +2 \int_{-b}^{b}\left(a_{11} v_{1}+a_{12} v_{2}-\frac{1}{2} d_{11} \frac{d u_{1}}{d z}-\frac{1}{2} d_{21} \frac{d u_{2}}{d z}-e_{11} \frac{d^{2} v_{1}}{d z^{2}}-e_{12} \frac{d^{2} v_{2}}{d z^{2}}\right)\left(\delta v_{1}\right) d z
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& +2 \int_{-b}^{b}\left(a_{12} v_{1}+a_{22} v_{2}-\frac{1}{2} d_{22} \frac{d u_{2}}{d z}-e_{12} \frac{d^{2} v_{1}}{d z^{2}}-e_{22} \frac{d^{2} v_{2}}{d z^{2}}\right)\left(\delta v_{2}\right) d z \\
& +\left.2\left[\left(b_{11} \frac{d u_{1}}{d z}+b_{12} \frac{d u_{2}}{d z}\right)\left(\delta u_{1}\right)\right]\right|_{-b} ^{b}+\left.2\left[\left(b_{12} \frac{d u_{1}}{d z}+b_{22} \frac{d u_{2}}{d z}\right)\left(\delta u_{2}\right)\right]\right|_{-b} ^{b} \\
& +\left.\left[\left(d_{11} u_{1}+d_{21} u_{2}+2 e_{11} \frac{d v_{1}}{d z}+2 e_{12} \frac{d v_{2}}{d z}\right)\left(\delta v_{1}\right)\right]\right|_{-b} ^{b} \\
& +\left.\left[\left(d_{22} u_{2}+2 e_{12} \frac{d v_{1}}{d z}+2 e_{22} \frac{d v_{2}}{d z}\right)\left(\delta v_{2}\right)\right]\right|_{-b} ^{b} \tag{B4}
\end{align*}
$$

Equation (B4) is valid for the case in which there are point attachments at the ends of the trough lines only (fig. 3(a)). If there are wide attachments at the ends of the trough lines, as idealized in figure 3d, it is necessary to drop out the boundary term involving $\delta \mathrm{v}_{1}$, i.e. the term next to the last.

If there are point attachments at the ends of the crest lines (fig. 3(b)) then $\delta v_{1}$ and $\delta v_{2}$ at $z= \pm b$ are not independent. The attachuent at the end of a crest line restricts the attachment point against horizontal and vertical movement in the plane of the cross section. The component crosssectional deformations of figure 4 automatically satisfy the condition of zero vertical movement of this point but not the condition of zero horizuntal movement. From figure 4 (c) it is seen that the resultant horizontal displacement of the crest plate element plate element is $\left(v_{1} \sin \theta\right) \cos \theta+v_{2} \sin \theta$. The vanishing of this displacement at $z= \pm b$ implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(v_{1} \cos \theta+v_{2}\right)_{z= \pm b}=0 \tag{B5}
\end{equation*}
$$

whence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\delta v_{1} \cos \theta+\delta v_{2}\right)_{z}= \pm b=0 \tag{B6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, when there are attachments at the ends of the crest lines, $\delta v_{2}$ in the last term of equation ( $B 4$ ) should be replaced by $-\delta v_{1} \cos \theta$. The last two terms of equation (B4) can then be combined, giving the following form of $\delta$ (TPE):

$$
\begin{align*}
(T P E)= & \left(\delta u_{0}\right) \cdot \int_{-b}^{b}(\cdots) d z+2 \int_{-b}^{b}(\cdots)\left(\delta u_{1}\right) d z+2 \int_{-b}^{b}(\cdots)\left(\delta u_{2}\right) d z \\
+ & 2 \int_{-b}^{b}(\cdots)\left(\delta v_{1}\right) d z+2 \int_{-b}^{b}(\cdots)\left(\delta v_{2}\right) d z \\
+ & \left.2\left[\left(b_{11} \frac{d u_{1}}{d z}+b_{12} \frac{d u_{2}}{d z}\right)\left(\delta u_{1}\right)\right]\right|_{-b} ^{b}+\left.2\left[\left(b_{12} \frac{d u_{1}}{d z}+b_{22} \frac{d u_{2}}{d z}\right)\left(\delta u_{2}\right)\right]\right|_{-b} ^{b} \\
+ & {\left[\left[d_{11} u_{1}+d_{21} u_{2}+2 e_{11} \frac{d v_{1}}{d z}+2 e_{12} \frac{d v_{2}}{d z}\right.\right.} \\
& \left.\left.-\left(d_{22} u_{2}+2 e_{12} \frac{d v_{1}}{d z}+2 e_{22} \frac{d v_{2}}{d z}\right) \cos \theta\right]\left(\delta v_{1}\right)\right]\left.\right|_{-b} ^{b} \tag{B7}
\end{align*}
$$

where the notation (...) has been used to indicate terms which are identical to the corresponding terms in equation (B4).

Differential equations.- If the TPE is to be a minimum, $\delta$ (TPE) must vanish for any and all arbitrary variations in $u_{0}, u_{1}(z), u_{2}(z), v_{1}(z), v_{2}(z)$, and it then follows from the integral terms in equations (B4) and (B7) that $u_{0}, u_{1}, u_{2}, v_{1}, v_{2}$ must satisfy the following equations:

$$
\begin{gather*}
4 c_{00} u_{0} b+2 c_{01} \int_{-b}^{b} u_{1} d z-2 F=0  \tag{B8}\\
-b_{11} \frac{d^{2} u_{1}}{d z^{2}}-b_{12} \frac{d^{2} u_{2}}{d z^{2}}+c_{01} u_{0}+c_{11} u_{1}+c_{12 u_{2}}+\frac{1}{2} d_{11} \frac{d v_{1}}{d z}=0 \\
-b_{12} \frac{d^{2} u_{1}}{d z^{2}}-b_{22} \frac{d^{2} u_{2}}{d z^{2}}+c_{12} u_{1}+c_{22} u_{2}+\frac{1}{2} d_{21} \frac{d v_{1}}{d z}+\frac{1}{2} d_{22} \frac{d v_{2}}{d z}=0 \\
a_{11} v_{1}+a_{12} v_{2}-\frac{1}{2} d_{11} \frac{d u_{1}}{d z}-\frac{1}{2} d_{21} \frac{d u_{2}}{d z}-e_{11} \frac{d^{2} v_{1}}{d z^{2}}-e_{12} \frac{d^{2} v_{2}}{d z^{2}}=0 \\
a_{12} v_{1}+a_{22} v_{2}-\frac{1}{2} d_{22} \frac{d u_{2}}{d z}-e_{12} \frac{d^{2} v_{1}}{d z^{2}}-e_{22} \frac{d^{2} v_{2}}{d z^{2}}=0
\end{gather*}
$$

Boundary conditions, - The vanishing of the boundary terms in equations (B4) and (B7) requres that the variables satisfy certain conditions at the boundaries ( $z= \pm b$ ). For the case of point attachment at the ends of the trough lines (fig. 3(a)), equation (B4) applies, and the vanishing of the boundary terms in it leads to the following boundary conditions:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{b}_{11} \frac{\mathrm{du}_{1}}{\mathrm{dz}}+\mathrm{b}_{12} \frac{\mathrm{du}_{2}}{\mathrm{dz}}=0  \tag{B10}\\
\mathrm{~b}_{12} \frac{\mathrm{du}}{1} \mathrm{dz}+\mathrm{b}_{22} \frac{\mathrm{du}}{2} \mathrm{dz}=0 \\
\mathrm{~d}_{11} \mathrm{u}_{1}+\mathrm{d}_{21} \mathrm{u}_{2}+2 \mathrm{e}_{11} \frac{\mathrm{dv}_{1}}{\mathrm{dz}}+2 \mathrm{e}_{12} \frac{\mathrm{dv}_{2}}{\mathrm{dz}}=0 \\
\mathrm{~d}_{22} \mathrm{u}_{2}+2 \mathrm{e}_{12} \frac{\mathrm{dv}}{1} \mathrm{dz}+2 \mathrm{e}_{22} \frac{\mathrm{dv}_{2}}{\mathrm{dz}} \tag{B11}
\end{gather*}
$$

at $z= \pm b$. For the case of wide attachments at the ends of the trough lines (fig. 3(d)), equation (B4) applies but with next-to-the-last term omitted. As a result, the first of equations (Bli) is non-existent, and the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{1}( \pm b)=0 \tag{B11'}
\end{equation*}
$$

is used in its stead.
For the case in which there are point attachments at the ends of the crest lines as well as the trough lines (fig. 3(b)), equation (B5) constitutes one of the boundary conditions. The remaining boundary conditions, implied by the vanishing of the boundary terms of equation (B7), are equations (B10) again and the following equation in plact of (B11):

$$
\begin{array}{r}
d_{11} u_{1}+d_{21} u_{2}+2 e_{11} \frac{d v_{1}}{d z}+2 e_{12} \frac{d v_{2}}{d z} \\
-\left(d_{22} u_{2}+2 e_{12} \frac{d v_{1}}{d z}+2 e_{22} \frac{d v_{2}}{d z}\right) \cos \theta \quad=0 \tag{B12}
\end{array}
$$

at $z= \pm b$.
Inasmuch as the determinant $b_{11} b_{22}-b_{12}^{2}$ of equations (B10) is nonvanishing, these equations can be replaced by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{du}_{1}}{\mathrm{dz}}=0, \quad \frac{\mathrm{du}_{2}}{\mathrm{dz}}=0 \tag{B13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Physical interpretation of the boundary conditions.- Equations (B10) or (B13), in conjunction with the fact that $d u_{0} / d z=0$, are readily interpreted to mean that there are no longitudinal normal stresses acting at the corrugation ends.

In order to interpret equations (B11) it is first necessary to replace the system of shear forces and twisting moments at the ends of the corrugation by a statically equivalent system of shear forces alone acting in the middle planes of the individual plate elements. This replacement is shown in figure 9 , which is a view of the corrugation as seen from the positive end of the $z$-axis. Part (a) of figure 9 shows the basic system of shear forces $F_{1}, F_{2}, F_{3}$ and twisting moments $T_{1}, T_{2}, T_{3}$ acting on the ends of the individual plate elements. The twisting moments are related to the deformations, via equations (9) and table 3, as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
T_{1} & =\frac{G^{\prime} J_{1}}{e} \frac{d v_{1}}{d z} \\
T_{2} & =-\frac{G^{\prime} J_{2}}{k}\left(\frac{d v_{2}}{d z}+\frac{d v_{1}}{d z} \cos \theta\right)  \tag{B14}\\
T_{3} & =\frac{G^{\prime} J_{3}}{f}\left(-2 \frac{d v_{1}}{d z} \sin ^{2} \theta+2 \frac{d v_{2}}{d z} \cos \theta\right)
\end{align*}
$$

The shear forces $F_{1}, F_{2}, F_{3}$ can be expressed in terms of the deformations with the aid of equations (5) and table 2. The result is

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{1}=G \gamma_{1} e t=G t\left(u_{1}-u_{0}\right) \\
& F_{2}=G \gamma_{2} k t=G t\left(u_{2}-u_{1}+k \frac{d v_{1}}{d z} \sin \theta\right)  \tag{B15}\\
& F_{3}=G \gamma_{3} f t=G t\left(-2 u_{2}+f \frac{d v_{1}}{d z} \sin \theta \cos \theta+f \frac{d v_{2}}{d z} \sin \theta\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Part (b) of figure 9 is the same as part (a) except that the twisting momments have been represented by pairs of parallel oppositely directed forces acting at the junctions of the plate elements. In part (c) each such force has been replaced by components parallel to the two plate elements forming the junction at which the force acts. In part (d) of figure 9 all the forces
acting as shearing forces along the middle surface of each plate element have been replaced by a single resultant. These resultants are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{R}_{1}=\mathrm{F}_{1}+\frac{\mathrm{T}_{2}}{\mathrm{k}} \csc \theta-\frac{\mathrm{T}_{1}}{\mathrm{e}} \cot \theta \\
& \overline{\mathrm{R}}_{2}=\mathrm{F}_{2}+\frac{\mathrm{T}_{1}}{\mathrm{e}} \csc \theta-\frac{\mathrm{T}_{3}}{\mathrm{f}} \csc \theta  \tag{B16}\\
& \overline{\mathrm{R}}_{3}=\mathrm{F}_{3}+2 \frac{\mathrm{~T}_{3}}{\mathrm{f}} \cot \theta-2 \frac{\mathrm{~T}_{2}}{\mathrm{k}} \csc \theta
\end{align*}
$$

and they will be called the effective in-plane shears. Expressing $T_{1}, T_{2}$, $T_{3}$ and $F_{1}, F_{2}, F_{3}$ in terms of the deformations via equations (B14) and (B15) gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{R}_{2}= & -G t u_{1}+G t u_{2}+\left(G t k \sin \theta+\frac{G^{\prime} J_{1}}{e^{2}} \csc \theta+\frac{2 G^{\prime} J_{3}}{f^{2}} \sin \theta\right) \frac{d v_{1}}{d z} \\
& -\left(\frac{2 G^{\prime} J_{3}}{f^{2}} \cot \theta\right) \frac{d v_{2}}{d z}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\bar{R}_{3}=-2 G t u_{2}+\left(G t f \sin \theta \cos \theta-\frac{4 G^{\prime} J_{3}}{f^{2}} \sin \theta \cos \theta+\frac{2 G^{\prime} J_{2}}{k^{2}} \cot \theta\right) \frac{d v_{1}}{d z}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
+\left(G t £ \sin \theta+\frac{4 G^{\prime} J_{3}}{f^{2}} \frac{\cos ^{2} \theta}{\sin \theta}+\frac{2 G^{\prime} J_{2}}{k^{2}} \csc \theta\right) \frac{d v_{2}}{d z} \tag{B18}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a free end the forces $\overline{\mathrm{R}}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{1} / \mathrm{e}$ in figure 9 d need not be zero. They are the forces furnished by the attachments at the ends of the trough lines. However, the resultants $\bar{R}_{2}$ and $\bar{R}_{3}$ do have to be zero for a free end. Thus, as far as the forces in the plane of the end cross section are concerned, the conditions for a free end can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{R}_{2}=0 \quad \text { and } \quad \bar{R}_{3}=0 \tag{B19}
\end{equation*}
$$

or in the form of any two linearly independent linear combinations of these two equations. Choosing the linear combinations

$$
\begin{align*}
2 \bar{R}_{2} \sin \theta+\bar{R}_{3} \sin \theta \cos \theta & =0  \tag{B20}\\
\bar{R}_{3} \sin \theta & =0
\end{align*}
$$

one finds, after substituting the expressions for $\bar{R}_{2}$ and $\bar{R}_{3}$ from equations (B17) and (B18), that these linear combinations are identical to the boundary conditions (B11) obtained by the variational method.

For the case in which there are attachments at the ends of the crest lines, $\bar{R}_{3}$ need not be zero inasmuch as the attachment is capable of exerting a force. Only the inclined plate elements are free of effective inplane shear, i.e. $\vec{R}_{2}=0$. Writing this as

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \bar{R}_{2} \sin \theta=0 \tag{B21}
\end{equation*}
$$

and eliminating $\vec{R}_{2}$ via equation (B17), it is seen to be exactly equivalent to the boundary condition (B12) obtained for this case by the variational method.

Thus the boundary conditions (B11) and (B12) obtained by the variational method have been shown to be equivalent to the physical requirement of vanishing of the effective in-plane shears $\bar{R}_{2}$ and $\bar{R}_{3}$ or $\bar{R}_{2}$ alone。

## APPENDIX C

## SOLUTION OF THE EQUATIONS FOR THE BASIC UNKNOWNS

In this appendix equations (19) will be solved for $u_{1}(z), u_{2}(z)$ $v_{1}(z)$, and $v_{2}(z)$ in terms of $u_{0}$ (subject to the various sets of boundary conditions discussed in connection with equations (19)). Equation (20) will then be used to determine the relationship between the shearing force $F$ and the relative shearing displacement $2 u_{0}$ of one side of the corrugation with respect to the other.

