https://ntrs.nasa.gov/search.jsp?R=19720012218 2020-03-11T19:02:15+00:00Z N72-19868 CASE FILE COPY

HUNTSVILLE RESEARCH & ENGINEERING CENTER

14

LOCKHEED MISSILES & SPACE COMPANY, INC. A SUBSIDIARY OF LOCKHEED AIRCRAFT CORPORATION

HUNTSVILLE, ALABAMA

HREC-5578-1 LMSC-HREC D225541-II

LOCKHEED MISSILES & SPACE COMPANY HUNTSVILLE RESEARCH & ENGINEERING CENTER HUNTSVILLE RESEARCH PARK 4800 BRADFORD DRIVE, HUNTSVILLE, ALABAMA

THE APPLICATION OF OPTIMAL CONTROL TECHNIQUES TO ADVANCED MANNED MISSIONS

VOLUME II

February 1972

Contract NAS8-25578

by

C. L. Connor W. Trautwein

APPROVED:

T. R. Beal, Manager Dynamics & Guidance Department

I.S. Farrior Resident Director

FOREWORD

This report presents in two volumes the results of work performed during the period of May 1970 to February 1972 by Lockheed's Huntsville Research & Engineering Center while under contract to the National Aeronautics and Space Administration for the Aero-Astrodynamics Laboratory of Marshall Space Flight Center (MSFC), Contract NAS8-25578.

The report documents the work performed on the "Application of Optimal Techniques to Advanced Manned Missions," namely the Composite Shuttle Ascent Phase. Mr. J. M. Livingston of NASA-MSFC, Aero-Astrodynamics Laboratory, S&E-AERO-DF, was the MSFC Contracting Officer's Representative. Mr. C. L. Connor was the project engineer at Lockheed. Major contributors were Dr. W. Trautwein, who provided technical assistance, and Mr. A. Hansing, who performed the hybrid programming.

The work reported in this Volume II is included as a detailed source of information to supplement the results of Volume I. CONTENTS

| Appendix | | Page | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|---|------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | FOREWORD | iii | | | | | | | | | |
| | SUMMARY AND INTRODUCTION | | | | | | | | | | |
| A | DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF LOCKHEED'S HYBRID OPTIMIZER | | | | | | | | | | |
| | A.1 Basic Scheme | A-1 | | | | | | | | | |
| | A.2 Step-by-Step Optimization Procedure | A-3 | | | | | | | | | |
| В | DERIVATION OF THE 6-D PERTURBATION EOM FOR THE COMPOSITE SHUTTLE LAUNCH PHASE | B-1 | | | | | | | | | |
| С | DERIVATION OF INTERFACE LOADING EQUATIONS FOR THE COMPOSITE SHUTTLE LAUNCH PHASE | C-1 | | | | | | | | | |
| D | ANALOG WIRING DIAGRAMS | D-1 | | | | | | | | | |
| E | SC 4020 DIGITAL PLOTS OF THE MASS, AERODYNAMIC AND TRAJECTORY DATA FOR MDAC-20 SHUTTLE CONFIGURATION AND THE TIME VARYING COEFFICIENTS GENERATED BY THE SHUTTLE DATA REDUCTION PROGRAM | E-1 | | | | | | | | | |
| F | SC 4020 DIGITAL PLOTS OF RUNGE KUTTA IN TEGRATED SHUTTLE ASCENT TRAJECTORY SHOWING ALL STATE VARIABLES AND INTER- FACE LOADING FOR 0° HEADWIND α_{W_A} and 90° SIDEWIND β_{W_A} (MDAC CONFIG. 20) FOR CONSTANT GAIN CONTROLLER | F-1 | | | | | | | | | |
| G | SC 4020 PLOTS OF RUNGE KUTTA INTEGRATED SHUTTLE ASCENT TRAJECTORY SHOWING ALL STATE VARIABLES AND INTERFACE LOADING FOR 0° HEADWIND α_{WA} AND 90° SIDEWIND β_{WA} (MDAC-CONFIGURATION 20) FOR OPTIMAL CONTROLLER | G-1 | | | | | | | | | |
| | · | | | | | | | | | | |

LMSC-HREC D225541-II

SUMMARY AND INTRODUCTION

The work reported in this Volume II is included as a detailed source of information to supplement the results of Volume I.

Appendix A describes the hybrid optimization technique in detail. This optimization technique is capable of optimizing an n-dimensional adjustable parameter vector, but a 1-dimensional vector is used as an example to explain the procedure. This allows an easier explanation as opposed to a multidimensional case.

Appendix B describes the procedure used to derive the perturbation equations of motion describing the 6-DOF Shuttle Ascent Phase. These equations were programmed on the EAI 8800 analog computer to describe the perturbations of the shuttle vehicle from a nominal zero-lift trajectory due to wind disturbances. Included are the control system equations, trim equations, and wind angle of attack equations.

Appendix C describes the procedure used to derive the equations of motion describing the structural forces at all four attachment points between the orbiter and booster.

Appendix D shows the analog wiring diagrams used in this study. Included are the rotational and translational perturbation EOM, interface loading EOM, control system EOM, wind disturbance generation and performance criteria (J=PC). Manual switches provided capability for the wind disturbances to come from any direction relative to the trajectory plane.

Appendix E includes all raw data and the time-varying coefficients generated by the raw data in SC 4020 plot form. Aerodynamic data were referenced to the center of gravity, X_{cg} , measured from the gimbal point.

vii

Appendix F shows the plots of all state variable responses during shuttle ascent to a 0 deg headwind α_{WA} and a 90 deg sidewind β_{WA} for a constant gain controller. These plots were obtained by a digital program using Runge Kutta numerical integration techniques.

Appendix G shows the plots of all state variable responses during shuttle ascent to a 0 deg headwind α_{WA} and a 90 deg sidewind β_{WA} for the optimal gain controller obtained from the hybrid studies. The same program used to obtain plots in Appendix F was used to generate these plots.

Appendix A

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF LOCKHEED'S HYBRID OPTIMIZER

The basic scheme and its operational features were described in generalities in Volume I of this report. The purpose of this section of Volume II is to provide the reader with a more detailed description of the hybrid optimizer and its application to the design of a specific optimal control system (Shuttle Ascent).

A.1 BASIC SCHEME

The basic scheme of the hybrid optimizer program is a direct optimization method, whereby only forward integrations of the dynamic equations are performed. Figure A-1 shows a block diagram of the optimizer being applied to the design of a 3-axis attitude controller for the shuttle launch phase. Complete 6-DOF shuttle dynamics, engine actuator dynamics, controller loops and the performance index penalty function are simulated on the EAI 8800 analog computer. The optimizer is simulated on the EAI 8400 digital computer. Figure A-1 is intended to orient the reader toward a specific application of the optimizer. The specific objective of this block diagram is to compute optimal schedules for the 3-axis controller gains $\begin{bmatrix} a_{0,\theta}, a_{1,\theta}, \ldots, a_{1,\phi} \end{bmatrix}^{T}$ which maximize the orbital insertion payload while desensitizing vehicle performance to the possible occurrence of two disturbance winds.

The operational features of the optimizer will now be described in detail for a general one-dimensional problem. Their application to the specific problem of Fig. A-1 will then be dealt with in a chronological manner.



LMSC-HREC D225541-II

A-2

A.2 STEP-BY-STEP OPTIMIZATION PROCEDURE

Typically, the shuttle dynamics are simulated from 0 to 100 seconds; i.e., from launch through atmospheric ascent. Since shuttle performance is affected most adversely during the region of maximum dynamic pressure, this region is of primary interest. For example, if maximum dynamic pressure occurs at 60 sec, it is only necessary to design the optimal controller during the region from 40 to 80 seconds typically rather than the entire launch period (0 to 100 sec).

The study proceeds in the following steps.

Step 1

The shuttle is launched (simulated in real time on analog) with all controller gains held constant. A real-time strip chart recorder records desired state variables. At flight time 40 sec, the real-time strip chart is stopped and the optimizer begins its assigned objective; i.e., optimize the controller gains from 40 to 80 sec flight time through a series of fast-time iterations and specified update real-time intervals. Figure A-2 shows this Step 1.

• Step 2

The next step the optimizer performs is to conduct a search of all possible gain slope combinations for all gains to avoid any local minima which may exist. A subroutine entitled "GRID" is called to perform this "grid search." This grid consists of all combinations of all gain slopes defined with finite limits and finess. At each grid point, the vehicle dynamics are integrated for T sec (typically 20 sec) at 1000 times real time from the initial conditions existing at 40 sec for Wind A, and then again for Wind B. For each wind simulation, the performance index is computed. Digital logic maintains in memory the worst performance at each grid point. When the entire grid search is completed, the digital is able to identify the grid search coordinates

A-3



Fig. A-2 - Typical Strip Chart Recordings of the Analog Simulation of 3-Axis Controller for Shuttle Ascent. Shown are typical control gains and a specific state variable integrated on the analog computer from 0 to starting time of optimizer (40 sec). at which the best performance of all the worst performances occurred. This grid search coordinate is used to initialize the gradient search. Keep in mind, that the optimizer is conducting all grid search and gradient search computations at 1000 times real time. Figures A-3 and A-4 show the grid search operation. The heavy lines of Fig. A-3 indicate the grid point coordinates corresponding to the gain slope combinations which give the best performance for the "look-ahead" interval 40 to 60 sec. The gradient search will improve these slopes. Figure A-4 is included to illustrate a typical performance function J(K) and how the grid search avoids possible local minimum points.

• Step 3

The objective of the gradient search is to refine these grid search coordinates to locate the absolute minimum. The gradient search utilizes this grid point minimum to begin its work. Digital logic has determined which wind case (A or B) caused the worst performance of both winds. The wind causing the worst performance is used to compute the initial gradient computation at $J(K_i)_A$; $\nabla J(K_i)_A$ since α_{wA} was the worst case. Figure A-5 shows these gradient computations. $\nabla J(K_i)_A$ is computed by a defined perturbation of ΔK which is

$$\nabla J(K_i)_A = \left[J(K_i + \frac{\Delta K}{2})_A - J(K_i - \frac{\Delta K}{2})_A \right] / \Delta K$$

Experience had proven it unnecessary to simulate both winds for the gradient computation since the small perturbations of ΔK had negligible effects on vehicle performance. Techniques developed by Fletcher and Powell compute K_i^* based on the gradient at K_i , $(\nabla J(K_i)_A)$. The vehicle performance is evaluated at K_i^* for Winds A and B, and the worst case is used to compute $\nabla J(K_i^*)_B$ in the same previous manner since α_{wB} is the worst case for K_{iB}^* . The gradient $\nabla J(K_i^*)_B$ is computed as before

$$\nabla J(K_i^*)_B = \left[J(K_i^* + \frac{\Delta K}{2})_B - J(K_i^* - \frac{\Delta K}{2})_B\right] / \Delta K$$

A-5

LOCKHEED - HUNTSVILLE RESEARCH & ENGINEERING CENTER



NOTE: Heavy lines indicate gain slope combination giving best performance of the grid search.

