IWSCFF 17-74

UNDERSTANDING THE SUN-EARTH LIBRATION POINT ORBIT FORMATION FLYING CHALLENGES FOR WFIRST AND STARSHADE

Cassandra M. Webster,* David C. Folta*

In order to fly an occulter in formation with a telescope at the Sun-Earth L2 (SEL2) Libration Point, one must have a detailed understanding of the dynamics that govern the restricted three body system. For initial purposes, a linear approximation is satisfactory, but operations will require a high-fidelity modeling tool along with strategic targeting methods in order to be successful. This paper focuses on the challenging dynamics of the transfer trajectories to achieve the relative positioning of two spacecraft to fly in formation at SEL2, in our case, the Wide-Field Infrared Survey Telescope (WFIRST) and a proposed Starshade. By modeling the formation transfers using a high fidelity tool, an accurate ΔV approximation can be made to assist with the development of the subsystem design required for a WFIRST and Starshade formation flight mission.

INTRODUCTION

The Wide-Field Infrared Survey Telescope (WFIRST) is a NASA observatory designed to answer questions about dark energy and astrophysics¹. Planned for a launch in 2026 to an orbit about the Sun-Earth L2 (SEL2) Libration Point, WFIRST will use a 2.4 meter mirror along with Wide-Field and Coronagraph Instruments to achieve its mission objectives. While the primary objective using the Coronograph Instrument is to search for exoplanets, the use of an external occulter such as a Starshade² would make the detection of Earth-sized planets in habitable zones of nearby stars possible.

A recent study was undertaken at NASA's Goddard Space Flight Center (GSFC) to determine the compatibility of flying a Starshade with WFIRST. This preliminary study investigated targeting methods to determine the ΔV to transfer Starshade from one observation alignment to the next, while following a desired observation sequence. While WFIRST will be in its mission LPO, Starshade will fly a specific trajectory to align with WFIRST to observe a Design Reference Mission (DRM)³ of pre-determined target stars. The challenges of formation transfers at SEL2 include achieving the observation locations with respect to WFIRST for each target star, while also managing the unstable SEL2 environment. Orbit maintenance maneuvers will be required in order to keep both WFIRST and Starshade in the SEL2 environment. Additionally, WFIRST will need to perform routine Momentum Unloads (MUs) to unload stored momentum in the reaction wheels. A

^{*} WFIRST Flight Dynamics Lead, NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Code 595 Navigation and Mission Design Branch, 8800 Greenbelt Road Mail Stop: Code 595 Greenbelt, MD 20770

[†] Senior Fellow, NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Code 595 Navigation and Mission Design Branch, 8800 Greenbelt Road Mail Stop: Code 595 Greenbelt, MD 20770

simulation of alignment transfers and formation flying Starshade with WFIRST has been investigated, and the required ΔV to reach an observation start and end time and position was determined.

With LPOs, the Circular Restricted Three Body Problem (CRTBP) can be used initially to model the governing dynamics. Both the WFIRST and Starshade move in an unstable system between the gravitational forces of the Sun, Earth-Moon system, and their centrifugal forces with respect to their Barycenter. The WFIRST mission orbit has been designed to meet requirements specific to its own mission and to remain within the SEL2 environment. With routine Momentum Unloads and Stationkeeping maneuvers, WFIRST will be able to maintain its mission orbit for 5 years. Starshade will face a more difficult challenge in this SEL2 system, as it will be acting against the natural dynamics to achieve formation with WFIRST instead of following along a natural SEL2 orbit. Starshade will be subject to its own unstable dynamics as it transfers from one target location to another for observational alignment with WFIRST. Using a high fidelity modeling tool, AGI's Systems Tool Kit (STK) and its Astrogator Module, the WFIRST mission orbit has been simulated. Using the WFIRST orbit along with a reference DRM, an Ideal Starshade orbit is designed. The Ideal Starshade orbit used in this investigation is offset from the WFIRST orbit by 37,000 km and holds that distance for a length of observation time defined in the DRM for each target observation. The Ideal Starshade orbit represents a vector in space with respect to the WFIRST's position vector. It follows an unnatural SEL2 trajectory, which can be modeled initially using the dynamics of the CRTBP and then molded in a higher fidelity in a full ephemeris (numerical) simulation. Using the Ideal Starshade trajectory as a reference, the Targeted Starshade trajectory is built using the beginning and end positions of each observation defined in the DRM.

With both WFIRST and Starshade trajectories modeled in a high fidelity tool, a ΔV budget can be determined for Starshade and we can initiate design work required for a WFIRST and Starshade formation flight mission.