Particular integral. - A particular integral of equations (19) is first sought in the form

$$
u_{1}=\text { constant, } u_{2}=\text { constant, } v_{1}=0, v_{2}=0
$$

For this form of particular integral equations (19) reduce to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{11} u_{1}+c_{12} u_{2}=-c_{01} u_{0} \\
& c_{12} u_{1}+c_{22} u_{2}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

whence

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{1}=\zeta_{1} u_{0}, \\
& u_{2}=\zeta_{2} u_{0} \tag{C1}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \zeta_{1} \quad \text { 日 } \frac{-c_{01} c_{22}}{c_{11} c_{22}-c_{12}^{2}} \\
& \zeta_{2} \equiv \frac{c_{01} c_{12}}{c_{11} c_{22}-c_{12}^{2}} \tag{C2}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus a particular solution of equations (19) is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{u}_{1}=\zeta_{1} \mathrm{u}_{0} \\
& \mathrm{u}_{2}=\zeta_{2} \mathrm{u}_{0}  \tag{C3}\\
& \mathrm{v}_{1}=0 \\
& \mathrm{v}_{2}=0
\end{align*}
$$

Characteristic equation for complementary solution. - To the above must be added a complementary solution, which is the general solution of equations (19) with right sides all zero. Solutions of this homogeneous system will be sought in the following form:

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{1}=A e^{r z} \\
& u_{2}=B e^{r z} \\
& v_{1}=C e^{r z}  \tag{C4}\\
& v_{2}=D e^{r z}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting these assumptions into equations (19) with the right-hand sides all zero leads to the following restrictions on $A, B, C, D$ and $r$ :

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
b_{11} r^{2}-c_{11} & b_{12} r^{2}-c_{12} & -\frac{1}{2} d_{11} r  \tag{C5}\\
b_{12} r^{2}-c_{12} & b_{22} r^{2}-c_{22} & -\frac{1}{2} d_{21} r \\
-\frac{1}{2} d_{11} r & -\frac{1}{2} d_{22} d_{21} r & a_{11}-e_{11} r^{2} \\
0 & a_{12}-e_{12} r^{2} \\
0 & -\frac{1}{2} d_{22} r & a_{12}-e_{12} r^{2} \\
a_{22}-e_{22} r^{2}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
A \\
C \\
D
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right]
$$

Thus, for non-trivial solutions of the form of equations (C4), $r$ must satisfy the following characteristic equation:

$$
\left|\begin{array}{llll}
b_{11} r^{2}-c_{11} & b_{12} r^{2}-c_{12} & -\frac{1}{2} d_{11} r & 0  \tag{C6}\\
b_{12} r^{2}-c_{12} & b_{22} r^{2}-c_{22} & -\frac{1}{2} d_{21} r & -\frac{1}{2} d_{22} r \\
-\frac{1}{2} d_{11} r & -\frac{1}{2} d_{21} r & a_{11}-e_{11} r^{2} & a_{12}-e_{12} r^{2} \\
0 & -\frac{1}{2} d_{22} r & a_{12}-e_{12} r^{2} & a_{22}-e_{22} r^{2}
\end{array}\right|=0
$$

Expanding the determinant, multiplying through by 16 for convenience, and introducing the shorthand notation

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\hat{a} \equiv a_{11} a_{22}-a_{12}^{2} & \hat{g} \equiv 2 a_{12} e_{12}-a_{11} e_{22}-a_{22} e_{11} \\
\hat{b} \equiv b_{11} b_{22}-b_{12}^{2} & \hat{h} \equiv a_{12} d_{22}-a_{22} d_{21} \\
\hat{c}=c_{11} c_{22}-c_{12}^{2} & \hat{j} \equiv a_{11} d_{22}-a_{12} d_{21} \\
\hat{e}=e_{11} e_{22}-e_{12}^{2} & \hat{k} \equiv d_{21} e_{22}-d_{22} e_{12} \\
\hat{f} \equiv 2 b_{12} c_{12}-b_{11} c_{22}-b_{22} c_{11} & \hat{m} \equiv d_{21} e_{12}-d_{22} e_{11} \tag{CT}
\end{array}
$$

one converts equation (C6) to

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{0}+k_{2} r^{2}+k_{4} r^{4}+k_{6} r^{6}+k_{8} r^{8}=0 \tag{CB}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
k_{0}= & 16 \hat{a} \hat{c} \\
k_{2}= & 16(\hat{a} \hat{f}+\hat{c} \hat{g})+4 \hat{h}\left(2 c_{12} d_{11}-c_{11} d_{21}\right) \\
& +4\left(c_{11} d_{22} \hat{j}+a_{22} c_{22} d_{11}^{2}\right) \\
k_{4}= & 16(\hat{a} \hat{b}+\hat{c} \hat{e}+\hat{f} \hat{g}) \\
& +4 \hat{b}_{11}\left(d_{21} \hat{h}-d_{22} \hat{j}\right) \\
& +4 c_{11}\left(d_{22} \hat{m}-d_{21} \hat{k}\right)  \tag{c9}\\
& +8 \mathrm{~d}_{11}\left(c_{12} \hat{k}-b_{12} \hat{h}\right) \\
& +d_{11}^{2}\left(d_{22}^{2}-4 a_{22} b_{22}-4 c_{22} e_{22}\right) \\
k_{6}= & 16(\hat{b} \hat{g}+\hat{e} \hat{f})+4 \hat{k}\left(b_{11} d_{21}-2 b_{12} d_{11}\right) \\
& -4 \hat{b_{11}} d_{22} \hat{m}+4 \hat{b}_{22} d_{11}^{2} e_{22} \\
k_{8}= & 16 \hat{b} \hat{e}
\end{align*}
$$

Reduced form of the characteristic equation. - By substituting into equations (C9) the definitions of $\hat{a}, \hat{c}$, etc. from equations (C7), and then further eliminating $a_{11}, a_{22}$, etc. through equations (4), (8), (B2) and (13), and (15), the coefficients $k_{0}, k_{2}, \ldots, k_{8}$ of the characteristic equation (C8) can eventually be expressed in terms of the elastic constants, the geometrical parameters $e, k, f$ and $\theta$, and the thickness $t$.

In reducing the characteristic equation in the manner described above it will also be desirable to introduce the dimensionless parameter er in place of $r$, divide the equation through by $E^{4}$ in order to non-dimensionalize the coefficients, and factor out a common factor (t/e)4.

As a result of these steps, the characteristic equation (C8) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[k_{04}\left(\frac{t}{e}\right)^{4}\right] } & +\left[k_{22}\left(\frac{t}{e}\right)^{2}+k_{24}\left(\frac{t}{e}\right)^{4}\right](r e)^{2}+\left[k_{42}\left(\frac{t}{e}\right)^{2}+k_{44}\left(\frac{t}{e}\right)^{4}\right](r e)^{4} \\
& +\left[k_{60}+k_{62}\left(\frac{t}{e}\right)^{2}+k_{64}\left(\frac{t}{e}\right)^{4}\right](r e)^{6} \\
& +\left[k_{80}+k_{82}\left(\frac{t}{e}\right)^{2}+k_{84}\left(\frac{t}{e}\right)^{4}\right](r e)^{8}=0 \tag{C10}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
k_{04} \equiv & 16\left(\frac{G}{E}\right)^{2} \hat{a}_{1} \hat{c}_{1} \\
k_{22} \equiv & 4\left(\frac{G}{E}\right)^{3}\left[4 \hat{c}_{1} \hat{g}_{1}+2 \hat{h}_{1} c_{12}^{*} d_{11}^{*}-\hat{h}_{1} c_{11}^{*} d_{21}^{*}+c_{11}^{*} d_{22}^{*} \hat{j}_{1}+a_{22}^{*} c_{22}^{*}\left(d_{11}^{*}\right)^{2}\right] \\
k_{24} \equiv & 16\left[\frac{E^{\prime}}{E} \frac{G}{E} \hat{a}_{1} \hat{f}_{1}+\left(\frac{G}{E}\right)^{2} \frac{G^{\prime}}{E} \hat{c}_{1} \hat{g}_{2}\right] \\
k_{42} \equiv & 4\left(\frac{G}{E}\right)^{3} \frac{G^{\prime}}{E}\left[4 \hat{c}_{1} \hat{e}_{2}+c_{11}^{*} d_{11}^{*} \hat{m}_{2}-c_{11}^{*} d_{21}^{*} \hat{k}_{2}+2 d_{11}^{*} c_{12}^{*} \hat{k}_{2}-\left(d_{11}^{*}\right)^{2} c_{22}^{*} e_{22}^{*}\right] \\
& +4 \frac{E^{\prime}}{E}\left(\frac{G}{E}\right)^{2}\left[4 \hat{f}_{1} \hat{g}_{1}-b_{11}^{*} d_{22^{*}} \hat{j}_{1}-2 d_{11}^{*} b_{12}^{*} \hat{h}_{1}-\left(d_{11}^{*}\right)^{2} a_{22}^{*} b_{2}^{*}+b_{11}^{*} d_{21}^{*} \hat{h}_{1}\right] \\
k_{44} \equiv & 16\left[\left(\frac{G}{E}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{G^{\prime}}{E}\right)^{2} \hat{c}_{1} \hat{e}_{3}+\frac{E^{\prime}}{E} \frac{G}{E} \frac{G^{\prime}}{E} \hat{f}_{1} \hat{g}_{2}\right] \\
k_{60} \equiv & 4 \frac{E^{\prime}}{E}\left(\frac{G}{E}\right)^{3}\left[4 \hat{f}_{1} \hat{e}_{1}+\hat{k}_{1} b_{11}^{*} d_{21}^{*}-2 \hat{k}_{1} b_{12}^{*} d_{11}^{*}-b_{11}^{*} d_{2}^{*} \hat{R}_{1}+b_{2}^{*}\left(d_{11}^{*}\right)^{2} e_{22}^{* *}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
k_{62} \equiv & 16\left(\frac{E^{\prime}}{E}\right)^{2} \frac{G}{E} \hat{b}_{1} \hat{g}_{1}+4 \frac{E^{\prime}}{E}\left(\frac{G}{E}\right)^{2} \frac{G^{\prime}}{E}\left[4 \hat{\mathrm{~F}}_{1} \hat{e}_{2}+\hat{k}_{2} b_{11}^{*} d_{21}^{*}-2 \hat{k}_{2} b_{12}^{*} d{ }_{11}^{*}\right. \\
& \left.-b_{11}^{*} d_{2}^{*} \hat{m}_{2}+b_{22}^{*}\left(d_{11}^{*}\right)^{2} \bar{e}_{22}^{*}\right] \\
k_{64} \equiv & 16\left[\left(\frac{E^{\prime}}{E}\right)^{2} \frac{G^{\prime}}{E} \hat{b}_{1} \hat{g}_{2}+\frac{E^{\prime}}{E} \frac{G}{E}\left(\frac{G^{\prime}}{E}\right)^{2} \hat{E}_{1} \hat{e}_{3}\right] \\
k_{80} \equiv & 16\left(\frac{E^{\prime}}{E}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{G}{E}\right)^{2} \hat{b}_{1} \hat{e}_{1} \\
k_{82} \equiv & 16\left(\frac{E^{\prime}}{E}\right)^{2} \frac{G}{E} \frac{G^{\prime}}{E} \hat{b}_{1} \hat{e}_{2} \\
k_{84} \equiv & 16\left(\frac{E^{\prime}}{E}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{G^{\prime}}{E}\right)^{2} \hat{b}_{1} \hat{e}_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{a}_{1} \equiv a_{11}^{*} a_{22}^{*}-\left(a_{12}^{*}\right)^{2} \\
& \hat{b}_{1} \equiv b_{11}^{*} b_{22}^{*}-\left(b_{12}^{*}\right)^{2} \\
& \hat{c}_{1} \equiv c_{11}^{*} c_{22}^{*}-\left(c_{12}^{*}\right)^{2} \\
& \hat{e}_{1} \equiv e_{11}^{* *}{ }_{22}^{* *}-\left(e_{12}^{* *}\right)^{2} \\
& \hat{e}_{2} \equiv e_{11}^{* * \bar{e}_{22}^{*}}+e_{22}^{* * \overline{e n}_{11}^{*}}-2 e_{12}^{* * \bar{x}_{12}^{*}} \\
& \hat{e}_{3} \equiv \bar{e}_{11}^{*} \bar{e}_{22}^{*}-\left(\bar{e}_{12}^{*}\right)^{2} \\
& \hat{\mathrm{f}}_{1} \equiv 2 b_{12}^{*} c_{12}^{*}-b_{11}^{*} c_{22}^{*}-b_{22}^{*} c_{11}^{*} \\
& \hat{g}_{1} \equiv 2 a_{12}^{*} e_{12}^{* *}-a_{11}^{*} e_{22}^{* *}-a_{22}^{*} e_{11}^{* *} \\
& \hat{\mathrm{~g}}_{2} \equiv 2 a_{12}^{*} \overline{\mathrm{e}}_{12}^{*}-\mathrm{a}_{11}^{*} \overline{\mathrm{e}}_{22}^{*}-\mathrm{a}_{22}^{*} \overline{\mathrm{e}}_{11}^{*} \\
& \hat{h}_{1} \equiv a_{12}^{*} \mathrm{~d}_{22}^{*}-\mathrm{a}_{22}^{*} \mathrm{~d}_{21}^{*} \\
& \hat{j}_{1} \equiv a_{11}^{*} d_{22}^{*}-a_{12}^{*} d_{21}^{*} \\
& \hat{k}_{1} \equiv e_{2}^{* *}{ }_{2}^{*}{ }_{21}-e_{12}^{* * d{ }_{2}^{*}} \\
& \hat{k}_{2} \equiv \bar{e}_{22}^{*} d_{21}^{*}-\bar{e}_{12}^{*} d_{22}^{*} \\
& \hat{m}_{1} \equiv d_{21}^{*} e_{12}^{* k}-d_{22}^{* k} e_{11}^{* k} \\
& \hat{m}_{2} \equiv d_{21}^{*} \bar{e}_{12}^{*}-d_{22}^{*} \bar{e}_{11}^{*}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{11}^{*} \equiv \frac{1}{12\left(1-\nu^{2}\right) \beta^{2}}\left[A_{11}+A_{22}\left(\frac{e}{k}\right)^{2} \cos ^{2} \theta+4 A_{33}\left(\frac{e}{f}\right)^{2} \sin ^{4} \theta-A_{12} \frac{e}{k} \cos \theta\right. \\
& \left.+2 A_{23} \frac{e}{k} \frac{e}{f} \sin ^{2} \theta \cos \theta-2 A_{13} \frac{e}{f} \sin ^{2} \theta\right] \\
& a_{12}^{*} \equiv \frac{1}{12\left(1-v^{2}\right) \beta^{2}}\left[A_{22}\left(\frac{e}{k}\right)^{2} \cos \theta-4 A_{33}\left(\frac{e}{f}\right)^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta \cos \theta-\frac{1}{2} A_{12} \frac{e}{k}\right. \\
& \left.-A_{23} \frac{e}{k} \frac{e}{f}\left(\cos ^{2} \theta-\sin ^{2} \theta\right)+A_{13} \frac{e}{f} \cos \theta\right] \\
& \begin{array}{l}
a_{22}^{*} \equiv \frac{1}{12\left(1-v^{2}\right) B^{2}}\left[A_{22}\left(\frac{e}{k}\right)^{2}+4 A_{33}\left(\frac{e}{f}\right)^{2} \cos ^{2} \theta-2 A_{23} \frac{e}{k} \frac{e}{f} \cos \theta\right] \\
b_{11}^{*} \equiv \frac{1}{3}\left(1+\frac{k}{e}\right)
\end{array} \\
& b_{12}^{*} \equiv \frac{1}{6} \frac{k}{e} \\
& b_{22}^{*} \equiv \frac{1}{6}\left(\frac{f}{e}+2 \frac{k}{e}\right) \\
& c_{11}^{*} \equiv 1+\frac{e}{k} \\
& c_{12}^{*} \equiv-\frac{e}{k} \\
& \mathrm{c}_{22}^{*} \equiv \frac{\mathrm{e}}{\mathrm{k}}+2 \frac{\mathrm{e}}{\mathrm{f}} \\
& \mathrm{~d}_{11}^{*}=\mathrm{d}_{22}^{*} \equiv-2 \sin \theta \\
& \mathrm{~d}_{21}^{*} \equiv 2 \sin \theta(1-\cos \theta)  \tag{C13}\\
& \text { edi } \equiv \sin ^{2} \theta\left(\frac{k}{e}+\frac{1}{2} \frac{f}{e} \cos ^{2} \theta\right) \\
& e_{12}^{* *} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \frac{f}{e} \sin ^{2} \theta \cos \theta \\
& e_{22}^{* *} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \frac{f}{e} \sin ^{2} \theta \\
& \bar{e}_{\bar{*}}^{\overline{e^{*}}} \equiv \frac{1}{3}\left(1+\frac{e}{k} \cos ^{2} \theta+2 \frac{e}{f} \sin ^{4} \theta\right) \\
& \begin{array}{l}
\bar{e}_{12}^{*} \equiv \frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{e}{k} \cos \theta-2 \frac{e}{f} \sin ^{2} \theta \cos \theta\right) \\
\left.\bar{e}_{22}^{*} \equiv \frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{e}{k}+2 \frac{e}{f} \cos ^{2} \theta\right)\right)
\end{array}
\end{align*}
$$