Fig. A-3 - Grid Search Operation at Desired Optimization Starting Time (40 sec). All controller slopes are simulated at 1000 times real time over an integration look-ahead interval of 20 sec. Both winds are simulated for each slope combination. The heavy lines indicate the resulting grid search minimum which the gradient search will improve.



A-7



J(K)

A-8

LMSC-HREC D225541-II

The gradient search continues by fitting a 3rd order polynomial between $\nabla J(K_i)_A$ and $\nabla J(K_i^*)_B$. The minimum of this polynomial is determined by numerical techniques and is shown at K_{i+1} . Performance at K_{i+1} is evaluated for both winds A and B. Since α_{WA} is the worst case, the gradient $\nabla J(K_{i+1})_A$ is computed at K_{i+1} in the same manner; i.e.,

$$\nabla J(K_{i+1})_{A} = \left[J(K_{i+1} + \frac{\Delta K}{2})_{A} - J(K_{i+1} - \frac{\Delta K}{2})_{A} \right] / \Delta K$$

Again, a new K_i^* is computed based on $\nabla J(K_{i+1})_A$ and is shown in Fig. A-5 as K_i^{**} . Performance is again evaluated at K_i^{**} for α_{WA} and α_{WB} . Since α_{WB} is the worst case, the gradients at K_i^{**} are computed and another 3rd order polynomial is fitted between $\nabla J(K_{i+1})_A$ and $\nabla J(K_i^{**})_B$.

The minimum of this cubic is determined as before to establish a new $(K_{i+1}).$ The gradient search continues in this manner for a specified number of such flip-flop iterations until the absolute minimum $J(K_m)$ is found by the gradient $\nabla J(K_m) \rightarrow 0$. The parameter vector (K_m) which represents the optimal controller gain slopes is transferred to the analog where the vehicle dynamics are integrated in real time from 40 to 45 sec, typically. This response is The initial conditions for all state variables are now at shown in Fig. A-6. 45 sec, and the digital logic returns to the grid search to begin again the optimization cycle. This cycle is continued until the specified flight time of 80 sec, at which time all gain slopes are zeroed and the vehicle dynamics are integrated in real time to completion of trajectory (100 sec). Figure A-7 shows the resulting gain slope schedules and corresponding response of θ . Figure A-8 is included to show a typical operation of the grid search and the speed at which it operates.



Fig. A-6 - Real Time Update from 40 to 45 sec of Controller Schedules and Shuttle Dynamics Using Optimal Controller Slopes Determined by Optimization Technique. New grid search begins iterations on initial conditions of all states at 45 sec.



Fig. A-7 - Optimal Controller Schedules and State Variable Response as Obtained from Series of Optimizations and Update Intervals (40 to 45, 45 to 50, ..., 75 to 80 sec). Controller gain slopes are set to zero at optimization stop time (80 sec) and system dynamics integrated to conclusion (100 sec).

A-11



Fig. A-8 - Grid Search Operation Showing Typical Strip Chart Recordings of Several State Variable Responses to Changes in $a_{0\theta}$ for the two Wind Disturbances α_{WA} and α_{WB} . Simulations are occurring at 1000 times real time resulting in 17 simulations per sec.

Appendix B

DERIVATION OF THE 6-D PERTURBATION EOM FOR THE COMPOSITE SHUTTLE LAUNCH PHASE

The equations of motion are derived with respect to the figure below. Engine and surface deflections were defined as positive deflections causing positive attitude changes.



- Assumptions 1. a.) I_{xy}, I very small b.) $\frac{I_{xz}}{I_{x}} \implies \frac{I_{xz}}{I_{z}}$ and $\frac{I_{xz}}{I_{y}}$ c.) m, I, I, I, I, I, are constant 0 - 100 sec. d.) ϕ, ψ are very small angles $\hat{\mathbf{P}} = \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}} + \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \sin \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}} \cong \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}$ $\hat{\mathbf{R}} = \hat{\boldsymbol{\psi}} \cos \phi - \theta \cos \hat{\boldsymbol{\psi}} \sin \phi \stackrel{\wedge}{=} \hat{\boldsymbol{\psi}}$ e.) $\cos \alpha = 1$ $\cos\beta = 1$ $\sin \hat{\alpha} = \hat{\alpha}$ $\sin \hat{\beta} = \hat{\beta}$ f.) $\dot{X} = \dot{U}$ $\dot{\mathbf{Y}} = \dot{\mathbf{V}} \cong \dot{\mathbf{U}}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ $\dot{\hat{z}} = \hat{w} \cong \hat{u}\hat{\alpha}$
 - g.) Equations of motion are derived with respect to the center of gravity of the composite vehicle; i.e., the equations are body-frame equations.



Since

$$\vec{\boldsymbol{\omega}} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{P} \\ \hat{Q} \\ \hat{R} \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \vec{U} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{U} \\ \hat{V} \\ \hat{W} \end{bmatrix}$$

then

b.)

$$\vec{\boldsymbol{\omega}} \times \vec{\boldsymbol{\upsilon}} = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \hat{P} & \hat{Q} & \hat{R} \\ \hat{U} & \hat{V} & \hat{W} \end{vmatrix} = \hat{Q}\hat{W} - \hat{R}\hat{V} - \hat{P}\hat{W} - \hat{R}\hat{U} + \hat{P}\hat{V} - \hat{Q}\hat{U} \end{bmatrix}$$

Therefore

c.)
$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{\hat{U}} + \hat{\hat{Q}}\hat{W} - \hat{\hat{R}}\hat{V} \\ \dot{\hat{V}} + \hat{\hat{R}}\hat{U} - \hat{\hat{P}}\hat{W} \\ \dot{\hat{W}} + \hat{\hat{P}}\hat{V} - \hat{\hat{Q}}\hat{U} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\hat{F}}_{x}/m \\ \hat{\hat{F}}_{y}/m \\ \hat{\hat{F}}_{z}/m \end{bmatrix}$$
where
$$\begin{bmatrix} \hat{\hat{F}}_{x}, \hat{\hat{F}}_{y}, \hat{\hat{F}}_{z} \end{bmatrix}$$
 are total forces acting on vehicle.

Total forces are

d.)
$$\begin{bmatrix} \hat{F}_{x} \\ \hat{F}_{y} \\ \hat{F}_{z} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{A} & \hat{F}_{x \text{ grav}} + \hat{F}_{x \text{ prop}} \\ \hat{F}_{x \text{ aero}} + \hat{F}_{y \text{ grav}} + \hat{F}_{y \text{ prop}} \\ \hat{F}_{z \text{ aero}} + \hat{F}_{z \text{ grav}} + \hat{F}_{z \text{ prop}} \end{bmatrix}$$

B-3

which consist of summation of forces due to aerodynamics, gravity and propulsion.

From Eq. (2-c), page B-3, and the assumptions, we have

e.)
$$\begin{bmatrix} \hat{X} \\ \hat{Y} \\ \hat{Y} \\ \hat{Z} \end{bmatrix} \approx \begin{bmatrix} \hat{U}\hat{\beta}\hat{\psi} - \hat{U}\hat{\alpha}\hat{\theta} + \hat{F}_{x}/m \\ \hat{U}\hat{\alpha}\hat{\phi} - \hat{U}\hat{\psi} + \hat{F}_{y}/m \\ \hat{U}\hat{\theta} - \hat{U}\hat{\beta}\hat{\phi} + \hat{F}_{z}/m \end{bmatrix}$$

Also

$$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{f.} \textbf{)} \\ \hline \boldsymbol{\phi} \\ \vdots \\ \boldsymbol{\theta} \\ \vdots \\ \boldsymbol{\psi} \end{array} \end{array} \stackrel{\boldsymbol{\simeq}}{\boldsymbol{\simeq}} \begin{bmatrix} \begin{matrix} \textbf{I}_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{Z}} & \vdots & \boldsymbol{h} \\ \vdots \\ \textbf{I}_{\mathbf{x}} & \boldsymbol{\psi} + & \boldsymbol{L} \\ \textbf{I}_{\mathbf{x}} & \boldsymbol{h} \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \boldsymbol{M} \\ \boldsymbol{H} \\ \boldsymbol{I}_{\mathbf{y}} \\ \vdots \\ \boldsymbol{N} \\ \boldsymbol{I}_{\mathbf{z}} \end{bmatrix}$$

3. Separation into Perturbation and Nominal Equations

| a.) | â | = | x _o | + | x | ; ¢ | ~ | $\dot{\phi}$ |) | | | | | | | |
|-----|---|---|----------------|-----|---|--------|----|----------------|---|------------|------------------|---------------------------------|----|-----------------|------|----------------|
| | Ŷ | = | Y _o | + | у | λ θ | ~ | ė | { | i.e., | φ ₀ , | Ө _о , | ψ, | are | : 51 | mall |
| | ź | = | z _o | + | z | Ϋ́ | 2= | ψ | } | | | | | | | |
| | ^ | | • | | - | Ŷ | | Ŧ | | Ŧ | | 4 | | F | | - |
| | в | - | В | | | ىك | = | Lo | + | <i>1</i> . | | ^r x | = | ^r xo | + . | x |
| | ά | = | α _o | + (| χ | м | = | м _о | + | М | | л F у | = | Fyo | + : | ^F у |
| | | | | | | ^ N | = | No | + | N | • | $\mathbf{\hat{F}}_{\mathbf{z}}$ | = | F_{zo} | +.: | F_{z} |

1.1

 $\hat{\delta}_{e} = \delta_{e} ; elevon \qquad \hat{\delta}_{\phi} = \delta_{\phi}$ $\hat{\delta}_{r} = \delta_{r} ; rudder \qquad \hat{\delta}_{\psi} = \delta_{\psi}$ $\hat{\delta}_{a} = \delta_{a} ; aileron \qquad \hat{\delta}_{\theta} = \delta_{\theta 0} + \delta_{\theta}$

$$\hat{U} = U_0 + u$$

Separate Eq. (2-e) on page B-4 into perturbation and nominal components.

b.)
$$\begin{bmatrix} \ddot{\mathbf{x}}_{o} + \ddot{\mathbf{x}} \\ \ddot{\mathbf{Y}}_{o} + \ddot{\mathbf{Y}} \\ \ddot{\mathbf{Z}}_{o} + \ddot{\mathbf{Z}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} (\mathbf{U}_{o} + \mathbf{u})\beta\dot{\psi} - (\mathbf{U}_{o} + \mathbf{u})(\alpha_{o} + \alpha)\dot{\theta} + (\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{x}o} + \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{x}})/\mathbf{m} \\ (\mathbf{U}_{o} + \mathbf{u})(\alpha_{o} + \alpha)\dot{\phi} - (\mathbf{U}_{o} + \mathbf{u})\dot{\psi} + (\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{y}o} + \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{y}})/\mathbf{m} \\ (\mathbf{U}_{o} + \mathbf{u})\dot{\theta} - (\mathbf{U}_{o} + \mathbf{u})\beta\dot{\phi} + (\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{z}o} + \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{z}})/\mathbf{m} \end{bmatrix}$$

4. Gravitational Forces

a.) Assume initial position of shuttle on the launch pad and a 2, 3, 1 rotation of the euler angles ϕ, ψ, θ .



