Land

# NASA ESTO Lidar Technologies Investment Strategy

2016 Decadal Update

July 1, 2016 18th Coherent Laser Radar Conference Boulder, Colo.

#### Azita Valinia & George J. Komar

#### NASA Earth Science Technology Office

David M. Tratt, William Lotshaw, Kevin Gaab, David Mayo

The Aerospace Corporation

## **ESTO Programs**



**TRL 3-6** 

**TRL 2-5** 

ESTO manages, on average, 120 active technology development projects. Most are funded through the five primary program lines below. Nearly 700 projects have completed since 1998.



Instrument Incubator Program (IIP)Lidar InvestmentInnovative remote sensing instrument development from concept throughbreadboard and demonstration (Average award: \$1.5M per year over three years)



Advanced Component Technologies (ACT)Lidar Investmentcritical components and subsystems for advanced instruments and observing systems(average award: \$300K per year over three years)



Sustainable Land Imaging-Technology (SLI-T) new technologies and reduced costs for future land imaging (Landsat) measurements *First solicitation released in FY16 (average award: TBD)* 



Advanced Information Systems Technology (AIST) innovative on-orbit and ground capabilities for communication, processing, and management of remotely sensed data and the efficient generation of data products (average award: \$500K per year over two years)



**In-Space Validation of Earth Science Technologies (InVEST)** on-orbit technology validation and risk reduction for small instruments and instrument systems that could not otherwise be fully tested on the ground or airborne systems (average award: \$1-1.8M per year over three years)

nformation

Validation



# **Objectives:**

- Survey the 2016 state-of-the-art in lidar technology as it pertains to Earth science measurements
  - Last survey was done in 2006
- Identify capability gaps needed to enable Earth science goals
- Adjust investment strategy as needed



### Scope of the Survey: Laser Remote Sensing Applications & Techniques



#### **Differential Absorption Lidar (DIAL)**



- Clouds
- **Aerosols** ٠
- **Phytoplankton Physiology** ٠
- **Ocean Carbon/Particle Abundance**

#### **High-Precision Ranging & Altimetry**

- Geodetic Imaging
- Vegetation Structure/Biomass
- Earth Gravity Field

### **ESTO Projects Distribution According to Science Measurement**



### TRL Advancement for Completed Laser Related ESTO Tasks









**TRL Advancement** 

**Final TRL** 

### The Lidar Technology Needs Landscape



### Laser Remote Sensing Taxonomy: Suborbital





Adapted and updated from: Laser Radar: Progress and Opportunities in Active Electro-Optical Sensing (NRC, 2014).

### Laser Remote Sensing Taxonomy: Space





Adapted and updated from: Laser Radar: Progress and Opportunities in Active Electro-Optical Sensing (NRC, 2014).

# NASA Earth Science 2007 Decadal Survey Missions



### 2007 Decadal Survey Technology Capability Gaps



Measurement	Capability Gap	TRL	"Greatest Challenge" TRL
CO <sub>2</sub> (ASCENDS)	Maturity and readiness of tunable lasers meeting measurement requirements	3-4	1.57-μm power amplifier
CO <sub>2</sub> (ASCENDS)	High-efficiency detectors in 1.5-2 μm range	5	Space qualification/ radhard assurance
Aerosol/Clouds/Ecosystems (ACE)	Readiness of laser systems	4-5	Space qualification
Aerosol/Clouds/Ecosystems (ACE)	Field-widened interferometric receiver	4	Wavefront error
3D Biomass (NISAR/GEDI, formerly DESDynl)	Readiness of laser systems High-bandwidth, high-sensitivity detector arrays	4-5	Space qualification
Topography (LIST in 2007 Decadal)	Multiple aperture transmitter	4-5	Multiple aperture system
Topography (LIST in 2007 Decadal)	Multiple aperture/beam receiver	3	Large-area detector with high readout bandwidth
3D Winds	Reliable 355-nm transmitters meeting measurement requirements; 2-µm technology readiness and reliability	3-4	Laser reliability, readiness
3D Winds	Single telescope supporting multiple look angles	3	Large-aperture receive optics (HOE/DOE, interferometer)

## New Measurement Concept (since 2007) Capability Gaps



Measurement	Capability Gap	TRL	TRL Assessment; Greatest TRL Challenge	
Phytoplankton	Blue-green laser technology readiness	3	2: Robust and reliable laser and frequency conversion system	
Phytoplankton	Detector performance	2	Dead-time, afterpulsing	
Ocean Mixed Layer	Blue-green laser technology readiness	2	Robust and reliable laser and frequency conversion system	
Ocean Mixed Layer	Detector performance	2	Dead-time, afterpulsing	
Non-CO <sub>2</sub> Greenhouse Gases	Tunable laser transmitter for CH <sub>4</sub> IPDA	4-5	3-4: Er:YAG and seed sources	
Non-CO <sub>2</sub> Greenhouse Gases	Low-noise, few-photon-sensitive detector array	5	Space qualification	
Ozone	Robust UV laser transmitter	2	2: Robust and reliable UV generation 290-320 nm	
Ozone	Large-aperture collector; detector efficiency	4	Deployability	
Water vapor profiles	Multi-wavelength NIR laser transmitter readiness	2	2: Robust and reliable 720-nm, 820-nm sources	
Water vapor profiles	Detector performance	4	Low-noise, few-photon-sensitive detector array	



#### **Transmitter Technologies**

- Since the last Decadal, *fiber-laser average power capability now rivals that of traditional bulk solid-state systems* and may be used in more of the science measurement scenarios. Fiber lasers have the distinct advantage of being compact, immune to misalignment, and offer higher WPE. Fiber/bulk solid-state hybrid laser technologies present potential solutions to difficult performance and wavelength requirements.
- Emerging laser materials (e.g., Cr:ZnSe) and improvements in nonlinear optical (NLO) materials have expanded options for wavelength generation in near-UV, SWIR/MWIR. Dramatic improvements in pump laser-diode electrical efficiency have significantly improved the WPE of both bulk solid-state and fiber-based lasers.
- **High power lasers and adequate thermal systems are among biggest challenges**. High conductivity thermal materials are needed.



#### **Receiver Technologies**

- There remains *a need* for *improved detector performance*, particularly in the area of radiation-hardened multi-element architectures with high quantum efficiency, low noise, low timing jitter, and low afterpulsing.
- Greatest challenge is in the area of under 1 micron in detector performance.
- Reduction in size and weight for receiver telescopes benefit all measurement scenarios.
- Deployable apertures could relax requirements on transmitter technologies and enable measurement scenarios from smaller satellite platforms.
- *Need to develop and mature U.S. industrial base* required for critical system components in the area of: detectors and nonlinear conversion material.



#### **Emerging Technologies**

- New technologies in the area of detectors, lightweight apertures, as well as second and third harmonic generation at lower TRLs are coming to market that could benefit from further exploration.
- SmallSats have emerged onto the scene in the last decade and demand greater attention to miniaturization. Cross-cutting emerging technologies such as *integrated photonics circuitry and deep-submicron microelectronic architectures can prove enabling for SmallSat-based lidar missions and significant SWAP improvements.*
- **Model –based systems engineering (MBSE)** should be more effectively employed as an arbitrator between evolving technology options, by enabling parametric trades between aperture size, detector efficiency, laser power, waveform diversity, *etc.* that could circumvent technological hurdles.
- **MBSE requires robust, high-fidelity modeling and simulation capabilities** in both the environmental and sensor performance domains, which will require strengthening and further development of concurrent engineering tool.