The nature of the roots. - Computations show that in general four of the roots of equation (C10) will be real and four complex. Also, since only even powers of re appear in equation (C10), four of the roots will be the negatives of the other four. Thus, letting

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{j} \equiv \text { er }_{j} \quad(j=1,2, \ldots, 8) \tag{C14}
\end{equation*}
$$

denote the eight roots, they can be represented in the following form:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{U}+\mathrm{iV} \\
& \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{iV} \\
& \mathrm{R}_{3}=-\mathrm{U}+\mathrm{iV} \\
& \mathrm{R}_{4}=-\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{iV}  \tag{C15}\\
& \mathrm{R}_{5}=\mathrm{X} \\
& \mathrm{R}_{6}=-\mathrm{X} \\
& \mathrm{R}_{7}=\mathrm{Y} \\
& \mathrm{R}_{8}=-Y
\end{align*}
$$

where $U, V, X$ and $Y$ are all real and dimensionless. For a given profile and given elastic constants, $U, V, X$ and $Y$ are functions of $t / e$ only.

Series expansions for the roots of the characteristic equation. - When $t / e$ is sufficiently small, it is feasible to expand the roots re of equation (C10) in power series in $t / e$. The following three kinds of series expansions are postulated:

$$
\begin{align*}
r e & =q_{0}+q_{2}\left(\frac{t}{e}\right)^{2}+q_{4}\left(\frac{t}{e}\right)^{4}+\ldots  \tag{C16}\\
r e & =p_{1} \frac{t}{e}+p_{3}\left(\frac{t}{e}\right)^{3}+p_{5}\left(\frac{t}{e}\right)^{5}+\ldots  \tag{C17}\\
r e & =\left(\frac{t}{e}\right)^{1 / 2}\left[c_{0}+c_{1} \frac{t}{e}+c_{2}\left(\frac{t}{e}\right)^{2}+\ldots\right] \tag{C18}
\end{align*}
$$

Substitution shows that all three forms can indeed satisfy equation (C10), provided that the coefficients have the following values:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{1}= \pm \sqrt{\frac{-k_{04}}{k_{22}}} \quad \text { (both values generally real) } \\
& p_{3}=-\frac{p_{1}}{2 k_{22}}\left(k_{24}+k_{42} p_{1}^{2}+k_{60} p_{1}^{4}\right) \\
& p_{5}=-\frac{1}{2 k_{22} p_{1}}\left[k_{22} p_{3}^{2}\right. \\
&+\left(2 k_{24}+4 k_{42^{2}} p_{1}^{2}+6 k_{60} p_{1}^{4}\right) p_{1} p_{3} \\
&\left.+\left(k_{44}+k_{62} p_{1}^{2}+k_{80} p_{1}^{4}\right) p_{1}^{4}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
c_{0}=\left(-\frac{k_{22}}{k_{60}}\right)^{1 / 4}=\underset{\text { (four complex values) }}{ \pm} \sqrt[4]{\frac{k_{22}}{k_{60}}} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}(1 \pm i)
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1}=-\frac{k_{04}+k_{42} c_{0}^{4}+k_{80} c_{0}^{8}}{c_{0}\left(2 k_{22}+6 k_{60} c_{0}^{4}\right)} \tag{C21}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\left.c_{2}=-\frac{k_{22} c_{1}^{2}+k_{24} c_{0}^{2}+4 k_{42} c_{0}^{3} c_{1}+15 k_{60} c_{0}^{4} c_{1}^{2}+k_{62} c_{0}^{6}+8 k_{80} c_{0}^{7} c_{1}}{c_{0}\left(2 k_{22}+6 k_{60} c_{0}^{4}\right)}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& q_{0}= \pm \sqrt{\frac{-k_{60}}{k_{80}}} \\
& \text { (both values generally real) } \\
& q_{2}=-\frac{1}{q_{0}^{3}} \frac{k_{22}+k_{42} q_{0}^{2}+k_{62} q_{0}^{4}+k_{82} q_{0}^{6}}{6 k_{60}+8 k_{80} q_{0}^{2}} \\
& q_{4}=-\frac{\left[\begin{array}{c}
k_{04}+\left(2 k_{22}+4 k_{42} q_{0}^{2}+6 k_{62} q_{0}^{4}+8 k_{82} q_{0}^{6}\right) q_{0} q_{2} \\
+\left(15 k_{60}+28 k_{80} q_{0}^{2}\right) q_{0}^{4} q_{2}^{2} \\
+\left(k_{24}+k_{44} q_{0}^{2}+k_{64} q_{0}^{4}+k_{84} q_{0}^{6}\right) q_{0}^{2}
\end{array}\right]}{q_{0}^{5}\left(6 k_{60}+8 k_{80} q_{0}^{2}\right)} \tag{C19}
\end{align*}
$$

Equations (C19) generate two series expansions of the form (C16); equations (C20) generate two more of the form (C17); and equations (C21) give four of the form (C18). Thus series expansions have been obtained for all eight roots of equation (C10). Computations show that both values of $q_{0}$ and both values of $p_{1}$ are real; thus the two roots of the form (C16) are real, as are the two roots of the form (C17). These four roots correspond to $\mathrm{R}_{5}, \mathrm{R}_{6}, \mathrm{R}_{7}$ and $\mathrm{R}_{8}$ of equations (C15). The four values of $c_{0}$ are complex, from which it follows that the four roots of the form (C18) are complex, corresponding to $R_{1}, R_{2}, R_{3}$ and $R_{4}$ of equations (Cl5). It is seen from the series expansions that of the four real roots, two are of the order of $(t / e)^{0}$, and the other two are of the order of $t / e$. The four complex roots are of the order of (t/e) $1 / 2$.

By retaining only the leading term in each of the three series expansions (C16), (C17) and (C18) and (as noted above) identifying $R_{1}, R_{2}, R_{3}$ and $R_{4}$ with the roots yielded by equation (C18), $R_{5}$ and $R_{6}$ with the roots yielded by equation ( $C 16$ ), and $R_{7}$ and $R_{8}$ with the roots yeilded by equation (C18), the following approximate expressions for the $U, V, X$ and $Y$ of equations (C15) are obtained:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{U} & =\mathrm{V} \approx \sqrt[4]{\frac{\mathrm{k}_{22}}{4 \mathrm{k}_{60}}}\left(\frac{\mathrm{t}}{\mathrm{e}}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
\mathrm{X} & \approx \sqrt{\frac{-\mathrm{k}_{60}}{\mathrm{k}_{80}}}  \tag{C22}\\
\mathrm{Y} & \approx \sqrt{\frac{-\mathrm{k}_{04}}{\mathrm{k}_{22}}}\left(\frac{\mathrm{t}}{\mathrm{e}}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Relationship of the coefficients $A, B, C, D$ of equations (C4). Corresponding to any one of the eight roots of the characteristic equation (C10), say er $(j=1,2, \ldots, 8)$, tnere will be a definite relationship between the coefficients $A, B, C$ and $D$ of equations (C4). This relationship is obtained by substituting $r_{j}$ for $r$ in equations (C5) and solving any three of those equations for three of the coefficients in terms of the fourth. The last three of those equations will be selected for this purpose and rewritten as follows with the intention of solving for $B, C$ and $D$ in terms of $A$ :

$$
\left[\begin{array}{lll}
b_{22}(e r)^{2}-c_{22} e^{2} & -\frac{1}{2} d_{21} e \cdot(e r) & -\frac{1}{2} d_{22} e \cdot(e r) \\
-\frac{1}{2} d_{21} e \cdot(e r) & a_{11} e^{2}-e_{11}(e r)^{2} & a_{12} e^{2}-e_{12}(e r)^{2} \\
-\frac{1}{2} d_{22} e \cdot(e r) & a_{12} e^{2}-e_{12}(e r)^{2} & a_{22} e^{2}-e_{22}(e r)^{2}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
B / A \\
C / A \\
D / A
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
c_{12} e^{2}-b_{12}(e r)^{2} \\
\frac{1}{2} d_{11} e^{\cdot(e r)} \\
0
\end{array}\right]
$$

(C23)

Introducing the definitions of $b_{22}, c_{22}$, etc. from equations (4), (8), (13), (B2) and (15), substituting $r=r_{j}$, letting $A_{j}, B_{j}, C_{j}, D_{j}$ denote the values of $A, B, C, D$ corresponding to $r=r_{j}$, and introducing the notation defined in equation (C14), namely $R_{j} \equiv e r_{j}$, equations (C23) can be rewritten as follows:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{lll}
L_{11} & L_{12} & L_{13}  \tag{C24}\\
L_{12} & L_{22} & L_{23} \\
L_{13} & L_{23} & L_{33}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
B_{j} / A_{j} \\
C_{j} / A_{j} \\
D_{j} / A_{j}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
\frac{G}{E} c_{12}^{*}-\frac{E^{\prime}}{E} b_{12}^{*} R_{j}^{2} \\
\frac{1}{2} \frac{G}{E} d_{11}^{*} R_{j} \\
0
\end{array}\right]
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
L_{11} & \equiv \frac{E^{\prime}}{E} b_{22}^{*} R_{j}^{2}-\frac{G}{E} c_{22}^{*} \\
L_{12} & \equiv-\frac{1}{2} \frac{G}{E} d_{21}^{*} R_{j} \\
L_{13} & \equiv-\frac{1}{2} \frac{G}{E} d_{22}^{*} R_{j} \\
L_{22} & \equiv a_{11}^{*}\left(\frac{t}{e}\right)^{2}-\left[\frac{G}{E} e_{11}^{* *}+\frac{G^{\prime}}{E}\left(\frac{t}{e}\right)^{2} \frac{e_{12}^{*}}{12}\right] R_{j}^{2}  \tag{C25}\\
L_{23} & =a_{12}^{*}\left(\frac{t}{e}\right)^{2}-\left[\frac{G}{E} e_{12}^{* *}+\frac{G^{\prime}}{E}\left(\frac{t}{e}\right)^{2} \bar{e}_{12}^{*}\right] R_{j}^{2} \\
L_{33} & =a_{22}^{*}\left(\frac{t}{e}\right)^{2}-\left[\frac{G}{E} e_{2}^{* *}+\frac{G^{\prime}}{E}\left(\frac{t}{e}\right)^{2} \bar{e}_{2}^{*}\right] R_{j}^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

The solution of equations (C24) can be written as

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
B_{j} / A_{j}  \tag{C26}\\
C_{j} / A_{j} \\
D_{j} / A_{j}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\gamma_{j}^{B} \\
\gamma_{j}^{C} \\
D \\
\gamma_{j}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where

$$
\left[\begin{array}{r}
\gamma_{j}^{B}  \tag{C27}\\
\gamma_{j}^{C} \\
\gamma_{j}^{D}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
L_{11} & L_{12} & L_{13} \\
L_{12} & L_{22} & L_{23} \\
L_{13} & L_{23} & L_{33}
\end{array}\right]^{-1}\left[\begin{array}{l}
\frac{G}{E} c_{12}^{*} \\
\\
\frac{1}{2} \frac{E^{\prime}}{E} d_{11}^{*} b_{12}^{*} \\
R_{j}^{2} \\
0
\end{array}\right]
$$

$\gamma_{j}^{B}$, For computational purposes it may be desirable to be able to anticipate how $\gamma_{j}, \gamma_{j}$ depend on $t / e$. Earlier, in discussing the series expansions for the roots $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{j}} \equiv$ er $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{j}}$, it was found that two real roots are of the order of 1 , the other two real roots are of the order of $t / e$, and the four complex roots are of the order of ( $t / e)^{1 / 2}$. Imagining equations (C24) to be solved by Cramer's rule, it becomes evident that: when $R_{j}$ is of the order of $\frac{1}{B}, \gamma_{j}^{B}, \gamma_{j}^{C}, \gamma_{j}^{D}$ are also of the order of 1 ; when $R_{j}$ is of the order of $t / e, \gamma_{j}^{B}$ is of the order of $1, b^{\prime} t_{B} \gamma_{j}^{C}$ and $\gamma_{j}^{D}$ are of the order of $(t / e)^{-1}$; when $R_{j}$ is of the order of $(t / e)^{1 / 2}, \gamma_{j}^{B J}$ is of the order of 1 , and $\gamma_{j}^{C}$ and $\gamma_{j}^{D}$ are of the order of ( $t / e)^{-1 / 2}$.