B-5

b.)
$$\begin{bmatrix} \hat{F}_{xg} \\ \hat{F}_{yg} \\ \hat{F}_{zg} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\phi} \end{bmatrix}_{1} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\psi} \end{bmatrix}_{3} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\theta} \end{bmatrix}_{2} \begin{bmatrix} -mg \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Therefore

c.)
$$\begin{bmatrix} \hat{F}_{xg} \\ \hat{F}_{yg} \\ \hat{F}_{zg} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & C\hat{\phi} & S\hat{\phi} \\ 0 & -S\hat{\phi} & C\hat{\phi} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} C\hat{\psi} & S\hat{\psi} & 0 \\ -S\hat{\psi} & C\hat{\psi} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} C\hat{\theta} & 0 & -S\hat{\theta} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ S\hat{\theta} & 0 & C\hat{\theta} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -mg \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Since $\hat{\psi}$ and $\hat{\phi}$ are small, then

d.)
$$\begin{bmatrix} \hat{F}_{xg} \\ \hat{F}_{yg} \\ \hat{F}_{zg} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \hat{\phi} \\ 0 & -\hat{\phi} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \hat{\psi} & 0 \\ -\hat{\psi} & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -\operatorname{mg} \cos \hat{\theta} \\ 0 \\ -\operatorname{mg} \sin \hat{\theta} \end{bmatrix}$$

which reduces to

e.)
$$\begin{bmatrix} \hat{F}_{xg} \\ \hat{F}_{yg} \\ \hat{F}_{zg} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \hat{\phi} \\ 0 & -\hat{\phi} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -mg\cos\hat{\theta} \\ \hat{\psi} mg\cos\hat{\theta} \\ -mg\sin\hat{\theta} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} -mg\cos\hat{\theta} \\ \hat{\psi} mg\cos\hat{\theta} & -\phimg\sin\hat{\theta} \\ -\hat{\phi} & \psi mg\cos\hat{\theta} & -mg\sin\hat{\theta} \end{bmatrix}$$

which may be simplified by small angle approximation to

LMSC-HREC D225541-II

f.)
$$\begin{bmatrix} \hat{F}_{xg} \\ \hat{F}_{yg} \\ \hat{F}_{zg} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -mg\cos\hat{\theta} \\ \hat{\psi} mg\cos\hat{\theta} - \phi mg\sin\hat{\theta} \\ -mg\sin\hat{\theta} \end{bmatrix}$$

Separating into nominal and perturbation components

g.)
$$\begin{bmatrix} F_{xg_{o}} + F_{xg} \\ F_{yg_{o}} + F_{yg} \\ F_{zg_{o}} + F_{zg} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -mg\cos(\theta_{o} + \theta) \\ \psi mg\cos(\theta_{o} + \theta) - \phi mg\sin(\theta_{o} + \theta) \\ -mg\sin(\theta_{o} + \theta) \end{bmatrix}$$

which is

h.)
$$\begin{bmatrix} F_{xg_{o}} + F_{xg} \\ F_{yg_{o}} + F_{yg} \\ F_{zg_{o}} + F_{zg} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -mg\cos\theta_{o}\cos\theta + mg\sin\theta_{o}\sin\theta \\ \psi mg\cos\theta_{o}\cos\theta - \psi mg\sin\theta_{o}\sin\theta - \phi mg\sin\theta_{o}\cos\theta \\ - \phi mg\cos\theta_{o}\sin\theta \end{bmatrix}$$

Separating and simplifying

i.)
$$\begin{bmatrix} F_{xg} \\ F_{yg} \\ F_{zg_{o}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -mg\cos\theta_{o} \\ 0 \\ -mg\sin\theta_{o} \end{bmatrix}$$
Nominal
j.)
$$\begin{bmatrix} F_{xg} \\ F_{yg} \\ F_{yg} \\ F_{zg} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} (mg\sin\theta_{o})\theta \\ (mg\cos\theta_{o})\psi - (mg\sin\theta_{o})\phi \\ -(mg\cos\theta_{o})\theta \end{bmatrix}$$
Perturbation

By definition, the shuttle follows a commanded pitch schedule defined with reference to the local vertical. This pitch command is defined by



Therefore,

$$\hat{\theta} = -X_{\theta} + \hat{\alpha}$$

and

У

$$\theta_{0} + \theta = -X_{0} + \alpha_{0} + \alpha$$

thus

$$\theta_{o} = \alpha_{o} - X_{\theta}$$

 $\theta = \alpha$

Nominal gravity terms are

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{k}.\mathbf{i} & \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{xg}_{0}} \\ \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{yg}_{0}} \\ \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{zg}_{0}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -\operatorname{mg} \cos(\alpha_{0} - X_{0}) \\ 0 \\ -\operatorname{mg} \sin(\alpha_{0} - X_{0}) \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} -\operatorname{mg} \cos\alpha_{0} \cos X_{0} - \operatorname{mg} \sin\alpha_{0} \sin X_{0} \\ 0 \\ -\operatorname{mg} \sin\alpha_{0} \cos X_{0} + \operatorname{mg} \cos\alpha_{0} \sin X_{0} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} -\operatorname{mg} \cos X_{0} - \alpha_{0} \operatorname{mg} \sin X_{0} \\ 0 \\ -\alpha_{0} \operatorname{mg} \cos X_{0} + \operatorname{mg} \sin X_{0} \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Perturbation Gravity Terms are} \\ \mathbf{1}.\mathbf{i} & \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{xg}} \\ \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{yg}} \\ \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{zg}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \operatorname{mg} \sin(\alpha_{0} - X_{0}) \\ \psi \operatorname{mg} \cos(\alpha_{0} - X_{0}) - \psi \operatorname{mg} \sin(\alpha_{0} - X_{0}) \\ 0 - \theta \operatorname{mg} \cos(\alpha_{0} - X_{0}) - \theta \operatorname{mg} \sin\alpha_{0} \sin X_{0} - \theta \operatorname{mg} \sin\alpha_{0} \cos X_{0} \\ -\theta \operatorname{mg} \cos\alpha_{0} \cos X_{0} - \theta \operatorname{mg} \sin\alpha_{0} \sin X_{0} - \phi \operatorname{mg} \sin\alpha_{0} \cos X_{0} \\ + \phi \operatorname{mg} \cos\alpha_{0} \sin X_{0} \\ -\theta \operatorname{mg} \cos\alpha_{0} \cos X_{0} - \theta \operatorname{mg} \sin\alpha_{0} \sin X_{0} \\ -\theta \operatorname{mg} \cos\alpha_{0} \cos X_{0} - \theta \operatorname{mg} \sin\alpha_{0} \sin X_{0} \\ -\theta \operatorname{mg} \cos\alpha_{0} \cos X_{0} - \theta \operatorname{mg} \sin\alpha_{0} \sin X_{0} \\ -\theta \operatorname{mg} \cos X_{0} + \psi \alpha_{0} \operatorname{mg} \sin X_{0} - \phi \alpha_{0} \operatorname{mg} \cos X_{0} + \phi \operatorname{mg} \sin X_{0} \\ -\theta \operatorname{mg} \cos X_{0} + \psi \alpha_{0} \operatorname{mg} \sin X_{0} \\ -\theta \operatorname{mg} \cos X_{0} + \theta \alpha_{0} \operatorname{mg} \sin X_{0} \\ -\theta \operatorname{mg} \cos X_{0} + \theta \alpha_{0} \operatorname{mg} \sin X_{0} \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

. B-9∙ which further reduces to

n.)
$$\begin{bmatrix} F_{xg} \\ F_{yg} \\ F_{zg} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -\theta \ mg \sin X_{\theta} \\ \psi \ mg \cos X_{\theta} + \phi \ mg \sin X_{\theta} \\ -\theta \ mg \cos X_{\theta} \end{bmatrix}$$

Since $\theta = \alpha$ therefore

o.)
$$\begin{bmatrix} F_{xg} \\ F_{yg} \\ F_{zg} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -\alpha \operatorname{mgsin} X_{\theta} \\ \psi \operatorname{mgcos} X_{\theta} + \phi \operatorname{mgsin} X_{\theta} \\ -\alpha \operatorname{mgcos} X_{\theta} \end{bmatrix}$$
 Final Gravity Perturbation Equations

5. Propulsion Forces

From the figure on page B-1,



thus



where T_t is total thrust

Nominal Thrust Equation

· · ·

B-10

and

c.)
$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{p}} \\ \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{y}\mathbf{p}} \\ \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{z}\mathbf{p}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \Delta \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{t}} \\ -\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{t}_{o}}\delta_{\psi} - \Delta \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{t}}\delta_{\psi} \\ -\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{t}_{o}}\delta_{\theta} + \Delta \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{t}}\delta_{\theta_{o}} \end{bmatrix}$$

Since ΔT_t is assumed zero; therefore,

d.)
$$\begin{bmatrix} F_{xp} \\ F_{yp} \\ F_{zp} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -T_{t_{o}} \delta_{\psi} \\ T_{t_{o}} \delta_{\theta} \end{bmatrix}$$

6. Aerodynamic Forces

a.)
$$\begin{bmatrix} \hat{F}_{xa} \\ \hat{F}_{ya} \\ \hat{F}_{za} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -qSC_{A} - qSC_{A} & \hat{\delta}_{c} - qSC_{A} & \hat{\delta}_{e} \\ qSC_{y} & \hat{\beta} + qSC_{y} & \hat{\phi} - qSC_{y} & \hat{\psi} \\ -qSC_{L_{o}} - qSC_{N_{\alpha}} & \hat{\alpha} \end{bmatrix}$$

Separating into

b.)
$$\begin{bmatrix} F_{xa_0} \\ F_{ya_0} \\ F_{za_0} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -qSC_A \\ 0 \\ -qSC_L - qSC_N \alpha_0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Nominal Aerodynamic Forces

Perturbation Thrust Equations



Perturbation Aerodynamic Forces

7. Propulsion Moments

and







Separating

b.)
$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{p}_{o}} \\ \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{p}_{o}} \\ \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{p}_{o}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{t}_{o}} \delta_{\theta_{o}} \ell_{\mathbf{y}} \\ \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{t}_{o}} \ell_{\mathbf{z}} - \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{t}_{o}} \delta_{\theta_{o}} \ell_{\mathbf{x}} \\ -\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{t}_{o}} \ell_{\mathbf{y}} \end{bmatrix}$$

