


*Full as TD-140000*



*122433*

(NASA-CR-~~██████████~~) EVALUATION PROGRAM FOR  
SECONDARY SPACECRAFT CELLS: EVALUATION OF  
STORAGE METHODS, OPEN CIRCUIT VERSUS  
CONTINUOUS. R.E. Thomas (Naval Ammunition  
Depot) 3 Feb. 1972 44 p

N72-24048

Unclas  
28388

CSSL 10C G3/03

## EVALUATION PROGRAM

for

## SECONDARY SPACECRAFT CELLS

EVALUATION OF STORAGE METHODS,  
OPEN CIRCUIT VERSUS CONTINUOUS TRICKLE CHARGE,  
SONOTONE 3.5 AMPERE-HOUR SEALED NICKEL-CADMIUM  
SECONDARY SPACECRAFT CELLS

prepared for  
GODDARD SPACE FLIGHT CENTER  
CONTRACT W12,397

QUALITY EVALUATION LABORATORY  
NAD CRANE, INDIANA

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY  
NAVAL AMMUNITION DEPOI  
QUALITY EVALUATION AND ENGINEERING LABORATORY DEPARTMENT  
CRANE, INDIANA 47522

EVALUATION PROGRAM  
FOR  
SECONDARY SPACECRAFT CELLS

EVALUATION OF STORAGE METHODS,  
OPEN CIRCUIT VERSUS CONTINUOUS TRICKLE CHARGE,  
SONOTONE 3.5 AMPERE-HOUR SEALED NICKEL-CADMIUM  
SECONDARY SPACECRAFT CELLS

QEEL/C 72-31

3 FEBRUARY 1972

PREPARED BY:

*R. E. Thomas*  
R. E. THOMAS

PREPARED UNDER THE DIRECTION OF:

*D. E. Mains*  
D. E. MAINS  
Manager, Space Satellite Cell  
Programs Branch

APPROVED BY:

*D. G. Miley*  
D. G. MILEY  
By direction

Enclosure (1)

REPORT BRIEF  
EVALUATION OF STORAGE METHODS  
OPEN CIRCUIT VERSUS CONTINUOUS TRICKLE CHARGE,  
SONOTONE 3.5 AMPERE-HOUR SEALED NICKEL-CADMIUM  
SECONDARY SPACECRAFT CELLS

- Ref: (a) National Aeronautics and Space Administration Purchase Order Number WL2,397  
(b) NASA ltr BRA/VBK/pad of 25 September 1961 w/BUWEPS first end FQ-1:WSK of 20 October 1961 to CO NAD Crane  
(c) Preliminary Work Statement for Battery Evaluation Program of 25 August 1961

I. TEST ASSIGNMENT BRIEF

A. In compliance with references (a) and (b) evaluation of methods for storage of nickel-cadmium cells at room ambient conditions was begun on 27 February 1966 according to the program outline of reference (c). The nickel-cadmium cells used for this test were 3.5 ampere-hour "D" cells manufactured by the Sonotone Corporation..

B. The overall object of these evaluation programs is to gather specific information concerning secondary spacecraft cells. Information concerning performance characteristics and limitations including cycle life under various electrical and environmental conditions will be of interest to power systems designers and users. Cell weaknesses, including causes of failure of designs, will be of interest to suppliers as a guide to product improvement.

C. The specific purpose of this 5-year test is to compare, after each successive 1-year storage period, the discharge and charge characteristics of charged cells on open circuit versus that of cells on continuous trickle charge.

D. Of the original 25 cells subjected to the acceptance tests, 20 were selected for this storage test. Following recharge, after completion of the acceptance tests, 10 cells were placed on open circuit stand and 10 were placed on continuous trickle charge at the c/100 rate.

E. Following completion of each year of storage, the cells were subjected to the standard acceptance test sequence. However, no cells were rejected or removed from the storage test on the basis of this testing sequence. These tests, after each year of storage, serve as a means of reporting the condition of the cells as the test

continues and aids in the selection of a cell of lower capacity of each storage method for analysis at the end of each yearly storage period.

1. Data of the acceptance test sequence following completion of the fifth and final 1-year storage period is contained in this report accompanied by summaries and data accumulated from the start of the storage testing.

## II. CONCLUSIONS

A. The results of the 5 years of this storage test show the following:

1. The initial capacities of charged cells following the five 1-year open circuit periods are unreliable. Over a 5-year period, they varied from zero to 62 percent of the average acceptance capacity as determined before the start of the storage testing.

2. The initial capacities of charged cells following the five 1-year trickle charge storage periods are reliable. They averaged 78, 75, 84, 77 and 75 percent respectively of the average acceptance capacity as determined before the start of the storage testing.

3. Conversely, the second and third discharge capacities of cells following successive yearly open circuit storage periods are reliable, and averaged considerably higher than the capacities of cells following the first 2 years (only slightly higher the third and fourth years) of trickle charge storage. The capacities of the open circuit storage tests following recharge were slightly greater than those of the trickle charge tests for first 4 years. However, this difference has declined each year with greater decline in fifth and final year.

4. The open circuit recovery voltages for cells on the fifth 1-year open circuit storage period were 1.20 volts for each of the remaining five cells, after one failure. However, the recovery voltage of cells on the fifth 1-year trickle charge period averaged 1.30 volts.

5. Postmortem analysis showed the following on one or more of the cells removed from the open circuit storage test:

a. The separator material was very dry and less pliable than the cells on the trickle charge storage test.

b. Yellowish discolorations were noted in spots on the separator material, and the separator adherence to the cadmium plate was severe.

c. The separator was moist in spots; migration was more extensive in these areas. This indicated that only the wet portions of the cells were being worked--resulting in lower capacity. This further indicated that briefly cycling the cells on open circuit storage would restore capacity by redistributing the electrolyte. Some rejuvenation is apparent from the table of Paragraph III in the Report Brief.

6. Postmortem analysis of the cells removed from the trickle charge test showed the following:

a. The separator material appeared less dry with more even electrolyte distribution than those on yearly open circuit.

b. Yellowing of the separator appeared more along the edges of the separator than as blotches throughout.

c. Some weak welds were noted at both positive and negative tabs.

B. The ceramic seals of these cells revealed six leakers for the open circuit storage cells and six leakers for the trickle charge storage cells. These 12 leakers out of the 12 cells on test were an increase over the fourth 1-year storage period. The completion of the third 1-year storage was the first indication of leakers.

### III. RECOMMENDATIONS

A. The trend of the data over the past 5 years substantiates the following two generalizations:

1. If the user's purpose will allow a rejuvenation cycle or two after a long storage period, the open circuit regime will likely give slightly greater capacity. This capacity tends to be more stable and diminishes less rapidly as seen in the accompanying table.

2. If the user's purpose demands immediately available power following a long storage period, the trickle charge method of storage is definitely the regime to use. This is dramatically illustrated in the accompanying table.