The WFIRST-Starshade Formation Concept

WFIRST is a large NASA observatory that will be flown to answer questions about dark energy, exoplanets, and infrared astrophysics, and is planned to launch in 2026. WFIRST will utilize a 2.4 meter primary mirror (the same size as the Hubble Space Telescope's) along with a Wide-Field Instrument (WFI) and Coronagraph Instrument (CGI) to achieve its mission objectives. The WFI will have a field of view 100 times greater than Hubble's infrared instrument, which will allow WFIRST to capture more areas of the sky in less time. With its large field of view, the WFI will be able to measure light from a billion galaxies over the WFIRST mission lifetime, and it will perform a survey of the inner Milky Way using microlensing to find close to 2,600 exoplanets. The CGI will use internal occulting through different mirrors, lenses, and masks to filter starlight and image gasgiant planets and possibly super-Earths. The ability to directly image another Earth-like planet, however, will not be able to be done by the CGI alone since it does not have the contrasting power. However, the CGI in combination with an external Starshade, is believed to provide the high contrast required to image an Earth-2.0 decades ahead of time.

Starshade is a 34 meter flower shaped occulter with razor-sharp petals that would be designed to redirect diffraction from a star's light (which would produce an undesirable glare) and create a shadow for WFIRST to enter for an exoplanet observation using its CGI. Many researchers believe that Starshade along with the CGI on WFIRST would allow for a direct image of an Earth 2.0. Starshade would be launched several years after WFIRST, and would trail WFIRST in its LPO at SEL2. After some time at SEL2, Starshade would perform a maneuver to put in a different LPO that was 37,000 km or more away from WFIRST. At this point, Starshade can begin slewing directly between WFIRST and a target star to attempt an exoplanet observation.

attempt to achieve all targeted observations over 2 years. Figure 1 shows a telescope/Starshade observation concept and a proposed design for Starshade.



Figure 1. Telescope/Starshade Concept and Starshade Design².

With the SEL2 environment being unstable, WFIRST will need to perform routine Stationkeeping maneuvers along with Momentum Unloads to maintain its nominal mission orbit. WFIRST will utilize a Quasi-Halo orbit to navigate the SEL2 environment, while Starshade will need to fight against the natural dynamics in order to achieve the desired exoplanet observations⁴.

Analysis Goals

Formation flying in Sun-Earth Libration Point Orbits (LPOs) presents numerous challenges. The purpose of this paper is to solve for the impulsive ΔV required for Starshade to maneuver from one observation to the next, while achieving its formation and DRM with WFIRST. For this analysis, WFIRST is assumed to be on its nominal mission orbit, and Starshade only targets the observations in its DRM. There is no stationkeeping involved on Starshade's part. The ΔV numbers are meant to be used as a design point, and are not optimized.

DYNAMICS OF LIBRATION POINT ORBIT FORMATIONS

Libration Point orbits are governed by the gravitation between the primary and secondary bodies in the system. In the case of WFIRST and Starshade, their orbits are a constant balancing act between the Sun and Earth/Moon. The Circular Restricted Three Body Problem (CRTBP) dynamics are a feasible starting point for understanding how WFIRST and Starshade will behave at SEL2 in their respective orbits.

Circular Restricted Three Body Problem (CRTBP) Dynamics

An illustration of the CRTBP is shown in Figure 2. In the illustration, the yellow circle is the Sun (m_1) , the blue circle is the Earth (m_2) , and the green circle is the spacecraft (m). The distances \vec{r}, \vec{r}_1 , and \vec{r}_2 represent the distances between the three bodies and the center of mass (the black circle). The distances ρ_1 and ρ_2 represent the distances between the spacecraft and the two bigger bodies, the Sun and the Earth.



Figure 2. The Circular Restricted Three Body Problem.

With the problem modeled, the equations of motion (EOM)⁵ can be written. Equation (1) shows the EOM for the CRTBP.

