https://ntrs.nasa.gov/search.jsp?R=19710026104 2020-03-11T22:24:15+00:00Z

e de la composition de la composition de la composition

COPY

N71-35580

NASA CR-72901



DEVELOPMENT OF A CHROMIUM-THORIA ALLOY

by

N. D. Veigel, B. A. Wilcox, J. M. Blocher, Jr., A. H. Clauer, D. A. Seifert, K. E. Meiners, M. F. Browning, and W. H. Pfeifer



BATTELLE Columbus Laboratories

prepared for

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

NASA Lewis Research Center Contract NAS 3-12435 T. P. Herbell and J. P. Merutka, Project Managers Materials and Structures Division

NOTICE

--- 11-

This report was prepared as an account of Government-sponsored work. Neither the United States, nor the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA), nor any person acting on behalf of NASA:

- (A) Makes any warranty or representation, expressed or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained in this report, or that the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report may not infringe privately owned rights; or
- (B) Assumes any liabilities with respect to the use of, or for damages resulting from the use of, any information, apparatus, method or process disclosed in this report.

As used above, "person acting on behalf of NASA" includes any employee or contractor of NASA, or employee of such contractor, to the extent that such employee or contractor of NASA or employee of such contractor prepares, disseminates, or provides access to any information pursuant to his employment or contract with NASA, or his employment with such contractor.

Requests for copies of this report should be referred to

National Aeronautics and Space Administration Scientific and Technical Information Facility P. O. Box 33 College Park, Maryland 20740

1.	Report No.	2. Government Accessi	01 No.	3. Recipient's Catalog	No.
	NASA-CR-72901				davier - ver- deviet
4.	Title and Subtitle			5. Report Date	
				March 10, 1971	
	DEVELOPMENT OF A CHROMIUM-THORIA	ALLOY		6. Performing Organiza	ation Code
7.	Author(s)			8. Performing Organiza	tion Report No.
	A. H . Clauer, D . A . H	lcox, J. M. Blocn ifert. K. E. Mein	er, Jr., ers.		
	M. F. Browning, and W.	H. Pfeifer		0. Work Unit No.	
9.	Performing Organization Name and Address				
	Battelle		 1	1 Contract or Grant	No
	Columbus Laboratories			NAS3-12435	
	Columbus, Ohio 43201			12 Type of Report an	d Pariad Covered
12	Sponsoring Agency Name and Address			13. Type of Report and	
	National Appropriation and Space /	dminiatration		Contractor Rep	port
	Washington, D. C. 20546	dministration	1	14. Sponsoring Agency	Code
15.	Supplementary Notes				
16.	Abstract		<u></u>		
	A vapor-deposition apparatus was	designed, constr	ucted, and used to p	repare chromium	and
	chromium-3 w/o ThO2 powders in c	one-quarter to one	-half pound (114-227	7g) lots. The p	powders
	were consolidated by hot isostat	cic pressing, roll	ed to sheet, and eva	aluated in sheet	form.
	The addition of thoria lowered t	he ductile-to-bri	ttle transition temp	perature both in	the
	as-rolled and annealed (1 hr, 12	200 C) conditions.	After high tempera	ature annealing (up to
	should have good high temperature	rystallized with e	longated grains. Al	lthough such a st red, probably her	ructure
	the microstructure was less than	n optimum.	profondire and opport	, co, p200a02, see	
of Facel Records					
-					
1					
ĺ					
-					
17.	Key Words (Suggested by Author(s))		18. Distribution Statement		
	Cr-ThO ₂ powder				
	Cr-ThO ₂ allovs		line laggified	- unlimited	
	Cr-ThO proportion		oncrassified	- aurrnircea	
	2 properties				
-			f this page)	21 No. of Pages	22 Price*
19.	Security Classif. (of this report)	20. Security Classif. (c	or this page)	∠1. NO. OT Pages	ZZ, 11100
	Unclassified	Unclassif	ied	101	\$3.00

 * For sale by the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Virginia 22151

FINAL REPORT

on

DEVELOPMENT OF A CHROMIUM-THORIA ALLOY

by

N. D. Veigel, B. A. Wilcox, J. M. Blocher, Jr., A. H. Clauer, D. A. Seifert, K. E. Meiners, M. F. Browning, and W. H. Pfeifer

ABSTRACT

A vapor-deposition apparatus was designed, constructed, and used to prepare chromium and chromium-3 w/o ThO_2 powders in one-quarter to one-half pound (114-227 g) lots. The powders were consolidated by hot isostatic pressing, rolled to sheet, and evaluated in sheet form.

The addition of thoria lowered the ductile-to-brittle transition temperature both in the as-rolled and annealed (1 hr, 1200°C) conditions. After high temperature annealing (up to 1427°C), the Cr-ThO₂ alloys recrystallized with elongated grains. Although such a structure should have good high temperature strength, no improvement was observed, probably because the microstructure was less than optimum.

SUMMARY

The purpose of this investigation was to evaluate the low temperature ductility and high temperature strength of chromium and chromium-thoria prepared from powders produced by chemical vapor deposition. The initial objective was to scale-up a vapor-deposition process patterned after a smaller unit of the first phase of work used in a previous program (NASA-10492)⁽¹⁾ to produce one pound (454 g) lots of chromium/thoria powder in a one-day process run. This objective was partially achieved in that 0.30 to 0.55 pound (133-250 g) lots of powder product were collected in preparations of 8 to 11 hours duration. Development progressed to the extent that the preparation of one-pound (454 g) lots in about 20 hours of continuous operation appear to be attainable. The major problem encountered with the powder preparation unit, was the formation of a chromium plug on a nozzle that injected a helium-CrI₂ mixture into a hydrogen filled chamber, thereby causing early termination of powder preparation runs. An effective nozzle design was identified and used to prepare chromium and chromium/thoria powder.

The powders prepared for evaluation included a 250-g lot of pure chromium powder, and three other lots (133 to 232 g) of Cr-ThO₂ powder containing about 3 w/o ThO_2 (2.2 v/o).

Powder products were cold pressed at 50,000 psi (345 MN/m^2) and densified by hot isostatic pressing (HIP) at 10,000 psi (69 MN/m^2) and 1100° C for two hours. The consolidation was successful in that densification was achieved and no detectable leakage occurred during HIP. However, most of the consolidated billets were found to be cracked after decladding and surface grinding. Consequently, sectioning was required to obtain crack-free material for rolling. Successful hot rolling of the billets to sheets of 0.026 to 0.033 inch (0.066 to 0.084 cm) thickness was achieved. The billets were sheathed in a mild steel container and initially rolled at 1100° C. As rolling progressed the temperature was gradually lowered, and the final rolling temperature was 700° C. Tension tests at a strain rate of $1.7 \times 10^{-4} \text{ sec}^{-1}$ showed that the ThO₂ particles lowered the ductile-to-brittle transition temperature (DBTT) compared with pure chromium. This ductilizing was evident both in the as-rolled condition, and after the materials had been annealed for one hour at 1200° C. The DBTT values were:

Material	As-Rolled	Annealed
Pure chromium	140 [°] C	140 ⁰ C
Cr-ThO ₂ alloys	15 [°] C	50 ⁰ C

This ductilizing was interpreted as the result of three possible mechanisms: (1) ThO₂ particles dispersing slip, such that critical stress concentrations for crack initiation were more difficult to achieve, (2) particles acting as dislocation sources providing mobile dislocations, and (3) particles in grain boundaries helping transmit slip across the boundaries.

Over the temperature range 150-200°C the yield and ultimate strengths of Cr-ThO_2 alloys were about 10,000 psi (69 MN/m²) higher than corresponding values for pure chromium. However, at 1093°C the strength of Cr-ThO₂ alloys was essentially the same as pure chromium. The relative lack of dispersion strengthening is attributed to a less than optimum dispersion of ThO₂ particles. In order to achieve better low and high temperature strengthening, the ThO₂ dispersion must be made more uniform, or another strengthening method such as solid solution alloying, e.g., with tantalum or nicbium, must be used in conjunction with the dispersion.

INTRODUCTION

Dispersion-strengthened chromium is of interest for use in turbines which require materials having better high-temperature strength and oxidation resistance than present superalloys. To be effective in strengthening at elevated temperatures, the dispersoid must be stable in contact with the matrix and impurities associated with the matrix. Another requirement for turbine use is that the material must have sufficient ductility at low temperatures to withstand shock associated with turbine

"start-up". The limited ductility of chromium is well known and is recognized to be a severe design limitation. Consequently, the objective of the present research program was to develop and characterize a dispersion-strengthened chromium system that not only has improved strength and microstructural stability at elevated temperatures, but also has improved ductility at low temperatures.

The present research program is a continuation of work, concluded under a previous contract (NASA-10492)⁽¹⁾, which demonstrated that consolidation of small lots (5-16 g) of vapor-formed chromium/thoria powder yields a dispersion that is thermally stable during high temperature annealing, e.g., 1427°C for 100 hours. An expected result of this dispersion of thoria in chromium is an increase in the room temperature and high-temperature strength. Although mechanical-property data were not obtained for the chromium/thoria alloy prepared during the previous program, the strengthening effect of dispersed particles in other alloy systems is well known.

Another anticipated effect that may be obtained with particles dispersed in "brittle" metals is to lower the ductile-to-brittle transition temperature (DBTT). Although the possibility has not been demonstrated in the chromium/thoria system, it has been postulated by Hahn and Rosenfield⁽²⁾, and demonstrated in the Fe-ThO₂ system⁽³⁾. The basic premise is that particles would limit the free-slip distance, thus reducing the dislocation pile-up length, which in turn would raise the cleavage stress. If this occurs, there is a good possibility that yielding will occur before cleavage, and the ductile-to-brittle transition temperature will be shifted to lower temperatures.

The immediate and long range objectives of the present program were to: (1) scale-up the vapor-deposition process to produce about one pound (454 g) of chromium or chromium-thoria powder in a one day process run, (2) to consolidate the powder and roll to sheet, and (3) to prepare specimens for evaluation of the ductilizing concept and to examine high temperature strength and thermal stability.

PROCESS DEVELOPMENT

Process Description

The feasibility of preparing small lots of chromium/thoria powder by deposition was demonstrated in the previous development $program^{(1)}$. On consolication of the powder product, a thermally stable dispersion was obtained having an interparticle spacing of 3 to 5 μ m. In view of the promising results, scale-up of the process and further evaluation of the product were pursued.

The process involves the feeding of a CrI_2 -helium gas mixture into a hydrogen-filled chamber to cause the formation of chromium powder by hydrogen reduction of the CrI_2 . Simultaneously, thoria particles entrained in hydrogen are injected into the reaction zone. Thus, chromium powder is formed in the presence of the thoria particles. It has not been established that the thoria particles are coated in this process. However, the thoria and chromium are sufficiently blended so that on consolidation of the powder a promising material is obtained. The size of the as-prepared chromium powder is in the range of 0.02 to 0.4 μ m.

Design of the Powder-Preparation Unit

A schematic drawing of the apparatus is given in Figure 1, and photographs of the assembled unit are shown in Figures 2, 3, 4, and 5. Operation of the unit is described in the following discussion.

Iodine is vaporized and carried with helium from a three-liter flask housed in the heating jacket labeled A in Figure 2. The iodine-evaporation assembly is mounted on a platform balance in order to monitor the iodine feed rate. The helium/iodine gas mixture is fed to a 7 cm diameter x 38.1 cm long Vycor tube (labeled B in Figure 2) containing solid CrI₂ powder heated to about 700^oC.







FIGURE 2. VIEW OF ASSEMBLED CHROMIUM/THORIA POWDER-PREPARATION UNIT



FIGURE 3. ASSEMBLY FOR IODINATION OF CHROMIUM AND PREHEATING HYDROGEN



FIGURE 4. VIEW OF THE He-CrI2-FEED NOZZLE



FIGURE 5. VIEW OF CHROMIUM/THORIA-POWDER-COLLECTION ASSEMBLY

The purpose of the heated CrI_2 is to react with the contaminant water normally found to be present in iodine in concentrations of 0.01 to 0.1 wt.%. The water reacts with CrI_2 to yield nonvolatile $\operatorname{Cr}_2 O_3$ and gaseous HI. The HI is not a contaminant, since it is one of the reduction products in the powder-preparation process. To minimize possible transport of $\operatorname{Cr}_2 O_3$ solid by gas entrainment, a small fritted-glass filter (labeled C in Figure 2) was placed upstream from the CrI_2 getter. However, the filter became clogged with entrained CrI_2 , and its use was discontinued early in the program.

For the continuous preparation of CrI_2 in the assembly shown in Figure 3, a predetermined mixture of helium and iodine is injected into the bed of chromium heated to 1000° C (see Figure 3 and Figure 4, Location A). The rate of iodine addition is controlled to limit the accumulation of liquid CrI_2 in the CrI_2 generator. The helium- CrI_2 mixture is injected into the hydrogen-filled chamber through a nozzle at Location B. Helium is fed through Tube C of Figure 4 to a tube concentric with the helium- CrI_2 feed tube, to prevent the contact of the CrI_2 with hydrogen and thereby prevent deposition of chromium by the hydrogen-reduction reaction. Also, a small amount of iodine is fed along with the helium-shroud gas to prevent deposition by thermal dissociation on dilution with the helium. The concentration of iodine in the helium required to prevent deposition on 50 percent dilution is calculated to be 0.25 mole percent.

Hydrogen is added through the 1/2-in. (1.27 cm) diameter stainless steel tube visible in Figures 3 and 4, Location D. The steel tube is wound with a sheathed heating element, which preheats the hydrogen before it enters a second heating chamber formed by the space between the wall of the 5-in. (12.7 cm) IDquartz housing and the iodination chamber. The heated hydrogen passes molybdenum discs visible in Figures 3 and 4 on entering the reaction chamber.

Thoria is fed from the feeder partially visible in Figures 2 and 5 and labeled E in both figures. The feeder is constructed of stainless steel tubing,

2-1/2 in. (6.35 cm) OD x 19 in. (48.2 cm) overall height, and provided with "O"-ring seals located in the top flange, and a two-stage conical bottom. The first stage consists of a cone having a 40° included angle attached to a 3/4-in. (1.91 cm) ID x 1 in. (2.54 cm) long straight section which is welded to the second cone having a 20° included angle. Chromium granules, 60 x 120 mesh, are contained in the first stage where they are fluidized with hydrogen admitted through a small line at the bottom of the feeder. The fluidized chromium bed distributes the gas and prevents channeling of the thoria contained in the second stage. The use of chromium at this point was chosen to avoid the effects of possible attrition and entrainment of some foreign material into the system. Additional hydrogen is introduced above the fluidized bed of thoria particles to facilitate entrainment. The entrained particles are accelerated to near sonic velocity in a 47-mil (0.119 cm) ID tube, where they deagglomerate. These particles are then injected into the reaction chamber.

The chromium-thoria-powder product is carried by entrainment toward the bottom of the 5-in. (12.7 cm) ID-quartz tube where it is deflected by a 15-mil (0.038 cm) thick sheet of molybdenum cut to form an ellipse that rests at a 45° angle in the bottom closure of the quartz tube. The exhaust gas and entrained product exit through a 1/2-in. (1.27 cm) stainless steel pipe connected with Teflon to a glass cyclone separator visible in Figure 5, Location A. The cyclone was fabricated from a 500-ml Erlenmeyer flask provided with 1.9 cm tubular-glass inlet and outlet for the gases. The powder product that is disentrained in the cyclone falls into the product flask at B in Figure 5. The powder product that escapes the cyclone is collected in the Cottrell precipitators labeled C in Figure 5. In operation, the 1-in. (2.54 cm) ID plastic tubing connecting the bottoms of the cyclone and precipitators is closed with clamps so that the gas must flow through the top opening of the cyclone. The exhaust gas from the precipitators is bubbled through dibutylphthalate and then cleaned in a water-spray

scrubber located at D in Figure 5 prior to being exhausted into a vented hood. The spray scrubber is constructed of black-iron fittings with a spray nozzle housed just below the pipe "T" and is directed downward into a water reservoir provided with a drain.

The powder products from both the precipitator and the cyclone are transferred by gravity with vibration to the product flask. Since the product contains some unreacted CrI_2 , it is transferred from the flask to a 4-in. (10.2 cm) diameter x 20-in. (50.8 cm) long Vycor bulb for heat treatment in hydrogen at 700°C to reduce the CrI_2 to chromium. The heat-treated product is then transferred back into a product flask for storage until the consolidation step is undertaken. All transfers are made in a purified helium atmosphere.