Nominal Propulsion Moments

B-12

LMSC-HREC D225541-II

Perturbation

Propulsion Moments



8. Aerodynamic Moments

a.)
$$\begin{bmatrix} \hat{L}_{a} \\ \hat{N}_{a} \\ \hat{N}_{a} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} q \frac{Sb^{2}}{2U_{o}} C_{\ell_{p}} \dot{\phi} + q Sb C_{\ell\beta} \dot{\beta} + q Sb C_{\ell} \dot{\delta}_{a} - q Sb C_{\ell\delta_{r}} \dot{\delta}_{r} \\ q \frac{Sc^{2}}{2U_{o}} (C_{m_{q}} + C_{m_{\alpha}}) \dot{\theta} + q Sc C_{m_{\alpha}} \dot{\alpha} + q Sc C_{m_{o}} - q Sc C_{m_{\delta_{e}}} \dot{\delta}_{e} \\ q \frac{Sb^{2}}{2U_{o}} (C_{n_{r}} - C_{n,\beta}) \dot{\psi} - q Sb C_{N_{\beta}} \dot{\beta} + q Sb C_{n_{\delta_{r}}} \dot{\delta}_{r} \end{bmatrix}$$

Separating
b.)
$$\begin{bmatrix} L_{a_{o}} \\ M_{a_{o}} \\ N_{a_{o}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ q S \overline{c} C_{m_{\alpha}} \alpha_{o} + q S \overline{c} C_{m_{o}} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Nominal Aerodynamic Moments

and

c.)
$$\begin{bmatrix} L_{a} \\ M_{a} \\ N_{a} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} q \frac{Sb^{2}}{2V_{o}} C_{\ell_{p}} \dot{\phi} + qSbC_{\ell_{\beta}} \beta + qSbC_{\ell_{\delta_{a}}} \delta_{a} - qsbC_{\ell_{\delta_{r}}} \delta_{r} \\ q \frac{Sc^{-2}}{2V_{o}} (C_{m_{q}} + C_{m_{\dot{\alpha}}}) \dot{\theta} + qScC_{m_{\alpha}} \alpha - qScC_{m_{\delta_{e}}} \delta_{e} \\ q \frac{Sb^{2}}{2V_{o}} (C_{n_{r}} - C_{n_{\dot{\beta}}}) \dot{\psi} - qSbC_{N_{\beta}} \beta + qSbC_{N_{\delta_{r}}} \delta_{r} \end{bmatrix}$$

Perturbation Aerodynamic Moments

. .

9. Total Nominal Equations (Translation)

a.)
$$\begin{bmatrix} \ddot{X}_{o} \\ \ddot{Y}_{o} \\ \ddot{Z}_{o} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} F_{xo}/m \\ F_{yo}/m \\ F_{zo}/m \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} [-mg\cos X_{\theta} - \alpha_{o} mg\sin X_{\theta} + T_{t_{o}} - qSC_{A}]/m \\ [-T_{t_{o}} \delta_{\psi_{o}}]/m \\ [-\alpha_{o} mg\cos X_{\theta} + mg\sin X_{\theta} + T_{t}\delta_{\theta_{o}} - qSC_{L_{o}} - qSC_{N_{\alpha}}\alpha_{o}]/m \end{bmatrix}$$

b.)
$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{x} \\ \ddot{y} \\ \ddot{y} \\ \ddot{z} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -U_{0} \alpha_{0} \dot{\theta} + \left[-\alpha \operatorname{mgsin} X_{\theta} - q SC_{A} \delta_{c} c - q SC_{A} \delta_{e} e \right] / m \\ U_{0} \alpha_{0} \dot{\phi} - U_{0} \dot{\psi} + \left[\psi \operatorname{mgcos} X_{\theta} + \phi \operatorname{mgsin} X_{\theta} - T_{t_{0}} \delta_{\psi} \\ + q SC_{y_{\beta}} \beta + q SC_{y_{p}} \dot{\phi} - q SC_{y_{r}} \dot{\psi} \right] / m \\ U_{0} \dot{\theta} + \left[-\alpha \operatorname{mgcos} X_{\theta} + T_{t_{0}} \delta_{\theta} - q SC_{N_{\alpha}} \alpha \right] / m \end{bmatrix} - \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} U_{0} \dot{\theta} + \left[-\alpha \operatorname{mgcos} X_{\theta} + T_{t_{0}} \delta_{\theta} - q SC_{N_{\alpha}} \alpha \right] / m \end{bmatrix} - \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} U_{0} \dot{\theta} + \left[-\alpha \operatorname{mgcos} X_{\theta} + T_{t_{0}} \delta_{\theta} - q SC_{N_{\alpha}} \alpha \right] / m \end{bmatrix} - \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} U_{0} \dot{\theta} + \left[-\alpha \operatorname{mgcos} X_{\theta} + T_{t_{0}} \delta_{\theta} - q SC_{N_{\alpha}} \alpha \right] / m \end{bmatrix} - \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} U_{0} \dot{\theta} + \left[-\alpha \operatorname{mgcos} X_{\theta} + T_{t_{0}} \delta_{\theta} - q SC_{N_{\alpha}} \alpha \right] / m \end{bmatrix} - \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} U_{0} \dot{\theta} + \left[-\alpha \operatorname{mgcos} X_{\theta} + T_{t_{0}} \delta_{\theta} - q SC_{N_{\alpha}} \alpha \right] / m \end{bmatrix} - \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} U_{0} \dot{\theta} + \left[-\alpha \operatorname{mgcos} X_{\theta} + T_{t_{0}} \delta_{\theta} - q SC_{N_{\alpha}} \alpha \right] / m \end{bmatrix} - \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} U_{0} \dot{\theta} + \left[-\alpha \operatorname{mgcos} X_{\theta} + T_{t_{0}} \delta_{\theta} - q SC_{N_{\alpha}} \alpha \right] / m \end{bmatrix} - \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} U_{0} \dot{\theta} + \left[-\alpha \operatorname{mgcos} X_{\theta} + T_{t_{0}} \delta_{\theta} - q SC_{N_{\alpha}} \alpha \right] / m \end{bmatrix} - \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} U_{0} \dot{\theta} + \left[-\alpha \operatorname{mgcos} X_{\theta} + T_{t_{0}} \delta_{\theta} - q SC_{N_{\alpha}} \alpha \right] / m \end{bmatrix} + \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} U_{0} \dot{\theta} + \left[-\alpha \operatorname{mgcos} X_{\theta} + T_{t_{0}} \delta_{\theta} - q SC_{N_{\alpha}} \alpha \right] / m \end{bmatrix} + \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} U_{0} \dot{\theta} + \left[-\alpha \operatorname{mgcos} X_{\theta} + T_{t_{0}} \delta_{\theta} - q SC_{N_{\alpha}} \alpha \right] / m \end{bmatrix} + \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} U_{0} \dot{\theta} + \left[-\alpha \operatorname{mgcos} X_{\theta} + T_{t_{0}} \delta_{\theta} - q SC_{N_{\alpha}} \alpha \right] / m \end{bmatrix} + \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} U_{0} \dot{\theta} + \left[-\alpha \operatorname{mgcos} X_{\theta} + T_{t_{0}} \delta_{\theta} - q SC_{N_{\alpha}} \alpha \right] / m \end{bmatrix} + \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} U_{0} \dot{\theta} + \left[-\alpha \operatorname{mgcos} X_{\theta} + T_{t_{0}} \delta_{\theta} - q SC_{N_{\alpha}} \alpha \right] / m \end{bmatrix} + \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} U_{0} \dot{\theta} + \left[-\alpha \operatorname{mgcos} X_{\theta} + T_{t_{0}} \delta_{\theta} - q SC_{N_{\alpha}} \alpha \right] / m \end{bmatrix} + \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} U_{0} \dot{\theta} + \left[-\alpha \operatorname{mgcos} X_{\theta} + T_{t_{0}} \delta_{\theta} - q SC_{N_{\alpha}} \alpha \right] / m \end{bmatrix} + \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} U_{0} \dot{\theta} + \left[-\alpha \operatorname{mgcos} X_{\theta} + T_{t_{0}} \delta_{\theta} - q SC_{N_{\alpha}} \alpha \right] / m \end{bmatrix} + \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} U_{0} \dot{\theta} + U_{0} \delta_{\theta} + U_{0} \delta_{\theta} - q SC_{N_{\alpha}} \delta_{\theta} - q SC_{N_{\alpha}} \delta_{\theta} \end{bmatrix} + \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} U_{0} \dot{\theta} + U_{0} \delta_{\theta} + U_{0} \delta_{\theta} - q SC_$$

11. Total Nominal Equations (Rotation)

a.)
$$\begin{bmatrix} \ddot{\phi}_{o} \\ \ddot{\theta}_{o} \\ \ddot{\psi}_{o} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} (T_{t_{o}} \delta_{\theta_{o}} \ell_{y}) / I_{x} \\ (T_{t_{o}} \ell_{z} - T_{t_{o}} \delta_{\theta_{o}} \ell_{x} + q S \overline{c} C_{m_{\alpha}} \alpha_{o} + q S \overline{c} C_{m_{o}}) / I_{y} \\ (-T_{t_{o}} \ell_{y}) / I_{z} \end{bmatrix}$$

12. Total Perturbation Equations (Rotation)

b.)
$$\begin{bmatrix} \ddot{\mu} \\ \ddot{\mu} \\ \ddot{\mu} \\ \ddot{\theta} \\ \dot{\theta} \\ \ddot{\theta} \\ \ddot{\theta} \\ \dot{\theta} \\ \ddot{\theta} \\ \dot{\theta} \\ \ddot{\theta} \\ \dot{\theta} \\ \ddot{\theta} \\ \dot{\theta} \\ \dot{\theta} \\ \dot{\theta} \\ \ddot{\theta} \\ \dot{\theta} \\ \dot{$$

B-15

13. Derivation of Angle of Attack Equation

From the following figure



We have

$\alpha_{\mathbf{w}} = \alpha'_{\mathbf{w}} + \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{\dot{z}}{V}\right)$ $\cong \alpha'_{\mathbf{w}} + \frac{\dot{z}}{V}$

Since

 $\lambda \cong X_{\theta}$ $V_{T} \cong V$ $|\dot{z}| << V$

Then

$$\alpha'_{w} = \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{V_{w} \cos \lambda}{V_{T} + V_{w} \sin \lambda} \right)$$
$$\approx \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{V_{w} \cos \chi_{\theta}}{V + V_{w} \sin \chi_{\theta}} \right)$$

therefore

$$\alpha_{\rm w} = \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{V_{\rm w} \cos X_{\theta}}{V + V_{\rm w} \sin X_{\theta}} \right) + \frac{z}{V}$$

θ+

α =

and

14. Total Equations in Time-Varying Coefficient Form for Analog Simulation

Translation

 $\ddot{\mathbf{x}} = -\mathbf{k}_{\dot{\phi}}\dot{\theta} - \mathbf{k}_{3}\alpha + \mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{xc}}\delta_{\mathbf{c}} + \mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{xe}}\delta_{\mathbf{e}}$ $\ddot{\mathbf{y}} = \mathbf{k}_{\dot{\phi}}\dot{\phi} + \mathbf{k}_{\dot{\psi}}\dot{\psi} + \mathbf{k}_{1}\delta_{\psi} + \mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{y}\beta}\beta + \mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{y}a}\delta_{\mathbf{a}} + \mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{y}r}\delta_{\mathbf{r}} + \mathbf{k}_{2}\psi + \mathbf{k}_{3}\phi$ $\ddot{\mathbf{z}} = \mathbf{k}_{\dot{\theta}}\dot{\theta} - \mathbf{k}_{1}\delta_{\theta} + \mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{z}\alpha}\alpha + \mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{z}e}\delta_{\mathbf{e}} + \mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{z}c}\delta_{\mathbf{c}}$