## The nature of $\gamma_{j}^{B}, \gamma_{j}^{C}, \gamma_{j}^{D}$. From equations (C23) the following things

 are evident: (a) if $r$ is replaced by its negative, $B / A$ remains unchanged while C/A and D/A merely change sign; (b) if $r$ is replaced by its complex conjugate, $\mathrm{B} / \mathrm{A}, \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{A}$, and $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{A}$ are changed to their complex conjugates; (c) if $r$ is real, $B / A, C / A$, and $D / A$ are real; and (d) if $r$ is complex, $B / A, C / A$, and D/A are complex. From (a) and (b) and equations (C15) it follows that$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\gamma_{4}^{B}=\gamma_{1}^{B} & \gamma_{4}^{C}=-\gamma_{1}^{C} & \gamma_{4}^{D}=-\gamma_{1}^{D} \\
\gamma_{3}^{B}=\gamma_{2}^{B} & \gamma_{3}^{C}=-\gamma_{2}^{C} & \gamma_{3}^{D}=-\gamma_{2}^{D} \\
\gamma_{6}^{B}=\gamma_{5}^{B} & \gamma_{6}^{C}=-\gamma_{5}^{C} & \gamma_{6}^{D}=-\gamma_{5}^{D} \\
\gamma_{8}^{B}=\gamma_{7}^{B} & \gamma_{8}^{C}=-\gamma_{7}^{C} & \gamma_{8}^{D}=-\gamma_{7}^{D}
\end{array}
$$

and

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\gamma_{2}^{B}=\gamma_{1}^{B^{*}} & \gamma_{2}^{C}=\gamma_{1}^{C^{*}} & \gamma_{2}^{D}=\gamma_{1}^{D^{*}} \\
\gamma_{4}^{B}=\gamma_{3}^{B^{*}} & \gamma_{4}^{C}=\gamma_{3}^{C^{*}} & \gamma_{4}^{D}=\gamma_{3}^{D^{*}}
\end{array}
$$

where the asterisks denote "complex conjugate".
From these deductions and (c) and (d), it follows that the $\gamma_{j}^{B}, \gamma_{j}^{C}$, and $\gamma_{j}^{D}$ can be represented as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\gamma_{1}^{B-}=P^{B}+i Q^{B} & \gamma_{1}^{C}=P^{C}+i Q^{C} & \gamma_{1}^{D}=P^{D}+i Q^{D} \\
\gamma_{2}^{B}=P^{B}-i Q^{B} & \gamma_{2}^{C}=P^{C}-i Q^{C} & \gamma_{2}^{D}=P^{D}-i Q^{D} \\
\gamma_{3}^{B}=P^{B}-i Q^{B} & \gamma_{3}^{C}=-P^{C}+i Q^{C} & \gamma_{3}^{D}=-P^{D}+i Q^{D} \\
\gamma_{4}^{B}=P^{B}+i Q^{B} & \gamma_{4}^{C}=-P^{C}-i Q^{C} & \gamma_{4}^{D}=-P^{D}-i Q^{D} \\
\gamma_{5}^{B}=S^{B} & \gamma_{5}^{C}=S^{C} & \gamma_{5}^{D}=S^{D}  \tag{C29}\\
\gamma_{6}^{B}=S^{B} & \gamma_{6}^{C}=-S^{C} & \gamma_{6}^{D}=-S^{D} \\
\gamma_{7}^{B}=T^{B} & \gamma_{7}^{C}=T^{C} & \gamma_{7}^{D}=T^{D} \\
\gamma_{8}^{B}=T^{B} & \gamma_{8}^{C}=-T^{C} & \gamma_{8}^{D}=-T^{D}
\end{array}
$$

where $P^{B, C, D}, Q^{B, C, D}, S^{B, C, D}$, and $T^{B, C, D}$ are real numbers.
Complementary solution. - At this point eight solutions of the form of equations (C4) have been derived. The complete complementary solution, obtained by adding the eight individual solutions, is

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{1}=\sum_{j=1}^{8} A_{j} \exp \left(\frac{R_{j} Z}{e}\right) \\
& u_{2}=\sum_{j=1}^{8} A_{j} \gamma_{j}^{B} \exp \left(\frac{R_{j} z}{e}\right)  \tag{C30}\\
& v_{1}=\sum_{j=1}^{8} A_{j} \gamma_{j}^{C} \exp \left(\frac{R_{j} z}{e}\right) \\
& v_{2}=\sum_{j=1}^{8} A_{j} \gamma_{j}^{D} \exp \left(\frac{R_{j} z}{e}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting for the $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{j}}$ their expressions from equations (C15), and for the $\gamma_{j}^{B}, \gamma_{j}^{C}, \gamma_{j}^{D}$ their expressions from equations (C29), one obtains:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{1}=e^{\frac{U_{z}}{e}}\left[\left(A_{1}+A_{2}\right) \cos \frac{V z}{e}+i\left(A_{1}-A_{2}\right) \sin \frac{V z}{e}\right] \\
& +e^{-\frac{U z}{e}}\left[\left(A_{3}+A_{4}\right) \cos \frac{V z}{e}+i\left(A_{3}-A_{4}\right) \sin \frac{V z}{e}\right] \\
& +A_{5} e^{\frac{X z}{e}}+A_{6} e^{-\frac{X z}{e}}+A_{7} e^{\frac{Y z}{e}}+A_{8} e^{-\frac{Y z}{e}} \\
& u_{2}=e^{\frac{U z}{e}}\left[\left\{\left(A_{1}+A_{2}\right) P^{B}+i\left(A_{1}-A_{2}\right) Q^{B}\right\} \cos \frac{V z}{e}\right. \\
& \left.+\left\{i\left(A_{1}-A_{2}\right) P^{B}-\left(A_{1}+A_{2}\right) Q^{B}\right\} \sin \frac{V z}{e}\right] \\
& +e^{-\frac{U z}{e}}\left[\left\{\left(A_{3}+A_{4}\right) P^{B}-i\left(A_{3}-A_{4}\right) Q^{B}\right\} \cos \frac{V z}{e}\right. \\
& \left.+\left\{i\left(A_{3}-A_{4}\right) P^{B}+\left(A_{3}+A_{4}\right) Q^{B}\right\} \sin \frac{V_{z}}{e}\right] \\
& +S^{B}\left(A_{5} e^{\frac{X z}{e}}+A_{6} e^{-\frac{X z}{e}}\right)+T^{B}\left(A_{7} e^{\frac{Y z}{e}}+A_{8} e^{-\frac{Y z}{e}}\right) \\
& v_{1}=e^{\frac{V z}{e}}\left\{\left\{\left(A_{1}+A_{2}\right) P^{C}+i\left(A_{1}-A_{2}\right) C\right\} \cos \frac{V_{2}}{e}\right. \\
& \left.+\left\{i\left(A_{1}-A_{2}\right) P^{C}-\left(A_{1}+A_{2}\right) Q^{C}\right\} \sin \frac{\nabla z}{E}\right] \\
& +e^{-\frac{U z}{e}}\left[\left\{-\left(A_{3}+A_{4}\right) P^{C}+i\left(A_{3}-A_{4}\right) Q^{C}\right\} \cos \frac{V z}{e}\right. \\
& \left.+\left\{-1\left(A_{3}-A_{4}\right) P^{C}-\left(A_{3}+A_{4}\right) Q^{C}\right\} \sin \frac{V z}{e}\right] \\
& +S^{C}\left(A_{5} e^{\frac{X_{z}}{e}}-A_{6} e^{-\frac{Y z}{e}}\right)+T^{C}\left(A_{7} e^{\frac{Y z}{e}}-A_{8} e^{-\frac{Y z}{e}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
v_{2}= & e^{\frac{U z}{e}\left[\left\{\left(A_{1}+A_{2}\right) P^{D}+i\left(A_{1}-A_{2}\right) Q^{D}\right\} \cos \frac{V_{z}}{e}\right.} \\
& \left.+\left\{i\left(A_{1}-A_{2}\right) P^{D}-\left(A_{1}+A_{2}\right) Q^{D}\right\} \sin \frac{V_{z}}{e}\right] \\
& +e^{-\frac{U z}{e}}\left[\left\{-\left(A_{3}+A_{4}\right) P^{D}+i\left(A_{3}-A_{4}\right) Q^{D}\right\} \cos \frac{V z}{e}\right. \\
& \left.+\left\{-i\left(A_{3}-A_{4}\right) P^{D}-\left(A_{3}+A_{4}\right) Q^{D}\right\} \sin \frac{V_{z}}{e}\right] \\
& +s^{D}\left(A_{5} e^{\frac{R_{z}}{e}}-A_{6} e^{-\frac{X z}{e}}\right)+T^{D}\left(A_{7} e^{\frac{Y_{z}}{e}}-A_{8} e^{e}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

By expressing the exponential functions in terms of hyperbolic sines and cosines, these results are converted to the following form, in which each term is easily identified as being odd or even in $z$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{I}=\cosh \frac{U z}{e}\left(\overline{\bar{A}}_{1} \cos \frac{V z}{e}+\overline{\bar{A}}_{2} \sin \frac{V z}{e}\right) \\
& +\sinh \frac{U z}{e}\left(\tilde{\bar{A}}_{3} \cos \frac{V z}{e}+\overline{\bar{A}}_{4} \sin \frac{V z}{e}\right) \\
& +\overline{\bar{A}}_{5} \cosh \frac{X z}{e}+\overline{\bar{A}}_{6} \sinh \frac{X z}{e}+\overline{\bar{A}}_{7} \cosh \frac{Y z}{e}+\overline{\bar{A}}_{8} \sinh \frac{Y z}{e}  \tag{C3Ia}\\
& u_{2}=\cosh \frac{U z}{e}\left[\left(=\bar{A}_{1} P^{B}+\overline{\bar{A}}_{4} Q^{B}\right) \cos \frac{V z}{e}+\left(\overline{\bar{A}}_{2} P^{B}-\overline{\bar{A}}_{3} Q^{B}\right) \sin \frac{V z}{e}\right] \\
& +\sinh \frac{U z}{e}\left[\left(\overline{\bar{A}}_{3} P^{B}+\overline{\bar{A}}_{2} Q^{B}\right) \cos \frac{V z}{e}+\left(\overline{\bar{A}}_{4} P^{B}-\overline{\bar{A}}_{1} Q^{B}\right) \sin \frac{V z}{e}\right] \\
& +S^{B}\left(\overline{\bar{A}}_{5} \cosh \frac{X z}{e}+\overline{\bar{A}}_{6} \sinh \frac{X z}{e}\right) \\
& +T^{B}\left(\overline{\bar{A}}_{7} \cosh \frac{Y z}{e}+\overline{\bar{A}}_{8} \sinh \frac{Y z}{e}\right) \tag{c31b}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
v_{1}= & \cosh \frac{U z}{e}\left[\left(\overline{\bar{A}}_{3} P^{C}+\overline{\bar{A}}_{4} Q^{C}\right) \cos \frac{V z}{e}+\left(\overline{\bar{A}}_{4} P^{C}-\overline{\bar{A}}_{1} Q^{C}\right) \sin \frac{V_{z}}{e}\right] \\
& +\sinh \frac{U z}{e}\left[\left(\overline{\bar{A}}_{1} P^{C}+\overline{\bar{A}}_{4} Q^{C}\right) \cos \frac{V z}{e}+\left(\overline{\bar{A}}_{2} P^{C}-\overline{\bar{A}}_{3} Q^{C}\right) \sin \frac{V z}{e}\right] \\
& +s^{C}\left(\overline{\bar{A}}_{6} \cosh \frac{X_{z}}{e}+\overline{\bar{A}}_{5} \sinh \frac{X z}{e}\right) \\
& +T^{C}\left(\overline{\bar{A}}_{8} \cosh \frac{Y z}{e}+\overline{\bar{A}}_{7} \sinh \frac{Y z}{e}\right)  \tag{C31c}\\
v_{2}= & \cosh \frac{U z}{e}\left[\left(\overline{\bar{A}}_{3} P^{D}+\overline{\bar{A}}_{2} Q^{D}\right) \cos \frac{V z}{e}+\left(\overline{\bar{A}}_{4} P^{D}-\overline{\bar{A}}_{1} Q^{D}\right) \sin \frac{V z}{e}\right] \\
& +\sinh \frac{U z}{e}\left[\left(\overline{\bar{A}}_{1} P^{D}+\overline{\bar{A}}_{4} Q^{D}\right) \cos \frac{V z}{e}+\left(\overline{\bar{A}}_{2} P^{D}-\overline{\bar{A}}_{3} Q^{D}\right) \sin \frac{V_{z}}{e}\right] \\
& +s^{D}\left(\overline{\bar{A}_{6}} \cosh \frac{X z}{e}+\overline{\bar{A}}_{5} \sinh \frac{X z}{e}\right] \\
& +T^{D}\left(\overline{\bar{A}}_{8} \cosh \frac{Y z}{e}+\overline{\bar{A}}_{7} \sinh \frac{Y z}{e}\right) \tag{c31d}
\end{align*}
$$

where the new arbitrary constants $\overline{\bar{A}}_{1}, \overline{\bar{A}}_{2}, \ldots, \overline{\bar{A}}_{8}$ are the following linear combinations of the original arbitrary constants $A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots, A_{8}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \overline{\bar{A}}_{1} \equiv A_{1}+A_{2}+A_{3}+A_{4} \\
& \overline{\bar{A}}_{2} \equiv 1\left(A_{1}-A_{2}+A_{3}-A_{4}\right) \\
& \overline{\bar{A}}_{3} \equiv A_{1}+A_{2}-A_{3}-A_{4} \\
& \overline{\bar{A}_{4}} \equiv 1\left(A_{1}-A_{2}-A_{3}+A_{4}\right) \\
& \overline{\bar{A}}_{5} \equiv A_{5}+A_{6} \\
& \overline{\bar{A}}_{6} \equiv A_{5}-A_{6} \\
& \overline{\bar{A}}_{7} \equiv A_{7}+A_{8} \\
& \overline{\bar{A}}_{8} \equiv A_{7}-A_{8}
\end{aligned}
$$

On physical grounds, the total (particular plus complementary) solutions for $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ should be even functions of $z$, while the total solution for $v_{1}$ and $\mathrm{v}_{2}$ should be odd functions of $z$. The particular solutions, equations (C3), already satisfy this requirement; therefore the complementary solution, equations (C31), must also satisfy it. In order to eliminate from equations (C31) those terms not having the proper parity, it is necessary to set $\overline{\bar{A}}_{2}, \overline{\bar{A}}_{3}, \overline{\bar{A}}_{6}$, and $\overline{\mathrm{A}}_{8}$ equal to zero.

Complete solution. - Setting four of the constants equal to zero in the complementary solution, as described above, and adding to it the particular solution, equations (C3), there results the following complete solution in terms of four arbitrary constants $\overline{\bar{A}}_{1}, \overline{\bar{A}}_{4}, \overline{\bar{A}}_{5}, \overline{\bar{A}}_{7}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{1}= & \zeta_{1} u_{0}+\overline{\bar{A}}_{1} \cosh \frac{U z}{e} \cos \frac{V z}{e}+\overline{\bar{A}}_{4} \sinh \frac{U z}{e} \sin \frac{V z}{e} \\
& +\overline{\bar{A}}_{5} \cosh \frac{X z}{e}+\overline{\bar{A}}_{7} \cosh \frac{Y z}{e}  \tag{C32a}\\
u_{2}= & \zeta_{2} u_{0}+\left(\overline{\bar{A}}_{1} P^{B}+\overline{\bar{A}}_{4} Q^{B}\right) \cosh \frac{U z}{e} \cos \frac{V z}{e} \\
& +\left(\overline{\bar{A}}_{4} P^{B}-\overline{\bar{A}}_{1} Q^{B}\right) \sinh \frac{U z}{e} \sin \frac{V z}{e} \\
& +\overline{\bar{A}}_{5} S^{B} \cosh \frac{X z}{e}+\overline{\bar{A}}_{7} T^{B} \cosh \frac{Y z}{e}  \tag{C32b}\\
v_{1}= & \left(\overline{\bar{A}}_{4} P^{C}-\overline{\bar{A}}_{1} Q^{C}\right) \cosh \frac{U z}{e} \sin \frac{V z}{e} \\
& +\left(\overline{\bar{A}}_{1} P^{C}+\overline{\bar{A}}_{4} Q^{C}\right) \sinh \frac{U z}{e} \cos \frac{V z}{e} \\
& +\overline{\bar{A}}_{5} S^{C} \sinh \frac{X z}{e}+\overline{\bar{A}}_{7} T^{C} \sinh \frac{Y z}{e}  \tag{C32c}\\
V_{2}= & \left(\overline{\bar{A}}_{4} P^{D}-\overline{\bar{A}}_{1} Q^{D}\right) \cosh \frac{U z}{e} \sin \frac{V z}{e} \\
& +\left(\overline{\bar{A}}_{1} P^{D}+\overline{\bar{A}}_{4} Q^{D}\right) \sinh \frac{U z}{e} \cos \frac{V z}{e} \\
& +\overline{\bar{A}}_{5} S^{D} \sinh \frac{X z}{e}+\overline{\bar{A}}_{7} T^{D} \sinh \frac{Y z}{e} \tag{C32d}
\end{align*}
$$

Evaluation of the arbitrary constants through boundary conditions. - The unknown constants $\overline{\bar{A}}_{1}, \overline{\bar{A}}_{4}, \overline{\bar{A}}_{5}$, and $\overline{\bar{A}}_{7}$ are determined from the boundary conditions, which are: equations (B13) and (B11) in the case of point attachments at the ends of the trough lines only (fig. 3(a)); equations (B13), (B5) and (B12) if there are point attachments at the ends of the crest lines as well (fig. 3(b)); and equations (B13), (B11') and the second of (B11) if there are wide attachments at the ends of the trough lines (fig. 3(d)). Substitution of equations (C32) into these boundary conditons leads to four simultaneous equations for $\overline{\mathrm{A}}_{1}, \overline{\bar{A}}_{4}, \overline{\mathrm{~A}}_{5}$ and $\overline{\bar{A}}_{7}$.