7

$$\ddot{\vec{r}} = \begin{bmatrix} \ddot{x} \\ \ddot{y} \\ \ddot{z} \end{bmatrix} = -\frac{Gm_1}{\|\vec{r} - \vec{r}_1\|^3} \left[x + \frac{m_2}{m_1 + m_2} r_{12} \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix} - \frac{Gm_2}{\|\vec{r} - \vec{r}_2\|^3} \left[x - \frac{m_1}{m_1 + m_2} r_{12} \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix} + 2\omega \begin{bmatrix} \dot{y} \\ -\dot{x} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \omega^2 \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
(1)

Equation (1) can then be non-dimensionalized making it easier to code, giving a simpler version shown in Equation (2).

$$\begin{bmatrix} \ddot{x} \\ \ddot{y} \\ \ddot{z} \end{bmatrix} = -\frac{(1-\mu)}{\rho_1^3} \begin{bmatrix} x+\mu \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix} - \frac{\mu}{\rho_2^3} \begin{bmatrix} x-1+\mu \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 2\dot{y} \\ -2\dot{x} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
(2)

Using the non-dimensionalized EOM in Equation (2), the motion of WFIRST and Starshade can be propagated over time in the SEL2 system. An example of the motion of WFIRST using the CRTBP is shown in the Rotating Libration Point (RLP) XY frame in Figure 2. This figure shows both the LPO and the transfer manifold from Earth to SEL2 (shown in blue) with SEL2 marked as the red diamond. The blue dots simply represent nodes or marked reference positions in the transfer and LPO. These nodes are used later for ephemeris corrections purposes.



Figure 3. WFIRST Propagated Motion at SEL2 in the CRTBP shown in the RLP XY Frame.

While Figure 3 gives a CRTBP representation of the behavior of WFIRST at SEL2, the propagation begins to fall apart once Solar Radiation Pressure (SRP) and full ephemeris force models (of the Sun and the Earth) are added to the design. The resulting motion in full ephemeris with SRP is shown in Figure 4 in the RLP XY frame. Looking at the figure, we can see that the transfer manifold and LPO are no longer periodic. The motion has now been disrupted by the addition of SRP and the ephemeris for the Earth and the Sun. This design was implemented in a MATLAB Module⁶ that uses the Adaptive Trajectory Design (ATD)⁷ program.



Figure 4. WFIRST Propagated Motion at SEL2 in Full Ephemeris with SRP shown in the RLP XY Frame.

Looking at Figure 4, it is easy to see that the CRTBP motion starts to break down once the SRP and force models are added to the motion. This is why it is important to do the modeling of WFIRST and Starshade in a high fidelity force model tool.

WFIRST AND STARSHADE FORMATION FLYING DESIGN

Moving from the CRTBP into a higher fidelity model, the WFIRST nominal mission orbit, and Starshade's trajectory that follows the DRM, can be simulated together. WFIRST's mission orbit is designed to meet its specific mission requirements at SEL2, and is not to be changed or affected by the implementation of Starshade. Starshade will follow a reference DRM and remain at a 37,000 km distance from WFIRST during all observations. This distance can vary over the transfer of Starshade from one observation position to the next, but must meet the observation geometry at the end of each transfer. To begin the discussion of Starshade's trajectory design, we show in Figure 5 WFIRST in its nominal mission orbit at SEL2 in the high fidelity force model tool (with SRP and full gravitational force models for the Earth, Moon, Sun, and Jupiter). The top picture is in the RLP XY Plane. You can see the Moon's orbit (the grey circle) and WFIRST's transfer manifold and Mission LPO in blue. The bottom picture is in the RLP YZ Plane. This view is from the Earth looking at SEL2. In the bottom picture you can easily see WFIRST's evolution through its Quasi-Halo orbit.



Figure 5. WFIRST Nominal Mission Orbit (in blue) Viewed in the RLP XY Plane (top) and RLP YZ Plane (bottom) with Moon's Orbit (in grey) in High Fidelity Force Modeling Tool.

Mission DRM and Ideal Occulter Design

The JPL Starshade team designed a DRM comprised of 48 target stars. Each target star has a Hipparcos (HIP) number, and a length of observation time. The DRM also included the slew time and an estimated ΔV to go from one observation to the next. The DRM is planned for 2 years.

Using MATLAB and an automated process called STK COM, the DRM was read into MATLAB and individual stars were created in a STK scenario that referenced the target stars. STK has an internal star catalog, and using the HIP number, stars can be inserted into the scenario. With the DRM stars now in STK, the WFIRST spacecraft can be pointed at the stars at the appropriate time intervals defined in the DRM.

Within STK, the Analysis Workbench can be used to create a point along the z axis (with alignment to the target star) of the WFIRST spacecraft at the desired Starshade distance of 37,000 km. Using this reference point for each star, an ephemeris in an Earth J2000 frame could be generated

for where Starshade needed to be for each specific observation. This ephemeris is the "Starshade Ideal" ephemeris, and it represents the beginning and end locations for each DRM observation with respect to WFIRST. A separate spacecraft can be created to read the "Starshade Ideal" ephemeris and show the individual Starshade observations. Figure 6 shows the "Starshade Ideal" observations in the red dashes with respect to WFIRST's mission orbit in blue. Each red dash represents one observation from the DRM. The dashes are different lengths depending on the length of the observation specified in the DRM. The DRM has observations planned that vary anywhere from less than half of a day to more than 6 days.