YEARS	NO. OF CELLS	OPEN CIRCUIT CAPACITY CHECKS			NO. OF CELLS	TRICKLE-CHARGE CAPACITY CHECKS		
		1	2	3		1	2	3
0	10	3.90	3.80	3.61	10	3.92	3.81	3.59
1	10	1.62	3.54	3.33	10	3.06	2.45	2.45
2	9	1.20	3.12	2.99	9	2.92	2.59	2.85
3	8	0.27	3.04	2.66	8	3.30	2.85	2.64
4	7	0.10	3.24	3.08	7	3.03	3.07	2.84
5	5*	<u>0.00</u>	3.09	2.22	6	<u>2.90</u>	3.02	2.85

← versus →

AVERAGE CAPACITY FOR 10 RECONDITIONING CYCLES (AFTER 5 YEARS)

4 cells*	1	3.09	6	2.77	5 cells	1	3.54	6	2.96
	2	2.93	7	2.69		2	3.27	7	2.91
	3	2.86	8	2.57		3	3.11	8	2.61
	4	2.81	9	2.49		4	2.99	9	2.73
	5	2.83	10	2.39		5	2.99	10	2.21

\*indicates one failure on open circuit storage. Only 4 cells were used for the 10 reconditioning cycles

EVALUATION OF STORAGE METHODS,  
OPEN CIRCUIT VERSUS CONTINUOUS TRICKLE CHARGE,  
SONOTONE 3.5 AMPERE-HOUR SEALED NICKEL-CADMIUM  
SECONDARY SPACECRAFT CELLS

I. INTRODUCTION

A. On 27 August 1971, tests were begun on 12 cells following the fifth and final 1-year storage period. The testing sequence was completed on 14 September 1971; two cells were removed for postmortem analysis; and the remaining 10 cells were placed on manual cycling for ten cycles. Results are shown in Para H.

II. TEST CONDITIONS

A. All tests were performed at an ambient temperature between 23° C and 27° C at existing relative humidity and atmospheric pressure, and consisted of the following:

1. Phenolphthalein Leak Test.
2. Capacity Test.
3. Cell Short Test.
4. Immersion Seal Test.
5. Overcharge Test.
6. Internal Resistance Test.
7. Immersion Seal Test.
8. Visual Postmortem.

B. All charging and discharging were done at constant current ( $\pm 5$  percent). Cells were charged in series but discharged individually.

III. CELL IDENTIFICATION AND DESCRIPTION

A. The cells were identified by the manufacturer's serial numbers which were from A-2491 to A-2557 although not consecutively.

B. The 3.5 ampere-hour "D" cell is cylindrical with an average diameter of 1.306 inches and an average overall length of 2.387 inches including the positive terminal. The average weight was 158.8 grams. Figure 1 is a photograph of a Sonotone Corporation 3.5 ampere-hour "D" cell.

C. The cell container or can, and the cell cover are made of stainless steel. A stainless steel tab, welded to the cover, serves as a contact for the negative terminal. The positive terminal is a solder type extension of the positive plate tab, through the center of the cover. The positive terminal is insulated from the "negative" cover by a ceramic seal. Two crimp rings, about 1/32 inch deep, located about 9/16 inch from each end of the cell, were crimped after assembly to hold the element snugly in the can to withstand vibration.

D. These 12 cells, rated by the manufacturer at 3.5 ampere-hours, have completed five 1-year storage periods at 25° C. Six of the cells have been on open circuit stand during the last year in the charged state. The other six cells have been on continuous trickle charge during the last year at the c/100 rate (35 milliamperes).

#### IV TEST PROCEDURE AND RESULTS

##### A. Phenolphthalein Leak Test:

1. The phenolphthalein leak test is a determination of the condition of the welds and ceramic seals prior to any electrical tests. This test was performed with a phenolphthalein spray indicator solution of one-half of one percent concentration.

2. Following the fifth 1-year storage, the group of cells on yearly open circuit gave evidence of six leakers; the group on yearly trickle charge gave evidence of six leakers. All leaks occurred around the positive post. This was the third indication of leaks.

3. Table I gives a summary of the noted leakers.

##### B. Capacity Test:

1. Upon receipt, the cells were subjected to the acceptance tests which included three capacity checks. The capacity test is a determination of the cell capacity at the c/2 discharge rate, where c is the manufacturer's rated capacity, to a cutoff voltage of 1.00 volt per cell.

a. The discharge of each of the three original capacity checks followed a 1-hour open circuit period after a 16-hour charge at the c/10 rate.

b. For the series of three capacity checks following each 1-year period of the respective storage method, the first consisted of an immediate discharge to 1.00 volt per cell at the c/2 rate. The second and third capacity discharge checks followed a 1-hour open circuit period after a 16-hour charge at the c/10 rate.



## 2. Open Circuit Storage Test:

a. The capacities of the first capacity check of the 10 cells picked for the 1-year open circuit storage periods averaged 3.90 ampere-hours. This was used as 100 percent capacity for the start of the test. All following capacities or averages thereof are plotted on the graphs as percentages of the initial average capacity. Following recharges, the second and third capacity checks averaged 3.80 and 3.61 ampere-hours for 97.5 and 92.5 percent respectively of the first capacity test.

b. Following the fifth 1-year stand (1971), the five cells remaining, after four yearly postmortem removals and one failure, were discharged to 1.00 volt per cell at the  $c/2$  rate. This first of three capacity checks resulted in 0.00 ah capacities. The capacities of the second and third capacity checks, following recharges, averaged 3.09 and 2.22 ampere-hours respectively for approximately 79.2 and 57.0 percent of the initial capacity. In 1967 these respective averages were 41.5, 90.8, and 84.6 percent of the initial capacity. In 1968 the percentages were 30.7, 80.0, and 76.8. In 1969 the percentages were 1.0, 77.9, and 68.2 percent of initial capacity. In 1970 the percentages were 0.03, 83.0, and 78.9 percent of initial capacity.

c. The preceding information shows that the initial capacities of charged cells left on 1-year open circuit stands at 25° C are unreliable. However, the capacities of these cells, following recharges after the first 1-year open circuit stand are all high--averaging 84.0 percent of the initial capacity on the third capacity check. The second and third 1-year stands (with one low capacity exception each year) also displayed good capacities--averaging 76.0 and 78.0 percent of initial capacity, each respective year, on the third capacity check. The fourth 1-year stand displayed good capacity--averaging 78.9 percent of the initial capacity, on the third capacity check. The fifth and final 1-year stand displayed considerably less capacity--averaging 57.6 percent of the initial capacity on the third capacity check.

d. The capacity test data of cells on successive 1-year open circuit storage periods is given in Table II and shown graphically in Figure 2.

## 3. Trickle Charge Storage Test:

a. The capacities of the first capacity check of the 10 cells picked for the 1-year trickle charge storage periods averaged

3.92 ampere-hours. This was used as 100 percent capacity for the start of the test. All following capacities or averages thereof are plotted on the graphs as percentages of the initial average capacity. Following recharges, the second and third capacity check averaged 3.81 and 3.59 ampere-hours for 97.2 and 91.6 percent respectively of the first capacity test.

b. Following the fifth 1-year trickle charge storage period (1971) and following a 1-hour open circuit period, the six cells remaining, after four yearly postmortem removals, were discharged to 1.00 volt per cell at the  $c/2$  rate. The first of the three capacity checks ranged from 2.63 to 3.21 ampere-hours for an average of 2.90 ampere-hours or 74.6 percent of the initial capacity. The capacities of the second and third capacity checks, following recharges averaged 3.02 and 2.85 ampere-hours respectively for 77.0 and 72.7 percent of the initial capacity. In 1967 these respective averages were 78.0, 62.5 and 62.5 percent of the initial capacity. In 1968 the percentages were 74.5, 66.5 and 72.7 percent of the initial capacity. In 1969 the percentages were 84.2, 72.7 and 67.3 percent of the initial capacity. In 1970 the percentages were 77.3, 78.2 and 72.5 percent of the initial capacity.

c. The preceding information shows that the initial capacities of charged cells left on yearly trickle charge storage periods at the  $c/100$  are still highly reliable after 5 years of such storage. Further the trickle charge method of storage still tends to give less capacity than the open circuit method following recharges; but the difference in capacity between these two storage methods is less each year. Compare the average and percentage data for the two storage methods in Table II. Notice, the percent of initial capacity for the second and third capacity checks continually shows less difference between the storage methods as time proceeds.

d. The capacity test data of charged cells on successive 1-year trickle charge storage periods is given in Table II and shown graphically in Figure 3.