Experimental Operation of the Powder-Preparation Unit

In all, 13 powder-preparation runs were made. The first eight runs did not yield significant quantities of chromium powder as a result of early termination forced by operational difficulties. Effective modifications of the coating unit were identified and used in the last five preparations for the preparation of 133 to 250 gram lots of powder products. The preparations are summarized in Table 1.

The primary operational problem involved the formation of a chromium deposit on the nozzle that injects the CrI_2 -helium mixture into the hydrogen-filled reaction zone. Initially, the chromium deposit on the nozzle deflected the CrI_2 -helium gas stream and led to an inefficient reaction as a result of inadequate mixing with hydrogen. Eventually, the chromium deposit formed a gas-tight plug. It was recognized at the outset of the program that it would be difficult to inject the thermally unstable gaseous compound, CrI_2 , at high temperature into a hydrogen-filled chamber. Dissociation of the CrI_2 can occur by

TABLE 1. SUMMARY OF DATA ON PREPARATION OF CHROMIUM POWDER⁽¹⁾

on Rate billected duct g/hr	•					8	1	و
-Preparati Powder Cc in Prc Flask,	·	·		·		Ń		Ø
Chromium Powder Total Powder Formed, g/hr	Not measured, but yield was low	E	5 ⁽³⁾	2 (4)	< 1	10(5)	Negligible	21
Average Chromium Iodination Rate, g/hr	44	8	31	34	29	38	Negligible	33
Cause of Termin- ation	CrI ₂ -He noz- zle plugged with Cr	Ξ	Ξ	Ξ	Collection system over- loaded with CrI ₂	CrI2-He noz- zle nearly plugged	CrI2-He nozzle plugged with Cr	Electrical short on I2 feed line heater
Time in Oper- ation, hr	2	7	5	4	m	3 . 5 ,	Operating conditions not at- tained	1
Re- action Temp.,	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	940	1,000	1,000	1,000
Velocity of CrI2-He Mixture from Nozzle, cm/sec	4,000	4,000	4,000	11,000	11,000	600	2,000	006
Nozzle Design(2)	A	A	А	В	я	U	U	ы
Run No.	27180-11-1	27180-17-2	27180-18-3	27180-22-4	27180-26-5	27180-29-6	27180-33-7	27180-35-8

ed			14		
r-Preparation Re Powder Collect in Product Flask, g/hr	12.2	22.7	. 22.1	24.2	25.8
Chromium Powder Total Powder Formed g/hr	22.4	32.8	38.5	37	42.3
verage Chromium odination ite, g/hr	60	63	59.4	55 . 2	60.3
Cause A of (Termin- Id ation Ra	CrI2-He noz- zle nearly plugged	Color change detected in product in- dicating poor reaction effi- ciency	Small-bore thoria-transport tube plugged with thoria	Plug developed in water scrub- ber on exhaust line as a resul of corrosion	Color change in product observed. How- ever, no prob- lems were de- tected on inspe- tion of the dis- mantled unit.
Time in Oper- ation, hr	11.5	11	ω	5.5	6
Re- action Temp.,	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000
Velocity of CrI2-He Mixture from Nozzle, cm/sec	006	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000
Nozzle(2) Design	ы	Γ.	٤ų	۴ı	Г ц
Run No.	27180-37-9	27180-41-10	27180-49-11	27180-57-12	27180-61-13

TABLE 1. (Continued)

П

TABLE 1. (Continued)

- (1) The gas composition used for all of the runs is near that used in the previous research program (NASA-10492). The composition is 23.8 to 23.9 mole % helium, 75.5-75.9 mole % hydrogen, and 0.27 to 0.66 mole % CrI₂.
- (2) See Figures 6 and 7.
- (3) A thermocouple housed in a 7-mm-OD well was supported from the bottom of the preparation unit and positioned axially with the termination of the closed end of the well and the thermocouple bead at a point three inches (7.6 cm) within the bottom of the reaction chamber. Chromium deposited on the well at an average rate of 4.7 g/hr. In the absence of the well, a major portion of the deposited chromium could have formed powder. Therefore, the indicated rate of powder formation could be low by as much as 4.7 g/hr.
- (4) A high-velocity (4700 cm/sec) jet of hydrogen was fed from the bottom of the reaction zone counter-current to the CrI2-helium flow to improve the mixing of gases. However, the jet of hydrogen may have adversely affected the temperature of the reactant gases, since it was not preheated prior to injection into the reaction chamber.
- (5) Several wire probes were located in the reaction chamber to gain information on the extent of the reaction at various points. Chromium deposited on the wire probes at an average rate of 4.3 g/hr. In addition, chromium deposited across the large nozzle at an average rate of 5 g/hr. In the absence of the added surfaces, up to 9.3 g/hr of additional powder could have been formed.

dilution with an inert gas as well as by hydrogen reduction. Consequently, the general approach to the problem was to sweep the hydrogen away from the nozzle with an inert gas and thereby prevent the hydrogen reduction of CrI_2 on the nozzle. To prevent the thermal decomposition by dilution at the nozzle, iodine was fed at a predetermined concentration along with the inert sweep gas. The approach was basically sound as indicated by the successful preparation of useful quantities of powder product. However, the identification of a nozzle configuration that made effective use of the iodine-doped sweep gas involved considerable effort as indicated in the discussion that follows.

The formation of an irregular chromium deposit on the nozzle not only caused eventual termination of a preparation run due to complete blockage of the gas flow, but by altering the flow characteristics also caused unknown and uncontrolled changes in the mixing of the reactants in the reaction chamber. The changes in mixing of the reactants in turn caused wide variations in the reaction efficiency, and, as a result, a variable powder-yield rate was obtained. In addition, if the CrI_2 -helium mixture was deflected toward the wall of the reaction chamber, chromium was deposited there in massive form rather than as the desired powder. The problem was not only decreased powder-production efficiency, but the inability to predict the amount of chromium powder being prepared, which must be known to establish the rate of ThO₂ feed for a desired alloy composition.

The nozzle designs evaluated are shown in Figure 6. The initial approach was to use high velocities of the CrI₂-helium mixture and shroud the end of the nozzle with helium containing 0.23 to 0.55 mole percent iodine. The high gas velocities effectively prevented blockage for about five hours of operation. However, as information on the yield of powder was obtained, it became apparent that the powder-preparation rate decreased with increasing gas velocities through the nozzle.

<u>Nozzle</u>	Outer Tube,	Inner Tube,	Z,
	ID	mm ID	mm
A	8	5	0
B	7	3	4
C	17	13	2





FIGURE 6. NOZZLE DESIGNS USED TO INJECT THE Cri_-HELIUM MIXTURE INTO THE HYDROGEN-FILLED REACTION ZONE

Nozzles A and B of Figure 6 are nozzles that operate at relatively high gas velocities, i.e., 4,000 and 11,000 cm/sec, respectively. Nozzle C of Figure 6 was operated with a gas velocity of 600 cm/sec and, as indicated by the data included in Table 1, its use resulted in the first improvement in the rate of powder formation. Nozzles D and E of Figure 6 were then designed to either spread gases injected at high velocity or effectively use a low velocity. Nozzle D was found to be ineffective. Nozzle E was designed to operate at relatively low gas velocity, 900 cm/sec, with a helium-0.5 mole percent iodine shroud gas injected tangentially to the CrI2-helium mixture. The design involving tangential injection showed promise and was expanded to include the tangential feed of the helium-iodine mixture to both a shroud and an inner CrI2-helium injection nozzle, as shown in Figure 7. With the latest nozzle design, up to about 1/2 pound (227 g) quantities of chromium or chromium/thoria powder were prepared in single preparation runs of about 10 hours duration. Preparation runs of up to 20 hours duration to yield one-pound (454 g) quantities of powder product now appear to be attainable with existing apparatus and operational experience.

Calibration of the Thoria Feeder

Control of composition with respect to thoria depends on being able to predict the rate of formation of chromium powder throughout the preparation run so that the rate of thoria feed can be adjusted accordingly. When the development of the powder preparation unit progressed to the extent that the rate of chromium powder formation was predictable, operating conditions for the thoria feeder were identified to deliver the required amount of thoria. The thoria feeder, described in detail in an earlier section of this report, consists mainly of a two-stage fluidized-bed unit provided with a small bore tube to transport entrained thoria powder to the hydrogen-filled reaction chamber of the powder-preparation unit.



FIGURE 7. NOZZLE DESIGN USED TO INJECT THE Cri_-HELIUM MIXTURE INTO THE HYDROGEN-FILLED REACTION ZONE The first stage of the fluidized bed feeder contained chromium granules to distribute the gas fed to the bed of thoria contained in the second stage.

To calibrate the feeder, 150 grams of Vitro^{*} thoria was charged. This thoria was specified to be nominally 0.02 to 0.05 μ m in size, but actually contained some 0.1 to 1.5 μ m size particles. An electron micrograph of the starting ThO₂ powder is shown in Figure 8. It is seen that most of the particles are smaller than about 800 Å (0.08 μ m). However, large particles such as the one in Figure 8 were observed frequently. These were generally in the size range 0.1 to 1.5 μ m.

The small bore exhaust tube for the thoria feeder was connected to a weighed electrostatic precipitator. The weight of thoria collected at constant



FIGURE 8. ELECTRON MICROGRAPH OF STARTING ThO₂ POWDER, 60,000X The particles were suspended in a solution of ethyl alcohol and sprayed onto an electron microscopy grid covered with a carbon film.

This Th0₂ was procurred from Vitro Laboratories of West Orange, New Jersey. A discussion regarding selection of this Th0₂ source is given in Appendix A.

gas flow rates in the electrostatic precipitator was determined after successive one-hour periods of operation. Based on a predetermined total chromium-feed rate of 60 grams per hour in the powder-preparation unit, thoria feed rates of 1.9 and 4.0 grams per hour were expected to be required for nominal powder compositions of 2 to 3, and 5 to 6 v/o thoria, respectively.

It was expected from previous experience that the condition of the thoria in the feeder had to be taken into account in order to obtain a desired feed rate. In an entrainment-type feeder, a high rate of feed is obtained with a fresh charge of thoria. The rate of thoria feed at constant gas flow rate decreases rapidly within several hours of operation and then becomes more constant, presumably as a result of a more steady state of agglomeration and compaction of the charge. Consequently, calibration of the feeder for each chromium/thoria run was considered to be necessary in order to compensate for changes in charge size and condition of the thoria charge.

To identify the condition required for a desired thoria feed rate of 1.9 gram per hour, 30 one-hour calibration runs, were made. It was ascertained that a nearly constant thoria feed rate of 1.9 grams per hour could be obtained with (1) a conditioned charge of 110 grams of thoria, (2) a hydrogen gas flow rate of 5 liters per minute, and (3) an additional 5 liters per minute injected at the bottom of the thoria bed.

For the 1.9 gram per hour thoria feed rate, a small-bore 47-mil (0.119 cm) ID transport tube was satisfactory. However, in calibrating the feeder for a feed rate of 4 grams per hour, plugging of a 47-mil (0.119 cm) transport tube was found to be a problem. A 63-mil (0.16 cm) ID transport tube was substituted to avoid the plugging and, with the larger bore transport tube, it was established that nearly the same hydrogen gas flow rates used with the smaller bore transport were required for a 4 gram-per-hour thoria feed rate. However, at the higher feed rate, the amount of thoria fed from a preconditioned 150- gram charge

decreased gradually with use. To compensate for the decreasing delivery rate the hydrogen gas flow rate through the bed of chromium was held constant at 5 liters per minute, while the 5-liter-per-minute flow rate injected into the thoria was increased 0.2 liter per minute on an hourly basis. This procedure was defined in the calibration work.

Preparation of Powders for Consolidation and Mechanical-Property Measurement

The final modification of the CrI_2 -helium injection nozzle, shown in Figure 7, was used to prepare 250 grams of pure chromium powder, 177 grams of chromium-1.41 v/o ThO₂, 133 grams of chromium-2.35 v/o ThO₂, and 232 grams of chromium 2.60-v/o ThO₂ in runs numbered 27180-41-10 through 27180-61-13 (Table 1). Vacuum-fusion and spectrographic analyses were obtained for the pure chromium powder, while the chromium/ThO₂ powders were analyzed only for thoria.

The results of the analyses of the pure chromium powder indicated that the purity was consistent with the purity of chromium powder prepared during the previous research program⁽¹⁾. Spectrographic analyses indicated the presence of only traces of iron (< 100 ppm), silicon (< 100 ppm), and copper (< 50 ppm). Other metallic contaminants were sought, but not detected. Vacuum-fusion analyses of a sample of chromium powder, contained in a helium-filled tin capsule to avoid exposure to air on loading in the vacuum-fusion apparatus, indicated oxygen and nitrogen contamination to be 830 ppm and < 40 ppm, respectively. The 830 ppm of oxygen is consistent with oxygen contamination (> 320 ppm but < 1145 ppm) obtained in pure chromium powder prepared during the previous research program. However, the oxygen content is greater than the desired target concentration of < 150 ppm.

Control of the composition with respect to thoria does not appear to be as good as was obtained in the previous program with a small powder-preparation unit. This is indicated by the analytical results in Table 2 obtained for three

TABLE 2. COMPARISON OF CHEMICAL ANALYSES OF POWDER AND ROLLED SHEET

				Powde	r Analyses			
Run No. ^(a)	Intended Comp., v/o Th0 ₂	Wt % ThO ₂	Vo1 % ThO ₂	Wt % Oxygen(b)	Wt % Nitrogen(b)	T	race, Wt	% ^(d)
10		0	0	0.083	< 0.004	< 0.01 Fe,	< 0.01 S	i, < 0.005 Cu
11	2 to 3	1.95	1.41				603 600	anny sha
12	5 to 6	3.25	2.35				•3 •55	4086 core
13	5 to 6	3.59	2.60				413 879	430. 459
				Analyses	of Rolled She	<u>et</u>		
Sheet No	(a)	Origin Analys	of	Wt % ThO ₂	Vol % Th	Wt 0 ₂ Oxyg	%(b)	Wt % Nitrogen(c)
10 - 1a		Batte1	.1e	0	0	0.1	27	0.006
11 - 1b		Batte1	.1e	2.72	1.96	-	-	0.010
11 - 1b		NASA		3.55	2.57	-	-	595 Wak
12 - 1b		Battel	.1e	2.99	2.16	-	-	0.017
12 - 1b		NASA		3.58	2.60	-	-	5601 6045
13-1		Battel	.1e	3.12	2.26	-	-	0.007
13-1		NASA		3.68	2.66	· –	-	600 600

(a) The first number in the sheet designation (10, 11, 12, 13) corresponds to material produced from the powder run with the same number.

(b) Vacuum fusion analysis for oxygen and nitrogen.

(c) Micro-Kjeldahl analysis for nitrogen.

(d) Spectrographic analysis.

preparations of chromium/thoria powder. Here it is appropriate to compare analyses of the powder with those of the final rolled sheet, since there are some differences. Additional work would be required to identify the cause of the poor control of composition in the powder. However, the composition of the chromium/thoria powder was considered to be satisfactory for consolidation and mechanical-property measurements.

The three ThO_2 -containing alloy sheets have in general ~ 3 w/o ThO_2 , or ~ 2.2 v/o ThO_2 . The NASA analyses on sheet were consistently higher than the Battelle analyses. However, the trend in increasing ThO_2 content from Sheets 11 to 13 is the same for both analyses. The ThO_2 contents of powders produced on Runs 12 and 13 are consistent with the respective analyses on the rolled sheet. However, the powder analysis for Run 11 (1.95 w/o) is considerably lower than the corresponding sheet analyses (2.72-3.55 w/o). The reason for this discrepancy is not known. For convenience in subsequent discussion, the following designations will be used:

Sheet	10-1a	Púre Cr	
Sheet	11 - 1b	Cr-2.72 w/	o ThO ₂
Sheet	12 - 1b	Cr-2.99 w/	o ThO2
Sheet	13-1	Cr-3.12 w/	o ThO ₂

All of the powder products were heated in hydrogen for 30 hours (700°C for the first 20 hours and 750°C for the remaining 10 hours) to remove (by evaporation and/or reduction) residual CrI₂ which had been collected along with the powder product. The finished powders were then transferred to helium-filled glass ampules for storage prior to the forthcoming consolidation by hot isostatic pressing.