For the case of point attachments at the ends of the trough lines only these equations are
where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{N}_{11}=\mathrm{USC}-\mathrm{VCs} \\
& \mathrm{~N}_{12}=\mathrm{UCS}+\mathrm{VSc} \\
& \mathrm{~N}_{13}=\mathrm{x} \\
& \mathrm{~N}_{14}=\mathrm{Y} \\
& \mathrm{~N}_{21}=\mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{B}_{N_{11}}}-\mathrm{Q}^{\mathrm{B}^{\mathrm{N}}}{ }_{12} \\
& N_{22}=Q^{B} N_{11}+P^{B} N_{12} \\
& N_{23}=S^{B} X \\
& N_{24}=T^{B} \\
& N_{31}=\dot{d}_{11} C \overline{C c}+\tilde{d}_{21} \alpha_{1}^{B}+\tilde{e}_{11}{ }_{1}^{C}+\tilde{e}_{12}{ }^{\beta}{ }_{1}^{D} \\
& N_{32}=\tilde{d}_{11} S \mathrm{~s}+\tilde{d}_{21}{ }_{2}^{B}+\tilde{e}_{11} \beta_{2}^{C}+\tilde{e}_{12}{ }^{B}{ }_{2}^{D} \\
& N_{33}=\left(\tilde{d}_{11}+\tilde{d}_{21} s^{B}+\tilde{e}_{11} s^{C} X+\tilde{e}_{12} s^{D} X\right) \operatorname{coth} \frac{X b}{e} \\
& N_{34}=\left(\tilde{d}_{11}+\tilde{d}_{21} T^{B}+\tilde{e}_{11} T^{C} Y+\tilde{e}_{12} T^{D} Y\right) \operatorname{coth} \frac{Y b}{e} \\
& N_{3}=-\zeta_{1} \tilde{\mathrm{~d}}_{11}-\zeta_{2} \tilde{\mathrm{~d}}_{21} \\
& N_{41}=\tilde{d}_{11} \alpha_{1}^{B}+\tilde{e}_{12} \beta_{1}^{C}+\dot{e}_{22} \beta_{1}^{D} \\
& N_{42}=\tilde{d}_{11}{ }_{2}^{B}+\tilde{e}_{12} \beta_{2}^{C}+\tilde{e}_{22}{ }^{\beta}{ }_{2}^{D}
\end{aligned}
$$

(Continued on next page)

$$
\begin{align*}
& N_{43}=\left(\tilde{d}_{11} s^{B}+\tilde{e}_{12} S^{C} X+\tilde{e}_{22} s^{D} X\right) \operatorname{coth} \frac{X b}{e} \\
& N_{44}=\left(\tilde{d}_{11} T^{B}+\tilde{e}_{12} T^{C}{ }^{C}+\tilde{e}_{22} T^{D}{ }^{D}\right) \operatorname{coth} \frac{Y b}{e} \\
& N_{4}=-\tilde{d}_{11} \zeta_{2} \tag{C34}
\end{align*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{align*}
& \widehat{S S} \equiv \sin \frac{\mathrm{Vb}}{\mathrm{e}} \\
& \widehat{S C} \equiv \cos \frac{\mathrm{Vb}}{\mathrm{e}} \\
& \overline{C s} \equiv \operatorname{coth} \frac{\mathrm{Ub}}{\mathrm{e}} \sin \frac{\mathrm{Vb}}{\mathrm{e}}  \tag{C35}\\
& \widehat{C C} \equiv \operatorname{coth} \frac{\mathrm{Ub}}{\mathrm{e}} \cos \frac{\mathrm{Vb}}{\mathrm{e}} \\
& \alpha_{1}^{B} \equiv P^{B C C}-Q^{B} S s \\
& \alpha_{2}^{B} \equiv P^{B} \boldsymbol{S s}+Q^{B} C \bar{C} \\
& \beta_{1}^{C} \equiv \mathrm{U}\left(\mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{C}} \stackrel{C}{\mathrm{C}}-Q^{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{SS}\right)-\mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{SS}+Q^{\mathrm{C}} \hat{\mathrm{CC}}\right) \\
& \beta_{2}^{C} \equiv U\left(P^{C} S s+Q^{C} C \mathcal{C}\right)+V\left(P^{C} C c-Q^{C} s\right)^{C} \tag{C36}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B_{2}^{D} \equiv U\left(P^{D} \varsigma s+Q^{D} \subset C\right)+V\left(P^{D} \subset c-Q^{D} s s\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{d}_{11} \equiv-\sin \theta \\
& \tilde{d}_{21} \equiv \sin \theta(1-\cos \theta) \\
& \tilde{e}_{11} \equiv \sin ^{2} \theta\left(\frac{k}{e}+\frac{1}{2} \frac{f}{e} \cos ^{2} \theta\right)+\frac{1}{3} \frac{G^{\prime}}{G}\left(\frac{t}{e}\right)^{2}\left(1+\frac{e}{k} \cos ^{2} \theta+2 \frac{e}{f} \sin ^{4} \theta\right)  \tag{C37}\\
& \tilde{e}_{12} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \frac{f}{e} \sin ^{2} \theta \cos \theta+\frac{1}{3} \frac{G^{\prime}}{G}\left(\frac{t}{e}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{e}{k} \cos \theta-2 \frac{e}{f} \sin ^{2} \theta \cos \theta\right) \\
& \tilde{e}_{22} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \frac{f}{e} \sin ^{2} \theta+\frac{1}{3} \frac{G^{\prime}}{G}\left(\frac{t}{e}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{e}{k}+2 \frac{e}{f} \cos ^{2} \theta\right)
\end{align*}
$$

As is implied in the form of equations (C33), it is usually convenient for computational purposes to regard $\frac{\bar{A}_{1}}{u_{0}} \sinh \frac{U b}{e}, \frac{\bar{A}_{4}}{u_{0}} \sinh \frac{U b}{e}, \frac{\bar{A}_{5}}{u_{0}} \sinh \frac{X b}{e}$ and $\frac{\overline{\bar{A}}_{7}}{u_{0}} \sinh \frac{Y b}{e}$ as unknowns, rather than $\frac{\bar{A}_{1}}{u_{0}}, \frac{\bar{A}_{4}}{u_{0}}, \frac{\bar{A}_{5}}{u_{0}}, \frac{\bar{A}_{7}}{u_{0}}$.

For the case of point attachments at the ends of the crest lines and the trough lines the simultaneous equations arising from the boundary conditions are

$$
\left[\begin{array}{llll}
\mathrm{N}_{11} & \mathrm{~N}_{12} & \mathrm{~N}_{13} & \mathrm{~N}_{14}  \tag{C38}\\
\mathrm{~N}_{21} & \mathrm{~N}_{22} & \mathrm{~N}_{23} & \mathrm{~N}_{24} \\
\bar{N}_{31} & \overline{\mathrm{~N}}_{32} & \overline{\mathrm{~N}}_{33} & \overline{\mathrm{~N}}_{34} \\
\bar{N}_{41} & \overline{\mathrm{~N}}_{42} & \bar{N}_{43} & \overline{\mathrm{~N}}_{44}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{\overline{\mathrm{A}}_{1}}{\mathrm{u}_{0}} & \sinh \frac{\mathrm{Ub}}{\mathrm{e}} \\
\frac{\overline{\bar{A}_{4}}}{\frac{\mathrm{u}_{0}}{\bar{A}_{5}}} & \sinh \frac{\mathrm{Ub}}{\mathrm{e}} \\
\frac{\overline{\mathrm{u}}_{0}}{\overline{\mathrm{~A}}_{7}} & \sinh \frac{\mathrm{Xb}}{\mathrm{e}} \\
\frac{\sinh }{\mathrm{u}_{0}} & \mathrm{Yb}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
\overline{N_{4}}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{N}_{31}=\left(P^{C} \mathrm{Sc}-Q^{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{Cs}\right) \cos \theta+\left(P^{\mathrm{D}} \mathrm{Sc}-Q^{\mathrm{D}} \mathrm{Cs}\right) \\
& \vec{N}_{32}=\left(P^{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{Cs}+Q^{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{SC}\right) \cos \theta+\left(P^{\mathrm{D}} \mathrm{Cs}+Q^{\mathrm{D}} \mathrm{SC}\right) \\
& \bar{N}_{33}=s^{C} \cos \theta+s^{D} \\
& \bar{N}_{34}=T^{C} \cos \theta+T^{D} \\
& \overline{\mathrm{~N}}_{4 \mathrm{I}}=\mathrm{N}_{31}-\mathrm{N}_{4 \mathrm{I}} \cos \theta  \tag{C39}\\
& \bar{N}_{42}=N_{32}-N_{42} \cos \theta \\
& \bar{N}_{43}=N_{33}-N_{43} \cos \theta \\
& \bar{N}_{44}=\mathrm{N}_{34}-\mathrm{N}_{44} \cos \theta \\
& \bar{N}_{4}=N_{3}-N_{4} \cos \theta
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, for the case of wide attachments at the ends of the trough lines they are

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \overline{\bar{N}}_{31}=P^{C} \widehat{S c}-Q^{C} \hat{c s} \\
& \overline{\bar{N}}_{32}=P^{C} \hat{c s}+Q^{C} \widehat{S c} \\
& \bar{N}_{33}=S^{C} \\
& \overline{\bar{N}}_{34}=T^{C}
\end{align*}
$$

Relationship between shearing displacement and shearing force. - At this stage the displacement quantities have all been determined in terms of $u_{0}$, and equations (20) and (C32a) can therefore be used to determine the shearing forces $F$ needed to maintain the relative shearing displacement $2 u_{0}$. The resulting relationship, taking into account the definitions of $c_{00}$ and $c_{01}$ (eq. (8)), is

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{F}{2 u_{0}} \cdot \frac{e}{G t b}= & 1-\zeta_{1}-\frac{e}{b}\left[\left(\frac{\overline{\bar{A}}_{1}}{u_{0}} \sinh \frac{U b}{e}\right) \frac{U S C+V C S}{U^{2}+v^{2}}\right. \\
& +\left(\frac{\overline{\bar{A}}}{u_{0}} \quad \sinh \frac{U b}{e}\right) \frac{U C S-V S C}{U^{2}+v^{2}} \\
& +\left(\frac{\overline{\bar{A}_{5}}}{u_{0}}\right.  \tag{C40}\\
\left.\sinh \frac{b X}{e}\right) \frac{1}{X}+\left(\frac{\overline{\bar{A}}_{7}}{u_{0}}\right. & \left.\left.\sinh \frac{b Y}{e}\right) \frac{1}{Y}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

## APPENDİX D

## SPECIAL CASE: $\mathbf{f}=\mathbf{0}$

For the special case $f=0$ (fig. 10(b)) junction lines (2) and (3) coincide and form a line of points of inflection of the cross sections. Along the common junction line the longitudinal displacements must vanish, and the vertical displacement must also vanish. These conditions can be met by setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{2}(z)=0 \tag{D1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[v_{1}(z) \sin \theta\right] \sin \theta-\left[v_{2}(z)\right] \cos \theta=0 \tag{D2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The variational form of these equations is

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta u_{2} & =0  \tag{D3}\\
\delta v_{1} & =\delta v_{2} \cos \theta / \sin ^{2} \theta \tag{D4}
\end{align*}
$$

Incorporation of these conditions into equation (B4) gives the following expression for the first variation of the TPE:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta(T P E)= & \left(\delta u_{0}\right) \cdot \int_{-b}^{b}\left(2 c_{00} u_{0}+2 c_{01} u_{1}-\frac{F}{b}\right) d z \\
& +2 \int_{-b}^{b}\left(-b_{11} \frac{d^{2} u_{1}}{d z^{2}}+c_{01} u_{0}+c_{11} u_{1}+\frac{1}{2} d_{11} \frac{d v_{2}}{d z} \frac{\cos \theta}{\sin ^{2} \theta}\right)\left(\delta u_{1}\right) d z \\
+ & 2 \int_{-b}^{b}\left[\left(a_{11} \frac{\cos ^{2} \theta}{\sin ^{4} \theta}+2 a_{12} \frac{\cos \theta}{\sin ^{2} \theta}+a_{22}\right) v_{2}-\frac{1}{2} d_{11} \frac{d u_{1}}{d z} \frac{\cos \theta}{\sin ^{2} \theta}\right. \\
& \left.-\left(e_{11}^{\prime \prime} \frac{\cos ^{2} \theta}{\sin ^{4} \theta}+2 e_{12}^{\prime \prime} \frac{\cos \theta}{\sin ^{2} \theta}+e_{22}^{\prime \prime}\right) \frac{d^{2} v_{2}}{d^{2}}\right]\left(\delta v_{2}\right) d z
\end{aligned}
$$

(equation continued on next page)

$$
\begin{align*}
& +\left.\left(2 b_{11} \frac{d u_{1}}{d z} \delta u_{1}\right)\right|_{-b} ^{b} \\
& +\left.\left[d_{11} u_{1} \frac{\cos \theta}{\sin ^{2} \theta}+2\left(e_{11}^{\prime \prime} \frac{\cos ^{2} \theta}{\sin ^{4} \theta}+2 e_{12}^{\prime \prime} \frac{\cos \theta}{\sin ^{2} \theta}+e_{22}^{\prime \prime}\right) \frac{d v_{2}}{d z}\right]\left(\delta v_{2}\right)\right|_{-b} ^{b} \tag{D5}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& e_{11}^{\prime \prime}=G t \sin ^{2} \theta\left(k+\frac{f}{2} \cos ^{2} \theta\right)+G^{\prime}\left(\frac{J_{1}}{e^{2}}+\frac{J_{2}}{k^{2}} \cos ^{2} \theta\right) \\
& e_{22}^{\prime \prime}=\frac{1}{2} G t f \sin ^{2} \theta+G^{\prime} \frac{J_{2}}{k^{2}}  \tag{D6}\\
& e_{12}^{\prime \prime}=\frac{1}{2} G t f \sin ^{2} \theta \cos \theta+G^{\prime} \frac{J_{2}}{k^{2}} \cos \theta
\end{align*}
$$

So far the vanishing of $f$ has not been incorporated into equation (D5). In order to incorporate this condition into the terms arising from strain energy of middle-surface shearing, $f$ may simply be allowed to approach zero in equations (D6). However, the strain energy of frame bending cannot be obtained correctly by letting $f$ approach zero in the equations for $a_{11}$, $a_{12}$ and $a_{22}$ - equations (A12), (A10) and (A8). The reason is that with condition ${ }^{22}$ (D2) imposed to prevent vertical displacements of junctions (2) and (3), allowing $f$ to then approach zero will lead to a clamping (zero rotation) condition at the vertex formed by junctions (2) and (3) as they meet, rather than to the condition of free rotation corresponding to the point of inflection (zero moment) which must exist at this junction. In order to obtain correctly the zero moment condition existing at the vertex, $f$ must be allowed to approach infinity, rather than zero, in those terms of equation (D5) which arise from strain energy of frame bending, namely $a_{11}, a_{12}$ and $a_{22}$. Doing this, one obtains the following limiting values of $a_{11}, a_{12}$ and $a_{22}$ for use in equation (D5):