B-18
Rotations

$$\ddot{\phi} = k_{\phi\psi}\ddot{\psi} + k_{\ell\theta}\delta_{\theta} + k_{\ell\psi}\delta_{\psi} + k_{\ell\phi}\delta_{\phi} + k_{\ell\phi}\dot{\phi}$$
$$+ k_{\ell\psi}\dot{\psi} + k_{\ell\beta}\beta + k_{\ell a}\delta_{a} + k_{\ell r}\delta_{r}$$
$$\ddot{\theta} = k_{m\alpha}\alpha + k_{m\theta}\delta_{\theta} + k_{m\theta}\dot{\theta} + k_{me}\delta_{e} + k_{mc}\delta_{c}$$
$$\ddot{\psi} = k_{n\psi}\delta_{\psi} + k_{n\phi}\dot{\phi} + k_{n\psi}\dot{\psi} + k_{n\beta}\beta + k_{na}\delta_{a}$$
$$+ k_{nr}\delta_{r}$$

Sideslip and Angle of Attack

$$\alpha = \theta + \alpha_{w} + u_{io} \dot{z}$$
$$\beta = -\psi + \beta_{w} + u_{io} \dot{y}$$

14. Trim Equations

$$k_{za}\alpha_{o} - k_{1}\delta_{\theta_{o}} + k_{3} + k_{n_{o}} - U_{o}\dot{X}_{\theta} = 0$$
$$k_{m\alpha}\alpha_{o} + k_{m_{\theta}}\delta_{\theta_{o}} + k_{m_{o}} - \frac{T \cdot \Delta Z_{cg}}{I_{v}} = 0$$

These equations were solved simultaneously on an 1108 digital program used to process the time-varying coefficients. Results were outputted in plot form from the SC 4020 Plotter, giving α_0 and δ_{θ_0} as functions of flight time. These curves were simulated on diode function generators on the analog.

15. Control Laws

$$\delta_{\theta} = -H_{\theta}(s) \left[a_{0\theta} \theta + a_{1\theta} \dot{\theta} \right]$$

$$\delta_{\psi} = -H_{\psi}(s) \left[a_{0\psi} \psi + a_{1\psi} \dot{\psi} - b_{0\psi} \beta \right]$$

$$\delta_{\phi} = -H_{\phi}(s) \left[a_{0\phi} \phi + a_{1\phi} \dot{\phi} \right]$$

$$\delta_{c} = -k_{c} H_{c}(s) \left[a_{0\theta} \theta + a_{1} \dot{\theta} \right]$$

$$\delta_{e} = -k_{c} H_{c}(s) \left[a_{0\theta} \theta + a_{1} \dot{\theta} \right]$$

$$\delta_{r} = -k_{r} H_{a}(s) \left[a_{0\psi} \psi + a_{1\psi} \dot{\psi} - b_{0\psi} \beta \right]$$

$$\delta_{a} = -k_{a} H_{a}(s) \left[a_{0\phi} \phi + a_{1\phi} \dot{\phi} \right]$$

where

$$H_{\theta}(s) = \frac{15}{s+15}$$

$$H_{\psi}(s) = \frac{15}{s+15}$$

$$H_{\phi}(s) = \frac{15}{s+15}$$

$$H_{c}(s) = \frac{3}{s+3}$$

$$H_{e}(s) = \frac{3}{s+3}$$

$$H_{r}(s) = \frac{3}{s+3}$$

$$H_{a}(s) = \frac{3}{s+3}$$

B-20

•

. .

Appendix C

DERIVATION OF INTERFACE LOADING EQUATIONS FOR THE COMPOSITE SHUTTLE LAUNCH PHASE

1. Assumptions

Identical to Appendix B.



Body 1 = orbiter Body 2 = booster CG₄ = total CG of Body 1 + Body 2

2. Total Forces

$$\vec{F}_t = m_t \vec{a} = m_1 \vec{a} + m_2 \vec{a}$$

Total forces on Body 1 are

a.
$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{m}_{1} \stackrel{\frown}{\mathbf{U}} \\ \mathbf{m}_{1} \stackrel{\frown}{\mathbf{V}} \\ \mathbf{m}_{1} \stackrel{\frown}{\mathbf{V}} \\ \mathbf{m}_{1} \stackrel{\frown}{\mathbf{W}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} (\hat{\mathbf{F}}_{\mathbf{x}1}) + (\hat{\mathbf{F}}_{\mathbf{x}1}) + (\hat{\mathbf{F}}_{\mathbf{x}1}) + (\hat{\mathbf{F}}_{\mathbf{x}1}) \\ (\hat{\mathbf{F}}_{\mathbf{y}1}) + (\hat{\mathbf{F}}_{\mathbf{y}1}) + (\hat{\mathbf{F}}_{\mathbf{y}1}) \\ \mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} (\hat{\mathbf{F}}_{\mathbf{y}1}) + (\hat{\mathbf{F}}_{\mathbf{y}1}) + (\hat{\mathbf{F}}_{\mathbf{y}1}) \\ (\hat{\mathbf{F}}_{\mathbf{y}1}) + (\hat{\mathbf{F}}_{\mathbf{y}1}) \\ \mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} (\hat{\mathbf{F}}_{\mathbf{y}1}) + (\hat{\mathbf{F}}_{\mathbf{y}1}) \\ (\hat{\mathbf{F}}_{\mathbf{y}1}) + (\hat{\mathbf{F}}_{\mathbf{y}1}) \\ \mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} (\hat{\mathbf{F}}_{\mathbf{y}1}) + (\hat{\mathbf{F}}_{\mathbf{y}1}) \\ (\hat{\mathbf{F}}_{\mathbf{y}1}) + (\hat{\mathbf{F}}_{\mathbf{y}1}) \\ (\hat{\mathbf{F}}_{\mathbf{y}1}) + (\hat{\mathbf{F}}_{\mathbf{y}1}) \\ \mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} (\hat{\mathbf{F}}_{\mathbf{y}1}) + (\hat{\mathbf{F}}_{\mathbf{y}1}) \\ (\hat{\mathbf{F}}_{\mathbf{y}1}) + (\hat{\mathbf{F}}_{\mathbf{y}1}) \\ (\hat{\mathbf{F}}_{\mathbf{y}1}) \\ \mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} (\hat{\mathbf{F}}_{\mathbf{y}1}) + (\hat{\mathbf{F}}_{\mathbf{y}1}) \\ (\hat{\mathbf{F}}_{\mathbf{y}1}) \\ (\hat{\mathbf{F}}_{\mathbf{y}1}) \\ \mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} (\hat{\mathbf{F}}_{\mathbf{y}1}) + (\hat{\mathbf{F}}_{\mathbf{y}1}) \\ (\hat{\mathbf{F}}_{\mathbf{y}1}) \\ (\hat{\mathbf{F}}_{\mathbf{y}1}) \\ \mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} (\hat{\mathbf{F}}_{\mathbf{y}1}) + (\hat{\mathbf{F}}_{\mathbf{y}1}) \\ (\hat{\mathbf{F}}_{\mathbf{y}1}) \\ (\hat{\mathbf{F}}_{\mathbf{y}1}) \\ (\hat{\mathbf{F}}_{\mathbf{y}1}) \\ \mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} (\hat{\mathbf{F}}_{\mathbf{y}1}) + (\hat{\mathbf{F}}_{\mathbf{y}1}) \\ (\hat{\mathbf{F}}_{\mathbf{y}1$$

C-1

| | • | | |
|-------|--|------------|--|
| where | 2 | | |
| | (F _{x1}) AERO | = , / | total aerodynamic forces acting on Body 1 in x-direction |
| • | (\hat{F}_{y1}) AERO | = | total aerodynamic forces acting on Body 1 in y-direction |
| | $(\hat{F}_{z1})_{AERO}$ | = | total aerodynamic forces acting on Body 1 in z-direction |
| · . | (F _{x1}) CG | _ = | total forces due to offset of CG_1 from CG_t acting on Body 1 in x-direction |
| , | (F _{yl}) _{CG} | , <u>-</u> | total forces due to offset of CG_1 from CG_t acting on Body 1 in y-direction |
| | (F _{z1}) _{CG} | - | total forces due to offset of CG_1 from CG_t acting on Body 1 in z-direction |
| | (\hat{F}_{x1}) INTER | = | total interface force from Body 2 acting on Body 1 in x-direction |
| | (\hat{F}_{y1}) INTER | = | total interface force from Body 2 acting on Body 1 in y-direction |
| • | $(\hat{\mathbf{F}}_{z1})$ INTER | Ξ | total interface force from Body 2 acting on Body 1 in z-direction |
| | $(\hat{\mathbf{F}}_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{l}})_{\mathbf{g}}$ | = | total force due to gravity acting on Body 1 in x-direction |
| | (F _{y1}) _g | = | total force due to gravity acting on Body 1 in y-direction |
| | $(\hat{\mathbf{F}}_{z1})_{g}$ | = | total force due to gravity acting on Body 1 in z-direction |

Solve for the interface forces from Eq. (2-a).

b.
$$\begin{bmatrix} (\hat{F}_{x1}) \\ (\hat{F}_{y1}) \\ (\hat{F}_{y1}) \\ (\hat{F}_{z1}) \\ (\hat{F}_{z1}) \\ INTER \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} m_1 \left[\dot{\hat{U}} + \hat{\hat{Q}} \hat{W} - \hat{R} \hat{V} \right] - (\hat{F}_{x1}) \\ m_1 \left[\dot{\hat{V}} + \hat{R} \hat{U} - \hat{P} \hat{W} \right] - (\hat{F}_{y1}) \\ m_1 \left[\dot{\hat{V}} + \hat{R} \hat{U} - \hat{P} \hat{W} \right] - (\hat{F}_{y1}) \\ m_1 \left[\dot{\hat{W}} + \hat{P} \hat{V} - \hat{Q} \hat{U} \right] - (\hat{F}_{z1}) \\ AERO - (\hat{F}_{z1}) \\ AERO - (\hat{F}_{z1}) \\ CG - (\hat{F}_{z1}) \\ GG - (\hat{$$

where aerodynamic forces are

c.
$$\begin{bmatrix} \hat{F}_{x1} \\ \hat{F}_{y1} \\ \hat{F}_{z1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -q S C_{A_1} \\ -q S C_{Y_{\beta_1}} \beta \\ -q S C_{L_{\alpha_1}} - q S C_{N_{\alpha_1}} \hat{\alpha} \end{bmatrix}$$

and <u>CG offset forces</u> are

| d. [| | | Ŷ | | ΔX | | Ê AY - Q AZ |]. |
|------|----------------------|-------------------|---|---|----|---|---|----------------|
| | ∱ F _{v1} | = -m ₁ | â | x | ΔY | = | $\dot{\hat{P}} \Delta Z - \dot{\hat{R}} \Delta X$ | ^m 1 |
| | F | | Ŕ | | ΔZ | | ϕΔX - ϷΔΥ | |

and gravity forces are

e.
$$\begin{bmatrix} F_{x1} \\ F_{y1} \\ F_{z1} \end{bmatrix}_{g} = \begin{bmatrix} -\cos X_{\theta} - \hat{\alpha} \sin X_{\theta} \\ \hat{\psi}(\cos X_{\theta} - \hat{\alpha} \sin X_{\theta}) + \hat{\phi}(\sin X_{\theta} - \hat{\alpha} \cos X_{\theta}) \\ \sin X_{\theta} - \hat{\alpha} \cos X_{\theta} \end{bmatrix} m_{1}g$$