Fewer powder compositions than were initially planned have been made in this program because problems in "scaling-up" the powder preparation unit were more extensive than anticipated.

POWDER CONSOLIDATION AND HOT ROLLING

Consolidation of Chromium and $Cr-Th0_2$ Powder

A rectangular die was designed and fabricated from air-hardening tool steel for the cold pressing of Cr-ThO_2 powder into billets prior to final densification by HIP. With appropriate shims and platens, the die (shown in Figure 9) can be used to fabricate several different sizes of billets dictated by the available amounts of Cr-ThO₂ powder.

In order to avoid oxygen and nitrogen contamination of the chromium and Cr-ThO, powders by air, all processing steps involving handling of the powders or green-pressed billets were carried out under a protective argon atmosphere. Each lot of powder was weighed before loading it into the pressing die. The die was assembled, sealed with rubber diaphragms, and removed from the dry box. Removal of the argon atmosphere from the die cavity prior to pressing was carried out by evacuation through a hypodermic needle inserted into one of the rubber The entire die assembly was then sealed in a rubber bladder and diaphragms. hydrostatically pressed to consolidate the powder fill. After clean-up and removal of the rubber bladder, the sealed die assembly was returned to the protective argon atmosphere where it was disassembled and the green-pressed billet removed and placed in a closely fitting tantalum can lined with 0.001-in. (0.00254 cm) thick tungsten foil to act as a diffusion barrier between the chromium and tantalum during HIP. A summary of the hydrostatic pressures used to green press six billets appears in Table 3, along with the powder weights and compositions. The 30,000 psi (207 MN/m²) used to compact Billet No. 10-1 proved to be inadequate in terms of the green strength achieved, because considerable cracking and delamination occurred during removal of this compact from the die. The



FIGURE 9. DIE FOR HYDROSTATIC PRESSING OF Cr-ThO₂ POWDER

 Billet		Powder	Hydros Compaction	static . Pressure
Number	Composition	Weight, g	psi	MN /m ²
10-1	Pure Cr	129.3	30,000	207
10-2	Pure Cr	129.3	50,000	345
11-1	Cr-2.72 Wt % ThO ₂	173.3	50,000	345
12-1	Cr-2.99 Wt % ThO ₂	133.7	50,000	345
13-1	Cr-3.12 Wt % Th02	116.2	50,000	345
13-2	Cr-3.12 Wt % Th02	• 116.2	50,000	345

TABLE 3. SUMMARY OF PRE-CONSOLIDATION PARAMETERS

remainder of the specimens were compacted at 50,000 psi (345 MN/m^2) and success-fully extracted from the die and placed in the tantalum cans.

Each tantalum- and tungsten-clad (but not sealed) specimen was then vacuum outgassed at $816^{\circ}C$ one hour to remove any traces of CrI_2 which might have remained from the CVD powder-preparation process. Because of the close proximity of the chromium and $Cr-ThO_2$ compacts to the weldment, it was found that the tantalum cladding could not be sealed by welding. The clad billets were therefore over-clad first with stainless steel and then with mild steel as added protection against leakage during HIP. Both the stainless and mild-steel-clad layers were sealed under a vacuum of approximately 10^{-5} torr $(1.33 \times 10^{-3} \text{ N/m}^2)$ by electron-beam welding. Each seal was leak checked by pressurizing the specimens to 400 psi (2.8 MN/m^2) in helium. No leaks were detected either by submersion in alcohol or by "sniffing" with a helium mass spectrometer leak detector.

All six of the billets were densified at one time by HIP for two hours at 1093° C and 10,000 psi (69 MN/m²) in helium. Removal of the clad layers after HIP was begun by leaching away the mild steel in hot nitric acid. The

stainless steel, tantalum, and tungsten layers were then ground and peeled away from the billets which could now be safely exposed to the air. The surfaces were ground flat and parallel preparatory to surface replication and recladding for rolling.

All of the billets were inspected after grinding by the Zyglo technique. Surface and edge cracks were revealed in all of the billets with the exception of the two small pieces cut from Billet No. 11-1. Billets Nos. 10-2 and 13-2 were particularly bad, 10-2 having a network of large cracks, possibly from the decladding operation; and 13-2 having an extensive network of small surface and edge cracks, possibly resulting from the grinding operation. Enough crack-free areas were present in the remaining billets (Nos. 10-1, 12-1, and 13-1) to permit their being sectioned into either one or two smaller specimens which could still be used for rolling experiments. The compositions and sizes of the specimens suitable for rolling are summarized in Table 4. Each of these billets was Zyglo-inspected after sectioning and only minimal edge cracking was revealed. Included in Table 4 are the densities achieved after HIP. The ThO₂-containing alloys all had densities in excess of 98%. However, the pure chromium was only about 90% dense. The reason for this lack of densification is not known.

Structure of Hot Isostatically Pressed Alloys

After hot isostatic pressing, small pieces were sectioned from several billets and these were metallographically prepared and examined by optical microscopy and replica electron microscopy. Figures 10 and 11 show the microstructures as observed by optical and replica electron microscopy respectively. In the three Th0₂-containing alloys it appears that most of the Th0₂ particles are at the grain boundaries, which correspond to the surfaces of the original

Billet	Composition	Leng	th,	Widt	h,	- Thickn	s s	c	Density ^(a)
Number	Wt % ThO2	in,	cm,	in.	cm.	in.	cm.	g/cm	% of Theoretical
10-1a	Pure Cr	1.57	4.00	1.00	2.53	0.20	0.51	6*•9	90.2
10-1b	Pure Cr	1.60	4.06	1.05	2.65	0.20	0.51	6°°9	90.2
11 - 1a	Cr-2.72 ThO ₂	1.31	3°33	0.94	2.39	0.19	0.47	7.14	98.7
11-1b	Cr-2.72 Th02	1.40	3,56	1.01	2.57	0.18	0°46	7.14	98.7
12 - 1a	Cr-2.99 ThO ₂	1.31	3 . 32	1.09	2.78	0.18	0°46	7.18	98.8
12-1b	Cr-2.99 ThO ₂	1.22	3.11	1.09	2.76	0.18	0.46	7.18	98.8
13-1	Cr-3.12 Th02	1.37	3.47	0.95	2,41	0.15	0.38	7.19	98.9

-

tetrachloride.
carbon
in
buoyancy
bу
determined
volume
Specimen
(a)



(c) Gr-2.99 w/o Th0₂ (Billet 12-1b) (d) Gr-3.12 w/o Th0₂ (Billet 13-1)

FIGURE 10. OPTICAL MICROGRAPHS OF HOT ISOSTATICALLY PRESSED Cr AND Cr-Th0₂ ALLOYS,ALL 1000 X.



(a) Cr-2.72 w/o Th0₂ (Billet 11-1b)²

(b) Cr-2.99 w/o ThO (Billet 12-1b)²

(c) Cr-3.12 w/o ThO₂ (Billet 13-1) chromium crystals. Some agglomeration of the ThO₂ particles is evident but it is not possible to say whether or not the particles are sintered together. Figure 10a shows that the pure chromium contained porosity, which was expected from the low density (90.2% of theoretical). The pure chromium also contained a number of particles presumed to be Cr_2O_3 . In view of its oxygen content (830 ppm) this was to be expected. These Cr_2O_3 particles were about 1 to 3 μ m in diameter. Each of the three $Cr-ThO_2$ alloys also contain Cr_2O_3 , and these are probably the larger particles in Figures 10 and 11.

Hot Rolling

Initial rolling experiments were performed on Billets 10-1b (pure chromium) and 11-1a (Cr-2.72 w/o ThO_2), which are described in Table 4. These billets were encapsulated in molybdenum sheathing, consisting of a 1/2 in. (1.27 cm) wide frame with 0.090 in. (0.229 cm) thick sheet covering the billet faces. Hot rolling was started at 1100°C and terminated at 700°C. The rolling reductions were 10% per pass throughout and the temperature was gradually reduced as rolling proceeded. The total reduction of the chromium and $Cr-ThO_2$ billets was from \sim 0.2 in. (\sim 0.51 cm) to 0.055 in. (0.14 cm). When the sheets were decanned it was found that they were badly cracked throughout. Successful rolling of the remaining billets was achieved by using a mild steel can in place of the molybdenum. A molybdenum foil was placed between the billets and the mild steel to act as a barrier to diffusion of nitrogen and carbon from the steel. The rolling procedure was the same as that above, with the final sheet thickness being 0.026 in. to 0.033 in. (0.066 cm to 0.084 cm). The results of the sheet rolling are given in Table 5. Enough material was provided for the evaluation of mechanical properties, thermal stability, and for limited oxidation studies.
			Ro	Lled Di	imensio	ons		
Sheet		Leng	gth	Wie	lth	Thick	ness	Sheet
No.	Alloy	in.	Cm	in.	cm	in.	Cm	Condition
10 - 1a	Pure Cr	7.3	18.5	1.3	3.3	0.031	0.079	One large flaw
11 - 1b	Cr-2.72 w/o Th0 ₂	8.9	22.6	1.0	2.5	0.031	0.079	Several edge cracks
12 - 1a	Cr-2.99 w/o ThO ₂	7.3	18.5	1.0	2.5	0.033	0.084	Numerous edge cracks
12-1b	Cr-2.99 w/o ThO ₂	7.9	20.1	1.3	3.3	0.026	0.066	Excellent
13-1	Cr-3.12 w/o Th0 ₂	7.3	18.5	1.0	2.5	0.027	0.058	Excellent

TABLE 5. RESULTS OF CHROMIUM AND Cr-ThO2 SHEET ROLLING

RESULTS OF EVALUATION

Microstructure

In the as-rolled condition, the pure chromium and the three Cr-Th0_2 alloys had essentially a cold-worked plus recovered structure. This is shown in Figures 12 and 13 (optical microstructures), 14 and 15 (replica electron micrographs), and 16 (transmission electron micrographs). All three as-rolled Th0₂-containing alloys had essentially the same microstructure. The optical micrographs in Figure 12 show the pure chromium structure on the sheet surface, on the longitudinal sheet thickness, and on the transverse sheet thickness; and Figure 13 is a similar series for the Cr-2.72 w/o Th0₂ material. In both cases the grain structure is elongated in the rolling direction, and it appears that the pure chromium grain structure is somewhat coarser than that of the Cr-Th0₂ alloys.

The Cr_20_3 particles in the pure chromium and the $\text{Th}0_2$ (and Cr_20_3) particles in the Cr-Th0₂ alloys are strung out in the rolling direction.



(a) Sheet surface, rolling direction is horizontal



(b) Longitudinal sheet thickness (parallel to rolling direction)



(c) Transverse sheet thickness (normal to rolling direction)

FIGURE 12. OPTICAL MICROGRAPHS OF AS-ROLLED PURE CHROMIUM (SHEET 10-1a); ALL 500 X.



(a) Sheet surface, rolling direction is horizontal

(b) Longitudinal sheet thickness (parallel to rolling direction)

(c) Transverse sheet thickness (normal to rolling direction)

FIGURE 13. OPTICAL MICROGRAPHS OF AS-ROLLED Cr-2.72 w/o ThO₂ (SHEET 11-1b); ALL 500 X. THESE ARE TYPICAL OF THE OTHER TWO Cr-ThO₂ ALLOYS AS WELL.



FJGURE 14. REPLICA ELECTRON MICROGRAPH OF AS-ROLLED PURE CHROMIUM (SHEET 10-1a) ON THE SHEET SURFACE, SHOWING Cr203 PARTICLES, 4000 X.



(a) Sheet surface, rolling direction is nearly horizontal

(b) Longitudinal sheet thickness (parallel to rolling direction)

(c) Transverse sheet thickness (normal to rolling direction)

FIGURE 15. REPLICA ELECTRON MICROGRAPHS OF AS-ROLLED Cr-3.12 w/o ThO₂ (SHEET 13-1): ALL 10,000X. THESE ARE TYPICAL OF THE OTHER TWO Cr-ThO₂ ALLOYS AS WELL.



(a) Pure Cr (Sheet 10-1a)



(b) Cr-2.72 w/o ThO_2 (Sheet 11-1b)

FIGURE 16. TRANSMISSION ELECTRON MICROGRAPHS OF AS-ROLLED CHROMIUM AND Cr-Th0₂ ALLOYS, ALL 30,000X.



(c) Cr-2.99 w/o ThO₂ (Sheet 12-1b)



(d) Cr-3.12 w/o Th0₂ (Sheet 13-1)

FIGURE 16. (Continued).

Figure 13a (sheet surface) shows that the particle distribution is not uniform. This is better illustrated by replica electron micrographs in Figure 15. Figure 14 shows details of the Cr_2O_3 particles in pure chromium and Figure 15 illustrates three views typical of the $Cr-ThO_2$ alloys. The stringing out of particles parallel to the rolling helped make the dispersion more uniform, compared with that of the hot isostatically pressed billets (Figure 15 compared with Figure 11). However, the particle distribution is not as uniform as that in commercial dispersion-strengthened nickel-base alloys.

The substructure of each of the four as-rolled materials is seen in the transmission electron micrographs of Figure 16. The thoriated alloys often had particles at the subgrain boundaries. An interesting feature of Figure 16 is that the subgrain size of the pure chromium is essentially the same as that of the thoriated alloys (~ 1.2 μ m). This is somewhat surprising since the optical micrographs in Figures 12 and 13 indicate that the pure chromium is more recovered than the thoriated alloys. Table 6 lists the average subgrain size for each of the four alloys in the as-rolled condition. Also listed here are the subgrain or grain sizes of each alloy after a high temperature anneal (1200°C, 1 hour); these results are discussed later.

Recrystallization and Thermal Stability

It is well known that chromium and chromium alloys generally have a lower DBTT in the as-worked condition than after a high temperature exposure which causes recrystallization. For example, heavily drawn pure chromium wire exhibited some ductility (1-2% elongation) at temperatures as low as $-150^{\circ}C^{(4)}$. However, after complete recrystallization at 950°C, such wire had no measurable ductility at room temperature.

TABLE 6. AVERAGE SUBGRAIN OR GRAIN SIZE OF CHROMIUM AND Cr-ThO, ALLOYS (a)

Alloy	Condition	Subgrain Size, μ m
Pure Cr (Sheet 10-1a)	As-rolled	1.1
Cr-2.72 w/o ThO2 (Sheet 11-1b)	11	1.2
Cr-2.99 w/o Th0 ₂ (Sheet 12-1b)	11	1.2
Cr-3.12 w/o ThO ₂ (Sheet 13-1)	11	1.2
Pure Cr (Sheet 10-1a)	Annealed 1 hr, 1200 ⁰ C	33.0 ^(b)
Cr-2.72 w/o ThO2 (Sheet 11-1b)	11	2.4
Cr-2.99 w/o ThO2 (Sheet 12-1b)	II .	2 . 4
Cr-3.12 w/o Th0 ₂ (Sheet 13-1)	11	2.1

(a) In each case the subgrain size is the average of about 50 measurements from transmission electron micrographs.

(b) This is grain size as measured from optical micrographs. No subgrains were evidenced by transmission electron microscopy.

In the present work, the recrystallization behavior of the pure chromium and Cr-ThO_2 alloys was studied. In addition, the stability of ThO_2 particles after prolonged high temperature annealing (100 hours at 1316 and 1427°C) was investigated. The hardness change of as-rolled chromium and Cr-ThO_2 alloys as a function of annealing is given in Table 7, and these results are plotted in Figure 17. The as-rolled hardness of pure chromium was 135 VHN and that of the three Cr-ThO_2 alloys was 156-167 VHN. The higher hardness of the thoriated alloys must be associated with dispersion strengthening, since the substructure size is the same in all four alloys (see Figure 16 and Table 6). One hour anneals at $800-1200^{\circ}\text{C}$ in purified argon decreased the hardness of pure chromium to 99-90 VHN. TABLE 7. EFFECT OF ANNEALING ON VICKERS MICROHARDNESS OF CHROMIUM AND Cr-ThO2 ALLOYS(a)

	As-			1 Hour(c)			100 Ho	urs(c)
Alloy	Rolled(b)	800°C	وم ⁰ رد	1000 [°] C	1100°C	1200 [°] C	<u>1316°C</u>	1427 ⁰ C
Pure Cr (Sheet 10-la)	135	66	94	66	96	06	64	59
Gr-2.72 ThO ₂ (Sheet 11-1b)	157	160	138	124	125	109	101	102
Cr-2.99 ThO ₂ (Sheet 12-1b)	167	149	146	124	115	115	107	100
Cr-3.12 ThO ₂ (Sheet 13-1)	156	136	120	122	124	114	102	98
		and in some case of the second se	g armen and being and	والمعادلين والمحافظة والمحافظة والمحافظة والمحافظة والمحافظة والمحافظة والمحافظة والمحافظة والمحافظة			والمحاوية والمحاولة	
	al Angele and Andrea and Angele a					n on an	nin een de mande	

Annealing was done in purified argon. Hardness was measured on metallographically polished sheet surfaces, 50 g load. Average of 21 hardness readings. (a) (q)

(c) Average of 3 hardness readings.