### C. Cell Short Test:

1. The cell short test is a means of detecting slight shorting conditions which may exist in a cell because of imperfections in the insulating materials, or damage to element in handling or assembly; or which may develop in cells due to deterioration of the insulation materials during service life.

2. Following completion of the third capacity discharge test, (prior to the start of each yearly storage period), each individual cell was loaded with a resistor of value 0.5 ohms, 10

watt, giving approximately  $c/2$  discharge rate. Each cell was allowed to stand 16 hours with the resistor acting as a shorting device. At the end of 16 hours, the resistors were removed and the cells allowed to stand on open circuit for 24 hours. Under the regular acceptance test procedure used prior to start of the first 1-year storage period, any cell whose voltage did not recover to a minimum of 1.15 was rejected. However due to the nature of this series of successive 1-year storage periods, cells with recovery voltages less than 1.15 after each yearly storage period were not rejected or removed from tests.

3. The recovery voltages for the cells, prior to the start of the storage test, ranged from 1.21 to 1.24 volts for an average of 1.22 volts per cell.

4. The recovery voltages for the cells after the first 1-year period under either storage method averaged 1.21 volts per cell.

5. Following the second 1-year open circuit storage period, the open circuit voltage of each of two cells failed to recover significantly above zero volt. However, the recovery voltage of cells following the second 1-year trickle charge storage period average 1.21 volts.

6. Following the third 1-year open circuit storage period, the open circuit voltage of each of two cells was zero. However, the recovery voltage of cells following the third 1-year trickle charge storage period again averaged 1.21 volts.

7. Following the fourth-year open circuit storage period, the open circuit voltage of each of four cells was zero. However, the recovery voltage of cells following the fourth 1-year trickle charge period averaged 1.20 volts.

8. Following the fifth and final open circuit storage period after one failure, the open circuit voltage averaged 1.20 volts for five cells. The recovery voltage of cells following the fifth 1-year trickle charge period averaged 1.03 volts.

9. The recovery voltages values following the cell short test are given in Table III.

#### D. Immersion Seal Test:

1. The immersion seal test is a means of detecting leakage of a seal or weld. The test was performed before and after the over-charge test during the acceptance test sequence prior to start of each 1-year storage period to determine the presence and cause of leaks.

2. The cells were placed under water in a bell jar container. A vacuum of 20 inches of mercury was held for 3 minutes. Tests are to be discontinued on cells discharging a steady stream of bubbles.

3. There were no detectable leaks by this method after the fifth 1-year storage period. However phenolphthalein tests gave leak indications as explained in paragraph IV.A.2. and summarized in Table I.

#### E. Overcharge Test:

1. The overcharge tests were performed to determine the steady state voltage at specified rates. The test specified a series of three successive constant current charges at the  $c/20$ ,  $c/10$  and  $c/5$  rates in order. The charge at each rate was for a minimum of 48 hours or until the increase of the on-charge voltage was less than 10 millivolts per day. Upon completion of 48 hours of charge at each of the lower rates, the charge rate was increased to the next higher specified rate. These tests were performed prior to start of the storage test, and after each successive yearly storage period under each storage method.

2. The cells were monitored hourly throughout the overcharge test. Under regular acceptance testing, the test procedure requires that charging be discontinued on cells which exceed 1.50 volts, the maximum specified on-charge voltage. However, for this test, charging of a few cells was discontinued when their on-charge voltages exceeded the revised voltage limit of 1.55 but none were rejected or removed from the test.

a. During the overcharge test prior to the first 1-year storage period, charging was discontinued on two of the 20 cells when the voltage exceeded 1.55 volts after 10 hours at the  $c/5$  rate.

b. During the overcharge test after the first 1-year storage periods:

(1) Only one of the open circuit stored cells exceeded 1.55 volts at the  $c/10$  rate. It was removed from the charging circuit after 7 hours of charge, and was not subjected to overcharging at the  $c/5$  rate.

(2) The highest on-charge cell voltage reached by trickle charge stored cells was 1.42 while charging at the  $c/5$  rate.

c. During the overcharge test after the second 1-year storage periods:

(1) Only two of the open circuit stored cells exceeded 1.55 volts for a few hours. The cells were allowed to continue the overcharge sequence and did not exceed 1.55 volts at either the c/10 or c/5 rates.

(2) The highest on-charge cell voltage reached by trickle charge stored cells was 1.50 while charging at the c/5 rate.

d. During the overcharge test after the third 1-year storage periods:

(1) Only one of the open circuit stored cells exceeded 1.55 volts when the rate was increased from c/20 to c/10. This occurred only during the first hour following the increase in charge rate; the voltage then dropped to 1.55 volts and gradually continued to decline to 1.41 volts just prior to the beginning of the c/5 overcharge rate.

(2) The highest on-charge cell voltage reached by trickle charge stored cells was 1.43 while charging at both the c/10 and the c/5 rate.

e. During the overcharge test after the fourth 1-year storage period:

(1) Only one of the open circuit stored cells exceeded 1.55 volts at the c/10 rate. It was removed from the charging circuit after 41 hours of charges and was not subjected to overcharging at the c/5 rate.

(2) The highest on-charge cell voltage reached by trickle charged stored cells was 1.38 while charging at the c/5 rate.

f. During the overcharge test after the fifth 1-year storage period:

(1) Two of the open circuit stored cells exceeded 1.55 volts at the c/20 rate. Cell, serial number 2492, was removed after 25 hours of charge and cell, serial number A2494, was removed after 35 hours of charge. The remaining three cells were removed after 1 minute of charge at the c/5 rate.

(2) Two of the trickle charged cells were removed from charge after 1 minute at the c/10 rate.

3. The average on-charge voltages during the overcharge periods of the cells stored under each of the storage methods are shown graphically in Figures 4 and 4A. These graphs indicate that:

a. Under either method of storage, the average of the on-charge cell voltages are higher during the overcharge before start of the storage test than during the overcharge periods following any of the five 1-year storage periods.

b. Under the open circuit storage method, the average of the on-charge voltages during the overcharge periods following each 1-year storage period was less than that of the previous year for the first 2 years. However this trend was broken in the third year. The average on-charge voltage during this overcharge period lies between initial (acceptance) values and those of the second 1-year period.

c. Under the trickle charge storage method, at the end of the first 1-year storage period, the overcharge voltages averaged considerably less than those of the initial acceptance testing. After the second 1-year storage period, the on-charge voltages had increased until they were only slightly less than those of the initial acceptance overcharge tests. After the third 1-year storage period, the on-charge cell voltages average slightly more than the first 1-year period and slightly less than the second 1-year period. After the fourth 1-year storage period, the on-charge cell voltage average less than the three previous 1-year periods. After the fifth 1-year storage period the on-charge cell voltage average less than the four previous 1-year periods.

#### F. Internal Resistance Test:

1. This test was performed to determine the internal resistance of the cells.

2. At the completion of the overcharge test; the cells were returned to the  $c/20$  charging rate and given a short pulse (5-10 seconds) at the  $c/1$  charge rate. The cell voltages,  $V_1$ , immediately prior to the pulse; and  $V_2$ , 5 milliseconds after the initiation of the pulse were read on a CEC high-speed oscillograph recorder (16.0 inches of tape per second). The internal resistance of the cell in ohms was calculated according to the following formula:

$$R = \frac{V_2 - V_1}{I_c - I_c/20}$$

$V_1$  and  $V_2$  are in volts (read to the nearest 0.01 volt);  $I_c$  and  $I_c/20$  are in amperes (read to the nearest 0.001 ampere).

3. The internal resistance value for each cell is shown in Table IV. Due to the number of significant figures in the voltage measurements, the error in the resistance values is very large (on the order of 10 milliohms). Therefore, it is difficult to obtain any meaningful results for comparative purposes from the resistance data as computed. For these reasons, a Hewlett-Packard 4328A milliohmmeter was employed to measure the internal resistance directly in an effort to furnish more reliable data for present and future comparisons.