As before in Appendix A, separate into nominal and perturbation equations with the following assumptions:

$$\ddot{\psi}_{o}, \dot{\psi}_{o} = 0$$
 $\dot{Y}_{o} = 0$
 $\dot{\phi}_{o}, \dot{\phi}_{o} = 0$ $\Delta Y = 0$

C-3

Thus,

f.
$$\begin{bmatrix} (F_{x1})_{\text{INTER}} \\ (F_{y1})_{\text{INTER}} \\ (F_{z1})_{\text{INTER}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} m_1 \begin{bmatrix} \ddot{x}_0 + \dot{\theta}_0 \dot{z}_0 \end{bmatrix} - (F_{x1})_{AERO} + \ddot{\theta}_0 \Delta Z m_1 - (F_{x1})_g \\ - (F_{y1})_{AERO} - (F_{y1})_g \\ m_1 \begin{bmatrix} \ddot{z}_0 - \dot{\theta}_0 \dot{x}_0 \end{bmatrix} - (F_{z1})_{AERO} - \ddot{\theta}_0 \Delta X m_1 - (F_{z1})_g \end{bmatrix}$$

where

and

g.
$$\begin{bmatrix} (F_{x1}) \\ A \in RO \\ (F_{y1}) \\ A \in RO \\ (F_{z1}) \\ A \in RO \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -q S C_{A_1} \\ 0 \\ -q S C_{L_0} \\ 0_1 \\ -q S C_{N_\alpha} \alpha_0 \end{bmatrix}$$

h.
$$\begin{bmatrix} (\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{x}1}) \\ g \\ (\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{y}1}) \\ g \\ (\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{z}1}) \\ g \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -\cos X_{\theta} - \alpha_{0} \sin X_{\theta} \\ 0 \\ \sin X_{\theta} - \alpha_{0} \cos X_{\theta} \end{bmatrix} m_{1} g$$

Therefore, total nominal interface forces can be written as

i.
$$\begin{bmatrix} (F_{x1}) \\ INTER \\ (F_{y1}) \\ INTER \\ (F_{z1}) \\ INTER \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} m_1 \ddot{X}_0 + m_1 \dot{\theta}_0 \dot{Z}_0 + qSC_{A_1} + \ddot{\theta}_0 \Delta Z m_1 \\ + m_1 g \cos X_0 + m_1 g \alpha_0 \sin X_0 \\ 0 \\ m_1 \ddot{Z}_0 - m_1 \dot{\theta}_0 \dot{X}_0 + qSC_{L_{o_1}} + qSC_{N_{\alpha}} \alpha_0 - \ddot{\theta}_0 \Delta X m_1 \end{bmatrix} - m_1 g \sin X_0 + m_1 g \alpha_0 \cos X_0$$

3. Perturbation Interface Loads

a.
$$\begin{bmatrix} (f_{x1}) \\ INTER \\ (f_{y1}) \\ INTER \\ (f_{y1}) \\ INTER \\ (f_{z1}) \\ (f_{z1}) \\ INTER \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} m_1 \ddot{x} + m_1 \dot{z}_0 \dot{\theta} + m_1 \dot{\theta}_0 \dot{z} - (f_{x1}) + \ddot{\theta} \Delta Z m_1 \\ - (f_{x1}) \\ g \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} m_1 \ddot{y} + m_1 \dot{x}_0 \dot{\psi} - m_1 \dot{z}_0 \dot{\phi} - (f_{y1}) - m_1 \Delta Z \ddot{\phi} \\ + m_1 \Delta X \ddot{\psi} - (f_{y1}) \\ g \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} m_1 \ddot{z} - m_1 \dot{x}_0 \dot{\theta} - m_1 \dot{\theta}_0 \dot{x} - (f_{z1}) - m_1 \Delta Z \ddot{\phi} \\ - (f_{z1}) \\ g \end{bmatrix}$$

where

b. $\begin{bmatrix} f_{x1} \\ f_{y1} \\ f_{z1} \end{bmatrix}_{A \in RO} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -q S C_{y_{\beta}} \beta \\ -q S C_{N_{\alpha}} \alpha \end{bmatrix}$

C-5

c.
$$\begin{bmatrix} f_{x1} \\ f_{y1} \\ f_{z1} \end{bmatrix}_{g} = \begin{bmatrix} -\alpha \sin X_{\theta} \\ \psi \cos X_{\theta} + \phi \sin X_{\theta} \\ -\alpha \cos X_{\theta} \end{bmatrix} m_{1} g$$

Therefore, the total interface loads can be written as

d.
$$\begin{bmatrix} (f_{x1}) \\ INTER \\ (f_{y1}) \\ INTER \\ (f_{z1}) \\ INTER \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} m_1 \ddot{x} + m_1 Z_0 \dot{\theta} + m_1 \dot{\theta}_0 \dot{z} + \Delta Z m_1 \ddot{\theta} + m_1 g \cos X_{\theta} \alpha \\ m_1 \ddot{y} + m_1 \dot{X}_0 \dot{\psi} - m_1 \dot{Z}_0 \dot{\theta} + q S C_{y_{\beta}} \beta - m_1 \Delta Z \dot{\theta} \\ + m_1 \Delta X \ddot{\psi} - m_1 g \cos X_{\theta} \psi - m_1 g \sin X_{\theta} \phi \\ m_1 \ddot{z} - m_1 \dot{X}_0 \dot{\theta} - m_1 \dot{\theta}_0 \dot{x} + q S C_{N_{\alpha}} \alpha - m_1 \Delta X \ddot{\theta} \\ + m_1 g \cos X_{\theta} \alpha \end{bmatrix}$$

4. Interface Moments

Since the composite shuttle is considered rigid, therefore

| а. | ζφ φ | | $-\frac{\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{z}_{1}}}{\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{x}_{1}}} \overset{\mathbf{i}}{\psi}$ | $+\frac{\hat{L}_1}{I_{x_1}}$ | |
|----|----------------|---|---|------------------------------|--|
| | Х ө | = | $\frac{\hat{M}_{1}}{\frac{I}{L}y_{1}}$ | Ĩ | |
| i | Ŵ | | $\frac{\hat{N}_1}{I_z}$ | | |

Total torques acting on body 1 are equal to torques due to aerodynamics of body 1 and torques from the interface points; therefore

b.
$$\begin{bmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{L}}_{1} \\ \hat{\mathbf{M}}_{1} \\ \hat{\mathbf{N}}_{1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} (\hat{\mathbf{L}}_{1}) + (\hat{\mathbf{L}}_{1}) \\ (\hat{\mathbf{M}}_{1}) + (\hat{\mathbf{M}}_{1}) \\ \mathbf{A} \text{ERO} & \text{INTER} \\ (\hat{\mathbf{N}}_{1}) + (\hat{\mathbf{N}}_{1}) \\ \mathbf{A} \text{ERO} & \text{INTER} \end{bmatrix}$$

Thus, substituting Eq. (4-b) into Eq. (4-a), and solving for interface moments, we have

c.
$$\begin{bmatrix} (\hat{L}_{1}) \\ INTER \\ (\hat{M}_{1}) \\ INTER \\ (\hat{N}_{1}) \\ INTER \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} I_{x1} \dot{\phi} - I_{xz1} \dot{\psi} - (\hat{L}_{1}) \\ I_{y1} \dot{\theta} - (\hat{M}_{1}) \\ AERO \\ I_{z1} \dot{\psi} - (\hat{N}_{1}) \\ AERO \end{bmatrix}$$

Again, separate into nominal and perturbation components

d.
$$\begin{bmatrix} (\hat{L}_{1})_{\text{INTER}} \\ (\hat{M}_{1})_{\text{INTER}} \\ (\hat{N}_{1})_{\text{INTER}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} (L_{1})_{\text{INTER}} + (\ell_{1})_{\text{INTER}} \\ (M_{1})_{\text{INTER}} + (\hat{m}_{1})_{\text{INTER}} \\ (M_{1})_{\text{INTER}} + (\hat{m}_{1})_{\text{INTER}} \end{bmatrix}$$

e.
$$\begin{bmatrix} (\hat{L}_{1})_{\text{AERO}} \\ (\hat{M}_{1})_{\text{AERO}} \\ (\hat{M}_{1})_{\text{AERO}} \\ (\hat{N}_{1})_{\text{AERO}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} (L_{1})_{\text{AERO}} + (\hat{\ell}_{1})_{\text{AERO}} \\ (M_{1})_{\text{AERO}} + (\hat{m}_{1})_{\text{AERO}} \\ (M_{1})_{\text{AERO}} + (\hat{m}_{1})_{\text{AERO}} \\ (N_{1})_{\text{AERO}} + (\hat{m}_{1})_{\text{AERO}} \end{bmatrix}$$

NOMINA L

Therefore, from (4-c)

f.
$$\begin{bmatrix} (L_1) \\ INTER \\ (M_1) \\ INTER \\ (N_1) \\ INTER \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} I_{x1} \ddot{\phi}_0 - I_{xz1} \ddot{\psi}_0 - (L_1) \\ AERO \\ I_{y1} \ddot{\theta}_0 - (M_1) \\ AERO \\ I_{z1} \ddot{\psi}_0 - (N_1) \\ AERO \end{bmatrix}$$

The nominal aero moments are

g.
$$\begin{bmatrix} L_1 \\ M_1 \\ N_1 \end{bmatrix}_{A \in RO} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ q S \overline{c} C_{m_{\alpha_1}} \alpha_0 + q S \overline{c} C_{m_{\alpha_1}} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Assume as before $\dot{\phi}_0, \dot{\psi}_0, \beta_0 = 0$ and $\theta_0 = \alpha_0 - X_{\theta}$. Therefore,

h.
$$\begin{bmatrix} (L_1) \\ INTER \\ (M_1) \\ INTER \\ (N_1) \\ INTER \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ I_{y1}(\ddot{\alpha}_0 - \ddot{X}_{\theta}) - qS\overline{c}C_{m\alpha_1}\alpha_0 - qS\overline{c}C_{m\alpha_1} \end{bmatrix}$$