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{11} \rightarrow \frac{D}{\tilde{b}^{2} e^{3}}\left[\tilde{A}_{11}+\tilde{A}_{22}\left(\frac{e}{k}\right)^{2} \cos ^{2} \theta-\tilde{A}_{12} \frac{e}{k} \cos \theta\right] \equiv \tilde{a}_{11} \\
& a_{12} \rightarrow \frac{D}{\tilde{\beta}^{2} e^{3}}\left[\tilde{A}_{22}\left(\frac{e}{k}\right)^{2} \cos \theta-\frac{1}{2} \tilde{A}_{12} \frac{e}{k}\right] \equiv \tilde{a}_{12}  \tag{D7}\\
& a_{22} \rightarrow \frac{D}{\tilde{\beta}^{2} e^{3}}\left[\tilde{A}_{22}\left(\frac{e}{k}\right)^{2}\right] \equiv \tilde{a}_{22}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{\beta} \equiv 4(3+\alpha) \frac{e}{k}+12\left(\frac{e}{k}\right)^{2} \\
& \tilde{A}_{11} \equiv 768 \alpha^{2}\left(\frac{e}{k}\right)^{2}+144\left(15 \alpha^{2}+2 \alpha+3\right)\left(\frac{e}{k}\right)^{3}+432\left(3 \alpha^{2}+1\right)\left(\frac{e}{k}\right)^{4} \\
& \tilde{A}_{22} \equiv 48(\alpha+3)^{2}\left(\frac{e}{k}\right)^{3}+144\left(\alpha^{2}+3\right)\left(\frac{e}{k}\right)^{4} \\
& \tilde{A}_{12} \equiv-288\left(3 \alpha^{2}+2 \alpha+3\right)\left(\frac{e}{k}\right)^{3}-864\left(\alpha^{2}+1\right)\left(\frac{e}{k}\right)^{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

Incorporating the above limiting values of $a_{11}, a_{12}$ and $a_{22}$ into equation (D5) and letting $f$ approach zero in equations (D6) leads to the following expression for $\delta$ (TPE):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta(T P E)=\left(\delta u_{0}\right) \cdot \int_{-b}^{b}\left(2 c_{00} u_{0}+2 c_{01} u_{1}-\frac{F}{b}\right) d z \\
& +2 \int_{-b}^{b}\left(-b_{11} \frac{d^{2} u_{1}}{d z^{2}}+c_{01} u_{0}+c_{11} u_{1}+\frac{1}{2} d_{11} \frac{d v_{2}}{d z} \frac{\cos \theta}{\sin ^{2} \theta}\right)\left(\delta u_{1}\right) d z \\
& +2 \int_{-b}^{b}\left[\left(\tilde{a}_{11} \frac{\cos ^{2} \theta}{\sin ^{4} \theta}+2 \tilde{a}_{12} \frac{\cos \theta}{\sin ^{2} \theta}+\tilde{a}_{22}\right) v_{2}-\frac{1}{2} d_{11} \frac{d u_{1}}{d z} \frac{\cos \theta}{\sin ^{2} \theta}\right. \\
& \left.-\left(\tilde{e}_{11}^{\prime} \frac{\cos ^{2} \theta}{\sin ^{4} \theta}+2 \tilde{e}_{12}^{\prime} \frac{\cos \theta}{\sin ^{2} \theta}+\tilde{e}_{22}^{\prime}\right) \frac{d^{2} v_{2}}{d z^{2}}\right]\left(\delta v_{2}\right) d z \\
& +\left.\left(2 b_{11} \frac{d u_{1}}{d z} \delta u_{1}\right)\right|_{-b} ^{b} \\
& +\left.\left[\left[d_{11} u_{1} \frac{\cos \theta}{\sin ^{2} \theta}+2\left(\tilde{e}_{11}^{\prime} \frac{\cos ^{2} \theta}{\sin ^{4} \theta}+2 \tilde{e}_{12}^{\prime} \frac{\cos \theta}{\sin ^{2} \theta}+\tilde{e}_{22}^{\prime}\right) \frac{d v_{2}}{d z}\right]\left(\delta v_{2}\right)\right]\right|_{-b} ^{b}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{e}_{11}^{\prime}=G t k \sin ^{2} \theta+G^{\prime}\left(\frac{J_{1}}{e^{2}}+\frac{J_{2}}{k^{2}} \cos ^{2} \theta\right) \\
& \tilde{e}_{22}^{\prime}=G^{\prime} \frac{J_{2}}{k^{2}}  \tag{D10}\\
& \tilde{e}_{12}^{\prime}=G^{\prime} \frac{J_{2}}{k^{2}} \cos \theta
\end{align*}
$$

Differential equations and boundary conditions.- From the vanishing of the $\delta$ (TPE), equation (D9), the following conditions are obtained, analogous to equations (B8) through (B1I):

$$
\begin{gather*}
4 c_{00} u_{0} b+2 c_{01} \int_{-b}^{b} u_{1} d z-2 F=0  \tag{D11}\\
-b_{11} \frac{d^{2} u_{1}}{d z^{2}}+c_{01} u_{0}+c_{11} u_{1}+\frac{1}{2} d_{11} \frac{\cos \theta}{\sin ^{2} \theta} \frac{d v_{2}}{d z}=0 \\
a_{22}^{1} v_{2}-\frac{1}{2} d_{11} \frac{\cos \theta}{\sin ^{2}} \frac{d u_{1}}{d z}-e_{22}^{1} \frac{d^{2} v_{2}}{d z^{2}}=0  \tag{D12}\\
\left.\left(d_{11} u_{1} \frac{d u_{1}}{d z}\right)_{z= \pm} \frac{\cos \theta}{\sin ^{2} \theta}+2 e_{22}^{\prime} \frac{d v_{2}}{d z}\right)_{z= \pm b}=0 \tag{D13}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{a}_{22}^{\prime} \equiv \tilde{a}_{11} \frac{\cos ^{2} \theta}{\sin ^{4} \theta}+2 \tilde{a}_{12} \frac{\cos \theta}{\sin ^{2} \theta}+\tilde{a}_{22} \\
& \tilde{e}_{22}^{\prime} \equiv \tilde{e}_{11}^{\prime} \frac{\cos ^{2} \theta}{\sin ^{4} \theta}+2 \tilde{e}_{12}^{\prime} \frac{\cos \theta}{\sin ^{2} \theta}+\tilde{e}_{22}^{\prime} \tag{D15}
\end{align*}
$$

The above equations: apply to the case of point attachments at the ends of the trough lines only (fig. 3(a)). For the case in which there are also point attachments at the ends of the crest lines (fig. 3(b)), equation (D14) must be replaced by

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{2}( \pm b)=0 \tag{D16}
\end{equation*}
$$

This replacement must be made also for the case in which there are wide attachments at the ends of the trough lines (fig. 3(d)).

Solution of the differential equations. - Equations (D12) have the particular solution :

$$
\begin{gather*}
u_{1}=-\frac{c_{01}}{c_{11}} u_{0}  \tag{D17}\\
v_{2}=0
\end{gather*}
$$

To this must be added the complementary solution, which is the solution of the homogeneous system obtained by omitting the term $c_{01} u_{0}$ from the first of equations (D12). As in the general case, solutions of the homogeneous system will be sought in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{1}=\tilde{A}^{\prime} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{rz}} \\
& \mathrm{v}_{2}=\tilde{\mathrm{D}}^{\prime} \mathrm{e}^{\tilde{\mathrm{r} z}} \tag{D18}
\end{align*}
$$

Substitution of this assumption into equations (D12) with the $u_{\sim} u_{0}$ term omitted leads to the following conditions on $\tilde{A}^{\prime}, \tilde{D}^{\prime}$ and $\tilde{r}$ :

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}
b_{11} \tilde{r}^{2}-c_{11} & -\frac{1}{2} d_{11} \frac{\cos \theta}{\sin ^{2} \theta} \tilde{r}  \tag{D19}\\
-\frac{1}{2} d_{11} \frac{\cos \theta}{\sin ^{2} \theta} \tilde{r} & a_{22}-e_{22}^{\prime} \tilde{r}^{2}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
\tilde{A}^{\prime} \\
\tilde{D}^{\prime} \\
\tilde{D}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right]
$$

which leads to the following characteristic equation for $\tilde{r}$ :

$$
\left|\begin{array}{cc}
b_{11} \tilde{r}^{2}-c_{11} & -\frac{1}{2} d_{11} \frac{\cos \theta}{\sin ^{2} \theta} \tilde{r}  \tag{D20}\\
-\frac{1}{2} d_{11} \frac{\cos \theta}{\sin ^{2} \theta} \tilde{r} & a_{22}^{\prime}-e_{22}^{\prime} \tilde{r}^{2}
\end{array}\right|=0
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\tilde{k}_{02}\left(\frac{t}{e}\right)^{2}\right]+\left[\tilde{k}_{20}+\tilde{k}_{22}\left(\frac{t}{e}\right)^{2}\right] \tilde{R}^{2}+\left[\tilde{k}_{40}+\tilde{k}_{42}\left(\frac{t}{e}\right)^{2}\right] \tilde{R}^{4}=0 \tag{D21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{R} \equiv \tilde{e r}  \tag{D22}\\
& \tilde{k}_{02}=-\frac{G}{E}\left(1+\frac{e}{k}\right) \tilde{a}_{22} \\
& \tilde{k}_{20}=\left(\frac{G}{E}\right)^{2} \frac{k}{e} \cot ^{2} \theta \\
& \tilde{k}_{20}  \tag{D23}\\
& \tilde{k}_{22}=\frac{1}{3} \frac{E}{E}\left(1+\frac{k}{e}\right) \tilde{a}_{22}+\frac{G}{E}\left(1+\frac{e}{k}\right) \tilde{e}_{22} \\
& \tilde{k}_{40}=-\frac{1}{3} \frac{G}{E} \frac{E}{E}\left(1+\frac{k}{e}\right) \frac{k}{e} \cot ^{2} \theta \\
& \tilde{k}_{42}=-\frac{1}{3} \frac{E}{E}\left(1+\frac{k}{e}\right) e_{22} *
\end{align*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{a}_{22}^{*} & \equiv \frac{1}{12\left(1-v^{2}\right) \tilde{\beta}^{2} \sin ^{4} \theta}\left[\tilde{A}_{11} \cos ^{2} \theta-\tilde{A}_{12} \frac{e}{k} \cos \theta+\tilde{A}_{22}\left(\frac{e}{k}\right)^{2}\right]  \tag{D24}\\
\tilde{e}_{22}^{*} & \equiv \frac{1}{3} \frac{G}{E} \cdot \frac{\cos ^{2} \theta+\frac{e}{k}}{\sin ^{4} \theta}
\end{align*}
$$

Equation (D21) will have four roots for $\tilde{R}$, two of them being the negatives of the other two. For any geometries of interest the roots will all be real. Denoted by $\tilde{R}_{1}, \tilde{R}_{2}, \tilde{R}_{3}$ and $\tilde{R}_{4}$, they can be represented as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{R}_{1}=\tilde{X} \\
& \tilde{R}_{2}=-\tilde{X} \\
& \tilde{R}_{3}=\tilde{Y}  \tag{D25}\\
& \tilde{R}_{4}=-\tilde{Y}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\tilde{X}$ and $\tilde{Y}$ are real members.

The following series expansions for $\tilde{\mathrm{X}}$ and $\tilde{\mathrm{Y}}$ are readily obtained:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{X}=\tilde{q}_{0}+\tilde{q}_{2}\left(\frac{t}{e}\right)^{2}+\tilde{q}_{4}\left(\frac{t}{e}\right)^{4}+\cdots  \tag{D26}\\
& \tilde{Y}=\tilde{p}_{1} \frac{t}{e}+\tilde{p}_{3}\left(\frac{t}{e}\right)^{3}+\tilde{p}_{5}\left(\frac{t}{e}\right)^{5}+\cdots
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{q}_{0}=+\sqrt{-\tilde{k}_{20} / \tilde{k}_{40}} \quad \text { (real) } \\
& q_{2}=-\frac{\tilde{i}_{02}+\tilde{k}_{22} \tilde{q}_{0}^{2}+\tilde{k}_{42} \tilde{q}_{0}^{4}}{2 \tilde{q}_{0} \tilde{k}_{20}+4 \tilde{q}_{0}^{3} \tilde{k}_{40}}  \tag{D27}\\
& q_{4}=-\frac{\tilde{k}_{20} \tilde{q}_{2}{ }^{2}+2 \tilde{k}_{22} \tilde{q}_{0} \tilde{q}_{2}+6 \tilde{k}_{40} \tilde{q}_{0}{ }^{2} \tilde{q}_{2}{ }^{2}+4 \tilde{k}_{42} \tilde{q}_{0}{ }^{3} \tilde{q}_{2}}{2 \tilde{q}_{0} \tilde{k}_{20}+4 \tilde{q}_{0}{ }^{3}{ }_{k}{ }_{40}} \\
& \tilde{p}_{1}=+\sqrt{-\tilde{k}_{02} / \tilde{k}_{20}} \quad \text { (real) } \\
& \tilde{p}_{3}=-\frac{\tilde{k}_{22} \tilde{p}_{1}+\tilde{k}_{40} \tilde{p}_{1}^{3}}{2 \tilde{k}_{20}}  \tag{D28}\\
& P_{5}=-\frac{\tilde{k}_{20} \tilde{\mathrm{p}}_{3}{ }^{2}+2 \tilde{\mathrm{k}}_{22} \tilde{\mathrm{p}}_{1} \tilde{\mathrm{p}}_{3}+4 \tilde{\mathrm{k}}_{40} \tilde{\mathrm{p}}_{1}{ }^{2} \tilde{p}_{3}+\tilde{k}_{42} \tilde{\mathrm{p}}_{1}{ }^{4}}{2 \tilde{\mathrm{k}}_{20} \tilde{\mathrm{P}}_{1}}
\end{align*}
$$

Corresponding to any root $\tilde{R}=\tilde{R}_{j}$ there is a relationship between $\tilde{\sim}_{\sim}^{\prime}$ and $\tilde{D}^{\prime}$ which can be obtained by substituting $\tilde{r}=\tilde{r}_{j}=\tilde{R}_{j} /$ e into the first of equaltrons $\underset{\sim}{(D 19) . ~ L e t t i n g ~} \tilde{A}_{j}^{\prime}$ and $\tilde{D}_{j}^{\prime}$ denote the values of $\tilde{A}^{\prime}$ and $\tilde{D}^{\prime}$ associated with $\tilde{R}=\tilde{R}_{j}$, this relationship can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\tilde{D}_{j}^{\prime}}{\tilde{A}_{j}^{1}}=\tilde{\gamma}_{j} \tag{D29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\gamma}_{j} \equiv\left[\frac{1}{\tilde{R}_{j}}\left(1+\frac{e}{k}\right)-\frac{1}{3} \tilde{R}_{j} \frac{E^{\prime}}{G}\left(1+\frac{k}{e}\right)\right] \tan \theta \tag{D30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking into account equations (D25), it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{\gamma}_{1}=-\tilde{\gamma}_{2}=\left[\frac{1}{\tilde{X}}\left(1+\frac{e}{k}\right)-\frac{\tilde{X}}{3} \frac{E^{\prime}}{G}\left(1+\frac{k}{e}\right)\right] \tan \theta  \tag{D31}\\
& \tilde{\gamma}_{3}=-\tilde{\gamma}_{4}=\left[\frac{1}{\tilde{Y}}\left(1+\frac{e}{k}\right)-\frac{\tilde{Y}}{3} \frac{E^{\prime}}{G}\left(1+\frac{k}{e}\right)\right] \tan \theta
\end{align*}
$$

Summation of the four solutions of the form of equations (D18) leads to the following complete complementary solution:

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{1}=\tilde{A}_{1}^{\prime} e^{\tilde{X_{e}^{z}}}+\tilde{A}_{2}^{\prime} e^{-\tilde{X^{z}} \frac{z}{e}}+\tilde{A}_{3}^{\prime} e^{\tilde{Y} \frac{\tilde{z}}{e}}+\tilde{A}_{4}^{\prime} e^{-\tilde{Y} \frac{z}{e}} \\
& v_{2}=\tilde{\gamma}_{1}\left(\tilde{A}_{1}^{\prime} e^{\tilde{x_{e}}}-\tilde{A}_{2}^{\prime} e^{-\tilde{X} \frac{z}{e}}\right)+\tilde{\gamma}_{3}\left(\tilde{A}_{3}^{\prime} e^{\tilde{Y} \frac{z}{e}}-\tilde{A}_{4}^{\prime} e^{-\tilde{Y} \frac{z}{e}}\right) \tag{D32}
\end{align*}
$$

Expressing the exponantial functions in terms of hyperbolic functions, discarding the terms which do not have the proper symmetry (in the case of $u_{2}$ ) or antisymmetry (in the case of $v_{2}$ ) with respect to $z$, and adding the particular solution, equations (D17), one obtains the following complete solution for the displacements $u_{1}(z)$ and $v_{2}(z)$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{1} & =-\frac{c_{01}}{c_{11}} u_{0}+\bar{A}_{1} \cosh \frac{\tilde{X}_{z}}{e}+\bar{A}_{3} \cosh \frac{\tilde{Y}_{z}}{e} \\
v_{2} & =\tilde{\gamma}_{1} \bar{A}_{1} \sinh \frac{\tilde{X}_{z}}{e}+\tilde{\gamma}_{3} \bar{A}_{3} \sinh \frac{\tilde{Y}_{z}}{e} \tag{D33}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\bar{A}_{1}$ and $\bar{A}_{3}$ are new arbitrary constants, to be determined from the boundary conditions, and $-c_{01} / c_{11}$ equals $\left(1+\frac{e}{k}\right)^{-1}$, in accordance with equations (8).

