## **General Disclaimer**

## One or more of the Following Statements may affect this Document

- This document has been reproduced from the best copy furnished by the organizational source. It is being released in the interest of making available as much information as possible.
- This document may contain data, which exceeds the sheet parameters. It was furnished in this condition by the organizational source and is the best copy available.
- This document may contain tone-on-tone or color graphs, charts and/or pictures, which have been reproduced in black and white.
- This document is paginated as submitted by the original source.
- Portions of this document are not fully legible due to the historical nature of some of the material. However, it is the best reproduction available from the original submission.

Produced by the NASA Center for Aerospace Information (CASI)

# COMPARISON OF Ha SYNOPTIC CHARTS WITH THE LARGE-SCALE SOLAR MAGNETIC FIELD AS OBSERVED AT STANFORD

## By

Thomas L. Duvall, Jr. John M. Wilcox Leif Svalgaard Philip H. Scherrer and Patrick S. McIntosh

Office of Naval Research Contract N00014-76-C-0207

National Aeronautics and Space Administration Grant NGR 05-020-559

National Science Foundation Grant ATM74-19007 Grant DES75-15664

and

The Max C. Fleischmann Foundation

# SUIPR Report No. 700



## May 1977

Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government.



N77-2904

m

LARGE-SCALE SOLA

MF AD1

AT 02/ N

nt;

OBSERVED 15 p HC A

AS

Ð

ALPHA

111 10

COMPARISON

NASA-CR-154125)

THE

HITH

CHARIS FIELD I Univ.

SYNCFIC MAGNETIC Stanfor

Unclas 41743

> 2 63/9

C3B

CSCL

# INSTITUTE FOR PLASMA RESEARCH STANFORD UNIVERSITY, STANFORD, CALIFORNIA

REPORT NUMBER       2. GOVT ACC         SUIPR Report No. 700       2. GOVT ACC         TITLE (and Sublitte)       2. GOVT ACC         Comparison of Hα Synoptic Charts with the Large-Scale Solar Magnetic Field as Observation at Stanford       2. AUTHOR(s)         AUTHOR(s)       Thomas L. Duvall, Jr., John M. Wilcox, Leif Svalgaard, Philip H. Scherrer and Patrick S. McIntosh         PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Institute for Plasma Research Stanford University Stanford, California 94305         CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Office of Naval Research Electronics Program Office Arlington, Virginia 22217         MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (If diff. from Control	BEFORE COMPLETING FORM         ESSION NO.       3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER         5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED         Scientific, Technical         Scientific, Technical         6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER         8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s)         N00014-76-C-0207         10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS         12. REPORT DATE       13. NO. OF PAGE         May 1977       12         15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
SUIPR Report No.700 A. TITLE (and Sublide) Comparison of Hα Synoptic Charts with the Large-Scale Solar Magnetic Field as Obsect at Stanford A. AUTHORIS Thomas L. Duvall, Jr., John M. Wilcox, Leif Svalgaard, Philip H. Scherrer and Patrick S. McIntosh D. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Institute for Plasma Research Stanford University Stanford, California 94305 11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Office of Naval Research Electronics Program Office Arlington, Virginia 22217 14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (If diff. from Control	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Scientific, Technical 6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER 8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) N00014-76-C-0207 10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS 12. REPORT DATE 13. NO. OF PAGE May 1977 12 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
<ul> <li>A. TITLE (and Sublide) Comparison of H\u03c4 Synoptic Charts with t: Large-Scale Solar Magnetic Field as Obseat Stanford</li> <li>7. AUTHOR(s) Thomas L. Duvall, Jr., John M. Wilcox, Leif Svalgaard, Philip H. Scherrer and Patrick S. McIntosh</li> <li>PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Institute for Plasma Research Stanford University Stanford, California 94305</li> <li>11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Office of Naval Research Electronics Program Office Arlington, Virginia 22217</li> <li>14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME &amp; ADDRESS (if diff. from Control</li> </ul>	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Scientific, Technical 6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER 8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) N00014-76-C-0207 10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS 12. REPORT DATE 13. NO. OF PAGE May 1977 12 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
Comparison of H\$\alpha\$ Synoptic Charts with t. Large-Scale Solar Magnetic Field as Obstat Stanford 7. AUTHORIS Thomas L. Duvall, Jr., John M. Wilcox, Leif Svalgaard, Philip H. Scherrer and Patrick S. McIntosh 9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Institute for Plasma Research Stanford University Stanford, California 94305 11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Office of Naval Research Electronics Program Office Arlington, Virginia 22217 14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if diff. from Control	ne       Scientific, Technical         erved       6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER         8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s)         N00014-76-C-0207         10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS         12. REPORT DATE       13. NO. OF PAGE         May 1977       12         15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
<ul> <li>7. AUTHORISI</li> <li>Thomas L. Duvall, Jr., John M. Wilcox, Leif Svalgaard, Philip H. Scherrer and Patrick S. McIntosh</li> <li>9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Institute for Plasma Research Stanford University Stanford, California 94305</li> <li>11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Office of Naval Research Electronics Program Office Arlington, Virginia 22217</li> <li>14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME &amp; ADDRESS (if diff. from Control</li> </ul>	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER 8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) N00014-76-C-0207 10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS 12. REPORT DATE 13. NO. OF PAGE May 1977 12 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
<ul> <li>7. AUTHORISI Thomas L. Duvall, Jr., John M. Wilcox, Leif Svalgaard, Philip H. Scherrer and Patrick S. McIntosh</li> <li>9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Institute for Plasma Research Stanford University Stanford, California 94305</li> <li>11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Office of Naval Research Electronics Program Office Arlington, Virginia 22217</li> <li>14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME &amp; ADDRESS (if diff. from Control</li> </ul>	8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) N00014-76-C-0207 10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS 12. REPORT DATE 13. NO. OF PAGE May 1977 12 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Institute for Plasma Research Stanford University Stanford, California 94305 11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Office of Naval Research Electronics Program Office Arlington, Virginia 22217 14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if diff. from Control	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS 12. REPORT DATE 13. NO. OF PAGE May 1977 12 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Office of Naval Research Electronics Program Office Arlington, Virginia 22217 14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if diff. from Control	12. REPORT DATE 13. NO. OF PAGE May 1977 12 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Office of Naval Research Electronics Program Office Arlington, Virginia 22217 14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if diff. from Contro	May 1977 12 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
Electronics Program Office Arlington, Virginia 22217 14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if diff. from Contro	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
	Unclassified
	15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
its distribution is unlimited.	, if different from report)
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Tech; Other	
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by Solar magnetic fields. Solar Hg observations.	v block number)
Ha synoptic charts.	
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by Two methods of observing the neutral lin magnetic field are compared: (1) neutra photographs and (2) observations of the with low spatial resolution (3 arc min. Stanford magnetograph. The comparison	block number) ne of the large-scale photospheric al line positions inferred from Ho photospheric magnetic field made ) and high sensitivity using the is found to be very favorable.

EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE

(100 million 100 m

(1

()((

ŧ

and the second s

ł 1 ħ k 1.

Solar Physics

## COMPARISON OF HX SYNOPTIC CHARTS WITH THE LARGE-SCALE SOLAR MAGNETIC FIELD AS OBSERVED AT STANFORD

by

Thomas L. Duvall, Jr. John M. Wilcox Leif Svalgaard Philip H. Scherrer

Institute for Plasma Research Stanford University Stanford, California

and

Patrick S. McIntosh

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Boulder, Colorado

### Abstract

COLUMN TO T

Two methods of observing the neutral line of the large-scale photospheric magnetic field are compared: (1) neutral line positions inferred from H\$\alpha\$ photographs (McIntosh 1972a; McIntosh 1975; McIntosh and Nolte, 1975) and (2) observations of the photospheric magnetic field made with low spatial resolution (3 arc min.) and high sensitivity using the Stanford magnetograph. The comparison is found to be very favorable.

116.

# $\label{eq:comparison} \begin{array}{c} \text{COMPARISON} \text{ OF } H_{\alpha} \text{ synoptic charts with the} \\ \text{LARGE-SCALE SOLAR MAGNETIC FIELD AS OBSERVED AT STANFORD} \end{array}$

by

Thomas L. Duvall, Jr. John M. Wilcox Leif Svalgaard Philip H. Scherrer

Institute for Plasma Research Stanford University Stanford, California

and

Patrick S. McIntosh

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Boulder, Colorado

### I. Introduction

Observations of large-scale magnetic patterns in the solar photosphere are necessary for understanding the solar source of the interplanetary magnetic sector structure (Wilcox, 1968). An understanding of this solar source would give the ability to predict the occurrence of interplanetary and terrestrial phenomena related to the sector structure, including geomagnetic activity (Wilcox, 1968) and the effect on atmospheric vorticity (Wilcox et al., 1974). A model of the solar source in which photospheric magnetic sectors are combined with the polar fields (Svalgaard et al., 1973) is supported by the observations of the green-line corona, white-light corona, and photospheric magnetic fields (Antonucci and Duvall, 1974; Howard and Koomen, 1974; Hansen et al., 1974; Svalgaard et al., 1975). The present paper compares two methods of observing the neutral line of the large-scale photospheric magnetic field: (1) direct observations of the photospheric field using the Stanford magnetograph, and (ii) neutralline positions inferred from Ho observations (McIntosh, 1972a; McIntosh, 1975; McIntosh and Nolte, 1975).