G. Visual Postmortem:

1. Following completion of tests after the fifth 1-year storage period, cell A2503 from the open circuit portion and cell A2546 from the c/100 trickle charge portion of the 1-year test were opened.

a. The cell subjected to the open circuit test had the following visual characteristics:

(1) The separator material was very dry and less pliable than the cells on the trickle charge storage test.

(2) Yellowish discolorations were noted in spots on the separator material, and the separator adherence to the cadmium plates was severe.

(3) The separator was moist in spots; migration was more extensive in these areas. This indicated that only the wet portions of the cells were being worked--resulting in lower capacity. This further indicated that briefly cycling the cells on open circuit storage would restore capacity by redistributing the electrolyte. Some rejuvenation is apparent from the table of section III in Report Brief.

b. The cell subjected to the c/100 trickle charge test had the following visual characteristics:

(1) The separator material appeared less dry with more even electrolyte distribution than those on yearly open circuit.

(2) Yellowing of the separator appeared more along the edges of the separator than as blotches throughout.

(3) Some weak welds were noted at both positive and negative tabs.

2. Following completion of tests after the fourth 1-year storage period, cell A2508 from the open circuit portion and cell A2544 from the c/100 trickle charge portion of the 1-year test were opened.

a. The cell subjected to the open circuit test had the following visual characteristics:

(1) The separator material was very dry, with brown discoloration spots near center of roll. The reason for these variations was the inactivity of these cells resulting in improper electrolyte distribution.

(2) Migration was more extensive in the wet portions of the separator material and under the scoring areas.

(3) Both positive and negative plates displayed less than normal flexibility with no visible loss of active material.

b. The cell subjected to the c/100 trickle charge test had the following visual characteristics:

(1) The moisture content was uniform throughout the separator material; a result of continual redistribution of electrolyte.

(2) Migration was more extensive and more general than that found in cell A2508. The migration was heaviest in the scored areas.

(3) Both positive and negative plates displayed normal flexibility with pin holes of missing active material within a diagonal crease at the inner position of the positive plate.

3. Following completion of tests after the third 1-year storage period cell A2495 from the open circuit portion and A2547 from the c/100 trickle charge portion of the 1-year test were opened.

a. The cell subjected to the open circuit test had the following visual characteristics:

(1) The separator material was very dry in spots and somewhat leathery with very light yellowish discolorations in the wet areas. The reason for these variations was the inactivity of these cells resulting in improper electrolyte distribution.



(2) Migration was more extensive in the wet portions of the separator material and under the scoring area.

(3) Both positive and negative plates displayed normal flexibility with no visible loss of active material.

b. The cell subjected to the c/100 trickle charge test had the following visual characteristics:

(1) The moisture content was uniform throughout the separator material; a result of continual redistribution of electrolyte.

(2) Migration was more extensive and more general than that found in cell A2495. The migration was heaviest in the scored areas.

(3) Both positive and negative plates displayed normal flexibility with no visible loss of active material.

4. Following completion of tests after the second 1-year storage period, cell A-2526 from the open circuit portion and cell A-2559 from the c/100 trickle charge portion of the 1-year portion of the 1-year test were opened.

a. The cell subjected to the open circuit test had the following visual characteristics:

(1) The separator material was considerably less pliable than normal with considerable migrated active material on the side adjacent to the positive plate. The heaviest migration was under the scoring area.

(2) The positive plate had normal flexibility with no visible loss of active material.

b. The cell subjected to the c/100 trickle charge test had the following visual characteristics:

(1) The separator material was very pliable with very little migration on any portion of the separator.

(2) The positive plate was less flexible than normal and had little visible loss of active material.

5. For purposes of comparison, the following information on the postmortem of cells A-2536 and A-2564 following completion of tests after the first 1-year storage period is given.

a. Cell A-2536, subjected to the open circuit test had the following visual characteristics:

(1) The separator material was considerably less pliable than normal with considerable migration against the positive plate. The heaviest migration was under the scoring area.

(2) The positive plate was less flexible than normal and had little visible loss of active material.

(3) The negative plate had normal flexibility but had a discoloration on approximately 1/3 of the plate length starting at the center of the core.

b. Cell A-2564, subjected to the c/100 trickle charge test had the following visual characteristics:

(1) The separator material was very pliable with very little migration on any portion of the separator.

(2) The positive plate had normal flexibility with no visible loss of active material.

(3) The negative plate had normal flexibility with no visible discoloration.

#### H. Cycling Performed After 5-Year Test:

1. Upon completion of the 5-year test for OCV stand and trickle charge, the remaining cells were cycled for 10 cycles and short test with the following results open circuit voltage test:

a. Cell serial number A2491 failed.

b. Cell serial numbers A2492 and A2494 were removed on all 10 cycles due to high voltage.

c. Average capacity for each of 10 cycles using four cells was as follows:

(1) 3.09 ah

(2) 2.93 ah

(3) 2.86 ah

(4) 2.81 ah

- (5) 2.83 ah
- (6) 2.77 ah
- (7) 2.69 ah
- (8) 2.57 ah
- (9) 2.49 ah
- (10) 2.39 ah

d. Average recovery voltage after short test:

- (1) 1.21 volts

2. Trickle Charge Storage Test:

a. Average capacity for each of 10 cycles, using remaining five cells was as follows:

- (1) 3.54 ah
- (2) 3.27 ah
- (3) 3.11 ah
- (4) 2.99 ah
- (5) 2.99 ah
- (6) 2.96 ah
- (7) 2.91 ah
- (8) 2.61 ah
- (9) 2.73 ah
- (10) 2.21 ah

b. Average recovery voltage after short test:

(1) Cell serial number A2548 recovered to 1.15 volts then internal short. Voltage at end of 24 hour OCV was 0.537 volts.

c. The average was figured with four remaining cells.

- (1) 1.2. volts

TABLE I  
TESTS: INITIAL AND AFTER OVERCHARGE  
PHENOLPHTHALEIN

Group on Yearly Open Circuit Stand

Cell Number	Initial				After Overcharge				Immersion *
	Terminals		Seals		Terminals		Seals		
	+	-	Top	Other	+	-	Top	Other	
A-2491	L				L				
A-2492*	L				L				
A-2493	L				L				
A-2494*	L				L				
A-2498*	L				L				
A-2503*	L				L				

Group on Yearly Trickle Charge

A-2540*	L				L				
A-2542*	L				L				
A-2545*	L				L				
A-2546*	L				L				
A-2548*	L				L				
A-2557	L				L				

L-Definite Leak

\*Indicates excess deposits positive terminal

\*\*Immersion tests gave no indication of leaks. The phenolphthalein tests are much more sensitive.