FIGURE 17. EFFECT OF ANNEALING ON ROOM TEMPERATURE HARDNESS OF CHROMIUM AND Cr-Th02 ALLOYS

Optical microscopy showed that these annealing treatments were sufficient to completely recrystallize the pure chromium. However, the slightly decreased hardness of the $Cr-ThO_2$ alloys after one hour at $800^{\circ}C$ indicates that in this case recovery was only beginning. After one hour at $1200^{\circ}C$ the hardness of the $Cr-ThO_2$ alloys decreased to 109-115 VHN. Prolonged exposure for 100 hours at $1316^{\circ}C$ and $1427^{\circ}C$ further decreased the hardness of the chromium too (to 59-64 VHN), but caused only a slight additional decrease in hardness of the thoriated alloys.

It was decided that a standard anneal of one hour at 1200°C in purified argon would be given specimens from each material for subsequent mechanical property determinations. The microstructures resulting from this treatment are shown in Figures 18-20:

Figure 18, Optical micrographs of pure chromium

Figure 19, Optical micrographs of Cr-2.99 w/o ThO₂

Figure 20, Transmission electron micrographs of all four materials. The optical micrographs of pure chromium in Figure 18 reveal that complete recrystallization occurred, with a resulting equiaxed grain size of 33 μ m (Table 6). Very little dislocation substructure was present as shown in the transmission electron micrograph in Figure 20a. However, the thoriated alloys did not recrystallize after the one hour anneal at 1200°C, as seen in Figure 19. The structure coarsened somewhat compared with the as-rolled microstructure (compare Figures 13 and 19). The transmission electron micrographs in Figure 20b, c, and d indicate that the annealing caused additional recovery of the thoriated alloys (compare with Figure 16), and the subgrain size increased to 2.1 to 2.4 μ m. Figures 20c and d show several examples where boundaries are being held up by ThO₂ particles. A comparison of Figures 20 and 16 indicates that the one hour anneal at 1200°C did not measurably coarsen the ThO₂ particles. The three annealed thoriated alloys generally had very few dislocations within the



(a) Sheet surface, rolling direction is horizontal



- (b) Longitudinal sheet thickness, rolling direction is horizontal
- FIGURE 18. OPTICAL MICROGRAPHS OF PURE CHROMIUM (SHEET 10-1a) AFTER ANNEALING FOR ONE HOUR AT 1200°C, 200 X.



(a) Sheet surface, rolling direction is horizontal



- (b) Longitudinal sheet thickness, rolling direction is horizontal
- FIGURE 19. OPTICAL MICROGRAPHS OF Cr-2.99 w/o ThO, (SHEET 12-1b) AFTER ANNEALING FOR ONE HOUR AT 1200°C, 500X. THE OTHER TWO THORIATED ALLOYS HAD SIMILAR STRUCTURES.



(a) Pure Chromium (Sheet 10-1a)



- (b) Cr-2.72 w/o ThO_2 (Sheet 11-1b)
- FIGURE 20. TRANSMISSION ELECTRON MICROGRAPHS OF CHROMIUM AND Cr-ThO₂ ALLOYS AFTER ANNEALING ONE HOUR AT 1200°C, ALL 30,000 X.



(c) Cr-2.99 w/o ThO₂ (Sheet 12-1b)



(d) Cr-3.12 w/o Th0₂ (Sheet 13-1)

FIGURE 20. Continued.

subboundaries. Figure 21 shows one example where individual dislocations were observed in a region away from boundaries. Here it is evident that the ThO_2 particles have strongly pinned the dislocations.

Prolonged annealing for 100 hours at $1316^{\circ}C$ and $1427^{\circ}C$ coarsened the equiaxed grain size of the pure chromium to ~ 90 μ m for both temperatures. However, the same treatments did not produce an equiaxed recrystallized grain structure in the three thoriated alloys. Instead, an elongated grain structure resulted, which is reminiscent of recrystallized doped tungsten and recrystallized TD Nickel and TD Nickel-Chromium. This is illustrated in Figure 22, for the Cr-2.72 w/o ThO₂ (Sheet 11-1b) alloy annealed for 100 hours at $1427^{\circ}C$. The replica electron micrograph in Figure 23a shows ThO₂ particles of the Cr-3.12 w/o ThO₂ alloy (Sheet 13-1) after the specimen had been annealed for 100 hours at $1427^{\circ}C$. Comparison of this micrograph with the same material in the as-rolled condition (Figure 23b) indicates that the long-time high-temperature anneal did



FIGURE 21. Cr-3.12 w/o ThO₂ ANNEALED ONE HOUR AT 1200^oC, SHOWING DISLOCATIONS PINNED BY ThO₂ PARTICLES, 30,000X.



(a) Sheet surface, rolling direction is horizontal



- (b) Longitudinal sheet thickness, rolling direction is horizontal
- FIGURE 22. OPTICAL MICROGRAPHS Cr-2.72 w/o ThO₂ (SHEET 11-1b) AFTER ANNEALING 100 HOURS AT 1427^oC, 200X.



(b) As-rolled

FIGURE 23. REPLICA ELECTRON MICROGRAPHS OF Cr-3.12 w/o ThO₂ (SHEET 13-1) TAKEN ON THE LONGITUDINAL SHEET THICKNESS SURFACE, 4000X.

not significantly coarsen the particles. It is probable that the larger particles in both micrographs are Cr_2O_3 .

Ductile-to-Brittle Transition (DBTT)

The tensile DBTT was determined for the pure chromium and the three thoriated alloys in the as-rolled condition and after annealing for one hour at 1200° C. Tensile specimens, having the dimensions in Figure 24, were cut from the various sheets by electrical discharge machining. All specimens were tested in an Instron Testing Machine at a strain rate of $1.7 \times 10^{-4} \text{ sec}^{-1}$. The specimens were clamped in split serrated grips. In order to minimize stress concentrations in the shoulders due to the serrated edges, thin foils of copper were cemented with Sauereisen to the specimen shoulders. This allowed tight clamping of the specimens without damage to the shoulders, and in subsequent testing all specimens failed in the gage length. Prior to testing, all specimens were electropolished in 90% glacial acetic acid--10% perchloric acid at about 0°C.



FIGURE 24. DESIGN OF CHROMIUM AND Cr-ThO₂ TENSILE SPECIMENS.

The mechanical property results for the four materials in the as-rolled condition are listed in Table 8. Plots of pertinent ductility and strength values as a function of test temperature are shown in Figures 25-27. Similarly, Table 9 presents results for the alloys tested after annealing one hour at 1200°C, and Figures 28-30 illustrate corresponding plots of ductility and strength versus test temperature.

The ductility versus test temperature plots in Figure 25 show that in the as-rolled condition the Cr-Th0_2 alloys are considerably more ductile than pure chromium. The DBTT values from both elongation and reduction in area results are 15°C for the Cr-Th0_2 alloys and 140°C for pure chromium. These values represent the temperature corresponding to the point where the plots were extrapolated to 0% ductility. The temperatures corresponding to a ductility of 5% elongation are 20°C and 175°C for Cr-Th0_2 alloys and pure chromium, respectively. At temperatures above the DBTT, the total elongations ranged from 6.9 to 10.8% for the Cr-Th0_2 alloys and 7.4 to 7.9% for the pure chromium. However, corresponding reductionin-area values were much higher, ranging from 14.6 to 26.9% for the Cr-Th0_2 materials and 21.6 to 22.8% for pure chromium.

The true fracture stress, $\sigma_{\rm f}$ (load at fracture divided by crosssectional area at fracture), is plotted in Figure 26a as a function of test temperature. As is typical with many BCC metals, the true fracture stress at the DBTT is high when the materials are ductile and lower when brittle. However, there is a strong temperature dependence of both the ductile and brittle fracture stress, $\sigma_{\rm f}$ decreasing with increasing temperature in both cases. The brittle fracture stress plot for the Cr-ThO₂ alloys merges with the plot for pure chromium. Similarly, when extrapolated to higher temperatures, the ductile fracture stress of the Cr-ThO₂ alloys would merge with that of pure Cr. Thus at a given temperature, there appears to be very little difference between $\sigma_{\rm f}$ (brittle or ductile) for Cr-ThO₂ and pure chromium. Yet the tensile DBTT values differ by 125°C.

ALLOYS
$Cr = ThO_2$
AND
CH ROMI UM
AS-ROLLED
OF
P ROP ER TI ES
MECHANICAL
°00
TABLE

			Construction of Officer Statements of Managements						Subsection and and and and and and and and and an	Colora Canada Digana Canada		ADD ADD DO D
		Pron	, mi	0.2% Y	S.	ΓΛ	ñ	True Fr Stre	acture Ss	Unif. Elong.	Total Elong.	Red. in Area
Alloy	Test T, ^o C	10 ³ psi	MN/m ²	10 ³ psi	MN/m ²	10 ³ psi	MN/m ²	10 ³ psi	MN/m ²	%		°%
Pure Cr	25	68.3	472	81,5	562	86.0	593	86.0	593	0.5	0.5	~ 0.2
Ξ	75	8	8	1	6 1	78.9	544	78.9	544	0	0	0
ŧ	146	68.3/_	472	77.4	533 / , \	78.1	538	79.5	548	1.0	1.0	1.8
11	195	76.5(a)	527 (a)	75.3 (^D)	520 ^(b)	78.5	541	87.0	600	4.6	7.4	22.8
=	242	75.7 ^(a)	523 ^(a)	73.7 ^(D)	507 ^(b)	76.5	527	85.5	588	4.5	7.9	21.6
Cr-2.72 w/o Th0,	- 26	101.5	700	111.0	765	113.0	779	113.0	779	0.9	0.9	~ 0.2
	25	77.0	531	86.2	595	101.0	696	123.0	849	5.5	9.2	24.0
Ŧ	98	75.0	517	83.5	576	91.7	633	103.5	714	5.3	7.7	16.8
Ξ	142	77.0	531	87.0	600	88.4	609	98.9	682	5.0	7.5	18.4
Ŧ	194	75.5	521	84.3	581	85.5	588	98.5	619	4.0	8.3	20.4
Cr-2.99 w/o Th0.	- 75	3	8 8	÷ ا	5 8	94.8	654	94.8	655	0	0	0
7	m	3	8	8	1	89.0	613	89.0	613	0	0	0
	25	76.5	527	87.0	600	104.0	717	114.0	787	7.4	10.8	17.0
1	105	75.7	523	86.8	599	95.9	661	113.5	783	6.5	10.5	23.5
Cr-3.12 w/o Th0,	- 57	J 1	8 5	8	6 5	130.0	896	130.0	896	0	0	0
11	25	72.5	500	87.7	605	106.0	732	135.5	934	6.9	6.9	15.9
=	62	72.2	497	81.6	563	94.9	654	111.0	765	7.3	7.3	14.6
Ŧ	82	75.5	521	87.7	605	99.l	683	118.0	813	5.8	9.4	26.9

⁽a) Upper yield point.

54

⁽b) Lower yield point.



FIGURE 25. TENSILE DUCTILE-TO-BRITTLE TRANSITION OF AS-ROLLED CHROMIUM AND Cr-ThO₂ ALLOYS



FIGURE 26. TENSILE PROPORTIONAL LIMIT, UPPER YIELD STRENGTH AND TRUE FRACTURE STRESS OF AS-ROLLED CHROMIUM AND Cr-ThO₂ ALLOYS AS A FUNCTION OF TEST TEMPERATURE.



FIGURE 27. TENSILE YIELD STRESS, BRITTLE FRACTURE STRESS AND ULTIMATE TENSILE STRENGTH OF AS-ROLLED CHROMIUM AND Cr-ThO₂ ALLOYS AS A FUNCTION OF TEST TEMPERATURE

MECHANICAL PROPERTIES OF CHROMIUM AND ${\rm Cr}\text{-Th}0_{\underline{2}}$ ALLOYS AFTER ANNEALING 1 HOUR AT 1200°C TABLE 9.

										(
		Prop. L	.im.	0.2% Y	, S,	UT	S	True Fr Stre	acture ss	Unif. Elong.	Total Elong.	ked. in Area
Alloy	Test T, ^o C	10 ³ psi	MN/m ²	10 ³ psi	MN/m ²	10 ³ psi	MN/m ²	l0 ³ psi	MN/m ²	%	%	%
Pure Cr	58	8	3	8	8	38,5	265	38,5	265	0	0	0
=	80	6	1	8	0	37.0	255	37.0	255	0	0	0
3	135	26.4	182	28.7	198	36,4	251	36.4	251	0.6	0.6	~ 0.2
=	152	24.4,	167,27	24.4	169/2	42.3	292	47.3	326	19.7	20.3	17.2
11	200	16.1 ^(a)	111 ^(a)	15,2 ^(D)	105 ⁽⁰⁾	39, I	269	63.3	437	38.2	43.0	48,9
Cr-2.72 w/o Th0,	35	6	8	8		51.2	352	51.2	352	0	0	0
7 11	45	8	8	8	8	42.0	289	42.0	289	0	0	0
8	161	36,0	249	39.6	273	54,5	375	74.3	513	24.5	30.4	35,1
11	197	28.0	193	39,8	275	51,0	351	76.0	524	. 25,1	30.1	42.4
Cr-2.99 w/o ThO,	- 10	61.5	425	بر 8	9 8	65,3	451	65.3	451	0.1	0.1	0~
7 11	55	44.5	307	47.3	327	59.4	408	60.8	420	6°0	6.0	2.3
=	75	44.0	304	44°0	304	63.2	435	82,3	567	16.0	19.6	27.2
11	100	47.8	331	47,8	331	62.7	433	78,0	538	21.0	25.9	29.3
Cr-3.12 w/o Th0.	25	5 1	8	8	500, 1011	48,5	334	48.5	334	0	0	0
7 ==	145	29.5	204	34.2	236	53.5	369	.74.7	515	26.3	31.5	35.1

(a) Upper yield point.

(b) Lower yield point.



FIGURE 28. TENSILE DUCTILE-TO-BRITTLE TRANSITION OF ANNEALED (1 HR,1200°C) CHROMIUM AND Cr-Th0 $_2$ Alloys



FIGURE 29. TENSILE PROPORTIONAL LIMIT AND TRUE FRACTURE STRESS OF ANNEALED (1 HR, 1200°C) CHROMIUM AND Cr-ThO ALLOYS AS A FUNCTION OF TEST TEMPERATURE



FIGURE 30. TENSILE YIELD STRESS, BRITTLE FRACTURE STRESS, AND ULTIMATE STRENGTH OF ANNEALED (1 HR,1200^OC) CHROMIUM AND Cr-ThO₂ ALLOYS AS A FUNCTION OF TEST TEMPERATURE

The as-rolled Cr-ThO₂ alloys showed no yield point, and pure chromium exhibited a yield drop only at T > 195°C. The upper yield stress of pure chromium is approximately the same as the proportional limit of the Cr-ThO₂ alloys, as seen in Figure 26b. Over the temperature range 25 to 195°C the proportional limit of the Cr-ThO₂ alloy is independent of temperature, and the stress levels are somewhat higher than the proportional limit of pure chromium, indicating some dispersion strengthening by the ThO₂ particles.

Figures 27a and b illustrate how the ultimate strength and yield strength, respectively, of the materials vary with test temperature. Both yield and ultimate strengths of the thoriated chromium are somewhat higher than corresponding values for pure chromium. Also plotted in Figure 27b are values of the brittle fracture stress (open points). These values lie slightly below the yield strength at any temperature, but have essentially the same temperature dependence as the yield strength. This is evidence for the occurrence of slip-induced brittle cleavage, which is often observed in other BCC metals below the DBTT.