Figure 6. "Starshade Ideal" Observations (red) with WFIRST Mission Orbit (blue).

WFIRST and Starshade Targeted Formation

With the "Starshade Ideal" spacecraft created, the start and end of each observations can be turned into inertial target locations for use in a Differential Corrector. A separate spacecraft called "Starshade Occulter" was created to propagate between each observation, and to calculate a maneuver to target the start and end position of each observation⁸. As a simplified condition for overall simulation initialization, the "Starshade Occulter" begins in a similar orbit as WFIRST, and then performs an impulsive maneuver to separate itself from WFIRST. It then does another impulsive maneuver to target the starting position relative to WFIRST for the first observation after the defined slew time in the DRM. Once "Starshade Occulter" reaches the start position for the observation, another impulsive maneuver is performed to target the end position for the observation after the defined observation time in the DRM. Once the end state is reached, "Starshade Occulter" repeats the sequence to move to the start of the next observation. Figure 7 shows an animation of this described process. It is important to note that these maneuvers to achieve the start and end of the observations is only meant to help with initial Starshade design aspects. There is no active maneuvering or control done between the start and end of the observation. "Starshade Occulter" is allowed to drift naturally during the observation time. As a future effort, the ΔV to actively hold Starshade at 37,000 km during the observation time will need to be studied.



Figure 7. Animation of "Starshade Occulter" Targeting Method.

This targeting process continues for all 48 DRM observations. The resulting "Starshade Occulter" orbit is shown in Figure 8. The green segments represent the transfer arcs to the start of an observation. The short purple arcs represent the transfer from the start to the end of an observation. The WFIRST orbit is shown in thinner blue orbit.



Figure 8. "Starshade Occulter" Orbit (green arcs and short purple arcs) with WFIRST Mission Orbit (thin blue orbit).

Figure 9 shows the "Starshade Occulter" orbit with the "Starshade Ideal" position arcs (in red) in the top picture. Looking at the picture on the bottom of this Figure, you can see that the targeting method was very effective in matching the start and end positions of each observation. The purple (representing the "Starshade Occulter") is directly on top of the red (representing the "Starshade Ideal").



Figure 9. "Starshade Occulter Orbit" (green arcs and short purple arcs) with "Starshade Ideal" (in red) and WFIRST Mission Orbit (thin blue orbit).

STARSHADE TRAJECTORY DESIGN RESULTS

With the above targeting method in place, simulations were completed for the reference DRM. Table 1 shows the impulsive ΔV to target the start and stop of each observation, along with the time to slew from one observation to the next and the length of the observation time. For the user's information, the "Starshade Occulter" slewed 14 days after its initial separation from WFIRST to begin Observation 1 in February. The slew times and observation times remained "fixed" in this simulation for each Observation so that they met the desired DRM schedule. One can see that the " ΔV to Observation Start" magnitudes vary from a minimum of 2.78 m/s to a maximum of 31.72 m/s for this DRM. The " ΔV to Observation End" magnitudes vary from a minimum of 2.76 m/s to a maximum of 39.29 m/s. The total ΔV to perform the transfers to the observation start and end points is 859.09 m/s. There are no constraints on the size or direction of the impulsive maneuvers for the "Starshade Occulter", but these are modeled with a baseline Starshade design and operational constraints could be imposed later.