TABLE II  
CAPACITY TESTS

Cell Number	Before Storage Periods Ampere-Hours			After First 1-Year Storage Period Ampere-Hours			After Second 1-Year Storage Period Ampere-Hours		
	1st	2nd	3rd	Without Charge	After Recharge	After Recharge	Without Charge	After Recharge	After Recharge
	1st	2nd	3rd	1st	2nd	3rd	1st	2nd	3rd
A-2491 ●	4.34	4.29	4.11	2.01	3.90	3.55	1.54	3.50	3.50
A-2492 □	4.06	4.15	4.03	0.02	2.73	3.68	0.00	3.70	3.64
A-2493 ▲	3.64	3.50	3.33	2.13	3.46	3.29	1.84	3.24	3.22
A-2494 ▼	4.11	4.06	3.94	2.33	3.71	3.58	1.79	3.26	3.32
A-2495 ○	2.98	2.80	2.58	0.02	2.33	2.22	0.04	1.83	0.74
A-2498 X	3.69	3.37	3.17	1.72	3.71	3.26	2.31	3.76	3.36
A-2503 ◇	4.29	4.17	3.80	2.01	3.70	3.26	0.00	2.97	2.80
A-2508 ♥	3.89	3.97	3.80	2.37	3.42	3.23	1.70	3.10	3.01
A-2526 ⊕	4.15	4.06	3.97	2.28	4.03	3.85	1.61	2.71	3.36
A-2536 ⚡	3.82	3.64	3.36	1.31	3.38	3.06	--	--	--
AVERAGE	3.90	3.80	3.61	1.62	3.54	3.30	1.20	3.12	2.99
PERCENT	100.0	97.5	92.5	41.5	90.8	84.6	30.7	80.0	76.8
A-2540 ●	3.99	3.99	3.99	3.24	2.75	2.83	3.12	2.97	3.10
A-2542 □	4.17	4.15	3.89	2.65	2.21	2.45	3.18	2.93	3.03
A-2544 ▲	3.99	3.82	3.73	3.20	2.61	2.59	2.28	2.31	2.33
A-2545 ▼	3.78	3.50	3.25	2.97	2.21	2.18	3.21	2.86	2.76
A-2546 ○	4.41	4.20	3.80	2.83	2.43	2.51	2.98	2.93	3.03
A-2547 X	3.50	3.20	2.92	2.33	1.75	1.77	2.28	2.02	2.06
A-2548 ◇	3.81	3.75	3.47	3.38	2.71	2.47	2.76	1.68	2.84
A-2557 ♥	3.76	3.80	3.54	3.73	2.89	2.85	3.21	3.15	3.29
A-2559 ⊕	3.76	3.90	3.76	3.73	2.85	2.74	3.29	2.42	3.18
A-2564 ⚡	4.03	3.82	3.59	2.53	2.14	2.15	--	--	--
AVERAGE	3.92	3.81	3.59	3.06	2.45	2.45	2.92	2.59	2.85
PERCENT	100.0	97.2	91.6	78.0	62.5	62.5	74.5	66.5	72.7

1-YEAR OPEN CIRCUIT STANDS

1-YEAR OVERCHARGE PERIODS AT c/100

TABLE II (cont)  
CAPACITY TESTS

Cell Number	After Third 1-Year Storage Period Ampere-Hours			After Fourth 1-Year Storage Period Ampere-Hours		
	Without Charge 1st	After Recharge 2nd	After Recharge 3rd	Without Charge 1st	After Recharge 2nd	After Recharge 3rd
A-2491 ●	0.79	3.18	2.94	0.67	3.03	2.86
A-2492 □	0.05	3.45	3.27	0.00	3.76	3.53
A-2493 △	0.00	3.50	3.27	0.00	3.65	3.47
A-2494 ▽	0.00	3.15	2.54	0.00	2.89	2.83
A-2495 ○	0.00	2.05	1.72			
A-2498 ✕	1.35	3.20	2.97	0.00	3.88	3.59
A-2503 ◇	0.00	2.57	2.18	0.00	2.48	2.51
A-2508 ♥	0.00	3.20	2.36	0.00	2.98	2.80
A-2526 ⊕	--	--	--			
A-2536 ◀	--	--	--			
AVERAGE	0.27	3.04	2.66	0.096	3.24	3.08
PERCENT	1.0	77.9	68.2	0.03	83.0	78.9
1-YEAR OPEN CIRCUIT STANDS						
A-2540 ●	3.77	3.21	3.29	3.59	3.27	3.15
A-2542 □	3.55	3.02	2.80	3.41	3.18	2.96
A-2544 △	3.24	2.76	2.40	2.25	2.54	2.39
A-2545 ▽	3.72	3.02	2.68	3.35	3.09	3.03
A-2546 ○	3.29	2.66	2.50	2.60	2.80	2.13
A-2547 ✕	1.97	2.05	1.67			
A-2548 ◇	3.27	2.76	2.57	2.92	3.09	2.89
A-2557 ♥	3.54	3.33	3.20	3.09	3.54	3.33
A-2559 ⊕	--	--	--			
A-2564 ◀	--	--	--			
AVERAGE	3.30	2.85	2.64	3.03	3.07	2.84
PERCENT	84.2	72.7	67.3	77.3	78.2	72.5
1-YEAR OVERCHARGE PERIODS AT c/100						

TABLE II (cont)  
CAPACITY TESTS

Cell Number	After Fifth and Final Year Storage Period Ampere-Hours		
	Without Charge 1st	After Recharge 2nd	After Recharge 3rd
1-YEAR OPEN CIRCUIT STANDS			
● A-2491	.0	2.45	2.22
□ A-2492	.0	3.47	3.15
△ A-2493	.0	3.65	1.87
▽ A-2494	.0	2.13	1.98
X A-2498	.0	3.76	1.87
◇ A-2503	.0	0.00*	--
AVERAGE	.0	3.09	2.22
PERCENT	0	79.2	57.0
1-YEAR OVERCHARGE PERIODS AT c/100			
● A-2540	3.00	2.98	2.83
□ A-2542	2.89	2.95	2.71
▽ A-2545	3.21	3.06	2.83
○ A-2546	2.63	2.68	2.48
◇ A-2548	2.68	3.09	2.92
♡ A-2557	2.92	3.38	3.30
AVERAGE	2.90	3.02	2.85
PERCENT	74.6	77.0	72.7

\*Cell S/N 2503 is not included in fifth year averages due to complete failure

TABLE III  
CELL SHORT TEST  
RECOVERY VOLTAGES

Cell Number	Before Storage Test	After First 1-Year Storage Test	After Second 1-Year Storage Test	After Third 1-Year Storage Test
A-2491	1.21	1.20	1.20	1.20
A-2492	1.23	1.21	0.02	0.01
A-2493	1.23	1.21	1.21	1.21
A-2494	1.22	1.22	1.21	1.21
A-2495	1.22	1.20	1.19	1.21
A-2498	1.22	1.22	1.20	1.19
A-2503	1.22	1.21	1.22	1.21
A-2508	1.22	1.21	0.00	0.01
A-2526	1.22	1.20	*	--
A-2536	1.23	1.22	--	--
AVERAGE	1.22	1.21		.906**
A-2540	1.23	1.20	1.21	1.21
A-2542	1.22	1.19	1.21	1.19
A-2544	1.22	1.22	1.20	1.19
A-2545	1.22	1.22	1.23	1.21
A-2546	1.23	1.19	1.20	1.20
A-2547	1.22	1.23	1.24	1.23
A-2548	1.24	1.22	1.23	1.23
A-2557	1.22	1.21	1.21	1.21
A-2559	1.21	1.21	1.22	--
A-2564	1.23	1.23	--	--
AVERAGE	1.22	1.21	1.217	1.21

\* Missed in error

\*\*Average with low cells - 1.21 volts



TABLE III (contd)  
CELL SHORT TEST  
RECOVERY VOLTAGES

Cell Number	After Fourth 1-Year Storage Test	After Fifth and Final 1-Year Storage Test
A-2491	1.20	1.18
A-2492	0.01	1.19
A-2493	1.22	1.22
A-2494	0.00	1.22
A-2498	1.21	1.21
A-2503	0.21	***
A-2508	0.00	----
AVERAGE	0.55	1.20
A-2540	1.21	1.21
A-2542	1.19	1.20
A-2544	1.17	----
A-2545	1.21	1.20
A-2546	1.21	1.21
A-2548	1.22	0.18
A-2557	1.18	1.18
AVERAGE	1.20	1.03

\*\*\*Cell removed 5 cell average

TABLE IV  
INTERNAL RESISTANCE (Milliohms)

