

Abstract

This note gives a short and elementary proof of MacLane's theorem on the embedding of graphs in a 2-sphere.

(NASA-CR-127463) - A SHORT PROOF OF
MACLANE'S PLANARITY THEOREM P.V. O'Neil
(College of William and Mary) [1970] 4 p.
CSCL 12A
N72-28589
Unclas
G3/19 35389

Reproduced by
NATIONAL TECHNICAL
INFORMATION SERVICE
U.S. Department of Commerce
Springfield VA 22151

The purpose of this note is to give a short and elementary proof of a theorem by Saunders MacLane on the embedding of graphs in the 2-sphere [4]. Existing proofs are the original ones of MacLane and an algebraic topology proof by Lefschetz [3]. Our proof is by Kuratowski's theorem [2].

Terminology follows [4] and [1], with the exception that we shall call MacLane's 2-fold complete set of circuits a P-base.

Let G be a nonseparable graph.

Theorem. If G has a P-base, then G is planar.

Proof. Let C_1, \dots, C_n form a P-base for G , and suppose that G is nonplanar. Then $n > 1$ and, by Kuratowski's theorem, G has a subgraph H homeomorphic to K_5 or to $K_{3,3}$. We claim that H also has a P-base. This is immediate by induction if it is first shown that $G - e$ has a P-base for each arc e of G . But, if e is in exactly one C_i , say C_1 , then C_2, \dots, C_n form a P-base for $G - e$, and if e is in two C_i 's, say C_1 and C_2 , then $C_3, \dots, C_n, C_{n+1} = \sum_{i=1}^n C_i$ form a P-base for $G - e$.

Thus H , hence also K_5 or $K_{3,3}$, has a P-base. We now show that this is impossible.

If C_1, \dots, C_6 form a P-base for K_5 , then each of the ten branches of K_5 is in exactly two of the circuits C_1, \dots, C_6 , $C_7 = \sum_{i=1}^6 C_i$. But each circuit has at least three branches, so

AMS 1970 subject classifications. Primary 05 C 10.

Key words and phrases. planar graphs, 2-fold complete set of circuits.

$$\sum_{i=1}^7 (\text{number of branches in } C_i) = 20 \not\geq 21.$$

Similarly, if C_1, \dots, C_4 form a P-base for $K_{3,3}$, then set

$C_5 = \sum_{i=1}^4 C_i$. Since each circuit in $K_{3,3}$ has at least four arcs, then

$$\sum_{i=1}^5 (\text{number of branches in } C_i) = 18 \not\geq 20,$$

completing the proof.

The converse of the theorem is of course also true, but the proof of this is trivial.

References

1. F. Harary, Graph Theory, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1969.
2. C. Kuratowski, Sur le probleme des courbes gauches en topologie, Fund. Math., 15, 1930, 271-283.
3. S. Lefschetz, Planar graphs and related topics, Proc. Nat'l. Acad. Sci., U.S.A., 54, 1965, 1763-1765.
4. S. MacLane, A combinatorial condition for planar graphs, Fund. Math., 28, 1937, 22-32.