The deformation and fracture behavior of pure chromium and the $Cr-ThO_2$ alloys after annealing for one hour at 1200°C had the same general characteristics as in the as-rolled condition. The DBTT (Figure 28) of the $Cr-ThO_2$ alloys was 50°C and the pure chromium had a DBTT of 140°C, determined by extrapolation to 0% elongation and reduction in area. The temperatures corresponding to 5% elongation were 55°C and 145°C for the $Cr-ThO_2$ alloys and the pure chromium, respectively. Compared with the as-rolled results, annealing for one hour at 1200°C increased the DBTT of the $Cr-ThO_2$ alloys by 35°C, but caused no change in the DBTT of pure chromium. The latter is surprising, since normally BCC metals have a lower DBTT in the worked condition than after recrystallization. At temperatures above the DBTT the elongation and reduction in area values were much greater after annealing than in the as-rolled condition

(compare Figures 25 and 28). Total elongations as high as 43% for pure chromium and 30% for Cr-Th0_2 specimens were obtained, compared with the relatively low values of \sim 7 to 11% for as-rolled specimens.

Graphs of true fracture stress and proportional limit (Figure 29) and ultimate and yield strength (Figure 30) for annealed materials show the same general features as noted earlier for the alloys tested in the as-rolled condition (see Figures 26 and 27). There is some dispersion strengthening in the thoriated alloys, but not as much as would be expected if the ThO_2 dispersion had been more uniform. This relative lack of dispersion strengthening is discussed later. Comparison of Figures 26 and 27 (as-rolled) with Figures 29 and 30 (annealed) shows that the one hour, $1200^{\circ}C$ anneal lowered the strength of both the pure chromium and the Cr-ThO₂ alloys. For example, the 0.2% yield strengths were decreased by annealing, as shown below:

<u>Condition</u>	<u>Pure Cr, 150⁰C</u>	Cr-ThO ₂ Alloys, 60 ⁰ C
As-rolled	77 ksi (530 MN/m ²)	82-88 ksi (566-607 MN/m ²)
Annea led	24 ksi (166 <u>MN</u> /m ²)	46-53 ksi (317-365 MN/m^2)

These temperatures were selected for comparison, since they are just above the highest DBTT for the pure chromium and the thoriated materials.

The fracture characteristics of the as-rolled pure chromium and Cr-ThO₂ alloys were similar. Below the DBTT, fracture was almost entirely by cleavage, while above the DBTT, mixed cleavage and ductile tearing was observed. The one hour anneal at 1200°C caused the pure chromium to recrystallize, but the Cr-ThO₂ alloys still had a worked (plus recovered) structure. Below the DBTT the annealed pure chromium failed by mixed cleavage and grain boundary fracture and the thoriated alloys almost entirely by cleavage. Above the DBTT the annealed pure chromium and the thoriated alloys failed by ductile tearing, with some grain boundary fracture in the pure chromium. Figure 31a shows the mixed transgranular



(a) Pure chromium, tested at 135^oC (0.6% E1., 0.2% RA).



- (b) Cr-2.99 w/o Th0₂, tested at 55^oC (6.0% E1., 2.3% RA).
- FIGURE 31. SCANNING ELECTRON FRACTOGRAPHS OF ANNEALED CHROMIUM AND Cr-ThO₂ SPECIMENS, 1000X.

cleavage and grain boundary fracture of an annealed pure chromium specimen tested at $135^{\circ}C$ (0.6% El., 0.2% RA). The craters apparent on the fracture surface correspond to Cr_2O_3 particles (or their holes). The mixed ductile-tear/ cleavage fracture of an annealed Cr-2.99 w/o ThO₂ specimen tested at $55^{\circ}C$ is shown in Figure 31b. This specimen had 6% elongation and 2.3% reduction in area.

High Temperature Deformation

Due to the limited amount of material available, only a few preliminary tensile tests were made on the Cr-ThO₂ alloys at elevated temperatures ($1093^{\circ}C$). The specimen configuration was the same as that used for the DBTT determinations (Figure 24), and specimens were clamped in split serrated grips made from Mo-TZM. Tests were performed at a strain rate of $1.7 \times 10^{-4} \text{ sec}^{-1}$ in an Instron with a Brew vacuum furnace attached. During testing the vacuum was 10^{-5} torr (1.33 x 10^{-3} N/m^2).

The results are listed in Table 10. At 1093° C there is little difference in strength between the as-rolled and annealed conditions, although the total elongation of the as-rolled specimens is about twice that of annealed specimens. The yield and ultimate strength values of both the Cr-2.99 w/o ThO₂ and Cr-3.12 w/o ThO₂ alloys are essentially the same as values for pure chromium at 1093°C. reported in the literature. ^(5,6) The reasons for lack of high temperature dispersion strengthening are discussed later.

Preliminary Oxidation Studies

Several selected oxidation tests were made on the pure chromium and one Cr-ThO₂ alloy (Cr-3.12 w/o ThO₂). Thermogravimetric measurements of weight gain versus time were made at 900 and 1100° C in 100 torr (1.33 x 10^{4} N/m²) of

1093 ⁰ С
AT
ALLOYS
cr-Th0 ₂
OF
PROPERTIES
MECHANICAL
10.
TABLE

		Prop.	Lim.	0.2% Y	°S°.	UTS		Unif. Elong.	Total Elong.	Red. in Area
Alloy	Condition	10 ³ psi	MN/m ²	10 ³ psi	MN/m ²	10 ³ psi	MN/m ²	%	%	%
Cr-2.99 w/o ThO ₂	As-rolled	8.1	55 . 8	9.7	66.8	11.0	75.9	4.5	13.2	8,1
 Cr-2.99 w/o Th0 ₂	Annealed ^(a)	7.9	54.5	9.6	66.2	10,1	69.7	1.8	7.6	6.0
- Cr-3.12 w/o Th0 ₂	As-rolled	5.3	36.5	7.7	53.2	9.2	63.4	4.0	11.7	10.4
- Cr-3.12 w/o ThO ₂	Annealed ^(a)	5.6	38.6	7.2	49.7	7.7	53,1	1.5	6.0	3.6

(a) Annealed at 1200⁰C, 1 hour in argon.

static oxygen, with the results shown in Figure 32. The specimens were from the shoulders of broken tensile specimens, which had been tested in the annealed condition. Prior to oxidation, the specimens were re-electropolished. Details of the oxidation procedures have been described elsewhere.⁽⁷⁾

Oxidation, as measured by weight gain, appears to be more rapid for the pure chromium than for the Cr-ThO₂ alloy at both 900 and 1100° C. This is similar to previous work on TD Ni-Cr (Ni-20Cr-2ThO₂) and its ThO₂-free counterpart^(8,9). In TD Ni-Cr oxidation at T $\approx 1000^{\circ}$ C appears to be a balance between weight gain by scale (Cr₂O₃) formation and weight loss by evaporation of external CrO₃. Under some conditions this can result in a total weight loss in TD Ni-Cr oxidized for long periods of time. This behavior, however, does not appear to happen in Ni-20Cr, or even in Ni-30Cr where Cr₂O₃ scales form during oxidation. The differences between the pure chromium and Cr-ThO₂ results in Figure 32 may be the result of a similar situation, although the mechanism of the ThO₂ effect is not apparent.

Scanning electron micrographs of sectioned pure chromium and Cr-3.12 w/o ThO₂ specimens oxidized for 24 hours at 1100° C are shown in Figure 33. The average Cr₂O₃ scale thicknesses are ~ 15 μ m for the pure chromium and ~ 5 μ m for the Cr-ThO₂ alloy. The scale on the pure chromium is buckled (Figure 33a) and spalled on cooling from the oxidation temperature. The Cr₂O₃ scale on the Cr-3.12 w/o ThO₂ alloy. is adherent in places, and appears to be severely fragmented (Figure 33b). This could have occurred during cooling. An interesting feature in Figure 33b is the appearance of ThO₂ particles (white) in the scale. This identification was possible by using the back-scattered mode in the scanning electron microscope. The presence of ThO₂ in the Cr₂O₃ scale has also been observed in oxidized TD Ni-Cr⁽⁹⁾, and is possible evidence that oxidation proceeds by inward oxygen diffusion in thoriated alloys.



FIGURE 32. STATIC ISOTHERMAL OXIDATION OF PRE-ANNEALED PURE CHROMIUM AND Cr-3.12 w/o ThO₂ AT 900 and 1100°C IN 100 TORR (1.33 \times 10⁴ N/m²) OF OXYGEN. NOTE DIFFERENT WEIGHT GAIN AND TIME SCALES IN (a) AND (b).


(a) Pure Cr, 1000X



(b) Cr-3.12 w/o Th0₂, 2000X

FIGURE 33. SCANNING ELECTRON MICROGRAPHS OF SECTIONED SPECIMENS WHICH HAVE BEEN OXIDIZED FOR 24 HRS AT 1100° C IN 100 TORR (1.33 x 10^4 N/m²) OXYGEN

Discussion

The ductile-to-brittle transition temperature of unalloyed chromium has been shown to be a function of material parameters such as grain size, density of mobile dislocations, impurities (e.g., nitrogen and grain boundary nitrides) as well as rate of deformation and type of testing (e.g., notched impact, slow tension, etc.). The influence of some of these factors has been reviewed in recent communications (10-13), and Figure 34 compares DBTT results for chromium single crystals tested in tension (14,15) with unalloyed polycrystalline chromium subjected to notched impact deformation. (16) The single crystals had a DBTT of -80° C, whereas the polycrystalline chromium tested in notched impact had a DBTT

Although some factors regarding the DBTT of chromium are not completely clear, several generally accepted conclusions have been reached:

- (a) The DBTT of wrought chromium is lower than that of recrystallized material^(16,17,19,22,25,34).
- (b) Brittle fracture is slip-induced and the critical stage of fracture is crack initiation^(10,15,30).
- (c) Nitrogen in solution and as nitrides, especially at grain boundaries, increases brittleness^(17,18,20-23,34-36).
- (d) Chromium is extremely notch-sensitive (10,14,21).
- (e) Solid solution alloying of chromium with 35 a/o rhenium substantially lowers the DBTT for slow deformation rates (10, 12, 39).
- (f) Prestraining to produce mobile dislocations increases the subsequent ductility of chromium^(24,31-33,37).
- (g) Increasing the deformation rate increases the DBTT of (10,26-29) chromium





The present investigation has shown that ThO_2 particles in chromium lower the DBTT by 125°C in the as-rolled condition and by 90°C for material that has been annealed for one hour at 1200°C. This enhanced ductility could not be due to a difference in substructure, since as shown in Table 6, both the pure chromium and Cr-ThO₂ alloys had ~ 1 μ m diameter subgrains in the as-rolled condition. Neither could the apparent ThO₂-induced ductilizing be due to a difference in nitrogen content. As seen in Table 2 the nitrogen analysis for pure chromium was 60 ppm compared with 70-170 ppm for the thoriated material. If nitrogen embrittlement were apparent, the thoriated alloys should have been more embrittled. Grain size effects probably did not affect the DBTT values. For example, the as-rolled pure chromium with a fine elongated (somewhat recovered) grain structure had the same DBTT, 140°C, as did material after annealing for one hour at 1200°C, where the structure was completely recrystallized with a grain size of 33 μ m.

As noted in Conclusion (b) above, there is general agreement that brittle fracture in chromium is slip-induced. Further, in polycrystalline chromium tested in tension, evidence points to the fact that the initial crack formed is a result of slip-induced grain boundary parting⁽¹⁰⁾, which initiates cleavage and final fracture. Maykuth and Gilbert⁽¹⁰⁾ have presented strong evidence for slip-induced fracture by comparing the temperature dependence of the tensile brittle fracture stress, from Weaver⁽³⁶⁾, with the temperature dependence of the compressive yield stress, from Marcinkowski and Lipsitt⁽³⁸⁾. The two stress versus temperature plots were parallel over the temperature range -100 to $+100^{\circ}$ C, which suggests that some yielding on a fine scale must occur before brittle fracture is initiated. The results of the present work confirm this conclusion. Figures 27b and 30b show that the brittle fracture stress has the same temperature dependence as the 0.2% yield strength, with the values of brittle fracture stress lying slightly below the yield-strength-versus-temperature plots.

The brittle-versus-ductile fracture of chromium, then, is a competition between slip-induced crack initiation and macroscopic flow. If the stress required to produce macro-yielding is higher than the brittle fracture stress, the material will be brittle. Conversely, if flow can take place at stresses substantially below the brittle fracture stress, the material will be ductile.

Several mechanisms may be operative, although it is not possible to pinpoint with certainty which is most important: (1) ThO₂ particles may disperse slip, making it more difficult to achieve slip induced grain boundary crack nucleation either due to the attainment of a critical stress or critical strain condition; (2) second phase particles may act as dislocation sources, and thus provide mobile dislocations in this normally source-poor-material; and (3) particles in grain boundaries may serve to help transmit slip across boundaries by injecting mobile glide dislocations into an adjacent grain and thereby inhibit grain boundary cracking.

The first mechanism is considered with the help of the schematic illustration in Figure 35, which essentially reflects the model of Hahn and Rosenfield⁽²⁾. A similar concept has been employed by Hodgson and Tetelman⁽⁴²⁾ to steel containing spheroidized carbides, for their case where particles were not cracked by slip bands. The numerous well known theories of brittle fracture, e.g., Griffith, Cottrell, Stroh, Petch, all predict that the cleavage strength, σ_c , varies as $(1/L)^{1/2}$, where L is the length of a slip band, or dislocation pileup, and this often may be the grain diameter. All other things being equal, a higher value of L will lower σ_c . It is possible that the role of the ThO₂ particles is to disperse slip, as shown in Figure 35, such that lower effective values of L are achieved. If this is accomplished, less severe stress concentrations are produced at grain boundaries or other potential sites for crack nucleation, and the cleavage strength is raised. However, particles cannot only raise the cleavage strength, but can also



A.INITIATION OF A GRAIN-BOUNDARY CRACK BY A SLIP BAND IN BRITTLE MATERIAL B.SAME AS A. BUT MATERIAL CONTAINS SECOND PHASE PARTICLES WHICH LIMIT THE EFFECTIVE SLIP BAND LENGTH TO L2

 $\sigma_{c_2} \propto \sqrt{\frac{1}{L_2}}$

 $\sigma_{c_i} \propto \sqrt{\frac{1}{L_i}}$

FIGURE 35. SCHEMATIC DIAGRAMS ILLUSTRATING EFFECT OF SECOND-PHASE PARTICLES ON SLIP BAND LENGTH, L, AND CLEAVAGE STRENGTH, $\sigma_c \cdot L_1 > L_2$, so $\sigma_c \cdot \sigma_c \cdot \sigma_1 = \sigma_2$

increase the yield strength. The stress concentrations exerted on a potential site for crack nucleation by localized slip can be relieved by more extensive general slip in the same region, thus avoiding brittle fracture. The onset of more extensive slip would coincide with the general yielding of the specimen. For the DBTT to be lowered by particles, the cleavage strength must be increased more than the yield strength. This apparently is the case for the Cr-ThO₂ alloys. Thus the relatively small amount of dispersion strengthening by ThO₂ in chromium is useful in helping to promote a lower DBTT.

Some evidence in support of this model is shown by the replica electron micrographs in Figure 36. These were taken on an annealed Cr-3.12 w/o ThO₂ specimen deformed to fracture above the DBTT (T = 145° C, 31.5% total elongation and 35.1% reduction in area). The purpose of the experiment was to determine whether or not slip bands on the surface had interacted with ThO₂ particles. A similar experiment was performed on a Cr-ThO₂ specimen fractured below the DBTT. However, this was unsuccessful because no surface slip offsets were visible in the areas examined. The arrows on the micrographs in Figure 36 point to particles where the slip character is different on opposite sides of the particle. However, this evidence cannot be considered conclusive proof that the model is correct, since sometimes particles were intersected by slip bands with no apparent change in slip character, and the two-dimensional surface examination may not adequately represent the three-dimensional flow process.

One way to assess the influence of slip dispersion by particles on crack nucleation is to consider the question of wavy slip versus planar slip, and the relation of slip character to crack nucleation⁽⁴⁶⁾. In general, as the test temperature is raised, cross-slip increases and deformation of BCC metals changes from planar slip to slip with increasing waviness. Yet the grain size dependence of the fracture stress in BCC metals⁽⁴⁷⁾, i.e., slope of Petch plots, remains the



(a) 36,000X



(b)

48,000X

FIGURE 36. REPLICA ELECTRON MICROGRAPHS TAKEN ON THE SURFACE OF AN ANNEALED Cr-3.12 W/O ThO, SPECIMEN DEFORMED TO FRACTURE ABOVE THE DBTT (T = 145°C, 31.5% EL., 35.5% RA). ARROWS INDICATE PARTICLES WHICH APPEAR TO HAVE INFLUENCED THE CHARACTER OF SLIP. same with increasing temperature, which suggests that the character of slip in pure BCC metals may not be important in the fracture initiation process. In fact, some wavy slip lines in iron⁽⁴⁸⁾ have deviations in direction equivalent to that induced by the ThO₂ particle in Figure 36a. If this is true in chromium as well, then the dispersion of slip by particles may not lower the DBTT.