Cell Number	Before Storage Test	After First 1-Year Storage Test	After Second 1-Year Storage Test	After Third 1-Year Storage Test	
				Compu-tation	Milliohm-meter
A-2491	6.02	12.03	12.04	9.03	13.4
A-2492	9.02	12.03	12.04	9.03	16.0
A-2493	15.03	18.04	15.05	6.02	21.5
A-2494	6.02	15.03	12.04	6.02	15.0
A-2495	6.02	18.04	21.07	15.0	14.8
A-2498	6.02	18.04	6.02	9.03	27.6
A-2503	9.02	15.03	15.05	12.0	14.5
A-2508	9.02	12.03	9.03	9.03	13.6
A-2526	3.01	12.03	6.02	--	--
A-2536	3.01	12.03	--	--	--
AVERAGE	7.22	14.43	12.04	9.40	17.1
A-2540	6.02	15.03	6.02	9.03	15.0
A-2542	3.01	15.03	12.04	3.01	11.5
A-2544	6.02	15.03	15.05	6.02	14.5
A-2545	6.02	18.04	9.03	3.01	18.0
A-2546	3.01	15.03	12.04	3.01	36.0
A-2547	6.02	21.05	21.07	9.03	13.5
A-2548	6.02	15.03	9.03	6.02	16.0
A-2557	6.02	15.03	15.05	6.02	19.5
A-2559	3.01	18.04	9.03	--	--
A-2564	3.01	15.03	--	--	--
AVERAGE	4.81	16.23	12.04	5.64	18.0

TABLE IV (contd)  
INTERNAL RESISTANCE (Milliohms)

Cell Number	After Fourth 1-Year Storage Test		After Fifth and Final 1-Year Storage Test	
	Compu-tation	Milliohm-meter	Compu-tation	Milliohm-meter
A-2491	30.90	32.5	24.0	43.9
A-2492	30.60	13.1	9.0	13.5
A-2493	10.50	14.6	21.0	14.6
A-2494	30.30	40.0	6.0	17.8
A-2498	10.50	14.1	6.0	14.1
A-2503	20.40	16.6	310.0	0.82
A-2508	50.40	68.8		----
AVERAGE	26.23	28.5	62.7	17.5
A-2540	18.04	15.8	9.0	14.4
A-2542	20.71	23.4	6.0	16.9
A-2544	30.90	35.0		----
A-2545	18.04	15.8	12.0	15.1
A-2546	30.08	29.4	21.0	15.9
A-2548	20.71	18.8	12.0	15.4
A-2557	20.10	16.4	12.0	13.8
AVERAGE	22.65	22.1	12.0	15.3






FIGURE 1



OPEN CIRCUIT STORAGE TEST AT 25° ± 2° C

INITIAL AND TWO REPEAT CAPACITY TESTS AFTER EACH YEAR OF OPEN CIRCUIT STORAGE  
 (Each capacity test shown as percentage of initial discharge.)


FIGURE 2



TRICKLE CHARGE STORAGE TEST AT 25° ± 2° C


INITIAL AND TWO REPEAT CAPACITY TESTS AFTER EACH YEAR OF TRICKLE CHARGE STORAGE  
 (Each capacity test shown as percentage of initial discharge.)

FIGURE 3



OVERCHARGE VOLTAGES (AVERAGE) VERSUS TIME AT c/20, c/10 AND c/5

FIGURE 4



OVERCHARGE VOLTAGES (AVERAGE) VERSUS TIME AT c/20, c/10 AND c/5

FIGURE 4A



## DISTRIBUTION LIST

National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Goddard Space Flight Center (Code 761.2, Mr. T. J. Hennigan), Greenbelt, Maryland 20771 (12 copies)

National Aeronautics and Space Administration (Code RPP, Mr. Ernst M. Cohn), Washington, D. C. 20546

National Aeronautics and Space Administration (Code SCC, Dr. A. M. Greg Andrus), Washington, D. C. 20546

National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Scientific and Technical Information Center; Input, P. O. Box 33, College Park, Maryland 20740 (3 copies)

National Aeronautics and Space Administration (Code UT, Dr. E. N. Case), Washington, D. C. 20546

National Aeronautics and Space Administration (Code MTG, Mr. Richard Livingston), Washington, D. C. 20546

National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Goddard Space Flight Center (Code 764 Mr. Gerald Halpert), Greenbelt, Maryland 20771

National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Goddard Space Flight Center (Code 450, Mr. Louis Wilson), Greenbelt, Maryland 20771

National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Goddard Space Flight Center (Code 761, Mr. William Webster), Greenbelt, Maryland 20771

National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Goddard Space Flight Center (Code 761, Mr. Floyd Ford), Greenbelt, Maryland 20771

National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Goddard Space Flight Center (Code 761, Mr. Eugene R. Stroup), Greenbelt, Maryland 20771

National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Langley Research Center (MS-472, Mr. John L. Patterson), Hampton, Virginia 23365

National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Langley Research Center (MS-488, Mr. Jack E. Zanks), Hampton, Virginia 23365

National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Lewis Research Center (MS 302-1, Dr. Louis Rosenblum), 21000 Brookpark Road, Cleveland, Ohio 44135

National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Lewis Research Center  
(MS 309-1, Mr. Harvey Schwartz), 21000 Brookpark Road, Cleveland,  
Ohio 44135

National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Lewis Research Center  
(MS 309-1, Dr. J. Stuart Fordyce), 21000 Brookpark Road, Cleveland,  
Ohio 44135

National Aeronautics and Space Administration, George C. Marshall  
Space Flight Center (S&E-ASTR-EP, Mr. Charles B. Graff), Huntsville,  
Alabama 35812

National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Manned Spacecraft  
Center (EP-5, Mr. W. E. Rice), Houston, Texas 77058

National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Ames Research Center  
(M.S. 244-2, PBS, Mr. Jon A. Rubenzer), Moffett Field, California 94035

Jet Propulsion Laboratory (M.S. 198-220, Mr. Daniel Runkle),  
4800 Oak Grove Drive, Pasadena, California 91103

Jet Propulsion Laboratory (M.S. 198-220, Mr. Aiji Uchiyama),  
4800 Oak Grove Drive, Pasadena, California 91103

Jet Propulsion Laboratory (M.S. 198-220, Dr. R. Lutwack),  
4800 Oak Grove Drive, Pasadena, California 91103

Jet Propulsion Laboratory (Mr. R. S. Bogner), 4800 Oak Grove  
Drive, Pasadena, California 91103

Jet Propulsion Laboratory (M.S. 198-220, Mr. Stanley J. Krause),  
4800 Oak Grove Drive, Pasadena, California 91103

Commanding General, U. S. Army Electro Technology Lab, Energy  
Conversion Research Division (MERDC), Fort Belvoir, Virginia 22060

Commanding General, U. S. Army Electronics R&D Labs (AMSEL-TL-P),  
Fort Monmouth, New Jersey 07703

Commanding General, U. S. Army Electronics Command (AMSEL-ME-NMP-TB-2,  
Mr. A. Frink), Fort Monmouth, New Jersey 07703

Harry Diamond Laboratories (Mr. Nathan Kaplan), Room 300, Building 92,  
Connecticut Ave. & Van Ness Street, N.W., Washington, D. C. 20438

Harry Diamond Laboratories, Room 300, Building 92, Connecticut Ave. & Van Ness Street, N.W., Washington, D. C. 20438

Chief of Naval Research (Code 473, Director, Power Program), Department of the Navy, Arlington, Virginia 22217

Chief of Naval Research (Code 472, Mr. Harry Fox), Department of the Navy, Arlington, Virginia 22217

Director, Naval Research Laboratory (Code 6160, Mr. S. Schuldiner), 4555 Overlook Avenue, S.W., Washington, D. C. 20360

Director, Naval Research Laboratory (Code 7975, Mr. Joe Yuen), 4555 Overlook Avenue, S.W., Washington, D. C. 20360