	ΔV Το	ΔV Το	Observation	Slew Time			ΔV Το	ΔV Το	Observation	Slew Time
Observation	Observation Start	Observation End	Time	to Next Observation		Observation	Observation Start	Observation End	Time	to Next Observation
	(m/s)	(m/s)	(days)	(days)	days)	(m/s)	(m/s)	(days)	(days)	
1	31.56	27.55	5.58	18	1	25	11.26	7.88	1.00	11
2	31.72	39.29	0.80	9	1	26	10.09	10.36	3.32	7
3	3.94	4.59	2.41	10	1	27	6.21	4.75	1.54	18
4	4.89	4.15	0.28	14	1	28	9.05	7.98	0.92	14
5	5.42	5.63	0.53	16	1	29	5.99	5.74	0.51	24
6	6.41	7.10	1.87	14	1	30	9.14	9.11	0.62	7
7	7.32	7.84	1.37	8	1	31	3.00	3.64	2.14	5
8	5.45	8.77	5.14	1	1	32	2.85	2.85	2.23	26
9	4.66	5.15	5.26	18	1	33	10.85	11.62	1.40	16
10	20.63	17.84	0.66	14	1	34	10.00	12.46	2.23	9
11	13.47	15.07	3.61	10	1	35	9.63	9.19	1.20	14
12	9.96	5.67	0.23	4	1	36	14.98	15.26	1.25	10
13	3.62	2.76	1.04	6	1	37	10.58	12.42	3.94	10
14	3.58	3.07	0.42	10	1	38	10.31	7.66	2.00	5
15	4.75	4.84	0.72	18	1	39	4.01	3.84	2.13	12
16	6.89	8.59	5.03	24	1	40	6.71	5.74	1.08	5
17	10.51	10.41	4.57	7	1	41	2.49	2.27	0.72	22
18	4.59	2.99	0.77	6	1	42	8.27	8.13	0.55	16
19	2.78	4.87	6.14	15	1	43	6.15	6.36	0.98	34
20	8.98	8.24	2.44	7	1	44	11.95	12.00	0.27	15
21	5.11	5.31	1.74	12	1	45	7.31	8.52	1.25	7
22	9.55	11.47	2.27	8	1	46	5.07	6.37	2.09	4
23	10.44	12.59	3.66	10	1	47	4.93	5.55	2.79	12
24	15.49	15.34	3.61	7	1	48	14.09	13.62	1.13	-

Table 1. February Simulation Start Case: Starshade Occulter High Fidelity DRM Results.

*In this simulation the "Starshade Occulter" spacecraft slewed 14 days after its separation from WFIRST to start Observation 1

Figure 10 shows how the Transfer to Observation Start Maneuver ΔV varies with the slew time to each observation. The color bar represents the Transfer to Observation Start Maneuver ΔV magnitude with blue representing a lower ΔV and red representing a higher ΔV . While one might assume the relationship between ΔV and slew time would be linear (the less time you allow for the transfer, the more transfer ΔV is required to make the observation in time) that is not the case. For Observation 2 for example, the slew time is 18 days and requires 31.72 m/s of ΔV to get to the observation. Later however, in observation 28, the slew time is the same but only requires a 6.21 m/s ΔV . This implies that the ΔV maneuvers are very sensitive to the dynamics of the orbit or possibly the distance the "Starshade Occulter' must travel to reach the observation start. Executing an 18 day slew in one part of the SEL2 orbit may not be as favorable as in another. This only further demands the need for the WFIRST and Starshade to be modeled in a high fidelity space. Using SRP and force models, will allow the DRM to be optimized so that slews can happen in ideal orbit positions to minimize ΔV .



Figure 10. Graph of Slew Times for February Simulation Start Case Observations as a function of Transfer to Observation Start Maneuver ΔV with ΔV magnitude as the color bar.

Investigating the observations that required an 18 day slew (Observations 2, 10, 16, and 28), we can see where in the orbit the transfer maneuvers are being executed and analyze the effects of the system dynamics. Looking at Figure 11, we can see the maneuvers for Observations 16 and 28 are both occurring near the maximum RLP Y amplitude of the orbit. Observations 2 and 10 are happening as they are leaving the maximum RLP Y amplitude. Comparatively, the maneuvers are happening in a relatively similar spot, but they vary drastically in magnitude. Since position didn't seem to affect the Transfer to Observation Start Maneuver ΔV 's for these observations, the distance that the "Starshade Occulter" had to travel to each of these observations was investigated. Table 2 shows the distances (in kilometers) that the "Starshade Occulter" had to travel to get to the Observations.



Figure 11. February Simulation Start Case: Observations 2, 10, 16, and 28 locations in the SEL2 Environment (green) with respect to WFIRST (blue).

Observation	Difference in RLP X (km)	Difference in RLP Y (km)	Difference in RLP Z (km)	Total Distance Traveled (km)	Transfer to Observation Start ∆V (m/s)
2	102281.86	340147.25	10526.13	357972.35	31.72
10	10422.46	435343.03	15540.00	435863.34	20.63
16	142478.10	229989.41	45632.15	278870.30	6.89
28	154487.45	102839.51	66390.39	206754.38	9.05

 Table 2. February Simulation Start Case: Starshade Occulter Travel

Distances for Observations.