As noted previously, it is possible to lower the DBTT of chromium by first prestraining above the DBTT to produce mobile dislocations in this normally source-poor material. The prestraining can be done conventionally (24,37) or by hydrostatic pressurization (31-33), and has the general effect of removing the yield point. Once mobile dislocations are available, then multiplication can occur and macroscopic flow will proceed until the material is sufficiently workhardened that the ductile cleavage fracture stress is reached. If the second mechanism were operative, such that the ThO, particles acted as dislocation sources by punching out loops at stresses below the upper yield stress (and brittle fracture stress), e.g., in the microstrain region, this might act in a fashion equivalent to the prestraining experiments. Some evidence in support of this was obtained by transmission electron microscopy of a deformed specimen of Cr-2.99 w/o ThO, which had been preannealed at 1200° C. This specimen was fractured at 55° C and had 6% total elongation. Representative micrographs are illustrated in Figure 37. The arrow in Figure 37a points to dislocation loops which appear to have been punched from a small particle. Previous workers also have observed that particles in chromium can act as dislocation sources.^(31,49) Wooly dislocation tangles appear around other particles in Figures 37a and 37b and these may have been formed in part by source activation at the particle matrix interface. Thus ThO2 particles acting as dislocation sources may contribute to the enhanced ductility of Cr-ThO2 alloys. This is born out indirectly by the complete absence of yield drops in the thoriated materials and the appearance of yield drops above the DBTT in the asrolled pure chromium and yield plateaus in the annealed pure chromium.



(a)

30,000X



(b)

30,000X

FIGURE 37. TRANSMISSION ELECTRON MICROGRAPHS OF A Cr-2.99 W/O ThO SPECIMEN DEFORMED AT 55°C TO 6% TOTAL ELONGATION. THE SPECIMEN² HAD BEEN ANNEALED AT 1200°C PRIOR TO TESTING.

The third possible mechanism, suggested by Embury⁽⁴⁶⁾, is an extension of the second mechanism and relies upon a slip band in one grain intersecting a boundary containing particles. These stressed particles may then inject dislocations into the adjacent grain, provided neither the particles nor the particle-matrix interface fractures. In this manner slip may be transmitted across grain boundaries, thus relieving the stress concentration at the boundary and preventing crack nucleation. Such a situation may be possible in the present case, since many ThO₂ particles were located in the elongated grain boundaries (see Figure 15b). The schematic illustration in Figure 38 represents this process, and some possible evidence in support of it is shown in Figure 39. The surface replica in Figure 39 shows slip bands intersecting a boundary containing particles, and the slip appears to be transferred across the boundary from grain A to grain B.

Although the lack of dispersion strengthening at low temperatures may have helped lower the DBTT, the absence at high temperatures is detrimental if chromium were used in high temperature structural applications. However, one useful function of ThO2 with regard to high temperature strength was to promote the elongated grain structure during high temperature annealing. From previous work on dispersion strengthened nickel alloys (40) it is known that the elongated grain structure enhances the high temperature tensile and creep strength by making grain boundary sliding more difficult. It was shown that the 0.2% yield strength and creep strength all increased linearly with increasing grain aspect ratio, i.e., grain length divided by grain width. (40) The fact that the elongated grain structure was produced in Cr-ThO2 alloys suggests that these materials have good potential for high temperature strength. If the dispersion cannot be made more uniform, then matrix strengthening by solid solution additions would be a logical way to proceed. Dilute additions of tantalum and niobium (≈1 at. %) have been shown to triple the strength of chromium over the temperature range 1000-1300 °C⁽⁴¹⁾. It is possible to alloy with both tantalum and niobium in the present CVD powder production unit.



FIGURE 38. SCHEMATIC ILLUSTRATION OF A SLIP BAND INTERSECTING A PARTICLE IN A GRAIN BOUNDARY, AND THE PARTICLE INJECTING SLIP INTO AN ADJACENT BOUNDARY. (46)



FIGURE 39. SOME POSSIBLE EVIDENCE FOR THE MECHANISM IN FIGURE 38. SPECIMEN WAS ANNEALED Cr-3.12 W/O ThO DEFORMED TO FRACTURE AT 145°C (31.5% EL., 35.5% RA), 12,000X.

SUMMARY OF RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS

(1) A vapor-deposition apparatus has been designed, constructed, and operated for the production of chromium and $Cr-ThO_2$ powders in 1/4 to 1/2 pound (114-227 g) lots.

(2) One lot of pure chromium and three lots of Cr-ThO_2 containing about 3 w/o ThO₂ (2.2 v/o) were prepared, consolidated by hot isostatic pressing, and successfully rolled to sheet of 0.026 to 0.033 inch (0.066 to 0.084 cm) thickness.

(3) The ThO₂ particle distribution was more uniform in rolled sheet than in hot isostatically pressed billets. However, the dispersion was not as uniform as in commercial dispersion-strengthened nickel alloys.

(4) The tensile ductile-to-brittle-transition temperature (DBTT) at a strain rate of 1.7 x 10^{-4} sec⁻¹ was lower for the three thoriated materials than for pure chromium. This ThO₂-induced ductilizing was evident for material tested in the as-rolled condition as well as for material which had been annealed for one hour at 1200° C. The DBTT values were:

<u>Material</u>	<u>As-rolled</u>	Annealed	
Pure Chromium	140 [°] C	140 ⁰ C	
Cr-Th0, allovs	15 [°] C	50 [°] C.	

(5) The improved ductility in thoriated chromium may be associated with several possible mechanisms: (1) particles may disperse slip, such that critical stress or strain concentrations for crack nucleation are more difficult to achieve, (2) particles may act as dislocation sources, thus providing mobile dislocations in this normally source-poor material, in a manner similar to prestraining, and (3) particles in grain boundaries may help to transmit slip across the boundaries, thus relieving stress concentrations and inhibiting crack nucleation.

(6) Some dispersion strengthening at lower temperatures was achieved by ThO_2 additions. The yield and ultimate strengths of the thoriated alloys were about 10,000 psi (69 MN/m^2) higher than corresponding values for pure chromium over the temperature range 150-200°C. At 1093°C, however, the strength of thomaterials was essentially the same as that for pure chromium.

(7) When the Cr-ThO_2 alloys were annealed at 1316 and 1427°C for 100 hours, an elongated grain structure was produced. From other work, it is known that such a structure minimizes grain boundary sliding. The elongated grains in Cr-ThO_2 alloys thus have potential for improved high temperature strength. However, matrix strengthening by solid solution alloying or a more uniform dispersion is believed to be necessary.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are grateful to J. R. Stephens of NASA-Lewis Research Center for helpful discussions regarding fabrication, and to G. T. Hahn and A. R. Rosenfield for their advice concerning particle ductilizing. The scanning electron microscopy was performed by C. Price, and A. Z. Hed, and G. R. Wallwork performed the oxidation studies. The skillful technical assistance of M. R. Cantin, C. R. Barnes, R. O. Dodds, and W. Hern is gratefully acknowledged.

REFERENCES

- N. D. Veigel, J. L. McCall, K. E. Meiners, and J. M. Blocher, Jr., "Chemical Vapor Deposition of Chromium on ThO₂", NASA CR-72404, June 30, 1968.
- (2) G. T. Hahn and A. R. Rosenfield, "A Modified Double-Pileup Treatment of the Influence of Grain Size and Dispersed Particles on Brittle Fracture", Acta Met., <u>14</u>, 1815 (1966).
- (3) G. T. Hahn and A. R. Rosenfield, "The Influence of a Fine Dispersion on the Cleavage Strength of Iron", Trans. AIME, <u>239</u>, 668 (1967).
- (4) F. Henderson, F. P. Bullen, and H. L. Wain, "The Preparation and Tensile Properties of Chromium Wire", J. Inst. Met., <u>98</u>, 65 (1970).
- (5) J. W. Pugh, "The Tensile and Stress Rupture Properties of Chromium", Trans. ASM, <u>50</u>, 1072 (1958).
- (6) J. W. Clark, "Development of High-Temperature Chromium Alloys", NASA CR-72731, November, 1970.
- (7) P. K. Kofstad and A. Z. Hed, "Oxidation of Co-25 w/o Cr at High Temperatures", J. Electrochem. Soc., <u>116</u>, 1542 (1969).
- (8) C. S. Giggins and F. S. Pettit, "The Oxidation of TD-Ni Cr Between 900 and 1200°C", to be published in Met. Trans.
- (9) G. R. Wallwork and A. Z. Hed, "The Oxidation of Ni-20Cr-2ThO₂", to be published in J. Oxidation of Metals.
- (10) D. J. Maykuth and A. Gilbert, Chromium and Chromium Alloys, DMIC Rept. No. 234, October, 1, 1966.
- (11) J. A. Rogers and A.R.G. Brown, "The Development of Chromium-Base Alloys for Use at High Temperatures", Metals and Materials, <u>1</u>, 246 (1967).
- (12) W. D. Klopp, "Review of Ductilizing of Group VIA Elements by Rhenium and Other Solutes", NASA TN D-4955, December, 1968.
- (13) G. T. Hahn, A. Gilbert, and R. I. Jaffee, "The Effects of Solutes on the Ductile-to-Brittle Transition in Refractory Metals", <u>Refractory</u> <u>Metals and Alloys II</u>, M. Semchyshen and I. Perlmutter, Eds., Interscience Pub., New York, p 23 (1963).
- (14) D. E. Crutchley and C. N. Reid, "Mechanical Properties of Chromium Single Crystals", <u>6th Plansee Seminar on High Temperature Materials</u>, F. Benesovsky, Ed., Springer-Verlag, New York, p 57 (1969).
- (15) A. Gilbert, C. N.Reid, and G. T. Hahn, "Observations on the Fracture of Chromium", J. Inst. Met., <u>92</u>, 351 (1963-64).

- (16) A. Gilbert and B. C. Allen, "The Notch-Impact Behavior of Chromium and a Chromium-35 at." Rhenium Alloy", J. Inst. Met., <u>93</u>, 529 (1964-65).
- (17) B. C. Allen, D. J. Maykuth, and R. I. Jaffee, "The Effect of Impurities and Structure on the Tensile Transition Temperature of Chromium", Trans. AIME, <u>227</u>, 724 (1963).
- (18) A. Gilbert and M. J. Klein, "The Effect of Cooling Rate on the Ductile-Brittle Bend Transition Temperature of Chromium Wire", Acta Met., <u>14</u>, 541 (1966).
- (19) S.T.M. Johnstone, F. Henderson, and H. L. Wain, "Recrystallization and the Ductility of Chromium", Nature, <u>180</u>, 806 (1957).
- (20) C. W. Weaver, "Strain Age Hardening and Brittleness in Chromium", Nature, 180, 806 (1957).
- (21) H. L. Wain, F. Henderson, and S.T.M. Johnstone, "A Study of the Room Temperature Ductility of Chromium", J. Inst. Met., <u>83</u>, 133 (1954-55).
- (22) H. L. Wain, F. Henderson, S.T.M. Johnstone and N. Louat, "Further Observations on the Ductility of Chromium", J. Inst. Met., <u>86</u>, 281 (1957-58).
- (23) K. E. Solie and O. N. Carlson, "The Effect of Nitrogen on the Brittle-Ductile Transition of Chromium", Trans. AIME, 230, 480 (1964).
- (24) S. Yoshida, Y. Ohba, and N. Nagata, "The Effect of Prestraining on the Ductility of Pure Chromium", J. Japan Inst. Met., <u>1</u>, 49 (1960).
- (25) D. J. Maykuth and R. I. Jaffee, "The Mechanical Properties of Swaged Iodide-Base Chromium and Chromium Alloys", Trans. ASM, <u>49</u>, 948 (1957).
- (26) H. A. Johnson and G. Asai, "Room Temperature Ductile Chromium", J. Electrochem. Soc., 101, 604 (1954).
- (27) A. H. Sully, E. A. Brandes, and K. W. Mitchell, "The Effect of Temperature and Purity on the Ductility and Other Properties of Chromium", J. Inst. Met., <u>81</u>, 585 (1952-53).
- (28) H. L. Wain, S.T.M. Johnstone, and F. Henderson, "The Effect of Rolling Temperature, Prestrain, and Strain Rate on the Ductility of Chromium", J. Inst. Met., <u>91</u>, 41 (1962).
- (29) R. E. Cairns and N. J. Grant, "Effects of Carbon, Nitrogen, Oxygen and Sulfur on the Ductile-Brittle Fracture Temperature of Chromium", Trans. AIME, <u>230</u>, 1150 (1964).
- (30) A. Ball, F. P. Bullen, F. Henderson, and H. L. Wain, "Tensile Fracture Characteristics of Heavily Drawn Chromium", Phil. Mag., <u>21</u>, 701 (1970).
- (31) A. Ball and F. P. Bullen, "Pressurization Effects in Chromium", Phil. Mag., <u>21</u>, 201 (1970).

- (32) F. P. Bullen and H. L. Wain,, "The Effect of Free Dislocations on Yielding and Fracture in Body-Centered Cubic Metals", <u>Physical Basis</u> of Yield and Fracture, Inst. of Phys. and Phys. Soc., London, p 60 (1966).
- (33) F. P. Bullen, F. Henderson, H. L. Wain, and M. S. Patterson, "The Effect of Hydrostatic Pressure on Brittleness in Chromium", Phil. Mag., <u>9</u>, 803 (1964).
- (34) R. I. Garrod and H. L. Wain, "Dislocation Arrangements and Brittleness in Chromium", J. Less-Common Met., 9, 81 (1965).
- (35) N. E. Ryan, "An Appraisal of Possible Scavenger Elements for Chromium and Chromium Alloys", J. Less-Common Met., <u>6</u>, 21 (1964).
- (36) C. W. Weaver, "Tensile Properties of Annealed Chromium Between -196 and +900°C", J. Inst. Met., <u>89</u>, 385 (1960-61).
- (37) R. E. Hook and A. M. Adair, "On the Recrystallization Embrittlement of Chromium", Trans. Met. Soc. AIME, <u>227</u>, 151 (1963).
- (38) M. J. Marcinknoski and H. A. Lipsitt, "The Plastic Deformation of Chromium at Low Temperatures", Acta Met., <u>10</u>, 95 (1962).
- (39) J. G. Booth, R. I. Jaffee, and E. I. Salkovitz, "The Mechanisms of the Rhenium-Alloying-Effect in Group VI-A Metals", <u>5th Plansee Seminar</u> on Metals for the Space Age, F. Benesovsky, Ed., Springer-Verlag, New York, p 547 (1965).
- (40) B. A. Wilcox, A. H. Clauer, and W. B. Hutchinson, "Structural Stability and Mechanical Behavior of Thermomeohanically Processed Dispersion Strengthened Nickel Alloys", NASA CR., November 25, 1970.
- (41) W. D. Klopp, "Recent Developments in Chromium and Chromium Alloys", NASA TM X-1867, September, 1969.
- (42) D. E. Hodgson and A. S. Tetelman, "The Effect of Microstructure on the Cleavage Strength of Quenched and Tempered Steels", <u>Proceedings of the</u> <u>Second International Conference on Fracture</u>, P. L. Pratt, et al., Eds., Chapman and Hall, Ltd., London, p 266 (1969).
- (43) C. N. Davis (Ed.), <u>Aerosol Science</u>, Academic Press, London and New York, p 273 (1966).
- (44) K. R. Spurney, et al., "Aerosol Filtration by Means of Nuclepore Filters, Structural and Filtration Properties", Environmental Science and Technology, <u>3</u>, 453 (1969).
- (45) C. E. Lapple, "Characteristics of Particles and Particle Dispersoids", Stanford Research Institute Journal, Third Quarter, 1961.
- (46) J. D. Embury, MacMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, private communication.