Officer In Charge, Annapolis Division, Naval Ship Research & Development Center (Code A731, Mr. J. H. Harrison), Annapolis, Maryland 21402

Commander, Naval Air Systems Command (AIR-310C, Dr. H. Roserwasser), Department of the Navy, Washington, D. C. 20360

Commander, Naval Air Systems Command (AIR-53643, Mr. E. White), Department of the Navy, Washington, D. C. 20360

Commander, Naval Ordnance Laboratory, White Oak (Code 232, Mr. Philip B. Cole), Silver Spring, Maryland 20910

Commander, Mare Island Naval Shipyard (Code 134.1, Chemical Laboratory), Vallejo, California 94592

Commander, Naval Ship Engineering Center (Code 61570, Mr. Albert Himy), Center Building, Prince George Center, Hyattsville, Maryland 20782

Superintendent, Naval Observatory (STIC, Mr. Robert E. Trumbule), 4301 Suitland Road, Suitland, Maryland 20390

Commander, Naval Ship Systems Command (SHIP-03422, Mr. Bernard B. Rosenbaum), Department of the Navy, Washington, D. C. 20360

Commander, AFAPL (POE-1, Mr. D. Pickett), Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio 45433

Commander, AFAPL (POE-1, Mr. R. L. Kerr), Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio 45433

Air Force Cambridge Research Laboratory (LCC, Mr. Edward Raskind, Wing F), L. G. Hanscom Field, Bedford, Massachusetts 01731

Rome Air Development Center (Code TSGD, Mr. Frank J. Mollura), Griffiss Air Force Base, New York 13440

Headquarters, SAMSO (SMTAE, Lt. R. Ballard), Los Angeles Air Force Station, Los Angeles, California 90045

National Science Foundation (Dr. Jesse C. Denton), 1800 G Street, N.W., Washington, D. C. 20550

Director, Defense Documentation Center, Cameron Station, Alexandria, Virginia 22314 (20 copies)

Defence Research Establishment, Power Sources Division (Dr. Tom King), Shirley Bay, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada

Defence Research Establishment, Power Sources Division (Dr. Joseph Lackner), Shirley Bay, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada

Telesat Canada (Dr. M. A. Stott), 333 River Road, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada

Aerospace Corporation (Library Acquisition Group), P. O. Box 95085, Los Angeles, California 90045

Aerospace Corporation (Mr. Larry Gibson), P. O. Box 95085, Los Angeles, California 90045

American Cyanamid Company (Dr. R. A. Haldeman), 1937 W. Main Street, Stamford, Connecticut 06902

AMF, Inc. (Mr. R. A. Knight), 689 Hope Street, Stamford, Connecticut 06906

American University, Chemistry Department (Dr. R. T. Foley), Massachusetts & Nebraska Avenues, N. W., Washington, D. C. 20016

Artech, Inc. (Dr. Frank Swindell), 2816 Fallfax Drive, Falls Church, Virginia 22042

Atomics International Division, North American Aviation, Inc. (Dr. H. L. Recht), P. O. Box 309, Canoga Park, California 91304

Autonetics Division, NAR (Mr. R. F. Fogle, GF 18), P. O. Box 4181, Anaheim, California 92803

Battelle Memorial Institute (Dr. John McCallum), 505 King Avenue,  
Columbus, Ohio 43201

Bellcom, Inc. (Mr. J. J. Sakolosky), 955 L'Enfant Plaza, North,  
S.W., Washington, D. C. 20024

Bellcom, Inc. (Mr. W. W. Hough), 955 L'Enfant Plaza, North, S.W.,  
Washington, D. C. 20024

Bell Telephone Labs, Inc. (Mr. D. O. Feder), Murray Hill,  
New Jersey 07974

Bell Telephone Laboratories (Mr. R. L. Beauchamp), Murray Hill,  
New Jersey 07974

Dr. Carl Berger, 13401 Kootenay Drive, Santa Ana, California 92705

The Boeing Company (MS 84-79, Mr. Sidney Gross), P. O. Box 3999,  
Seattle, Washington 98124

Burgess Battery Division, Gould, Inc. (Mr. M. E. Wilke, Chief  
Engineer), Freeport, Illinois 61032

C & D Batteries, Division of Electric Autolite Co. (Dr. Eugene  
Willihnganz), 3043 Walton Road, Plymouth Meeting, Pennsylvania 19462

Calvin College (Prof. T. P. Dirkse), 3175 Burton Street, S. E.,  
Grand Rapids, Michigan 49506

Catalyst Research Corporation (Mr. F. Tepper), 6101 Falls Road,  
Baltimore, Maryland 21209

Ceramaseal, Inc. (Mr. Robert Turner), New Lebanon Center,  
New York 12126

Chrysler Corporation, Space Division (Mr. C. E. Thomas),  
P. O. Box 29200, New Orleans, Louisiana 70129

Communications Satellite Corp., Comsat Laboratories (Mr. Robert  
Strauss), P. O. Box 115, Clarksburg, Maryland 20734

G. & W. H. Corson, Inc. (Dr. L. J. Minnich), Plymouth Meeting,  
Pennsylvania 19462

Cubic Corporation (Librarian), 9233 Balboa Avenue, San Diego,  
California 92123

Delco-Remy Division, General Motors Corporation (Mr. J. A. Keralla),  
2401 Columbus Avenue, Anderson, Indiana 46011

Eagle-Picher Industries, Inc., Couples Department (Mr. E. P.  
Broglio), P. O. Box 47, Joplin, Missouri 64801

E. I. du Pont DeNemours & Company, Engineering Materials Laboratory,  
Experimental Station, Bldg 304 (Mr. J. M. Williams), Wilmington,  
Delaware 19898

ESB, Inc. (Director of Engineering), P. O. Box 11097, Raleigh,  
North Carolina 27604

ESB, Inc., Carl F. Norberg Research Center (Dr. A. J. Salkind),  
19 West College Avenue, Yardley, Pennsylvania 19067

Electrochimica Corporation (Dr. Morris Eisenberg), 1140 O'Brien  
Drive, Menlo Park, California 94025

Electromite Corporation (Mr. R. H. Sparks), 2117 South Anne Street,  
Santa Ana, California 92704

Elpower Corporation (Mr. V. L. Best), 2117 South Anne Street,  
Santa Ana, California 92704

Enhart Corporation (Dr. W. P. Cadogan), Box 1620, Hartford,  
Connecticut 06102

Energetics Science, Inc. (Dr. H. G. Oswin), 4461 Bronx Boulevard,  
New York, New York 10470

Energy Research Corporation (Mr. Martin Klein), 15 Durant Avenue,  
Bethel, Connecticut 06801

Fairchild Industries, Inc., ATS Power Laboratory (Mr. Fred E. Betz),  
Germantown, Maryland 20767

Federal City College (Dr. M. Savitz), 425 Second Street, N.W.,  
Washington, D. C. 20001

Dr. Arthur Fleischer, 466 South Center Street, Orange,  
New Jersey 07050

General Dynamics/Corvair (Dept. 967-50, Mr. R. P. Mikkelson),  
San Diego, California 92112

General Electric Company, Research and Development Center  
(Dr. R. P. Hamlen), P. O. Box 43, Schenectady, New York 12301

General Electric Company, Research and Development Labs  
(Dr. F. Will), Schenectady, New York 12301

General Electric Company, Research and Development Labs  
(Dr. J. L. Weininger), Schenectady, New York 12301

General Electric Company, Space Systems (Mr. K. L. Hanson, Room  
M-2700), P. O. Box 8555, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19101

General Electric Company, Space Systems (Mr. Aaron Kirpich, Room  
M-2614), P. O. Box 8555, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19101