Looking at Table 2, the Transfer to Observation Start Maneuver Δ 's went down as the Observations continued. The total distance the Starsahde Occulter traveled went down as well (except for in Observation 10). The other thing of note is that the RLP X values increased as the Trasnfer to Observation Start Maneuver Δ 's decreased. To understand whether or not this was a pattern, the Simulation Start time (and hence the start of the Observation schedule) was shifted by one month from Feburary 11 to March 11. Since the DRM is fixed, and the slew times and observation times cannot be varied, the only thing that can be changed is when we choose to start

the Observation schedule. Table 3 shows the resultant values from changing the observation schedule to start in March.

Observation	ΔV To Observation Start (m/s)	ΔV To Observation End (m/s)	Observation Time (days)	Slew Time to Next Observation (days)		Observation	ΔV To Observation Start (m/s)	ΔV To Observation End (m/s)	Observation Time (days)	Slew Time to Next Observation (days)
1	28.86	36.51	5.58	18	1	25	5.58	4.04	1.00	11
2	9.08	6.98	0.80	9	1	26	5.39	6.12	3.32	7
3	4.00	4.49	2.41	10	1	27	4.23	3.47	1.54	18
4	4.95	4.39	0.28	14	1	28	7.58	7.25	0.92	14
5	6.09	6.84	0.53	16		29	5.85	5.67	0.51	24
6	9.25	11.54	1.87	14	1	30	9.02	9.23	0.62	7
7	13.22	14.30	1.37	8	1	31	3.51	4.25	2.14	5
8	9.66	13.48	5.14	1	1	32	3.44	3.86	2.23	26
9	6.14	6.12	5.26	18		33	16.54	20.06	1.40	16
10	18.14	12.04	0.66	14	1	34	16.76	17.61	2.23	9
11	7.41	8.14	3.61	10	1	35	10.35	8.59	1.20	14
12	5.72	4.20	0.23	4	1	36	10.65	9.15	1.25	10
13	1.54	1.94	1.04	6		37	5.75	6.52	3.94	10
14	2.69	2.54	0.42	10	1	38	5.94	4.75	2.00	5
15	3.89	4.13	0.72	18	1	39	2.73	2.74	2.13	12
16	6.93	8.68	5.03	24	1	40	5.37	4.94	1.08	5
17	10.84	11.24	4.57	7		41	2.33	2.25	0.72	22
18	5.44	4.20	0.77	6	1	42	8.22	8.28	0.55	16
19	3.39	7.29	6.14	15	1	43	6.35	6.70	0.98	34
20	15.62	15.80	2.44	7	1	44	14.73	17.78	0.27	15
21	10.34	9.97	1.74	12	1	45	14.48	16.04	1.25	7
22	15.37	15.06	2.27	8	1	46	9.64	10.58	2.09	4
23	10.19	10.10	3.66	10	1	47	7.05	7.71	2.79	12
24	9.66	8.24	3.61	7	1	48	14.15	11.04	1.13	-
L		1	1	1	-	L	I	l	1	

Table 3. March Simulation Start Case: Starshade Occulter High Fidelity DRM Results.

*In this simulation the "Starshade Occulter" spacecraft slewed 14 days after its separation from WFIRST to start Observation 1

By shifting the start of the Observation schedule by one month, the total ΔV for the "Starshade Occulter' DRM is now 840.91 m/s (about 20 m/s less than the February Simulation Start Case). Looking at Figure 12, we can see that the Transfer ΔV 's for Observation 2, 10, 16, and 28 all have dropped as well (when compared to the February Simulation Start Case shown in Figure 10).



Figure 12. Graph of Slew Times for March Simulation Start Case Observations as a function of Transfer to Observation Start Maneuver ΔV with ΔV magnitude as the color bar.

Knowing that the Transfer ΔV 's are lower for this new Observation schedule, the RLP distances and magnitues were investigated again. The results are shown in Table 4.

Observation	Difference in RLP X (km)	Difference in RLP Y (km)	Difference in RLP Z (km)	Total Distance Traveled (km)	Transfer to Observation Start ∆V (m/s)
2	49655.28	405451.41	26247.15	411084.22	9.08
10	62968.35	291064.49	68481.20	307306.09	18.14
16	34888.64	413189.35	14732.99	415551.50	6.93
28	64191.86	393361.36	12569.08	401063.75	5.85

Table 4. March Simulation Start: Starshade Occulter Travel Distances for Observations.

Looking at the total distance traveled for each observation in Table 4, we can see that even though the Transfer ΔV magnitudes decreased (except for Observation 16) in the March Simulation Start case, the distances did not go down (except for Observation 10). The locations for Observations 2, 10, 16, and 18 were investigated for the March Simulation Start case as well and are shown in Figure 13.