- (47) N. J. Petch, "The Ductile Fracture of Polycrystalline α-Iron", Phil. Mag.,
 1, 186 (1956).
- (48) J. D. Boyd, G. T. Hahn, A. R. Rosenfield, and E. Votava, "Character of Slip Bands in Iron and a Mild Steel with Manganese", Trans. ASM, <u>62</u>, 206 (1969).
- (49) N. E. Ryan, "The Influence of a Carbide Dispersion on the Recrystallization Behavior of Chromium", Rept. ARL/Met. 64, Aeronautical Research Labboratories, Melbourne, March, 1967.

APPENDIX A

PROCUREMENT AND EVALUATION OF THORIA POWDER

APPENDIX A

PROCUREMENT AND EVALUATION OF THORIA POWDER

Samples of thoria from four different suppliers were evaluated. The evaluation by electron microscopy indicated that Vitro thoria was the best available with respect to the requirements that the thoria must be a free-flowing powder and have a narrow particle size range. Although the Vitro thoria is predominantly in the desired size range of 0.02 to 0.05 μ m, it contains a significant fraction of particles in the range of 0.1 to 1.5 μ m. Due to the unavailability of a more suitable thoria powder, it was decided to use the Vitro thoria for the preparation of chromium/thoria alloys. Figure 8 shows an electron micrograph of this powder.

Thoria obtained from Thorium Limited was of a narrower size range (0.04 to 0.08 μ m) than the Vitro powder. However, the fact that the Thorium Limited material was agglomerated into chunks of about 1/8-in. (0.32 cm) size ruled out its use in the preparation process. Attempts to de-agglomerate an alcohol slurry of these thoria chunks in a Waring blender showed some promise, but on removal of the alcohol, the thoria re-agglomerated. An electron micrograph of the Thorium Limited ThO₂ is shown in Figure A-1.

Samples of thoria from seven lots obtained from a third supplier and a single sample from a fourth supplier were evaluated and judged to be unsuitable because of the wide range of particle sizes observed.

Upgrading Thoria Powder by Filtration

The possibility that oversized thoria particles in the Vitro ThO₂ could be trapped by filtration of particles entrained in hydrogen was considered. The approach appeared to have some potential, since filters are now



60,000X

FIGURE A-1. ThO₂ PARTICLES OBTAINED FROM THORIUM LIMITED, LONDON, ENGLAND. THE STARTING CHUNKS OF ThO₂ (~ 0.3 cm DIA.) WERE SUSPENDED IN A SOLUTION OF ETHYL ALCOHOL AND SPRAYED ONTO AN ELECTRON MICROSCOPE GRID COVERED WITH A CARBON FILM. available with known pore sizes in the range of interest. For example, filters with pore sizes of 0.01, 0.05, 0.1, 0.22, 0.3, 0.45, 0.65, 0.80, 1.2, 3.0, 5.0, and 8.0 μ m are commercially available. In addition, the geometry of the pores of available filters approximates a close-packed array of capillary tubes. In discussions at Battelle's Columbus Laboratories with personnel knowledgeable in the field of aerosols, it was learned that the fundamentals for filtration of airborne particles are not sufficiently developed to predict the suitability of the approach. This conclusion is in agreement with a statement of Davis ⁽⁴³⁾ that "in spite of the fact that membrane filters (MF) are widely used and manufactured, the filtration process itself is not understood. In comparison with fibrous filters, MF filtration has been studied very little experimentally and theory is entirely lacking. A somewhat naive argument is often found, stating that the high efficiency of MF's is due to strong electric charge, but any data, even of a qualitative character, are lacking".

However, recent pertinent information by Spurney⁽⁴⁴⁾ and a limited amount of experimental work indicated that the powder-preparation process requirements did not match the performance of available filters. The mismatch is associated with the size of particles retained by the filters and the concentration of the aerosol which determine the useful time before clogging occurs. In Spurney's published experimental work, the collection efficiencies are given for a range of particle sizes and filter pore sizes. Some of the published data are listed below to illustrate the finding that both the small and large particles are retained by the filter and only a fraction of the particles of intermediate size pass through the filter. Clogging was not a problem in the work of Spurney after several hours of use. However, the data indicate that clogging would be a problem for filtering ThO₂, since the concentration of particles required in the entraining gas, i.e., 1.5×10^{10} thoria particles (0.05 μ m in diameter)/cm³ of entraining

A-3

		Collection Efficiencies, 5	6
Aerosol	Filter 1	Filter 2	Filter 3
Particle	pore	pore	pore
Diameter,	Diameter =	Diameter =	Diameter =
µ m	<u>0.5 μm</u>	<u>0.8 µ m</u>	<u>1.0 µ m</u>
0.012	100	100	96
0.023	100	90	67
0.042	99	60	42
0,080	80	46	33
0.15	80	50	38
0.27	96	69	57
0.50	100	94	85
0.68	100	100	96

gas, is many orders of magnitude greater than those investigated in the study on filtration $[1 \times 10^5 \text{ platinum-oxide particles (0.02-}\mu\text{ m diameter)/cm}^3]$.

Use of filters in the thoria feeder was explored briefly using Linde B alumina and filters having pore sizes of 0.1, 0.45, 1.2, and 5 μ m. All filters trapped the entrained Linde B, having a reported size of 0.03 μ m, to the extent that no smoke was visible in the exhaust gas. The results can be explained partially by the published data and partially by the results of an electromicroscopic examination of the Linde B. The examination of the alumina feed indicated that it consisted of 0.05 to 0.1 μ m-size particles fused at points of contact to form agglomerates of about one micron in size. The results of the examination explains the absence of feed through all but the 5 μ m filter and could be a contributing factor for low feed rates through the larger pore size.

In the light of the presently available information, it was decided to terminate development of the upgrading of thoria by filtration. It should be noted that classification of submicron-size particles by conventional methods is not feasible. Separation of settling in various gas and liquid media has been considered. However, the problem can be properly visualized by simply observing the smoke from a cigarette. Tobacco smoke has a particle size of 0.01 to 1.0 μ m. Obviously, the 0.01 to 0.1 μ m-size particles of interest do not readily separate in air. The reported ⁽⁴⁵⁾ settling rates in air and in a normal gravitational field are 5 x 10⁻³ and 1 x 10⁻⁵ cm/sec for the 1.0- and 0.01 μ m-size-particles, respectively. In water, the settling velocities for 1 μ m-size particles are 5 x 10⁻⁵ cm/sec, as compared to 5 x 10⁻⁹ cm/sec for 0.01 μ m-size particles. The slow settling rates allow ample time for the formation of agglomerates of mixed particle size which then settle more rapidly than individual particles. Consequently, little or no separation is achieved on settling in either air or water. APPENDIX B

NEW TECHNOLOGY

APPENDIX B

NEW TECHNOLOGY

The research in this report has provided New Technology, which is briefly described below, including pertinent pages in the report describing the New Technology.

A. Scale-up of Powder Production

The chemical vapor deposition unit has been scaled up to provide production of chromium and Cr-Th0_2 powders in 1/4 to 1/2 pound (114-227 g) lots in a one-day process run. (Discussion is on pp 4-22).

B. Dispersion Ductilizing of Cr by ThO2 Particles

Addition of about 3 w/o ThO_2 (2.2 v/o) to chromium lowers the tensile ductile-to-brittle transition temperature. (Discussion is on pp 52-65, 73-80).

APPENDIX C

DISTRIBUTION LÍST

DISTRIBUTION LIST FOR FINAL REPORT CONTRACT NAS3-12435

ADDRESSEE	<u>NUMBE</u> OF COPI	<u>R</u> ES	ADDRESSEE	NUMBER OF COPIES
NASA Headqua Washington, Attention:	rters D. C. 20546 N. F. Rekos (RAP) R. H. Raring (RRM) G. Deutsch (RRM)	1 1	NASA Marshall Space Flight Center Huntsville, Alabama Attention: Library	35812
NASA Lewis R	M. Comberiate (RAP) J. Gangler (RRM) esearch Center	1	NASA Manned Spacecrat Structures & Mechanic Houston, Texas 77058 2101 Webster-Seabrook	ft Center 25 Div. 3 & Road
Cleveland, O Attention:	hio 44135		N. Chaffe	ee E.B. 4
G. M. A Tech, U Library	ult MS 3-13 tilization Off 3-19 , MS 60-3	1 1 2	NASA Ames Research Ce Moffett Field, Calife Attention: Library	enter ornia 94035 1
L. W. Patent Report	Schopen MS 77-3 Council MS 501-3 Controls Off MS 5-5	1 1 1	NASA Goddard Space F Greenbelt, Maryland Attention: Library	light Center 20771 1
Materia R.W. J.W. J.Fr	Hs & Structures Div. Hall MS 105-1 Weeton MS 49-1 eche MS 49-1	1 1 1	NASA Flight Research P. O. Box 273 Edwards, California	Center 93523
M. Qu T. K. Dr. I S. Cr	atinetz MS 49-1 Glasgow MS 49-1 C. Herbell MS 49-1	1 1 10 1	Attention: Library D. F. Has Code 7	1 14 1
W. D. J. P.	Klopp MS 105-1 Merutka MS 49-1	1 1	Air Force Materials Wright Patterson AFB Attention: Dr. A. M	Laboratory , Ohio 45433 . Lovelace
NASA Scienti Informatic P. O. Box 33 College Park Attention:	fic and Technical on Facility a, Maryland 20740 NASA Representative		Directo C. Lomba Dr. H. M T. D. Co I. Perlm	or (CC) 1 rd (LLA) 1 . Burte (LL) 1 oper (LLN) 1 utter (LLP) 1
National Tec	RQT-2448 chnical Information	2	Dr. H. G C. L. Ran LAM Tech	egel (LLS) 1 msey (FBS) 1 nical Library 1
Service Springfield	, Virginia 22151	10		
N AS A Langley Langley Stat	y Research Center tion rainia 23365			
Attention:	R. Pride	1 1		