5. Perturbation Moments

a.
$$\begin{bmatrix} (\hat{\ell}_{1}) \\ \text{INTER} \\ (\hat{m}_{1}) \\ \text{INTER} \\ (\hat{n}_{1}) \\ \text{INTER} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} I_{x1} \ddot{\psi} - I_{x21} \ddot{\psi} - (\hat{\ell}_{1}) \\ I_{y1} \ddot{\theta} - (\hat{m}_{1}) \\ A = I \\ I_{z1} \ddot{\psi} - (\hat{m}_{1}) \\ A = I \\ A =$$

PERTURBATION

The perturbation aerodynamic moments for body 1 are

b.
$$\begin{bmatrix} \hat{l}_1 \\ \hat{m}_1 \\ \hat{n}_1 \end{bmatrix}_{A \in RO} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ q S \overline{c} C_{m_{\alpha_1}} \alpha \\ q S b C_{n_{\beta}} \beta \end{bmatrix}$$

Therefore, total perturbation moments are

c.
$$\begin{bmatrix} \hat{\ell}_1 \\ \hat{m}_1 \\ \hat{n}_1 \end{bmatrix}_{\text{INTER}} = \begin{bmatrix} I_{x1} \ddot{\psi} - I_{x21} \ddot{\psi} \\ I_{y1} \ddot{\theta} - q S \overline{c} C_{m_{\alpha_1}} \alpha \\ I_{z1} \ddot{\psi} - q S b C_{n_{\beta}} \beta \end{bmatrix}$$

PERTURBATION





C-10

Eliminating terms and solving for the forces R_{1x}, \ldots, R_{3z} for $\ell_2 = \ell_3$. yields the total forces at each attachment point.

c.
$$R_{lx} = F_{xl}$$

$$R_{1z} = -\frac{\hat{M}_{1}}{\ell_{1} + \ell_{4}} + \frac{\ell_{4}\hat{F}_{z1}}{\ell_{1} + \ell_{4}} + \frac{\ell_{CG}R_{1x}}{\ell_{1} + \ell_{4}}$$
$$R_{1y} = \frac{\ell_{4}\hat{F}_{y1}}{\ell_{1} + \ell_{4}} + \frac{\hat{N}_{1}}{\ell_{1} + \ell_{4}}$$

$$R_{4y} = \hat{F}_{y1} - R_{1y}$$

$$R_{2z} = -\frac{\hat{L}_1}{2\ell_2} - \frac{\ell_{CG}\hat{F}_{y1}}{2\ell_2} + \frac{\hat{F}_{z1}}{2} - \frac{R_{1z}}{2}$$

$$R_{3z} = F_{z1} - R_{1z} - R_{2z}$$

Since \hat{F}_{y1} , \hat{L}_1 and \hat{N}_1 are nominally zero, the equations may be reduced further. Separating into components and removing \hat{F}_{y1} , \hat{L}_1 and \hat{N}_1 yields

d.
$$R_{1x} = f_{x1} + F_{x1}$$

$$R_{1z} = -\frac{(\hat{m}_{1} + M_{1})}{\ell_{1} + \ell_{4}} + \frac{\ell_{4} (f_{z1} + F_{z1})}{\ell_{1} + \ell_{4}} + \frac{\ell_{CG}(R_{1x})}{\ell_{1} + R_{4}}$$
$$R_{1y} = \frac{\ell_{4} f_{y1}}{\ell_{1} + \ell_{4}} + \frac{\hat{n}_{1}}{\ell_{1} + \ell_{4}}$$

$$R_{4y} = f_{y1} - R_{1y}$$

C-11

$$R_{2z} = -\frac{\ell_1}{2\ell_2} - \frac{\ell_{CG}f_{y1}}{2\ell_2} + \frac{(f_{z1} + F_{z1})}{2} - \frac{R_{1z}}{2}$$

$$R_{3z} = f_{z1} + F_{z1} - R_{1z} - R_{2z}$$

7. Analog Simulation of Interface Loading Equations

a. Perturbation Forces

$$f_{x1} = a_1 x + a_2 \dot{\theta} + a_3 \dot{z} + a_4 \ddot{\theta} - b_7 \alpha$$
 (N)

$$f_{y1} = a_1 \dot{y} + b_2 \dot{\psi} - a_2 \dot{\phi} - a_4 \dot{\phi} + b_5 \dot{\psi} - a_5 \psi + b_7 \phi$$
 (N)

$$f_{z1} = a_1 \ddot{z} - b_2 \dot{\theta} - a_3 \ddot{x} + c_4 \alpha - b_5 \ddot{\theta}$$
 (N)

where

$$a_{1} = m_{1} = 377415 \text{ kg}$$

$$a_{2} = m_{1}\dot{Z}_{0}/57.3 = (\text{curve on page E-30}) \frac{\text{kg-m}}{\text{deg-sec}}$$

$$a_{3} = m_{1}\dot{\theta}_{0}/57.3 = (\text{curve on page E-30}) \text{ kg/sec}$$

$$a_{4} = \Delta Zm_{1}/57.3 = 47800 \frac{\text{kg-m}}{\text{deg}}$$

$$a_{5} = m_{1} \text{ g cos } \chi_{\theta}/57.3 = 49700 \text{ N/deg}$$

$$b_{2} = m_{1}\dot{X}_{0}/57.3 = (\text{curve on page E-31}) \frac{\text{kg-m}}{\text{deg-sec}}$$

$$b_{5} = m_{1}\Delta X/57.3 = 42200 \frac{\text{kg-m}}{\text{deg}}$$

$$b_{7} = -m_{1} \text{g sin } \chi_{\theta}/57.3 = -41400 \text{ N/deg}$$

$$c_{4} = \overline{q} SC_{N_{\alpha_{1}}} + m_{1} \text{g cos } \chi_{\theta}/57.3 = (\text{curve on page E-31}) \text{ N/deg}$$

C-12

b. Perturbation Moments

$$\hat{\ell}_{1} = d_{1} \dot{\phi} + d_{2} \ddot{\psi} \qquad (N-m)$$

$$\hat{m}_{1} = e_{1} \ddot{\theta} + e_{2} \alpha \qquad (N-m)$$

$$\hat{m}_{1} = f_{1} \ddot{\psi} \qquad (N-m)$$

where

$$d_{1} = I_{x1}/57.3 = 66.3 * 10^{3} \frac{\text{kg-m}^{2}}{\text{deg}}$$

$$d_{2} = -I_{xz_{1}}/57.3 = -37.2 * 10^{3} \frac{\text{kg-m}^{2}}{\text{deg}}$$

$$e_{1} = I_{y1}/57.3 = 1.04 * 10^{5} \frac{\text{kg-m}^{2}}{\text{deg}}$$

$$e_{2} = -\overline{q} Sc C_{m_{\alpha_{1}}} = (\text{curve on page E-32}) \frac{\text{kg-m}}{\text{deg-sec}^{2}}$$

$$f_{1} = I_{z1}/57.3 = 1.061 * 10^{6} \frac{\text{kg-m}^{2}}{\text{deg}}$$

c. Total Forces

$$R_{1x} = f_{x1} + F_{x1}$$
(N)

$$R_{1z} = g_1(f_{z1} + F_{z1}) + g_2R_{1x} + g_3(m_1 + M_1) \quad (N)$$
$$R_{1y} = g_4(m_1) + g_5(f_{y1}) \qquad (N)$$

$$R_{4y} = f_{y1} - R_{1y}$$
(N)

$$R_{2z} = g_6 \hat{\ell}_1 + g_7 f_{y1} + g_8 (f_{z1} + F_{z1}) + g_9 R_{1z} (N)$$

$$R_{3z} = f_{z1} + F_{z1} - R_{1z} - R_{2z}$$
 (N)

C-13

where

$$g_{1} = \ell_{4}/(\ell_{1} + \ell_{4}) = 1.0$$

$$g_{2} = \ell_{cg}/(\ell_{1} + \ell_{4}) = 0.152$$

$$g_{3} = -1.0/(\ell_{1} + \ell_{4}) = -0.056 \text{ m}^{-1}$$

$$g_{4} = 1.0/(\ell_{1} + \ell_{4}) = +0.056 \text{ m}^{-1}$$

$$g_{5} = \ell_{4}/(T_{1} + \ell_{4}) = 1.0$$

$$g_{6} = -1.0/2\ell_{2} = -0.167 \text{ m}^{-1}$$

$$g_{7} = -\ell_{cg}/2\ell_{2} = -0.45$$

$$g_{8} = 1/2 = 0.5$$

$$g_{9} = -1/2 = -0.5$$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} l_1 &= 0 & m \\ l_2 &= 3 & m \\ l_3 &= 3 & m \\ l_4 &= 17.8 & m \\ l_{cg} &= 2.7 & m \\ \end{pmatrix}$$
From for for for for f f

From figure on page C-10 for MDAC-20 shuttle configuration

Appendix D

ANALOG WIRING DIAGRAMS

Appendix D

This appendix constitutes the wiring diagrams of all equations, logic, wind disturbances and time varying coefficient generation associated with MDAC-20 Shuttle Configuration. Each page is identified explicitly.



D-2



cent Analog Wiring Diagram for Shuttle Perturbation Rotation Equations

LMSC-HREC D225541-II





Fig. D-4 - Analog Wiring Diagram for Shuttle Ascent Perturbation Surface Deflection Equations



D-6

LMSC-HREC D225541-II

Wiring Diagram for Shuttle Ascen ce Loading Equations Analog Interfa Fig. D-5



Analog Wiring Diagram for Time Varying Coefficients and Digital/Analog Interface Logic Fig. D-6

D-7



D-8

Appendix E

SC 4020 DIGITAL PLOTS OF THE MASS, AERODYNAMIC AND TRAJECTORY DATA FOR MDAC-20 SHUTTLE CONFIGURATION AND THE TIME VARYING COEFFICIENTS GENERATED BY THE SHUTTLE DATA REDUCTION PROGRAM

Appendix E

The time varying coefficients for the 6D EOM of Appendix B for MDAC-20 Shuttle Configuration were computed with an IBM 7094 Digital Computer Program for the raw mass, aerodynamic and trajectory data supplied by MSFC. This program is shown in Fig. E-1. Pages E-3 through E-14 show this raw data in SC 4020 plot form. Pages E-15 and E-16 are included for data which are zero or constant. The time varying coefficients are shown on pages E-17 through E-24 in SC 4020 plot form. Some approximations were necessary as dictated by equipment shortages. These approximations as simulated on the analog are straight line segments between the points circled for diode function generation and 2nd order polynomials as indicated. Page E-34 is included to show coefficients which were zero or constant.