Looking at Figure 13, we can see that the Transfer to Observation Start Maneuvers are executed in different places than they were for the February Simulation Start case. For most of these observations in the February Simulation Start case, these maneuvers happened at the RLP Y amplitude extremes (or close to them). In the March Simulation Start case, the lower ΔV Transfer to Observation Start Manuevers appear to occur near RLP XZ plane crossings. This implies that there may be a relationship between the Transfer to Observation Start Maneuver ΔV magnitudes and their execution location in the SEL2 environment with respect to WFIRST.



Figure 13. March Simulation Start Case: Observations 2, 10, 16, and 28 locations in the SEL2 Environment (green) with respect to WFIRST (blue).

Looking only at Observation 2 for both the February and March Simulation Start Cases, the dynamics of slewing from the end of Observation 1 18 days to Observation 2 was investigated. The state at the end of Observation 1 was allowed to naturally propagate 18 days to see where the "Starhsade Occulter" fell with respect to its targeted Observation 2 start position and WFIRST. Figure 14 shows the results for both the February and March Simulation Start Cases.



Figure 14. Natural 18 Day Propagation for February and March "Starshade Occulter" (green) with respect to Targeted Start of Observation 2 (red) and WFIRST (blue)

Looking at Figure 14, we can see that the "Starshade Occulter" in the February Simulation Start Case naturally propagated close to WFIRST and 50,698.90 km away from its desired position to start Observation 2. In the March Simulation Start Case, however, the "Starshade Occulter" naturally propagated closer to its desired position to start Observation 2 (15,618.79 km) and maintained a further distance from WFIRST. The natural dynamics of the "Starshade Occulter" in the SEL2 environment in the February Simulation Start case forces the "Starshade Occulter" to execute a large impulsive ΔV maneuver (31.72 m/s from Tables 1 and 2) to meet the starting position of Observation 2 in 18 days. However, the natural dynamics of the "Starshade Occulter" in the March Simulation Start Case takes the "Starshade Occulter" close to its intended target, and hence results in a smaller impulsive ΔV maneuver (9.08 m/s from Tables 3 and 4). This single Observation helps emphasize the need to simulate in a high fidelity force model, and also pushes for very strategic planning of the DRM. If the slew times and observation times are allowed to vary, the overall ΔV budget for Starshade can be reduced.

To investigate this relationship further, the simulation start time was varied by month for an entire year. Table 5 shows the total ΔV for the "Starshade Occulter" Transfer to Observation Start and End Maneuvers for each Simulation Start Time. Looking at the table, we can see that starting the start of the Observation schedule in January results in a 59 m/s ΔV savings versus starting in February. Overall however, because the DRM must remain fixed with respect to its slew times and observation times, the ΔV budget for Starshade would need to remain in the 800 m/s range if this desired DRM does not change.

Simulation Start Time	Total "Starshade Occulter" ΔV				
Simulation Start Time	(m/s)				
January	800.09				
February	859.09				
March	840.95				
April	837.28				
May	842.17				
June	824.65				
July	812.37				
August	821.33				
September	843.43				
October	833.50				
November	833.77				
December	821.55				

Table 5: Total "Starshade Occulter" AV for each Simulation Start Time

The Transfer to Observation Start Maneuver ΔV 's were then compared for Observations 2, 10, 16, and 28 for each month, and their locations in the SEL2 were also investigated. These minimum Transfer to Observation Start Maneuver ΔV results and locations are shown in Figure 15. The line in the graphs are a fitted polynomial to show how the Transfer to Observation Start Maneuver ΔV 's rise and fall over each month. Looking at the figure, we can see that the maneuvers are being executed relatively close to the RLP Y extremes. This may be a coincidence or it may simply fall back to the natural dynamics of the "Starshade Occulter" with respect to WFIRST and its targeted starting position for these observations.



Figure 15. Observations 2 (blue), 6 (red), 10 (yellow), and 28 (green) Transfer to Observation Start Maneuver ΔV's for each Simulation Start Time.

Looking at Figure 15, we can see that there are minimum Transfer to Observation Start Maneuver ΔV 's that occur among the Simulation Start times. For Observation 2, the minimum occurs in the August Simulation Start Case. For Observation 10, the minimum occurs in the May Simulation Start Case. For Observation 16, the February Simulation Start Case actually has the minimum. Finally, for Observation 28, the minimum occurs in the September Simulation Start Case.