Evaluation of the arbitrary constants.- For the case of point attachments at the ends of the trough lines only (fig. 3(a)), the boundary conditions are equations (D13) and (D14). Substitution of (D33) into those equations leads to the following equations defining $\bar{A}_{1}$ and $\bar{A}_{3}$ :

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
P_{11} & P_{12}  \tag{D34}\\
P_{21} & P_{22}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\bar{A}_{1}}{u_{0}} \sinh \frac{\tilde{X} b}{e} \\
\frac{\bar{A}_{3}}{u_{0}} \sinh \frac{\tilde{Y b}}{e}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
{ }^{2} \\
\mathrm{P}_{2}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
P_{11}= & \tilde{X} \\
P_{12}= & \tilde{Y} \\
P_{21}= & {\left[-\left(1-\tilde{\gamma}_{1} \tilde{X} \frac{k}{e} \cot \theta\right) \cot \theta\right.} \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{t}{e}\right)^{2} \frac{G}{G} \tilde{\gamma}_{1}^{\prime} \tilde{X}\left(\frac{e}{k}+\cos ^{2} \theta\right) \csc ^{4} \theta\right] \operatorname{coth} \frac{\tilde{X} b}{e} \\
P_{22}= & {\left[-\left(1-\tilde{\gamma}_{3} \tilde{Y} \frac{k}{e} \cot \theta\right) \cot \theta\right.}  \tag{D35}\\
& \left.+\frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{t}{e}\right)^{2} \frac{G^{\prime}}{G} \tilde{\gamma}_{3} \tilde{Y}\left(\frac{e}{k}+\cos ^{2} \theta\right) \csc ^{4} \theta\right] \operatorname{coth} \frac{\tilde{Y} b}{e} \\
P_{2}= & \frac{\cot \theta}{1+\frac{e}{k}} \tag{D35}
\end{align*}
$$

For the other two kinds of end attachment (figs. 3 (b) and 3(d)), equation (D16) replaces (D14) as a boundary condition. This results in

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{A}_{1}=\bar{A}_{3}=0 \tag{D36}
\end{equation*}
$$

which makes the particular solution (D17) also the complete solution and implies that the corrugation sheet is in a state of uniform shear like that obtainable by continuous attachment. This case therefore requires no further analysis, and the remainder of this appendix will pertain only to the case of point attachments at the ends of the trough lines (fig. 3(a)).

Retationship between $F$ and $u_{0} .-$ With $u_{1}(z)$ now known in terms of $u_{0}$, equation (D11) yields the following relationship between shearing force $F$ and relative shearing displacement $2 u_{0}$ of the sides of the corrugation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{F}{2 u_{0}}=\frac{G t b}{e} \tilde{\psi} \tag{D37}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\psi}=1-\frac{1}{1+\frac{e}{k}}-\left(\frac{\bar{A}_{1}}{u_{0}} \sinh \frac{\tilde{X} b}{e}\right) \frac{e}{\tilde{X} b}-\left(\frac{\bar{A}_{3}}{u_{0}} \sinh \frac{\tilde{Y} b}{e}\right) \frac{e}{\tilde{Y} b} \tag{D38}
\end{equation*}
$$

As in the general case, relative shear stiffnesses and an effective shear modulus can be defined. Equations (37) through (46) of the body of the paper still apply with $f$ set equal to zero and $\psi$ replaced by $\tilde{\psi}$.

Stresses.- The longitudinal normal stresses are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{(1)}=E^{\prime} \frac{d u_{1}}{d z} \tag{D39}
\end{equation*}
$$

at junction (1) and zero at the other junctions. Eliminating $u_{1}$ through equation (D33) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left.q_{1}\right)^{e}}{E u_{0}^{\prime}}=\frac{\bar{A}_{1}}{u_{0}} \tilde{X} \sinh \frac{\tilde{X}_{z}}{e}+\frac{\bar{A}_{3}}{u_{0}} \tilde{Y} \sinh \frac{\tilde{Y}_{z}}{e} \tag{D40}
\end{equation*}
$$

The middle-surface shearing stresses, obtained with the aid of table 2 and equations (D33), are given in dimensionless form as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{{ }^{\tau_{01}}{ }^{e}}{G u_{0}}=-\frac{1}{1+\frac{k}{e}}+\frac{\bar{A}_{1}}{u_{0}} \cosh \frac{\tilde{X}_{z}}{e}+\frac{\bar{A}_{3}}{u_{0}} \cosh \frac{\tilde{Y}_{z}}{e}  \tag{D41a}\\
& \frac{{ }^{\tau}{ }_{12}{ }^{e}}{G u_{0}}=-\frac{1}{1+\frac{k}{e}}+\frac{\bar{A}_{1}}{u_{0}}\left(\tilde{\gamma}_{1} \tilde{X} \cot \theta-\frac{e}{k}\right) \cosh \frac{\tilde{X}_{z}}{e}+\frac{\bar{A}_{3}}{u_{0}}\left(\tilde{\gamma}_{3} \tilde{Y} \cot \theta-\frac{e}{k}\right) \cosh \frac{\tilde{Y} z}{e}
\end{align*}
$$

(D41b)
where the subscripts 01 and 12 refer to plate elements 01 and 12 respectively.

From the rates of twist in table 3 and the displacement equations (D33) the following equations are obtained for the extreme-fiber shearing stresses due to torsion, in plate elements 01 and 12 respectively:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{{ }^{\tau}{ }_{01}^{\prime} e}{G^{\prime} u_{0}}=\frac{t}{e} \frac{\cos \theta}{\sin ^{2} \theta} W(z)  \tag{D42}\\
& \frac{{ }^{\tau}{ }_{12}{ }^{\prime}}{G^{\top} u_{0}}=-\frac{t}{k} \frac{1}{\sin ^{2} \theta} W(z)
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
W(z) \equiv & \left(\frac{\bar{A}_{1}}{u_{0}} \sinh \frac{\tilde{X} b}{e}\right) \frac{\tilde{\gamma}_{1} \tilde{x} \cosh \frac{\tilde{X} z}{e}}{\sinh \frac{\tilde{X} b}{e}}  \tag{D43}\\
& +\left(\frac{\bar{A}_{3}}{u_{0}} \sinh \frac{\tilde{Y} b}{e}\right) \frac{\tilde{\gamma}_{3} \tilde{Y} \cosh \frac{\tilde{Y} z}{e}}{\sinh \frac{\tilde{Y} b}{e}}
\end{align*}
$$

The frame bending moments and associated extreme-fiber bending stresses will be zero at junctions (2) and (3) because in this special case these functions meet to form a line of inflection points. The frame bending moments at junctions (0) and (1) can be obtained by first introducing condition (D2) into table A1, writing equations (A1) for $M_{01}$ and $M_{12}$, and then letting $f \rightarrow \infty$ (not zero), as discussed earlier. The associated extreme-fiber stresses $0_{0}$ (0) and $\sigma_{(1)}$ are obtained by multiplying the bending moments by $6 / t^{2}$. In this way the following results are obtained:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\sigma_{(0)}^{\prime} e}{E u_{0}}=\frac{\alpha}{1-v^{2}} \quad \frac{t}{k} \quad \frac{12 \cos \theta}{\tilde{\beta} \sin ^{2} \theta} \quad\left(1+\frac{e}{k}\right)\left(2+\frac{e}{k}\right)\left(\frac{v_{2}}{u_{0}}\right) \tag{D44}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\frac{\sigma_{\mathscr{Q}}^{\prime} e}{E u_{0}}=-\frac{6}{1-\nu^{2}} \frac{t}{k} \frac{1}{\tilde{\beta} \sin ^{2} \theta}\left[3(1+\alpha) \frac{e}{k} \cos \theta+(3+\alpha)\left(\frac{e}{k}\right)^{2}\right]\left(\frac{v_{2}}{u_{0}}\right)
$$

in which, ${ }_{\circ}^{\prime}(0)$ and $q_{(1)}^{\prime}$ are positive for compression in the upper fibers, tension in the lower fibers.

## APPENDIX E <br> SPECIAL CASE: $e=0$

For the special case $e=0$ (fig. $10(c)$ ) the plate elements at the troughs are of zero width, with the result that the two adjacent sloping plate elements meet to form a vertex along the trough line.

This special case can be obtained from the general case by first imposing along junction (1) the same displacement conditions as exist along junction (0), namely

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{1}(z)=u_{0}  \tag{E1}\\
& v_{1}(z)=0 \tag{E2}
\end{align*}
$$

The condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
e+0 \tag{E3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is then imposed to simulate the condition of clamping (zero rotation) along, the trough lines; or the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
e \rightarrow \infty \tag{E4}
\end{equation*}
$$

in order to simulate a hinged attachment (free rotation) along the trough lines. Applying the above procedure to equation (B4), the latter becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta(T P E)^{p}=\left(\delta u_{0}\right) \cdot \int_{-b}^{b}\left(-\frac{F}{b}+2 \bar{c}_{00} u_{0}+2 c_{12} u_{2}\right) d z \\
& +2 \int_{-b}^{b}\left(-b_{22} \frac{d^{2} u_{2}}{d z^{2}}+c_{12} u_{0}+c_{22} u_{2}+\frac{1}{2} d_{22} \frac{d v_{2}}{d z}\right)\left(\delta u_{2}\right) d z \\
& +2 \int_{-b}^{b}\left(\bar{a}_{22} v_{2}-\frac{1}{2} d_{22} \frac{d u_{2}}{d z}-e_{22} \frac{d^{2} v_{2}}{d z^{2}}\right)\left(\delta v_{2}\right) d z \\
& +\left.\left[2 b_{22} \frac{d u_{2}}{d z}\left(\delta u_{2}\right)\right]\right|_{-b} ^{b}+\left.\left[\left(d_{22} u_{2}+2 e_{22} \frac{d v_{2}}{d z}\right)\left(\delta v_{2}\right)\right]\right|_{-b} ^{b} \tag{E5}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overrightarrow{\mathrm{c}}_{00}=\mathrm{Gt} / \mathrm{k} \tag{E6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\bar{a}_{22}$ has the following two different values, depending on whether the trough ${ }^{22}$ lines are prevented from rotating (condition (E3)) or free to rotate (condition (E4)):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{a}_{22}=\frac{E}{2\left(1-v^{2}\right)}\left(\frac{t}{k}\right)^{3}\left[\frac{1+6 \frac{k}{f}+12\left(\frac{k}{f}\right)^{2} \cos \theta+8\left(\frac{k}{f}\right)^{3} \cos ^{2} \theta}{2+3 \frac{k}{f}}\right] \tag{E7a}
\end{equation*}
$$

for rotation along trough lines prevented;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{a}_{22}=\frac{E}{2\left(1-v^{2}\right)}\left(\frac{t}{k}\right)^{3}\left[\frac{\frac{k}{f}\left(1+2 \frac{k}{f} \cos \theta\right)^{2}}{1+2 \frac{k}{f}}\right] \tag{E7b}
\end{equation*}
$$

for freedom of rotation along the trough lines.
From the vanishing of $\delta(T P E)$, equation (E5), the following equations governing $u_{2}$ and $v_{2}$ are obtained:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{c}
-2 F+4 \bar{c}_{00} u_{0} b+2 c_{12} \int_{-b}^{b} u_{2} d z=0 \\
-b_{22} \frac{d^{2} u_{2}}{d z^{2}}+c_{12} u_{0}+c_{22} u_{2}+\frac{1}{2} d_{22} \frac{d v_{2}}{d z}=0 \\
\bar{a}_{22} v_{2}-\frac{1}{2} d_{22} \frac{d u_{2}}{d z}-e_{22} \frac{d^{2} v_{2}}{d z^{2}}=0
\end{array}\right\}
$$

The above development is for the case of attachments at the ends of the trough lines only (figs. 3(a) and 3(d)). With $e=0$ the presence of additional attachments at the ends of the crest lines (fig. 3(b)) is tantamount to continuous attachment, within the framework of the present type of analysis. (A similar phenomenon was observed in appendix $D$ for the case $f=0$. ) solution

$$
\begin{gather*}
u_{2}=-\frac{c_{12}}{c_{22}} u_{0}  \tag{E12}\\
v_{2}=0
\end{gather*}
$$

The terms of the complementary solution will be assumed in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{2}=\hat{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{e}^{\hat{I} z} \\
& \mathrm{v}_{2}=\hat{\mathrm{D}} \mathrm{e}^{\hat{I} z} \tag{El3}
\end{align*}
$$

Substitution of these expressions into equations ${ }_{\hat{N}}$ (E9) with the $u_{0}$ term omitted leads to the following conditions on $A, \widehat{D}$ and $r$ :

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}
b_{22} \hat{r}^{2}-c_{22} & -\frac{1}{2} d_{22} \hat{r}  \tag{E14}\\
-\frac{1}{2} d_{22} \hat{r} & \bar{a}_{22}-e_{22} \hat{r}^{2}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{A} \\
\hat{D}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right]
$$

from which arises the following characteristic equation for $\hat{r}$ :

$$
\left|\begin{array}{lr}
b_{22} \hat{r}^{2}-c_{22} & -\frac{1}{2} \hat{d}_{22} \hat{r}  \tag{E15}\\
-\frac{1}{2} d_{22} \hat{r} & \bar{a}_{22}-e_{22} \hat{r}^{2}
\end{array}\right|=0
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{k}_{02}\left(\frac{t}{k}\right)^{2}+\left[\hat{k}_{20}+\hat{k}_{22}\left(\frac{t}{k}\right)^{2}\right] \hat{R}^{2}+\left[\hat{k}_{40}+\hat{k}_{42}\left(\frac{t}{k}\right)^{2}\right] \hat{R}^{4}=0 \tag{E16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\mathrm{R}} \equiv \mathrm{kr} \tag{E17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gather*}
\hat{k}_{02}=-\frac{G}{E}\left(1+2 \frac{k}{f}\right) \bar{a}_{22}^{*} \\
\hat{k}_{20}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{G}{E}\right)^{2} \frac{f}{k} \sin ^{2} \theta \\
\hat{k}_{22}=\frac{1}{6} \frac{E^{\prime}}{E}\left(2+\frac{f}{k}\right) \bar{a}_{22}^{*}+\frac{1}{3} \frac{G}{E} \frac{G^{\prime}}{E}\left(1+2 \frac{k}{f}\right)\left(1+2 \frac{k}{f} \cos ^{2} \theta\right)  \tag{E18}\\
\hat{k}_{40}=-\frac{1}{12} \frac{E^{\prime}}{E} \frac{G}{E}\left(2+\frac{f}{k}\right) \frac{f}{k} \sin ^{2} \theta \\
\hat{k}_{42}=-\frac{1}{18} \frac{E^{\prime}}{E} \frac{G^{\prime}}{E}\left(2+\frac{f}{k}\right)\left(1+2 \frac{k}{f} \cos ^{2} \theta\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

for the case in which the trough lines are clamped, or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{a}_{22}^{*} \equiv \frac{\frac{k}{f}\left(1+2 \frac{k}{f} \cos \theta\right)^{2}}{2\left(1-v^{2}\right)\left(1+2 \frac{k}{f}\right)} \tag{E19b}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the case in which the trough lines are free to rotate.
Equation (Elf) will have four real roots, $\hat{R}_{1}, \hat{R}_{2}, \hat{R}_{3}$ and $\hat{R}_{4}$, representable in the following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{R}_{1}=\hat{X}, \quad \hat{R}_{2}=-\hat{X}, \quad \hat{R}_{3}=\hat{Y}, \quad \hat{R}_{4}=-\hat{Y} \tag{E20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{X}$ and $\hat{Y}$ are real numbers. Series expansions may be used, if desired, for the evaluation of $\hat{X}$ and $\hat{Y}$. These expansions are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{X}=\hat{q}_{0}+\hat{q}_{2}\left(\frac{t}{k}\right)^{2}+\hat{q}_{4}\left(\frac{t}{k}\right)^{4}+\ldots \\
& \hat{\mathrm{Y}}=\hat{\mathrm{p}}_{1} \frac{t}{k}+\hat{\mathrm{p}}_{3}\left(\frac{\mathrm{t}}{\mathrm{k}}\right)^{3}+\hat{\mathrm{p}}_{5}\left(\frac{\mathrm{t}}{\mathrm{k}}\right)^{5}+\cdots \tag{E21}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\hat{\mathrm{q}}_{0}, \hat{\mathrm{q}}_{2}, \ldots$. and $\hat{\mathrm{p}}_{1}, \hat{\mathrm{p}}_{3}, \ldots$ are defined by equations (D27) and (D28) with all tildes ( $\sim$ ) replaced by circumflex accents (^).