Fig. E-1 - Flow Chart of IBM 7094 Digital Data Program to Calculate and Plot Shuttle EOM Coefficients






















TIME +++ BECONDS



$$I_{x} = .350 * 10^{8} \text{ kg-m}^{2}$$

$$I_{y} = .607 * 10^{9} \text{ kg-m}^{2}$$

$$I_{z} = .596 * 10^{9} \text{ kg-m}^{2}$$

$$I_{xz} = .139 * 10^{8} \text{ kg-m}^{2}$$

$$x_{cg} = 43.0 \text{ m}$$

$$y_{cg} = 0.0 \text{ m}$$

$$z_{cg} = -1.7 \text{ m}$$

$$C_{a_{\delta_{c}}} = 0 \quad 1/\text{deg}$$

$$C_{a_{\delta_{e}}} = 0 \quad 1/\text{deg}$$

$$C_{y_{\delta_{a}}} = 0 \quad 1/\text{deg}$$

$$C_{y_{\delta_{a}}} = 0 \quad 1/\text{deg}$$

$$C_{y_{\delta_{r}}} = 0 \quad 1/\text{deg}$$

$$C_{\ell_{p}} = -.158 * 10^{-3} \quad 1/\text{deg}$$

$$C_{\ell_{r}} = 0 \quad 1/\text{deg}$$

$$C_{r} = 0 \quad 1/\text{deg}$$

| C _n p | = | 0 | 1/deg |
|-----------------------------|---|------------------------|--------------------|
| C _n r | = | 28 * 10 ⁻³ | ³ 1/deg |
| c _n _β | = | 0 | 1/deg |
| C _{nδa} | = | 0 | 1/deg |
| м ['] 1 | = | .279 * 10 ⁶ | N-m/deg |
| ^Μ α2 | = | .19 * 10 ⁶ | N-m/deg |
| м' _{β1} | = | .116 * 10 ⁸ | N-m/deg |
| м' _{β2} | = | .332 * 10 ⁸ | N-m/deg |











E-20













6D SHUTTLE BOOSTER ASCENT

422500 L

















$$k_{\phi\psi} = \frac{I_{xz}}{I_{x}} = 0.397142$$

$$k_{\ell\theta} = \frac{-T(y_{cg} - \ell_{y})}{I_{x}} = 0 \frac{1}{\sec^{2}}$$

$$k_{\ell \psi} = \frac{\overline{q} b^{2} (C_{\ell} - C_{\ell}) S}{2 U_{0} I_{x}} = 0 \frac{1}{\text{sec-deg}}$$

$$k_{\rm mc} = \frac{\overline{qc}C_{\rm m}S_{\rm c}}{I_{\rm y}} = 0 \frac{1}{\sec^2 - \deg}$$

$$k_{na} = \frac{q b C_{N_{\delta}} S_{a}}{I_{z}} = 0 \frac{1}{sec^{2} - deg}$$

$$k_{n\phi} = \frac{\overline{q}b^2 C_n S}{2U_0 I_z} = 0 \frac{1}{sec-deg}$$

Appendix F

SC 4020 DIGITAL PLOTS OF RUNGE KUTTA INTEGRATED SHUTTLE ASCENT TRAJECTORY SHOWING ALL STATE VARIABLES AND INTERFACE LOADING FOR 0° HEADWIND α_{wA} AND 90° SIDEWIND β_{wA} (MDAC CONFIG. 20) FOR CONSTANT GAIN CONTROLLER

Appendix F

A block diagram of the IBM 1108 Digital Program used to generate these curves follows in Fig. F-1. The nomenclature on pages F-3 through F-7 are included to identify the various plots. Response to 0° headwind α_{wA} for MDAC-20 Configuration is shown in pages F-8 through F-22. Response to 90° sidewind β_{wA} is shown on pages F-23 through F-39.

The constant gain controller consisted of $a_{0\theta} = 1.5$ and $a_{1\theta} = 0.5$ sec.



Interface Loading Equations (MDAC - Config. 20)

٠.,

| Fortran Term | .' | Definition |
|--------------|-------------------|--|
| ALFAW | α | pitch plane component of wind disturbance, all cases included in digital plots are $\alpha_{\mathbf{W}\mathbf{A}}$. |
| ALFA | α | perturbation angle of attack |
| ALFAT | α _T | total angle of attack |
| BETAW | β _ω | yaw plane component of wind disturbance |
| BETA | β | sideslip angle |
| BETAT | β _T | total sideslip angle |
| DELP | δ | thrust vector roll gimbal angle |
| DELT | δ _θ | perturbation thrust vector pitch gimbal angle |
| DELTT | δθT | total thrust vector pitch gimbal angle |
| DELTTT | δθττ | total thrust vector gimbal angle of pitch and roll |
| DELS | δ _ψ | thrust vector yaw gimbal angle |
| DELA | δ́a | aileron surface deflection angle |
| DELR | δ _r | rudder surface deflection angle |
| DELE | δ _e | elevon surface deflection angle |
| DELC | ···δ _c | canard surface deflection angle |
| JPP | J'' | stability integral component of J function |
| JP | J' . | payload penalty component of J function |
| J | J | total penalty function |
| ETA10 | η | component of J function representing longitudi- nal drift rate |

$$\eta_{10} = \frac{\partial P}{\partial \dot{x}} * \dot{x}$$

| Fortran Term | | Definition |
|--------------|-------------------|---|
| ETAIL | [¶] 11 , | component of J function representing lateral drift rate |
| | | $\eta_{11} = \frac{\partial P}{\partial \dot{y}} * \dot{y}$ |
| ETA12 | η ₁₂ | component of J function representing lateral drift rate ∂P . |
| ETA13 | η13 | $\eta_{12} = \overline{\partial \dot{z}} + z$ component of J function representing longitudi- |
| | 15 | nal drift $\eta_{12} = \frac{\partial P}{\partial r} * x$ |
| ETA14 | ^η 14 | component of J function representing lateral drift |
| | • | $\eta_{14} = \frac{\partial P}{\partial y} * y$ |
| ETA15 | η ₁₅ | component of J function representing lateral drift |
| | | $\eta_{15} = \frac{\partial P}{\partial z} * z$ |
| ETA8 | ^η 8 | component of J function representing interface loading |
| | | $\eta_8 = \frac{\partial P}{\partial R} * \Delta R; \Delta R > 0$ |
| | | $\eta_8 = 0 ; \qquad \Delta R \le 5 * 10^5 N$ |
| ETA9 | η ₉ | component of J function representing gimbal deflection |
| | | $\eta_{9} = \frac{\partial P}{\partial \delta_{\theta}_{TT}} * \Delta \delta_{\theta \phi} ; \Delta \delta_{\theta \phi} > 7$ |
| | | $\eta_9 = 0$; $\Delta \delta_{\theta \phi} \leq 7$ |
| FXPl | fxp1 | interface perturbation force in x direction on body 1 (orbiter |

| Fortran Term | | Definition |
|--------------|-----------------------------------|---|
| FYPl | ^f y _{p1} | interface perturbation force in y direction on body 1 (orbiter) due to wind disturbance |
| FZPI | f _z p1 | interface perturbation force in z direction on body 1 (orbiter) due to wind disturbance |
| XLP1 | rep 1 | interface perturbation moment vector in x direction on body 1 due to wind disturbance |
| XMPI | $\hat{\mathbf{m}}_{\mathbf{p}_1}$ | interface perturbation moment vector in y direction on body l due to wind disturbance |
| XNPl | $\hat{\mathbf{n}}_{\mathbf{p}_1}$ | interface perturbation moment vector in z direction on body l due to wind disturbance |
| RIX | R _{lx} | total force in x direction on attachment point l |
| RlY | R _{ly} | total force in y direction on attachment point l |
| RIZ | | total force in z direction on attachment point l |
| R4Y | R _{4y} | total force in y direction on attachment point 4 |
| R2Z | R _{2z} | total force in z direction on attachment point 2 |
| R3Z | R _{3z} | total force in z direction on attachment point 3 |
| R | R | total force affecting structure |
| · · · · | ÷ . | $R = \sqrt{(R_{2z} + R_{3z})^2 + R_{4y}^2}$ |
| DR | ΔR | arbitrary limit of R for optimizer to maintain $\Delta R = R - 5 * 10^5$ |
| DDTP | $\Delta ^{\delta} _{θ \phi}$ | arbitrary limit of $\delta_{\theta TT}$ for optimizer to maintain $\Delta \delta_{\alpha \ell} = \delta_{\alpha TT} - 7^{\circ}$ |
| | | θφ θΙΙ |

| Fortran Term | | Definition |
|--------------|------------------|---|
| BMX1 | M _{B1} | total bending moment at station l used in early studies |
| BMX2 | M _{B2} | total bending moment at station 2 used in early studies |
| AOT | ^a oθ | pitch attitude position feedback controller gain |
| AlT | a_{10} | pitch attitude rate feedback controller gain |
| КС | k _c | canard blending ratio feedback controller gain |
| KE | k e | elevon blending ratio feedback controller gain |
| AOS | aοψ | yaw attitude position feedback controller gain |
| Å1S | ^a lψ | yaw attitude rate feedback controller gain |
| BOS | b οψ | sideslip position feedback controller gain |
| KR | k _r | rudder blending ratio feedback controller gain |
| AOP | a oq | roll attitude position feedback controller gain |
| AlP | $a_{1\phi}$ | roll attitude error feedback controller gain |
| KA | k a | aileron blending ratio feedback controller gain |
| RIXP | R _{lxp} | perturbation force in x direction on attachment point l |
| RIZP | Rlzp | perturbation force in z direction on attachment point l |
| RIYP | Rlyp | perturbation force in y direction on attachment point 1 |
| R4YP | R _{4yp} | perturbation force in y direction on attachment point 4 |

| Fortran Term | | Definition |
|--------------|------------------------------|---|
| R2ZP | R _{2zp} | perturbation force in z direction on attachment point 2 |
| R3ZP | R _{3zp} | perturbation force in z direction on attachment point 3 |
| RRP | RR | total perturbation force affecting structure $RR_p = \sqrt{(R_{2zp} + R_{3zp})^2 + (R_{4yp})^2}$ |
| R1XO | R _{1x} o | nominal force in x direction at attachment point 1 |
| RIZO | R _{1z} o | nominal force in z direction at attachment point l |
| RIYO | ^R 1y _o | nominal force in y direction at attachment point l |
| R4YO | R _{4yo} | nominal force in y direction at attachment point 4 |
| RRO | RRo | total nominal force affecting structure $RR_{o} = \sqrt{(R_{2z_{o}} + R_{3z_{o}})^{2} + (R_{4y_{o}})^{2}}$ |





F-9

.












 $F_{\overline{1}}14$





















0 E G

ε

с

Р

* * *

DEG/3ECヰ *



F-24



















1

5













Appendix G

SC 4020 PLOTS OF RUNGE KUTTA INTEGRATED SHUTTLE ASCENT TRAJECTORY SHOWING ALL STATE VARIABLES AND INTERFACE LOADING FOR 0° HEADWIND α_{WA} AND 90° SIDEWIND β_{WA} (MDAC-CONFIGURATION 20) FOR OPTIMAL CONTROLLER

Appendix G

Response to headwind α_{WA} for optimal pitch controller is shown on pages G-2 through G-17. Response to sidewind β_{WA} for optimal yaw/roll controller is shown on pages G-18 through G-36.


























TIME **** SEC





G-14







































G-33

G 1





G-35

