https://ntrs.nasa.gov/search.jsp?R=20170007203 2019-08-31T06:56:05+00:00Z

Validation Process for LEWICE by Use of a Naviér-Stokes Solver

William B. Wright Vantage Partners, LLC

Christopher E. Porter NASA Glenn Research Center

June 6, 2017

at Lewis Field

Glenn Research Center

Icing Branch

Page 1



Outline

- Validation History
- LEWICE-Pointwise-FUN3D Script
- Validation Process
- Results





at Lewis Field

Icing Branch

Validation History

- Many icing Codes Use a Small Set of Cases for Validation
- Typical Shape (below) from Tunnel Calibration Test
- 46 tracings available
 - Warm Glaze Shows Wide Variation in Experiment Shapes



Glenn Research Center

Icing Branch



Geometric Validation

• Previous LEWICE Validations Were Limited to Geometry Comparison (THICK Utility)



Glenn Research Center

Icing Branch



Revised Validation

- Perform Geometric Comparisons as Before
- Perform Naviér-Stokes Calculations On Tracings from Experiment and LEWICE Predictions
 - 12 conditions (all large glaze ice shapes)
 - Compare lift and drag (AOA from -6° to 8°)
- Three Conditions have Experimental Aero Data
 - Compare CFD to experiment
 - Show variation in aero performance
 - Repeat shapes
 - Variation of shape along span
 - Smoothing
- Solutions performed with NASA FUN3D
 - Wilcox 2006 turbulence model

Glenn Research Center



at Lewis Field

Icing Branch

Conditions

| Airfoil | Chord (m) | Air Speed (m/s) | AOA (deg) | Total Temp (°C) | LWC (g/m ³) | MVD (µm) | Time (s) | Mach | Re*10-6 |
|-----------|-----------|--------------------|-----------|--------------------|----------------------------|----------|----------|------|---------|
| NACA0012 | 0.53 | 102.9 | 4 | -5.6 | 1.8 | 30 | 360 | 0.32 | 4.40 |
| NACA0012 | 0.91 | 77.1 | 0 | -8.3 | 1 | 15 | 1224 | 0.24 | 5.67 |
| NACA23012 | 0.46 | 102.9 | 2 | -2.2 | 0.75 | 15.4 | 300 | 0.31 | 3.68 |
| NACA23012 | 1.83 | 90.0 | 2 | -5.0 | 0.94 | 15 | 600 | 0.28 | 13.03 |
| BizJet | 0.30 | 139.4 | 0 | -7.9 | 1.12 | 19 | 138 | 0.44 | 3.58 |
| BizJet | 0.46 | 88.4 | 0 | -6.1 | 1.47 | 29 | 240 | 0.27 | 3.22 |
| BizJet | 0.61 | 89.4 | 0 | -6.0 | 1.3 | 35 | 360 | 0.27 | 4.34 |
| BizJet | 0.61 | 89.4 | 0 | -13.0 | 1.27 | 43 | 360 | 0.28 | 4.57 |
| BizJet | 0.91 | 90.0 | 6 | -0.8 | 0.54 | 20 | 1350 | 0.27 | 6.32 |
| NLF0414 | 0.91 | 66.9 | 2 | -3.1 | 0.54 | 20 | 1350 | 0.20 | 4.71 |
| LTHS | 0.91 | 130.2 | 0 | -1.4 | 0.563 | 21 | 1476 | 0.40 | 9.47 |
| NACA0015 | 0.35 | 95.2 | 0 | -5.5 | 0.75 | 19 | 600 | 0.29 | 2.68 |

Glenn Research Center

Icing Branch

Page 6



Sample Grid



Glenn Research Center

Icing Branch



Sample Grid



Glenn Research Center

Icing Branch



Validation Results

- Geometric Differences between LEWICE and Experiment
 Less Than Experimental Variability of Full Database
- Geometric Differences of Experimental Shapes Chosen Relatively Small





at Lewis Field

Icing Branch

HF1009536 Aero Comparison







Icing Branch

HF1009536





Glenn Research Center

Icing Branch

Page 11

-8

-6

-4

-2

at Lewis Field

0.04

2

4

6

8

10



HF1009536 Repeatability



Glenn Research Center



Icing Branch

Page 12

ED073536 Aero Comparison



Icing Branch

Page 13

ED073536







Glenn Research Center

Icing Branch

Page 14

ED073536 Repeatability



Icing Branch

Page 15

AF1181836



Glenn Research Center

NASA

at Lewis Field

Icing Branch

Page 16

HE1080536





Glenn Research Center

Icing Branch

Page 17

at Lewis Field

0.06

0.02

0

2

4

6

-2

-4

HD1075636



Glenn Research Center

Icing Branch

Page 18

Mach Contours for HD1075636



Glenn Research Center

Icing Branch



Mach Contours for HD1075736



Glenn Research Center

Icing Branch



Mach Contours for HD1075936



Glenn Research Center

Icing Branch



Mach Contours for Corresponding LEWICE Shape



Glenn Research Center

Icing Branch



Conclusions

- LEWICE model updated to include additional grid options
- New python script allows user to run hundreds of cases with or without Naviér-Stokes
- Geometric comparison shows good agreement with Experiment
- This study suggests that geometrically similar shapes can produce different performance characteristics
- Lift and drag calculations of ice shapes show favorable agreement with experiment

Glenn Research Center



at Lewis Field

Icing Branch

Page 23