If the DRM is allowed to change, these observations could be scheduled to occur around these minimum ΔV opportunities in order to reduce the overall Starshade ΔV . By allowing the slew times and observation times to vary, the ΔV for each observation may drop significantly and is something to be investigated in the future.

CONCLUSIONS

The results have shown that designing the "Starshade Occulter" to meet a fixed DRM can result in varying Transfer to Observation Start ΔV magnitudes for each observation. The natural dynamics of the "Starshade Occulter" can either help minimize this ΔV , or require a significant ΔV in order to achieve the desired Observation starting positions. The minimum ΔV Transfer to Observation Start Maneuvers are being directed in a way that places the "Starshade Occulter" onto a manifold to places it closer to its intended Observation starting position while also keeping it separated from WFIRST. The higher ΔV Transfer to Observation Start Maneuvers are fighting against the dynamics in order to reach the Observation point in the desired time. Once the dynamics are understood more clearly, an optimization can be performed to help minimize the total ΔV for the "Starshade Occulter", thereby reducing the wet mass and total mass of Starshade's design. Varying the Simulation Start Time resulted in significant ΔV savings for the "Starshade Occulter", and the planning/timing of the slews and observations in the DRM should be investigated further to help minimize the total ΔV .

SUMMARY

A high fidelity model was used to simulate a Starshade Occulter flying with WFIRST following a fixed DRM. The impulsive ΔV maneuvers to transfer from the start and end of one Observation to the next were determined as well. By varying the Simulation Start Times (and hence the beginning of the DRM), the total ΔV for the "Starshade Occulter" can be minimized. The results also showed that the natural dynamics of the "Starshade Occulter" can either minimize or maximize the Transfer to Observation Start ΔV . The SEL2 environment can take the "Starshade Occulter" to close to its intended targets, or far away from them. A deeper investigation into the dynamics at each Observation, and allowing the DRM to vary the slew times and observation times, can help optimize the fuel savings for Starshade. By helping the "Starshade Occulter" follow natural manifolds to transfer close to each Observation start, the ΔV can be minimized resulting in significant mass savings for Starshade.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like acknowledge Lauren McManus⁹ and Natasha Bosanac¹⁰ for their help in this analysis.

REFERENCES

¹ NASA WFIRST Mission Website, <u>https://wfirst.gsfc.nasa.gov/about.html</u>

² Seager, Sara, Warfield, K. Cash, W., Domagal-Goldman, S., Kasdin, N. J., Kuchner, M., Roberge, A., Shaklam, S., Sparks, W., Thomson, M., Turnbull, M., Lisman, D., Baran, R., Bauman, R., Cady, E., Henegham, C., Martin, S., Scharf, D., Trabert, R., Webb, D., Zarifian, P., "Exo-S: Starshade Probe-Class Exoplanet Direct Imagining Mission Concept Final Report" <u>https://exoplanets.nasa.gov/stdt/Exo-S_Starshade_Probe_Class_Final_Report_150312_URS250118.pdf</u>, March 2015.

³ Lisman, Doug, "WFIRST-Starshade Overview and Introduction to Accommodations Issues". DRM Internal Memo. August 09. 2016.

⁴ Millard, Lindsay D., Howell, Kathleen C. "Optimal reconfiguration maneuvers for spacecraft imaging arrays in multibody regimes". <u>http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0094576508001884</u> December 2008

⁵ Pavlak, Thomas A. "Mission Design Applications in the Earth-Moon System: Transfer Trajectories and Stationkeeping" <u>https://engineering.purdue.edu/people/kathleen.howell.1/Publications/Masters/2010_Pavlak.pdf</u> May 2010.

⁶ Bosanac, Natasha, "Sun-Earth Libration Point Trajectory Design Module Tutorial" Version 1. December 2016.

⁷ Folta, David, Haapala, Amanda, Pavlak, Tom, Howell, Kathleen "Adaptive Trajectory Design (ATD) / GSFC IRAD" <u>https://engineering.purdue.edu/people/kathleen.howell.1/Gallery/Posters/these_images/IRAD_2013.pdf</u> August 2013.

⁸ Folta, D., Lowe, J., "Formation Flying Of A Telescope/Occulter System With Large Separations In An L2 Libration Orbit". IAC-08-C1.6.2

⁹ McManus, Lauren, lcmcmanus@agi.com, Analytical Graphics, Inc. 220 Valley Creek Blvd., Exton, PA 19314, USA

¹⁰ Bosanac, Natasha, <u>Natasha.Bosanac@colorado.edu</u>, University of Colorado Boulder, Colorado Center for Astrodynamics Research (CCAR), Boulder, CO 80309, USA