General Electric Company, Missile and Space Division (Mr. H.  
Thierfelder), P. O. Box 8555, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19101

General Electric Company, Battery Business Section (Mr. P. R.  
Voyentzie), P. O. Box 114, Gainesville, Florida 32601

General Electric Corporation (Mr. Guy Rampel), Gainesville,  
Florida 32601

General Electric Company (Whitney Library), P. O. Box 8,  
Schenectady, New York 12301

General Electric Company (Mr. David F. Schmidt), 777-14th Street, N.W.,  
Washington, D. C. 20005

Globe-Union, Inc. (Dr. R. Goodman), P. O. Box 591, Milwaukee,  
Wisconsin 53201

Globe-Union, Inc. (Dr. Eugene Wissman), P. O. Box 591, Milwaukee,  
Wisconsin 53201

Gould Ionics, Inc. (Dr. J. E. Oxley), P. O. Box 1377, Canoga Park,  
California 91304

Gould, Inc. (Dr. C. J. Menard), 2630 University Avenue, S.E.,  
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55414

Grumman Aerospace Corporation (Plant 35, Dept 567, Mr. Steve J.  
Gaston), Bethpage, Long Island, New York 11714

Gulton Industries, Battery & Power Sources Division, 212 Durham Avenue, Metuchen, New Jersey 08840

Gulton Industries (Mr. Ed Kantner), 212 Durham Avenue, Metuchen, New Jersey 08840

Hercules, Inc. (Mr. Paul Cox), P. O. Box 12145, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27709

Honeywell, Inc., Livingston Electronic Laboratory (Librarian), Montgomeryville, Pennsylvania 18936

Dr. P. L. Howard, Centreville, Maryland 21617

Hughes Aircraft Corporation (M.S. 524, Bldg. 366, Mr. M. E. Ellion), El Segundo, California 90245

ITT Research Institute (Dr. H. T. Francis), 10 West 35th Street, Chicago, Illinois 60616

Idaho State University, Department of Chemistry (Dr. G. Myron Arcand), Pocatello, Idaho 83201

University of Illinois (306E Talbot Laboratory, Prof. Will J. Worley), Urbana, Illinois 61801

Institute for Defense Analyses (Mr. R. Hamilton), 400 Army-Navy Drive, Arlington, Virginia 22202

Institute for Defense Analyses (Dr. R. Briceland), 400 Army-Navy Drive, Arlington, Virginia 22202

International Nickel Company (Mr. N. A. Matthews), 1000-16th Street, N.W., Washington, D. C. 20036

Johns Hopkins University, Applied Physics Laboratory (Mr. Richard E. Evans), 8621 Georgia Avenue, Silver Spring, Maryland 20910

Leesona Moos Laboratories (Dr. A. Moos), Lake Success Park, Community Drive, Great Neck, New York 11021

Life Systems, Inc. (Dr. Richard A. Wynveen, Pres.), 23715 Mercantile Road, Cleveland, Ohio 44122

Arthur D. Little, Inc. (Dr. James D. Birkett), Acorn Park, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02140



Lockheed Aircraft Corporation (Bldg. 157, Dept. 62-25, Mr. Robert E. Corbett), P. O. Box 504, Sunnyvale, California 94088

Lockheed Aircraft Corporation (Bldg. 151, Dept. 62-25, Mr. M. G. Gandel), P. O. Box 504, Sunnuvale, California 94088

Mallory Battery Company (Mr. R. R. Clune), So. Broadway and Sunnyside Lane, Tarrytown, New York 10591

Mallory Battery Company (Mr. S. J. Angelovich, Chief Engineer), So. Broadway, Tarrytown, New York 10591

P. R. Mallory and Co., Inc. (Dr. Per Bro), Northwest Industrial Park, Burlington, Massachusetts 01801

P. R. Mallory and Co., Inc. (Library), P. O. Box 1115, Indianapolis, Indiana 46206

Marathon Battery Company (Mr. Lou Belove), P. O. Box 8233, Waco, Texas 76710

Martin-Marietta Corporation (M.S. 1620, Mr. William B. Collins & M.S. F8845, Mr. M. S. Imamura), P. O. Box 179, Denver, Colorado 80201

McDonnell Douglas Astronautics Company (Bldg 22-A3-830, MS 17, Mr. A. D. Tonelli), 5301 Bolsa Avenue, Huntington Beach, California 92647

McDonnell Douglas Astronautics Company, Headquarters Space Systems Center (Bldg 11-3-12, MS 12, Dr. George Moe), 5301 Bolsa Avenue, Huntington Beach, California 92647

Motorola, Inc. (Dr. Robert C. Shair), 8000 West Sunrise Boulevard, Ft. Lauderdale, Florida 33313

North American Rockwell Corp., Rocketdyne Division (Library), 6633 Canoga Avenue, Canoga Park, California 91304

Philco-Ford Corporation, Power and Control Engineering Department (M.S. R-26, Mr. D. C. Briggs), 3939 Fabian Way, Palo Alto, California 94303

Portable Power Sources Corporation (Mr. Leon Schulman), 166 Pennsylvania Avenue, Mt. Vernon, New York 10552

Power Information Center, University City Science Institute, Room 2210, 3401 Market Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104

RAI Research Corporation, 225 Marcus Boulevard, Hauppauge, New York 11787

RCA Corporation, Astro Electronics Division (Mr. Paul Nekrasov), P. O. Box 800, Princeton, New Jersey 08540

SAFT Corporation of America (Mr. D. Verrier), 50 Rockefeller Plaza, New York, New York 10020

Mr. Joseph M. Sherfey, 5261 Nautilus Drive, Cape Coral, Florida 33904

Southwest Research Institute (Library), P. O. Drawer 28510, San Antonio, Texas 78228

Spectrolab, Inc. (Dr. Harvey Seiger), 12484 Gladstone Avenue, Sylmar, California 91342

Stanford Research Institute (Dr. Fritz R. Kalhammer), 19722 Jamboree Boulevard, Irvine, California 92664

Texas Instruments, Inc. (Dr. J. W. Ross), 34 Forest Street, Attleboro, Massachusetts 02703

TRW Systems, Inc. (Dr. W. R. Scott, M-2/2154), One Space Park, Redondo Beach, California 90278

TRW Systems, Inc. (Dr. Herbert P. Silverman, R-1/2094), One Space Park, Redondo Beach, California 90278

TRW, Inc. (Librarian, TIM 3417), 23555 Euclid Avenue, Cleveland, Ohio 44117

Tyco Laboratories, Inc. (Dr. Jose Giner), Bear Hill, Hickory Drive, Waltham, Massachusetts 02154

Tyco Laboratories, Inc. (Mr. Edward J. Rubin), 16 Hickory Drive, Waltham, Massachusetts 02154

Union Carbide Corporation, Development Laboratory, P. O. Box 6056, Cleveland, Ohio 44101

Union Carbide Corporation, Consumer Products Division, (Dr. Ralph Brodd), P. O. Box 6116, Cleveland, Ohio 44101

Union Carbide Corporation, Consumer Products Division (Dr. Robert Powers), P. O. Box 6116, Cleveland, Ohio 44101

University of Pennsylvania, Electrochemistry Laboratory  
(Prof. John O'M. Bockris), Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104

Utah Research and Development Co., Inc. (Mr. William Boyd),  
1820 South Industrial Road, Salt Lake City, Utah 84104

Westinghouse Electric Corporation, Research and Development Center  
(Dr. C. C. Hein, Contract Admin.), Churchill Borough, Pittsburg,  
Pennsylvania 15235

Whittaker Corporation, Power Sources Division, 3850 Olive Street,  
Denver, Colorado 80207

Yardney Electric Co. (Mr. P. Deluca and Mr. M. Read), 82 Mechanic  
Street, Pawcatuck, Connecticut 02891