Aerospace Research Lab.Department of the Navy U.S. Navy Marine Engineering Lab.Attention: Dr. H. Lipsitt (ARZ)1FAA Headquarters Washington, D. C. 20553 Attention: A. K. Forney1Naval Afr Systems Command Navy Department Attention: Mr. Philip Goodwin Attention: Tech. Rpt. Library 1 Pr. M. Slawsky 1U.S. Army Materials and Mechanics Research Center Vatertown, Massachusetts 02172 Attention: S. V. Arnold U.S. Army Avistion Materials Lab, Fort Eustis, Virginia 19103 Attention: Library U.S. Army Research Office- Durham North Carolina 27706 Attention: Dr. H. M. Davis I Durham, North Carolina 27706 Attention: Dr. R. Roberts I Department of the Navy Office of Naval Research Office of Naval Research <th>ADDRESSEE OF CO</th> <th>IBER PIES</th> <th>ADDRESSEE OF COPIE</th> <th><u>}</u> ES</th>	ADDRESSEE OF CO	IBER PIES	ADDRESSEE OF COPIE	<u>}</u> ES
Attention:Dr. H. Lipsitt (ARZ)Annapolis, Maryland 21402 Attention: Dr. Klaus M. Zwilsky 1FAA Headquarters Washington, D. C. 20553 Attention: A. K. ForneyNaval Air Systems Command Navy Department Attention: Mr. Philip Goodwin AIR-5203, Room 2W98 Washington, D. C. 20545 Attention: Tech. Rpt. Library 	Aerospace Research Lab. Wright Patterson AFB, Ohio 45433		Department of the Navy U.S. Navy Marine Engineering Lab.	
FAA HeadquartersNaval Air Systems Command Navy Department Attention: A. K. ForneyAttention: A. K. Forney1Attention: C. 205451Attention: Tech. Rpt. Library1Areospace CorporationP. O. Box 95085Air Force Office of Scientific ResearchCos Angeles, California 90245Attention: Waj. R. Austin1U.S. Army Materials and Mechanics Research CenterMetals Park Novelty, Ohio 44073Watertown, Massachusetts 02172 Attention: S. V. Arnold1Materials Research Unit P. O. Box 707P. O. Box 707U.S. Army Aviation Materials Lab.2706 Attention: Ur. H. M. Davis1Boeing Company P. O. Box 733Renton, Washington 98055 Attention: W. E. Binz, Jr.1Boeing Company P. O. Box 7331Boeing Company 	Attention: Dr. H. Lipsitt (ARZ)	1	Annapolis, Maryland 21402 Attention: Dr. Klaus M. Zwilsky	1
ATR-5203, Room ZW98Washington, D. C. 20545Attention: Tech. Rpt. Library 1Air Force Office of ScientificResearchResearch1400 Wilson Blvd,Arlington, Virginia 22209Attention: Maj. R. Austin 1Dr. M. Slawsky 1U.S. Army Materials andMechanics Research 6enterWatertown, Massachusetts 02172Attention: Library 1Port Eustis, Virginia 91103Attention: Dr. H. M. Davis 1Port Eustis, Virginia 27706Attention: Dr. H. M. Davis 1Durham, North Carolina 27706Attention: Dr. H. M. Davis 1Department of the NavyOffice of Naval ResearchCode 429Washington, D. C. 20350Attention: Dr. R. Roberts 1Department of the NavyChief, Bureau of Naval WeaponsWashington, D. C. 20350Vatartion: Dr. R. Roberts 1Code 429Washington, D. C. 20350Vatartion: Dr. A. S. Bufferd 1	FAA Headquarters Washington, D. C. 20553 Attention: A. K. Forney	1	Naval Air Systems Command Navy Department Attention: Mr. Philip Goodwin	
Attention: Tech. Rpt. Library 1 Attention: Tech. Rpt. Library 1 Attention: Tech. Rpt. Library 1 Attention: Tech. Rpt. Library 1 Attention: Tech. Rpt. Library 1 Acrospace Corporation P. O. Box 95085 Los Angeles, California 90245 Attention: Dr. K. Kamber 1 Attention: Dr. K. Kamber 1 Attention: Dr. K. Kamber 1 Attention: Dr. K. Kamber 1 Matals Park Novely, Ohio 44073 Attention: Dr. Taylor Lyman 1 Metals Park Novely, Ohio 44073 Attention: Dr. J. Webster 1 P. O. Box 707 N.S. Army Aviation Materials Renton, Washington 98055 Attention: W. E. Binz, Jr. 1 Boeing Company P. O. Box 733 N.S. Army Research Office- Durham Durham, North Carolina 27706 Attention: Dr. H. M. Davis 1 Department of the Navy Chief, Bureau of Naval Weapons Washington, D. C. 20350 Washington, D. C. 20350 Matention: Dr. A. S. Bufferd 1 Attention: Dr. A. S. Bufferd 1 Attention: Dr. A. S. Bufferd 1	U.S. Atomic Energy Commission	L	AIR-5203, Room 2W98 Washingto n , D. C. 20360	1
Air Force Office of Scientific ResearchLos Angeles, California 90245 Attention: Dr. K. Kamber1400 Wilson Blvd, Arlington, Virginia 22209American Society for Metals Metals Park Novelty, Ohio 44073 Attention: Dr. Taylor LymanAttention: Maj. R. Austin Dr. M. SlawskyMetals Park Movelty, Ohio 44073 Attention: Dr. Taylor LymanU.S. Army Materials and Mechanics Research Genter Watertown, MassachusettsO2172 Commercial Airplane Division Materials Research Unit F. O. Box 707 Renton, Washington 98055 Attention: Dr. D. WebsterU.S. Army Aviation Materials Lab.Boeing Company F. O. Box 703 Renton, Washington 98055 Attention: Dr. D. WebsterFort Eustis, Virginia 91103 Attention: Dr. K. KanbarBoeing Company F. O. Box 733 Renton, Washington 98055 Attention: W. E. Binz, Jr.Durham, North Carolina 27706 Attention: Dr. R. M. DavisAtomic Energy Commission Research Establishment RISO DK-4000 Roskilde Demark Attention: Dr. N. HansenOdd 429 Washington, D. C. 20350 Attention: Dr. R. RobertsAvco Space Systems Division Attention: Dr. A. S. BufferdDepartment of the Navy Chief, Bureau of Naval Weapons Washington, D. C. 20350Avco Space Systems Division Attention: Dr. A. S. Bufferd	Attention: Tech. Rpt. Librar	y 1	Aerospace Corporation P. O. Box 95085	
Arlington, Virginia 22209American Society for MetalsAttention:Maj. R. Austin1Dr. M. Slawsky1Metals ParkNovelty, Ohio 44073Attention: Dr. Taylor Lyman1U.S. Army Materials and Mechanics Research GenterBoeing CompanyWatertown, Masschusetts 02172Commercial Airplane DivisionAttention:S. V. Arnold1U.S. Army Aviation Materials Lab.Renton, Washington 98055Lab.P. O. Box 707V.S. Army Research Office- DurhamDeing CompanyP. O. Box 733P. O. Box 733U.S. Army Research Office- DurhamDeing Company P. O. Box 733Durkam, North Carolina 27706Attention: W. E. Binz, Jr.Attention:Dr. H. M. DavisDepartment of the Navy Office of Naval Research Code 429Attention: Dr. N. HansenWashington, D. C. 20350Avco Space Systems Division Attention: Dr. R. RobertsAttention:Dr. R. S. BufferdDepartment of the Navy Office, Bureau of Naval Weapons Washington, D. C. 20350Avence Space Systems Division Attention: Dr. A. S. BufferdDepartment of the Navy Chief, Bureau of Naval Weapons Washington, D. C. 20350Attention: Dr. A. S. Bufferd	Air Force Office of Scientifi Research 1400 Wilson Blvd.	.c	Los Angeles, California 90245 Attention: Dr. K. Kamber	1
 U.S. Army Materials and Mechanics Research Genter Watertown, Massachusetts 02172 Attention: S. V. Arnold 1 U.S. Army Aviation Materials Lab. Fort Eustis, Virginia 91103 Attention: Library 1 Boeing Company Commercial Airplane Division Materials Research Unit P. O. Box 707 Renton, Washington 98055 Attention: Dr. D. Webster 1 Boeing Company P. O. Box 733 U.S. Army Research Office- Durham Box CM-Duke Station Durham, North Carolina 27706 Attention: Dr. H. M. Davis 1 Department of the Navy Office of Naval Research Code 429 Washington, D. C. 20350 Attention: Dr. A. S. Bufferd 1 Chief, Bureau of Naval Weapons Washington, D. C. 20350 Attention: Dr. A. S. Bufferd 1 	Arlington, Virginia 22209 Attention: Maj. R. Austin Dr. M. Slawsky	1 1	American Society for Metals Metals Park Novelty, Ohio 44073 Attention: Dr. Taylor Lyman	1
U.S. Army Aviation Materials Lab.Renton, Washington 98055 Attention: Dr. D. WebsterFort Eustis, Virginia 91103 Attention: LibraryBoeing Company P. O. Box 733 Renton, Washington 98055 Attention: W. E. Binz, Jr.U.S. Army Research Office- Durham Box CM-Duke Station Durham, North Carolina 27706 Attention: Dr. H. M. DavisBoeing Company P. O. Box 733 Renton, Washington 98055 Attention: W. E. Binz, Jr.Durham Durham North Carolina 27706 Attention: Dr. H. M. DavisAtomic Energy Commission Research Establishment RISO DK-4000 Roskilde Denmark Attention: Dr. N. HansenDepartment of the Navy Office of Naval Research Code 429 Washington, D. C. 20350 Attention: Dr. R. RobertsAvco Space Systems Division Lowell Industrial Park Lowell, Massachusetts 01851 Attention: Dr. A. S. BufferdDepartment of the Navy Chief, Bureau of Naval Weapons Washington, D. C. 20350Avcorpre 1	U.S. Army Materials and Mechanics Research Center Watertown, Massachusetts 021 Attention: S. V. Arnold	.72	Boeing Company Commercial Airplane Division Materials Research Unit P. O. Boy 707	
Fort Eustis, Virginia 91103Attention: Library1Boeing Company P. O. Box 733U.S. Army Research Office- DurhamRenton, Washington 98055DurhamAttention: W. E. Binz, Jr.Box CM-Duke StationAttention: W. E. Binz, Jr.Durham, North Carolina 27706Atomic Energy CommissionAttention: Dr. H. M. Davis1Department of the NavyDenmarkOffice of Naval Research Code 429Dr. N. HansenWashington, D. C. 20350Avco Space Systems Division Lowell Industrial Park Lowell, Massachusetts 01851Department of the Navy Chief, Bureau of Naval Weapons Washington, D. C. 20350Attention: Dr. A. S. Bufferd	U.S. Army Aviation Materials Lab.		Renton, Washington 98055 Attention: Dr. D. Webster	1
U.S. Army Research Office- Durham Research Office- Durham Rorth Carolina 27706 Attention: W. E. Binz, Jr. 1 Box CM-Duke Station Durham, North Carolina 27706 Atomic Energy Commission Attention: Dr. H. M. Davis 1 Department of the Navy Denmark Office of Naval Research Attention: Dr. N. Hansen 1 Code 429 Washington, D. C. 20350 Avco Space Systems Division Attention: Dr. R. Roberts 1 Department of the Navy Lowell Industrial Park Lowell, Massachusetts 01851 Attention: Dr. A. S. Bufferd 1 Chief, Bureau of Naval Weapons Washington, D. C. 20350 Attention: PRMA-2/T E Koarms 1	Fort Eustis, Virginia 91103 Attention: Library	1	Boeing Company P. O. Box 733	
Durham, North Carolina 27706Atomic Energy CommissionAttention: Dr. H. M. Davis1Research Establishment RISODepartment of the NavyDK-4000 RoskildeDepartment of the NavyDenmarkOffice of Naval ResearchAttention: Dr. N. HansenCode 429Avco Space Systems DivisionWashington, D. C. 20350Avco Space Systems DivisionAttention: Dr. R. Roberts1Department of the NavyLowell Industrial ParkDepartment of the NavyAttention: Dr. A. S. BufferdChief, Bureau of Naval WeaponsAttention: Dr. A. S. BufferdWashington, D. C. 20350Attention: Dr. A. S. Bufferd	U.S. Army Research Office- Durham Box CM-Duke Station		Renton, Washington 98055 Attention: W. E. Binz, Jr.	hand
Department of the Navy Office of Naval Research Code 429 Washington, D. C. 20350 Attention: Dr. R. Roberts 1 Department of the Navy Chief, Bureau of Naval Weapons Washington, D. C. 20350 Attention: PRMA-2/T F Keerns 1	Durham, North Carolina 27706 Attention: Dr. H. M. Davis	1	Atomic Energy Commission Research Establishment RISO DK-4000 Roskilde	
Washington, D. C. 20350Avco Space Systems DivisionAttention: Dr. R. Roberts1Lowell Industrial ParkLowell, Massachusetts01851Department of the NavyAttention: Dr. A. S. BufferdChief, Bureau of Naval WeaponsWashington, D. C. 20350Attention:PRMA-2/TF Koarns 1	Department of the Navy Office of Naval Research Code 429		Denmark Attention: Dr. N. Hansen	1
Department of the Navy Chief, Bureau of Naval Weapons Washington, D. C. 20350 Attention: PRMA-2/T F Keerns 1	Washington, D. C. 20350 Attention: Dr. R. Roberts	1	Avco Space Systems Division Lowell Industrial Park Lowell, Massachusetts 01851	
STENTION, DONATION F. DESEUS .	Department of the Navy Chief, Bureau of Naval Weapon Washington, D. C. 20350 Attention: RRMA-2/T. F. Kear	ns 1	Attention: Dr. A. S. Bufferd	1

NUMBE	R		NUMBER
ADDRESSEE OF COPI	ES	ADDRESSEE	OF COPIES
			ι.
Aerojet-General Corp.		Central Electricity Resear	rch
Attention: Mr. Ira Petker		Lab.	
Technical Specialist		Attention: Dr. I. Palmer	
Composite Structures Dept.		Materials Division	
Azusa, California 91702	1	Kingston Road	
naddag Gazzaornia yr/or	-	Leatherhead, Surrey	
Battelle-Institue e V		England	1
Wieshadenstrasse Postfact		1119 10110	vites
1337		Chromallov Corporation	
Frankfurt/Main W 13		Attention: M. Epner	
Germany		Sintercast Division	
Attention: Dr R		169 Western Hichway	
Scharmaachter	1	West Nyack New York 109	94 1
Scharwaeenter	L	west hyack, new lolk 101.	d and the
The Bendix Corporation		Defense Documentation Cent	ter
Research Laboratories Division		(DDC)	
Southfield, Michigan 48075		Cameron Station	
Attention: E. C. Johnson	1	5010 Duke Street	
		Alexandria, Virginia 223	14 1
Atomic Energy of Canada, Ltd.			
CRNL		Defense Metals Information	n
Applied Materials Research		Center (DMIC)	
Branch		Battelle Memorial Institu	te
Chalk River, Ontario, Canada		505 King Avenue	
Attention: Dr. C. D. Williams	1	Columbus, Ohio 43201	
		-	
Battelle Memorial Institute		Denver Research Institute	
Attention: Dr. Robert I.		University Park	
Jaffee		Denver, Colorado 80210	
505 King Avenue		Attention: Library	1
Columbus, Ohio 43201	1	-	
		Fansteel Metallurgical Co	rp.
Cambridge University		5101 Tantalum Place	-
Department of Metallurgy		Baltimore, Maryland 2122	6
Attention: G. C. Smith		Attention: Dr. L. Klinge	r 1
Pembrooke Street			
Cambridge, England	1	Frankford Arsenal	
	-	Mr. Hyman Rosenthal	
Case-Western Reserve Univ.		Research Advisor	
Dept. of Metallurgy &		Metallurgy Research Lab.	
Materials Science		Philadelphia. Pennsylvani	a 19137 1
University Circle		,,,,,,,, _	~
Cleveland, Ohio 44106		Firth Sterling. Inc.	
Attention: Prof. L. Ebert	1	Powder Metals Research	
Prof. L. Leonard	1	P. O. Box 71	
TOT TO MOUNTE	-	Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania	15230 1

ADDRESSEE OF COPIE	5 <u>5</u>	ADDRESSEE	NUMBER OF COPIES
The Franklin Institute Attention: Dr. R. Jones Solid State Sciences Div. Philadelphia, Pa. 19103	1	International Nickel Comp Attention: Dr. J. S. Ber Paul D. Merica Research D Sterling Forest Suffern New York 10901	pany njamin Lab.
General Electric Research & Development Lab.		International Nickel Com	⊾ Danv
Attention: Dr. E. W. Hart Schenectady, New York	1	Attention: R. R. Dewitt 67 Wall Street New York, New York 1005	1
General Electric Company Attention: C. T. Sims Bldg. 55 Materials & Process Labs, Schemostedy New York 12201	1	International Nickel Com Attention: Library Hunting, West Virginia	pany 25701 1
General Electric Company Advanced Technology Lab.	1	ITT Research Institute Attention: S. L. Blum Technology Center Chicago, Illinois 60616	1.
Schenectady, New York 12305	1	Jet Propulsion Laborator	У
General Electric Company Materials Dev. Lab. Oper. Advanced Engine & Technology Department		Attention: Library 4800 Oak Grove Dr. Pasadena, California 91	102 1
Attention: Dr. R. E. Allen Mr. L. P. Jahnke	1	Arthur D. Little, Inc. Attention: Dr. B. Bovar '20 Acorn Park Cambridge Massachusetts	nick 02140 1
Allison Division Attention: D. K. Hanink Materials Lab. Indianapolis, Indiana 46206	1	Lockheed Missile and Spa 3251 Hanover Street Palo Alto, California 9	ce Co.
Gordon McKay Laboratory		Attention: Dr. E. C. Bu Matls. Scien	rke ce Lab. 1
Harvard University Cambridge, Mass. 02138	1	Matls. Scien Tech. Inform	ce Lab. 1 ation
Illikon Corporation		Center	1
Natick Industrial Center Natick, Massachusetts 01762	1	Attention: Dr. W. S. Cr Research Laboratory Marietta, Georgia 30060	emens 1
Institute de Recherches de la Siderurgie Francaise (IRSID) Attention: Dr. L. Roesch		Lycoming Division AVCO Attention: Mr. W. Freem	an
St. Germain-en-Laye (S & O) France	1	505 S. Main Street Stratford, Conn. 06497	1

Ç-4

NUMBE	R	NUMBER	2
ADDRESSEE OF COPI	ES	ADDRESSEE OF COPIE	<u>IS</u>
Massachusetts Institute		The Ohio State University 116 West 19th Avenue	
Department of Metallurgy		Columbus, Obio 43210	
Room 8=305		Department of Metallurgy	
77 Massachusetts Avenue		Attention: Prof. M. G. Fontana.	
Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138	8	Chrm.	-
Attention: Prof N J. Grant	1	Prof. R. A. Rapp	1
	-		
McDonnell Douglas Corporation		Oxford University	
Materials Research Division		Attention: Dr. F. J. Humphreys	
Attention: Dr. D. Killpatrick		Dept. of Metallurgy	
3000 Ocean Park Blvd.		Oxford, England	Ţ
Santa Monica, California 90406	5 1	*	
		Pennsylvania State University	
McMaster University		Attention: Prof. M. C. Inman	
Attention: Prof. J. D. Embary		Department of Materials Science	
Dept. of Metallurgy and		University Park,	
Materials Science		Pennsylvania 16802	1
Hamilton, Ontario,		-	
Canada	1	Pratt & Whitney Aircraft	
		Attention: Dr. D. H. Boone	
Michigan Technical University		Advanced Materials Res. $\&$	
Attention: Prof. R. W. Guard		Development Lab.	
Head, Dept. of		Middletown, Conn. 06473	l
Metll. Engrg.		·	
Houghton, Michigan 49931	1	P. R. Mallory and Co., Inc.	
		Attention: Technical Library	
Narmco Res. & Dev. Division		'3029 E. Washington Street	
Whittaker Corporation		Indianapolis, Indiana 46206	1
Attention: Dr. F. J. Riel		,,	
Tech. Director		Pratt & Whitney Aircraft Div.	
3540 Aero Court		United Aircraft Corporation	
San Diego, California 92123	1	Attention: E. F. Bradley	
	-	400 Main Street	
N.R.A., Inc.		East Hartford, Connecticut	
Attention: Dr. S. Grand		06108	1
35-01 Oueens Blvd.			
Long Tsland City, New York		Pratt & Whitney Aircraft Div.	
11101	1	United Aircraft Corporation	
	-	Attention: J. Moore	
National Research Corn.		West Palm Beach, Florida 33402	7
Attention: Tech Info. Ctr			
70 Memorial Drive			
Cambridge Mass 02142	1		
Commentation a contraction of the second sec	-		

	NUMBER		NUMBER
ADDRESSEE	OF COPIES	ADDRESSEE OF	' COPIES
Rensselaer Polytechnic Instit	tute	Cabot Corporation	
Attention: Prof. F. V. Lene	1	Stellite Division	
Department of Materials Scien	nce	Attention: Technical Library	
Troy, New York 12180	1	Technology Department	
		Kokomo, Indiana 46901	1
Sherritt Gordon Mines, Ltd.			
Attention: Dr. D. J. I. Evan	ns	University of Birmingham	
Research and Development Div	¢	Attention: Prof. R. E. Smallman	
Fort Saskatchewan		Dept. of Physical Metallurgy	
Alberta, Canada	1	Edgebaston	
		Birmingham, England	1
Solar Division			
International Harvester		University of California at	
Attention: J. V. Long		Los Angeles	
2200 Pacific Highway		Attention: Dr. G. Hoffman	
San Diego, California 9211	2 1	Los Angeles, California 90024	1
Stanford Research Institute		University of California	
Attention: F. A. Halden		Attention: Prof. J. E. Dorn	
Menlo Park, California 940	25 1	Hearst Mining Bldg Room 268	
		Berkeley, California 94720	1
Stanford University			
Attention: Prof. Oleg Sherby	У	Universal-Cyclops Steel Corporatio	m
Department of Materials Scien	nce	Attention: L. W. Lherbier	4
Palo Alto, California 9430.	5 1	Bridgeville, Pennsylvania 15017	L
Sylvania Electric Products,	Inc.	University of Kentucky	
Attention: Dr. J. S. Smith		Attention: Prof. Hans Conrad	
Chemical & Metallurgical Div	•	Dépt. of Metallurgy	
Towanda, Pennsylvania 18848	1	Lexington, Kentucky 40506	1
TRW, Inc.		Wah Chang Corporation	
Attention: Dr. E. Steigerwa	1d	Attention: S. Worster	
TRW Electromechanical Div.		Albany, Oregon 97321	1
235555 Euclid Avenue			
Cleveland, Ohio 44117	1	Westinghouse Research Lab.	
		Attention: R. T. Begley	
		Metallurgy Department	
		Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania	1