### II. Observations

The measurement with a magnetograph of the large-scale solar magnetic field is a difficult observational problem. Extrapolating the magnetic field strength observed in interplanetary space back to the sun yields a photospheric field strength of the order of 1 gauss. The magnetograph

COMPARISON OF  $H\alpha$  SYNOPTIC CHARTS WITH THE LARGE-SCALE SOLAR MAGNETIC FIELD AS OBSERVED AT STANFORD

By

Thomas L. Duvall, Jr. John M. Wilcox Leif Svalgaard Philip H. Scherrer and Patrick S. McIntosh

Office of Naval Research Contract N00014-76-C-0207

National Aeronautics and Space Administration Grant NGR 05-020-559

> National Science Foundation Grant ATM74-19007 Grant DES75-15664

> > and

The Max C. Fleischmann Foundation

SUIPR Report No. 700

May 1977

Institute for Plasma Research Stanford University Stanford, California

Submitted to: Solar Physics

at Stanford was designed to measure accurately magnetic fields of this magnitude over large solar regions. The observations used in the present study consist of daily full disk magnetograms with a spatial resolution of 3 arc min. The statistical uncertainty of each measurement is about 0.05 gauss. A systematic error is observed by measuring the signal on the g = 0 (nonmagnetic) line of FeI  $\lambda$ 5133,7. This systematic error is subtracted from each point of the magnetogram. Its magnitude is usually less than 0.1 gauss. The time period used for the present study is May-August 1976 (Carrington rotations 1641-1644). Synoptic charts of the magnetic field strength for these four solar rotations were constructed using observations made near central meridian. For the present study of neutralline positions, a shaded band was plotted (Figures 1-4) surrounding the neutral, or zero field, line. The boundaries of the shaded region correspond to the  $\pm$ 0.1 gauss contour levels.

Fie

The neutral line position defined by the Stanford magnetograms is being compared with that derived from the Ha observations (McIntosh, 1972a; McIntosh, 1975; McIntosh and Nolte, 1975). Various features observed in Hq. including filaments, filament channels, plage corridors, "iron filing" patterns of fibrils near active centers amarch-filament systems, are used to infer the neutral line position. Sunspot polarities are used to infer the sign of the field on each side of the neutral line. Data from previous rotations and a general knowledge of solar physics are used to infer the neutral line positions in regions where a clear H $\alpha$  signature is not discernible. Normally, magnetogram data is also used, but for the present comparison it was not. The Ha synoptic charts for Carrington rotations 1641-1644 are shown in Figures 1-4 overlaying the Stanford neutral line determination. The hatched regions correspond to  $H\alpha$  filaments, the solid lines to a more certain neutral line, and the dashed lines to a less certain neutral line. The polarities shown on Figures 1-4 are those of the Ha synoptic charts. The polarities for the Stanford data can be inferred from the fact that the northern polar field is positive, southern negative, and crossing the neutral line causes a change of polarity.

#### III. Discussion

The comparison of the two neutral line determinations in Figures 1-4 is seen to be generally favorable. The agreement is best when the neutral line of the Ho maps is well-determined (solid line). In certain cases, such as in Figure 1, longitude 240, latitude -50, the well-determined Hg neutral line lies outside the region of uncertainty of the Stanford neutral line. Such an error is probably due to the coarse spatial resolution of the Stanford 'strument. In Figure 1, longitude 220, latitude 50, we see a fairly large region where the magnetic polarity is ill-defined according to the Stanford observations. The filament in this region is probably a better indication of neutral line position than can be gotten from the Stanford data. The most difficult aspect of inferring the Ha neutral line position is the extrapolation outside regions where a clear HC signature exists. A number of these extrapolations fail because the neutral line was inferred to be east-west when it should have been north-south. Examples of this are seen in Figure 1, longitude 120, latitude 45 and in Figure 4, longitude 90.

The H $\alpha$  charts used in this comparison are incomplete and preliminary, representing what can be accomplished with only H $\alpha$  data in near-real-time near a minimum in solar activity. The H $\alpha$  synoptic charts in Figures 1-4 appeared in NOAA's Preliminary Report and Forecast of Solar-Geophysical Data within days after the observations were made. More detailed maps can be constructed by many additional hours of study and intercomparison of H $\alpha$  data, especially at a time when the solar surface is filled with numerous active centers (McIntosh, 1975; McIntosh and Nolte, 1975; Roelof and Krimigis, 1973). The comparison with measured magnetic fields in this paper represents a conservative and objective test of H $\alpha$  observations as an independent source of magnetic field information.