Letting $\hat{A}_{j}$ and $\hat{D}_{j}$ denote the values of $\hat{A}$ and $\hat{D}$ associated with the root $\hat{R}=\hat{R}_{j}$, the relationship between them can be obtained from the first of equations (E14). It is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\hat{D}_{j}}{\hat{A}_{j}}=\hat{\gamma}_{j} \tag{E22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\gamma}_{\mathbf{j}}=\left[\frac{1}{\hat{R}_{j}}\left(1+2 \frac{\mathrm{k}}{\mathbf{f}}\right)-\frac{1}{6} \hat{R}_{j} \frac{E^{\prime \prime}}{G}\left(2+\frac{\mathrm{f}}{\mathrm{k}}\right)\right] \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \tag{E23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking into account equations (E20), it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{\gamma}_{1}=-\hat{\gamma}_{2}=\left[\frac{1}{\hat{X}}\left(1+2 \frac{\mathrm{k}}{\mathrm{f}}\right)-\frac{1}{6} \hat{X} \frac{E^{\prime}}{\mathrm{G}}\left(2+\frac{\mathrm{f}}{\mathrm{k}}\right)\right] \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \\
& \hat{\gamma}_{3}=-\hat{\gamma}_{4}=\left[\frac{1}{\hat{Y}}\left(1+2 \frac{\mathrm{k}}{\mathrm{f}}\right)-\frac{1}{6} \hat{Y} \frac{E^{\prime}}{G}\left(2+\frac{f}{\mathrm{k}}\right)\right] \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \tag{E24}
\end{align*}
$$

Summing the four solutions of the form of equations (E13), adding the particular solution, equations (E12), and taking into account the fact that $u_{2}$ must be even in $z, u_{2}$ odd in $z$, one arrives at the following complete solution of the differential equations (E9):

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{2} & =-\frac{c_{12}}{c_{22}} u_{0}+A_{1}^{*} \cosh \frac{\hat{X}_{z}}{k}+A_{3}^{*} \cosh \frac{\hat{Y} z}{k}  \tag{E25}\\
v_{2} & =\hat{\gamma}_{1} A_{1}^{*} \sinh \frac{\hat{X}_{z}}{k}+\hat{\gamma}_{3} A_{3}^{*} \sinh \frac{\hat{Y} z}{k}
\end{align*}
$$

where $A_{1}{ }^{*}, A_{3}^{*}$ are arbitrary constants to be evaluated from the boundary conditions, and $-c_{12} / c_{22}$ equals $\left(1+2 \frac{k}{f}\right)^{-1}$, in accordance with equations (8).

Evaluation of the arbitrary constants.- The boundary conditions (E10) and (E11) lead to the following equations defining $A_{1}{ }^{*}$ and $A_{3}^{*}$ :

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
Q_{11} & Q_{12} \\
Q_{21} & Q_{22}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ll}
{\frac{A_{1}}{}{ }^{*}}^{u_{0}} & \sinh \frac{\hat{X b}}{k} \\
\frac{A_{3}}{u_{0}} & \sinh \frac{\hat{Y b}}{k}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
Q_{2}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
Q_{11}=\hat{X} \\
Q_{12}=\hat{Y} \\
Q_{21}=\left[\left(-2 \sin \theta+\hat{\gamma}_{1} \hat{X} \frac{f}{k} \sin ^{2} \theta\right)+\frac{2}{3}\left(\frac{t}{k}\right)^{2} \frac{G^{\prime}}{G} \hat{\gamma}_{1} \hat{X}\left(1+2 \frac{k}{f} \cos ^{2} \theta\right)\right] \operatorname{coth} \frac{\hat{X} b}{k} \\
Q_{22}=\left[\left(-2 \sin \theta+\hat{\gamma}_{3} \hat{Y} \frac{f}{k} \sin ^{2} \theta\right)+\frac{2}{3}\left(\frac{t}{k}\right)^{2} \frac{G}{G}^{\prime} \hat{\gamma}_{3} \hat{Y}\left(1+2 \frac{k}{f} \cos ^{2} \theta\right)\right] \operatorname{coth} \frac{\hat{Y} b}{k}  \tag{E27}\\
Q_{2}=\frac{2 \sin \theta}{1+2 \frac{k}{f}}
\end{gather*}
$$

Relationship between $F$ and $u_{0} 0^{-}$With $u_{2}(z)$ now known in terms of $u_{0}$, equation (E8) yields the following relation hip between the shearing force $F$ and the relative shearing displacement $2 u_{0}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{F}{2 u_{0}}=\frac{G t b}{k} \hat{\psi} \tag{E28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{\psi}=1-\frac{1}{1+2 \frac{k}{f}} & -\left(\frac{A_{1}^{*}}{u_{0}} \sinh \frac{\hat{X} b}{k}\right) \frac{k}{\hat{X b}}  \tag{E29}\\
& -\left(\frac{A_{3}^{*}}{u_{0}} \sinh \frac{\hat{Y} b}{k}\right) \frac{k}{\hat{Y} b}
\end{align*}
$$

The ratio $\Omega$ of the shearing stiffness (E28) to that of the same corrugation with continuous end attachment producing uniform middle-surface shear strain throughout the sheet is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega=\left(1+\frac{1}{2} \frac{f}{k}\right) \hat{\psi} \tag{E30}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, the ratio $\Omega^{\prime}$ of the shearing stiffness (E28) to that of a uniformly sheared flat plate of thickness $t$, length $2 b$, and width $p$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega^{\prime}=\left(\cos \theta+\frac{1}{2} \frac{f}{k}\right) \hat{\psi} \tag{E31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Defining an effective shear modulus $G_{\text {eff }}$ as the ratio of the average shear stress F/2bt to the average shear strain $2 u_{0} / p$, it is easily seen that

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{\text {eff }}=G \Omega^{\prime} \tag{E32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Stresses.- The longitudinal normal stresses $q_{(2)}$ along junction (2), obtained from the strains $\mathrm{du}_{2} / \mathrm{dz}$, are given in dimensionless form by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left.q_{2}\right)^{k}}{E^{\prime} u_{0}}=\frac{A_{1}^{*}}{u_{0}} \hat{X} \sinh \frac{\hat{X}_{z}}{k}+\frac{A_{3}^{*}}{u_{0}} \hat{Y} \sinh \frac{\hat{Y}_{z}}{k} \tag{E33}
\end{equation*}
$$

The dimensionless middle-surface shearing stresses, as obtained from table 2 and equations (E25), are given by

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{{ }^{\tau}{ }_{12} k}{G u_{0}}=\frac{u_{2}(z)}{u_{0}}-1 \\
\frac{\tau_{23} k}{G u_{0}}=-2 \frac{k}{f} \frac{u_{2}(z)}{u_{0}}+\sin \theta \cdot\left(\hat{\gamma}_{1} \hat{X} \hat{A}_{1}^{*} \cosh \frac{\hat{X} z}{k}+\hat{\gamma}_{3} \hat{Y} \hat{A}_{3}{ }^{*} \cosh \frac{\hat{Y} z}{k}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

From the rates of twist in table 3 and equations (E25) the following equations are obtained for the extreme-fiber shearing stresses $\tau_{12}^{\prime},{ }^{\tau}{ }_{23}^{\prime}$ due to twisting of the plate elements 12 and 23 respectively:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{{ }_{\tau}^{\prime}{ }_{12} k}{G^{\prime} u_{0}}=-\frac{t}{k} \bar{W}(z)  \tag{E35}\\
& \frac{{ }^{\tau}{ }_{23}^{\prime} k}{G^{\prime} u_{0}}=2 \frac{t}{f} \cos \theta \bar{W}(z)
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{W}(z)=\hat{\gamma}_{1} \hat{X} A_{1}^{*} \cosh \frac{\hat{X}_{z}}{k}+\hat{\gamma}_{3} \hat{Y} A_{3}^{*} \cosh \frac{\hat{Y} z}{k} \tag{E36}
\end{equation*}
$$

The frame bending moments at junctions (1) and (2) can be obtained by introducing condition (E2) into table A1, then writing equations (A1) for $M_{12}$ and $M_{23}$, and then letting $e \rightarrow 0$ if the trough lines are restrained against rotation or $e \rightarrow \infty$ if they are free to rotate. The resulting bending moments are then multiplied by $6 / t^{2}$ to obtain the associated extreme-fiber bending stresses (1) and $\sigma_{(2)}^{\prime}$. The results are as follows:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{q_{1}^{\prime} k}{E u_{0}}=\frac{3}{1-v^{2}} \frac{t}{k}\left[\frac{1-2 \cos \theta}{2+3 \frac{k}{f}}-1\right] \frac{v_{2}(z)}{u_{0}} \\
\frac{\sigma_{(2)}^{\prime} k}{E u_{0}}=\frac{3}{1-v^{2}} \frac{t}{f}\left[\frac{3-6\left(\frac{k}{f}\right)^{2} \cos \theta}{2+3 \frac{k}{f}}+2 \frac{k}{f} \cos \theta\right]^{7} \frac{v_{2}(z)}{u_{0}} \tag{E37}
\end{gather*}
$$

when the trough lines are restrained against rotating; and

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\sigma_{1}^{\prime} k}{E u_{0}}=0  \tag{E38}\\
\frac{\sigma^{\prime}(2) k}{E u_{0}}=\frac{3}{1-v^{2}} \frac{t}{f} \frac{1+2 \frac{k}{f} \cos \theta}{1+2 \frac{k}{f}} \frac{v_{2}(z)}{u_{0}}
\end{gather*}
$$

when the trough lines are free to rotate.
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Figure 1. - Configuration of trapezoidally corrugated plate considered in the present analysis.

(a) Complete freedom of rotation

(b) Clamping

Figure 2. - Types of external restraint against rotation considered along the trough lines.

(a) Point attachment at the ends of the trough lines.

(b) Point attachment at the ends of the trough lines and crest lines.

(c) Wide attachment at ends of trough lines only.

(d) Idealization of (c) used in the analysis: Point attachments at the ends of the trough lines, and point attachments permitting longitudinal sliding at the junctions of the trough plate elements and the inclined plate elements.

Figure 3. - Types of attachment considered at the ends of the corrugations.


Figure 4. - Diagrammatic representation of assumptions regarding displacements.


Figure 5. - Photograph showing flexural deformations during shearing of a single corrugation (from ref. 1).


Figure 6. - Sign convention for joint rotations assumed in the analysis for strain energy of frame bending.


Figure 7. - End moments $M_{L}$ and $M_{R}$ due to deflection $\Delta$ or rotation $\theta$ of the right end of a uniform beam with left end either clamped or hinged. $(D=$ flexural stiffness, $\alpha=0$ if left end is hinged, $\alpha=1$ if left end is clamped)


Figure 8. - Notation for end moments in the frame elements.
(a)

(b)

(c)
(d)

$$
\frac{\mathrm{T}_{1}}{\mathrm{e}} \sqrt{\overline{\mathrm{R}}_{1}=\mathrm{F}_{1}+\frac{\mathrm{T}_{2}}{\mathrm{k}}} \csc \theta-\frac{\mathrm{T}_{1}}{\mathrm{e}} \cot \theta
$$

Figure 9. - Successive transformations of the force system at the end $z=b$ into statically equivalent forms.

(a) General case

(b) Special case $f=0$

(c) Special case $e=0$

Figure 10. - General and special cross-sectional geometries considered in the analyses.

(a) $h / p=.2$

Figure 11.- Relative shear stiffness for the case of point attachments at the ends of the trough lines.

(b) $\mathrm{h} / \mathrm{p}=.4$

Figure 11.- Concluded.

(a) $h / p=.2$

Figure 12.- Relative shear stiffness for the case of point attachments at the ends of both the trough lines and the crest lines.

(b) $h / p=.4$

Figure 12.- Concluded.

(a) $\mathrm{h} / \mathrm{p}=.2$

Figure 13.- Relative shear stiffness for the case of wide attachments at the ends of the trough lines.

(b) $h / p=.4$

Figure 13.- Concluded.

(a) $h / p=.2$

Figure 14.- Re-plot of the data of figure 11.

(b) $h / p=.4$

Figure 14.- Concluded.

(a) $\mathrm{h} / \mathrm{p}=.2$

Figure 15.- Re-plot of the data of figure 12.

(b) $h / p=.4$

Figure 15.- Concluded.

(a) $h / p=.2$

Figure 16.- Re-plot of the data of figure 13.

(b) $h / p=.4$

Figure 16.- Concluded.


Figure 17.- Stresses and displacements for the case of point attachments at the ends of the trough lines.


Figure 17.- Continued.


Figure 17.- Continued.


Figure 17.- Continued.








$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (e) } \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{p}=\mathrm{f} / \mathrm{p}=.4, \mathrm{bt} / \mathrm{p}^{2}=.02, \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{p}=.005(2 \mathrm{~b} / \mathrm{p}=8)
\end{aligned}
$$

Figure 17.- Continued.


Figure 17.- Continued.


Figure 17.- Continued.


Figure 17.- Concluded.


Figure 18. - Sign convention for ${ }^{\tau} 01,{ }_{01}{ }_{01}$ and $\sigma_{1}^{\prime}$.

(a) $t / p=.005$

Figure 19.- Study of the effect of $G^{\prime \prime}$ and $D$ on the shearing stiffness.

(b) $t / p=.02$

Figure 19.- Concluded.
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[^0]:    *In the case of buckling of a plate-column with simply supported loaded edges and free unloaded ends, it is shown in ref. 3 that the effective flexural stiffness is already about five-sixths of the way from the beam value to the plate value when the distance between the free ends is only four times the distance between the supported edges. (The analogous distances in the present case would be the length of the corrugation, $2 b$, and (conservatively) the developed width of a corrugation.) In the case of a column with clamped loaded edges the transition from the beam value to the plate value occurs even more rapidly as the ratio of these two distances increases.

[^1]:    It has been the custom in previous analyses of the shearing of corrugated plates with discrete end attachments to assume inextensional deformation for the middle surface of the sheet. This leads to the straight-line generators of the corrugation remaining straight lines, and as a result the longitudinal displacements become independent of $z$ while the lateral displacements vary linearly with $z$. The present results suggest that this assumption can sometimes seriously misrepresent the actual displacement patterns.

[^2]:    $\square$
    