### IV. Conclusions

The H $\alpha$  synoptic charts complement direct measurements of the largescale photospheric magnetic field. In regions where no clear H $\alpha$  signature is present, the magnetic observations give a good determination of the neutral line position. In regions where clear H $\alpha$  features exist, the H $\alpha$ synoptic charts often give a more accurate determination of neutral line position.

**É**.s. .

This paper establishes the veracity of inferred large-scale solar magnetic fields. The accuracy of H $\alpha$  observations for inferring strong, localized magnetic fields was tested for a limited but very active period in 1970 (McIntosh, 1972b). Sunspot and plage polarities were inferred correctly as compared with Mt. Wilson spot polarity observations for 80% of the 282 determinations during that two-month period, but the inferences were judged 93% correct after a careful investigation of the possible reasons for the disagreements.

The study of large-scale magnetic structures in the photosphere would benefit greatly by the existence of a longer database than is presently available. The long time constant of the large-scale fields requires more data in order that a representative sample of cases can be observed. The applicability of the H $\alpha$  synoptic charts to the study of the large-scale fields combined with the existence of complete H $\alpha$ 

4

-

coverage dating back at least 45 years suggests the possibility of obtaining such a long database. The construction of the H $\alpha$  synoptic charts is a difficult and time-consuming task. However, an extension of these charts back in time would be an important contribution to the study of the large-scale solar magnetic fields.

### Acknowledgements

This work was supported in part by the Office of Naval Research under Contract N00014-76-C-0207, by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration under Grant NGR 05-020-559, by the Atmospheric Sciences Section of the National Science Foundation under Grants ATM74-19007 and DES75-15664 and by the Max C. Fleischmann Foundation.

### References

Antonucci, E. and Duvall, T.L., Jr.: 1974, Solar Physics 38, 439. Hansen, S.F., Sawyer, C. and Hansen, R.T.: 1974, Geophysical Research Letters 1, 13. Howard, R.A. and Koomen, M.J.: 1974, Solar Physics 37, 469. McIntosh, P.S.: 1972a, Rev. Geophys. Space Phys. 10, 837. McIntosh, P.S.: 1972b, in Solar Activity Observations and Predictions. P. McIntosh and M. Dryer, eds., Vol. 30 of AIAA Progress in Astronautics and Aeronautics series, M. Summerfield, editor, M.I.T. Press, Cambridge, Mass. McIntosh, P.S.: 1975, World Data Center A for Solar-Terrestrial Physics. Report UAG-40. McIntosh, P.S. and Nolte, J.: 1975, World Data Center A for Solar-Terrestrial Physics, Report UAG-41. Roelof, E.C. and Krimigis, S.M.: 1973, J. Geophys. Res. 78, 5375. Svalgaard, L., Wilcox, J.M. and Duvall, T.L., Jr.: 1974, Solar Physics 37, 157. Svalgaard, L., Wilcox, J.M., Scherrer, P.H. and Howard, R.: 1975. Solar Physics 45, 83.

Wilcox, J.M.: 1968, Space Science Rev. 8, 258.

Wilcox, J.M., Scherrer, P.H. Svalgaard, L., Roberts, W.O., Olsen, R.H. and Jenne, R.L.: 1974, J. Atmos. Sci. 31, 581.

### Figure Captions

-Jis

- Figure 1 Comparison of the H $\alpha$  synoptic chart and the neutral line determined from the Stanford magnetograms for Carrington rotation 1641. The shaded band surrounds the Stanford neutral line. The boundaries of the band correspond to the  $\pm$  0.1 gauss contour levels. For the H $\alpha$  synoptic chart, the hatched regions correspond to filaments, solid lines are more welldetermined neutral lines, and dashed lines are less welldetermined neutral lines. Magnetic polarities from the H $\alpha$ charts are indicated by the + and - signs.
- Figure 2 Comparison of H $\alpha$  synoptic chart and magnetogram neutral line for Carrington rotation 1642.
- Figure 3 Comparison of H $\alpha$  synoptic chart and magnetogram neutral line for Carrington rotation 1642.
- Figure 4 Comparison of H $\alpha$  synoptic chart with magnetogram neutral line for Carrington rotation 1644.



8

\*





Pite\_

1

4

£

ż

ţ,

5

.

C.

1 and the Tis

 h

ł

1

ŝ

ζ.

1

1

